# *sel-10,* a negative regulator of *lin-12* activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans,* encodes a member of the CDC4 family of proteins

# E. Jane Albert Hubbard,<sup>1</sup> Guangyu Wu,<sup>2</sup> Jan Kitajewski,<sup>2</sup> and Iva Greenwald<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute and <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology and Center for Reproductive Sciences, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, New York 10032 USA

Mutations that influence *lin-12* activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* may identify conserved factors that regulate the activity of *lin-12/Notch* proteins. We describe genetic evidence indicating that *sel-10* is a negative regulator of *lin-12/Notch*-mediated signaling in *C. elegans*. Sequence analysis shows that SEL-10 is a member of the CDC4 family of proteins and has a potential human ortholog. Coimmunoprecipitation data indicate that *C. elegans* SEL-10 complexes with LIN-12 and with murine Notch4. We propose that SEL-10 promotes the ubiquitin-mediated turnover of LIN-12/Notch proteins, and discuss potential roles for the regulation of *lin-12/Notch* activity by *sel-10* in cell fate decisions and tumorigenesis.

[Key Words: C. elegans; lin-12; CDC4 family; SEL-10; Notch]

Received July 28, 1997; revised version accepted September 22, 1997.

Many cell-cell interactions that specify cell fate are mediated by receptors of the LIN-12/Notch family and ligands of the Delta/Serrate/LAG-2 (DSL) family (for review, see Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1995). Caenorhabditis elegans affords an opportunity to study a simple case of lateral specification involving an interaction between two cells of the hermaphrodite gonad. These cells, named Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa, are initially equivalent in their developmental potential: each has an equal chance of becoming the anchor cell (AC), a terminally differentiated cell type that is necessary for vulval development, or a ventral uterine precursor cell (VU), which contributes descendants to the ventral uterus. In any given hermaphrodite, however, only one of these cells will become the AC, whereas the other becomes a VU (Kimble and Hirsh 1979).

Laser ablation studies have shown that this process of lateral specification, the AC/VU decision, depends on interactions between Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa (Kimble 1981; Seydoux and Greenwald 1989). Furthermore, genetic studies have indicated that *lin-12*-mediated signaling controls the AC/VU decision: if *lin-12* activity is inappropriately elevated, Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa become VUs, whereas if *lin-12* activity is reduced, Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa become ACs (Greenwald et al. 1983). Genetic mosaic analysis (Seydoux and Greenwald 1989) and reporter gene studies (Wilkinson et al. 1994) have indicated that both Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa initially express *lin-12* and *lag-2*, but that a stochastic small variation in ligand and/or receptor activity is subsequently amplified by a feedback mechanism that influences *lin-12* and *lag-2* transcription. Thus, Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa assess their relative levels of *lin-12* activity as part of the decision-making process, before either cell commits to the AC or VU fates, and the feedback mechanism ensures that only one of the two cells will become an AC and the other will become a VU.

It is striking that the receptors (LIN-12/Notch proteins), ligands (DSL proteins), and at least one downstream signaling component (CBF1/Su(H)/LAG-1; for review, see Christensen et al. 1996 and references therein) that mediate lateral specification are highly conserved in animals as distantly related as C. elegans, Drosophila, and vertebrates. Furthermore, a feedback mechanism like that first described for the AC/VU decision (Seydoux and Greenwald 1989) also exists for a Notch-mediated lateral interaction in Drosophila (Heitzler and Simpson 1991) and seems likely to operate in Notch-mediated lateral interactions in vertebrates (e.g., Austin et al. 1995; Chitnis et al. 1995; Washburn et al. 1997). The identification of genes that influence lin-12 activity during the AC/VU decision may reveal other conserved factors that participate in signal transduction or regulate the activity of *lin-12/Notch* proteins.

<sup>3</sup>Corresponding author.

Genetic screens based on suppression or enhancement of *lin-12* mutations have identified a number of genes

E-MAIL greenwald@cuccfa.ccc.columbia.edu; FAX (212) 305-1721.

that influence *lin-12* activity. Here we describe *sel-10*, which was first identified in a screen for suppressors of phenotypes associated with partial loss of *lin-12* activity (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993). We have found that sel-10 acts as a negative regulator of *lin-12* signaling, and that SEL-10 is a member of the CDC4 family of F-box/ WD40 repeat-containing proteins. CDC4, the most extensively studied member of this family, is a Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein that is involved in the ubiquitin-mediated degradation of cell cycle regulators such as SIC1 (for review, see King et al. 1996). CDC4 binds to SIC1, thereby targeting the ubiquitination machinery to this substrate (Feldman et al. 1997; Skowyra et al. 1997). Similarly, we have shown that C. elegans SEL-10 can interact physically with the intracellular domains of *C*. elegans LIN-12 and murine Notch4 (Robbins et al. 1992; Uyttendaele et al. 1996). We propose that SEL-10 promotes ubiquitin-mediated degradation of LIN-12/Notch proteins, and discuss potential roles for LIN-12/Notch turnover in cell fate decisions and oncogenesis.

## Results

# Lowering sel-10 dosage elevates lin-12 activity

Two *sel-10* alleles, *sel-10(ar28)* and *sel-10(ar41)*, were identified in a screen for suppressors of defects caused by a partial loss-of-function allele of *lin-12* (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993). These *sel-10* alleles were shown to suppress multiple defects associated with loss of *lin-12* activity, and to enhance defects associated with elevated *lin-12* activity (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993). Here, we provide evidence that *sel-10* alleles reduce *sel-10* activity, indicating that *sel-10* is a negative regulator of *lin-12* activity.

For the genetic analysis of sel-10, we relied on its genetic interactions with mutations in *lin-12*. We focused on two lin-12-mediated decisions (for review, see Greenwald 1997). One decision is made by two cells of the hermaphrodite gonad, Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa, between the AC and VU fates; normally, only one of these two cells becomes the AC, whereas the other becomes a VU (see introductory section). Eliminating *lin-12* activity causes both Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa to become ACs (the 2 AC defect), and constitutively activating LIN-12 causes both Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa to become VUs. The other decision is made by the six vulval precursor cells, between a particular vulval fate termed 2° or an alternative fate; normally, two of the six vulval precursor cells, P5.p and P7.p, adopt the 2° fate. Eliminating *lin-12* activity causes all six vulval precursor cells to adopt alternative non-2° fates, and constitutively activating LIN-12 causes all six vulval precursor cells to adopt the 2° fate. Thus, mutants in which LIN-12 is constitutively active display a 0 AC Egg-laying (Egl) defect because the absence of an AC prevents normal vulval formation; they are also Multivulva (Muv), because the descendants of each vulval precursor cell that adopts the 2° fate forms a pseudovulva.

sel-10(ar41) appears to elevate *lin-12* activity: sel-10(ar41) suppresses the 2 AC defect of *lin-12* hypomorphs (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993) and enhances the 0 AC defect caused by elevated *lin-12* activity (Table 1, cf. lines 1 and 2). Furthermore, the double mutant *lin-12(n379); sel-10(ar41)* displays a Muv phenotype characteristic of high *lin-12* activity that is not normally seen in *lin-12(n379)* single mutants (Table 1, lines 4,7).

The *sel-10* locus is haploinsufficient: We observed enhancement of the Muv defect (and the sterile/lethal defect) of *lin-12(n379)* hermaphrodites in nDf42/+ hermaphrodites (Table 1, lines 4,6).

The *sel-10(ar41)* mutation appears to reduce *sel-10* activity: the enhancement of the Muv defect of *lin-12(n379)/+* hermaphrodites is more pronounced when *sel-10(ar41)* is placed in trans to the large deficiency *nDf42* (Table 1, lines 2,3). The greater enhancement seen in trans to a deficiency may mean that the *sel-10(ar41)* allele is a partial loss-of-function allele rather than a null allele; alternatively, *nDf42* may remove another gene that interacts with or is functionally redundant with *sel*-

Table 1. sel-10 gene dosage analysis

Relevant genotype	%Egl ( <i>n</i> )	%Muv ( <i>n</i> )	%Ste/Let ( <i>n</i> )
20°			
<i>lin-12(d)/</i> + <sup>a</sup>	6 (93)	0 (93)	0 (93)
<i>lin-12(d)/+;sel-10</i> <sup>b</sup>	91 (54)	0 (54)	0 (54)
<i>lin-12(d)/+;sel-10/Df</i> °	92 (39)	15 (39)	0 (39)
15°			
<i>lin-12(d);</i> + <sup>d</sup>	86 (60)	0 (60)	0 (60)
<i>lin-12(d);sel-10/</i> + <sup>e</sup>	98 (62)	0 (62)	0 (62)
<i>lin-12(d);+/Df</i> <sup>f</sup>	89 (57) <sup>i</sup>	62 (74)	10 (63)
lin-12(d);sel-10 <sup>g</sup>	100 (70) <sup>i</sup>	78 (197)	55 (126)
lin-12(d);sel-10/Df <sup>h</sup>	_	85 (34)	100 (34) <sup>j</sup>

Complete genotypes are as follows:

alin-12(n379)/unc-36(e251); lon-3(e2175)/him-5(e1490)

<sup>b</sup>lin-12(n379)/unc-36(e251);lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)

<sup>c</sup>lin-12(n379)/unc-36(e251);lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)/nDf42

<sup>d</sup>lin-12(n379); lon-3(e2175)/him-5(e1490)

elin-12(n379); lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)/him-5(e1490)

<sup>f</sup>lin-12(n379); lon-3(e2175)/nDf42

glin-12(n379); lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)

<sup>h</sup>lin-12(n379); lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)/nDf42

Complete broods were scored by picking individual L4 animals and inspecting the plates at 24 and 48 hr for the absence of eggs on the plate (Egl) and for the presence of three or more pseudovulvae along the ventral hypodermis (Muv). Plates were then inspected after an additional three days for the presence of live progeny ['Ste/Let'' refers to absence of live progeny and was, in this case, a combination of sterility (Ste) and embryonic lethality (Let)]. In some cases, broods were scored in batch for the Muv phenotype.

