

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 September 1

Published in final edited form as:

J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2011 September ; 21(5): 495–505. doi:10.1038/jes.2011.21.

# Metal sources and exposures in the homes of young children living near a mining-impacted Superfund site

Ami R. Zota<sup>a,b</sup>, Laurel A. Schaider<sup>a</sup>, Adrienne S. Ettinger<sup>a,c</sup>, Robert O. Wright<sup>a,d,e</sup>, James P. Shine<sup>a</sup>, and John D. Spengler<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>b</sup>Program on Reproductive Health and the Environment, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale Schools of Medicine and Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Medicine, Channing Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>e</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

# Abstract

Children living near hazardous waste sites may be exposed to environmental contaminants, yet few studies have conducted multi-media exposure assessments, including residential environments where children spend most of their time. We sampled yard soil, house dust, and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <2.5 in 59 homes of young children near an abandoned mining area and analyzed samples for lead (Pb), zinc (Zn), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), and manganese (Mn). In over half of the homes, dust concentrations of Pb, Zn, Cd, and As were higher than those in soil. Proximity to mine waste (chat) piles and the presence of chat in the driveway significantly predicted dust metals levels. Homes with both chat sources had Pb, Zn, Cd, and As dust levels two to three times higher than homes with no known chat sources after controlling for other sources. In contrast, Mn concentrations in dust were consistently lower than in soil and were not associated with chat sources. Mn dust concentrations were predicted by soil concentrations and occupant density. These findings suggest that nearby outdoor sources of metal contaminants from mine waste may migrate indoors. Populations farther away from the mining site may also be exposed if secondary uses of chat are in close proximity to the home.

## Keywords

house dust; indoor air pollution; metals; mine waste; residential exposures; Tar Creek Superfund Site

<sup>© 2011</sup> Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Address all correspondence to: Dr Ami R. Zota, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, Program on Reproductive Health and the Environment, University of California, San Francisco, 1330 Broadway Street, Suite 1100, Oakland, CA 94612, USA. Tel.: + 1 510 986 8928. Fax: +1 510 986 8960. zotaar@obgyn.ucsf.edu.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Introduction

An estimated 41 million people live within close proximity to the most contaminated Superfund sites in the United States (National Research Council, 1991). Metal contaminants are of priority concern at hazardous waste sites due to their prevalence and toxicity; 4 of the top 10 contaminants at Superfund sites are metals (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2005).

Biologically, the developing brain may be particularly sensitive to the effects of metals' exposure *in utero* and in the early postpartum period (Weiss, 2000; Bondy and Campbell, 2005). Numerous studies have shown associations between infant blood lead (Pb) concentrations and impaired neurodevelopment, including reduced IQ scores (Needleman et al., 1990; Bellinger et al., 1992). Other metal pollutants, such as cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), and manganese (Mn), have also been shown to have effects on reproduction and development (Wright et al., 2006; Ettinger et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2009; Zota et al., 2009a).

House dust has been identified as an important route of exposure, particularly for children (US EPA, 2008), with direct exposure occurring through incidental ingestion, inhalation of re-suspended dust particles, and dermal absorption. Indoor house dust is a complex mixture of soil, biological materials, and settled indoor aerosols that can act as a reservoir for contaminants. Metal concentrations in dust have been correlated with biological markers of exposure in blood and urine (Lanphear et al., 2005; Rollin et al., 2005; Hogervorst et al., 2007), and Pb-contaminated house dust is the major source of Pb exposure for US children (Lanphear et al., 2005). Compared with their adult counterparts, children living near contaminated sites may encounter elevated exposures to environmental contaminants due to differences in both physiology and behavior (Cohen Hubal et al., 2000; US EPA, 2008). Children have higher inhalation rates per unit of body mass than adults. Unique behaviors such as crawling and frequent hand-to-mouth activity may increase children's contact with contaminated soil and dust.

Mining sites are among the most heavily contaminated by metals. For example, the Tar Creek Superfund Site, a former Pb and zinc (Zn) mining area located in rural Oklahoma (OK), USA has approximately 30 piles of mine waste (locally known as "chat"), which contain elevated concentrations of Zn, Pb, and Cd (ATSDR, 2004; Schaider et al., 2007). A high prevalence of childhood Pb poisoning (>10  $\mu$ g/dl) has been documented in the area, particularly in towns closest to the chat piles (Malcoe et al., 2002; ATSDR, 2004). These findings motivated a soil remediation effort by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (ATSDR, 2004). Moreover, our previous work at this Superfund site demonstrated that Pb and Zn concentrations in outdoor respirable particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <2.5 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) were higher at air monitoring sites closest to the chat piles. Particles of mine waste origin contributed, on average, 40% to the total mass of particles in the coarse (PM<sub>10</sub>– PM<sub>2 5</sub>) fraction at a residential site adjacent to the chat piles (Zota et al., 2009b).

The relationship between outdoor mining-related metal contamination and the indoor residential environment is not well understood. Quantifying indoor concentrations of metals originating from mine waste is complex at the Tar Creek Superfund Site because there are numerous chat piles distributed throughout the area, and mine waste has been dispersed through the region via erosion from piles and distribution of crushed rock for use in road construction, housing foundations, and as a substitute for gravel in residential driveways (Oklahoma Office of the Secretary of Environment, 2000; Perry et al., 2005). Mine waste particles can be blown off the piles by wind or generally dispersed when disrupted by secondary use of the crushed rock or disturbed by recreational vehicle use. Wind-blown

As part of a multi-disciplinary effort aimed at understanding the impacts of mining-related metal exposures on children's health, we conducted a multi-media exposure assessment study of Pb, Zn, Cd, Mn, and As in the homes of young children living near the former mining site. These metals were chosen either because they are elevated in chat piles (Pb, Zn, and Cd) or because they are relevant to the study of neurodevelopmental health outcomes (Mn and As). The goal was to characterize metal concentrations in outdoor and indoor environmental samples in order to better understand transport mechanisms and source contributions to metals found in house dust.

ultimately mixed in as a component of household dust.

## Methods

#### Study Design

The children's exposure assessment study was conducted as part of the Center for Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research at the Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH), a research effort designed to understand the impacts of mining-related metal pollutants on children's growth and development through a longitudinal birth cohort, mechanistic animal studies, and environmental fate and transport studies (Hu et al., 2007). Field research for the exposure and health studies took place in and around the Tar Creek Superfund Site, which is located in rural Ottawa County in the northeastern corner of OK. Study objectives and design for the individual components, including the birth cohort, have been described elsewhere (Ettinger et al., 2009; Zota et al., 2009a).

