

## Correspondence

# NLRX1/NOD5 deficiency does not affect MAVS signalling

Cell Death and Differentiation (2011) 18, 1387; doi:10.1038/cdd.2011.64; published online 27 May 2011

Dear Editor,

NLRX1/NOD5 belongs to the NOD clade of the NOD-like receptor (NLR) family. NLRs have emerged as key intracellular sensors for pathogen-derived molecules and endogenous danger signals to activate innate immune responses and inflammation. However, the function of most of these receptors still remains unclear.<sup>1</sup> Beside the NACHT and LRR domains present in most NLRs, NLRX1/NOD5 possesses a N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence.<sup>2,3</sup> The function of NLRX1/NOD5 is still controversial; one study proposed that NLRX1/NOD5 inhibits the RIG-like receptor (RLR) antiviral pathways by binding the adaptor protein MAVS/IPS-1/Cardif/VISA,<sup>2</sup> whereas another report implicated NLRX1/NOD5 in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the amplification of NF- $\kappa$ B and JNK activation triggered by different stimuli such TNF- $\alpha$ , poly (I:C) and Shigella infection.<sup>3</sup> Interestingly, a recent study proposed that NLRX1/NOD5 is imported to the mitochondrial matrix, making NLRX1/NOD5 interaction with the outer mitochondrial membrane protein MAVS difficult to explain.<sup>4</sup>

To clarify the role of NOD5 *in vivo*, we generated NOD5-deficient mice by replacing the first four coding exons with a neomycin selection cassette. Mutant mice are viable and born at the expected Mendelian ratios (data not shown). Considering the proposed role for NOD5 in modulating RLR–MAVS signalling,<sup>2</sup> we tested whether NOD5 deficiency potentiates responses triggered by intracellular poly (I:C). However, when primary NOD5-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were transfected with poly (I:C), we did not observe any major difference in MAVS-dependent IRF3 phosphorylation and IFN- $\beta$  or IP-10 mRNA induction (Supplementary Figure 1 and data not shown). Moreover, IFN- $\beta$  and IP-10 mRNA induction upon Sendai virus infection was normal in NOD5-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) (Supplementary Figure 1 and data not shown). NOD5-deficient MEFs also produced normal levels of IFN- $\beta$  and IL-6 upon poly (I:C) stimulation (Supplementary Figure 1 and data not shown). To corroborate these observations *in vivo*, we assessed the effect of NOD5 deficiency on serum levels of IFN- $\beta$  and IL-6 induced by intravenous injection of poly (I:C), responses largely dependent on MAVS (data not shown and<sup>5</sup>). Importantly, production of these cytokines was not affected by NOD5 deficiency (Supplementary Figure 1 and data not shown). Thus, NOD5 deficiency does not affect MAVS-dependent responses. A second report links NOD5 to ROS production and amplification of NF- $\kappa$ B and JNK signalling upon TNF- $\alpha$  stimulation or Shigella infection.<sup>3</sup> Interestingly, no major differences in TNF- $\alpha$ -induced NF- $\kappa$ B, JNK and p38 activation were observed in NOD5 knockout cells (data not shown). In a complementary approach to define NOD5 function, we carried out a proteomic screen to identify new NOD5 interaction partners. As a significant hit, we found UQCRC2 (ubiquinol-cytochrome-*c* reductase complex core protein 2), a subunit of the complex III of the mitochondrial respiratory chain. UQCRC2 was previously identified as an NOD5-binding partner.<sup>4</sup>

In contrast, we failed to detect MAVS in the NOD5 immunoprecipitates. In keeping with this, endogenous UQCRC2, but not MAVS, could be co-immunoprecipitated with NOD5 (Supplementary Figure 1 and data not shown).

Collectively, our data indicate that NOD5 deficiency does not affect RLR signalling *in vitro* and *in vivo*, at least under the conditions tested. The reasons for the discrepancy between our findings and the *in vitro* characterisation of NOD5 as a RLR inhibitor are unclear, but are reminiscent of reports investigating NLRC5/NOD4 function; NLRC5/NOD4 was also proposed as RLR inhibitor based on *in vitro* studies, but no alteration in RLR responses were observed in NLRC5/NOD4-deficient mice.<sup>6,7</sup> Although our findings indicate that NOD5 deficiency does not affect TNF-induced signalling, the confirmation of UQCRC2 as a 'bonafide' interaction partner of NOD5 is consistent with the proposed link between NOD5 and ROS generation.<sup>3,4,8</sup> Mitochondrial ROS has been recently shown to trigger NLRP3 inflammasome activation, and thus modulation of ROS would be an attractive function of NOD5. With the availability of NOD5-deficient mice, this hypothesis is now testable.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Professor Jürg Tschopp for the inspiring mentorship and great support over the years. This work was supported by grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation (FNRS 3100A0-128658/1), the Association 'Institute for Arthritis Research', the Foundation Louis-Jeantet, and the University of Lausanne.

M Rebsamen<sup>\*1</sup>, J Vazquez<sup>1</sup>, A Tardivel<sup>1</sup>, G Guarda<sup>1</sup>, J Curran<sup>2</sup> and J Tschopp<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry, University of Lausanne, Epalinges CH-1066, Switzerland and

<sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva 4 CH-1211, Switzerland

\* Corresponding author: M Rebsamen, Department of Biochemistry, University of Lausanne, Chemin des Boveresses 155, Epalinges CH-1066, Switzerland. Tel: + 41 21 692 5741; Fax: + 41 21 692 5705; E-mail: manuele.rebsamen@unil.ch

\* Deceased.

1. Schroder K, Tschopp J. *Cell* 2010; **140**: 821–832.

2. Moore CB *et al.* *Nature* 2008; **451**: 573–577.

3. Tattoli I *et al.* *EMBO Rep* 2008; **9**: 293–300.

4. Arnault D *et al.* *J Cell Sci* 2009; **122** (Part 17): 3161–3168.

5. Sun Q *et al.* *Immunity* 2006; **24**: 633–642.

6. Kumar H *et al.* *J Immunol* 2010; **186**: 994–1000.

7. Cui J *et al.* *Cell* 2010; **141**: 483–496.

8. Abdul-Sater AA *et al.* *J Biol Chem* 2010; **285**: 41637–41645.