<sup>i</sup>Percent of fertile animals displaying the Egl defect.

<sup>J</sup>Inferred genotype: Complete broods from *lin-12(n379)/unc-36(e251);lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)/nDf42*) were scored. The percentage of sterile non-Unc, non-Lon progeny (34/97 = 35%) is approximately equal to that expected for *lin-12(n379);lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)/nDf42* genotypic class. Of the remaining 63 animals, 61/63 were unambiguously scored as heterozygotes in the next generation, whereas the remaining 2/63 did not have a sufficient number of progeny to score unambiguously.

10. Molecular data (see below) indicate that *sel-10(ar41)* would lead to a drastic truncation of the predicted SEL-10 protein, suggesting that *sel-10(ar41)* strongly reduces sel-10 activity.

## Elevating sel-10 dosage lowers lin-12 activity

The molecular cloning of *sel-10*(+) (see below) enabled us to examine the effect of elevated *sel-10*(+) activity, because, in general, extrachromosomal arrays formed after injecting DNA at a high concentration result in higher transgene expression (Mello et al. 1991). We found that extrachromosomal arrays containing high-copy arrays of the sel-10 genomic region (see below) appear to lower lin-12 activity as assayed by their effect on the AC/VU decision. There is a dramatic decrease in the proportion of *lin-12(n379)* hermaphrodites displaying the 0 AC defect in the presence of the high copy number array arEx93 (Table 2A). In addition, the presence of the arEx93 array enhances the 2AC defect caused by a partial loss of *lin-12* function (Table 2B). Therefore, the level of sel-10 activity can control the level of *lin-12* activity, because increasing or decreasing the activity of *sel-10* has reciprocal effects on *lin-12* activity.

## sel-10 mutants display low penetrance defects associated with constitutive activation of lin-12

Most *sel-10* animals appear wild type. We have observed that ~1% of sel-10(ar41) hermaphrodites lack an AC (data not shown). Furthermore, ~4% of sel-10(ar41) males display a gonad Migration (Mig) defect similar to that seen in lin-12(d) mutants, where it results from a failure to form the linker cell, the male counterpart of the hermaphrodite AC (Greenwald et al. 1983). In addition, we note that ~8% of sel-10 mutant hermaphrodites are Egl even though they have an AC, and that sel-10

<b>Table 2.</b> Increased dosage of set-10 reduces III-12 activity	I dosage of sel-10 reduces lin-12 act	ivity
--	---------------------------------------	-------

A. Suppression of phenotypes associated with ine <i>lin-12</i> activity	creased	
Relevant genotype	% 0AC (n) 51 (47) 95 (44)	
lin-12(d); dpy-20;Ex[sel-10(+) dpy-20(+)] lin-12(d); dpy-20;Ex[dpy-20(+)]		
B. Enhancement of phenotypes associated with re <i>lin-12</i> activity	educed	
Relevant genotype	% 2AC (n)	
lin-12(h); dpy-20;Ex[sel-10(+) dpy-20(+)] lin-12(h); dpy-20;Ex[dpy-20(+)]	97 (34) 30 (40)	
$\lim_{z \to z} \frac{12(+)}{dpy-20(e1282);Ex[sel-10(+) dpy-20(+)]}$	0 (87)	

Complete genotypes: *lin-12(d) = lin-12(n379)*, *lin-12(h) = lin-*12(ar170), dpy-20dr(h) = dpy-20(e1282), Ex[sel-10(+) dpy-20(+)]= arEx93, Ex[dpy-20(+)] = arEx149. (See Materials and Methods for details of strain constructions). Non-Dpy animals segregating from the strains were scored in the L3 stage for the number of anchor cells.

Table 3.	Cell autonomy of sel-10 function

A. Enhancement of <i>lin-12(intra)</i> <sup>a</sup>			
Relevant genotype	% Egl ( <i>n</i> )	% Mig ( <i>n</i> )	
sel-10(+);dr arEx[lin-12(intra)]	16 (88) <sup>b</sup>	10 (57)	
sel-10(ar41);dr arEx[lin-12(intra)]	46 (136) <sup>b</sup>	59 (90)	
B. Cell ablation <sup>c</sup>			
	% 0AC ( <i>n</i> )		
Relevant genotype	unoperated	operated	
lin-12(n379)/+; sel-10(+)	10 (57)	9 (11)	
lin-12(n379)/+; sel-10(ar41)	97 (71)	83 (12)	

<sup>a</sup>All strains also contained him-5(e1490). dr arEx[lin-12(intra)] = arEx152 (K. Fitzgerald, pers. comm.) is an extrachromosomal array formed by microinjection (Fire 1986; Mello et al. 1991) of pRF4 [plasmid containing rol-6(su1006) sequence that confers a Rol phenotype onto worms carrying the array] at 100 µg/ml and pLC8 (Struhl et al. 1993).

<sup>b</sup>We infer that these Egl hermaphrodites lacked an AC because we scored additional hermaphrodites of relevant genotype sel-10; arEx[lin-12(intra)] in the L3 stage for the presence or absence of an AC and as adults for their egg-laying ability, and found that nine hermaphrodites that clearly had a single AC were non-Egl, whereas nine hermaphrodites that clearly lacked an AC were Egl.

<sup>c</sup>Complete genotype: *dpy-17(e164)lin-12(n379)/unc-32(e189)*; lon-3(e2175) sel-10(+ or ar41). "Operated" refers to worms in which Z4 was laser ablated in the early L1 stage (when the gonad primordium consisted of four cells, Z1-Z4). Worms were then scored in the L3 stage for the presence or absence of an AC.

males have a reduced mating efficiency that cannot be completely accounted for by the Mig defect. These additional defects may reflect the effect of increased lin-12 activity on other cell fate decisions (Greenwald et al. 1983).

## Cell autonomy of the sel-10 effect on lin-12 activity

Two lines of evidence suggest that sel-10 functions cell autonomously to elevate lin-12 activity. First, we examined the effect of reducing sel-10 activity on the activity of the intracellular domain of LIN-12. Expression of lin-12(intra) causes phenotypes associated with LIN-12 activation (Struhl et al. 1993). Because LIN-12(intra) lacks the extracellular domain and, hence, is active in the absence of external signaling, an enhancement of lin-12(intra) activity by sel-10 mutations would be evidence for cell autonomy of the sel-10 effect on lin-12 activity. We used an extrachromosomal array that contains the lin-12(intra) transgene and a transformation marker (see Materials and Methods); this array results in a low-penetrance lin-12 activated phenotype (Table 3A, line 1). When this array is combined with sel-10(ar41), there is a dramatic increase in the proportion of hermaphrodites displaying the 0 AC-Egl defect and males displaying the Mig defect (Table 3A, line 2), suggesting that sel-10(+) activity normally reduces *lin-12* function in the same cell.

We have also tested whether sel-10 functions in the receiving end of *lin-12*-mediated cell-cell interactions by performing cell ablation experiments to remove the signaling cell, in this case Z4.aaa (Table 3B). This experiment enables different genotypes to be compared with respect to their intrinsic level of constitutive lin-12 activity in Z1.ppp. If Z4, the precursor to Z4.aaa, is ablated in *lin-12(n379)/+* hermaphrodites, Z1.ppp usually becomes an AC, because the level of constitutive lin-12 activity is relatively low. However, if Z4 is ablated in lin-12(n379)/+;sel-10 hermaphrodites, Z1.ppp usually becomes a VU, suggesting that the level of constitutive lin-12 activity is relatively high. These results suggest that *sel-10*(+) functions to reduce *lin-12* activity within the same cell, because a high level of intrinsic lin-12 activity is seen when sel-10 activity is reduced, even when the signaling cell is removed.

#### Cloning of sel-10 by an anti-suppression assay

*sel-10* was mapped previously to an interval between *lin-25* and *unc-76* on LGV (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993). We refined the map position to a 300-kb interval between the cloned polymorphisms *arP3* and *TCPAR1* (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 1). Cosmids from the region were tested for their ability to reverse the suppression of the 2AC defect of *lin-12(ar170)* by *sel-10(ar41)* (see Materials and Methods). Arrays containing the cosmid C07E11 gave rescue in this anti-suppression assay and also reversed the enhancement of *lin-12(n379)* by *sel-10(ar41)* (data not shown). This cosmid was further subcloned and the ~8-kb fragment in pJH166 gave results similar to those seen with the entire cosmid (Fig. 1).

