

Transcriptomics and molecular evolutionary rate analysis of the bladderwort (Utricularia), a carnivorous plant with a minimal genome

Ibarra-Laclette et al.

RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2014 CONSUMING ACCESS

Transcriptomics and molecular evolutionary rate analysis of the bladderwort (Utricularia), a carnivorous plant with a minimal genome

Enrique Ibarra-Laclette¹, Victor A Albert², Claudia A Pérez-Torres¹, Flor Zamudio-Hernández¹ , María de J Ortega-Estrada¹, Alfredo Herrera-Estrella^{1*} and Luis Herrera-Estrella^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The carnivorous plant Utricularia gibba (bladderwort) is remarkable in having a minute genome, which at ca. 80 megabases is approximately half that of Arabidopsis. Bladderworts show an incredible diversity of forms surrounding a defined theme: tiny, bladder-like suction traps on terrestrial, epiphytic, or aquatic plants with a diversity of unusual vegetative forms. Utricularia plants, which are rootless, are also anomalous in physiological features (respiration and carbon distribution), and highly enhanced molecular evolutionary rates in chloroplast, mitochondrial and nuclear ribosomal sequences. Despite great interest in the genus, no genomic resources exist for Utricularia, and the substitution rate increase has received limited study.

Results: Here we describe the sequencing and analysis of the Utricularia gibba transcriptome. Three different organs were surveyed, the traps, the vegetative shoot bodies, and the inflorescence stems. We also examined the bladderwort transcriptome under diverse stress conditions. We detail aspects of functional classification, tissue similarity, nitrogen and phosphorus metabolism, respiration, DNA repair, and detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Long contigs of plastid and mitochondrial genomes, as well as sequences for 100 individual nuclear genes, were compared with those of other plants to better establish information on molecular evolutionary rates.

Conclusion: The Utricularia transcriptome provides a detailed genomic window into processes occurring in a carnivorous plant. It contains a deep representation of the complex metabolic pathways that characterize a putative minimal plant genome, permitting its use as a source of genomic information to explore the structural, functional, and evolutionary diversity of the genus. Vegetative shoots and traps are the most similar organs by functional classification of their transcriptome, the traps expressing hydrolytic enzymes for prey digestion that were previously thought to be encoded by bacteria. Supporting physiological data, global gene expression analysis shows that traps significantly over-express genes involved in respiration and that phosphate uptake might occur mainly in traps, whereas nitrogen uptake could in part take place in vegetative parts. Expression of DNA repair and ROS detoxification enzymes may be indicative of a response to increased respiration. Finally, evidence from the bladderwort transcriptome, direct measurement of ROS in situ, and cross-species comparisons of organellar genomes and multiple nuclear genes supports the hypothesis that increased nucleotide substitution rates throughout the plant may be due to the mutagenic action of amplified ROS production.

¹ Laboratorio Nacional de Genómica para la Biodiversidad, Centro de

Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 36821 Irapuato, Guanajuato, México

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© 2011 Ibarra-Laclette et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: aherrera@ira.cinvestav.mx; lherrera@ira.cinvestav.mx

Background

The carnivorous plant *Utricularia* and its sister genus Genlisea (Lentibulariaceae) share two anomalous molecular evolutionary features: highly increased rates of nucleotide substitution across the genomes of all three cellular compartments, mitochondrial, plastid, and nuclear [[1](#page-13-0)-[4\]](#page-13-0), and dynamic evolution of genome size at the level of species or even population [[5](#page-13-0),[6](#page-13-0)]. Some species, such as Utricularia gibba and Genlisea aurea, possess the smallest haploid angiosperm genomes known, at ca. 80 and 60 megabases (Mb), respectively, one-half or even less than that of Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis), and have bacterial-size chromosomes that vary widely in number between species [[5\]](#page-13-0). Paradoxically, Genlisea also contains species with genomes up to 1500 Mb in size. Along with their many physiological and morphological peculiarities, these plants are prime candidates for further research on the complexities of plant physiology associated with carnivory, metagenomic surveys of trap microbial communities, novel plant nitrogen/nutrient utilization pathways, the ecology of prey attraction, whole-plant and trap comparative development, and finally, evolution of the minimal angiosperm genome [[6](#page-13-0)].

With a total of 214 species worldwide, Utricularia is the largest genus of carnivorous plants [[7\]](#page-13-0). The name "bladderwort" refers to the bladder-like suction traps that serve for prey capture. Bladders take on many forms within a theme, and their morphologies among species match well with phylogenetic groupings [\[1\]](#page-13-0). Additionally, bladders can appear on almost every surface of the plants' leafy or non-leafy structures, as well as in place of a first embryonic leaf [\[7](#page-13-0),[8\]](#page-13-0). Ecologically, the genus comprises predominantly small annual or perennial herbs that occur in three life forms: about 60% of the species are terrestrial, 15% aquatic, and the remaining 25% comprise lithophytes and epiphytes [[7\]](#page-13-0). Like other carnivorous plants, Utricularia are typically inhabitants of nutrient-poor environments, and supplement normal photolithotrophic nutrition by trapping and utilizing prey, typically aquatic crustaceans, mites, rotifers and protozoa [\[9,10](#page-13-0)]. Previous studies have confirmed nutrient uptake from artificially fed prey in Utricularia [[11,12](#page-13-0)], and it is known that organic carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are prominent targets of prey digestion in carnivorous plants [[13\]](#page-13-0). In contrast with other carnivorous plants that acquire carbon from their prey, in some Utricularia species photosynthetically absorbed C is secreted into the trap environment [[14](#page-14-0)], suggesting that C supplied into the traps benefits the large associated microbial community, while N and P derived from this community become available for plant uptake in a manner similar to the rhizosphere interactions of terrestrial plants [\[14,15](#page-14-0)]. Near zero O_2 in traps of aquatic Utricularia species probably determines the type of organisms that can live inside traps, where a captured prey dies of oxygen deprivation [\[16](#page-14-0)]. Digestive extracellular enzymes have been detected on the various trap glands and in the trap fluid [[17,18\]](#page-14-0). It has been proposed that a considerable proportion of enzymatic activity in trap fluid is derived from the commensal organisms that live in Utricularia bladders [[15\]](#page-14-0). However, determination of enzyme activities does not prove their origin, with some of them possibly encoded in the Utricularia genome.

Despite considerable interest in the biology of Lentibulariaceae, no genomic data is available for these carnivorous plants. Massive parallel 454 pyrosequencing has become a feasible method for de novo transcriptome sequencing with sufficient depth and coverage to carry out quantitative differential gene expression analysis [[19](#page-14-0)-[21\]](#page-14-0), which has already been efficiently used for large-scale transcriptome sequencing of different plant species [\[22](#page-14-0)-[24\]](#page-14-0). With the aim of determining the Utricularia transcriptome and report a detailed analysis of the resulting sequences, we sequenced and assembled 185.5 Mpb of Utricularia gibba ESTs. Utricularia gibba (Lentibulariaceae) is a free-floating, submerged aquatic carnivorous plant with a small genome of about 80 Mbp [\[5](#page-13-0)]. This work provides the first broad survey of nuclear genes transcripts in *Utricularia* species, permitting several hypotheses about their physiology and morphology to be assessed. We detail aspects of the *U. gibba* transcriptome in different organs as well as in plants under physiological stress. Particular attention is paid to the expression of genes involved in N and P uptake, hydrolase-related genes expressed during prey digestion, as well as genes involved in respiration and Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production and scavenging. We also report preliminary sequencing of the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes and provide analyses of molecular evolutionary rates. Finally, using molecular evolutionary analyses and direct experimental methods, we evaluate the hypothesis of Albert *et al.*, 2010 [[6\]](#page-13-0), which postulates that Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) derived from specialized action of cytochrome c oxidase account for increased substitution rates and genomesize dynamism following DNA repair.

Results and Discussion

Basic analysis of the Utricularia gibba transcriptome

Three cDNA libraries were generated from RNA extracted from different organs of *U. gibba* plants [traps: TrpL, shoots: ShtL (vegetative organs), and inflorescences: FlwL (reproductive organs)]. Additionally, a cDNA library from whole plants subjected to multiple

physiological stress conditions was generated (StsL) (see Methods for more details). cDNA libraries were sequenced in two 454 pyrosequencing runs. 817,792 masked reads were entered into the assembly process (for more information about masked reads and assembly process see Methods). Using Newbler Assembler software (v2.5; cDNA pipeline) a high proportion of nonassembled reads (singlets) was obtained; this fraction represents approximately one quarter of total masked reads (data not shown). Using a different assembly approach that consisted of clustering/assembling procedures, the vast majority of the masked reads (88.27%) were merged into contigs. The total number of clusters generated was 13,122 that assembled into 16,551 contigs, with an average of 66.4 reads per contig. The length of contigs ranged from 0.1 to 3.0 kb, with an average length of 707.45 bp, suggesting that a significant number of contigs may represent full-length cDNAs. The presence of multiple contigs in a cluster could be due to possible alternative transcripts, paralogy or domain sharing. All reads that did not meet the match criteria to be clustered/assembled with any other reads during the clustering/assembling process were defined as singlets. The total number of singlets was 95,873 (only 11.72% of total masked reads) with an average length of 215.71 nucleotides. Unique transcripts (UT) from U. gibba were generated by combining 16,551 assembled contigs and 95,873 singlets.

