

Prayer and Self-Reported Health Among Cancer Survivors in the United States, National Health Interview Survey, 2002

Louie E. Ross, Ph.D.¹ Ingrid J. Hall, Ph.D., M.P.H.,² Temeika L. Fairley, Ph.D.,³
Yhenneko J. Taylor, M.S.,¹ and Daniel L. Howard, Ph.D.¹

Abstract

Objectives: At least 10.8 million living Americans have been diagnosed with cancer, and about 1.5 million new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed in 2008. The purpose of this study was to examine prayer for health and self-reported health among a sample of men and women with a personal history of cancer.

Methods: We used data from the 2002 National Health Interview Survey, which collected information on complementary and alternative medicine practices.

Results: Among 2262 men and women with a history of cancer, 68.5% reported having prayed for their own health and 72% reported good or better health status. Among cancer survivors, praying for one's own health was associated with several sociodemographic variables including being female, non-Hispanic black, and married. Compared to persons with a history of skin cancer, persons with a history of breast cancer, colorectal cancer, a cancer with a short survival period (e.g., pancreatic cancer), or other cancers were more likely to pray for their health. Persons who reported good or better health were more likely to be female, younger, have higher levels of education and income, and have no history of additional chronic disease. Overall, praying for one's own health was inversely associated with good or better health status.

Conclusions: Data from this nationally representative sample indicate that prayer for health is commonly used among people with a history of cancer and that use of prayer varies by cancer site. The findings should add to the current body of literature that debates issues around spirituality, decision-making about treatment, and physician care.

Introduction

The American Cancer Society estimated in 2004 that about 10.8 million Americans were alive who had been diagnosed with cancer. About 1.5 million new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed in 2008.¹ With the number of Americans living with cancer increasing, survivorship (life after cancer) is a major issue in the spectrum of cancer care from initial screening and diagnosis through post-treatment. Patient survivorship may involve additional treatments, supportive and/or palliative care, as well as a number of other psychologic and physical coping adjustments.²

Many patients with cancer may resort to complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) to aid them in the survivorship period, often for specific health problems and at other times as preventive medicine for their overall health.³ There are several forms of CAM including alternative medicines, mind-body techniques, energy- and biologic-based therapies, and manipulative and body treatments. Prayer is a commonly practiced form of CAM.³ These complementary or integrative approaches are typically used with or as an adjunct to, rather than as a replacement for, conventional medicine.⁴

Religion and spirituality have been associated with health-seeking behaviors.^{5–8} The use of prayer has been described

¹Institute for Health, Social and Community Research, Shaw University, Raleigh, NC.

²Epidemiology and Applied Research Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA.

³Comprehensive Cancer Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA.

for numerous chronic diseases such as diabetes,^{6,9,10} various cancer sites,¹¹⁻¹³ and a variety of cancer treatments.^{14,15} The use of prayer in persons with chronic diseases^{16,17} has been associated with both more favorable¹⁸ and poorer¹⁹ health outcomes. In one national study, adults with diagnosed chronic diseases, especially cancers, were more likely to use CAM (including prayer) than were adults in the general population.²⁰ In two studies, cancer survivors were more likely to use prayer for health than all other CAM modalities,^{17,21} and in two other studies they also used prayer more often than those in the general population.^{18,22}

Studies show that use of CAM—the use of prayer in particular—may vary by sociodemographic variables such as age, gender, and socioeconomic and health status.^{18,21} A national study found that Asians were more likely than whites to use CAM (other than prayer),²³ while other studies found that blacks and older people were more likely than other groups to use prayer.^{21,22} For persons who have been diagnosed with cancer, the roles of race/ethnicity, sociodemographic, and health-related variables as determinants of the use of prayer for health are less clear. Since cancer survivors in the United States have increased disability and lower reports of good or better health compared with those with no cancer,²⁴ we hypothesized that use of prayer would be associated with cancer site, cancer survival probability, and general health status.

Available research has not supported claims that spiritual beliefs can cure cancer or any other disease, yet religiosity can play an important role in the lives of people. Noted psychologic benefits of religious activities such as praying include positive mental states, a sense of meaning, and coping with stress, all of which have been associated with positive health outcomes.²⁵

For the present study we sought to (1) explore the correlates of both using prayer for health and self-reported or perceived health status; (2) assess the association between demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related variables, and (3) examine the association between prayer for health and self-reported health status among persons previously diagnosed with cancer. Also, we wanted to specifically explore whether there was an association between cancer site and prayer for health, that is, whether the more deadly cancers (those with short survival) are associated with greater use of prayer for health. To our knowledge, this relationship has not been previously examined using a nationally representative sample.

