Expression of $PDGFR\alpha$ Is a Determinant of the PVR **Potential of ARPE19 Cells**

*Hetian Lei,*¹ *Marc-Andre´ Rhe´aume,*² *Gisela Velez,*¹ *Shizuo Mukai,*² *and Andrius Kazlauskas*¹

PURPOSE. Previous studies indicate that the expression of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor α (PDGFR α) dramatically increases the ability of fibroblasts to induce experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). The purpose of this study was to determine whether PDGFR α contributed to the PVR potential of retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells, one of the most abundant cell types in PVR membranes.

 M ETHODS. PDGFR α expression in human ARPE19 cells was increased or decreased by stably expressing the PDGFR α cDNA or short hairpin (sh) RNA directed at PDGFR α , respectively. The level of PDGFR α expression in the resulting panel of cell lines was either barely detectable (KD), standard (similar to the level of primary RPE cells), or overexpressed approximately 80-fold. Western blot analysis was used to assess the level of $p53$ and the activation state of PDGFR α and Akt. The following cellular responses were monitored: proliferation, apoptosis, and contraction. The PVR potential of cells was tested in a rabbit model of PVR in which cells were coinjected with platelet-rich plasma into the vitreous.

RESULTS. Comparison of KD and overexpressing cells indicated that high-level expression of PDGFR α dramatically augmented signaling events, cellular responses, and the PVR potential of ARPE19 cells. However, all these outcomes were also significantly increased, albeit not as robustly, by $PDGFR\alpha$ expression to the level typically present in RPE cells.

CONCLUSIONS. Even though RPE cells express substantially less PDGFR α than fibroblasts, it significantly boosts PVR-related signaling events, cellular responses, and the PVR potential of ARPE19 cells. These studies suggest that inhibiting activation, signaling, or both by PDGFR α has the potential to prevent the development of PVR. (*Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52: 5016 –5021) DOI:10.1167/iovs.11-7442

Proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) is a disorder character-
ized by the formation of \overline{a} . ized by the formation of membranes on both surfaces of the retina that contract and thereby induce retinal detachment. PVR results from various causes, but it is most often encountered after retinal tears and retinal detachment and after therapeutic interventions for these conditions. It occurs in approximately 8% to 10% of patients undergoing primary retinal

Corresponding author: Andrius Kazlauskas, Schepens Eye Research Institute, Harvard Medical School, 20 Staniford Street, Boston, MA 02114; ak@eri.harvard.edu.

detachment surgery and is the principal cause of failure of this procedure.¹⁻⁵

The PVR membrane consists of extracellular matrix proteins (collagen I and II and fibronectin), and cells (retinal pigment epithelial cells [RPE], retinal glial cells, fibroblasts, and macrophages).⁶⁻⁹ RPE cells are among the most abundant cell type in PVR membranes, and this probably relates to the retinal break and dispersion of viable RPE cells into the vitreous during cryopexy treatment of retinal tears.¹⁰⁻¹² Although there has been increased success in reattaching the retina, understanding the molecular mechanism of PVR is likely to enable the development of effective pharmacologic approaches to protect patients undergoing surgery to correct a retinal detachment from succumbing to PVR.

Results of recent studies support the growth factor hypothesis regarding the pathogenesis of PVR.¹³ For instance, growth factors are present in the vitreous and promote many of the cellular events that are intrinsic to PVR. Furthermore, the expression of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor α (PDGFR α) increases the ability of fibroblasts to induce experimental PVR.¹⁴ Moreover, blocking growth factor-dependent activation of receptors or the downstream signaling events protects animals from developing experimental PVR.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ These studies begin to elucidate key events in the development of PVR and thereby identify potential therapeutic targets.

A potential shortcoming of the information obtained using a fibroblast-driven model of PVR is that fibroblasts are not the major cell type within clinical PVR membranes. Consequently, the goal of this study was to identify an Achilles heel in RPE cells, one of the most abundant cell types within clinical PVR membranes. In light of the fact that cultured RPE cell lines express PDGFR α^{19} and that this receptor is expressed and activated in PVR membranes isolated from patients, $20,21$ we focused on evaluating the importance of PDGFR α for RPE cells to induce experimental PVR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Major Reagents and Cell Culture

Antibodies against PDGFR α , phospho-Akt (S473), Akt, p53, and keratin 17 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA); anti-CRALBP (cellular retinaldehyde-binding protein) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). The phospho-Y742 PDGFR α antibody was raised against the phospho-peptide (KQADTTQpYVPMLDMK).¹⁸ Secondary antibodies (horseradish peroxidase [HRP]–conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, and goat anti-mouse IgG) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Enhanced chemiluminescent substrate for detection of horseradish peroxidase was from Pierce Protein Research Products (Rockford, IL).