We intended to recruit 50 mother–infant pairs in the exposure assessment study who were actively enrolled in the birth cohort study; included an infant <12 months of age at the time of environmental sampling; and had a primary residence within the study area, Ottawa County, OK, during the exposure assessment study period. Additionally, we over-sampled homes within the Superfund site boundaries since we were primarily concerned with mine waste-related exposures and the majority of birth cohort participants resided outside of the designated Superfund site boundaries. In addition to the environmental sampling, we also collected biological markers of metals exposure at birth and 1 year of age from the young children living in these study homes. The biomarkers of metals exposure, and their associations with levels of metals in environmental media, will be presented in future manuscripts. Fifty-three mother–infant pairs from the birth cohort participated in the children's exposure assessment study between July 2005 and September 2006. The research protocol was approved by the Human Subjects Committees of Integris Baptist Medical Center and HSPH.

#### Sample Collection

Homes were sampled by trained technicians, with two sampling sessions for participants who moved during the study period, resulting in a total of 59 home assessments. During each sampling session, the study team collected outdoor yard soil, indoor house dust, and indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Surface yard soil samples were collected from the top 2 cm using a sterile polystyrene spatula in the front entryway of each home. Three samples were collected within a 1 m diameter circle and mixed together to create one composite sample per home (US EPA, 1995; Riederer et al., 2005). Two-week integrated PM<sub>2.5</sub> air samples were collected on Teflon filters (2  $\mu$ m pore size, 37mm in diameter) using Harvard Impactors (Marple et al., 1987) with low flow medo air pumps at 4 l/min (Zota et al., 2009b) in the main living space of the home. Dust samples were collected using a Eureka Mighty-Mite

vacuum cleaner attached to a crevice tool modified to collect dust into a cellulose extraction thimble (Whatman, Clifton, NJ, USA). Samples were collected by vacuuming approximately 2 m<sup>2</sup> of the floor in the main living area of the home for 5 min (Chew et al., 1998).

In addition to the collection of environmental samples, a home visual inspection, which included information about home characteristics, geographic location, characteristics of the surrounding area, presence of chat in the driveway, housing size and structure, and overall maintenance, was completed by a sampling technician. A Pb-based paint screening was conducted on-site with a portable X-ray fluorescence (XRF) Pb paint analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific NITON 300 Series Lead Paint Analyzer, Billerica, MA, USA). Distance between the front entrance and the closest road (distance to street) was measured using a digital measuring wheel. A face-to-face survey was administered by field staff to the infant's caregiver about household characteristics, appliance use, and cleaning practices.

#### **Analytical Methods**

Yard soil samples were analyzed using a polarized energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (pED-XRF) Specto XEPOS® (Spectro Analytical, Kleve, Germany) instrument at Wellesley College. Samples were air dried, sieved to <2 mm and then 4 g of soil were prepared in XRF sample cups with 6  $\mu$ m thick Mylar film windows. The pED-XRF generally achieved an analytical error of ±5%. Testing of samples via pED-XRF was bracketed with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 2709 (San Joaquin Soil) standard reference material (SRM). Measured concentrations of all elements in the standard remained within ±10% of accepted values. Concentration uncertainties were provided by the instrument that equaled 1 SD of error estimates based on analytical precision. Three times the uncertainty was considered to be the limit of detection (LOD) for each element and sample. Concentrations for Cd and As in soil were frequently below the LOD. For this reason, soil samples were also analyzed for Cd and As by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), which has a lower LOD (see description below). Only the ICP-MS data for Cd and As are reported in the results.

Dust samples were sieved through vigorous, manual shaking to <125  $\mu$ m using a polyethylene sieve (Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY, USA) and the dry weight recorded. Dust and soil samples were microwave digested with concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> adapted from the method described in Shine et al. (1995). Vials with only concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> were digested with each batch as a laboratory blank. Samples were analyzed by ICP-MS (Elan 6100, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) at the HSPH Trace Metals Laboratory. The lower limit of quantification with this method was 0.1  $\mu$ g/g. The method LOD was calculated as three times the SD of eight laboratory digestion blanks. The method LOD for Mn and Zn was 0.2 and 0.6  $\mu$ g/g, respectively. Analytical accuracy was assessed by replicate digestion and analysis of NIST SRM1649a (Urban street dust). All elements of interest were within ±10% of accepted values except for Zn, which was within ±12%. Four dust and four soil samples were not analyzed due to lack of adequate mass (<0.1 g) and/or field sampling problems.

Laboratory analysis of  $PM_{2.5}$  has been described in detail elsewhere (Zota et al., 2009b). Briefly, teflon filters were weighed in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room (18–24°C, 40±5% relative humidity). The elemental content was quantified by energy-dispersive XRF. Analyses were performed according to standard operating procedures at the US EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory in Research Triangle Park, NC, USA. Field blanks were transported and handled like regular samples, but the filters were not attached to the air pumps. Field blanks, which comprised 10% of the total samples collected, were used to determine background contamination. Field blank concentrations for all elements were

below the method LOD. Precision of the method was determined by duplicate samples (10% of total samples collected).

#### Data Analysis

Univariate and bivariate statistics, tabulations, and distribution plots were examined for all variables. For data that fell below the method LOD, estimated metal concentrations provided by XRF and/or ICP-MS instrumentation were used in calculation of summary statistics and statistical models. Dust loading was calculated by multiplying dust concentration by the mass of total dust and then dividing by the area vacuumed. Environmental concentrations approximated a log normal distribution; thus, all concentrations were log-transformed in linear regression models. Correlations were assessed using the Spearman's rank correlation.

Dust/soil concentration ratios were calculated for each metal in each home by dividing the dust metal concentration by the soil metal concentration. To calculate the upper-bound estimates for the contributions of soil to dust concentrations for Pb, Zn, Cd, and As, we assumed that there were no significant indoor sources of Mn (ATSDR, 2008) and that the Mn dust/soil ratio for each home represents the soil infiltration factor (i.e., the fraction of dust mass that comes from soil). We multiplied the soil infiltration factor by the actual soil concentrations for the other metals to obtain the maximum concentration in dust that could be attributed to soil, and then divided the empirical dust concentration by this calculated value.

