

### NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Coron Artery Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 July 25.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Coron Artery Dis. 2009 December ; 20(8): 536-543. doi:10.1097/MCA.0b013e3283324920.

## Body mass index, treatment practices, and mortality in patients with acute heart failure

Timothy P. Fitzgibbons, Olga T. Hardy, Darleen Lessard, Joel M. Gore, Jorge Yarzebski, and Robert J. Goldberg

Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

#### Abstract

**Objectives**—Obesity is associated with an increased risk of heart failure (HF). Among patients presenting with acute HF, however, differences in clinical characteristics, treatment regimens, and short-term prognosis of varying weights are largely unknown, particularly from a broader population-based perspective.

**Methods**—A total of 3722 patients admitted with acute HF to 11 greater Worcester (Massachusetts, USA) hospitals during 1995 and 2000 were categorized as being lean (n = 216), normal weight (n = 1465), overweight (n = 1007), or obese (n = 1034) at the time of hospitalization.

**Results**—Obese patients with decompensated HF were significantly younger (mean age = 71 years) compared with patients of normal weight (mean age = 79 years). Obese patients were more likely to have a history of diabetes and have previously undergone a percutaneous coronary intervention than patients of normal body weight. Lean patients (body mass index <18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were less likely to be treated with effective cardiac therapies than normal weight patients, whereas obese patients were more likely to be treated with diuretics. Obese patients experienced a significantly lower in-hospital (4.3 vs. 7.2%) and 30-day (7.3 vs. 14.5%) death rate than normal weight patients, whereas lean patients experienced the highest in-hospital (10.2%) and 30-day (19.9%) death rates.

**Conclusion**—The results of this study in residents of a large central New England metropolitan area suggest that obesity is associated with increased survival in patients with acute HF. Further assessment of the 'obesity paradox', and careful attention to patients with a low body mass index, in patients with decompensated HF is warranted.

#### Keywords

body mask index; heart failure; prognosis

#### Introduction

Approximately, 64 million American adults are obese [1]. Individuals who are obese are nearly twice as likely to die from all causes than their normal weight counterparts, with much of this excess mortality attributed to cardiovascular disease [2]. Furthermore, obesity is associated with a variety of important risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including

<sup>© 2009</sup> Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

Correspondence to Dr Robert J. Goldberg, PhD, Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA 01655, USA, Tel: +1 508 856 3991; fax: +1 508 856 4596; Robert.Goldberg@umassmed.edu.

In contrast to the increased risk of newly diagnosed HF in obese patients, an increased BMI may confer a survival advantage in patients with prevalent HF resulting in an 'obesity paradox' [4,5]. Proposed explanations for this observation have included resistance to the catabolic effects of proinflammatory cytokines, lower levels of plasma catecholamines and natriuretic peptides, or to possible study design and methodologic concerns [3,6]. Although some investigators have suggested that the 'obesity paradox' is only operative in patients with HF with a reduced ejection fraction, others have found that it holds true across the spectrum of patients with this clinical syndrome [3,7]. However, the reproducibility and impact of these findings in the broader community-based setting are unclear.

We have recently described differences in the clinical profile, short-term prognosis, and hospital management practices utilized in obese men and women compared with patients of normal body weight in a community sample of patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction [8]. Obese patients were significantly younger, were more likely to be prescribed effective cardiac treatment regimens, and were at greater risk of developing adverse outcomes during hospitalization than normal weight patients. Whether or not these differences in prognosis and treatment practices exist in patients with acute HF is unknown.

The objectives of this population-based study were to compare differences in the clinical characteristics, treatment practices, and short-term prognosis of lean, normal weight, overweight, and obese residents of the Worcester metropolitan area admitted for decompensated HF to all greater Worcester medical centers during 1995 and 2000.

#### Methods

Adult male and female residents of all ages from the Worcester metropolitan area (2000 census estimate = 478000) hospitalized for possible HF at all 11 greater Worcester medical centers during the two study years of 1995 and 2000 comprised the study population [9,10].

The medical records of patients with primary and/or secondary International Classification of Disease (ICD)-9 discharge diagnoses consistent with the possible presence of HF were reviewed in a standardized manner as has been described earlier [9,10]. Patients with a discharge diagnosis of HF (ICD-9 code 428) comprised the primary diagnostic rubric reviewed for the identification of cases of possible HE In addition, the medical records of patients with discharge diagnoses of rheumatic HF, hypertensive heart and renal disease, acute cor pulmonale, cardiomyopathy, pulmonary heart disease and congestion, acute lung edema, edema, dyspnea, and respiratory abnormalities were reviewed by trained study physicians and nurses to identify patients who also may have had new onset HF [9,10]. Confirmation of the diagnosis of HF, based on use of the Framingham criteria, included the presence of two major criteria or presence of one major and two minor criteria [11]. An incident (first) event of HF was defined as the absence of a prior hospitalization for HF, a prior physician diagnosis of HF, or treatment for HF in the past based on the review of data contained in hospital medical records. Patients who developed HF secondary to admission for another acute illness (e.g. acute myocardial infarction) or after an interventional procedure or surgery were not included.