U. gibba UT were annotated by searching for sequence similarities using BLASTX against proteins identified in several available complete plant genomes [Arabidopsis thaliana, Populus trichocarpa, Ricinus communis, Vitis vinifera (dicotyledoneous plants), Oryza sativa, Sorghum bicolor (monocotyledoneous plants), Physcomitrella patens (moss), Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, and Ostreococcus lucimarinas (green algae), all of them downloaded from the RefSeq database [[25\]](#page-14-0). Using a cut-off e-value of $\leq 10^{-05}$ and a bit score ≥ 45 we found that $60,595$ (54%) of *U. gibba* UT have high identity to at least one plant protein. The high proportion of U. gibba UT with no significant hit $(-46%)$ was expected since the likelihood of finding similarity to previously described proteins is highly dependent on the length of the query sequence. This is illustrated by contig versus singlet hits to database proteins; contigs were found to have significant similarity to plant proteins in over 90% of cases, whereas the majority (55%) of singlets bore no similarity to any proteins. It is also possible that many *U. gibba* UT could not be reliably annotated because they represent untranslated regions (UTRs) or non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). A comparison of U. gibba UT against the *U. gibba* genome sequence (assembled using Celera; [\[26](#page-14-0),[27\]](#page-14-0)) using BLASTN shows that 85.2% of the transcripts have a significant hit against the

genome (98% of alignment length and minimal sequence identity of 90% over the complete alignment). The remaining sequences probably failed to align because the *U. gibba* genome is currently represented by a preliminary draft assembly of relatively low coverage $(-8x,$ E. Ibarra-Laclette et al., unpublished data).

We determined the proportion of plant proteins for which homology was detected among U. gibba UT. Homology was detected to 43% of Arabidopsis (14,382 of 33,405), 38% of Populus (16,202 of 42,344), 40% of Ricinus (12,494 of 31,221), 55% of Vitis (13,017 of 23,493), 47% of Oryza (12,652 of 26,940), 38% of Sorghum (12,472 of 33,005), 30% of Physcomitrella (10,789 of 35,936), 30% of Chlamydomonas (4,441 of 14,503) and 47% of Ostreococcus proteins (3,621 of 7,603). U. gibba UT were similar, at most, to 16,202 unique plant proteins (Additional file [1,](#page-12-0) Table S1). This number represents the most stringent underestimation of the minimal number of U. gibba genes found expressed in the organs and conditions sampled in this study.

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) classifications [[28\]](#page-14-0) from best-hit plant proteins were associated to *U. gibba* UT in order to identify proteins with a known function. Proportions of best hits in each KEGG category are shown in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) Additionally, using the KEGG Atlas resource [[29\]](#page-14-0) we created a global metabolism map combining 119 existing pathways, corresponding to 16,595 genes referenced to in the KEGG database for Arabidopsis, Populus, Vitis, Ricinus, Oryza, Sorghum, Physcomitrella, Chlamydomonas and Ostreococcus. This global metabolism map was compared to the global map created for the U. gibba UT, for which 117 distinct metabolic pathways could be assigned (Additional file [2,](#page-12-0) Figure S1) out of 119 plant metabolic pathways annotated in the KEGG Atlas. These results indicate that the *U. gibba* UT comprise a deep representation of the complex metabolic pathways that characterize a plant genome, permitting their use as a source of genomic information to explore the structural, functional, and evolutionary diversity of the Lentibulariaceae.

Identification of *U. gibba* transcription factor (TF) families Plants devote ~7% of their genome coding capacity to proteins that regulate transcriptional activities [[30](#page-14-0)-[32](#page-14-0)]. Analysis of completed plant genome sequences suggests that over 60 transcription factor (TF) families are present in most plant genomes. In Arabidopsis [[33,34\]](#page-14-0) and Populus trichocarpa [\[35,36](#page-14-0)] the 64 TF families vary in size from 1-2 members to over 100 members. Rice contains 63 of the 64 dicot TF families [[38,39](#page-14-0)], missing only the SAP1 family, which is represented by a single gene in both Arabidopsis and P. trichocarpa. About $~2\%$ $(3,222)$ of the *U. gibba* UT showed significant homology

(BLASTx; e-value $\leq 10^{-05}$ and a bit score ≥ 45) to known TFs previously defined in Arabidopsis [[33,34](#page-14-0)] and were similar to a maximum of 920 unique TFs. We examined the distribution among the known TF families in vascular plants, and in selected cases, the complexity of U. gibba TF families relative to what is found in other plant species. At least one member for 61 of the 64 TF families previously identified in vascular plants was identified in *U. gibba* UT. Among the low copy TF families present in other plants, one member of each of the HRT-like, LFY, Whirly, S1Fa-like and VOZ families, two members of the BBR-BPC, CCAAT-DR1, CPP, GIF and MBF1 gene families, and 3 members of the C2C2- YABBY and EIL gene families are represented in the U. gibba UT. Only the SAP1, NZZ and ULT TF families were not represented among the *U. gibba* UT (Additional file [3](#page-13-0), Table S2).

Since *U. gibba* is a plant that lacks roots, it was possible that genes involved in root development had been lost, contributing to a reduction in genome size. Although the transcriptomes would never be a full representation of all genes present in a given genome, interestingly, we found that most of the TFs preferentially expressed in and known to be involved in root development, including homologous proteins to the A. thaliana ARFs 5, 7, 19, AUX/IAA proteins 3, 7, 12, 14 and 17; Short Root and Scarecrow (members of GRAS family) are represented in the *U. gibba* transcriptome [[37\]](#page-14-0). This finding suggests the possibility that the lack of roots in *U. gibba* may not be due to a preferential loss of genes involved in root development but instead a loss of developmental programs involved in the establishment of the gene expression networks required for root formation.

Changes in transcript abundance in the U. gibba transcriptome

Each organ-specific transcriptome was significantly sampled, and only a low disparity among the number of reads in each organ was detected (258,457 reads for FlwL, 234,963 for ShtL, and 292,970 for TrpL). The transcriptome obtained from *U. gibba* plants exposed to different stresses (pooled from constant light, darkness, cold temperature, and drought conditions) was also included in our analysis (represented by StsL, 140,507 reads). A large proportion of the reads (88.27%) assembled into 16,551 contigs, each assumed to represent a distinct gene structure. In principle, the number of reads that assemble in a specific contig represents the abundance of mRNA produced by a particular gene in a

given tissue sample. However, differences in transcript abundance may reflect sampling errors rather than genuine differences in gene expression. In consequence, read counts must be normalized to allow comparison of expression measures across samples, and a common practice is to scale gene counts by library totals [\[38,39](#page-14-0)]. Recently, however, it has been reported that more general quantile-based procedures yield much better concordance with expression pattern values obtained by qRT-PCR [[40\]](#page-14-0). Therefore, we decided to normalize read-counts in the R environment [[41\]](#page-14-0) using a quantile normalization procedure similar to that described previously by Bullard et al. 2010 [[40\]](#page-14-0), which is based on a previously described microarray normalization approach [[42](#page-14-0)]. An expression profile matrix was created (Additional file [4,](#page-13-0) Table S3) containing the number of reads for each of the 16,551 genes represented by contigs (rows) and four normalized transcriptomes (columns). Normalized read counts ranged from 0-3500.

To assess the relative abundance of gene transcripts among organ-specific transcriptomes, we applied the statistical R test [[43\]](#page-14-0). We considered preferentially expressed genes (PEGs) to be contigs with $R \geq 8$ (true positive rate of \sim 98%) and a 2-fold minimum difference in terms of reads per organ-specific transcriptome as compared against the other sequence sets. A total of 1,181 U. gibba UT were identified as PEGs; 523 in FlwL, 277 in ShtL and 388 in TrpL, some of which could be considered as organ-specific genes because of all reads forming these *U. gibba* contigs were derived from a single cDNA tissue sample (Figure 2A and Additional file [5](#page-13-0), Table S4). To identify ubiquitously expressed genes we considered only those clusters with at least one read from every library. In this case, all statistical tests were required to have non-significant results (Additional file [6,](#page-13-0) Table 5). Stress responsive genes were identified by comparing the transcriptome obtained from U. gibba stressed plants (represented by StsL) against all organ-specific data sets. According to the stringency levels ($R \ge 8$ and fold \pm 2) a total of 200 U. gibba UT were identified as differentially expressed genes in response to multiple physiological stresses (Additional file [7](#page-13-0), Table S6).

In order to quantify the similarity among organ-specific U. gibba transcriptomes we compared their diversity and specialization using a recently described model based on Shannon entropy. Diversity (Hj) is measured by an adaptation of Shannon's formula for entropy of a transcriptome's frequency distribution, while specialization (δj) is estimated as the average specificity of the genes expressed in each organ [[44\]](#page-14-0). The estimation of these properties allows the recognition of general differences among the transcriptomes, enhancing the understanding of their distributions. We note that the most

specialized organ sampled in U . gibba is the inflorescence, even when the traps, characteristic for the genus, are among the most intricate structures in the plant kingdom and are the organ through which Utricularia attract, capture and digest their prey [[10](#page-13-0),[45](#page-14-0),[46](#page-14-0)]. The diversity measures of the three organ classes (shoot, inflorescences and traps) group in a region of relatively low diversity (Figure 2B). Shoots and traps, however, could be considered as extremely similar organs based on their transcriptomes. This is not surprising, however, given that bladders are in fact modified leaves with sensitive bristles on "trap door" entrances [[45\]](#page-14-0).