Primary human RPE cells were from Lonza (Walkersville, MD); ARPE19 cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection; $ARPE19\alpha$ cells are ARPE19 cells overexpressing human PDGFR α ²² RPE cells derived from a human epiretinal membrane (called RPEM)

Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, July 2011, Vol. 52, No. 8 **5016** Copyright 2011 The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Inc.

From the ¹The Schepens Eye Research Institute and the ²Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts.

Supported by National Institutes of Health Grant EY012509 (AK). Submitted for publication February 25, 2011; revised April 11 and 28, 2011; accepted May17, 2011.

Disclosure: **H. Lei**, None; **M.-A. Rhe´aume**, None; **G. Velez**, None; **S. Mukai**, None; **A. Kazlauskas**, None

were described previously^{18,23} and generously provided by Jing Cui and Joanne Matsubara (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada). RPEM cells express typical markers of RPE cells that are observed in primary RPE cells (Supplementary Fig. S1, http://www. iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-7442/-/DCSupplemental). Primary rabbit conjunctival fibroblasts (RCFs) and F α cells (mouse embryo fibroblasts that were modified to express human PDGFR α) have been described previously.^{14,24}

Suppression of PDGFR α **Expression**

An oligo (CCTGGAGAAGTGAGAAACAAA) corresponding to NM_ 011058.1–937 in a hairpin-pLKO.1 retroviral vector, the packaging plasmid (pCMV-dR8.91), the envelope plasmid (VSV-G/pMD2.G), and 293T packaging cells were from Dana Farber Cancer Institute/Harvard Medical School (Boston, MA).

To prepare PDGFR α shRNA lentivirus, a mixture of packaging plasmid (0.9 µg), envelope plasmid (0.1 µg), hairpin-pLKO.1 vector (1 μ g) (or a hairpin-pLKO.1 containing the PDGFR α shRNA oligo), and TransIT-LT1 were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The transfection mix was transferred to 293T cells that were approximately 70% confluent. After 18 hours, the medium was replaced with growth medium modified to contain 30% serum, and virus was harvested 40 hours after transfection. The viral harvest was repeated three times at 24-hour intervals. Virus-containing media were pooled and centrifuged at 800*g* for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was used to infect ARPE19 cells. Successfully infected cells were selected on the basis of their ability to proliferate in media containing puromy cin (1 μ g/mL). Resultant cells were characterized by Western blot analysis using an antibody against PDGFR α .

Western Blot Analysis

Cells were grown to 90% confluence and then incubated for 24 hours in the medium without serum. Cells were treated with or without vitreous (diluted 1:2 in DMEM) for 2 hours. After washing twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cells were lysed in extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1% Triton X-100, 20 μ g/mL aprotinin, 2 mM Na₃VO₄, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Lysates were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 13,000 g and 4° C.²⁵ Equal amounts of protein were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and subjected to Western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies. Signal intensity was determined by densitometry (Quantity One; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and normalized for the amount of PDGFR α in each sample.²⁵

Cell Proliferation Assay

Cells were seeded into a 24-well plate at a density of 3×10^4 cells/well in DMEM - 10% FBS. After 8 hours, the cells had attached, the medium was aspirated, cells were rinsed twice with PBS, and 0.5 mL serum-free DMEM or vitreous (diluted 1:2 in DMEM) was added. The media were replaced every day to avoid depletion of the vitreous, which was being tested for its ability to promote proliferation. Cells were detached from the plates with trypsin and counted in a hemocytometer on day 3. Each experimental condition was assayed in duplicate, and at least three independent experiments were performed.25

Apoptosis Assay

Cells were seeded into 6-cm dishes at a density of 2×10^5 cells per dish in DMEM - 10% FBS. After 8 hours, the cells had attached, the medium was aspirated, cells were rinsed twice with PBS, and serum-free DMEM with or without vitreous (diluted 1:2 in DMEM) was added. The media were replaced every day. After 3 days cells were stained with FITCconjugated Annexin V and propidium iodide according to instructions provided with the apoptosis kit (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA). Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Epics XL Flow Cytometer; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). At least three independent experiments were performed.25