Non-parametric tests were used to examine differences in dust metal concentrations by potential metal sources and housing factors. Differences between groups were tested using Wilcoxon's rank sum test for two categories and Kruskal-Wallis test for three or more categories. The following variables related to potential metal sources and factors that may affect resuspension or transport of dust were considered: presence of chat in residential environment (driveway and home foundation); proximity to other chat sources (chat piles and chat on roads); other potential sources of metals (Pb-based paint, agricultural fields, and street dust (approximated by distance to street)); housing structure; household characteristics (central air conditioning, occupant density, dog ownership, and housekeeping); and season of sampling. Participants were asked whether their homes participated in the residential yard soil remediation program sponsored by the US EPA in which contractors removed parcels of yard soil, where Pb concentrations exceeded 500  $\mu$ g/g (ATSDR, 2004). Occupant density, a proxy for particle resuspension (Baxter et al., 2007), was defined as the number of people living in the home divided by the number of total rooms. We then constructed multivariate regression models with dust metal levels as the outcome. Soil metal levels were included in all multivariate models regardless of statistical significance based on *a priori* considerations about environmental fate and transport. We also include variables that were significant in univariate models at P<0.20. We used backward stepwise elimination process to derive our final model that included variables that were statistically significant (P < 0.20) and confounders of our main effect (association with chat sources). One outlier that had a large influence on regression results, defined as having an absolute studentized residual >3, was removed from the As dust regression analyses. In univariate regression analyses, the presence of chat in driveways and close proximity to chat piles were both modeled separately as binary variables. Since 67% of the participants who lived near a chat pile also had chat in the driveway, adding both terms to the model produced unstable estimates due to collinearity. As a result, a three-category dummy variable was created to approximate the influence of chat sources on dust metal concentrations in multivariate regression models. If a participant's home had chat in the driveway and was close to the chat piles (<0.5 km), then it was designated to the high chat impact category. If the study home possessed only one of these characteristics (either chat in the driveway or proximity to a chat pile), then it was assigned to the moderate chat exposure category, and, if neither condition was present, then

it was assigned to the low chat exposure category. All analyses were conducted in SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Statistical significance was defined by a (two-sided) *P*-value of 0.10 or lower.

# Results

#### Summary Statistics

Metals were frequently detected in all exposure media except for Cd in PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Table 1). Concentrations were highly skewed and varied greatly, often spanning two orders of magnitude, across homes. Zn was found in the highest concentrations across all media. Pb and Mn occurred in similar magnitudes, while Cd and As were generally found at the lowest levels. The US EPA soil screening level (SSL) of 400  $\mu$ g/g for Pb was exceeded in 14% of soil and 4% of dust samples. The SSL for As (0.4  $\mu$ g/g) was exceeded in 92% of soil and 100% of dust samples. All samples were below the SSL for Zn (23,000  $\mu$ g/g) and Cd (78  $\mu$ g/g). There is no SSL for Mn, but the concentrations in soils were within the range typically found in soils from this region (Smith and Huyck, 1999).

#### Metal Correlations Within and Across Environmental Media

Table 2 presents correlations for metals across the different media. Not surprisingly, dust metal concentrations and dust metal loadings were significantly correlated for all metals (Spearman's rho ( $\rho$ ) range: 0.35–0.70). While soil and dust metal concentrations were modestly correlated for all metals except As (Spearman's  $\rho$  range: 0.29–0.48), there was a less consistent correlation between dust metal loading and soil metal concentrations. Pb concentrations in indoor air were significantly correlated with Pb concentrations in both soil (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.40$ ) and dust (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.41$ ) as well as dust loading (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.25$ ). The relationship between indoor air and other media was weaker for Zn, Cd, Mn, and As. Correlations among metals within each medium were also examined. Pb, Zn, and Cd were strongly correlated in both house dust and soil (Spearman's  $\rho$  range: 0.80–0.90), suggesting common sources. These metals showed a significant but weaker correlation with Mn and As (Spearman's  $\rho$  range: 0.25–0.40) (data not shown).

The relationship between soil and dust was further examined by calculating dust/soil concentration ratios for each metal and each home (Figure 1). For all study homes, the Mn dust/soil ratio was <1, suggesting a dominance of outdoor sources and few, if any, indoor sources. In contrast, Zn and Cd dust concentrations exceeded those in soil for approximately 70% of homes; and in a few homes, dust concentrations were over 10 times higher than those in soil, suggesting the presence of indoor sources and transport mechanisms other than soil tracked in from outdoors. Pb and As were generally in the middle with the dust/soil ratio exceeding one in approximately half the homes. The median percent contribution of soil to dust concentrations for Pb, Zn, Cd, and As across homes was 60%, 20%, 30%, and 40%, respectively.

#### **Predictors of Dust Metal Concentrations**

Since dust metal concentrations were only partially explained by outdoor soil conditions, we examined associations with housing and neighborhood characteristics in order to identify other important sources and potential transport mechanisms. Table 3 summarizes neighborhood and housing characteristics, and their univariate associations with dust metal concentrations. A majority (80%) of the study homes were single-family dwellings, and one-third of all homes were located inside the Superfund site boundaries. Approximately 25% of homes were located in highly impacted areas (within 0.5 km of one or more chat pile), and 18% of homes had undergone yard soil remediation. Chat use in the driveway was documented more frequently than chat use in the housing foundation (42% *vs* 18%).

Exterior Pb-based paint was more commonly detected than interior Pb-based paint (26% *vs* 9%).

Proximity to outdoor sources influenced indoor dust concentrations. For example, homes that were within 0.5 km of one or more chat piles had significantly higher levels of Zn, Cd, and As in dust than homes farther away from chat piles. Residing near agricultural fields was associated with elevated levels of Mn and As. Homes that were within 5–10m from the street had significantly higher levels of Pb and Cd in dust. Housing characteristics also influenced indoor dust metal levels. Presence of chat in the residential driveway was associated with significantly higher concentrations of Pb, Zn, Cd, and As, but not Mn. Homes that had undergone yard soil remediation had higher levels of mining-related metals, but this trend was only significant for Zn. Exterior Pb-based paint was associated with lower levels of these metals. Mn dust levels were significantly higher in single-family homes compared with apartments or mobile homes. Chat use in the housing foundation, interior Pb-based paint, housekeeping, occupant density, and season of sampling were not significantly associated with any of the dust metal concentrations.