Functional classification of differentially expressed genes highlights energy, metabolism, and hydrolases

PEGs in a specific organ were classified into functional categories according to the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences classification (MIPS) using the FunCat database [[47,48\]](#page-14-0) and an Arabidopsis annotation was obtained for *U. gibba* UT (Additional file [8](#page-13-0), Table S7). A Venn diagram was constructed to show

selected overrepresented categories and their intersections in inflorescences, traps and shoots (Figure [2A](#page-5-0)). As one validation of differential expression in these tissues, among inflorescence PEGs, the MIPS category "Tissue differentiation" was significantly over-represented via the subcategory 'flower' (Supplementary Table 6). Furthermore, 15 genes for which expression was considered as PEG among the transcriptomes were selected with the aim of validating expression patterns found. In general we found a good correlation (r^2 = 0.89) of the expression levels obtained by 454 sequencing with those obtained by qRT-PCR (Additional file [9](#page-13-0), Figure S2).

A noteworthy over-represented MIPS category identified in shoot and trap PEG was "Energy". In shoot PEG the "Energy" MIPS category is represented by 'photosynthesis' and 'energy conversion and regeneration' subcategories, while in trap PEG, this category is represented by 'respiration' and 'electron transport and membrane-associated energy conservation' subcategories (Additional file [8,](#page-13-0) Table S7). As expected in shoot PEG, the *U. gibba* UT annotated as SBPase (Sedoheptulosebiphosphatase; AT3G55800) and RuBisCo small subunit 1B (AT5G38430) were identified as over-represented in the "Metabolism" MIPS category (represented by subcategory 'autotrophic CO_2 -fixations') (Additional file [8](#page-13-0), Table S7). These results suggest that whereas photosynthesis occurs mainly in the shoot, in traps respiration is the major metabolic activity.

With regard to PEG in traps, some *U. gibba* UT were annotated as hydrolases (Additional file [5,](#page-13-0) Table S4). These U. gibba UT were: CL12267contig15708 (putative aminopeptidase; similar to AT4G30920), CL3763contig07204 (putative α -glucosidase; similar to AT5G11720), CL434contig01978 (putative β -glucosidase; similar to AT1G02850), CL6134contig09575 (putative β -hexosaminidase; similar to AT1G65590) and CL851contig02926 (putative purple acid phosphatase; similar to AT1G14700). Activities for the same five hydrolases have been reported in the fluid collected from traps of four aquatic Utricularia species (U. foliosa, U. australis, U. aurea and U. vulgaris) [[17,18\]](#page-14-0).

Nitrogen and phosphorous uptake in U. gibba

Nitrogen and phosphorous are two essential macronutrient elements for plants, that are often a major constraint for plant growth and reproduction in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. The major forms of these nutrients utilized by plants are nitrate (NO_3) and phosphate $(H_2PO_4$; Pi). A number of genes encoding the transporters and channels for nutrient acquisition have been identified and functionally characterized in model species, particularly Arabidopsis and rice [[49-51](#page-14-0)]. It has been proposed that phosphorus uptake from prey might be more important than that of nitrogen [[17](#page-14-0)]. Trap fluid stoichiometry (molar N:P ratios about 100) as well as the presence of nutrient limited microbial cells (molar N:P ratios 25-61) indicates the importance of phosphorus rather than nitrogen for the nutrition of Utricularia [[15\]](#page-14-0). Additionally, in U. vulgaris it has been reported that investment in carnivory, calculated as the proportion of leaf biomass and leaf area comprising traps, is inversely proportional to the availability of Pi from non-carnivorous sources, whereas N showed no significant effect in the investment in carnivory [[52\]](#page-14-0). This is consistent with the notion that phosphorus uptake from prey might be more important than that of nitrogen for Utricularia species. A gene encoding an acid phosphatase is the highest expressed among Utricularia PEGs (Additional file [9](#page-13-0), Figure S2), and genes encoding three members of the Pht1 family of high affinity Pi transporter were identified as PEGs in traps (Additional file [10](#page-13-0), Table S8). Since the Pht1 family comprises high-affinity Pi strongly expressed in plant roots [[53-58](#page-14-0)], we suggest that in rootless *Utricularia* Pi uptake takes place mainly in the traps [[8](#page-13-0),[59](#page-14-0)].

In higher plants there are two types of nitrate transporters, named NRT1 and NRT2s (low- and high-affinity nitrate transporters) [\[60\]](#page-14-0). Microarray experiments have been used to identify additional genes involved in nitrate/ nitrite assimilation [\[61](#page-14-0)]. Using this information we identified a total of 77 U. gibba UT annotated as homologous to Arabidopsis proteins involved in the nitrate assimilation pathway (45 members from the NTR1 family, 3 from the NTR2 and 23 Nitrate/nitrite-assimilation genes) (Additional file [11,](#page-13-0) Table S19). Most of these genes were found to be ubiquitously expressed in U. gibba, with the exception of the homolog of the Arabidopsis CHL1 gene that was identified among the shoot PEGs. CHL1 (AT1G08090) is a NTR2 protein that recently has been reported to function as a nitrate sensor in plants [[62](#page-14-0)]. Additionally we found that three different U. gibba UT annotated as δ-TIP (Tonoplast Intrinsic Protein; AT3G16240) were among the most highly expressed genes in shoot. δ-TIP (AT3G16240) has recently been reported as an ammonium $(NH₄)$ transporter, since δ- and $γ$ - TIP's (AT3G16240 and AT2G36830, respectively) complement the lack of urea transporters in yeast [[63](#page-14-0)]. In the bladderwort Utricularia vulgaris, 51.8% of the total nitrogen content has been estimated to come from insect derived nitrogen [[12\]](#page-13-0), however, contribution of nitrogen from animal prey is variable in carnivorous plants, with estimates ranging from 10% to 87% dependent on taxa [\[64\]](#page-14-0). Considering the high amino acid identity (Additional file [12](#page-13-0), Figure S3), ranging from 59.2 to 78.9% among the Utricularia and Arabidopsis Tonoplast Intrinsic Proteins (TIPs), these results suggest that in aquatic Utricularia species, nitrogen uptake, at least in part, could be taking place in shoot (stem/leaves) and

that urea could be a major N source for aquatic Utricularia species.

Elevated molecular evolutionary rates in organellar genome blocks and individual nuclear genes

In addition to transcriptome discovery, we sequenced large portions of the plastid and mitochondrial genomes from Utricularia gibba as part of our Utricularia nuclear genome sequencing project. This has provided us with an unprecedented opportunity to evaluate earlier findings on elevated molecular evolutionary rates in Utricularia organellar genomes [\[1](#page-13-0)-[4](#page-13-0)]. From 2.2 million U. gibba whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequencing reads (748 Mbp, representing more than 8 times the estimated genome size) 76,364 high-quality reads were identified as organellar sequences (27.6 Mbp). These reads were assembled using Newbler assembler version 2.5, resulting in 228 contigs from chloroplast and 217 contigs from mitochondrial genomes with a N50 contig size of 2,146 and 2,842 bp respectively. The largest U. gibba chloroplast contig (length = 22,577 bases; FTP: <http://www.langebio.cinvestav.mx/utricularia/>) corresponds to part of the large single copy region (LSC; [[69,70\]](#page-15-0)). Using a Multiple Genome Comparison and Aligment Tool [\[65](#page-14-0)[,66\]](#page-15-0) we selected a homologous region from 31 of 64 eudicot (Rosids and Asterids) angiosperm chloroplast genomes contained in an organelle genome database [[67,68\]](#page-15-0), this chloroplast region encodes a total of 28 coding genes. Removal of ambiguously aligned was carried out using GBlocks [[69](#page-15-0)], which is designed to identify and remove highly variable regions of alignments where positional homology is dubious (Additional file [13,](#page-13-0) Figure S4). The final ClustalW alignment [[70](#page-15-0)] contained 31 taxa and 8,516 nucleotide characters for the fraction of the LSC chloroplast region. For the mitochondrial genome we made a similar analysis as described above for the chloroplast sequences using unambiguously aligned sequences (length = 4,125 bases; FTP:<http://www.langebio.cinvestav.mx/utricularia/>) derived from a mitochondrial contig of 4,673 nucleotides (Additional file [14,](#page-13-0) Figure S5). A total of four coding genes were identified in this partial sequence of the U. gibba mitochondrial genome. Due to the limited number of complete sequences of mitochondrial genomes, phylogenetic analysis was carried out using the homologous region from six eudicot taxa.