#### Molecular analysis of sel-10

The ends of pJH166 (Fig. 1) were sequenced and compared with sequence generated by the *C. elegans* genome



**Figure 1.** Molecular cloning of *sel-10*. See Materials and Methods for details of rescue assays, plasmid constructions, and molecular analysis. Genetic markers used to map *sel-10* are italicized, and two cosmids that contain *sel-10* sequences are shown in the box. The cosmid C07E11 and derivatives were tested for rescue (asterisk). pJH169 is identical to pJH166 except that it contains a stop codon in the predicted coding sequence after codon 172. Restriction sites are (B) *Bam*HI; (H) *Hind*III; (S) *Sal*I.

sequencing project (Waterston et al. 1997). The entire region was found on the cosmid F55B12. A fragment from the predicted open reading frame was radiolabeled and used to screen a Northern blot and to probe a cDNA library (see Materials and Methods). Northern analysis revealed a single band of ~2.5-kb that is present in total RNA prepared from wild-type, sel-10(ar41) and sel-10(ar28) strains (data not shown). The ends of ten cDNAs from the region were sequenced and the largest cDNA was sequenced in its entirety on one strand. Verification of the 5' end was obtained by sequencing products amplified from the cDNA library by use of transspliced leader sequence SL1 (Krause and Hirsh 1987) and a sel-10-specific sequence for primers. The splice junction of SL1 to the sel-10 coding region occurs four bases upstream of the first predicted start codon. Figure 2 summarizes the results of the sequence analysis of sel-10.

#### SEL-10 encodes a protein of the CDC4 family

By use of a BLAST search (Altschul et al. 1990), we found that the predicted SEL-10 protein sequence contains two previously identified amino acid sequence motifs (Fig. 3A-C). First, there is a motif (Kumar and Paietta 1995) that is now called the F-Box, after its occurrence in cyclin F (Bai et al. 1996). The F-Box motif has been implicated in protein-protein interactions, and is found in a large variety of proteins, many of which contain other recognizable motifs carboxy terminal to the F-Box (Bai et al. 1996). Second, there are seven tandem WD40 repeats, also known as  $\beta$ -transducin repeats, a conserved repeat of ~40 amino acids named for the common appearance of Trp-Asp (WD) at the end of the repeat (for review, see Neer et al. 1994). The crystal structure of  $\beta$ -transducin reveals that the seven repeats form a  $\beta$  propeller structure, which most likely mediates protein-protein interactions (Gaudet et al. 1996; Lambright et al. 1996; Sondek et al. 1996). There is a great deal of functional diversity among WD40 repeat-containing proteins.

The presence of an F-box amino-terminal to a set of seven WD40 motifs is the hallmark of the CDC4 family of WD40 repeat-containing proteins, indicating that SEL-10 belongs to this family. Furthermore, separate BLAST searches with just the SEL-10 F box or the SEL-10 WD40 repeats identified members of the CDC4 family as the most similar. The F-Box motif present in proteins within the CDC4 subfamily is more conserved than among other F-Box-containing proteins (Fig. 3B), and there is more extensive homology around the F-box (Kumar and Paietta 1995). In addition, the alignment of the WD40 repeats of SEL-10 and CDC4 (Fig. 3C) reveals that a given WD40 repeat is more similar between yeast and worms than are the repeats within a given species.

The CDC4 family includes proteins in fungi and vertebrates, other predicted *C. elegans* proteins (E. Kipreos, S. Gohel, and E. Hedgecock, pers. comm.; E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.), and several mammalian proteins (Kumar and Paietta 1995; Bai et al. 1996). The best studied member of this family, *Sacharomyces cerevisiae* CDC4, targets SIC1 and certain  $G_1$  cyclins for degradation (for re-

Figure 2. cDNA sequence and predicted protein product of sel-10. Splice junctions are indicated by arrows below the DNA sequence. The first arrow indicates the SL1 splice junction. The F-Box (Kumar and Paietta 1995, Bai et al. 1996) and the WD40 repeats are overlined and labeled in the Figure. The lesions in *sel-10(ar41)* and sel-10(ar28) are indicated with reverse contrast letters in the nucleotide sequence and a bold asterisk above the amino acid; both are G to A transitions resulting in W to stop codon changes in the amino acid sequence at residues 323 and 511, respectively. The cDNA termination codon is marked with an asterisk. A sequence conforming to the consensus polyadenylation signal sequence is underlined, and sites of polyA attachment are marked in bold. Two independent cDNAs contained polyA 14 nucleotides downstream of this signal; two alternative sites of attachment were also observed. Sequence information has been submitted to GenBank (accession no. AF020788).

1	
M W P R N D V H M D D G S M T P E D Q E P V T D N D M E Y N ATGTGGCCACGAAATGATGTACACATGGATGATGGATCACACGGGAGGCCAGGAGCCTGTTACCGATAATGATATGGAATATAAT	30 90
GACAATGGAGAAGACTCGTACAGCAATGGCTCTTCTTCCAGCTACAAATTATCGTCTCCAGACUTTTGCAACACAAA 1	60 180
L D L S A S P S R N N D L N P R V K H L I A L F K D L S S A CTTCATTTATCGGCTTCTCCCTCTCGAAACAACGACCTCAATCCGCGTGTCGAACATTTGATCGACTTATTCAAGGATCTATCAAGGACCTCAAGCGCG 2	90 270
EQMDAPTRLLQESNMTNIRQLRAIJEPHFQ 1 GAACAAATGGATGCATTCAACGTCTGCTTCACGAATCCAACATGACAAATATTCGACGGCTGCCGTTATTGAACCCCATTTCCAG 3 F-box	120 360
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L50 150
SKNWKLISEIDKIWKSLCVEEFKHHPDPTD1 ICGAAAAATIGAAATIGAAATIGAAATIGACAAAATIGACAACACCACACACAC	180
	1417
R V T G A W Q C T A I A A G V T I P D H I Q P C D L N V H R 2 COAGTACTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCAATTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTCCTGATCTCCACTGGTGCCACGTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCAATTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCAATTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCAATTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAACTGCACTAATTGCTGCTGGTGGCAAGGAAGG	210 530
FLKLQKFGCDCHTTTGCACCCCCCCCCCCCTURECCACCGCTDBDCCCCCCCTURECCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	:40
	20
NANPTMOSAVI, BOHEDHVITCMOLUDDVIV 2	270
AATGCCAATTATCOCCTCACCAGTGCTACCAGGGAGACACGAAGAFCATGPAATCACTTGTATGCAAAATTCATCATCATCATGTGTGTGT	10
T G S D D N T I, K V W C I D K G E V M Y T L V G H T G G V W 3	00
$\label{eq:construct} ACTGGATCTGACGATAACACTCTTAAAGTCTATTCACAAAGGAGGTTATGTACACACTGGTCGCCACACTGGAGGACTTTCG = 9$	00
*(ar41)	
TSQISOCGRYIVSGSTDRTVKVWSTVDGSL	330
ACATCACAGAT"""C"CCAA"GCGGAGATATATTGTTAGCGGGTCCACTCATACAACTGTAAAAGTTTGBAGTACTGTAGATGGTTCACTT 5	990
wbm	
L H T L Q G H T S T V R C M A M A G S I L V T G S R D T T L 3	60
	00
	0.0
RVWDVESGRHLATLHCHHAAVRCVOEDCTT	20
R V W D V E S G R H L A T L H C H H A A V R C V Q F D G T T 3 CCTCTATCCCACGAGACTCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACTATACATGGCCAUCAUGCAGCCGTTCGATGCGTTCAATTCCATCCAACAACT 11 WD V	.70
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{W} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{E} \ \textbf{S} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{C} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{Q} \ \textbf{F} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{J} \\ CTOTATEGCACGTAGAATCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACTATTCATGGCCATCCAT$	.70 20
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ \ \textbf{V} \ \ \textbf{W} \ \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{V} \ \ \textbf{E} \ \ \textbf{S} \ \ \textbf{G} \ \ \textbf{R} \ \ \textbf{H} \ \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{A} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{L} \ \ \textbf{H} \ \ \textbf{G} \ \ \textbf{H} \ \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{A} \ \ \textbf{A} \ \ \textbf{V} \ \ \textbf{R} \ \ \textbf{C} \ \ \textbf{V} \ \ \textbf{Q} \ \ \textbf{F} \ \ \textbf{D} \ \ \textbf{G} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{C} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{C} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf{C} \ \ \textbf{T} \ \ \textbf$	.70 .20 60
R V W D V E S G R H L A T L H G H H A A V R C V Q F D G T T 3 CCTCTATCCCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACCTTACATGGCCATCATGCAGCCGTTCGATGCGTTCAATTCCATCCA	.70 .20 :60
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{W} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{E} \ \textbf{S} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{C} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{C} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{Q} \ \textbf{F} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{J} \\ CTCTATEGCACGTAGAATCCGGACGTCACTGGACACTTACATGGCAGCCGTTCGATGCGTCCATTCCATCCA$	.70 .20 :60 50 50
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{R} \ \ \mathbb{V} \ \ \mathbb{W} \ \ \mathbb{D} \ \ \mathbb{V} \ \ \mathbb{E} \ \ \mathbb{G} \ \ \mathbb{G} \ \ \mathbb{H} \ \ \mathbb{L} \ \mathbb{A} \ \ \mathbb{T} \ \ \mathbb{L} \ \ \mathbb{H} \ \ \mathbb{G} \ \ \mathbb{H} \ \ \mathbb{H} \ \ \mathbb{A} \ \ \mathbb{A} \ \ \mathbb{V} \ \ \mathbb{R} \ \ \mathbb{C} \ \ \mathbb{V} \ \ \mathbb{C} \ \ \ \mathbb{C} \ \ \ \ \mathbb{C} \ \ $	.70 .20 :60 :50 :50
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ G \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ 3 \\ \hline CTCTATEGEACCTAGAATCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACCTTGACGGCCATCAATGCAGCCGTTCGATGCGTTCAATTCCATCACACACA$	20 60 50 50 80 40
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ C \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ J \\ CTCTATGCCACGTAGAATCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACTGGACGCCGTCCATGCGACGCGTTCAATTCCATCCA$	.70 (20) (60) (50) (50) (50) (80) (40) (10)
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{W} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{E} \ \textbf{S} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{L} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{H} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{R} \ \textbf{C} \ \textbf{V} \ \textbf{Q} \ \textbf{F} \ \textbf{D} \ \textbf{G} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{J} \\ CTOTATCCCACCTAGAATCCCGGACGTCACCTGGCAACCTTACATGGCCATCCAT$	.70 :20 :60 :50 :50 :50 :80 :40 :10 :30
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ C \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ J \\ \hline CTOTATECCACGAGACTCAGACGAGACTCAGCGACCTCAGCAGACCTGGACGACGCCTTCAATCCATCACACACA$	.70 .20 .60 .50 .50 .80 .40 .10 .30 .540 .620
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ G \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3$	.70 (20) (60) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (5
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{W}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{E}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{G}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{L}  \textbf{A}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{L}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{C}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{A}  \textbf{A}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{C}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{Q}  \textbf{F}  \textbf{D}  \textbf{G}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{J} \\ \textbf{CTCTATEGCAECTAGAACTCEGAEGAETCAETCCEGACACTTEGAAGCCETTCAATCCATCCATCACAACAACT 11 \\ \hline \textbf{WD}  \textbf{WD}  \textbf{V} \\ \textbf{WD}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{G}  \textbf{G}  \textbf{Y}  \textbf{D}  \textbf{F}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{K}  \textbf{I}  \textbf{W}  \textbf{N}  \textbf{N}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{C}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{C}  \textbf{I}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{L}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{G}  \textbf{H}  \textbf{N}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{R} \\ \textbf{G}  \textbf{TTGTTTCCGCEACCATATCATTTACCTTAAAATTTCGAATGCCTAATCGGAGAGTGTACCCGTACTCCUGACCGGGTCATAACAATAGA 12 \\ \hline \textbf{V}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{L}  \textbf{F}  \textbf{E}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{C}  \textbf{S}  \textbf{L}  \textbf{D}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{V}  \textbf{W}  \textbf{D}  \textbf{F}  \textbf{T}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{P}  \textbf{R}  \textbf{R} \\ \textbf{G} \\ TTGTTTCCTCTCTCTAAAACCGACCGATCGTCGTCGTCGTCGTCGTCGGCACTCCAATCCGGTCUTCAACTTCGCGUCUUUUUUUUUU$	.70 .20 .60 .50 .50 .50 .80 .40 .30 .54
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ C \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ J \\ \hline CTOTATECCACGAGAATCCGGACGTCACCTGGACAACTTACATGGACGCCGTCGATGCGATGCGATGCGATCCATCC$	.70 .20 .60 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .5
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{R} \ V \ W \ D \ V \ E \ S \ G \ R \ H \ L \ A \ T \ L \ H \ G \ H \ H \ A \ A \ V \ R \ C \ V \ Q \ F \ D \ G \ T \ T \ J \ Gamma \$	.70 :20 :60 :50 :50 :50 :50 :50 :50 :50 :5