#### Data collection

Information was collected about patient's demographic, medical history, clinical characteristics, and laboratory test results through the review of information contained in

hospital medical records. This included the information about patient's age, sex, race, prior comorbidities, BMI at the time of hospitalization, presenting symptoms, physical examination findings, laboratory findings (e.g. serum levels of creatinine, blood urea nitrogen), clinical characteristics (e.g. presenting heart rate, blood pressure), and hospital survival status. Ejection fraction findings during the index hospitalization were available for only 37% of the study sample; we did not, therefore, further classify the study population into those with systolic as compared with those with diastolic HF based on the results of this testing modality.

We reviewed physicians' progress notes and daily medication logs for the prescribing of selected cardiac medications and recommendations for changes in various lifestyle practices [10,12]. We examined the use of cardiac medications that have been shown to be of benefit in improving the prognosis of patients with decompensated HF [angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and  $\beta$ -blockers], medications shown to be effective in improving the symptomatic status of patients with acute HF (digoxin and diuretics), and other effective cardiac medications.

#### Data analysis

Differences in the characteristics, treatment practices, and short-term case-fatality rates of patients who were considered to be lean/cachectic (BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), of normal body weight (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), obese (30–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and markedly obese ( $\geq$  35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were examined through the use of  $\chi^2$  tests and analysis of variance for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. A logistic regression approach was utilized to examine the association between patients' baseline BMI with the use of various treatment regimens and hospital outcomes while controlling for a variety of potentially confounding demographic and clinical factors. Multivariable adjusted odds ratios and accompanying 95% confidence intervals were calculated in a standard manner. As the length of hospital stay had declined over time, we also examined 30-day death rates after hospital admission in our respective comparison groups.

#### Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

The study sample consisted of 3722 residents of the Worcester metropolitan area hospitalized at all greater Worcester medical centers with independently confirmed acute HF in whom information about BMI was available through the review of information contained in hospital charts. The average age of the study sample was 76 years, 43% were men, and the majority (94%) was Caucasian. In this sample, 45% of patients had what was considered to be a normal BMI (n = 1681), 27% were overweight (n = 1007), and 28% (n = 1034) were considered to be obese. Among patients with a BMI < 25kg/m<sup>2</sup>, the majority (87%) had a BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, whereas the remainder (n = 216) had a BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and were considered to be lean/cachectic. Among obese individuals, 538 had a BMI between 30 and 34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, whereas 496 patients were considered to be markedly obese.

Obese patients were significantly younger, more likely to be non-Caucasian, and were less likely to have a do not resuscitate order in their hospital charts (Table 1). Patients with an elevated BMI were significantly less likely to have a history of atrial fibrillation than those who were less overweight (Table 1). In contrast, patients who were obese were more likely to have a history of chronic pulmonary disease, diabetes, and hypertension, and to have received a percutaneous coronary intervention in the past than patients of normal body weight (Table 1). Obese patients were more likely to present with varying signs and symptoms of acute HF, including chest pain, dyspnea, edema, orthopnea, and weight gain.

Obese patients were also more likely to have a worse physiologic profile than patients of lower body weight, including serum glucose levels, blood pressure, and renal function (Table 1).

#### Hospital treatment practices

Patients who were obese were significantly less likely to have been treated with digoxin during their index hospitalization than patients with a lower BMI (Table 2). Obese and overweight patients were more likely to have been prescribed ACE inhibitors/ARBs, calcium channel blockers, and lipid-lowering medications than patients with a BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Lean/cachectic patients with acute HF were the least likely of all the groups examined to have been prescribed effective cardiac therapies.

In examining the utilization of these cardiac medications during hospitalization for acute HF in patients with obesity of increasing severity (Table 2), markedly obese patients were less likely to have been treated with ACE inhibitors/ARBs,  $\beta$ -blockers, digoxin, lipid-lowering medications, and nitrates than patients with a BMI between 30 and 34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

After controlling for age and a variety of potentially confounding factors, lean/cachectic patients were less likely to have been treated with ACE inhibitors/ARBs,  $\beta$ -blockers, diuretics, calcium channel blockers, and lipid-lowering agents than patients with a BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 3). Patients of normal body weight were significantly less likely to have been treated with digoxin, whereas patients with a BMI of 30–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were significantly less likely to have received digoxin, but more likely to have been prescribed ACE inhibitors/ARBs, than patients of normal body weight; markedly obese patients were significantly less likely to have been prescribed digoxin and nitrates than patients considered to be of normal body weight.

#### Nonpharmacologic treatment practices

Obese patients were more likely to have been prescribed a low-fat diet and restrict their intake of fluids and salt than patients with a BMI <  $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  (Table 4). After controlling for several potentially confounding factors that might affect these treatment recommendations, differences in these dietary practice recommendations remained (Table 4).

Among patients with a BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, leaner patients were less likely to be told by a healthcare professional to modify the vast majority of lifestyle practices recommended (Table 4). With the exception of receiving recommendations to restrict their fluid intake to a greater extent, there were no marked differences in the receipt of recommendations to modify various lifestyle practices in patients with varying degrees of obesity (Table 4).