Methods

The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is a population-based multipurpose health survey conducted annually by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; this face-to-face interview is conducted by trained U.S. Census Bureau interviewers.²⁶ Information is obtained about health, sociodemographic variables, access to care, and other characteristics of each member of the surveyed household.

Study population

A total of 93,386 adults in 36,831 families were interviewed in the 2002 NHIS. The current analysis focused on 2262 respondents aged 18 years and older who had been diagnosed

with one or more types of cancer. The overall final sample adult response rate was 74.3%.²⁶

Data collection

The 2002 NHIS Alternate Health/Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) Supplement included questions pertaining to 17 nonconventional health care practices including acupuncture, relaxation techniques, and prayer, among others. Questions on prayer included ever prayed for one's own health, having had others pray for one's health, the importance of prayer, and having prayed for one's own health during the past year. The current study was limited to questions on prayer and self-reported health status. Our variables of interest were "Have you ever prayed specifically for the purpose of your own health," and self-reported health status was measured by the question "Would you say that your health in general is excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?"

The supplement collected information on several demographic and health-related attitudes and behaviors, including race/ethnicity, age, gender, level of education, marital status, family type, family income (as a percentage above the federally established poverty threshold), and region of the country. Data were also collected on health insurance coverage, number of chronic diseases, and veteran status. Respondents were asked whether they had ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had cancer or a malignancy of any kind. If respondents answered "yes," they were asked the type or kind of cancer.

Analysis

Because there were approximately 30 kinds of cancer (including "other") with which respondents could have responded, we collapsed the major types into 6 categories that were associated with the categorizations used by Hewitt et al. and Yabroff et al.^{24,27} Yabroff et al. organized these cancers by using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, which identified specific cancers with a 5-year survival of less than 25%. We used their categorization as a guide to categorize short-survival cancers in our study.

Our categorizations were as follows: breast, colorectal, prostate, short-survival (lung, esophagus, liver, pancreas, and stomach), other cancer sites (bladder, gallbladder, kidney, throat, soft tissue, and multiple cancer), and skin cancers.²⁷ Although skin cancers are typically considered less chronic than other forms of cancer, we retained them because these comprised more than 25% of the responses in the sample and to ascertain possible variation in the sample by type of cancer.

In our analysis, ever prayed for one's own health had two possible responses (yes or no), and self-reported health status was recoded into two categories (good or better and fair or poor). We chose "ever prayed" instead of having prayed in the past year in order to retain a larger number of cases and because 88% of all persons with cancer who ever prayed for their health had prayed within the past year (Table 1).

The NHIS used a stratified, multistage cluster sample weighted to the 2000 U.S. population.²⁶ The statistical program SUDAAN[®] (version 9.1; Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle park, NC) was used in the analysis to take into account the complex sampling survey design and

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF MEN AND WOMEN AGES 18 OR OLDER WITH ANY TYPE OF CANCER, NATIONAL HEALTH INTERVIEW SURVEY (NHIS) 2002 SURVEY

Characteristic	N	% ^a	Characteristic	N	% ^a
Sociodemographics					
Race/ethnicity	2262		Region	2262	
Non-Hispanic white		90.6	Northeast		18.5
Non-Hispanic black		4.5	Midwest		25.7
Hispanic		3.3	South		37.5
Non-Hispanic other		1.6	West		18.3
Age, years	2262		Health		
18–34		6.3	Health insurance coverage	2255	
35–49		15.6	Not covered		5.6
50–64		28.4	Covered		94.4
65 and older		49.7	Health status	2257	
Gender	2262		Fair or poor		28.3
Male		43.1	Good or better		71.7
Female		56.9	Number of chronic diseases	2262	
Education	2239		None		37.1
<High school graduate		18.1	1 disease		31.1
High school graduate		31.7	2 diseases		20.2
Some college/tech school		27.3	3 or more diseases		11.6
College grad		22.9	Ever prayed for your own health	2189	
Marital status	2259		No		31.5
Married/living with partner		66.2	Yes		68.5
Widowed		15.8	Prayed in the past 12 months	1511	
Divorced or separated		12.1	No		12.0
Never married		5.9	Yes		88.0
Family type ^b	2262		Cancer site	2247	
One adult no children		23.7	Breast		15.3
Multiple adults no children		58.6	Colorectal		7.3
One adult with children		2.6	Prostate		10.1
Multiple adults with children		15.1	Short survival		5.9
Family income as % of poverty threshold	2262		Other		35.5
<200%		19.8	Skin		25.9
200–399%		25.2	Veteran status		
400%+		27.6	Honorably discharged veteran	2256	
Unknown		27.4	No		74.8
			Yes		25.2

^aAdjusted for the NHIS sampling methods and weighted to the U.S. Census 2000.