To quantify the cumulative impact of chat sources on dust metal concentrations, exposure to two different chat sources (proximity to chat piles and chat in driveway) was aggregated into a three-category variable. For Pb, Zn, Cd, and As, dust metal levels increased as the number of chat sources increased (*P* (trend) <0.05), and homes with two chat sources had the highest levels of Pb, Zn, Cd, and As (Figure 2). Median dust levels in these homes were approximately three times higher than those in homes with no known chat sources (Pb: 148 *vs* 54  $\mu$ g/g; Zn: 1490 *vs* 569  $\mu$ g/g; Cd: 9.1 *vs* 2.4  $\mu$ g/g; and As: 9.3 *vs* 3.7  $\mu$ g/g).

In multivariate regression models, the presence of chat sources remained a significant predictor of Pb, Zn, Cd, and As even after controlling for other sources of dust metal concentrations, including soil concentrations (Table 4). Homes with two chat sources had Pb, Zn, Cd, and As levels two to three times higher than homes with no known chat sources. Distance to street was inversely associated with Pb, Zn, and Cd. Single-family homes had higher concentrations of Pb, Zn, and Mn compared with mobile homes and apartments. Central air conditioning was associated with lower levels of Pb and Cd. Soil concentrations were not significantly associated with Pb, Cd, Zn, or As, and presence of exterior Pb-based paint was no longer a significant predictor of dust Pb (P = 0.27). In contrast, soil Mn concentrations. Proximity to agricultural fields was associated with higher Mn and As.

# Discussion

To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to conduct a comprehensive, multi-media exposure assessment in the residential environment of young children living near a miningrelated site. While metal concentrations of Pb, Zn, and Cd in residential media were 3–13 times lower than those in the solid mine waste (Schaider et al., 2007) and similar to those observed in other US residential exposure studies (Clayton et al., 1999; O'Rourke et al., 1999), we found that proximity to chat piles and chat in the driveway significantly increased indoor house dust concentrations of Pb, Zn, Cd, and As. After controlling for other sources of metals, homes with these two chat sources had dust metal levels that were two to three times higher than those in homes with no known chat sources, suggesting that local communities near abandoned mining sites may be exposed to particles of mine waste origin via the residential environment. While previous studies have documented higher pollutant levels in the dust of homes closer to large point sources, such as chat piles (Malcoe et al., 2002), this is the first study to demonstrate the impact of chat use in the driveway, a

secondary use of mine waste, on indoor metal levels. The relevance of this finding extends beyond the Tar Creek area since a recent assessment of mine waste issues in the United States notes that reuse of mine waste in driveways is widespread at other abandoned mining sites (The Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, 2008).

Moreover, our results suggest that the transport of chat into the indoor environment is not entirely mediated by soil since the dust levels of mining-related contaminants were higher than those in bulk soil (dust/soil ratio>1) in over half the homes, and in several homes, the dust/soil ratio for Zn and Cd exceeded 10. Additionally, introducing soil metal levels into the multivariate regression models for dust did not change the effect estimates for chat sources. The dust/soil relationships in our study homes may have differed if we had analyzed the same size fraction in both media since previous studies suggest that smaller soil particles tend to be enriched in Pb (Clark et al., 2008). However, when we assessed metal concentrations in different size fractions of some of our soil samples, we did not see any systematic relationships between particle size and metal concentration (data not shown).

Airborne transport of particles may be another important pathway by which outdoor particles of mine waste origin migrate into the home. We attempted to characterize this pathway through direct measurements of weekly integrated PM2.5. The PM2.5 and dust metal levels were only weakly correlated, which may reflect a difference in integration time between the two media since air measurements reflect short-term exposures, whereas dust can accumulate over months and years (Bradman and Whyatt, 2005). Additionally, this correlation may have been improved by including measurements of  $PM_{10}$  since our previous work found that particles of mine waste origin were more abundant in the coarse fraction  $(PM_{10}-PM_{25})$  compared with PM<sub>25</sub> (Zota et al., 2009b). However, the association between central air conditioning and lower levels of mining-related dust metals in our data supports the role of airborne transport since previous air pollution studies have shown that use of central air conditioning lowers air exchange rates, which thereby reduces infiltration of outdoor particles into the indoor environment (Long et al., 2001). Future exposure assessment studies in residential indoor environments should include long-term airborne dust measurements to further characterize the relationship between airborne particles and house dust.

This study is also one of the first examinations of indoor residential environmental conditions near the Tar Creek Superfund site after yard soil remediation. Yard soil remediation was completed in multiple phases at the site beginning in the late 1990s through 2007 (US EPA, 2010). We observed higher levels of mining-related contaminants, particularly Zn, in the dust of homes that had undergone soil remediated (although yard soil remediation did not remain a significant predicator in multivariate models). Thus, it is possible that the remediation may have temporarily reduced metals in yard soil leaving metals in residual indoor dust unaffected. Moreover, remediating yard soil without treating the chat piles or chat in driveways would have little effect on transport of metal-laden dust from the sources themselves.

We also found significant associations between dust metal concentrations environmental sources, other than mine waste. Consistent with other studies (Meyer et al., 1999), a shorter distance between the home and street, a likely proxy for street dust, was associated with increased levels of Pb, Cd, and Zn in dust. Street dust may have elevated concentrations of Pb, Cd, and Zn from traffic-related materials such as tire and brake dust and yellow road paint (Fergusson and Kim, 1991; Adachi and Tainosho, 2004) or from chat, since chat is used as a road fill and asphalt ingredient in this area. Detached single-family homes had higher concentrations of metals as compared with apartments and mobile homes. This difference may reflect housing age, particle penetration efficiency, and/or proximity to

Zota et al.

outdoor sources. Presence of exterior Pb-based paint was associated with higher levels of Pb, Zn, and Cd, although these associations lessened in significance after introducing soil metal concentrations into the model, suggesting that in this study, soil transport is a major mechanism by which exterior Pb-based paint migrates into indoor house dust. Interior Pb-based paint, which was only detected in 9% of homes, was not associated with dust metal concentrations in our study. Surprisingly, As dust concentrations were positively associated with chat sources, particularly with chat fill in the driveway. While As is not a major mining contaminant in the area, acid-soluble concentrations of As in the source chat material ranged from 10 to  $20 \mu g/g$  (unpublished data), which is two to three times higher than the As levels we observed in yard soil.