#### Hospital case-fatality rates

The in-hospital case-fatality rate was significantly higher in patients with a normal BMI (BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) compared with obese patients (7.2 vs. 4.2%) (P < 0.05) (Table 5). After controlling for age only, obese patients remained at decreased risk of dying during the acute hospitalization compared with patients with a BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, although these differences were no longer significant and become attenuated after controlling for additional important prognostic factors. Similar trends were observed when we examined differences in 30-day death rates after hospital admission in patients of varying BMIs (Table 5).

In patients with a BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, their hospital case-fatality rates were more than one-third higher than in those with a BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (10.2 vs. 6.8%). The 30-day post-admission death rates were also considerably higher in patients with a BMI < 18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup> (19.9% dying) as compared with those with a BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (13.7%

dying). Among obese patients, patients who were the most obese were the least likely to die during the acute hospitalization (1.9%) as compared with patients with a BMI between 30 and  $34.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  (4.5%) and those with a BMI between 35 and 39.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (6.2%).

#### Discussion

Data from the Worcester Heart Failure study provide insights into the characteristics of a community-wide population of patients hospitalized with decompensated HF at all medical centers in central New England. Our results show that overweight and obese patients are younger than their leaner counterparts when hospitalized for acute HF. Increasing BMI was associated with relatively small differences in hospital treatment practices and trends toward a lower in-hospital mortality compared with normal weight patients. Patients with a particularly low BMI (< 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were at the greatest risk for not being treated with effective cardiac medications and for dying over the short-term. These results suggest that the assessment of BMI at the time of hospital admission can identify groups of patients that differ with respect to clinical characteristics, prognosis, and perhaps underlying pathophysiology requiring a more tailored therapeutic approach to their management.

#### Demographic and clinical characteristics according to body mass index

Our population-based study of patients hospitalized with acute HF showed slightly lower prevalence rates of overweight and obesity than have been observed in recent national surveys of both apparently healthy adults and those with decompensated HF Using data from the 1999–2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, an estimated two-thirds of adults from the United States were found to be either overweight or obese [13]. In the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE), approximately 30% of patients with HF were considered to be of normal body weight, 29% as overweight, and the remainder as obese [14].

Several notable differences were observed in patient characteristics across BMI categories in this study. These included a larger proportion of women, a greater prevalence of various comorbidities and adverse physiologic factors, and symptom patterns in patients who were obese. Perhaps most striking, however, was the younger age of patients with decompensated HF who were obese, which was also a major finding in the ADHERE study [14]. Several earlier studies have confirmed that obesity is a risk factor for incident episodes of acute HF, independent of associated comorbidities [3,15,16]. The markedly earlier age of onset of acute HF in obese patients may suggest a more accelerated course of underlying disease in these patients.

In contrast, more recent data suggest that the measurement of BMI alone is not a specific enough measure of adiposity in assessing the risk of cardiovascular disease. In particular, abdominal obesity, as measured by waist circumference, is a better determinant of the risk of coronary heart disease and hypertension [17,18]. A recent analysis from the Health ABC Study examined the role of abdominal obesity as an independent risk factor for HF in elderly patients [19]; waist circumference was shown in multivariate analyses to be a stronger predictor of HF than BMI. In the MESA study [20], a community-based multicenter cohort study, obesity was shown to be an independent risk factor for HF. However, this association was found to no longer be significant after controlling for level of baseline systolic function or markers of inflammation. These findings suggest that the association between obesity and the development of HF may be mediated by left ventricular systolic dysfunction and/or systemic inflammation. One might speculate that the preponderance of obesity in the youngest patients with acute HF in our study is mediated by these same mechanisms. Systemic inflammation originating in visceral fat depots may contribute to insulin

There have been divergent findings with regard to the risk of HF in patients with insulin resistance [15,20]. In addition to the important influences of diabetes mellitus and the metabolic syndrome, the increased sympathetic tone associated with visceral fat depots, increased oxidative stress, and derangements in the renin–angiotensin and natriuretic peptide systems are also possible further mechanistic explanations for the association between an elevated BMI and risk of acute HF [21,22].

The greater prevalence of atrial fibrillation in patients with a normal BMI was not surprising, as this group was significantly older than heavier patients. Atrial fibrillation has been thought to be predominantly a disease of the elderly; hypertension, underlying cardiovascular disease, and diabetes mellitus have also been consistently shown to be associated with the development of atrial fibrillation [23], However, obesity has also been associated with the occurrence of this atrial arrhythmia in a case–control study [24].

#### Treatment practices according to body mass index

Digoxin was significantly less often prescribed to obese patients than to those of lower body weights, whereas several lifestyle recommendations were more commonly prescribed to heavier patients. Moreover, patients with the lowest BMIs were least likely to be treated with effective cardiac therapies. The safety and efficacy of digoxin has been shown in the Digitalis Investigation Group trial [25]. Data from large national registries of patients with HF and recent trials involving patients with HF have shown a decline in the use of digoxin during recent years likely because of a variety of reasons [26].

#### Receipt of lifestyle practice recommendations according to body mass Index

Patients who were obese in this study were more likely to be prescribed and counseled on the benefits of a low-fat diet and restrict their intake of fluids and salt compared with leaner patients.