^bChildren refers to one or more children <18 years old.

nonresponse.²⁸ Sample weights were obtained from the NHIS 2002 public use data file to calculate weighted frequencies and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). General linear contrasts were used to assess the statistical differences of estimates when compared to a reference level within the variable of interest. Finally, we used SUDAAN for the logistic regression. An initial model included all patient characteristics. Through backward exclusion, the variable with the highest probability (*p*) value was dropped and the model was then rerun. The final model included only those significant variables that would yield the most parsimonious model. Within the logistic regression procedure, general linear contrasts were used to make comparisons between the attributes of the variables of interest and reference levels. The Wald *F* test was used to assess overall statistical significance of each covariate in the model. Relationships between outcome variables and covariates were determined by examination of overall statistical significance of adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% CIs.

Results

The estimates for the various sociodemographic and health-related variables are presented in Table 1. The sample was over 90% white, and majorities were female (56.9%), married or living with a partner (66.2%), with some type of health insurance (94.4%), and reported good or better health (71.7%). About half were older (>65 years) and 37% lived in the South. Over two-thirds had prayed for their own health and 88% of those who had ever prayed for their own health had done so during the past year.

Bivariate analyses examining the relationships between having prayed for one’s health and sociodemographic and health-related covariates are presented in Table 2 (lefthand columns). While non-Hispanic blacks used prayer for health significantly more than non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic others (Asians, American Indians/Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders, other races, and multiple races) used prayer for health significantly less than non-Hispanic whites. As age increased, prayer for health also increased.

TABLE 2. PERCENTAGE OF MEN AND WOMEN WITH CANCER WHO EVER PRAYED AND WHO REPORTED GOOD PHYSICAL HEALTH BY POPULATION SUBGROUPS, NATIONAL HEALTH INTERVIEW SURVEY 2002 SURVEY