view, see King et al. 1996). Not all CDC4 family members, however, are cell cycle regulators. For example, there are proteins that negatively regulate sulfur metabolism from *S. cerevisiae, Neurospora crassa,* and *Aspergillus nidulans* (Natorff et al. 1993; Kumar and Paietta 1995; Thomas et al. 1995).

A recent database search has revealed potential human and rat SEL-10 orthologs (Fig. 3D). The limited sequence information available to date for the human gene begins in the sixth WD40 repeat and extends to the carboxyl terminus, and in this region, there is 60% sequence identity. BLAST searches of the available databases with the SEL-10 sequence carboxy-terminal to the WD40 repeats have not identified any other highly conserved sequences.

#### sel-10 mutations truncate the SEL-10 protein

Sequence analysis of *sel-10* mutations supports the genetic evidence suggesting that they strongly reduce *sel-10* activity. The sequence alterations caused by *sel-10(ar41)* and *sel-10(ar28)* were determined by direct sequencing of amplified genomic DNA products (see Materials and Methods). Both alleles are nonsense mu-

A Schematic representation of SEL-10 and CDC4

		SEL-1	o [	l F	1	1	WD40	I-VII	
			10	5% 35	• % 149		33	%	17%
CD	C4		- 10 AMER	F		I	WD40	I-VII	
ΒA	lignment	of F-B	ox						
SEL	10 127	LP	V ELGM KUT		G Y DLLI	< V A Q VS	KNW.KL	<b>I</b> SEIDK:	IWKSLG
CDO	24 278	LP	FEISLKU	FNYQI	FEDII	NSLG <mark>VS</mark>	QNWNKI	RKSTSI	
C A	lignment	of WD	40 repeats						
I	SEL-10	253	GHEDHV	IITCMQ	I H 🖸 D V I	LVTGSD	DNTLK	WC	
	CDC4	419	GHMTSV	IITCLQI	FEDNY	VITGAD	DKMIRM	Y D	
п	SEL-10 CDC4	294 460	GHTGGV GHDGGV	WTSQI: WALKY/	SQCGR AHGC	Y I V SG S I L V SG S	T D R T V K	VW S VW D	
ш	SEL-10	336			4.G	STIMU	GSEDTE		
	CDC4	502	GHNSITV	RICLDIV	VEYKN	IKYIVI	SGRDNT	LHVWK	
IV	SEL-10	376	GHHAAV	R C V Q F I	DGTTV	VSGGYD	FUVKI	N	
	CDC4	209	GHMASV	KINSGI	HGNIV	VSGSYD		D	
v	SEL-10 CDC4	416 609	GHNNRV GHTDRI	YSLLFI YSTIYI	ESERS Dherki	IVCSGS RCISAS	LDTS <u>IR</u> MDTTIR	VWD IWD	
VI	SEL-10	461			RGNT	VSCNAD	SHVRV	D	
• •	CDC4	671		GLLR		VSAAAD	GSIRG	D	
VII	SEL-10	501	G 🖬 R 🖻	AITSL	a w F G R	MVATS	SDDGTV	KLWD	
	CDC4	710	HUITNLE	<u>ALLI</u> T.	FYVSD	MILVSG	SIENQFN	.IYN	
D A	lignment	of part	ial sequenc	e of pote	ential hu	ıman SE	L-10 orth	nolog	
		<u>w</u>	D VI						
Ce Hs	SEL-10 48 SEL-10	30 N. N	ADSHVIRV Adstviki	WDIHE WDIKTG	TCVHN GCLQT	10	GHRSAI Khiqsav	TISLOWFIG TICLO . FIN	; RNMVATISISIDIG   KN FV I TSSIDIG
		_			-			. —	
Ce S	SEL-10 52 SEL-10	27 K	WDIERG WDIKTG	A L <b>IR</b> D F F <b>IR</b> N	VTLD	GGNGG ARCASARA	CIMRLC VVMRIR	S T S <mark>∏</mark> M <mark>∏</mark> A A S N 11 K III V	CAVES RINNTEE CAVES RINGTEE
Ce	SEL-10 5	77 V			و بيريور بي	لتاليا - يواجعه			المتعالير - مصمحينين يوي
Hs	SEL-10	Ľ	LVLDFD						

tations at nucleotide positions 969 and 1533, respectively (see Fig. 2), resulting in truncated predicted proteins.

sel-10(ar41) removes the carboxy-terminal half of the protein, including five of the seven WD40 repeats. This observation suggests that sel-10(ar41) is likely to result in a nonfunctional SEL-10 protein. It is unlikely that the two WD40 repeats that remain in this protein are functional because there are no known WD40-repeat-containing proteins with only two repeats (Neer et al. 1994). Furthermore, the crystal structure of  $\beta$  transducin reveals that the seven repeats form a  $\beta$  propeller structure that would not be complete in the absence of five of the seven repeats (Sondek et al. 1996). Finally, comparable mutations in another *C. elegans* CDC4 subfamily protein, LIN-23, behave like molecular null alleles (E.T. Kipreos, S.P. Gohel, and E.M. Hedgecock, pers. comm.).