This is the first study to our knowledge to identify predictors of Mn in house dust. Dust/soil ratios and regression models suggested that yard soil is the major source of Mn in dust. Additionally, occupant density, a surrogate for indoor resuspension activity (Baxter et al., 2007), was associated with increased Mn dust levels. Mn dust levels were higher in homes near agricultural areas. This finding is consistent with a prior study in Canada, which found higher blood Mn levels among pregnant women who reported pesticide use within 1 km of residence (Takser et al., 2004) and may reflect the use of Mn-containing fungicides and seed protectants (ATSDR, 2008) Alternatively, since soil is likely the major source of Mn dust, the higher Mn dust levels in homes near agricultural operations (Clausnitzer and Singer, 2000). Sources and transport of Mn were very different than the other metals since Mn is not enriched in chat. Mn levels in chat occur at  $25-30 \mu g/g$  (unpublished data), which is an order of magnitude lower than median concentrations quantified in our soil samples.

We did not observe an association between dust metal levels and self-reported use of chat in housing foundation, another unregulated use of mine waste that has been documented in the area (Perry et al., 2005). The use of chat for backfill around foundations may be a less likely source of indoor contamination because of limited direct contact. However, our ability to observe an association may have been obscured by measurement error since many participants did not know whether chat had been used in the foundation of their home and our study team had no way to independently verify or obtain this information. Overall, despite our limited statistical power, we were able to identify several important sources of metals in the residential environment, including mine waste. Future studies from our research center will use data from this exposure assessment study to examine associations between metals in environmental media, such as house dust, and metal levels in children's blood and hair during the first year of life.

The main strength of this study is the detailed collection and analysis of multi-element and multi-media exposure assessment combined with extensive household information in a rural, potentially highly exposed community. Unlike prior studies at this and other abandoned Pb mining sites, we did not limit our exposure characterization to Pb, but expanded the scope to consider multiple metals. Our ability to contrast sources and transport of Pb, Zn Cd, and As with those of Mn provides a novel interpretation of likely pathways.

In conclusion, our results suggest that nearby outdoor sources of metal contaminants from mine waste may migrate indoors. Populations living farther away from mining sites may also be exposed if secondary uses of mine waste, such as in driveways, occur in close proximity to homes. Lastly, residential yard soil and house dust should not be treated as identical media in exposure and risk assessments occurring near hazardous waste sites, and remediation programs should address all major exposure pathways and sources. Understanding how metals move from contaminated source material into homes via dust is critical to understanding and preventing exposure to children living near these sites.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Superfund Basic Research Program Grant P42-ES016454; NIEHS Center Grant 2 P30-ES 00002; NIEHS K23 ES000381; NIEHS Children's Center Grant 1 P01-ES012874; and US EPA STAR Research Assistance Agreement No. RD-83172501. We acknowledge the active involvement and continued cooperation of our community partners: Local Environmental Action Demanded (L.E.A.D.) Agency and Integris Baptist Regional Health Center, Miami, OK, USA. We are grateful to the families who participated in this study. We thank Mary Happy, Karen Fields, Debbie Glassman, Vickie Kelly, Rebecca Lincoln, Kathleen McCarthy, and Adam Schmidt for assistance in field sampling; and Kimberly Newton, Dan Brabander, MeiAi Khoo, Emily Knurek, Robert Willis, and Nick Lupoli for assistance with sample analysis. We also thank Drs Joel Schwartz and Howard Hu for their input on the development of this study and analysis of the data.

## References

- Adachi K, Tainosho Y. Characterization of heavy metal particles embedded in tire dust. Environ Int. 2004; 30(8):1009–1017. [PubMed: 15337346]
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Tar Creek Superfund Site: Report to Congress. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; Atlanta, GA: 2004.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 2005 CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; Atlanta, GA: 2005.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Toxicological Profile for Manganese (Draft for Public Comment). US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; Atlanta, GA: 2008.
- Baxter LK, Clougherty JE, Laden F, Levy JI. Predictors of concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, fine particulate matter, and particle constituents inside of lower socioeconomic status urban homes. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2007; 17(5):433–444. [PubMed: 17051138]
- Bellinger DC, Stiles KM, Needleman HL. Low-level lead exposure, intelligence and academic achievement: a long-term follow-up study. Pediatrics. 1992; 90(6):855–861. [PubMed: 1437425]
- Bondy SC, Campbell A. Developmental neurotoxicology. J Neurosci Res. 2005; 81(5):605–612. [PubMed: 16035107]
- Bradman A, Whyatt RM. Characterizing exposures to nonpersistent pesticides during pregnancy and early childhood in the National Children's Study: a review of monitoring and measurement methodologies. Environ Health Perspect. 2005; 113(8):1092–1099. [PubMed: 16079084]
- Chew GL, Burge HA, Dockery DW, Muilenberg ML, Weiss ST, Gold DR. Limitations of a home characteristics questionnaire as a predictor of indoor allergen levels. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998; 157(5):1536–1541. [PubMed: 9603135]
- Clark HF, Hausladen DM, Brabander DJ. Urban gardens: lead exposure, recontamination mechanisms, and implications for remediation design. Environ Res. 2008; 107(3):312–319. [PubMed: 18456252]
- Clausnitzer H, Singer MJ. Environmental influences on respirable dust production from agricultural operations in California. Atmos Environ. 2000; 34(11):1739–1745.
- Clayton CA, Pellizzari ED, Whitmore RW, Perritt RL, Quackenboss JJ. National Human Exposure Assessment Survey (NHEXAS): distributions and associations of lead, arsenic and volatile organic compounds in EPA region 5. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 1999; 9(5):381–392. [PubMed: 10554141]
- Cohen Hubal EA, Sheldon LS, Burke JM, McCurdy TR, Berry MR, Rigas ML, et al. Children's exposure assessment: a review of factors influencing children's exposure, and the data available to characterize and assess that exposure. Environ Health Perspect. 2000; 108(6):475–486. [PubMed: 10856019]
- Ettinger AS, Zota AR, Amarasiriwardena CJ, Hopkins MR, Schwartz J, Hu H, et al. Maternal arsenic exposure and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect. 2009; 117(7):1059–1064. [PubMed: 19654913]