NeighborNet phylogenetic analysis [[71\]](#page-15-0) was used as a simple tool to illustrate both branch length differences among species and incongruence of phylogenetic signal within data sets. Analysis of the large block of chloroplast LSC sequence revealed that *Utricularia gibba* has the longest terminal branch of any eudicot sampled (Figure [3A](#page-8-0)). Although this relative rate difference is slight, it is statistically significant at $P < 0.05$ (using several likelihood models; see Methods) with respect to Jasminum (jasmine), the sister genus of U. gibba, as analyzed using Coffea (coffee) as outgroup (Figure [3A](#page-8-0)). Elevated evolutionary rate in U. gibba is, however, striking in a rate-sensitive UPGMA cluster analysis [\[72\]](#page-15-0) of the same data (Figure [3B](#page-8-0)). UPGMA assumes a molecular clock operating equally among all species, so deviation from this requirement in terms of obtained branch lengths, and possibly also well-established phylogenetic relationships, provides a useful test for rate asymmetries. Accordingly, the plastid DNA UPGMA tree places U. gibba erroneously, separate from asterid taxa to which this species is assuredly most closely related (Figure [3B](#page-8-0)). For the mitochondrial genome, Neighbor-Net analysis (Figure [4A\)](#page-9-0), relative rate tests (Utricularia vs. Nicotiana, outgroup Vitis; $P \ll 0.001$ across several tests), and UPGMA clustering (Figure [4B\)](#page-9-0) of the available data all demonstrate an enormously elevated substitution rate in Utricularia.

Given the availability of considerable nuclear transcriptome sequence, we also assayed molecular evolutionary rates across a random set of 100 genes homologous to Conserved Orthologous Loci (COS II) available for several other asterid species [\[73-75](#page-15-0)]. Here, we found that *U. gibba* displayed the longest branch in NeighborNet analysis - and therefore the highest relative molecular evolutionary rate - for 92% of these loci. Consistently, UPGMA analyses identified the U. gibba branch as longest in 90% of the 100 loci (all 100 data sets, networks and trees are available via FTP: [http://](http://www.langebio.cinvestav.mx/utricularia/) [www.langebio.cinvestav.mx/utricularia/\)](http://www.langebio.cinvestav.mx/utricularia/). A concatenated super-matrix comprising all gene sequences for all species produced expected NeighborNet (Figure [5A](#page-9-0)) and UPGMA (Figure [5B\)](#page-9-0) results, with U. gibba displaying an elevated molecular evolutionary rate that was significant at $P \ll 0.001$ with respect to Coffea arabica (outgroup Capsicum annuum, using the same likelihood models as for the organellar genomes).

Carbon, respiration, and Reactive Oxygen Species

Analysis of the U. gibba choloroplast and mitochondrial genomes shows that nucleotide substitution rates are elevated in *U. gibba*. These alterations in substitution rates have been proposed to be related to specific changes in oxidative phosphorylation and excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS; see below). Therefore, we analyzed the functional categorization of shoot and trap PEG to determine whether they provide molecular support for ox-phos and ROS related processes. As previously mentioned, among the prominent over-represented MIPS category identified in shoot and trap PEG was "Energy". In shoot PEG the "Energy" MIPS category is represented by 'photosynthesis' and 'energy conversion and regeneration' subcategories,

while in trap PEG, the "Energy" category is represented by 'respiration' and 'electron transport and membraneassociated energy conservation' subcategories. Correspondingly, *Utricularia* bladders have immensely greater respiration, while exhibiting far lower photosynthetic rates than vegetative tissues [[76,77\]](#page-15-0). Interesting in connection, the 'oxygen and radical detoxification' subcategory was prominent among stress PEG.

The respiratory chain of mitochondria, normally coupled to electron transport, is one of the main means by which cells gain their energy for performing various activities. Electron transport drives a chemiosmotic pump that causes sequestration of protons in the mitochondrial intermembrane space, where after these positive charges enter the mitochondrial lumen to catalyze the phosphorylation of adenosine diphosphate into ATP.

The rate limiting enzyme of oxidative phosphorylation is cytochrome c oxidase (COX), positioned one step before ATP synthase. Previous reports showed that, due to changes in specific amino acid positions fixed under positive Darwinian selection, COX structure and function might be altered in Utricularia and some species of its sister genus, Genlisea (the corkscrew plant). Hypotheses have been proposed whereby specific changes in these residues [two contiguous cysteines (C)] could alter the dissociation kinetics between COX and cytochrome c [[78](#page-15-0)] and possibly produce a conformational change at the active site [[79](#page-15-0)]. It has been suggested that the latter process could reversibly decouple proton pumping from electron transport [[79\]](#page-15-0). In this

way, the intermembrane space could be likened to a capacitor holding enormous positive charge until ATP was needed, e.g., to pump water out of traps after their firing. However, storing large quantities of protons could have consequences in the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that could be produced by backup and leakage of electron transport [\[6\]](#page-13-0). It then follows that the mutagenic action of enhanced ROS production (with error-prone repair) may, as a common cause, explain both the high rates of nucleotide substitution observed in Utricularia (above) and the dynamic evolution of genome size in Lentibulariaceae, the latter via non-homologous recombination at double strand breaks [[5](#page-13-0)].

Using the FunCat database and MIPS categorization we identified a total of 18 annotated U. gibba UT (homologous to 15 Arabidopsis unique proteins) grouped into the "DNA recombination and DNA repair" MIPS subcategory, all of them considered as ubiquitously expressed genes (Additional file [15](#page-13-0), Table S10). With regard to the "oxygen and radical detoxification" subcategory, 159 U. gibba UT corresponding, at most, to 91 Arabidopsis unique proteins, some of which (44.7%) were also expressed ubiquitously (Additional file [16,](#page-13-0) Table S11). This MIPS subcategory includes 35 proteins involved in glutathione conjugation and peroxidase reactions, 6 proteins involved in superoxide metabolism and one catalase. Again, expression of these DNA repair and ROS detox processes is neither trap-specific nor trap-overexpressed, but ubiquitous. However, ROS production need not be evenly distributed among organs, which could alter net repair/detox capacity in living tissues.

In order to evaluate ROS content in traps versus vegetative organ cells, U. gibba plants were stained with the $H₂O₂$ specific dye 3, 3-Diaminobenzidine (DAB). DAB staining was detected in most cells of vegetative organs (stem/leaves and traps) with a considerably higher intensity in the traps (Figure 6), corresponding well to the much greater respiration observed in these structures by Adamec 2006 [\[77](#page-15-0)]. Given the ubiquitous expression patterns in all Utricularia organs of genes encoding detoxification enzymes, lower relative ROS detoxification is expected in traps and therefore greater toxic effects such as mutagenesis. It is therefore certainly possible that the observed elevated nucleotide substitution rates in Utricularia organellar genomes and nuclear genes are due to ROS overproduction in the face of net less effective DNA repair. Although the null expectation would be that all nuclear genes should accrue ROS-mediated mutations equally and randomly, 8% out of the 100 genes surveyed did not show evidence for an Utricularia-specific rate increase. Analytical error and/or differential evolutionary conservation of gene sequences in

different species could explain this small disparity from 100% expectation. Future analyses of nonsynonymous vs. synonymous substitution rates in these cases might reveal the latter phenomenon. With regard to nuclear genome dynamism, detailed studies, e.g., searches for molecular signatures of rampant double strand break repair, must await a high-quality sequence of the entire Utricularia gibba genome.

Conclusions

The Utricularia transcriptome provides a detailed genomic window into processes occurring in a carnivorous plant. It contains a deep representation of the complex metabolic pathways that characterize a putative minimal plant genome, permitting its use as a source of genomic information to explore the structural, functional, and evolutionary diversity of the genus. Vegetative shoots and traps are the most similar organs by functional classification of their transcriptome, the latter expressing hydrolytic enzymes for prey digestion that were previously thought to be encoded by bacteria. Supporting physiological research, traps significantly overexpress genes involved in respiration. Other expression data suggests that whereas nitrogen uptake could in part take place in vegetative parts, phosphate uptake might occur mainly in traps. Expression of DNA repair and ROS detoxification enzymes may be indicative of response to increased respiration. Finally, evidence from the bladderwort transcriptome, direct measurement of ROS in situ, and cross-species comparisons of organellar genomes and multiple nuclear genes supports a hypothesis that increased nucleotide substitution rates throughout the plant may be due to the mutagenic action of amplified ROS production.

Methods

Plant material and treatments

Utricularia gibba plants were collected at the Umécuaro dam (Michoacán-México), and propagated outdoors in plastic containers (area 0.1 m2, 10 L), filled to a depth of 12.5-15 cm with water from the dam; the water level maintained by addition of soft tap water. Tissue was collected from shoot-like structures, traps and inflorescences containing 1 to 4 flowers.