# C. elegans SEL-10 physically interacts with LIN-12(intra) and murine Notch4(int3)

We probed for potential interactions between SEL-10 and

Figure 3. SEL-10 is a member of the CDC4 family of F-box/WD40-repeat proteins. The CDC4 sequence (accession no. X05625) is from GenBank. There are other potential CDC4 family members in the database; limited sequence data for a potential SEL-10 ortholog is given in part D. For further discussion of the CDC4 family, see Kumar and Paietta (1995) and Bai et al. (1996). Reverse contrast letters indicate amino acid identity. (A) Schematic depiction of SEL-10 and CDC4, drawn to scale. The percentage of identical amino acids in each region is indicated. Members of the CDC4 family all have this general organization, with some variability in the length and sequence of their amino and carboxyl termini. (B) Alignment of SEL-10 and CDC4 F-Boxes. (C) Alignment of WD40 repeats from SEL-10 and CDC4. (D) Alignment of SEL-10 and a potential human ortholog. Partial sequence of a human cDNA encoding a sequence highly similar to the carboxyl terminus of SEL-10 was obtained from Genbank (accession no. H22962) and was extended into the WD40 repeats by direct sequencing of clone ym50h08.s1 (G. Wu and J. Kitajewski, unpubl.). The predicted amino acid sequence encoded by the available human cDNA sequence is shown. The database also contains partial sequence information for a rat cDNA (Genbank accession no. H34371) that is predicted to encode a peptide that is 100% identical to the last 35 amino acids of the available human sequence (data not shown).

the intracellular domains of LIN-12/Notch proteins, specifically LIN-12(intra), the intact intracellular domain (Struhl et al. 1993) and Notch4(int3), the intact intracellular domain with some additional sequences produced by the *int3* mutation (Robbins et al. 1992; Uyttendaele et al. 1996). We initially used the yeast two-hybrid system (Fields and Song 1989) and our preliminary results suggested that SEL-10 physically interacted with the *C. elegans* LIN-12 intracellular domain, the *C. elegans* GLP-1 intracellular domain (GLP-1 is another *C. elegans* LIN-12/Notch protein; see Yochem and Greenwald 1989), and the mouse Notch4(int3) intracellular domain (data not shown).

To examine further whether SEL-10 binds LIN-12/ Notch proteins, we carried out coimmunoprecipitation experiments by use of transfected mammalian cells (Fig. 4). 293T (Bosc23) cells (human embryonic kidney cells) were transiently transfected with hemagglutinin HAtagged LIN-12(intra) and/or myc-tagged SEL-10 (see Materials and Methods). Transfected cells were lysed and LIN-12(intra)HA was precipitated with anti-HA antibod-



SEL-10 with either C. elegans LIN-12(intra) or murine Notch4(int3) from transfected 293T cells. (A) Samples were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody and the Western blot was probed with anti-myc to visualize SEL-10myc (top) or anti-HA to visualize LIN-12(intra)HA (bottom). Arrow indicates the expected mobility of SEL-10myc. (B) Samples were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody and the Western blot was probed with anti-HA (top) or anti-myc (bottom). Arrow indicates the expected mobility of LIN-12(intra)HA. (C) Samples were immunoprecipitated with anti-Notch4 antibody and the Western blot was probed with anti-HA to visualize SEL-10HA (top) or anti-Notch4 to visualize Notch4(int3) (bottom). Arrow indicates the expected mobility of SEL-10HA. (D) Samples were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody and

the Western blot was probed with anti-Notch4 (top) or anti-HA (bottom). Arrow indicates the expected mobility of Notch4(int3). For details, see Materials and Methods. (Lane 1) pQNClacZ; (lane 2) pQNClin-12(intra)HA + pQNClacZ; (lane 3) pQNClacZ + pQNCsel-10myc; (lane 4) pQNClin-12(intra)HA + pQNCsel-10myc; (lane 5) mock transfected cells; (lane 6) pLNCint3 + pQNCX; (lane 7) pLNCX + pQNCsel-10HA; (lane 8) pLNCint3 + pQNCsel-10HA.

ies (Fig. 4A) or, alternatively, SEL-10myc was immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibodies (Fig. 4B). The immunoprecipitates were subjected to immunoblot analysis to identify bound proteins, and probed with anti-myc or anti-HA antibodies as indicated. Under both conditions, the immunoprecipitates were found to contain both LIN-12HA and SEL-10myc. This result suggests that SEL-10 and LIN-12 are able to interact physically, either directly or in a complex.

We also examined whether the C. elegans SEL-10 protein would interact with the murine Notch4(int-3) protein. Cells were transfected with Notch4(int-3) and/or SEL-10HA, and immunoprecipitation was performed with cell lysates by use of either anti-Notch4 antibodies (Fig. 4C) or anti-HA antibodies (Fig. 4D). Immunoblot analysis showed that the immunoprecipitates contained a complex of Notch4(int-3) and SEL-10 proteins; thus, SEL-10 is able to complex with Notch4(int-3) protein.

The observations that sel-10 negatively regulates lin-12 activity, that SEL-10 resembles CDC4, and that SEL-10 physically interacts with LIN-12, taken together, strongly suggest that SEL-10 functions biochemically like CDC4 to promote LIN-12 turnover. We have attempted to examine the effect of coexpressing C. elegans SEL-10 on the steady-state levels and ubiquitination of Notch4(int-3) and observed a modest decrease in the steady state level (data not shown); however, at this time the mechanism underlying this decrease is not clear. Furthermore, there appears to be polyubiquitination of Notch4(int-3) even in the absence of transfected SEL-10, perhaps caused by the activity of an endogenous mammalian *sel-10*-like gene (data not shown).

#### Discussion

In this paper, we have presented genetic evidence indi-

cating that *sel-10* is a negative regulator of *lin-12*-mediated signaling in *C. elegans.* Mutations that lower *sel-10* activity, elevate *lin-12* activity, and increasing *sel-10* dosage lowers *lin-12* activity, suggesting that the level of *sel-10* activity can influence *lin-12* activity. Furthermore, *sel-10* appears to act in the same cell as *lin-12*.

We propose that the mechanism by which *sel-10* affects *lin-12* activity may be by controlling LIN-12/Notch protein levels. Sequence analysis indicates that SEL-10 is related to the *S. cerevisiae* protein CDC4, which promotes the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of cell cycle regulators (for review, see King et al. 1996). CDC4 complexes with its substrates (Feldman et al. 1997; Skowyra et al. 1997), and we have found that *C. elegans* SEL-10 complexes with the intracellular domain of LIN-12. Proteins related to SEL-10 exist in mammals, and *C. elegans* SEL-10 physically complexes with Notch4(int3), the intracellular domain of murine Notch4. These observations suggest that negative regulation of LIN-12/Notch by SEL-10 may be an evolutionarily conserved feature.

# SEL-10 may target LIN-12/Notch proteins for ubiquitin-mediated degradation

The attachment of ubiquitin to substrates involves a series of protein complexes. Ubiquitin is activated by linkage to an E1 ubiquitin activating enzyme, then transferred to an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme. Some ubiquitination events also require the action of a third complex, termed E3. It is thought that E3 complexes may contribute to substrate specificity (for review, see Ciechanover 1994; King et al. 1996). The S. cerevisiae protein CDC4 may function in an E3 complex. CDC4 is one of a group of genes that also includes CDC34, CDC53, and SKP1; together, the proteins encoded by these genes directly regulate the level of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor SIC1, which must be destroyed for progression from G<sub>1</sub> to S phase. CDC34 is an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (Goebl et al. 1988), and the current view is that CDC4, CDC53, and SKP1 function as an E3 complex (Bai et al. 1996; Mathias et al. 1996). On the basis of our analysis of sel-10 and the data for CDC4, we propose that SEL-10 functions as part of an E3 complex to target the intracellular domains of LIN-12/ Notch proteins for ubiquitin-dependent degradation.

The carboxy-terminal region of all LIN-12/Notch proteins contain PEST sequences and one or more lysines immediately carboxy-terminal to the cdc10/SWI6 motifs. The presence of PEST sequences often indicates that ubiquitin-mediated turnover occurs, although the two are not necessarily strictly correlated (Rogers et al. 1986). With respect to the CDC34/CDC4-mediated events, it appears that the PEST sequences couple phosphorylation of the substrate to attachment of ubiquitin to lysine residues (for review, see King et al. 1996). The PEST sequence found in the carboxy-terminal region of all LIN-12/Notch proteins, however, may not be required for SEL-10-mediated degradation of LIN-12/Notch proteins, because *sel-10* mutations can still enhance the gain-offunction phenotype of a *glp-1* allele that is truncated prior to the PEST sequence (E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.).

An important issue to consider in the context of SEL-10 as a component of an E3 complex is its specificity for LIN-12/Notch proteins. The available C. elegans genetic data suggest that sel-10 is an allele-nonspecific, genespecific suppressor of *lin-12*, supporting a role for SEL-10 specifically in regulating the activity of LIN-12, or perhaps a small set of proteins including LIN-12. Allelenonspecificity is indicated by the observation that mutations in sel-10 suppress/enhance all lin-12 alleles tested (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993; this report; E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.). Gene-specificity is suggested by the fact that mutations in sel-10 have not been identified in numerous screens in many laboratories for suppressors of hypomorphic mutations in genes encoding proteins other than LIN-12/Notch proteins; furthermore, we have not observed suppression of various marker mutations used in routine strain constructions or of hypomorphic alleles of several other genes encoding receptor proteins (E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.).

The available genetic data also suggest that sel-10 activity does not regulate cell cycle progression, a possibility raised by the phenotype of *cdc4* mutants. Mutations in cul-1, a C. elegans gene related to S. cerevisiae CDC53, cause hyperplasia of larval blast cells, suggesting that cul-1 regulates cell-cycle progression (Kipreos et al. 1996). We have not seen any evidence that hyperplasia occurs in sel-10(ar41) mutants (E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.). Because sel-10(ar41) mutants have little or no sel-10 activity (see Results), we think that it is unlikely that sel-10 is involved in cell cycle regulation per se, unless there is another functionally redundant gene that masks cell cycle involvement of sel-10. In contrast, mutations in another CDC4 related gene, lin-23, do cause hyperplasia, consistent with a role for *lin-23* in the regulation of cell cycle progression (E.T. Kipreos, S.P. Gohel, and E.M. Hedgecock, pers. comm.).

