Editorial Immediate effects from manual therapy: much ado about nothing?

Chad Cook

Walsh University, North Canton, OH, USA

I hope you don't mind if I state the obvious: There has been a wealth of research lately on the immediate effects associated with manual therapy. I assume it has a lot to do with the global interest in the effects of manual therapy, the interests in justifying the value of manual therapy, and to be honest, some to do with the reduced rigor and time necessary to perform this form of research. Immediate effects studies may involve a simple or detailed analysis of change in pain, range, qualitative or quantitative elements, and/ or proprioception, directly after the input of a manual therapy procedure. The reduced rigor/time is associated with the short-term follow-up (typically directly after the intervention), relatively simple statistical calculations, and a demonstrable and measurable statistically significant treatment effect.

Manual therapy oriented, immediate effects studies generally take two forms: 1) those associated with understanding the physiological and psychological mechanisms associated with manual therapy, and 2) those that are oriented toward understanding the 'clinical' effects of manual therapy. The former are beneficial in recognizing mechanisms associated with manual therapy and have proven useful toward dispelling myths associated with manual therapy performance and toward improving our recognition of the overall magnitude of a manual therapy intervention. An example is the use of quantitative sensory testing or others forms of investigation that measures whether benefits or neurophysiological, biomechanical, or psychological. The benefit of the latter types of studies, those associated with immediate 'clinical' effects may be less valuable. In essence, these studies measure direct outcome after a particular form of measurable treatment in an effort to extrapolate clinical value from the intervention, based on the response of the immediate effect.

The measurable treatment effect may take many forms. Within the last few years, immediate effects of thrust and non-thrust manipulation have been shown to: improve proprioception,¹ standing balance,² reduce pain,^{3,4} increase nociceptive flexion reflex threshold,⁵ thermal pain sensitivity (temporal summation),⁶

provide a widespread hypoalgesic effect,⁷ improve range of motion,^{4,8} alter EMG signals,⁹ and modify sensorimotor integration.¹⁰ In fairness, the studies represented here were both mechanistic- and clinically-oriented.

But before we get too excited about these results, let's look at literature outside main-stream manual therapy, because thrust and non-thrust manipulation aren't alone in their ability to provide immediate measurable treatment effects.

Immediate effects have been reported with superficial heat, long-wave ultrasound, short-wave diathermy, and specific exercises.¹¹ In addition, immediate effects have been identified using massage,¹² kinesio-taping,¹³ passive physiological movements,¹⁴ acupressure,^{15,16} ischemic compression,¹⁷ thermal ultrasound,¹⁸ simple touch,¹⁹ ice massage,²⁰ and strain–counterstrain.²¹ Even more notable and dubious are the immediate effects findings of improved active mouth opening after hamstring stretching,²² improved hamstring mobility after suboccipital stretching,²³ and improved spatial cognitive tasking *after breathing through the left nostril only*.²⁴

Despite the ability of manual therapy interventions to produce statistically significant changes, the limited effect size for many of these interventions raises concerns about their clinical significance. Indeed, the majority of the effects were the same as those identified using thrust and non-thrust manipulation. It's sort of a buzz kill, isn't it?

What do findings of immediate effects tell us as clinicians? That's difficult to say. We know the effects of manipulation are short-term²⁵ and the effects of non-thrust manipulation are likely similar. We know the carry-over effect of some immediate findings (within-session changes) lead to between-session changes,²⁶ but there is little to support these changes having any effect on long-term functional outcome.^{26–28} We know that in rare instances, immediate effects are associated with poorer long-term outcomes, albeit when the intervention is a cortisone injection.²⁹ Lastly, we also know that in many cases, the immediate effects are small and

whether or not they are clinical significant demands further investigation.

This suggests the following:

- 1. all forms of interventions (even suspect ones) may lead to statistically significant immediate effects;
- the wealth of findings associated with immediate effects from manual therapy research designed to measure clinical response, may not provide substantial value during long-term, progressive, clinical decision making.

What we need to further flesh out is which set of interventions that lead to immediate effects that are designed to define a clinical outcome, actually lead to long-term clinical benefits. What we don't need is a litany of further studies that assume clinical importance because immediate effects occurred directly after the administration of a manual therapy intervention; we've got that covered.

