

The World Bank, through a grant from the Government of Norway, has launched several PBF initiatives in developing countries, systematically accompanied with an impact evaluation strategy using different innovative research designs.¹¹ These initiatives should include formative research to address the rapidly changing social and political context that may influence policy implementation.¹²

The debate around PBF should be evidence-based with critical appraisal. Both proponents and opponents should avoid taking a dogmatic position. Both parties have agreed that PBF is not a panacea. The provision of input items and other key interventions, such as provider training, supervision and health-system strengthening, should continue with the aim of producing results. A research agenda and an effective community of practice embracing all views on PBF is critical to understanding more about its potential for helping developing countries to reach some of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals. ■

Competing interests: None declared.

References

1. Meessen B, Musango L, Kashala J-PI, Lemlin J. Reviewing institutions of rural health centres: the Performance Initiative in Butare, Rwanda. *Trop Med Int Health* 2006;11:1303–17. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2006.01680.x PMID:16903893
2. Kalk A, Paul FA, Grabosch E. "Paying for performance" in Rwanda: does it pay off? *Trop Med Int Health* 2010;15:182–90. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02430.x PMID:19930141
3. Meessen B, Kashala J-PI, Musango L. Output-based payment to boost staff productivity in public health centres: contracting in Kabutare district, Rwanda. *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;85:108–15. doi:10.2471/BLT.06.032110 PMID:17308731
4. Eldridge C, Palmer N. Performance-based payment: some reflections on the discourse, evidence and unanswered questions. *Health Policy Plan* 2009;24:160–6. doi:10.1093/heapol/czp002 PMID:19202163
5. Ireland M, Paul E, Dujardin B. Can performance-based financing be used to reform health systems in developing countries? *Bull World Health Organ* 2011;89:695–698.
6. Meessen B, Soucat A, Sekabaraga C.. Performance-based financing: just a donor fad or a catalyst towards comprehensive health-care reform? *Bull World Health Organ* 2011;89:153–6. PMID:21346927 doi:10.2471/BLT.10.077339
7. Walshe K. Understanding what works – and why – in quality improvement: the need for theory-driven evaluation. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2007;19:57–9. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzm004 PMID:17337518
8. Campbell OMR, Graham WJ. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet* 2006;368:1284–99. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69381-1 PMID:17027735
9. Rohde J, Cousens S, Chopra M, Tangcharoensathien V, Black R, Bhutta ZA et al. 30 years after Alma-Ata: has primary health care worked in countries? *Lancet* 2008;372:950–61. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61405-1 PMID:18790318
10. Basinga P, Gertler PJ, Binagwaho A, Soucat AL, Sturdy J, Vermeersch CM. Effect on maternal and child health services in Rwanda of payment to primary health-care providers for performance: an impact evaluation *Lancet* 2011;377:1421–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60177-3 PMID:21515164
11. *Results-based financing for health*. Washington: The World Bank; 2011. Available from: <http://www.rbfhealth.org/rbfhealth/> [accessed on 4 July 2011].
12. Moayyeri A, Soltani A. Evidence based medicine: does it make a difference? ...as may be a top down approach. *BMJ* 2005;330:93–4. doi:10.1136/bmj.330.7482.93-c PMID:15637377

Looking at the effects of performance-based financing through a complex adaptive systems lens

Jean Macq^a & Jean-Christophe Chiem^a

The debate on PBF is misdirected. As is too often the case in international aid financing, agencies try to prove the effectiveness of their contribution by isolating it as the main reason for success.¹ In reaction, opponents will often use the same approach in an attempt to prove that another factor is actually the cause of an observed change. We argue that this endless and futile debate, often present among experts in health systems strengthening, will not contribute to improving public health in low-income countries.

Rather than searching for the impossible proof of whether PBF works or not, we should instead try to learn useful lessons from experiences. We agree with Ireland et al. that the focus of PBF assessment should be on "why" and "how" the intervention works.² Comprehensive evaluation of PBF is needed as part of complete health system reform.

We think that, to respond to some of these key questions, health systems should be analysed using a complex adaptive systems lens, as others have advocated in the past.^{3,4} A complex adaptive system is a collection of interacting components, each of which has its own rules and responsibilities. The behaviour of this kind of system is different to the sum of the behaviour of each of its components. Examples of complex adaptive systems include the human brain, ecosystems and manufacturing businesses.

Health system "behaviour" and particularly counterintuitive behaviour (unexpected changes or lack of change) can be analysed using a complex adaptive systems lens when PBF is introduced, often with a mix of other interventions such as in a context of system reform. The purpose of this analysis is not to isolate causal factors but rather to identify "macro" characteristics of the system that may explain behaviour change.

Although it has often been ignored in health system evaluation, social simulation can be useful for this approach. The most frequently used technique, agent-based modelling, uses computer simulation centred on a collection of autonomous agents whose interactions are based on a set of rules. These simulations can integrate empirical data or existing knowledge or opinions.⁵ One of the powerful features of agent-based modelling lies in its capacity to study complex phenomena in a simple and flexible way. Indeed, this approach does not require a high level of mathematical or programming skills, making it accessible to many researchers. Furthermore, it allows for an iterative learning process that is easy to set up compared to long and costly data collection processes.

While this methodological approach may not "prove" the effectiveness of an intervention, it could provide insight into the reason a health system behaves in a given way (whether it changes or remains in a steady-state) when PBF is introduced. We believe that this type of information, although maybe less appealing to the usual stakeholders in development aid debates, is much more useful in evaluating PBF. ■

Competing interests: None declared.

^a Université Catholique de Louvain, 1 Place de l'Université, B-1348, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. Correspondence to Jean Macq (e-mail: jean.macq@uclouvain.be).