# Potential roles for LIN-12/Notch protein downregulation or turnover in cell fate decisions

Proteolysis of LIN-12/Notch proteins might occur in response to ligand binding or occur constitutively. For a variety of cell surface receptors, ligand-induced polyubiquitination appears to be a mechanism for down-regulation (for review, see Ciechanover and Schwartz 1994). Although we do not, at this time, have direct evidence for ligand-induced ubiquitination of LIN-12/Notch receptors, we note that LIN-12(intra), which genetically and physically interacts with SEL-10, behaves like an activated receptor (Struhl et al. 1993). Alternatively, SEL-10 may target any form of LIN-12/Notch (activated or unactivated) for degradation. Although constitutive turnover is not strictly a mechanism for controlling receptor activity per se, it would, in effect, sensitize the system to other control mechanisms such as transcriptional regulation (see below) by generally reducing the amount of LIN-12.

Constitutive turnover or ligand-induced down-regulation of LIN-12/Notch proteins may be important for cell fate decisions to occur normally. We can best illustrate potential roles for turnover or down-regulation with the AC/VU decision (Seydoux and Greenwald 1989; Wilkinson et al. 1994) as an example. Initially, Z1.ppp and Z4.aaa have equal signaling and receiving potentials; ligand (LAG-2) and receptor (LIN-12) may interact, but signaling activity is below a critical threshold. SEL-10mediated turnover or down-regulation of LIN-12 might prevent this initial signaling from causing both cells to achieve the threshold value of effector activity. Thus, one possible role for receptor turnover or down-regulation would be to limit the output from a single ligandreceptor interaction.

Another potential role for receptor turnover or downregulation is in enhancing differences in *lin-12* activity between interacting cells. During the AC/VU decision, a small stochastic difference between the two cells is amplified by a feedback mechanism (Seydoux and Greenwald 1989). The feedback mechanism appears to involve differential transcription of ligand and receptor genes: activation of LIN-12 appears to repress transcription of lag-2 and to stimulate transcription of lin-12 (Wilkinson et al. 1994). The feedback mechanism ensures that the cell with higher *lin-12* activity becomes the VU whereas the cell with lower lin-12 activity becomes the AC. Down-regulation of LIN-12 would be necessary for differences in transcription to be manifest. In the absence of down-regulation, signaling from activated receptor would persist, masking the effects of differential transcription. Indeed, this situation is analogous to the role of ubiquitin-mediated degradation of G1 cyclins (King et al. 1996).

Turnover of LIN-12/Notch proteins may play different or additional roles in other cell fate decisions. For example, in *Drosophila* eye development, Notch appears to be utilized for sequential cell fate decisions (Cagan and Ready 1989), which would seem to necessitate clearance of activated Notch after each decision so that a new assessment of *Notch* activity can be made. Furthermore, it is also conceivable that for some LIN-12/Notch mediated decisions, the cell fate adopted may depend on the intensity of signal, as has been seen for receptors for gradient morphogens (e.g., Nellen et al. 1996). If any LIN-12/Notch-mediated decisions do display such dosage sensitivity, it is likely that they would depend on rapid turnover of activated receptor complexes so that the correct threshold value is read.

The fact that most *sel-10(ar41)* individuals are phenotypically wild-type, with only a small proportion displaying phenotypes associated with LIN-12 activation, may be explained in this context if there is a redundant gene product or regulatory mechanism. There are other CDC4 related genes in the *C. elegans* genome (E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.). Furthermore, there may be other mechanisms for degrading LIN-12. For example, *sel-1*, another negative regulator of *lin-12* activity, may also be involved in LIN-12 turnover (Grant and Greenwald 1997), but because SEL-1 is an extracytosolic protein, it is not likely to be directly involved in the ubiquitination of the intracellular domain of LIN-12.

#### Potential roles for sel-10 in oncogenesis

Mammalian tumors induced by expression of Notch4(int3) or other truncated forms of Notch largely consisting of the intact intracellular domain are thought to result from constitutive Notch activity (Ellisen et al. 1991; Robbins et al. 1992; Uyttendaele et al. 1996). Because SEL-10 down-regulates Notch activity, it may act to restrain either normal or oncogenic functions of activated Notch, and, hence, suppress cell growth. If so, lossof-function mutations in vertebrate sel-10 could contribute to oncogenesis mediated by Notch by elevating the level of Notch protein. For instance, human T acute lymphoblastic leukemias, which in the majority of cases do not contain oncogenic Notch alterations (Drexler et al. 1995), and human breast tumors, which thus far have not been reported to contain oncogenic Notch alterations, may carry mutations in other proteins that influence Notch activity, such as sel-10 homologs.

#### Materials and methods

#### General methods and strains

General methods are described by Brenner (1974). The wild-type parent for all strains was C. elegans var. Bristol strain N2. Mapping experiments utilized the Bristol/Bergerac congenic strain GS352, in which the region between rol-4 and par-1 of Bristol was replaced with the corresponding region from the Bergerac strain BO (Tuck and Greenwald 1996). Strains were grown at 20°C unless otherwise noted. Mutations used are described in Hodgkin (1997); additional references for critical alleles are also given. Note that all genetic constructions containing sel-10 used a sel-10 chromosome that does not have the linked modifier mutation arX (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993). LGIII: dpy-17(e164), unc-36(e251), and unc-32(e189); lin-12(ar170) (Hubbard et al. 1996; E.J.A. Hubbard, unpubl.); lin-12(n379) (Greenwald et al. 1983). LGIV: dpy-20(e1282). LGV: nDf42 (M. Hengartner and H.R. Horvitz, pers. comm.), lon-3(e2175), rol-4(sc8), sel-10(ar41) (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993), him-5(e1490), unc-76(e911).

## Mapping of the sel-10 locus

*sel-10* had been genetically mapped between *lin-25* and *unc-76* V (Sundaram and Greenwald 1993) and ~0.2 MU to the left of *him-5* (data not shown). We mapped *sel-10* between *arP3* and *TCPAR1* by identifying Rol Him non-Unc recombinants from heterozygotes of the genotype *rol-4 BO unc-76/lon-3 sel-10 him-5* constructed with the strain GS352. Fifty independent recombinants were analyzed by Southern blot hybridization for the presence of *arP3* and *TCPAR1* (Tuck and Greenwald 1996), and each recombinant strain was tested for the presence of *sel-10(ar41)* by crossing into *lin-12(n379)* and scoring for the Muv phenotype. Mapping data can be found in ACeDB (Edgley et al. 1997).

#### sel-10 cloning by antisuppression assay

*sel-10(ar41)* partially suppresses the 2AC defect caused by *lin-12(ar170)*: at 25°C, ~80% of *lin-12(ar170)* animals have 2AC whereas ~25% of *lin-12(ar170)*; *sel-10(ar41)* animals have 2AC. We used reversal of suppression as the basis of assessing *sel-10(+)* activity of microinjected DNAs. Transgenic lines were generated by microinjecting the germ lines of *lin-12(ar170)*;

dpy-20(e1282);sel-10(ar41) him-5(e1490) hermaphrodites with cosmid or plasmid DNA (Mello et al. 1991) at a concentration of 5  $\mu$ g/ml, along with the *dpy-20*(+) transformation marker DNA at 10 µg/ml (plasmid pMH86; Han and Sternberg 1991) and carrier Bluescript DNA (Stratagene) at 90 µg/ml. Synchronous populations were obtained by allowing groups of transgenic hermaphrodites to lay eggs at 20°C for 1- to 2-hr and tranferring the eggs to 25°C. The non-Dpy L3 hermaphrodites were then scored for the number of anchor cells. The injected tester DNA was considered to contain sel-10(+) sequences if >50% of the non-Dpy animals had 2AC. Typically, 60%-80% of hermaphrodites had 2AC in these rescued lines. Some arrays scored as having *sel-10*(+) activity were subjected to a second test, the ability to reverse the Muv phenotype of *lin-12(n379);sel-10 (ar41)*. Initial rescue was obtained with a pool of seven overlapping cosmids from the region (each at 5  $\mu$ g/ml), then with the single cosmid C07E11, and then with plasmids derived from C07E11, as shown in Figure 1.

#### Plasmids containing sel-10 genomic sequences

pJH151 was constructed by digesting cosmid C07E11 with *Bam*HI and ligating the 15-kb fragment to Bluescript KS+ (Stratagene). pJH166 was constructed by ligating an 8-kb *PstI-Sal*I fragment from pJH151 into Bluescript KS+. The *Pst*I site was from the vector, whereas the *Sal*I site is from the genomic sequences. The ~9-kb *Sal*I fragment was removed from pJH151 to form pJH165, and pJH167 was made by ligation of the internal *Hin*dIII fragment of pJH151 into Bluescript. To construct pJH169, pJH166 was cut with *Pme*I and a linker containing an *Nhe*I site with a stop codon in all frames (NEB 1060) was inserted, creating a stop codon after amino acid 172 in the SEL-10 sequence.

#### sel-10 overexpression

*arEx93* was generated by microinjecting *dpy-20* hermaphrodites with pJH166 [*sel-10*(+)] at a concentration of 100 µg/ml and pMH86 [*dpy-20*(+)] at 10 µg/ml. Strains carrying this array segregate sterile animals as well as fertile animals; the basis for the sterility has not been established. Many of the fertile animals display a leaky Egl phenotype similar to that observed in certain *lin-12* hypomorphic mutants. Qualitatively similar results were observed with other lines at this concentration and with lines established by use of pJH166 at 50 µg/ml (data not shown).