- Fergusson JE, Kim ND. Trace-elements in street and house dusts—sources and speciation. Sci Total Environ. 1991; 100:125–150. [PubMed: 2063179]
- Hogervorst J, Plusquin M, Vangronsveld J, Nawrot T, Cuypers A, Van Hecke E, et al. House dust as possible route of environmental exposure to cadmium and lead in the adult general population. Environ Res. 2007; 103(1):30–37. [PubMed: 16843453]
- Hu H, Shine J, Wright RO. The challenge posed to children's health by mixtures of toxic waste: the tar creek superfund site as a case-study. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2007; 54(1):155–175. [PubMed: 17306689]
- Lanphear BP, Hornung R, Khoury J, Yolton K, Baghurst P, Bellinger DC, et al. Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: an international pooled analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 2005; 113(7):894–899. [PubMed: 16002379]
- Long CM, Suh HH, Catalano PJ, Koutrakis P. Using time- and size-resolved particulate data to quantify indoor penetration and deposition behavior. Environ Sci Technol. 2001; 35(10):2089– 2099. [PubMed: 11393992]
- Malcoe LH, Lynch RA, Kegler MC, Skaggs VJ. Lead sources, behaviors, and socioeconomic factors in relation to blood lead of native American and white children: a community-based assessment of a former mining area. Environ Health Perspect. 2002; 110(Suppl 2):221–231. [PubMed: 11929732]
- Marple VA, Rubow KL, Turner W, Spengler JD. Low flow-rate sharp cut impactors for indoor air sampling—design and calibration. JAPCA. 1987; 37(11):1303–1307. [PubMed: 3443863]
- Meyer I, Heinrich J, Lippold U. Factors affecting lead, cadmium, and arsenic levels in house dust in a smelter town in eastern Germany. Environ Res. 1999; 81(1):32–44. [PubMed: 10361024]
- National Research Council. Environmental Epidemiology: Public Health and Hazardous Wastes. National Academy Press; Washington, DC: 1991.
- Needleman HL, Schell A, Bellinger D, Leviton A, Allred EN. The long-term effects of exposure to low doses of lead in childhood. An 11-year follow-up report. N Engl J Med. 1990; 322(2):83–88. [PubMed: 2294437]
- O'Rourke MK, Van de Water PK, Jin S, Rogan SP, Weiss AD, Gordon SM, et al. Evaluations of primary metals from NHEXAS Arizona: distributions and preliminary exposures. National Human Exposure Assessment Survey. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 1999; 9(5):435–445. [PubMed: 10554146]
- Oklahoma Office of the Secretary of Environment. Governor Frank Keating's Tar Creek Superfund Task Force Final Report. 2000.
- Perry PM, Pavlik JW, Sheets RW, Biagioni RN. Lead, cadmium, and zinc concentrations in plaster and mortar from structures in Jasper and Newton Counties, Missouri (Tri-State Mining District). Sci Total Environ. 2005; 336(1–3):275–281. [PubMed: 15589265]
- Riederer AM, Shine JP, Danan LM, Ford TE. Concentrations of lead and mercury in multimedia samples from homes near the former Clark Air Base, Philippines. Sci Total Environ. 2005; 341(1– 3):53–69. [PubMed: 15833241]
- Rollin H, Mathee A, Levin J, Theodorou P, Wewers F. Blood manganese concentrations among firstgrade schoolchildren in two South African cities. Environ Res. 2005; 97(1):93–99. [PubMed: 15476738]
- Schaider LA, Senn DB, Brabander DJ, McCarthy KD, Shine JP. Characterization of zinc, lead, and cadmium in mine waste: implications for transport, exposure, and bioavailability. Environ Sci Technol. 2007; 41(11):4164–4171. [PubMed: 17612206]
- Shine JP, Ika RV, Ford TE. Multivariate statistical examination of spatial and temporal patterns of heavy metal contamination in New Bedford harbor marine sediments. Environ Sci Technol. 1995; 29(7):1781–1788.
- Smith, KS.; Huyck, HLO. An overview of the abundance, relative mobility, bioavailability, and human toxicity to metals. In: Plumlee, GS.; Lodgsdon, M., editors. The Environmental Geochemistry of Mineral Deposits, Part A: Processes, Techniques, and Health Issues, vol Reviews in Economic Geology. Vol. 6A. Society of Economic Geologists; Littleton, CO: 1999. p. 29-70.

- Takser L, Lafond J, Bouchard M, St-Amour G, Mergler D. Manganese levels during pregnancy and at birth: relation to environmental factors and smoking in a Southwest Quebec population. Environ Res. 2004; 95(2):119–125. [PubMed: 15147916]
- The Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council. Mine Waste Issues in the United States: A White Paper. Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, Mining Waste Team; Washington, DC: 2008.
- Tian LL, Zhao YC, Wang XC, Gu JL, Sun ZJ, Zhang YL, et al. Effects of gestational cadmium exposure on pregnancy outcome and development in the offspring at age 4.5 years. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2009; 132(1–3):51–59. [PubMed: 19404590]
- US EPA. Residential Sampling for Lead: Protocols for Dust and Soil Sampling. US Environmental Protection Agency; Washington, DC: 1995.
- US EPA. Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (Final Report). US Environmental Protection Agency; Washington, DC: 2008.
- US EPA. [Accessed 5 August 2010] Tar Creek (Ottawa County). Oklahoma Update. 2010. http://www.epa.gov/region6/6sf/pdffiles/0601269.pdf
- Weiss B. Vulnerability of children and the developing brain to neurotoxic hazards. Environ Health Perspect. 2000; 108(Suppl 3):375–381. [PubMed: 10852831]
- Wright RO, Amarasiriwardena C, Woolf AD, Jim R, Bellinger DC. Neuropsychological correlates of hair arsenic, manganese, and cadmium levels in school-age children residing near a hazardous waste site. Neurotoxicology. 2006; 27(2):210–216. [PubMed: 16310252]
- Zota AR, Ettinger AS, Bouchard M, Amarasiriwardena CJ, Schwartz J, Hu H, et al. Maternal blood manganese levels and infant birth weight. Epidemiology. 2009a; 20(3):367–373. [PubMed: 19289966]
- Zota AR, Willis R, Jim R, Norris GA, Shine JP, Duvall RM, et al. Impact of mine waste on airborne respirable particulates in Northeastern Oklahoma, United States. J Air Waste Manage Assoc. 2009b; 59(11):1347–1357.