References

- Learman KE, Myers JB, Lephart SM, Sell TC, Kerns GJ, Cook CE. Effects of spinal manipulation on trunk proprioception in subjects with chronic low back pain during symptom remission. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;32:118–26.
- 2 Dunning J, Rushton A. The effects of cervical high-velocity low-amplitude thrust manipulation on resting electromyographic activity of the biceps brachii muscle. Man Ther 2009;14:508–13.
- 3 Ruiz-Saez M. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Bianco CR, Martinez-Segura R, Garcia-Leon R. Changes in pressure pain sensitivity in latent myofascial trigger points in the upper trapezius muscle after a cervical spine manipulation in pain-free subjects. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2007;30:578–83.
- 4 Teys P, Bisset L, Vicenzino B. The initial effects of a Mulligan's mobilization with movement technique on range of movement and pressure pain threshold in pain-limited shoulders. Man Ther 2008;13:37–42.
- 5 Sterling M, Pedler A, Chan C, Puglisi M, Vuvan V, Vincenzino B. Cervical lateral glide increases nociceptive flexion reflex threshold but not pressure or thermal pain thresholds in chronic whiplash associated disorders: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Man Ther 2010;15:149–53.
- 6 Bialosky JE, Bishop MD, Robinson ME, Zeppieri G, George SZ. Spinal manipulative therapy has an immediate effect on thermal pain sensitivity in people with low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther 2009;89:1292–303.
- 7 Willett E, Hebron C, Krouwel O. The initial effects of different rates of lumbar mobilizations on pressure pain thresholds in asymptomatic subjects. Man Ther 2010;15:173–8.
- 8 Konstantinou K, Foster N, Rushton A, Baxter D, Wright C, Breen A. Flexion mobilizations with movement techniques: the immediate effects on range of movement and pain in subjects with low back pain. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2007;30:178– 85.
- 9 Bicalho E, Setti JA, Macagnan J, Cano JL, Manffra EF. Immediate effects of a high-velocity spine manipulation in paraspinal muscles activity of nonspecific chronic low-back pain subjects. Man Ther 2010;15:469–75.
- 10 Haavik-Taylor H, Murphy B. Cervical spine mobilization alters sensorimotor integration: a somatosensory evoked potential study. Clin Neurophysiol 2007;118:391–402.
- 11 Tuttle N. Is it reasonable to use an individual patient's progress after treatment as a guide to ongoing clinical reasoning? J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;32:396–403.
- 12 Toro-Velasco C, Arroyo-Morales M, Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Cleland JA, Barrero-Hernandez FJ. Short-term effects of

manual therapy on heart rate variability, mood state, and pressure pain sensitivity in patients with chronic tension-type headache: a pilot study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;32:527–35.

- 13 Kaya E, Zinnuroglu M, Tugcu I. Kinesio taping compared to physical therapy modalities for the treatment of shoulder impingement syndrome. Clin Rheumatol 2010; to be published.
- 14 Nielsen MM, Mortensen A, Sorensen JK, Simonsen O, Graven-Nielsen T. Reduction of experimental muscle pain by passive physiological movements. Man Ther 2009;14:101–9.
- 15 Wong CL, Lai KY, Tse HM. Effects of SP6 acupressure on pain and menstrual distress in young women with dysmenorrheal. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2010;16:64–9.
- 16 Lang PM, Stoer J, Schober GM, Audette JF, Irnich D. Bilateral acupuncture analgesia observed by quantitative sensory testing in healthy volunteers. Anesth Analg 2010;110:1448–56.
- 17 Montanez-Augilera FJ, Valtuena-Gimeno N, Pecos-Martin D, Arnau-Masanet B, Barrios-Pitarque C, Bosch-Morell F. Changes in a patient with neck pain after application of ischemic compression as a trigger point therapy. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2010;23:101–4.
- 18 Aguilera FJ, Martin DP, Masanet RA, Botella AC, Soler LB, Morell FB. Immediate effect of ultrasound and ischemic compression techniques for the treatment of trapezius latent myofascial trigger points in healthy subjects: a randomized controlled study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;32:515–20.
- 19 Kutner JS, Smith MC, Corbin L, Hemphill L, Benton K, Mellis BK, et al. Massage therapy versus simple touch to improve pain and mood in patients with advanced cancer: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:369–79.
- 20 Anaya-Terroba L, Arroyo-Morales M, Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Diaz-Rodriquez L, Cleland JA. Effects of ice massage on pressure pain thresholds and electromyography activity postexercise: a randomized controlled crossover study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2010;33:212–9.
- 21 Lewis C, Khan A, Souvlis T, Sterling M. A randomised controlled study examining the short-term effects of Strain-Counterstrain treatment on quantitative sensory measures at digitally tender points in the low back. Man Ther 2010; to be published.
- 22 Bretischwerdt C, Rivas-Cano L, Palomeque-del-Cerro L, Fernandez-de-las-Penas C, Alburquerque-Sendin F. Immediate effects of hamstring muscle stretching on pressure pain sensitivity and active mouth opening in healthy subjects. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2010;33:42–7.
- 23 Aparicio EQ, Quirante LB, Blanco CR, Sendin FA. Immediate effects of the suboccipital muscle inhibition technique in subjects with short hamstring syndrome. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;32:262–9.
- 24 Joshi M, Telles S. Immediate effects of right and left nostril breathing on verbal and spatial scores. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2008;52:197–200.
- 25 Coronado R, Bialosky J, Cook C. Temporal effects of a single session of high-velocity, low-amplitude thrust manipulation on subjects with spinal pain: a systematic review. Phys Ther Rev 2010;15:1–7.
- 26 Tuttle N, Laakso L, Barrett R. Change in impairments in the first two treatments predicts outcome in impairments, but no in activity limitations, in subacute neck pain: an observational study. Aust J Physiother 2006;52:281–5.
- 27 Garrison JC, Shanley E, Thigpen C, Hegedus E, Cook C. Between session changes predict overall perception of improvement but not functional improvement in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome seen for physical therapy: an observational study. Physiother Theory Pract 2010; to be published.
- 28 Wright A, Abbott JH, Baxter D, Cook C. Within session changes of traction do not predict overall outcome. J Man Manip Ther 2010;18: 166–72.
- 29 Bisset L, Beller E, Jull G, Brooks P, Darnell R, Vicenzino B. Mobilisation with movement and exercise, corticosteroid injection, or wait and see for tennis elbow: randomised trial. BMJ 2006;333:939–41.