A low-fat diet (e.g. 25–30% of calories from fat) is considered the first-line therapy for treating obesity. Data obtained from dietary intervention trials designed to decrease the risk of cardiovascular disease indicate that decreasing dietary fat intake results in decreased total energy intake and weight loss [27]. Patients who were obese in this study also had a greater prevalence of hypertension at the time of hospital presentation than patients with a lower BMI. Restricting dietary sodium and fluid intake is of paramount importance in controlling blood pressure and preventing exacerbations of HF in these high-risk patients.

#### Hospital death rates according to body mass index

Patients who were obese were less likely to die during the acute hospitalization compared with normal weight and overweight patients. Results from the ADHERE study showed that in-hospital case-fatality rates decreased across successively higher BMI quartiles, even after adjustment for known prognostic factors [14]. Our findings provide further confirmation of the existence of an obesity paradox among patients with acute HF. In addition, patients with a BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were at particularly increased risk of dying over the next 30 days after being hospitalized for an episode of decompensated HF, emphasizing the adverse effects associated with being cachectic [28].

The mechanisms responsible for the counter-intuitive association of higher BMI and lower mortality among patients hospitalized with acute HF are not clear. The younger age of obese patients may partially contribute to the survival advantage of these patients and older

patients are likely to have many more comorbid conditions present. Patients with a higher BMI may also present with less severe HF and/or have diastolic HF and be at lower risk of dying during an acute episode of HF [4,5].

Obesity may exert a protective effect by providing sufficient metabolic reserve to overcome the increased catabolic stress resulting from an acute exacerbation of HF [29]. Interestingly, endocrine markers of catabolism have been associated with the development of incident HF and increased total mortality in elderly cohorts [30]. Investigators from the Framingham Heart Study first described an inverse relationship between serum insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and risk of incident HF in elderly individuals [31]. A recent report from the Cardiovascular Health Study showed that high baseline insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1), the endogenous inhibitor of IGF-1 activity, was a significant predictor of incident HF in elderly individuals [30]. IGF-1, apart from its anabolic actions, also has been shown to have beneficial cardiovascular effects, including vasodilation [32], inhibition of myocardial apoptosis [33], and promotion of myocyte hypertrophy [34]. Thus, one might speculate that pathological senescence, characterized by systemic inflammation and protein catabolism, is a poor substrate for the additional neuroendocrine stress of acute decompensated HF.

Several other physiologic parameters that are unique to obese individuals may contribute to the 'obesity paradox'. Elevations in plasma levels of adiponectin have been shown to be an independent predictor of mortality in patients with HF [35]; increases in circulating adiponectin levels may be a marker of the wasting process in patients with HF. The neurohormonal response to stress seems to be attenuated in obese hypertensives as compared with lean hypertensives, and this heightened catecholamine response may increase the risk of dying in lean individuals with HF [36].

#### Study strengths and limitations

The strengths of our study include the multihospital population-based sample of patients hospitalized with acute HF in a large Central New England metropolitan area whose sociodemographic characteristics reflect those of the nation as a whole. However, the present results should be evaluated in the context of several limitations. Information about a number of important clinical and risk factor data, such as smoking status and alcohol use, was not collected. These and additional prognostic factors may have adversely affected the hospital outcomes under study and/or receipt of selected therapies and may have differed according to patients' weight. Patients' weights were obtained during hospitalization for acute decompensated HF and may not represent the patients' true dry weight. We also did not collect information on recent changes in patients' weight before their hospitalization for AMI, which may have affected the classification of several of our weight groups and some of the associations observed. The measurement of BMI has inherent limitations because it is not a direct measure of body composition and may not accurately reflect the degree of body fat content, especially in patients who have lost muscle mass. We did not have data available on body fat composition or waist circumference to further refine our classification of obesity. The lack of information about inpatient ejection fraction findings and assessment of left ventricular function in a large proportion of our study sample was an additional important study limitation, as we were unable to systematically classify patients into those with either systolic or diastolic HF, which may have mediated a number of the associations observed with regard to BMI.

#### Conclusion

In this community-based study of patients hospitalized for decompensated HF, there was an inverse relationship between BMI and patient's age. Despite their higher risk of mortality,

patients with normal or low body weight are less likely to receive important cardiac medications indicated for the secondary prevention of HF. Refinement of current methods to measure body fat content should provide further insights into the pathophysiology behind the apparent 'obesity paradox' in patients with acute HF and other cardiovascular diseases. Particular attention also needs to be paid to patients who present with a low BMI at the time of hospitalization for acute HF so that these high-risk patients might be more optimally treated and their prognosis enhanced.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was made possible through the cooperation of the administration, medical records, and cardiology departments of participating Worcester metropolitan area hospitals. Grant support for this project was provided by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R37 HL69874).