	<i>Ever prayed for your own health</i>				<i>Self-reported physical health (good or better)</i>			
	N	% ^a	95% CI	PV	N	% ^a	95% CI	PV
All	2189	68.5	66.2–70.8		2257	71.7	69.4–73.8	
Sociodemographics								
Race/ethnicity								
Non-Hispanic white	1912	68.2	65.7–70.6	ref	1973	72.9	47.7–69.9	ref
Non-Hispanic black	133	80.5	71.4–87.2	0.004	136	55.1	70.6–75.1	0.000
Hispanic	113	72.9	62.5–81.3	0.342	116	59.3	45.9–63.9	0.018
Non-Hispanic other	17	47.1	28.5–66.5	0.040	32	72.9	55.7–85.2	0.999
Age, years								
18–34	131	51.4	41.5–61.1	ref	136	86.2	78.6–91.4	ref
35–49	310	65.8	59.7–71.5	0.013	319	78.2	72.6–82.9	0.051
50–64	596	70.4	65.9–74.4	0.001	608	73.2	69.0–77.0	0.000
65 and older	1152	70.5	67.4–73.4	0.000	1194	66.9	63.6–70.1	0.000
Gender								
Male	857	62.1	58.4–65.7	ref	890	67.8	64.2–71.1	ref
Female	1332	73.3	70.4–76.1	0.000	1367	74.6	71.7–77.4	0.003
Education								
<High school graduate	442	71.4	66.2–76.0	ref	452	51.2	46.1–56.4	ref
High school graduate	673	66.8	62.8–70.7	0.155	687	69.9	65.8–73.8	0.000
Some college/tech school	588	70.4	65.9–74.6	0.765	611	75.4	71.2–79.1	0.000
College grad	468	66.2	61.0–71.0	0.150	485	85.8	81.8–89.0	0.000
Marital status								
Married/living with partner	1128	68.8	67.3–72.7	ref	1157	73.6	70.8–76.3	ref
Widowed	507	72.8	75.7–85.2	0.127	528	64.0	59.1–68.7	0.001
Divorced or separated	383	66.1	71.9–78.6	0.348	395	68.9	63.2–74.1	0.138
Never married	168	59.9	55.4–62.6	0.038	174	74.9	67.3–81.2	0.736
Family type ^b								
One adult no children	856	69.6	66.1–72.9	ref	881	70.3	67.1–73.3	ref
Multiple adults no children	991	68.6	65.4–71.6	0.627	1028	72.2	69.0–75.2	0.403
One adult with children	100	67.0	56.0–76.4	0.629	103	68.8	58.1–77.8	0.777
Multiple adults with children	242	67.0	60.6–72.9	0.456	245	72.2	65.7–78.0	0.569
Family income as % of poverty threshold								
<200%	551	70.4	65.9–74.6	ref	562	55.6	50.8–60.4	ref
200–399%	528	70.6	65.9–74.9	0.948	541	72.7	68.2–76.8	0.000
400%+	533	65.0	60.3–69.4	0.101	546	82.6	78.5–86.0	0.000
Unknown	577	68.9	64.6–72.9	0.631	608	71.3	66.9–75.3	0.000
Region								
Northeast	365	67.2	61.9–71.8	0.047	380	71.1	65.4–76.2	0.341
Midwest	569	70.5	66.0–74.6	0.002	577	73.5	69.2–77.4	0.731
South	810	72.2	67.9–76.1	0.000	838	69.3	65.6–72.7	0.082
West	445	59.7	54.3–64.9	ref	462	74.6	69.5–79.2	ref
Health								
Health insurance coverage								
Not covered	135	63.0	52.1–72.8	ref	139	68.3	60.3–75.3	ref
Covered	2047	68.8	66.3–71.2	0.303	2112	71.9	69.6–74.1	0.363
Number of chronic diseases								
None	779	66.3	62.3–70.0	ref	809	87.4	84.5–89.8	ref
1 disease	686	68.5	64.1–72.6	0.459	706	74.1	70.1–77.7	0.000
2 diseases	450	70.7	65.8–75.1	0.137	460	62.1	56.8–67.1	0.000
3 or more diseases	274	72.1	66.0–77.5	0.102	282	31.5	25.8–37.8	0.000
Ever prayed for your own health								
No					674	77.8	73.9–81.2	ref
Yes					1510	69.0	66.1–71.7	0.000
Cancer site								
Breast	364	78.8	73.8–83.0	0.000	372	71.8	66.3–76.6	0.050
Colorectal	167	71.9	63.8–78.7	0.012	175	62.0	52.3–70.1	0.001
Prostate	217	62.0	55.0–68.5	0.545	222	70.1	63.3–76.1	0.026
Short survival	138	75.1	66.5–82.0	0.002	142	47.8	38.9–56.8	0.000
Other	753	71.0	67.1–74.6	0.000	780	73.3	69.5–76.8	0.105
Skin	540	59.4	54.4–64.3	ref	551	78.3	73.9–82.2	ref
Veteran status								
Honorably discharged veteran								
No	1675	70.2	67.5–72.7	ref	1728	72.5	70.0–74.9	ref
Yes	508	63.5	58.8–67.9	0.010	523	69.2	64.4–73.5	0.205

CI, confidence interval; PV, *p*-value.

^aWeighted percentage.

^bChildren refers to one or more children <18 years old.

All age categories (35–49, 50–64, and 65+ years) all prayed for their health significantly more than the age 18–34 category. Women used prayer for health more than men, and married persons used prayer for health more than those who had never married. Residents of regions outside the West were more likely to use prayer for health than those residing in the West region. Also, veterans reported significantly less use of prayer for health than nonveterans. Finally, those who had been diagnosed with breast, colorectal, short-survival, and other cancers used prayer for health more than those with skin cancers.

Correlates of having good or better health (henceforth, “better health”) are also presented in Table 2 (righthand columns). Specifically, non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics were less likely than non-Hispanic whites to report better health, and those who were aged 50–64 or 65 and older were less likely to report better health than those persons aged 18–34. Women were more likely than men to be in better health, as were those with a high school education or more versus those with less than a high school education. Married persons were more likely to have better health than those who were widowed, and persons with incomes at least 200% above the poverty threshold reported better health than those with the lowest levels of income. Those with no additional chronic diseases (other than cancer) had better health than those with additional chronic diseases. Those persons who used prayer for health reported better health status less frequently than those who never prayed for their health. Finally, those persons with breast, colorectal, prostate, and short-survival cancers were less likely than those persons with skin cancers to have better health.