Zota et al.



#### Figure 1.

Cumulative distributions for the ratios of metal concentrations in dust ([metal]dust) to metal concentrations in soil ([metal] soil) across study homes.

Zota et al.



#### Figure 2.

Dust metal concentrations by number of chat sources (solid line = median; boxes = interquartile range; whiskers = 5th and 95th percentiles, circles = outliers). Chat sources defined as: (1) living near one or more chat piles and (2) the presence of chat in the residential driveway.

~
θ
D
a
⊢

e media.	
exposure	
residential	
incentrations in	
metal co	
cs for	
statisti	
Summary	

Media Metal	N	% >LOD	Mean (SD)	Minimum	Median	75th percentile	Maximum
PM2.5 indoors (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )	54						
Pb		70	1.0 (0.6)	<pre><pre>TOD</pre></pre>	1.0	1.4	2.6
Zn		100	13 (35)	1.7	5.2	8.3	259
Cd		11	<lod< td=""><td><pre>COD</pre></td><td><pre><tod< pre=""></tod<></pre></td><td>⊲TOD</td><td>3.8</td></lod<>	<pre>COD</pre>	<pre><tod< pre=""></tod<></pre>	⊲TOD	3.8
As		74	0.5 (0.6)	<pre><pre>TOD</pre></pre>	0.3	0.5	3.2
Mn		98	0.8 (0.9)	<pre>COD</pre>	0.6	0.8	6.5
Dust (µg/g)	55						
Pb		100	109 (138)	6.2	63	131	881
Zn		100	876 (627)	165	678	1095	3168
Cd		100	4.3 (6.8)	0.3	2.7	4.5	45
As		100	6.3 (9.9)	0.6	4.0	6.8	74
Mn		100	143 (98)	18	121	169	616
Dust loading (µg/m²)	55						
Pb		100	54 (58)	0.5	38	83	316
Zn		100	465 (408)	11	288	675	1560
Cd		100	2.3 (3.0)	0.02	1.1	3.3	18
As		100	4.0 (6.7)	0.03	1.7	4.1	36
Mn		100	90 (104)	0.8	50	86	499
Soil (µg/g) <sup>a</sup>	59						
Pb		100	201 (348)	12	110	195	2436
Zn		100	724 (941)	38	488	861	6314
Cd		100	3.9 (5.7)	0.1	2.2	4.3	36
As		100	5.2 (3.9)	1.5	4.4	5.4	23
Mn		100	396 (225)	112	353	460	1587
Abbreviations: LOD, lim	it of de	stection; SD,	standard devia	tion.			

J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 September 1.

 $^a\mathrm{Cd}$  and As measured in 55 of 59 soil samples.

		Dust c	oncentration	Dus	t loading	Ind	loor air
		N	Corr	N	Corr	N	Corr
Pb So	lic	55	0.48**	55	$0.26^{**}$	54	$0.40^{**}$
D	ust concentration			55	0.65**	50	0.41**
D	ust loading					50	0.25*
Zn So	lic	55	0.29**	55	0.21	54	0.36**
D	ust concentration			55	0.36**	50	0.15
D	ust loading					50	0.12
Cd So	lic	51	$0.40^{**}$	51	0.30**	50	-0.06
D	ust concentration			55	0.64**	50	-0.06
D	ust loading					50	-0.15
As So	lic	51	0.17	51	0.03	50	0.08
D	ust concentration			55	0.55**	50	0.08
D	ust loading					50	0.07
Mn So	lic	55	0.37**	55	0.12	54	0.22
D	ust concentration			55	0.44**	50	0.34**
D	ust loading					50	0.22

# Table 3

Distribution of neighborhood and housing characteristics of study homes, and their univariate associations with dust metal concentrations (N = 55).<sup>*a*</sup>

Zota et al.

Characteristic	N (%)	V	1edian co	ncentra	tion (µg/g	(1
		Pb	Ζn	Cd	As	Mn
Neighborhood characteristics						
Inside superfund site boundaries						
No	37 (67)	61	625*	2.6	$3.8^*$	140
Yes	18 (33)	99	784	3.2	5.5	118
Proximity to chat piles						
>0.5 km	42 (76)	62	$618^{**}$	$2.6^{*}$	3.8**	125
≤0.5 km	13 (24)	79	886	3.3	6.8	116
Chat used on roads in the neighborhood						
No/don't know	42 (76)	62	651 <sup>**</sup>	$2.6^{*}$	4.0	125
Yes	13 (24)	79	928	4.3	5.9	116
Proximity to agricultural fields						
>0.5 km	42 (76)	99	688	2.9	$3.9^{**}$	$116^{**}$
≤0.5 km	13 (24)	59	625	2.2	4.8	156
Household characteristics						
Type of housing						
Single-family home	44 (80)	67	700	2.7	4.0	$132^{**}$
A partment building	7 (13)	62	678	3.0	4.0	80
Mobile home	4 (7)	37	578	1.4	8.7	121
Chat used in the driveway						
No/don't know	32 (58)	$53^{**}$	$600^*$	2.3**	3.8**	115
Yes	23 (42)	107	768	3.1	4.5	121
Chat used in housing foundation						
No/don't know	45 (82)	63	677	2.6	4.0	121
Yes	10 (18)	63	827	3.2	4.8	118
Distance to street from front entrance						
5-10 m	17 (31)	$113^{**}$	731	3.5*	4.5	120