Collagen I Contraction Assay

Cells were suspended in 1.5 mg/mL neutralized collagen I (Inamed, Fremont, CA) (pH 7.2) at a density of 10^6 cells/mL.^{21,26} The mixture was transferred to a 24-well plate that had been preincubated overnight with 5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin in PBS. The collagen was solidified by incubation at 37°C for 90 minutes, and then 0.5 mL DMEM or rabbit vitreous (RV; diluted 1:2 in DMEM) was added. The media were replaced every day. The gel diameter was measured on day 3. The area was calculated using the formula πr^2 , where r is the radius of the gel. Each experimental condition was assayed in duplicate, and at least three independent experiments were performed.¹⁸

Rabbit Model for PVR

PVR was induced in the right eye of pigmented rabbits purchased from Covance (Denver, PA), as previously described.^{14,18} Briefly, a gas vitrectomy was performed by injecting 0.1 mL perfluoropropane $(C_3F_8;$ Alcon, Fort Worth, TX) into the vitreous cavity 4 mm posterior to the corneal limbus. One week later, all rabbits received two injections: 0.1 mL platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and 0.1 mL Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 2.5×10^5 cells of ARPE19, ARPE19 α , or ARPE19KD. Retinal status was evaluated with an indirect ophthalmoscope fitted with a $+30$ D fundus lens at days 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 42. PVR was graded according to the Fastenberg classification from 0 through 5. On day 42, animals were killed, and the eyes were enucleated and frozen at -80° C. All surgeries were performed under aseptic conditions and pursuant to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. The protocol for the use of animals was approved by the Schepens Animal Care and Use Committee.

Preparation of Rabbit Vitreous

RV was prepared from frozen rabbit eyeballs as described previously.18,25 The eyes were not manipulated in any way and were normal and free of obvious abnormalities.

Statistical Analysis

Experimental data were analyzed using an unpaired *t*-test, survival curve log-rank test, and one-way ANOVA or posttest (or both). *P* 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Expression of PDGFR in ARPE19 Cells Enhanced Vitreous-Dependent Signaling Events Intrinsic to PVR

Although RPE cells abound in PVR membranes isolated from patients¹⁰⁻¹² and are capable of inducing experimental PVR,^{23,27} the cell surface receptors that promote the pathologic behavior of RPE cells are unknown. Such information would be valuable because it would identify therapeutic targets, a stumbling block in ongoing efforts to develop effective pharmacologic approaches to protect patients from PVR.

We considered PDGFR α a mediator of PVR because PDGFR α potently enhances the PVR potential of fibro-
blasts.^{14,28} Consistent with previous studies using RPE cell lines,19 we found that RPE cells isolated from an epiretinal membrane of a PVR patient (RPEM) also expressed PDGFR α (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, the level of PDGFR α in these cells was comparable to what primary RPE cells typically expressed (Fig. 1A). However, the level of PDGFR α in RPE cells was much lower than in fibroblasts (Fig. 1A). Consequently, we considered both whether the expression of PDGFR α contributed to the PVR potential of RPE cells, and whether the level of expression was an

FIGURE 1. The impact of PDGFR α expression on vitreous-dependent signaling events. (A) F α (mouse embryo fibroblasts expressing PDGFR α), RCF (rabbit conjunctival fibroblasts), ARPE19, RPEM, and primary human RPE (P-RPE) cells were counted and lysed, and the indicated number of cells was subjected to anti-PDGFR α Western blot analysis. Values at the bottom of the panels are relative estimates of the expression of PDGFR α per cell. (**B**) Expression level of PDGFR α in ARPE19, ARPE19KD, and ARPE19 α cells. Confluent dishes of the indicated cell lines were lysed and subjected to Western blot analysis using indicated antibodies. To compensate for the high level of PDGFR α expression in ARPE19 α cells, a reduced amount of lysate was loaded. Values at the bottom of the panels indicate the relative amount of PDGFR α expression; these values were calculated by dividing the intensity of PDGFR α by the intensity of the loading control RasGAP (GTPase activating protein of Ras) and then correcting for the difference in the amount loaded. (C) ARPE19, ARPE19KD, and ARPE19 α were grown to near confluence, serum-starved overnight, and left untreated or exposed to RV for 120 minutes. Cells were lysed, and the resultant lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis using indicated antibodies. "Fold" was calculated by first normalizing to the level of Akt and then calculating the ratio of the basal (i.e., unstimulated) over stimulated for each cell type. The experiment shown in this panel is representative of three independent trials.