#### References

- 1. National Center for Health Statistics. Chartbook on trends in the health of Americans. Health, United States, 2006. Hyattaville, Maryland: Public Health Service; 2006.
- Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. Bethesda, Maryland: National Institutes of Health; 1998. Publication NIH 98-4083
- 3. Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D, Wilson PW, Benjamin EJ, Larson MQ, et al. Obesity and the risk of heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2002; 347:305–313. [PubMed: 12151467]
- Horwich TB, Fonarow GC, Hamilton MA, MacLelian WR, Woo MA, Tillisch JH. The relationship between obesity and mortality in patients with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001; 38:789–795. [PubMed: 11527635]
- Curtis JP, Selter JG, Wang Y, Rathore SS, Jovin IS, Jadbabaie F, et al. The obesity paradox: body mass index and outcomes in patients with heart failure. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165:55–61. [PubMed: 15642875]
- Kenchaiah S, Pocock SJ, Wang D, Finn PV, Zomoff LA, Skali H, et al. CHARM Investigators. Body mass index and prognosis in patients with chronic heart failure: insights from the candesartan in heart failure: assessment of reduction in mortality and morbidity program. Circulation. 2007; 116:627–636. [PubMed: 17638930]
- Gustafsson F, Kragelund CB, Torp-Pedersen C, Seibaek M, Burchardt H, Akkan D, et al. DIAMOND Study Group. Effect of obesity and being overweight on long-term mortality in congestive heart failure: influence of left ventricular systolic function. Eur Heart J. 2005; 26:68–64.
- Goldberg RJ, Cui J, Olendzki B, Spencer F, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, et al. Excess body weight, clinical profile, management practices, and hospital prognosis in men and women after acute myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 2006; 151:1297–1304. [PubMed: 16781241]
- Goldberg RJ, Spencer FA, Farmer C, Meyer TE, Pezzella S. Incidence and hospital death rates associated with heart failure: a community-wide perspective. Am J Med. 2005; 118:728–734. [PubMed: 15989906]
- Goldberg RJ, Spencer FA, Farmer C, Lessard D, Pezzella SM, Meyer T. Use of disease-modifying therapies in patients hospitalized with heart failure: a population-based perspective. Am J Med. 2007; 120:98.e1–98.e8. [PubMed: 17208085]
- 11. McKee PA, Castelli WP, McNamara PM, Kannel WB. The natural history of congestive heart failure: the Framingham study. N Engl J Med. 1971; 285:1441–1446. [PubMed: 5122894]
- Goldberg RJ, Farmer C, Spencer FA, Pezzella S, Meyer TE. Use of nonpharmacologic treatment approaches in patients with heart failure. Int J Cardiol. 2006; 110:348–353. [PubMed: 16503057]
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2000. JAMA. 2002; 288:1723–1727. [PubMed: 12365955]
- Fonarow GC, Srikanthan P, Costanzo MR, Cintron GB, Lopatin M. An obesity paradox in acute heart failure: analysis of body mass index and in hospital mortality for 108927 patients in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry. Am Heart J. 2007; 153:74–81. [PubMed: 17174642]

- Ingelsson E, Sundstrom J, Amlov J, Zethelius B, Lind L. Insulin resistance and risk of congestive heart failure. JAMA. 2005; 294:334–341. [PubMed: 16030278]
- Chen YT, Vaccarino V, Williams CS, Butler J, Berkman LF, Krumholz HM. Risk factors for heart failure in the elderly: a prospective community-based study. Am J Med. 1999; 106:605–612. [PubMed: 10378616]
- Nicklas BJ, Penninx BW, Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Kanaya AM, et al. Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. Association of visceral adipose tissue with incident myocardial infarction in older men and women. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 160:741–749. [PubMed: 15466496]
- Ding J, Visser M, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt M, Newman A, Sutton-Tyrrell K, et al. The association of regional fat depots with hypertension in older persons of white and African American ethnicity. Am J Hypertens. 2004; 17:971–976. [PubMed: 15485762]
- Nicklas BJ, Cesari M, Penninx BW, Kritchevsky SB, Ding J, Newman A, et al. Abdominal obesity is an independent risk factor for chronic heart failure in older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2006; 54:413–420. [PubMed: 16551307]
- Bahrami H, Bluemke DA, Kronmai R, Bertoni AG, Lloyd-Jones DM, Shahar E, et al. Novel metabolic risk factors for incident heart failure and their relationship with obesity. The MESA Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51:1775–1783. [PubMed: 18452784]
- 21. Alvarez GE, Beske SD, Ballard TP, Davy KP. Sympathetic neural activation in visceral obesity. Circulation. 2002; 106:2533–2536. [PubMed: 12427647]
- 22. Higdon JV, Frei B. Obesity and oxidative stress: a direct link to CVD. Arterioscler Thromb Vase Biol. 2003; 23:365–367.
- 23. Fuster V, Rydén LE, Cannom DS, Crijns HJ, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2001 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 48:854–906. [PubMed: 16904574]
- Dublin S, French B, Glazer NL, Wiggins KL, Lumley T, Psaty BM, et al. Risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation in relation to body mass index. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166:2322–2328. [PubMed: 17130384]
- The Digitalis Investigation Group. The effect of digoxin on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. N Engl J Med. 1997; 336:525–533. [PubMed: 9036306]
- 26. Gheorghiade M, Zannad F, Sopko G, Klein L, Pina IL, Konstam MA, et al. International Working Group on Acute Heart Failure Syndromes. Acute heart failure syndromes: current state and framework for future research. Circulation. 2005; 112:3958–3968. [PubMed: 16365214]
- 27. Yu-Poth S, Zhao G, Etherton T, Naglak M, Jonnalagadda S, Kris-Etherton PM. Effects of the National Cholesterol Education Program's Step I and Step II dietary intervention programs on cardiovascular disease risk factors: a meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999; 69:632–646. [PubMed: 10197564]
- Von Haehling S, Doehner W, Anker SD. Nutrition, metabolism, and the complex pathophysiology of cachexia in chronic heart failure. Cardiovasc Res. 2007; 73:298–309. [PubMed: 17034772]
- 29. Davos CH, Doehner W, Rauchhaus M, Cicoira M, Francis DP, Coats AJ, et al. Body mass and survival in patients with chronic heart failure without cachexia: the importance of obesity. J Card Fail. 2003; 9:29–35. [PubMed: 12612870]
- Kaplan RC, McGinn AP, Pollak MN, Kuller L, Stickler HD, Rohan TE, et al. High insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 level predicts incident congestive heart failure in the elderly. Am Heart J. 2008; 155:1006–1012. [PubMed: 18513511]
- 31. Vasan RS, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB, Roubenoff R, Harris T, Sawyer DB, et al. Serum insulinlike growth factor 1 and risk for heart failure in elderly individuals without a previous myocardial infarction: the Framingham Heart Study. Ann Intern Med. 2003; 139:642–648. [PubMed: 14568852]