After adjustment for all factors in the multivariate logistic model (Table 3), several of the factors from the bivariate model remained significant. As age increased, prayer for health increased incrementally, and women were about two-thirds more likely to use prayer for health than men. Married persons used prayer for health more than those who were divorced or separated, and persons with breast, colorectal, short-survival, and “other” cancers had increased odds of using prayer for their own health than those persons with skin cancer.

Blacks had lower odds than whites of reporting better health, as did men versus women. As education increased, odds of having better health increased incrementally. Similarly, greater family income was associated with better health in comparisons with low income. As the number of additional chronic diseases increased, the odds of having better health decreased. Those with breast or a short-survival cancer had lower odds of having better health than those with skin cancers. Finally, those persons with better health states used prayer for health less often than those in fair or poor health.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to explore the correlates of both prayer for health and self-reported health status, and to assess the association between prayer for health and self-reported health among persons previously diagnosed with cancer. We were especially interested in the relationship between praying for health and the type of cancer with which persons had been diagnosed. We were not surprised to find that those with short-survival cancers and those who had

been diagnosed with breast or colorectal cancer were more likely to use prayer than the referent (those who had been diagnosed with skin cancer). It was also not surprising that having been diagnosed with breast cancer or a short-survival cancer was inversely associated with reporting good or better health.

Prayer is generally the most commonly used CAM,^{12,29} and we found that American adults who are cancer survivors have frequently made use of this form of CAM. Just over two-thirds (68.5%) of these cancer survivors had used prayer for health and 88% of those persons had prayed during the past year. The first proportion is considerably higher than an estimate of 45% for the general population for engaging in any form of prayer²¹ and the 35% who used prayer for health concerns in another study.³⁰ The present study found that being older, married, female, non-Hispanic black, and living in a region other than the West were all important indicators of praying for one’s own health among cancer survivors. These associations are somewhat consistent with findings in the general population,²¹ but our finding that among cancer survivors married persons were more likely to use prayer for health compared to those who were divorced or separated is a new finding to our knowledge.

Perhaps surprisingly, we found no associations between prostate cancer and our two outcomes of interest. Possibly in this study men with prostate cancer did not see praying for their own health as important, but this observation may be related to the fact that men pray less²¹ rather than to the site of the cancer. In contrast, other studies have observed a positive association between (a) spirituality and (b) either quality of life or satisfaction or both among men with prostate cancer.^{29,31,32}

An interesting relationship was found between veteran’s status and the use of prayer for health in the bivariate analysis, as those persons with military experience used prayer for health less than those who were nonveterans, but this finding is likely driven by the fact that men pray less than women and that increased levels of education and income have been associated with less prayer for health.²¹ Indeed, after adjustment for sex, income, and education, the relationship was no longer significant.

Our findings for cancer survivors who had been diagnosed with breast cancer or a short-survival cancer (and marginally for those with colorectal cancer, OR: 0.58, 95% CI, 0.33–1.01) were comparable to other studies,^{26,33} as these groups had lower perceived health than those with other cancers. These NHIS-based studies found that persons with a history of cancer also had a greater number of other chronic conditions, more psychologic problems, more functional limitations, more health-related work limitations, and greater overall burden than those without a history of cancer.^{26,33} Our study, however, did not make comparisons with those without a history of cancer. Our findings about sociodemographic factors being related to perceived health were consistent with the literature.^{34–36} Similar to findings by Franzini and colleagues,³⁵ health status varied by race/ethnicity and income with whites and those with greater resources having better health. Other predictors of health status included age, gender, marital status, other chronic medical conditions, and education as found in the current study.