important factor. To this end, we established a panel of ARPE19 cell lines that either underexpressed, or overexpressed PDGFR α compared with the modest level present in RPEM cells (Fig. 1B). The overexpressing cells expressed slightly more PDGFR α than the level present in fibroblasts (Figs. 1A, 1B).

Our previous studies with fibroblasts identified prolonged activation of Akt and suppression of p53 as PVR-associated signaling events that were induced by exposing cells to vitreous.29 RV triggered prolonged activation of Akt and a 50% reduction in the level of p53 in ARPE19KD cells (Fig. 1C), indicating that these signaling events occurred even when the level of PDGFR α was very low and, hence, probably driven by one or more of the many growth factors and cytokines present in vitreous. These signaling events were profoundly more robust in ARPE19 cells (Fig. 1C). Further increasing the level of PDGFR α boosted both the activation of Akt and the suppression of p53, but the magnitude of the increase in receptor level (approximately 80-fold) did not correspond to the increase in signaling events (approximately 2-fold) (Fig. 1C). These results revealed that even the relatively low (compared with fibroblasts) level of PDGFR α expression substantially improved the responsiveness of ARPE19 cells to RV and that only a relatively small increase in the response was obtained by overexpressing PDGFR α .

The enhanced ability of vitreous to promote signaling events in PDGFR α -expressing cells was not because of PDGF present in the vitreous. In these experiments we used vitreous from control rabbits, in which the concentration of PDGF was below the level required to induce the types of biochemical changes shown in Figure $1.^{22}$ The vitreal agents that activate PDGFR α are growth factors outside the PDGF family (non-PDGFs), which activate PDGFR α indirectly through an intracellular sequence of events that depends on both reactive oxygen species and Src family kinases.13,25,28

Expression of PDGFR α **to the Level Typical of RPE Cells Significantly Enhanced RV-Induced Cellular Responses Intrinsic to PVR**

Exposure of ARPE19KD cells to vitreous promoted a small increase in proliferation (Fig. 2). The proliferative response to vitreous was significantly greater in ARPE19 cells, indicating

FIGURE 2. Expression of PDGFRα promoted RV-induced proliferation of ARPE19 cells. (**A**) Cells (ARPE19, ARPE19, ARPE19KD) were seeded into a 24-well plate at a density of 3×10^4 cells/well in DMEM + 10% FBS. After 8 hours, the cells had attached, the medium was changed to either 0.5 mL DMEM (*black bars*) or RV (diluted 1:2 in DMEM) (*gray bars*). Media were replaced every day. Cells were counted with a hemocytometer on day 3. Mean \pm SD of three independent experiments is shown. $*P < 0.05$, unpaired *t*-test. (**B**) Raw data shown in **A** are expressed as fold increase in vitreous-stimulated cells compared with DMEM-stimulated cells. Knocking down the expression of PDGFR α blunted the ability of ARPE19 cells to proliferate in response to vitreous, whereas overexpressing PDGFR α had no effect.

that expressing PDGFR α to a physiologically relevant level increased the responsiveness of RPE cells to vitreous. Overexpressing PDGFR α did not further enhance the ability of cells to proliferate.

A similar phenomenon was observed when we monitored the ability of vitreous to protect ARPE19 cells from apoptosis. Survival was significantly improved by expression of PDGFR α to the level typically observed in RPE cells, and overexpression was not further advantageous (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11- 7442/-/DCSupplemental).

Given that PDGFR α expression resulted in both a decline in apoptosis and an increase in proliferation, it is possible that the increased percentage of surviving cells led to an overestimation of the cell proliferation response. In the worst case scenario, the error would be minor because the magnitude of the change in percentage of surviving cells was much smaller than the percentage increase in proliferation. Vitreous induced 1.9%, 2.4%, and 1.5% increases in surviving cells, whereas the increases in cell proliferation for ARPE19 α , ARPE19, and ARPE19KD cells were 169%, 157%, and 133%, respectively.