- Vecchione C, Colella S, Fratta L, Gentile MT, Selvetella G, Frati G, et al. Impaired insulin-like growth factor 1 vasorelaxant effects in hypertension. Hypertension. 2001; 37:1480–1485. [PubMed: 11408398]
- Wang L, Ma W, Markovich R, Chen JW, Wang PH. Regulation of cardiomyocyte apoptotic signaling by insulin-like growth factor 1. Circ Res. 1998; 83:516–522. [PubMed: 9734474]
- Anversa P, Kajstura J, Cheng W, Reiss K, Cigola E, Olivetti G. Insulin-like growth factor-1 and myocyte growth: the danger of a dogma part II. Induced myocardial growth: pathologic hypertrophy. Cardiovasc Res. 1996; 32:484–495. [PubMed: 8881509]
- Kistorp C, Faber J, Galatius S, Gustafsson F, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, et al. Plasma adiponectin, body mass index, and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation. 2005; 112:1756– 1762. [PubMed: 16157772]
- 36. Weber MA, Neutel JM, Smith DH. Contrasting clinical properties and exercise responses in obese and lean hypertensive patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001; 37:169–174. [PubMed: 11153733]

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Fitzgibbons et al.

## Table 1

Differences in selected characteristics according to body mass index at the time of hospitalization for acute heart failure

		Body	Body mass index (kg/m²)	g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
Characteristic	<18.5 ( <i>n</i> = 216)	18.5-24.9 ( $n = 1465$ )	25-29.9 (n = 1007)	30-34.9 (n = 538)	≥ 35 ( <i>n</i> = 496)	P value
Age (years) (%)						
<65	8.8	8.1	13.6	17.7	39.1	<0.001
65–74	17.7	17.7	27.1	29.6	26.2	
75–84	32.6	44.4	38.3	37.5	27.4	
≥ 85	40.9	29.8	21.0	15.2	7.3	
Age (mean, years)	79.6	78.9	75.7	73.7	67.3	<0.001
White race (%)	95.4	92.6	95.2	93.9	88.5	<0.001
Male sex (%)	25.0	42.9	50.7	43.7	32.9	0.58
Do not resuscitate order (%)	45.4	34.1	22.2	19.9	18.4	<0.001
Current smoker (%)	9.7	9.0	9.6	10.6	11.5	0.11
Medical history (%)						
Atrial fibrillation	41.7	39.6	33.9	33.1	24.6	<0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	43.5	32.4	33.1	39.4	42.7	<0.01
Coronary artery bypass grafting	8.8	20.6	22.7	21.8	12.5	0.34
Diabetes	16.7	32.2	43.6	53.4	56.5	<0.001
Heart failure	74.5	76.2	70.6	74.9	76.8	0.99
Hypertension	50.5	63.8	67.9	70.1	67.5	<0.01
Liver disease	1.9	2.7	4.2	2.4	2.4	1.00
Percutaneous coronary intervention	3.2	5.9	9.0	12.1	8.3	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disease	12.5	18.1	21.0	18.0	15.5	0.96
Renal disease	15.7	24.3	25.9	22.3	19.8	0.45
Presenting symptoms (%)						
Chest pain	23.6	31.2	33.0	35.7	32.3	<0.05
Dyspnea	95.4	93.9	95.1	96.5	95.8	0.05
Edema	44.0	59.3	69.4	79.0	89.9	<0.001
Nausea	20.4	15.0	14.3	14.3	15.5	0.39