The control array *arEx149* was established by microinjecting *unc-32; dpy-20* hermaphrodites with pMH86 at 10  $\mu$ g/ml, and Bluescript DNA at 90  $\mu$ g/ml into *unc-32; dpy-20* animals.

#### Molecular analysis of sel-10

Standard methods were used for the manipulation of recombinant DNA (Sambrook et al. 1989). *sel-10*(+) cDNAs were obtained by screening ~100,000 pfu from a phage library kindly provided by R. Barstead (Barstead and Waterston 1989). Ten positive plaques were purified by two subsequent rounds of screening with a radiolabeled fragment from pJH166 (~8-kb *Bam*HI-*Sal*I fragment) as a probe. cDNA 1A, the longest cDNA obtained, was sequenced in its entirety on one strand and compared with genomic sequence from the genome project with GENEFINDER (Waterston et al. 1997). The sequence of the cDNA 1A differed from the GENEFINDER prediction in the location of the junction between the second and third exons and in the predicted 3' end. Four of the cDNAs were polyadenylated at their 3' ends (one 294, one 581, and the other two 601 bases after the predicted stop codon). Of these, only the last two were in the context of a conserved polyadenylation signal. The 5'most cDNA end was located in codon 1 (cDNA 8 begins at G of the first ATG), but a PCR product was amplified from DNA prepared from the same cDNA library (Barstead and Waterston 1989; C. Dong, pers. comm.) contained the SL1 spliced leader at the predicted sequence 4 bases 5' of the first ATG. The 22 base SL1 sequence and a primer straddling the 5th and 6th exons were used for the 5' end amplification.

#### Sequence analysis

Standard techniques were used to obtain sequence of the 1A cDNA (Sambrook et al. 1989). The lesions associated with the *sel-10(ar41)* and *sel-10(ar28)* mutations were found by direct sequencing of two PCR products from single-stranded templates (Allard et al. 1991; Kaltenboeck et al. 1992), by use of internal primers to cover the entire region. One small segment was subcloned and sequenced (from two independent reactions each), as the sequence from this region was not easily generated by use of the direct method. Sequence comparisons and alignments were obtained by use of Blast (Altschul et al. 1990) through the NCBI web site and GCG (version 8, Devereux et al. 1984) programs.

#### Plasmids for cell culture experiments

Plasmids used in the transient transfection experiments were constructed in pLNCX (Miller et al. 1989) or pQNCX (Qingyou Yan and J.K., unpubl.), vectors that drive gene expression under the control of a CMV promoter. pQNClacZ contains the bacterial lacZ gene; pQNClin-12(intra)HA encodes a protein with a methionine-containing hemagglutinin epitope (Wilson et al. 1984) fused in frame amino-terminal to LIN-12(intra) at amino acid 939. pLNCint-3 contains cDNA corresponding to the Notch4 region expressed in the int3 insertion, beginning at amino acid 1411; the Notch4(int3) protein includes the entire intracellular domain of Notch4 and additional sequences (Uyttendaele et al. 1996). pQNCsel-10myc (pJH186) encodes a protein with six myc epitope tags (Roth et al. 1991) fused in frame to cDNA 1A at amino acid 13 of SEL-10. pQNCsel-10HA (pJH184) encodes a protein with a methionine-containing hemagglutinin epitope from pACT2 (Durfee et al. 1993) fused in frame (along with a short stretch of polylinker) to cDNA 1A at amino acid 13.

# Transfection, immunoprecipitations, and Western blot analysis

293T (Bosc23) cells (Pear et al. 1993) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). A confluent plate of cells was split 1:3 the day prior to transfection. For one 60-mm plate of cells, 4  $\mu$ g of each plasmid DNA was transfected by the calcium phosphate precipitation method. The total amount of DNA was kept constant by supplementation with vector DNA or *lacZ*-containing plasmids.

Two days after transfection, cells were harvested and lysed in TENT buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (2  $\mu$ g/ml aprotinin, 2  $\mu$ g/ml of leupeptin, 2  $\mu$ g/ml of pepstatin, 0.5 mM PMSF). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000*g* for 10 min and protein content was determined with the BioRad Protein determination kit and samples were normalized for protein content. Extracts were precleared with Sepharose CL-4B beads, incubated with antibodies (3  $\mu$ l of anti-Notch4 antiserum, 50  $\mu$ l of 12CA5 anti-HA supernatant, or 200  $\mu$ l of 9E10 anti-myc supernatant) for 6 hr at 4°C, then incubated with 40  $\mu$ l of 50%

slurry of protein A–Sepharose for 1 hr at 4°C. The protein A–Sepharose beads were washed with TENT buffer three times by vortexing for 10 min, beads were boiled in 30  $\mu$ l 1× protein loading buffer, and then electrophoresed on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The blot was blocked overnight at 4°C with TBST (10 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2% Tween 20) containing 1% bovine serum albumin (TBST–BSA). The blot was then incubated with 1° antibody diluted (1:2,000 anti-Notch4; 1:50 for 12CA5; 1:10 for 9E10) in TBST–BSA for 1 hr, washed three times for 5 min each with TBST, and incubated with 2° antibody in TBST–BSA for 1 hr. After three washes, the signal was visualized by chemiluminescence (Amersham, ECL).

The anti-Notch4 antiserum (G. Wu and J. Kitajenski, unpubl.) is directed against the carboxy-terminal region of Notch4 (residues 1788–1964) (Uyttendaele et al. 1996). 12CA5 anti-HA antibody was obtained from Berkeley Antibody Co., Richmond, CA. 9E10 anti-myc antibody was prepared from culture supernatants of the 9E10 hybridoma (Evan et al. 1985).

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to H. Uyttendaele for Notch4(int3) constructs, Q. Yan for expression vectors, and G. Struhl for plasmids and epitope tags. We also thank D. Brousseau and R. Ruiz for technical assistance; N. Adler, C. Leung, I. Temkin, and J. Yu for help with genetics; and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center and M. Koelle for providing strains. We are indebted to E. Kipreos, M. Goebl, and R. Deshaies for sharing information and ideas prior to publication, S. Tuck and T. Schedl for helpful advice, and B. Grant, D. Levitan, A. Melendez, C. Wen, S. Hubbard, and G. Struhl for valuable discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Cancer Research Fund (E.J.A.H.), National Institutes of Health grant GM37602 (I.G.) and the U.S. Army Medical Research and Material Command (USAMRMC) under grant DAMD17-94-J-4410 (J.K.). E.J.A.H. is a Postdoctoral Associate and I.G. is an Associate Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

#### References

- Allard, M.W., D.L. Ellsworth, and R.L. Honeycutt. 1991. The production of single-stranded DNA suitable for sequencing using the polymerase chain reaction. *BioTechniques* **10**: 23–26.
- Altschul, S.F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Meyers, and D.J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215: 403–410.
- Artavanis-Tsakonas, S., K. Matsuno, and M.E. Fortini. 1995. Notch signaling. Science 268: 225–235.
- Austin, C.P., D.E. Feldman, J.A. Ida, and C.L. Cepko. 1995. Vertebrate retinal ganglion cells are selected from competent progenitors by the action of *Notch. Development* 121: 3637– 3650.
- Bai, C., P. Sen, K. Hofmann, L. Ma, M. Goebl, J.W. Harper, and S.J. Elledge. 1996. *SKP1* connects cell cycle regulators to the ubiquitin proteolysis machinery through a novel motif, the F-box. *Cell* 86: 263–274.
- Barstead, R.J. and R.H. Waterston. 1989. The basal component of the nematode dense-body is vinculin. J. Biol. Chem.