As with proliferation and survival, vitreous-induced contraction of ARPE19 cells was enhanced by the expression of PDGFR α to the standard level (Fig. $4^{18,26}$). However, in contrast to the proliferative and survival responses, overexpressing PDGFR α improved the ability of cells to contract (Fig. 4). These studies show that the expression of PDGFR α in RPE cells to the standard level enhanced three PVRrelated cellular responses when exposed to vitreous. Furthermore, contraction was the only vitreous-dependent response that was further enhanced by the overexpression of $PDGFR\alpha$.

Expression of PDGFR in ARPE19 Cells Contributed to Experimental PVR

We assessed the importance of PDGFR α expression for the PVR potential of RPE cells by comparing the ability of our panel of ARPE19 cells to induce PVR when intravitreally coinjected with platelet-rich plasma into rabbits. In the context of this

model, PDGFR α starkly augments the PVR potential of fibroblasts.14,28 Rabbits injected with ARPE19KD cells formed membranes that produced traction, but only 2 of 12 rabbits progressed to partial retinal detachment (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S3, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11- 7442/-/DCSupplemental). The disease progressed faster and further in the group of rabbits injected with cells that expressed PDGFR α to the level typical of RPE (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S3, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11- 7442/-/DCSupplemental). The PVR potential of ARPE19 cells was further increased by the overexpression of PDGFR α (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S3, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi: 10.1167/iovs.11-7442/-/DCSupplemental; the onset of retinal detachment was decreased, and penetrance was increased in the group injected with overexpressing cells (Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. S3, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11- 7442/-/DCSupplemental). This observation was consistent with the fact that PDGFR α -overexpressing cells were best at contracting collagen gels (Fig. 4). We conclude that the PVR potential of RPE cells is augmented by PDGFR α expression, as it is in fibroblasts. Furthermore, the level to which PDGFR α is naturally expressed in RPE cells was sufficient to substantially potentiate the PVR potential of RPE cells, and overexpression further enhanced this ability.

DISCUSSION

By characterizing a panel of ARPE19 cells that harbor various levels of PDGFR α , we learned that the level of PDGFR α that RPE cells normally express was sufficient to boost their responsiveness to vitreous and enhance their ability to promote PVR. Because RPE cells are among the most abundant cell types present in an epiretinal membrane, $7-9$ our studies suggest that approaches to block vitreous-driven activation of PDGFR α have the potential to provide protection from PVR.

FIGURE 3. Expression of PDGFRa promoted vitreous-mediated survival of ARPE19 cells. (**A**) The indicated cells were seeded into 6 cm-dishes in DMEM + 10% FBS at a density of 2×10^5 cells per dish. After 8 hours, the medium was changed to either DMEM alone (*black bars*) or RV (diluted 1:2 in DMEM) (*gray bars*). Media were replaced every day. After 3 days, the cells were subjected to FACS analysis to determine the level of annexin V expression, a measure of apoptosis. Mean \pm SD of three independent experiments is shown. $P < 0.05$, unpaired *t*-test. An example of the raw FACS data is shown in Supplementary Figure S2 (http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/ iovs.11-7442/-/DCSupplemental). (**B**) Data shown in **A** are expressed as a fold decrease in apoptosis. Knocking down the expression of PDGFR α resulted in a statistically significant decline in vitreous-mediated survival, whereas there was no further benefit of overexpressing $PDGFR\alpha$.

FIGURE 4. Expression of PDGFR α to the standard level promoted RV-induced contraction of ARPE19 cells, and overexpressing PDGFR α further enhanced this response. (**A**) The indicated cell lines were suspended in 1.5 mg/mL neutralized collagen I (pH 7.2) at a density of 1×10^6 cells/mL^{18,26} and were seeded into wells of a 24-well plate that had been preincubated overnight with 5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin in PBS. The collagen was solidified by incubation at 37°C for 90 minutes. Solidified gels were overlaid with either DMEM alone (DMEM) or RV diluted 1:2 in DMEM. Media were replaced every day; each experimental condition was assayed in duplicate. The gel diameter was measured, and the gel was photographed on day 3; a photograph of a representative experiment is shown. (**B**) The area of the gel in each well was calculated using the formula πr^2 , where r is the radius of the gel. Mean \pm SD of three independent experiments is shown. P < 0.05, unpaired *t*-test. (**C**) Raw data shown in **B** are expressed as fold decrease of the gel diameter (which indicated increased contraction). Although ARPE19KD cells contracted in response to vitreous, a statistically greater response was observed in cells expressing a physiological level of PDGFR α , and overexpressing PDGFR α resulted in a further enhancement of vitreous-mediated contraction.