		Body	Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
Characteristic	<18.5 ( <i>n</i> = 216)	18.5-24.9 ( $n = 1465$ )	25-29.9 (n = 1007)	30-34.9 ( <i>n</i> = 538)	≥ 35 ( <i>n</i> = 496)	P value
Orthopnea	29.6	30.4	36.0	41.5	40.9	<0.001
Weakness	30.6	30.4	26.0	22.5	26.0	<0.01
Weight gain	3.7	5.6	9.7	10.8	15.5	< 0.001
Physiologic factors (mean)						
Serum glucose (mg/dl)	144.3	160.6	171.2	171.3	175.8	<0.001
Heart rate (bpm)	95.8	90.3	90.4	89.6	90.4	<0.05
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	142.3	143.1	146.4	149.4	146.9	<0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75.6	75.7	77.0	78.1	78.6	<0.05
Ejection fraction (%)	43.4	45.1	44.1	50.0	44.6	0.32
Estimated glomerular filtration rate (mg/dl)	75.0	61.6	61.1	62.3	67.8	< 0.001
Serum sodium (mg/dl)	137.1	137.0	137.1	137.5	137.6	0.13
Length of hospital stay (days)	6.9	6.2	6.4	5.8	6.7	0.16

Coron Artery Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 July 25.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

## Table 2

Receipt of selected cardiac medications and nonpharmacologic treatment regimens according to body mass index

		Body	Body mass index (kg/m²)	g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
	<18.5 ( <i>n</i> = 216)	18.5-24.9 ( $n = 1465$ )	25-29.9 (n = 1007)	30-34.9 (n = 538)	≥ 35 ( <i>n</i> = 496)	P value
Medication (%)						
ACE inhibitors/ARBs	44.9	54.0	55.6	61.5	54.2	<0.05
Beta-blockers	32.9	40.7	45.1	42.2	39.1	0.43
Calcium channel blockers	26.4	35.4	36.4	41.3	41.1	< 0.001
Digoxin	58.3	57.3	51.5	46.1	36.7	<0.001
Diuretics	97.7	98.0	97.9	98.5	0.66	0.10
Lipid-lowering medications	3.2	12.9	18.2	19.3	18.4	<0.001
Nitrates	60.7	66.7	68.2	70.1	59.9	0.45
Lifestyle recommendations (%)						
Fluid restriction	18.5	22.1	20.8	23.8	28.8	<0.01
Increase physical activity	36.6	42.6	43.4	42.1	40.1	0.94
Low-fat diet	41.7	57.6	61.5	67.1	63.3	< 0.001
Reduce alcohol consumption	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.6	1.4	<0.05
Reduce salt intake	71.8	81.5	81.6	86.1	85.5	< 0.001
Rehabilitation	3.7	7.5	9.4	9.7	8.5	<0.05

# Table 3

ing to body mass index status at the time of hospitalization for acute heart failure

							Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	ex (kg/m²)						
	< 18.5 ( <i>n</i> = 1681)	81)		$18.5-24.9 \ (n = 1007)$	1007)		$25-29.9 \ (n=1034)$	(034)		30-34.9			≥ 35	
ude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
44.9	0.69 (0.52-0.93)	0.78 (0.58–1.06)	54.0	1.0	1.0	55.6	1.02 (0.87–1.20)	0.98 (0.82–1.16)	61.5	1.27 (1.04–1.56)°	1.24 (1.00–1.55)°	54.2	0.86 (0.70–1.07)	0.84 (0.67–1.05)
32.9	0.72 (0.53-0.98) 0.86 (0.62-1.20)	0.86 (0.62–1.20)	40.7	1.0	1.0	45.1	1.20 (1.02–1.41)	1.11 (0.93–1.33)	42.2	1.06(0.87 - 1.30)	0.93 (0.74–1.16)	38.9	0.92 (0.74–1.15)	0.93 (0.74–1.18)
58.3	1.04 (0.76–1.39) 1.06 (0.77–1.47)	1.06 (0.77–1.47)	57.3	1.0	1.0	51.5	0.77 (0.66–0.91)	0.75 (0.63–0.90)	46.1	0.62 (0.50–0.75)	0.57 (0.46–0.72)	36.7	0.40 (0.32-0.50)	0.38 (0.30–0.49)
<i>T.T</i> €	0.88 (0.34–2.29	0.56 (0.21–1.51)	98.0	1.0	1.0	97.9	1.08 (0.61–1.90)	1.05 (0.55–2.00)	98.5	1.62 (0.73–3.59)	1.19 (0.52–2.74)	0.66	2.92 (1.09–7.80)	2.54(0.84–7.70)
26.4	0.66 (0.48–0.91 H	0.72 (0.51–1.01)	35.4	1.0	1.0	36.4	1.03 (0.87–1.22)	0.90 (0.75–1.07)	41.3	1.24 (1.01–1.52)	1.08 (0.87–1.34)	41.1	1.19 (0.95–1.48)	1.05 (0.83–1.33)
3.2	$0.23(0.10-0.49\overline{5})$	0.30 (0.14–0.67)	12.9	1.0	1.0	18.2	1.39 (1.11–1.73)	1.17 (0.92–1.48)	19.3	1.41 (1.08–1.85)	1.08 (0.81–1.43)	18.4	1.12(0.84 - 1.50)	1.01 (0.74–1.37)
50.7	0.76 (0.57–1.02)	0.90 (0.65–1.27)	66.7	1.0	1.0	68.2	1.11 (0.93–1.31)	0.99 (0.81–1.20)	70.1	1.22 (0.98–1.52)	0.99 (0.77–1.26)	59.9	0.82 (0.66–1.02)	0.72 (0.56–0.93)
e; AR suscit ntion,	scriage; availabele in PMC 2011 July 25. tate status: usual control of the status serum of	e; ARB, angiotensin reptor blocker; CI confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. suscitate status, history af prior chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, angin ntion, serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor of flnf TIOR DAG Solium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor of serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor setum serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor setum serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor setum serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glor setum setum serum serum serum serum serum setum setum setum serum ser	fidence in active pul ood pressu	iterval; OR, odds r monary disease, an ure, and estimated ξ	atio. ışına, atrial fibrillat glomerular filtratior	ion, hyper 1 rate.	tension, coronary h	e; ARB, angiotensin recipitor blocker; CI confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. suscitate status, history of prior chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, angina, atrial fibrillation, hypertension, coronary heart disease, diabetes, cardiac catheterization, ntion, serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glomerular filtration rate. To a solution findings, blood pressure, and estimated glomerular filtration rate. To a solution findings, blood pressure, and estimated glomerular filtration rate.	s, cardiac	catheterization,				