Although the overall incidence of cancer has declined recently, it is known that cancer-related health disparities remain for certain population subgroups.² Non-Hispanic

TABLE 3. ADJUSTED ODDS RATIOS FOR PRAYER FOR HEALTH AND FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH STATUS AMONG MEN AND WOMEN WITH CANCER, NATIONAL HEALTH INTERVIEW SURVEY 2002 SURVEY

	<i>Ever prayed for your own health</i>			<i>Self reported physical health (Good or better)</i>		
	N	N = 2159 95% CI	PV	N	N = 2155 95% CI	PV
Race/ethnicity			0.377			0.031
Non-Hispanic white	ref			ref		
Non-Hispanic black	1.94	1.16–3.23		0.74	0.29–0.89	
Hispanic	1.15	0.69–1.93		0.51	0.41–1.34	
Non-Hispanic other	0.38	0.17–0.88		1.14	0.39–3.31	
Age, years			0.000			0.905
18–34	ref			ref		
35–49	2.04	1.26–3.30		0.66	0.34–1.27	
50–64	2.92	1.81–4.70		0.60	0.33–1.12	
65 and older	2.95	1.79–4.86		0.70	0.38–1.30	
Gender			0.000			0.001
Male	ref			ref		
Female	1.66	1.29–2.13		1.61	1.22–2.12	
Education			0.439			0.000
<High school graduate	ref			ref		
High school graduate	0.89	0.64–1.23		1.58	1.15–2.16	
Some college/tech school	1.12	0.79–1.59		1.86	1.33–2.61	
College grad	1.08	0.74–1.59		3.11	2.02–4.78	
Marital status			0.019			0.120
Married/living with partner	ref			ref		
Widowed	0.79	0.58–1.05		1.05	0.82–1.61	
Divorced or separated	0.71	0.54–0.95		0.77	0.74–1.51	
Never married	0.71	0.49–1.04		1.87	0.49–1.21	
Family income as % of poverty threshold			0.287			0.025
<200%	ref	ref		ref		
200–399%	0.99	0.71–1.40		1.87	1.30–2.67	
400%+	0.76	0.53–1.09		2.22	1.48–3.33	
Unknown	0.88	0.64–1.22		1.56	1.14–2.15	
Number of chronic diseases			0.278			0.000
None	ref			ref		
1 disease	0.99	0.76–1.32		0.43	0.31–0.57	
2 diseases	1.10	0.83–1.47		0.25	0.15–0.36	
3 or more diseases	1.14	0.79–1.66		0.08	0.05–0.12	
Cancer site			0.000			0.035
Breast	1.93	1.34–2.78		0.6	0.39–0.92	
Colorectal	1.60	1.05–2.55		0.58	0.33–1.01	
Prostate	1.20	0.82–1.74		0.93	0.58–1.52	
Short survival	2.14	1.30–3.52		0.28	0.17–0.46	
Other	1.79	1.33–2.39		0.75	0.52–1.08	
Skin	ref			ref		
Every prayed for your own health						0.001
No				ref		
Yes				0.65	0.49–0.86	

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PV, *p*-value.

blacks, especially males and people with low socioeconomic status, have the highest rates of both new cancers and cancer deaths.³⁷ In the general population, non-Hispanic blacks have been found to use prayer for health more than non-Hispanic whites,^{3,21} and this pattern was consistent with our study.

We did not find an association between socioeconomic status and praying for one's own health, but we found a linkage between socioeconomic status and perceived health status, as those with higher levels of education and income reported better health. In other NHIS-based studies, persons

with higher levels of education and income showed incremental increases in self-reported health status, while persons with chronic diseases showed incremental decreases in perceived health.^{25,33} Also, while age showed incremental increases in use of prayer, age also was tied to incremental decreases in health.

There were several strengths in the present study. This is a national sample conducted by trained Census Bureau workers, and the 2002 NHIS allowed for examination of CAM use and sociodemographic and health-related factors among cancer survivors, a group not studied in detail on a

national level. The NHIS also had a sample large enough to examine the characteristics of interest as well as make within- and across-group comparisons. It oversampled both non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics.

This study also had limitations. Since this was a cross-sectional study, we are only able to describe the use of prayer at a particular time period and were unable to examine past disease states that may have influenced prayer use. While we were able to measure the recency of prayer use (88% of those who had ever prayed had prayed within the past year), data on the frequency of prayer (how often), its ultimate purpose for health, or its specific health-related focus were unavailable. That is, we are not sure whether prayer for health by cancer survivors was used more for the medical condition of cancer itself, future prevention or healing, side-effects from treatment, or some combination of these factors. These additional topics were beyond the focus of this study. An additional limitation was that the 2002 NHIS included a limited number of clinical and psychosocial variables including age at diagnoses and affective distress-related questions ("During the past 30 days, how often did you feel...sad...nervous...hopeless, etc.). Variables such as religiosity, disease stage, treatment status, quality of life, and life satisfaction would have been quite helpful had they been included in the interview. We should also note that attempting to reduce 30 categories of cancer into 6 groups posed a challenge. Within many cancer sites there is high variability in both differentiation and prognosis, adding difficulty to this attempt. In addition, all of the "other" cancer types were placed in a single category, making it difficult to make inferences about this group.