Although the PVR potential of RPEs and fibroblasts is dependent on the expression of PDGFR α , the level of expression in fibroblasts is typically much higher than in ARPE19 cells (Fig. 1). This raises the possibility that fibroblasts need more PDGFR α to achieve their full PVR potential. Although we have not evaluated the impact of PDGFR α expression level on PVR potential with a panel of cell lines expressing different levels of PDGFR α , our work with a dominant negative PDGFR α suggests that modest expression of PDGFR α is sufficient to boost the PVR potential of fibroblasts, just as in RPEs. The dominant negative PDGFR α mutant is a truncation that ends at the beginning of the kinase domain, and it effectively prevents PVR, provided that it is expressed roughly 50-fold above the level of the full-length receptor.¹⁶ At lower levels of expression, the dominant negative is no longer capable of blocking PVR (H.L. and A.K., unpublished observations, 2009). These studies suggest that not all PDGFR α expressed in fibroblasts is necessary to enhance the PVR potential of this cell type.

Overexpressing $PDGFR\alpha$ did not increase all vitreous-driven cellular responses above the level observed in cells expressing the standard level of PDGFR α . This observation suggests that different thresholds of signaling are required for the three cellular responses we monitored. Since the greater decline in p53 (achieved in overexpressing cells) was associated with enhanced contraction, we speculate that p53 controls the expression of genes that prevent contraction. Identification of such genes may lead to approaches to prevent this most sightthreatening component of PVR.

It is important to point out that this model of PVR may underestimate the pathogenic potential of PDGFR α overexpression. Overexpressing cells may be substantially more responsive in traumatic settings that involve the release of large amounts of PDGF from platelets. Additional studies to evaluate the level of PDGFR α expression and how it correlates with the severity of PVR will provide the information needed to address this question.

Although our studies indicate that PDGFR α enhances the PVR potential of RPE cells, it is important to note that even when PDGFR α expression is very low (or null, as can be achieved using knockout fibroblasts¹⁴), the cells are still capable of inducing PVR. These observations indicate that $PDGFR\alpha$ is not the only driver of pathogenesis. Identification of other contributors will further increase our appreciation of how PVR develops and will enable us to prevent it.

FIGURE 5. PDGFR α in ARPE19 cells played a central role in experimental PVR. PVR was induced in the right eyes of pigmented rabbits, as described in Materials and Methods. One week after gas vitrectomy, each rabbit received two injections: 0.1 mL PRP and 2.5×10^5 ARPE19 cells, ARPE19 α , or ARPE19KD in 0.1 mL DMEM. Retinal status was evaluated at days 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 42. PVR was graded according to the Fastenberg classification from 0 through 5. Raw data are shown in Supplementary Figure S3 (http://www.iovs.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-7442/-/DCSupplemental). (**A**) Kaplan-Meier curves comparing the onset and frequency of retinal detachment (stage 3 or higher). As the level of PDGFR α expression increased, the onset of retinal detachment decreased and the number of rabbits affected increased. Differences between the three groups were statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). (**B**) The percentage of rabbits that developed retinal detachment (stage 3 or higher) was calculated for each time point. Differences between groups that were statistically significant (as determined by one-way ANOVA and posttests) are indicated by *asterisks*.

In summary, our findings identify PDGFR α as an essential mediator of the PVR potential of RPE cells, one of the major cell types in PVR membranes. As a result, efforts directed at inhibiting vitreous-dependent activation of PDGFR α , signaling events, or cellular responses intrinsic to PVR have the potential to lead to therapies that effectively protect patients from PVR.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Marie Ortega and Jessica Lanzim for help with the animal studies and Jing Cui and Joanne Matsubara for providing RPEM cells.