_
_
~
≡
_
_
- U
~
-
-
_
<u> </u>
-
Ithou
$\mathbf{O}$
-
_
-
<
Man
01
LU L
-
1
7
Ĕ
SD
SD
JUSC
usc
usc
SD
usc
usc
usc
usc

## Table 4

endations according to body mass index status at the time of hospitalization for acute heart failure

							Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	x (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						
	< 18.5 ( <i>n</i> = 1	681)		18.5-24.9 (n =	1007)		25-29.9 (n = 1)	034)		30-34.9			≥ 35	
rude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95% CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Crude (%)	Age- adjusted OR (95%CI)	Multivariable- adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
41.7	0.53 (0.40–0.7	0.58 (0.43-0.80)	57.6	1.0	1.0	61.5	1.16 (0.98–1.37)	1.07 (0.90–1.28)	67.1	67.1 1.47 (1.19–1.81) 1.39 (1.07–1.67)	1.39 (1.07–1.67)	63.3	1.20 (0.97–1.50) 1.23 (0.97–1.55)	1.23 (0.97–1.55)
18.5	0.81 (0.56–1.12	0.85 (0.58–1.24)	22.1	1.0	1.0	20.8	0.89 (0.73–1.08)	0.92 (0.75–1.13)	23.8	23.8 1.04 (0.82–1.31) 1.10 (0.86–1.41)	1.10 (0.86–1.41)	28.8	1.25 (0.98–1.60)	1.35 (1.05–1.75)
36.6	0.79 (0.59–1.0	0.79 (0.58–1.07)	42.6	1.0	1.0	43.4	$1.00\ (0.85{-}1.18)$	$0.96\ (0.81{-}1.14)$	42.2	0.94 (0.77–1.15)	0.94 (0.77–1.15) 0.92 (0.74–1.14)	40.1	0.82 (0.66–1.02)	0.82 (0.65–1.03)
0.5	0.86 (0.10–7.55)	1.39 (0.14–14.1)	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.01 (0.71–5.65)	2.04 (0.64–6.55)	0.6	0.84 (0.20–3.45) 1.02 (0.22–4.78)	1.02 (0.22-4.78)	1.4	1.07 (0.34–3.37)	0.90 (0.24–3.44)
io. uscitati tion, se	Author manugecript; available in PMC 2011 July 2 Author manufecture Author second seco	io. uscitate status, history deprior chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, angina, atrial fibrillation, hypertension, coronary heart disease, diabetes, cardiac catheterization, tion, serum glucose and obstructive pulmonary disease, angina, atrial fibrillation rate. 5 Anno 100 Securities and estimated glomerular filtration rate.	l pressure,	nary disease, angi , and estimated glc	ina, atrial fibrillatior merular filtration r	, hyperter ate.	nsion, coronary hear	rt disease, diabetes, c	ardiac cat	heterization,				
	5.													

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

## Table 5

Short-term CFR according to BMI status at the time of hospitalization for acute heart failure

			Hospital	al		30-day CFRs	FRs
BMI	u	CFR (%)	_	Age-adjusted Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI) OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)	CFR (%)	Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)	Age-adjusted Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI) OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)
< 18.5	216	10.2	10.2 1.54 (0.95–2.51)	1.44 (0.84–2.48)	19.9	19.9 1.54 (1.07–2.23)	1.33 (0.87–2.03)
18.5-24.9	1465	6.8	1.0	1.0	13.7	1.0	1.0
25-29.9	4,007	6.0	0.92 (0.66–1.28)	1.37 (0.94–2.00)	10.7	0.75 (0.63–1.04)	1.06(0.80 - 1.42)
30-34.9	538	4.5	0.72 (0.45–1.14)	1.24 (0.75–2.07)	7.1	7.1 0.54 (0.38–0.78)	0.78 (0.53–1.17)
35	496	3.8	3.8 0.69 (0.41–1.16)	0.78 (0.42–1.40)	7.5	7.5 0.66 (0.45–0.97)	0.71 (0.46–1.10)

. 5 ; . <sup>a</sup>Controlling for age, race, do not resuscitate status, history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, angina atrial fibrillation, hypertension, coronary heart disease, diabetes, cardiac catheterization, percutaneous coronary intervention, serum glucose and sodium findings, blood pressure, and estimated glomerular filtration rate.