Many patients believe that physicians should consider their patients' spiritual needs as part of their medical care.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Even so, the role that physicians are to play in acknowledging and supporting the spiritual beliefs of patients continues to be debated.^{41,42} Recognizing that spiritual beliefs can influence patient medical decisions, recently Koenig has proposed the taking of a spiritual history to aid physicians in assessing whether to broach spiritual topics with patients.⁴³ Spiritual histories should be administered to all patients to reduce possible group-level selection bias as physicians and other healthcare providers interact with their patients with cancer and other chronic diseases. Our data suggest that prayer for health is commonly used among cancer survivors and that there are specific sociodemographic and other correlates of its use. Exploring CAM and the use of prayer in clinical settings may help clinicians better understand both the coping and adaptation strategies of their patients with cancer as conduits to better health status. This study may also serve as a springboard for future studies that incorporate additional clinical and psychosocial variables and other types of CAM use among persons in the general population as well as those with a history of cancer.

Acknowledgments

Shaw University Investigators were supported, in part, by the Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (DoD CDMRP) contract W81XWH-05-1-0208 (grant PC040907) and contract W81XWH-07-1-0350 (grant PC060224). Shaw investigators are also funded in part by National Institutes of Health National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NCMHD) grant P60

MD000239 and Department of Health and Human Services AHRQ grant R24 HS013353.

The findings and conclusions in this report for Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Author Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

References

1. American Cancer Society. *Cancer Facts & Figures—2008*. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, 2008.
2. Rowland JH, Mariotto A, Aziz N, et al. Cancer survivorship—United States, 1971–2001. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2004;53:526–529.
3. Barnes PM, Powell-Griner E, McFann K, Nahin RL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults: United States, 2002. *Adv Data* 2004;27:1–19.
4. Udani J. Resident forum: Integrating alternative medicine into practice. *JAMA* 1998;280:1620e.
5. Dessio W, Wade C, Chao M, et al. Religion, spirituality, and healthcare choices of African-American women: Results of a national survey. *Ethn Dis* 2004;14:189–197.
6. Schoenberg NE, Stoller EP, Kart CS, et al. Complementary and alternative medicine use among a multiethnic sample of older adults with diabetes. *J Altern Complement Med* 2004;10:1061–1066.
7. Rao JK, Mihaliak K, Kroenke K, et al. Use of complementary therapies for arthritis among patients of rheumatologists. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;131:409–416.
8. Standish LJ, Greene KB, Bain S, et al. Alternative medicine use in HIV-positive men and women: Demographics, utilization patterns and health status. *AIDS Care* 2001;13:197–208.
9. Garrow D, Egede LE. National patterns and correlates of complementary and alternative medicine use in adults with diabetes. *J Altern Complement Med* 2006;12:895–902.
10. Yeh GY, Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among persons with diabetes mellitus: Results of a national survey. *Am J Public Health* 2002;92:1648–1652.
11. White M, Verhoef M. Cancer as part of the journey: The role of spirituality in the decision to decline conventional prostate cancer treatment and to use complementary and alternative medicine. *Integr Cancer Ther* 2006;5:117–122.
12. Wells M, Sarna L, Cooley ME, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine therapies to control symptoms in women living with lung cancer. *Cancer Nurs* 2007;30:45–55.
13. Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML, Hedderson MM, et al. Types of alternative medicine used by patients with breast, colon, or prostate cancer: Predictors, motives, and costs. *J Altern Complement Med* 2002;8:477–485.
14. Swarup AB, Barrett W, Jazieh AR. The use of complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2006;29:468–473.
15. Upchurch DM, Chyu L. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among American women. *Womens Health Issues* 2005;15:5–13.
16. Lengacher CA, Bennett MP, Kip KE, et al. Frequency of use of complementary and alternative medicine in women with breast cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2002;29:1445–1452.
17. Cuellar N, Aycock T, Cahill B, Ford J. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use by African American (AA)