Pb         Zn $10-20 \text{ m}$ $23 (43)$ $58$ $677$ $20-90 \text{ m}$ $14 (26)$ $43$ $567$ $20-90 \text{ m}$ $14 (26)$ $43$ $567$ Yard soil remediation completed $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ No/don't know $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ $287^{**}$ Yes $10 (18)$ $87$ $1058$ $287^{**}$ $1058^{**}$ Vo $87$ $107$ $1116^{**}$ $28^{**}$ $615^{***}$ $28^{**}$ $215^{**}$ $28^{**}$ $215^{**}$ $28^{**}$ $215^{**}$ $28^{**}$ $217^{**}$ $2111^{**}$ $2111^{**}$ $210^{**}$ <td< th=""><th>Cd As</th><th>Mn</th><th>E I</th></td<>	Cd As	Mn	E I
$10-20 \text{ m}$ $23 (43)$ $58$ $677$ $20-90 \text{ m}$ $14 (26)$ $43$ $567$ Yard soil remediation completed $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ No/don't know $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ Yes $10 (18)$ $87$ $1058$ Exterior lead-based paint present $40 (74)$ $56^{**}$ $615^{**}$ NoYes $14 (26)$ $177$ $1115$ Interior lead-based paint present $48 (91)$ $63$ $681$ YesYes $5(9)$ $61$ $515$	, c c		
$20-90 \text{ m}$ $14 (26)$ $43$ $567$ Yard soil remediation completed $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ No/don't know $45 (82)$ $62$ $677^{**}$ $677^{**}$ Yes $10 (18)$ $87$ $1058$ $1058$ Exterior lead-based paint present $40 (74)$ $56^{**}$ $615^{**}$ $2$ Yes $14 (26)$ $177$ $1115$ Interior lead-based paint present $48 (91)$ $63$ $681$ Yes $5 (9)$ $61$ $515$	2.6 2.7	120	30
Yard soil remediation completed $45 (82)$ $62 - 677^{**}$ No/don't know $45 (82)$ $62 - 677^{**}$ Yes $10 (18)$ $87 - 1058$ Exterior lead-based paint present $40 (74) - 56^{**}$ $615^{**} - 2$ No $40 (74) - 56^{**}$ $615^{**} - 2$ Yes $14 (26) - 177 - 1115$ Interior lead-based paint present $48 (91) - 63 - 681$ Yes $5 (9) - 61 - 515$	2.1 5.8	134	34
No/don't know45 (82)62 $677^{**}$ Yes10 (18)871058Exterior lead-based paint present40 (74) $56^{**}$ $615^{**}$ 2No40 (74) $56^{**}$ $117$ 1115Interior lead-based paint present14 (26) $177$ 1115Interior lead-based paint present48 (91) $63$ $681$ Yes5 (9)61 $515$			
Yes $10 (18)$ $87$ $1058$ Exterior lead-based paint present $40 (74)$ $56^{**}$ $615^{**}$ $2$ No $40 (74)$ $56^{**}$ $615^{**}$ $2$ Yes $14 (26)$ $177$ $1115$ Interior lead-based paint present $48 (91)$ $63$ $681$ Yes $5 (9)$ $61$ $515$	2.6 4.0	122	22
Exterior lead-based paint present $40 (74) 56^{**} 615^{**} 2$ No $40 (74) 56^{**} 615^{**} 2$ Yes $14 (26) 177 1115$ Interior lead-based paint present $48 (91) 63 681$ No $48 (91) 63 681$ Yes $5 (9) 61 515$	4.7 5.5	120	30
No     40 (74)     56**     615**     2       Yes     14 (26)     177     1115       Interior lead-based paint present     48 (91)     63     681       Yes     5 (9)     61     515			
Yes     14 (26)     177     1115       Interior lead-based paint present     48 (91)     63     681       No     48 (91)     63     681       Yes     5 (9)     61     515	.2** 4.0	121	21
Interior lead-based paint present No 48 (91) 63 681 Yes 5 (9) 61 515	5.4 4.3	123	23
No 48 (91) 63 681 Yes 5 (9) 61 515			
Yes 5 (9) 61 515	2.7 4.0	121	21
	2.2 5.9	116	16
Central air conditioning			
No 15 (27) 175** 768 <sub>3</sub>	.5** 3.7	115	15
Yes 40 (73) 60 653	2.5 4.3	124	24
Occupant density (number of occupants/rooms)			
<0.5 16 (29) 62 681	2.4 3.8	131	31
0.5<1.0 33 (60) 63 630	2.7 4.1	120	50
21.0 6 (11) 115 937	4.1 3.5	157	57
Dog owner			
No 17 (31) 58 693	3.1 4.0	114	14
Yes 38 (69) 66 677	2.6 4.6	127	Lĩ
Overall housekeeping			
Good 25 (46) 60 677	2.4 4.5	120	20
Fair 20 (36) 62 659	2.7 4.0	123	23
Poor 10 (18) 122 753	3.5 3.8	129	62
$\frac{1}{\alpha}$ Characteristics of the four homes missing a dust sample were not included in this tab	le. Data were	f guissim	i for o
** P<0.05 and			
÷			

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Characteristic	Pb (N = 53) $\beta (SE)$	$\operatorname{Zn}(N=53)$ $\beta$ (SE)	Cd (N = 49) $\beta (SE)$	As $(N = 50)^b$ $\beta$ (SE)	$Mn (N = 55)$ $\beta (SE)$
Soil concentration $(\mu g/g)^{a}$	0.04 (0.12)	-0.004 (0.09)	0.07 (0.09)	0.26 (0.17)	0.36 (0.16)**
Chat sources					
No source <sup>c</sup>	0	0	0	0	I
One source	0.39 (0.25)	0.20 (0.18)	0.06 (0.24)	0.28 (0.18)	
Two sources	$0.71 (0.33)^{**}$	$0.74~(0.25)^{**}$	$0.99\ {(0.31)}^{**}$	0.65 (0.24)**	
Agricultural fields					
$>0.5 \text{ km}^{c}$	I	I	I	0	0
≤0.5 km				0.30 (0.19)	$0.33 \left( 0.18  ight)^{*}$
Housing type					
Single-family home <sup>c</sup>	0	0	I	I	0
Other	-0.56 (0.30)*	-0.31 (0.22)			-0.58 (0.19)**
Distance to street (meters)	-0.02 (0.006)**	-0.007 (0.004)*	-0.01(0.005)*	I	I
Exterior lead paint					
$No^{\mathcal{C}}$	0	0	0	I	I
Yes	0.33 (0.29)	0.31 (0.19)	0.42 (0.26)		
Occupant density	I	I	I	I	$0.88 (0.33)^{**}$
Central air-conditioning					
$No^{C}$	0	I	0	I	I
Yes	-0.48 (0.28)*		-0.43 (0.27)		
Model $R^2$	0.44	0.32	0.46	0.20	0.37

I

J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 September 1.

<sup>c</sup>Reference group. \*\* P<0.05 and

J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 September 1.

 $^{*}_{P<0.10.}$ NIH-PA Author Manuscript