**264:** 10177-10185.

- Brenner, S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71–94.
- Cagan, R.L. and D.F. Ready. 1989. Notch is required for successive cell decisions in the developing Drosophila retina. *Genes & Dev.* **3**: 1099–1112.
- Chitnis, A., D. Henrique, J. Lewis, D. Ish-Horowicz, and C. Kintner. 1995. Primary neurogenesis in *Xenopus* embryos regulated by a homolog of the *Drosophila* neurogenic gene *Delta. Nature* 375: 761–766.
- Christensen, S., V. Kodoyianni, M. Bosenberg, L. Friedman, and J. Kimble. 1996. *lag-1*, a gene required for *lin-12* and *glp-1* signaling in *C. elegans*, is homologous to human CBF1 and *Drosophila* Su(H). *Development* **122**: 1373–1383.
- Ciechanover, A. 1994. The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway. *Cell* **79**: 13–21.
- Ciechanover, A. and A.L. Schwartz. 1994. The ubiquitin-mediated proteolytic pathway: Mechanisms of recognition of the proteolytic substrate and involvement in the degradation of native cellular proteins. *FASEB J.* 8: 182–191.
- Devereux, J., P. Haeberli, and O. Smithies. 1984. A comprehensive set of sequence analysis programs for the VAX. Nucleic Acids Res. 12: 387–395.
- Drexler, H.G., R.A.F. MacLeod, A. Borkhardt, and J.W.G. Janssen. 1995. Recurrent chromosomal translocations and fusion genes in leukemia-lymphoma cell lines. *Leukemia* 9: 480– 500.
- Durfee, T., K. Becherer, P.-L. Chen, S.-H. Yeh, A.E. Kilburn, W.-H. Lee, and S.J. Elledge. 1993. The retinoblastoma protein associates with the protein phosphatase type 1 catalytic subunit. *Genes & Dev.* 7: 1–12.
- Edgley, M.L., C.A. Turner, and D.L. Riddle. 1997. On-line C. elegans resources. In C. elegans II (ed. D.L. Riddle, T. Blumenthal, B.J. Meyer, and J.R. Priess), pp. 1059–1062. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Ellisen, L.W., J. Bird, D.C. West, A.L. Soreng, T.C. Reynolds, S.D. Smith, and J. Sklar. 1991. TAN-1, the human homolog of the Drosophila Notch gene, is broken by chromosomal translocations in T lymphoblastic neoplasms. Cell 66: 649– 661.
- Evan, G.I., G.K. Lewis, G. Ramsay, and J.M. Bishop. 1985. Isolation of monoclonal antibodies specific for human c-myc proto-oncogene product. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 5: 3610–3616.
- Feldman, R.M.R., C.C. Correll, K.B. Kaplan, and R.J. Deshaies. 1997. A complex of Cdc4, Skp1, and CDC53/Cullin catalyzes ubiquitination of the phosphorylated CDK inhibitor Sic1. *Cell* **91**: 221–230.
- Fields, S. and O.-k. Song. 1989. A novel genetic system to detect protein-protein interactions. *Nature* **340**: 245–246.
- Gaudet, R., A. Bohm, and P.B. Sigler. 1996. Crystal structure at 2.4 A resolution of the complex of transducin βγ and its regulator, phosducin. *Cell* 87: 577–568.
- Goebl, M.G., J. Yochem, S. Jentsch, J.P. McGrath, A. Varshavsky, and B. Byers. 1988. The yeast cell cycle gene CDC34 encodes a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme. *Science* 241: 1331–1335.
- Grant, B. and I. Greenwald. 1997. Structure, function and expression of SEL-1, a negative regulator of LIN-12 and GLP-1 in *C. elegans. Development* **124**: 637–644.
- Greenwald, I. 1997. The development of the vulva. In *C. elegans II* (ed. D.L. Riddle, T. Blumenthal, B.J. Meyer, and J.R. Priess), pp. 519–542. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Greenwald, I. and G. Seydoux. 1990. Analysis of gain-of-function mutations of the *lin-12* gene of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 346: 197–199.

- Greenwald, I.S., P.W. Sternberg, and H.R. Horvitz. 1983. The *lin-12* locus specifies cell fates in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cell* **34**: 435–444.
- Han, M. and P.W. Sternberg. 1991. Analysis of dominant-negative mutations of the Caenorhabditis elegans let-60 ras gene. *Genes & Dev.* 5: 2188–2198.
- Heitzler, P. and P. Simpson. 1991. The choice of cell fate in the epidermis of *Drosophila*. *Cell* **64**: 1083–1092.
- Hodgkin, J. 1997. Genetics. In *C. elegans II* (ed. D.L. Riddle, T. Blumenthal, B.J. Meyer, and J.R. Priess), pp. 881–1048. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Kaltenboeck, B., J.W. Spatafora, X. Zhang, K.G. Kousoulas, M. Blackwell, and J. Storz. 1992. Efficient production of singlestranded DNA as long as 2 kb for sequencing of PCR-amplified DNA. *BioTechniques* 12: 164–171.
- Kimble, J. 1981. Alteration in cell lineage following laser ablation of cells in the somatic gonad of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev. Biol.* 87: 286–300.
- Kimble, J. and D. Hirsh. 1979. The post-embryonic cell lineages of the hermaphrodites and male gonads in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev. Biol.* 87: 396–417.
- King, R.W., R.J. Deshaies, J.-M. Peteres, and M.W. Kirschner. 1996. How proteolysis drives the cell cycle. *Science* 274: 1652–1658.
- Kipreos, E.T., L.E. Lander, J.P. Wing, W.W. He, and E.M. Hedgecock. 1996. *cul-1* is required for cell cycle exit in *C. elegans* and identifies a novel gene family. *Cell* 85: 829–839.
- Krause, M. and D. Hirsh. 1987. A *trans*-spliced leader sequence on actin mRNA in *C. elegans. Cell* **49**: 753–761.
- Kumar, A. and J.V. Paietta. 1995. The sulfur controller-2 negative regulatory gene of Neurospora crassa encodes a protein with beta-transducin repeats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 92: 3343–3347.
- Lambright, D.G., J. Sondek, A. Bohm, N.P. Skiba, H.E. Hamm, and P.B. Sigler. 1996. The 2.0 A crystal structure of a heterotrimeric G protein. *Nature* 379: 311–319.
- Mathias, N., S.L. Johnson, M. Winey, A.E.M. Adams, L. Goetsch, J.R. Pringle, B. Byers, and M.G. Goebl. 1996. Cdc53p acts in concert with Cdc4p and Cdc34p to control the G1-to-S phase transition and identifies a conserved family of proteins. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**: 6634–6643.
- Mello, C.C., J.M. Kramer, D. Stinchcomb, and V. Ambros. 1991. Efficient gene transfer in *C. elegans:* Extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of transforming sequences. *EMBO J.* 10: 3959–3970.
- Miller, A.D. and G.J. Rosman. 1989. Improved retroviral vectors for gene transfer and expression. *BioTechniques* 7: 980–990.
- Natorff, R., M. Balinska, and A. Paszewski. 1993. At least four regulatory genes control sulphur metabolite repression in Aspergillus nidulans. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **238**: 185–192.
- Neer, E.J., C.J. Schmidt, R. Nambudripad, and T.F. Smith. 1994. The ancient regulatory-protein family of WD-repeat proteins. *Nature* 371: 297–300.
- Nellen, D., R. Burke, G. Struhl, and K. Basler. 1996. Direct and long range action of a DPP morphogen gradient. *Cell* 85: 357–368.
- Pear, W.S., G.P. Nolan, and D. Baltimore. 1993. Production of high-titre helper-free retroviruses by transient transfection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **90**: 8392–8396.
- Robbins, J., B.J. Blondel, D. Gallahan, and R. Callahan. 1992. Mouse mammary tumor gene *int-3*: A member of the *Notch* gene family transforms mammary epithelial cells. *J. Virol.* 66: 2594–2599.
- Rogers, S., R. Wells, and M. Rechsteiner. 1986. Amino acid sequences common to rapidly degraded proteins: The PEST hypothesis. *Science* 234: 364–368.

- Roth, M.B., A. Zahler, and J.H. Stolk. 1991. A conserved family of nuclear phosphoproteins localized to sites of polymerase II transcription. *J. Cell Biol.* **115:** 587–596.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Seydoux, G. and I. Greenwald. 1989. Cell autonomy of *lin-12* function in a cell fate decision in *C. elegans. Cell* **57**: 1237–1245.
- Skowyra, D., K.L. Craig, M. Tyers, S.J. Elledge, and J.W. Harper. 1997. F-box proteins are receptors that recruit phosphorylated substrates to the SCF ubiquitin-ligase complex. *Cell* 91: 209–219.
- Sondek, J., A. Bohm, D.G. Lambright, H.E. Hamm, and P.B. Sigler. 1996. Crystal structure of a  $G_A$  protein  $\beta\gamma$  dimer at 2.1 A resolution. *Nature* **379**: 369–374.
- Struhl, G., K. Fitzgerald, and I. Greenwald. 1993. Intrinsic activity of the Lin-12 and Notch intracellular domains in vivo. *Cell* 74: 331–345.
- Sundaram, M. and I. Greenwald. 1993. Suppressors of a *lin-12* hypomorph define genes that interact with both *lin-12* and *glp-1* in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* **135**: 765–783.
- Thomas, D., L. Kuras, R. Barbey, H. Cherest, P.L. Blaiseau, and Y. Surdin-Kerjan. 1995. Met30p, a yeast transcriptional inhibitor that responds to S-adenosylmethionine, is an essential protein with WD40 repeats. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15: 6526– 6534.
- Tuck, S. and I. Greenwald. 1995. *lin-25*, a gene required for vulval induction in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes & Dev.* 9: 341–357.
- Uyttendaele, H., G. Marazzi, G. Wu, Q. Yan, D. Sassoon, and J. Kitajewski. 1996. *Notch4/int-3*, a mammary proto-oncogene, is an endothelial cell-specific mammalian *Notch* gene. *Development* **122**: 2251–2259.
- Washburn, T., E. Schweighoffer, T. Gridley, D. Chang, B.J. Fowlkes, D. Cado, and E. Robey. 1997. *Notch* activity influences the ab versus gd T cell lineage. *Cell* 88: 833–843.
- Waterston, R.H., J.E. Sulston, and A.R. Coulson. 1997. The genome. In *C. elegans II* (ed. D.L. Riddle, T. Blumenthal, B.J. Meyer, and J.R. Priess), pp. 23–46. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Wilkinson, H.A., K. Fitzgerald, and I. Greenwald. 1994. Reciprocal changes in expression of the receptor *lin-12* and its ligand *lag-2* prior to commitment in a *C. elegans* cell fate decision. *Cell* **79**: 1187–1198.
- Wilson, I.A., H.L. Niman, R.A. Houghten, A.R. Cherenson, M.L. Connolly, and R.A. Lerner. 1984. The structure of an antigenic determinant in a protein. *Cell* 37: 767–778.
- Yochem, J. and I. Greenwald. 1989. *glp-1* and *lin-12*, genes implicated in distinct cell-cell interactions in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, encode similar transmembrane proteins. *Cell* 58: 553–563.