To obtain evidence for the expression of as many genes as possible, additional sets of U. gibba plants (3 to 5 individuals) were transferred into a sterile 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask (containing 25 ml of sterile water) and then exposed to different physiological stress conditions (light, temperature and water deprivation). For light responses, U. gibba plants were treated in continuous darkness for 48 hrs and then exposed to constant light (100 μ mol m⁻² sec⁻¹). Samples were collected at 4, 8, 12 and 24 hrs; as a control U. gibba plants were kept in the dark and collected at the same time points. For low temperature responses, U. gibba plants were transferred to a cold room at 5°C with constant light (approximately 50 μ; mol m⁻² sec⁻¹) for 48 hrs. Samples were collected at 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 hrs. Drought-stress treatments (water deprivation) consisted of removing the water from flasks where after *U. gibba* plants were kept in controlled environment chambers at 24°C under constant light (50 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹). Samples were collected at 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 hrs. As a control for low temperature and drought-stress treatments U. gibba plants were kept in water in controlled environment chambers at 24°C under constant light (50 μ mol m⁻² sec⁻¹). Additionally, we collected traps, shoots (considering these as stem with life-like structures) and inflorescences from U. gibba plants with the aim of exploring and comparing the transcriptome of these organs. All samples collected were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C until used.

cDNA library construction

Total RNA was extracted from *U. gibba* organs or whole plants exposed to the different stress conditions using TRIZOL (Invitrogen), ground with a mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen. In the case of plants subjected to stress, 5 μg of RNA from each experimental condition was pooled to obtain a single RNA sample. First and second strand cDNA synthesis was performed with 3 μg of the total RNA mixture using Message Amp-II kit (Ambion) following the manufacturers recommendations. In the case of the three organs (traps, shoots and inflorescences), each sample was treated separately. 10-12 ng of synthesized cDNA was amplified by in vitro transcription and the resulting 70-90 μg of antisense RNA (aRNA) was purified using Qiagen RNAeasy columns (Qiagen). A second round of cDNA synthesis was performed using the mRNA as template $(20 \mu g)$. cDNA synthesis was performed as described above except that random primers (mostly hexamers) were used at the first strand synthesis stage. This procedure yielded approximately 10 μg of cDNA that was purified using the DNA Clear Kit for cDNA purification (Ambion). cDNA was then treated with Ampure magnetic particles (Agencourt, Beckman Coulter) to obtain fragments of 200 - 700 pb.

454 cDNA sequencing and assembly

Approximately 10 μg of sheared cDNA was used for 454 sequencing. The cDNA sample was end repaired and adapter ligated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Streptavidin bead enrichment, DNA denaturation and emulsion PCR were also performed according to described procedures [[80\]](#page-15-0). Typical output from a 4.5-h run of the GSFLX sequencer is around 75 Mb, comprising roughly 300,000 sequence reads averaging c. 250 bp. Two FLX pyrosequencing runs were performed (the pico-titer plate was divided in two sectors), 1/2 run from StsL (cDNA pool obtained from *U. gibba* plants exposed to multiple physiological stress condition; stress Library), 1/2 run for ShtL (shoots library), 1/2 run for FlwL Inflorescences library) and 1/2 run for TrpL (utricles or traps Library). A total of 926,897 reads were generated (258,457 for FlwL, 234,963 for ShtL, 140,507 for StsL and 292,970 for TrpL) with an estimated average size of 200.18 bases representing a total of 185.55 Mpb. Reads were masked using the SeqClean software pipeline [\[83\]](#page-15-0) to eliminate sequence regions that would cause incorrect assembly. Targets for masking include poly A/T tails, ends rich in Ns (undetermined bases) and low complexity sequences. To carry out the assembly process, 817,792 masked reads (88.23% of total, with a minimum size of 100 bp) were considered. Masked read sequences were pairwise compared and grouped into clusters, based on shared sequence similarity. As a consequence, the clusters obtained comprise reads most likely derived from the same mRNA. Each cluster was then assembled into one or more contigs, which were derived from multiple read alignments. The clustering was performed using *megablast* [[81](#page-15-0)] and the resulting clusters were then assembled using the CAP3 assembly program [\[82\]](#page-15-0). Contigs within a cluster shared at least 90% identity within a window of 40 nucleotides. The combination of contigs and singletons are referred to as unique transcripts (UT; 16,551 contigs and 95,873 singletons respectively). Files containing sequence reads

and quality scores were deposited in the Short Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [Accession number SRP005297].

Annotation of U. gibba UT

BLASTx similarity searches (e-value 10^{-5} , bit score ≥ 45) against proteins referenced in the RefSeq database [\[25](#page-14-0)] from 9 complete plant genomes [Arabidopsis thaliana, Populus trichocarpa, Ricinus communis, Vitis vinifera (eudicots), Oryza sativa, Sorghum bicolor (monocots), Physomitrella patens (moss), Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, and Ostreococcus lucimarinas (green algae)] were performed to annotate the U. gibba UT. We used the Arabidopsis protein annotation to define homologous genes in U. gibba because these annotations are more refined than those from other species. The UT were also assigned to functional categories using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [[83-85](#page-15-0)] and Enzyme Commission (EC) numbers [\[86\]](#page-15-0) were associated.

Expression profile analysis of U. gibba transcriptome

Transcripts appearing more than once in the cDNA libraries (FlwL, ShtL, TrpL and StsL) were selected for in silico expression analysis after quantile normalization and statistical testing [[42](#page-14-0),[43\]](#page-14-0). In brief, this method allows the comparison of gene expression among any number of libraries in order to identify differentially expressed genes. The method uses a single statistical test to describe the extent to which a gene is differentially expressed between libraries by a log likelihood ratio statistic that trends asymptotically to a χ^2 distribution [[43\]](#page-14-0). Results were visualized using GeneSpring GX 7.3.1 software (Agilent Technologies[®]).

Phylogenetic, cluster, and molecular rate analyses

Concatenated blocks of plastid and mitochondrial DNA genomes (defined using Gblocks [\[75](#page-15-0)]) and nuclear gene sequences were analyzed using NeighborNet [[71](#page-15-0)] and UPGMA [[72](#page-15-0)] in the SplitsTree4 package [\[87\]](#page-15-0). The 100 randomly chosen nuclear gene data sets were obtained by alignment (using MUSCLE [[88](#page-15-0)] against translated amino acids) of Utricularia gibba cDNA contigs and Arabidopsis gene sequences to asterid orthologs available in the COS II database. For phylogenetic analysis, the LogDet distance [\[89](#page-15-0)] was used as a standard modelbased correction throughout due to its robustness against base composition bias, which we empirically observed using a diagnostic utility in SplitsTree4. Relative substitution rate differences were calculated using HyPhy [[90](#page-15-0)] under several models (F81 with fixed rates, and F84 with fixed rates, general time reversible either with local parameters fixed, with global parameters, or with global parameters, gamma distribution and 4 rate

classes). P values are reported as less than the largest value obtained under the different models.

Functional classification of differentially expressed genes

Functional gene classification was performed according to the Functional Catalogue [[47](#page-14-0),[48](#page-14-0)]. The hypergeometric method with Bonferroni correction was used for the analysis with a P-value cutoff of 0.01.

qRT-PCR

Primer design (Tm, 60-65°C) was performed in the Primer3 v.0.4.0 web tool [[91\]](#page-15-0). cDNA templates for PCR amplification were prepared using reverse specific primers for each gene evaluated, and treated with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each reaction contained cDNA template from 10 μg total RNA, 1× SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and 500 μM forward and reverse primers. Real-time PCR was performed in an ABI PRISM 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems) under the following thermal cycling conditions: 10 min at 95°C followed by a total of 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30s min at 65°C and 40s at 72°C. For qRT-PCR, relative transcript abundance was calculated and normalized with respect to ACTIN transcript levels. All calculations and analyses were performed using ABI 7500 Software v2.0.1 (Applied biosystems) and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [\[92](#page-15-0)]. Amplification efficiency (0.92 to 1.01) for the primer sets was determined by amplification of a cDNA dilution series (1:5). Specificity of the RT-PCR products was followed by a melting curve analysis with continual fluorescence data acquisition during the 65-95°C melt.

ROS Detection

For H_2O_2 localization, the DAB staining method was performed as described by Orozco and Ryan (1999, [[93\]](#page-15-0)) and the stained *U. gibba* plants were cleared by the method described by Malamy and Benfey (1997, [[94\]](#page-15-0)) and analyzed with an SZH10 stereomicroscope (Olympus).

Additional material

[Additional file 1: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S1.ZIP)able S1 - U. gibba UT annotated by searching for sequences similarities using BLASTx (e-value ≤10-05 and a bit score ≥ 45) and proteins data bases from Arabidopsis thaliana, Populus trichocarpa, Ricinus communis, Vitis vinifera (dicotyledon plants), Oryza sativa, Sorghum bicolor (monocotyledon plants), Physcomitrella patens (moss), Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, and Ostreococcus lucimarinuas (green algae)

[Additional file 2: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S2.TIFF)igure S1 - Metabolic pathways represented in the U. gibba unique transcripts (UT) set. (A) Global metabolism map constructed combining existing pathway maps and corresponding genes referenced in the KEGG database for Plants (black lines). (B) Global metabolism map represented by the U . gibba UT (blue lines). (C) Overlap comparison of the KEGG metabolic global map of flowering plants to the metabolic map represented in U. gibba UT.

[Additional file 3: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S3.XLS)able S2 - Gene numbers comparison of TF families members indentified in U. gibba with some vascular plants (Arabidosis thaliana, Populus trichocarpa and Oryza sativa (indica/ iaponica)).

[Additional file 4: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S4.XLS)able S3 - Expression profile matrix of U. gibba genes. Reads-counts (raw and normalized) for every specifictranscriptome (FlwL; Inflorescense, ShtL; shoot, TrpL; Traps and StsL; stressed plants) merged into each one of the 16,551 contigs.