- and Caucasian American (CA) older adults in a rural setting: A descriptive, comparative study. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 2003;3:8.
18. Banthia R, Moskowitz JT, Acree M, Folkman S. Socioeconomic differences in the effects of prayer on physical symptoms and quality of life. *J Health Psychol* 2007;12:249–260.
 19. Meraviglia MG. Prayer in people with cancer. *Cancer Nurs* 2002;25:326–331.
 20. Saydah SH, Eberhardt MS. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among adults with chronic diseases: United States 2002. *J Altern Complement Med* 2006;12:805–812.
 21. Bell RA, Suerken CK, Quandt SA, et al. Prayer for health among U.S. adults: The 2002 national health interview survey. *Complement Health Pract Rev* 2005;10:175–188.
 22. Goldstein MS, Brown ER, Ballard-Barbash R, et al. The use of complementary and alternative medicine among California adults with and without cancer. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2005;2:557–565.
 23. Mehta DH, Phillips RS, Davis RB, McCarthy EP. Use of complementary and alternative therapies by Asian Americans: Results from the National Health Interview Survey. *J Gen Intern Med* 2007;22:762–767.
 24. Hewitt M, Rowland JH, Yancik R. Cancer survivors in the United States: Age, health, and disability. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2003;58:82–91.
 25. Holt CL, Lewellyn LA, Rathweg MJ. Exploring religion–health mediators among African American parishioners. *J Health Psychol*. 2005;10:511–527.
 26. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) Public Use Data Release NHIS Survey Description, 2002. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [online]. Online document at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm Accessed October 17, 2007.
 27. Yabroff KR, Lawrence WF, Clauser S, et al. Burden of illness in cancer survivors: Findings from a population-based national sample. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1322–1330.
 28. Shah BV, Barnwell BG, Bieler GS. SUDAAN. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute, 2004.
 29. Walton J, Sullivan N. Men of prayer: Spirituality of men with prostate cancer: A grounded theory study. *J Holist Nurs* 2004;22:133–151.
 30. McCaffrey AM, Eisenberg DM, Legedza AT, et al. Prayer for health concerns: Results of a national survey on prevalence and patterns of use. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:858–862.
 31. Tate DG, Forchheimer M. Quality of life, life satisfaction, and spirituality: Comparing outcomes between rehabilitation and cancer patients. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;81:400–410.
 32. Krupski TL, Kwan L, Fink A, et al. Spirituality influences health related quality of life in men with prostate cancer. *Psychooncology* 2006;15:121–131.
 33. Mao JJ, Farrar JT, Xie SX, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine and prayer among a national sample of cancer survivors compared to other populations without cancer. *Complement Ther Med* 2007;15:21–29.
 34. Conboy L, Patel S, Kaptchuk TJ, et al. Sociodemographic determinants of the utilization of specific types of complementary and alternative medicine: An analysis based on a nationally representative survey sample. *J Altern Complement Med* 2005;11:977–994.
 35. Franzini L, Ribble JC, Wingfield KA. Religion, sociodemographic and personal characteristics, and self-reported health in whites, blacks, and Hispanics living in low-socioeconomic status neighborhoods. *Ethn Dis* 2005;15:469–484.
 36. Grzywacz JG, Suerken CK, Neiberg RH, et al. Age, ethnicity, and use of complementary and alternative medicine in health self-management. *J Health Soc Behav* 2007;48:84–98.
 37. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2007. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;57:43–66.
 38. King DE, Bushwick B. Beliefs and attitudes of hospital inpatients about faith healing and prayer. *J Fam Pract* 1994;39:349–352.
 39. Jordan TR, Price JH, King KA, et al. The validity of male patients' self-reports regarding prostate cancer screening. *Prev Med* 1999;28:297–303.
 40. Oyama O, Koenig HG. Religious beliefs and practices in family medicine. *Arch Fam Med* 1998;7:431–435.
 41. Ehman JW, Ott BB, Short TH, et al. Do patients want physicians to inquire about their spiritual or religious beliefs if they become gravely ill? *Arch Intern Med* 1999;159:1803–1806.
 42. Larimore WL. Providing basic spiritual care for patients: Should it be the exclusive domain of pastoral professionals? *Am Fam Physician* 2001;63:36, 38–40.
 43. Koenig HG. Physician's role in addressing spiritual needs. *South Med J* 2007;100:932–933.

Address reprint requests to:

Louie E. Ross, Ph.D.

Institute for Health, Social and Community Research

Shaw University

900 S. Wilmington Street, Suite 204

Raleigh, NC 27601

E-mail: lross@shawu.edu