References

- 1. Ophir A, Blumenkranz MS, Claflin AJ. Experimental intraocular proliferation and neovascularization. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1982;94: 450 – 457.
- 2. Sundaram V, Barsam A, Virgili G. Intravitreal low molecular weight heparin and 5-fluorouracil for the prevention of proliferative vitreoretinopathy following retinal reattachment surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD006421.
- 3. Leaver PK. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1995; 79:871– 872.
- 4. Han D. *Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2008.
- 5. Charteris DG. Growth factors in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1998;82:106.
- 6. Jerdan JA, Pepose JS, Michels RG, et al. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy membranes. *Ophthalmology*. 1989;9:801-810.
- 7. Baudouin C, Fredj-Reygrobellet D, Brignole F, Negre F, Lapalus P, Gastaud P. Growth factors in vitreous and subretinal fluid cells from patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Ophthalmic Res.* 1993;25:52–59.
- 8. Vinores SA, Campochiaro PA, Conway BP. Ultrastructural and electron-immunocytochemical characterization of cells in epiretinal membranes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1990;31:14 –28.
- 9. Cui JZ, Chiu A, Maberley D, Ma P, Samad A, Matsubara JA. Stage specificity of novel growth factor expression during development of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Eye.* 2007;21:200 –208.
- 10. Laqua H, Machemer R. Glial cell proliferation in retinal detachment (massive periretinal proliferation). *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1975;80: 602– 618.
- 11. Campochiaro PA. Mechanisms in ophthalmic disease: pathogenic mechanisms in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1997;115:237–241.
- 12. Ryan SJ. Traction retinal detachment: XLIX Edward Jackson Memorial Lecture. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1993;115:1–20.
- 13. Lei H, Rheaume MA, Kazlauskas A. Recent developments in our understanding of how platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and its receptors contribute to proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Exp Eye Res.* 2010;90:376 –381.
- 14. Andrews A, Balciunaite E, Leong FL, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor plays a key role in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1999;40:2683–2689.
- 15. Ikuno Y, Kazlauskas A. An in vivo gene therapy approach for experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy using the truncated platelet-derived growth factor alpha receptor. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2002;43:2406 –2411.
- 16. Ikuno Y, Leong FL, Kazlauskas A. Attenuation of experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy by inhibiting the platelet-derived growth factor receptor. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41:3107– 3116.
- 17. Zheng Y, Ikuno Y, Ohj M, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor receptor kinase inhibitor AG1295 and inhibition of experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 2003;47:158 – 165.
- 18. Lei H, Velez G, Cui J, et al. N-acetylcysteine suppresses retinal detachment in an experimental model of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Am J Pathol.* 2010;177:132–140.
- 19. Campochiaro PA, Hackett SF, Vinores SA, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor is an autocrine growth stimulator in retinal pigmented epithelial cells. *J Cell Sci.* 1994;107:2459 –2469.
- 20. Robbins SG, Mixon RN, Wilson DJ, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor ligands and receptors immunolocalized in proliferative retinal diseases. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1994;35:3649 –3663.
- 21. Cui J, Lei H, Samad A, et al. PDGF receptors are activated in human epiretinal membranes. *Exp Eye Res.* 2009;88:438 – 444.
- 22. Lei H, Hovland P, Velez G, et al. A potential role for PDGF-C in experimental and clinical proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007;48:2335–2342.
- 23. Wong CA, Potter MJ, Cui JZ, et al. Induction of proliferative vitreoretinopathy by a unique line of human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Can J Ophthalmol.* 2002;37:211–220.
- 24. Nakagawa M, Refojo MF, Marin JF, Doi M, Tolentino FI. Retinoic acid in silicone and silicone-fluorosilicone copolymer oils in a rabbit model of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1995;36:2388 –2395.
- 25. Lei H, Kazlauskas A. Growth factors outside of the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) family employ reactive oxygen species/Src family kinases to activate PDGF receptor alpha and thereby promote proliferation and survival of cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2009;284: 6329 – 6336.
- 26. Ikuno Y, Kazlauskas A. TGF β 1-dependent contraction of fibroblasts is mediated by the PDGFa receptor. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2002;43:41– 46.
- 27. Agrawal RN, He S, Spee C, Cui JZ, Ryan SJ, Hinton DR. In vivo models of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Nat Protoc.* 2007;2: 67–77.
- 28. Lei H, Velez G, Hovland P, Hirose T, Gilbertson D, Kazlauskas A. Growth factors outside the PDGF family drive experimental PVR. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50:3394 –3403.
- 29. Lei H, Velez G, Kazlauskas A. Pathological signaling via PDGFRa involves chronic activation of Akt and suppression of p53. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2011;31:1788 –1799.