[Additional file 5: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S5.XLS)able S4 - Preferentially Expressed Genes (PEG) in U. gibba organ specific.

[Additional file 6: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S6.XLS)able S5 - Non organ-specific genes of U. gibba plants (Ubiquitous).

[Additional file 7: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S7.XLS)able S6 - Stress responsive genes identified in U. gibba plants.

[Additional file 8: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S8.XLS)able S7 - Functional categories over-represented in physiological stress conditions (StsL), inflorescense (FlwL), shoot (ShtL) and traps (TrpL) U. gibba PEGs. Categorization of U. gibba UT was obtained according to the MIPS classification using FunCat database.

[Additional file 9: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S9.TIFF)igure S2 - Validation of PEGs by qRT-PCR. Expression patterns of APETALA1, APETALA3, PISTILLATA, AGAMOUS, SEPALATA3, CLAVATA1, MYB21, MYB24, RBCS-1B, SBPase, a-glucosidase, ß-hexosaminidase, aminopeptidase, acid phosphatase and ß-glucosidase are presented as obtained with 454 sequencing (A) and qRT-PCR (B). Correlation of expression levels as obtained from 454 sequencing with those obtained with qRT-PCR (C).

[Additional file 10: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S10.XLS)able S8 - U. gibba UT annotated as homologous Arabidopsis Pi transporters.

[Additional file 11: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S11.XLS)able S9 - U. gibba UT annotated as homologous Arabidopsis genes involved in Nitrate assimilation pathway.

[Additional file 12: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S12.TIFF)igure S3 - Alignment of aa sequences of Arabidopsis α - and γ - Tonoplast Intrinsic Proteins (AT3G16240, AT2G36830, respectively) previously characterized as urea transporters [\[63](#page-14-0)]and homologous U. gibba UT identified as shoot (stem/leaves) PEGs. 'NPA' boxes, which are typical for plant TIPs, are highlighted by a box with discontinuous red line.

[Additional file 13: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S13.TIFF)igure S4 - Fraction of U. gibba LSC chloroplast region used in phylogenetic analysis. (A) Plastid genome comparison of two closely related species (Solanum lycopersicum and Jasminum nudiflorum) and the homologous region of the Utricularia. gibba LSC region. (B) Plastid genes identified in this region. (C) Non-ambiguouslyaligned region (blocks) used in phylogenetic analysis.

[Additional file 14: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S14.TIFF)igure S5 - U. gibba mitochondrial region used in phylogenetic analysis. (A) Mitochondrial genome comparison of Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Carica papaya, Nicotianan tabacum and Vitis vinifera and the homologous region from Utricularia gibba. (B) Mitochondrial genes identified in this region. (C) Non-ambiguouslyaligned region (blocks) used in phylogenetic analysis.

[Additional file 15: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S15.XLS)able S10 - U. gibba UT annotated as homologous Arabidopsis genes involved in DNA repair system.

[Additional file 16: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-11-101-S16.XLS)able S11 - U. gibba UT annotated as homologous Arabidopsis genes involved in ROS detoxification system.

List of Abbreviations

UT: Unique Transcripts; PEG: Preferentially expressed genes; TrpL: cDNA library generated from *U. gibba* traps; FlwL: cDNA library generated from *U.* gibba inflorescences; ShtL: cDNA library generated from U. gibba shoots (stem/leaves); StsL: cDNA library generated from whole plants subjected to multiple physiological stress condition; ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Daniel Rodríguez-Leal for his valuable discussion on phylogenetic analysis. We also thank Octavio Martínez de la Vega for his assistance in the diversity and specialization analysis through information theory, and Araceli Fernández-Cortes for her assistance with bioinformatics analysis. Special thanks goes to Beatriz Jiménez-Moraila, Verenice Ramírez-Rodríguez and their colleagues for sequencing services. Finally, we thank the members of the 'laboratorio de servicios genómicos' of LANGEBIO, CINVESTAV for their help. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their positive and relevant comments, which improved the quality of this manuscript. EIL is indebted to CONACyT (Mexico) for a PhD fellowship.

Author details

¹ Laboratorio Nacional de Genómica para la Biodiversidad, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 36821 Irapuato, Guanajuato, México. ²Department of Biological Sciences University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA.

Authors' contributions

EIL performed assembling, annotation, database construction, statistical analysis and manuscript writing. VAA contributed to data analysis, phylogenetic analysis, drafting and editing of the manuscript. CAPT and MJOE carried out RNA extractions and cDNA synthesis. CAPT also participated in the ROS staining experiments. FMZH performed qRT-PCR experiments. AHE and LHE conceived of the project and were responsible for directing all of the research activities, and also have assisted in the writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final submitted version of the manuscript.

Received: 18 January 2011 Accepted: 3 June 2011 Published: 3 June 2011

References

- 1. Jobson RW, Albert VA: Molecular Rates Parallel Diversification Contrasts between Carnivorous Plant Sister Lineages. Cladistics 2002, 18(2):127-136.
- 2. Müller K, Borsch T, Legendre L, Porembski S, Theisen I, Barthlott W: [Evolution of Carnivory in Lentibulariaceae and the Lamiales.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15248131?dopt=Abstract) Plant Biology 2004, 6(04):477-490.
- 3. Müller K, Borsch T: Phylogenetics of Utricularia (Lentibulariaceae) and molecular evolution of the trnK intron in a lineage with high substitutional rates. Plant Syst Evol 2005, 250(1):39-67.
- Müller K, Borsch T, Legendre L, Porembski S, Barthlott W: [Recent Progress](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203430?dopt=Abstract) [in Understanding the Evolution of Carnivorous Lentibulariaceae](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203430?dopt=Abstract) [\(Lamiales\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203430?dopt=Abstract) Plant Biology 2006, 8(06):748-757.
- 5. Greilhuber J, Borsch T, Müller K, Worberg A, Porembski S, Barthlott W: [Smallest Angiosperm Genomes Found in Lentibulariaceae, with](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203433?dopt=Abstract) [Chromosomes of Bacterial Size.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203433?dopt=Abstract) Plant Biology 2006, 8(06):770-777.
- 6. Albert VA, Jobson RW, Michael TP, Taylor DJ: [The carnivorous bladderwort](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20007200?dopt=Abstract) [\(Utricularia, Lentibulariaceae\): a system inflates.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20007200?dopt=Abstract) Journal of Experimental Botany 2010, 61(1):5-9.
- 7. Taylor PG, The genus Utricularia L: a taxonomical monograph. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office; 1989.
- 8. Plachno BJ, Swiatek P: [Unusual embryo structure in viviparous Utricularia](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19921393?dopt=Abstract) [nelumbifolia, with remarks on embryo evolution in genus Utricularia.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19921393?dopt=Abstract) Protoplasma 2010, 239(1):69-80.
- 9. Jobson RW, Morris EC: Feeding ecology of a carnivorous bladderwort (Utricularia uliginosa, Lentibulariaceae). Austral Ecology 2001, 26(6):680-691.
- 10. Richards J: [Bladder function in Utricularia purpurea](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11159137?dopt=Abstract) [\(Lentibulariaceae\): is carnivory important](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11159137?dopt=Abstract)ż. American Journal of Botany 2001, 88(1):170-176.
- 11. Lollar AQ, Coleman DC, Boyd CE: [Carnivorous pathway of phosphorus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20845258?dopt=Abstract) [uptake in Utricularia inflata.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20845258?dopt=Abstract) Archiv für Hydrobiologie 1971, 69:400-404.
- 12. Friday L, Quarmby C: Uptake and translocation of prey-derived 15N and 32P in Utricularia vulgaris L. New Phytologist 1994, 126(2):273-281.
- 13. Ellison AM, Gotelli NJ: [Energetics and the evolution of carnivorous plants-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19213724?dopt=Abstract)Darwin's â€˜[most wonderful plants in the worldâ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19213724?dopt=Abstract)€™. Journal of Experimental Botany 2009, 60(1):19-42.
- 14. Sirová D, Borovec J, Santruckova H, Santrucek J, Vrba J, Adamec L: [Utricularia carnivory revisited: plants supply photosynthetic carbon to](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19755570?dopt=Abstract) [traps.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19755570?dopt=Abstract) Journal of Experimental Botany 2010, 61(1):99-103.
- 15. Sirová D, Borovec J, Cerná B, Rejmánková E, Adamec L, Vrba J: Microbial community development in the traps of aquatic Utricularia species. Aquatic Botany 2009, 90(2):129-136.
- 16. Adamec L: [Oxygen Concentrations Inside the Traps of the Carnivorous](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720681?dopt=Abstract) [Plants Utricularia and Genlisea \(Lentibulariaceae\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720681?dopt=Abstract) Annals of Botany 2007, 100(4):849-856.
- 17. Sirová D, Adamec L, Vrba J: Enzymatic activities in traps of four aquatic species of the carnivorous genus Utricularia. New Phytologist 2003, 159(3):669-675.
- 18. Plachno BJ, Adamec L, Lichtscheidl IK, Peroutka M, Adlassnig W, Vrba J: [Fluorescence Labelling of Phosphatase Activity in Digestive Glands of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16865659?dopt=Abstract) [Carnivorous Plants.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16865659?dopt=Abstract) Plant Biology 2006, 8(6):813-820.
- 19. Wicker T, Schlagenhauf E, Graner A, Close T, Keller B, Stein N: [454](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17067373?dopt=Abstract) [sequencing put to the test using the complex genome of barley.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17067373?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2006, 7(1):275.
- 20. Huse S, Huber J, Morrison H, Sogin M, Welch D: [Accuracy and quality of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17659080?dopt=Abstract) [massively parallel DNA pyrosequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17659080?dopt=Abstract) Genome Biology 2007, 8(7):R143.
- 21. Weber APM, Weber KL, Carr K, Wilkerson C, Ohlrogge JB: Sampling the Arabidopsis Transcriptome with Massively Parallel Pyrosequencing. Planat Physiology 2007, 144(1):32-42.
- 22. Jones-Rhoades MW, Borevitz JO, Preuss D: Genome-Wide Expression Profiling of the Arabidopsis Female Gametophyte Identifies Families of Small, Secreted Proteins. PLoS Genet 2007, 3(10):e171.
- 23. Novaes E, Drost D, Farmerie W, Pappas G, Grattapaglia D, Sederoff R, Kirst M: [High-throughput gene and SNP discovery in Eucalyptus grandis,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18590545?dopt=Abstract) [an uncharacterized genome.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18590545?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2008, 9(1):312.
- 24. Vega-Arreguin J, Ibarra-Laclette E, Jimenez-Moraila B, Martinez O, Vielle-Calzada J, Herrera-Estrella L, Herrera-Estrella A: [Deep sampling of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) [Palomero maize transcriptome by a high throughput strategy of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) [pyrosequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2009, 10(1):299.
- 25. Referece Sequences Database (Planta). [[ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/refseq/](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/refseq/release/plant/) [release/plant/](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/refseq/release/plant/)].
- 26. Myers EW, Sutton GG, Delcher AL, Dew IM, Fasulo DP, Flanigan MJ, Kravitz SA, Mobarry CM, Reinert KHJ, Remington KA, et al: [A Whole-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10731133?dopt=Abstract)[Genome Assembly of Drosophila.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10731133?dopt=Abstract) Science 2000, 287(5461):2196-2204.
- 27. Miller JR, Delcher AL, Koren S, Venter E, Walenz BP, Brownley A, Johnson J, Li K, Mobarry C, Sutton G: [Aggressive assembly of pyrosequencing reads](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18952627?dopt=Abstract) [with mates.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18952627?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2008, 24(24):2818-2824.
- 28. KEGG: Kyoto Enclyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.[\[http://www.genome.](http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) [jp/kegg/\]](http://www.genome.jp/kegg/).
- 29. Navigation tool to explore the KEGG global maps. [\[http://www.kegg.jp/](http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/atlas/) [kegg/atlas/](http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/atlas/)].
- 30. Riechmann JL, Heard J, Martin G, Reuber L, Z C, Keddie J, Adam L, Pineda O, Ratcliffe OJ, et al: [Arabidopsis Transcription Factors: Genome-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118137?dopt=Abstract)[Wide Comparative Analysis Among Eukaryotes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118137?dopt=Abstract) Science 2000, 290(5499):2105-2110.
- 31. Qu L-J, Zhu Y-X: [Transcription factor families in Arabidopsis: major](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16877030?dopt=Abstract) [progress and outstanding issues for future research.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16877030?dopt=Abstract) Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2006, 9(5):544-549.
- 32. Richardt S, Lang D, Reski R, Frank W, Rensing SA: [PlanTAPDB, a Phylogeny-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17337525?dopt=Abstract)[Based Resource of Plant Transcription-Associated Proteins.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17337525?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 2007, 143(4):1452-1466.
- 33. Guo A, He K, Liu D, Bai S, Gu X, Wei L, Luo J: [DATF: a database of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15731212?dopt=Abstract) [Arabidopsis transcription factors.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15731212?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2005, 21(10):2568-2569.
- 34. Database of Arabidopsis Transcription Factor. [[http://datf.cbi.pku.edu.cn/\]](http://datf.cbi.pku.edu.cn/). 35. Zhu Q-H, Guo A-Y, Gao G, Zhong Y-F, Xu M, Huang M, Luo J: [DPTF: a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17392330?dopt=Abstract)
- [database of poplar transcription factors.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17392330?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2007, 23(10):1307-1308.
- 36. Database of Poplar Transcription Factors. [<http://dptf.cbi.pku.edu.cn/>].
- 37. Montiel G, Gantet P, Jay-Allemand C, Breton C: [Transcription Factor](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542499?dopt=Abstract) [Networks. Pathways to the Knowledge of Root Development.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542499?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 2004, 136(3):3478-3485.
- 38. Marioni JC, Mason CE, Mane SM, Stephens M, Gilad Y: [RNA-seq: An](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18550803?dopt=Abstract) [assessment of technical reproducibility and comparison with gene](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18550803?dopt=Abstract) [expression arrays.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18550803?dopt=Abstract) Genome Research 2008, 18(9):1509-1517.
- 39. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B: Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat Meth 2008, 5(7):621-628.
- 40. Bullard J, Purdom E, Hansen K, Dudoit S: [Evaluation of statistical methods](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20167110?dopt=Abstract) [for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20167110?dopt=Abstract) BMC Bioinformatics 2010, 11(1):94.
- 41. R software version 2.1.1. [[http://www.r-project.org\]](http://www.r-project.org).
- 42. Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B, Speed TP: [Summaries](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12582260?dopt=Abstract) [of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12582260?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2003, 31(4):e15-e15.
- 43. Stekel DJ, Git Y, Falciani F: [The Comparison of Gene Expression from](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11116099?dopt=Abstract) [Multiple cDNA Libraries.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11116099?dopt=Abstract) Genome Research 2000, 10(12):2055-2061.
- 44. Martínez O, Reyes-Valdés MH: Defining diversity, specialization, and gene specificity in transcriptomes through information theory. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2008, 105(28):9709-9714.
- 45. Juniper B, Robins R, Joel D: The carnivorous plants. London: Academic Press; 1989.
- 46. Harms S: [Prey selection in three species of the carnivorous aquatic plant](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20845258?dopt=Abstract) [Utricularia \(bladderwort\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20845258?dopt=Abstract) Archiv für Hydrobiologie 1999, 146:449-470.
- 47. Ruepp A, Zollner A, Maier D, Albermann K, Hani J, Mokrejs M, Tetko I, Güldener U, Mannhaupt G, Münsterkötter M, et al: [The FunCat, a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15486203?dopt=Abstract) [functional annotation scheme for systematic classification of proteins](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15486203?dopt=Abstract) [from whole genomes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15486203?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2004, 32(18):5539-5545.
- 48. MIPS Functional Catalogue Database. [\[http://mips.helmholtz-muenchen.](http://mips.helmholtz-muenchen.de/proj/funcatDB/) [de/proj/funcatDB/](http://mips.helmholtz-muenchen.de/proj/funcatDB/)].
- 49. Raghothama KG: [Phosphate transport and signaling.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10837272?dopt=Abstract) Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2000, 3(3):182-187.
- 50. Gierth M, Mäser P: [Potassium transporters in plants Involvement in K+](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17397836?dopt=Abstract) [acquisition, redistribution and homeostasis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17397836?dopt=Abstract) FEBS Letters 2007, 581(12):2348-2356.
- 51. Lebaudy A, Véry A-A, Sentenac H: [K+ channel activity in plants: Genes,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17418142?dopt=Abstract) [regulations and functions.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17418142?dopt=Abstract) FEBS Letters 2007, 581(12):2357-2366.
- 52. Kibriya S, Iwan Jones J: Nutrient availability and the carnivorous habit in Utricularia vulgaris. Freshwater Biology 2007, 52(3):500-509.
- Daram P, Brunner S, Persson BL, Amrhein N, Bucher M: [Functional analysis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9737001?dopt=Abstract) [and cell-specific expression of a phosphate transporter from tomato.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9737001?dopt=Abstract) Planta 1998, 206(2):225-233.
- 54. Liu C, Muchhal US, Uthappa M, Kononowicz AK, Raghothama KG: [Tomato](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9449838?dopt=Abstract) [Phosphate Transporter Genes Are Differentially Regulated in Plant](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9449838?dopt=Abstract) [Tissues by Phosphorus.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9449838?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 1998, 116(1):91-99.
- 55. Chiou T-J, Liu H, Harrison MJ: [The spatial expression patterns of a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11208020?dopt=Abstract) [phosphate transporter \(MtPT1\) from Medicago truncatula indicate a role](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11208020?dopt=Abstract) [in phosphate transport at the root/soil interface.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11208020?dopt=Abstract) The Plant Journal 2001, 25(3):281-293.
- Karthikeyan AS, Varadarajan DK, Mukatira UT, D'Urzo MP, Damsz B, Raghothama KG: [Regulated Expression of Arabidopsis Phosphate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12226502?dopt=Abstract) [Transporters.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12226502?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 2002, 130(1):221-233.
- 57. Mudge SR, Rae AL, Diatloff E, Smith FW: [Expression analysis suggests](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12164813?dopt=Abstract) [novel roles for members of the Pht1 family of phosphate transporters in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12164813?dopt=Abstract) [Arabidopsis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12164813?dopt=Abstract) The Plant Journal 2002, 31(3):341-353.
- 58. Schunmann PHD, Richardson AE, Vickers CE, Delhaize E: [Promoter Analysis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542491?dopt=Abstract) [of the Barley Pht1;1 Phosphate Transporter Gene Identifies Regions](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542491?dopt=Abstract) [Controlling Root Expression and Responsiveness to Phosphate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542491?dopt=Abstract) [Deprivation.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15542491?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 2004, 136(4):4205-4214.
- 59. Lloyd FE: The Carnivorous Plants. MS, USA: Waltham, Chronica Botanica Company 1942.
- 60. Liu K-H, Huang C-Y, Tsay Y-F: [CHL1 Is a Dual-Affinity Nitrate Transporter](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10330471?dopt=Abstract) [of Arabidopsis Involved in Multiple Phases of Nitrate Uptake.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10330471?dopt=Abstract) The Plant Cell 1999, 11(5):865-874.
- 61. Li J-Y, Fu Y-L, Pike SM, Bao J, Tian W, Zhang Y, Chen C-Z, Zhang Y, Li H-M, Huang J, et al: The Arabidopsis Nitrate Transporter NRT1.8 Functions in Nitrate Removal from the Xylem Sap and Mediates Cadmium Tolerance. The Plant Cell 2010.
- 62. Cheng-Hsun H, Shan-Hua L, Heng-Cheng H, Yi-Fang T: [CHL1 Functions as](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19766570?dopt=Abstract) [a Nitrate Sensor in Plants.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19766570?dopt=Abstract) Cell 2009, 138(6):1184-1194.
- 63. Klebl F, Wolf M, Sauer N: [A defect in the yeast plasma membrane urea](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12860388?dopt=Abstract) [transporter Dur3p is complemented by CpNIP1, a Nod26-like protein](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12860388?dopt=Abstract) [from zucchini \(Cucurbita pepo L.\), and by Arabidopsis thaliana \[delta\]-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12860388?dopt=Abstract) [TIP or \[gamma\]-TIP.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12860388?dopt=Abstract) FEBS Letters 2003, 547(1-3):69-74.
- 64. Ellison AM, Gotelli NJ: [Evolutionary ecology of carnivorous plants.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21630111?dopt=Abstract) Trends in ecology & evolution 2001, 16(11):623-629.
- 65. Treangen T, Messeguer X: [M-GCAT: interactively and efficiently](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17022809?dopt=Abstract) [constructing large-scale multiple genome comparison frameworks in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17022809?dopt=Abstract) [closely related species.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17022809?dopt=Abstract) BMC Bioinformatics 2006, 7(1):433.
- 66. Multiple Genome Comparison Software (M-GCAT). [\[http://alggen.lsi.upc.](http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/recerca/align/mgcat/) [es/recerca/align/mgcat/](http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/recerca/align/mgcat/)].
- 67. O'Brien EA, Zhang Y, Wang E, Marie V, Badejoko W, Lang BF, Burger G: [GOBASE: an organelle genome database.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18953030?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2009, 37(suppl 1):D946-D950.
- 68. The Organelle Genome Database (GOBASE). [[http://gobase.bcm.](http://gobase.bcm.umontreal.ca/) [umontreal.ca/\]](http://gobase.bcm.umontreal.ca/).
- 69. Castresana J: [Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10742046?dopt=Abstract) [Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10742046?dopt=Abstract) Molecular Biology and Evolution 2000, 17(4):540-552.
- 70. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ: [CLUSTAL W: improving the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7984417?dopt=Abstract) [sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7984417?dopt=Abstract) [sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7984417?dopt=Abstract) [choice.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7984417?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 1994, 22(22):4673-4680.
- 71. Bryant D, Moulton V: [Neighbor-Net: An Agglomerative Method for the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14660700?dopt=Abstract) [Construction of Phylogenetic Networks.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14660700?dopt=Abstract) Molecular Biology and Evolution 2004, 21(2):255-265.
- 72. Sokal RR, Michener CD: A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships. University of Kansas Scientific Bulletin 1958, 28:1409-1438.
- 73. Fulton TM, Van der Hoeven R, Eannetta NT, Tanksley SD: Identification, Analysis, and Utilization of Conserved Ortholog Set Markers for Comparative Genomics in Higher Plants. The Plant Cell Online 2002, 14(7):1457-1467.
- 74. Wu F, Mueller LA, Crouzillat D, Petiard V, Tanksley SD: [Combining](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16951058?dopt=Abstract) [Bioinformatics and Phylogenetics to Identify Large Sets of Single-Copy](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16951058?dopt=Abstract) [Orthologous Genes \(COSII\) for Comparative, Evolutionary and Systematic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16951058?dopt=Abstract) [Studies: A Test Case in the Euasterid Plant Clade.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16951058?dopt=Abstract) Genetics 2006, 174(3):1407-1420.
- 75. Conserved Ortholog Set II (COSII) markers.
- 76. Knight SE: Costs of carnivory in the common bladderwort, &It;i&qt; Utricularia macrorhiza</i>. Oecologia 1992, 89(3):348-355.
- 77. Adamec L: [Respiration and Photosynthesis of Bladders and Leaves of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203432?dopt=Abstract) [Aquatic Utricularia Species.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203432?dopt=Abstract) Plant Biology 2006, 8(06):765-769.
- 78. Jobson RW, Nielsen R, Laakkonen L, Wikström M, Albert VA: [Adaptive](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15596720?dopt=Abstract) [evolution of cytochrome c oxidase: Infrastructure for a carnivorous plant](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15596720?dopt=Abstract) [radiation.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15596720?dopt=Abstract) Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004, 101(52):18064-18068.
- 79. Laakkonen L, Jobson RW, Albert VA: A New Model for the Evolution of Carnivory in the Bladderwort Plant (Utricularia): Adaptive Changes in Cytochrome c Oxidase (COX) Provide Respiratory Power. Plant biol (Stuttg) 2006, 8(06):758-764.
- 80. Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attiya S, Bader JS, Bemben LA, Berka J, Braverman MS, Chen Y-J, Chen Z et al: [Genome sequencing in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16056220?dopt=Abstract) [microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16056220?dopt=Abstract) Nature 2005, 437(7057):376-380.
- 81. Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W: [A Greedy Algorithm for Aligning](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10890397?dopt=Abstract) [DNA Sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10890397?dopt=Abstract) Journal of Computational Biology 2000, 7(1-2):203-214.
- 82. Huang X, Madan A, CAP3: [A DNA Sequence Assembly Program.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10508846?dopt=Abstract) Genome Research 1999, 9(9):868-877.
- 83. Kanehisa M, Goto S: [KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10592173?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2000, 28(1):27-30.
- 84. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, Aoki-Kinoshita KF, Itoh M, Kawashima S, Katayama T, Araki M, Hirakawa M: [From genomics to chemical genomics:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16381885?dopt=Abstract) [new developments in KEGG.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16381885?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2006, 34(suppl 1): D354-D357.
- 85. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Hirakawa M: [KEGG for](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880382?dopt=Abstract) [representation and analysis of molecular networks involving diseases](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880382?dopt=Abstract) [and drugs.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880382?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2010, 38(suppl 1):D355-D360.
- 86. Bairoch A: [The ENZYME database in 2000.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10592255?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Research 2000, 28(1):304-305.
- 87. Huson DH: [SplitsTree: analyzing and visualizing evolutionary data.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9520503?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 1998, 14(1):68-73.
- 88. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32(5):1792-1797.
- 89. Lockhart PJ, Steel MA, Hendy MD, Penny D: [Recovering evolutionary trees](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19391266?dopt=Abstract) [under a more realistic model of sequence evolution.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19391266?dopt=Abstract) Molecular Biology and Evolution 1994, 11(4):605-612.
- 90. Pond SLK, Frost SDW, Muse SV: [HyPhy: hypothesis testing using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15509596?dopt=Abstract) [phylogenies.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15509596?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2005, 21(5):676-679.
- 91. Primer3 (v. 0.4.0). Pick primers from a DNA sequence. [[http://frodo.wi.mit.](http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/) [edu/primer3/](http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/)].
- 92. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: [Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609?dopt=Abstract) [Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2-\[Delta\]\[Delta\]CT Method.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609?dopt=Abstract) Methods 2001, 25(4):402-408.
- 93. Orozco-Cardenas M, Ryan CA: [Hydrogen peroxide is generated](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10339626?dopt=Abstract) [systemically in plant leaves by wounding and systemin via the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10339626?dopt=Abstract) [octadecanoid pathway.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10339626?dopt=Abstract) Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1999, 96(11):6553-6557.
- 94. Malamy JE, Benfey PN: [Organization and cell differentiation in lateral](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9006065?dopt=Abstract) [roots of Arabidopsis thaliana.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9006065?dopt=Abstract) Development 1997, 124(1):33-44.

doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-101

Cite this article as: Ibarra-Laclette et al.: Transcriptomics and molecular evolutionary rate analysis of the bladderwort (Utricularia), a carnivorous plant with a minimal genome. BMC Plant Biology 2011 11:101

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

() **BioMed** Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit