

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*Bone Marrow Transplant*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 September 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Bone Marrow Transplant. 2010 June ; 45(6): 1000–1007. doi:10.1038/bmt.2009.289.

# **CD3+ and/or CD14+ depletion from cord blood mononuclear cells prior to** *ex vivo* **expansion culture improves total nucleated cell and CD34+ cell yields**

**Hong Yang, MD, Ph.D.**1,\* , **Simon N. Robinson, Ph.D.**1,\* , **Junjun Lu**1, **William K. Decker, Ph.D.** <sup>1</sup>, **Dongxia Xing, Ph.D.**1, **David Steiner**1, **Simrit Parmar, MD**1, **Nina Shah, MD**1, **Richard E. Champlin, MD**1, **Mark Munsell, Ph.D.**2, **Ann Leen, Ph.D.**3, **Catherine Bollard, MD**3, **Paul J. Simmons, Ph.D.**4, and **Elizabeth J. Shpall, MD**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

<sup>3</sup>The Baylor College of Medicine Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Houston, TX

<sup>4</sup>The Brown Foundation Institute of Molecular Medicine for the Prevention of Human Diseases, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX

# **Abstract**

Cord blood (CB) is used increasingly in transplant patients lacking sibling or unrelated donors. A major hurdle to the use of CB is low cell dose which is largely responsible for elevated risk of graft failure and significantly delayed neutrophil and platelet engraftment. Since a positive correlation has been demonstrated between the total nucleated cell (TNC) and CD34+ cell dose transplanted and time to neutrophil and platelet engraftment, strategies to increase these measures are under development. One strategy includes the *ex vivo* expansion of CB mononuclear cells (MNC) with mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) in a cytokine cocktail. We demonstrate that this strategy can be further improved if  $CD3^+$  and/or  $CD14^+$  cells are first depleted from the CB MNC prior to *ex vivo* expansion. Ready translation of this depletion strategy to improve *ex vivo* CB expansion in the clinic is feasible since clinical-grade devices and reagents are available. Ultimately the goal of improving  $TNC$  and  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  transplant doses is to further improve the rate of neutrophil and platelet engraftment in CB recipients.

#### **Keywords**

Cord blood; *ex vivo* expansion; inhibitory "accessory" cells; mononuclear cells

# **Introduction**

Umbilical cord blood (CB) is rapidly becoming an important source of tissue for hematopoietic transplantation due largely to its ethnic diversity, relative ease of collection, ready availability from frozen banks, reduced incidence and severity of graft *versus* host

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

Corresponding Author: Simon N. Robinson, Ph.D., Department of Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard - Unit 65, Houston, Texas 77030-4009, Tel: (713) 563-1897, FAX: (713) 563-1898, snrobins@mdanderson.org. \*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

disease and tolerance of higher degrees of HLA disparity between donor and recipient when compared to the use of bone marrow (BM) or cytokine-mobilized peripheral blood (PB).<sup>1-12</sup>

Outside pediatric transplantation, low cell dose remains a major drawback to the successful use of CB transplantation and is largely responsible for the significantly delayed neutrophil and platelet engraftment and elevated risk of graft failure observed for CB recipients when compared to BM and PB transplant recipients. A positive correlation between the total nucleated cell (TNC) dose<sup>7,11</sup> and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell dose<sup>12</sup> transplanted and time to neutrophil and platelet engraftment has been identified for CB recipients. To this end, a number of strategies to overcome the low TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> doses associated with CB have been developed with the goal of improving the speed of neutrophil and platelet engraftment. Approaches currently include the transplantation of two unmanipulated CB units<sup>3,13,14</sup>, and the use of *ex vivo* expansion techniques.15-20

Initial  $ex$  *vivo* expansion studies revealed that the selection of  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cells from CB mononuclear cell (MNC) was first required before successful *ex vivo* expansion in culture medium containing a cocktail of growth factors was achieved.<sup>21</sup> These data suggested that the presence of non-CD34+ "accessory" cells in the CB MNC may negatively impact the efficacy of *ex vivo* expansion. While CD34<sup>+</sup> cell selection from the CB MNC was required, it is not an efficient process and significant CD34+ cell losses occur. In an MDACC double CB expansion trial, the recovery of  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cells from CB units following positive selection was only 35% (range: 4% to 70%) (personal communication EJS). As a direct consequence of the significant CD34+ cell losses, even if significant levels of *ex vivo* expansion are achieved, cell yields for transplant remain low. *Ex vivo* expansion strategies which do not require initial CD34+ selection were therefore investigated. It was subsequently shown that *ex vivo* expansion of primitive and more mature hematopoietic progenitors is achieved by the co-culture of non-selected CB MNC in culture medium containing a cocktail of growth factors together with cellular and extracellular components of the hematopoietic microenvironment provided by BM-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSC).16,19 This *ex vivo* co-culture strategy lead to the development of a double CB clinical trial at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) with patients receiving one unmanipulated CB unit and one *ex vivo* expanded CB unit at transplant. The combination of an unmanipulated CB unit together with an *ex vivo* co-culture expanded CB unit allows significantly higher doses of TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cells to be transplanted than have ever previously been achieved. An encouraging trend towards more rapid neutrophil and platelet engraftment has been observed in patients receiving these significantly elevated numbers of TNC and CD34+ cells and provides the impetus to further refine and improve the current *ex vivo* co-culture expansion strategy.

While the *ex vivo* expansion achieved with CB MNC/MSC co-culture is markedly better than that achieved with CD34<sup>+</sup> selection and liquid culture,<sup>19</sup> it was hypothesized that  $ex$ *vivo* expansion would be further improved if putative inhibitory "accessory" cells present in the CB MNC were removed prior to *ex vivo* CB MNC/MSC co-culture. Previous reports have cited instances where T-lymphocytes,  $22,23$  natural killer (NK) cells,  $24,25$ macrophages<sup>26,27</sup> and other less well defined "accessory" cells<sup>28,29</sup> have been shown to negatively impact hematopoiesis. Phenotypic analysis of CB revealed that the major cellular components are  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD56^+$  and  $CD19^+$  cells and each was investigated (alone and in combination) with respect to their potential as inhibitory "accessory" cells influencing the magnitude of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion achieved.

Initially, using an 'add-back' strategy we demonstrated that the combination of CD3+ and CD14+ cells had a marked inhibitory effect on *ex vivo* CD34+ expansion, suggesting that  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  and/or  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$  cells were putative inhibitory 'accessory' cells. We subsequently

confirmed these 'add-back' data by a more clinically-applicable depletion strategy and demonstrated that *ex vivo* CD34+ expansion was markedly improved following CD3+ and/or CD14+ cell depletion. It is hypothesized that improving the level of *ex vivo* expansion achieved will increase the TNC and CD34+ cell dose available for transplant and thereby improve platelet and neutrophil engraftment for CB recipients.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Cord blood units**

Frozen CB units were released for research use under the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center Internal Review Board approved Protocol LAB03-0796.

#### **Use of magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS)**

MACS was used to positively select (for preliminary 'add-back' experiments) or deplete (for subsequent depletion experiments) specific cell populations. Single frozen CB units were thawed and washed in CliniMACS wash buffer: CliniMACS buffer (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) containing 0.5% human serum albumin (HSA) (Flexbumin, Baxter Healthcare Corp., Deerfield, IL). Small cell aggregates were removed using sterile 70 μm nylon cell strainers (BD Falcon, Bedford, MA). Equal fractions of CB MNC were incubated at +4°C for 5 minutes in a solution of Gammaguard (Baxter Healthcare Corp.) to block non-specific binding of MACS beads and at +4°C for 20 minutes in an excess of specific MACS reagents (beads) to positively select either CD34+, CD3+, CD14+, CD19+ or CD56+ cells (Miltenyi Biotec). In positively selecting a specific cell population from CB MNC (e.g. for use in 'add-back' experiments), a product depleted of that specific cell population was also generated (e.g. for use in depletion experiments). CD3 and CD14 depletion was achieved by combining CD3 and CD14 MACS beads with the CB MNC during the incubation. This simultaneous depletion was shown to be as effective as a two step, sequential depletion process. A fraction of CB MNC equivalent to that subjected to MACS (selection or depletion), was untreated and served as the unmanipulated CB MNC control.

After incubation, unbound MACS beads were removed by washing in CliniMACS wash buffer. Cells were passed through pre-separation filters (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) and onto MACS LS separation columns according to manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). LS columns provided both the 'selected' product (positive fraction retained in the column and used in 'add-back' experiments) and 'depleted' product (negative fraction as 'flow through' used in depletion experiments). Positive and negative fractions were collected, centrifuged and cells resuspended in medium. Cell numbers were determined and flow cytometry (see below) performed to determine the efficiency of the selection/ depletion procedure.

#### **"Add-back" experiments**

'Add-back' experiments were designed to expose selected CD34+ to numbers of 'accessory' cells' that would be present in the unmanipulated CB MNC. Since 5 cell populations were required (CD34+, CD3+, CD14+, CD19+ or CD56+ cells), 20% fractions of the total CB MNC were subjected to  $CD34^+$ ,  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD19^+$  or  $CD56^+$  cell selection.  $CD34^+$ ,  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD19^+$  or  $CD56^+$  cells derived from the 20% CB fractions were collected into 2 mls of Expansion Medium [CellGro SCGM (CellGenix, Antioch, IL) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, Logan, UT) antibiotics (100 U/ml Penicillin and 100 μg/ ml Streptomycin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) and 2 mM L-glutamine (HyClone, Logan, UT) and supplemented with 100 ng/ml each of Stem Cell Factor (SCF), Flt-3 Ligand (FL), Thombopoietin (TPO) from CellGenix (Antioch, IL) and Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor (G-CSF, Neupogen Filgrastim, Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA)]. Sixteen different

culture combinations were identified: CD34+ cells were cultured alone and with various  $CD3^+ \pm CD14^+ \pm CD19^+ \pm CD56^+$  combinations (see Figure 1). Cultures were performed in 6 well, flat-bottomed tissue culture plates containing pre-established BM-derived MSC monolayers. MSC were provided as mesenchymal precursor cells (MPC) by Angioblast Systems, Inc., (New York, NY). Routinely, *ex vivo* expansion cultures are performed with 10% of an unmanipulated CB MNC in 50 mls of Expansion Medium in 150 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flasks containing pre-established monolayers of MSC. With the reduction in the size of the culture (culture area reduced from 150 cm<sup>2</sup> to approximately 7 cm<sup>2</sup>), the number of cells plated (reduced from 10% to 0.5% *per* culture) and culture volume (reduced from 50 mls to 2.5 mls) were similarly reduced.

Positively selected CD34<sup>+</sup> cells derived from 0.5% CB MNC, corresponded to approximately 100 μl of the 2 mls containing the selected product from 20% of CB MNC, were added to each culture well. For the addition of 'accessory' cells, approximately 100 μl of the 2 mls of cell suspension containing the selected product from 20% of CB MNC  $(CD3^+$ ,  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD19^+$  or  $CD56^+$  cells) was added to yield the 16 different conditions assayed  $(CD34^{+} \pm CD3^{+} \pm CD14^{+} \pm CD19^{+} \pm CD56^{+})$ .

Expansion Medium was added to a final volume of approximately 2.5 mls. 'Add back' culture was performed at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a  $5\%$ CO<sub>2</sub> in air fully humidified atmosphere. After 7 days, the 2.5 mls of medium containing non-adherent cells was removed and replaced with 2.5 mls of fresh Expansion Medium and culture continued for an additional 7 days. In addition, a known volume of the medium containing non-adherent cells was diluted in fresh Expansion Medium and culture continued for 7 days in a tissue culture flask at 37°C in a  $5\%CO<sub>2</sub>$  in air fully humidified atmosphere ('liquid' culture). After 14 days, all hematopoietic cells (from the 'liquid' culture fraction and from the non-adherent and adherent fractions of the co-cultures) were analyzed for TNC number and number of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells present for each condition. The number of hematopoietic cells in the adherent fraction (adhering to the MSC) consistently constituted only a small fraction of the hematopoietic cells in the culture well at day 14.

TNC number was determined by hemacytometer and the proportion of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells present was determined by flow cytometry. Total output TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> numbers were calculated for each condition  $(CD34^+ \pm CD3^+ \pm CD14^+ \pm CD19^+ \pm CD56^+)$ . Total output TNC and CD34+ numbers were calculated for each condition and the fold increase in TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers from those input (day 0) to those output following 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion was calculated.

#### **"Depletion" experiments**

Negative fractions (cells not retained in the MACS column) were collected into 2mls of Expansion medium as: (1) CD3, (2) CD14 or (3) CD3 and CD14 'depleted' products. Since equal fractions of CB MNC were used for selection, all the negative fractions contained similar numbers of the non-depleted populations i.e.  $CD34^+$ ,  $CD56^+$  and  $CD19^+$  cells. When present they also contained similar numbers of CD3+ cells (CD14 depleted sample) or CD14+ cells (CD3 depleted sample), or neither (CD3 and CD14 depleted sample). This was confirmed by flow cytometry (data not shown). Cultures were performed in 75 cm2 tissue culture flasks (BD Falcon) containing prepared BM-derived MSC monolayers for coculture, as previously described.19 As the size of the culture was reduced (culture area reduced from 150 cm<sup>2</sup> to 75 cm<sup>2</sup>), the number of cells cultured and volume of incubation medium was similarly reduced.

The depletion product (CD3  $\pm$  CD14 depleted) from approximately 5% CB MNC was cultured in 25 ml of Expansion Medium. Cells were cultured at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a  $5\%$ CO<sub>2</sub> in air,

fully humidified atmosphere for 7 days. After 7 days, the 25 mls of medium containing nonadherent cells was removed and replaced with 25 mls of fresh Expansion Medium and culture continued for an additional 7 days. In addition, a known volume of the medium containing non-adherent cells was diluted in fresh Expansion Medium and culture of this fraction continued for 7 days in a tissue culture flask at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a  $5\%$ CO<sub>2</sub> in air fully humidified atmosphere ('liquid' culture). At day 14, all hematopoietic cells (from the 'liquid' culture fraction and from the non-adherent and adherent fractions of the co-cultures) were analyzed for TNC number and number of  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cells present for each condition. TNC number was determined by hemacytometer and the proportion of CD34+ cells present was determined by flow cytometry. Total output TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> numbers were calculated for each condition (MNC or CD3<sup>+</sup> and/or CD14<sup>+</sup> depleted). Total output TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> numbers were calculated for each condition and the fold increase in TNC and CD34+ cell numbers from those input (day 0) to those output following 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion was calculated.

#### **Flow cytometric analysis**

The cellular composition of the CB MNC prior to, and following MACS selection/depletion, and post-culture was confirmed by flow cytometry using fluorescently-conjugated detection antibodies (CD34, CD3 CD14, CD19, CD56 and CD45 - all BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Briefly, cells were washed in Flow Stain Buffer (FSB): Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) containing 1% (v/v) Donor Goat Serum (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, KS) and incubated with appropriate fluorescently-labeled antibodies for 20 minutes with occasional mixing. Unbound antibodies were removed by washing in FSB and cells fixed using a solution of 1.6% (v/v) paraformaldehyde in FBS. Fluorescence staining was revealed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analysis performed using CELLQuest Pro software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). The absolute number of each cell type revealed by flow cytometry  $(CD34^+, CD3^+,$  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD19^+$  or  $CD56^+$ ) was calculated by reference to the appropriate TNC count performed by hemacytometer.

## **Results**

#### **"Add-back" experiments**

Although representative data from a single 'add-back' experiment is shown,(Figure 1) a similar pattern of *ex vivo* expansion was observed in other 'add-back' experiments. In this representative experiment, CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion under 16 different conditions is shown. Culture conditions range from  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  alone; to a combination of cells that was essentially that of CB MNC prior to any manipulation.

Maximal CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion (approximately 58-fold) was observed when CD34<sup>+</sup> cells were cultured with BM-derived MSC in Expansion Medium in the absence of any CB MNC 'accessory' cells. This level of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion is not markedly different from that observed when CD34<sup>+</sup> cells are incubated with CD56<sup>+</sup> or CD19<sup>+</sup>, suggesting that neither of these cell types acting singly, inhibit CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion.

However, in the presence of  $CD3^+$  or  $CD14^+$  cells,  $CD34^+$  *ex vivo* expansion is reduced (by approximately 50% and 30%, respectively). These data suggest that both of these cell types acting singly inhibit CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion. Consistent with these data, 'add-back' combinations that contain  $CD3^+$  or  $CD14^+$  cells show a similar trend toward inhibition of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion.

However, marked inhibition (>90%) of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion was most evident when both  $CD3^+$  and  $CD14^+$  cells are present (as indicated by arrows in Figure 1). The presence

of both CD3<sup>+</sup> and CD14+ cells was associated with a profound inhibition of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion, be it as part of a 2, 3 or 4 component 'add-back' combination.

While these data were focused on identifying inhibitory 'accessory' cells, no clear evidence for the existence of stimulatory 'accessory' cells (acting singly, or in combination) was found. CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion only matched, or was less than that observed with CD34<sup>+</sup> cells alone. Evidence of stimulatory 'accessory' cells would have been observed as levels of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion that exceeded those obtained following culture of CD34+ cells alone.

#### **Efficacy of MACS depletion**

Representative flow cytometric data demonstrating the efficacy of depletion using MACS is shown.(Figure 2) Consistently high levels of CD3 and/or CD14 depletion were achieved. These data demonstrate the specificity and efficacy of the CD3 and/or CD14 depletion process. In this representative sample, the CB MNC contained approximately 56% CD3<sup>+</sup> cells and approximately 9%  $CD14^+$  cells. Following  $CD3^+$  and/or  $CD14^+$  cell depletion procedures, each population was reduced to 0%. Further, analysis confirmed that the depletion of CD3+ cells did not impact the numbers of CD14+ cells and *visa versa*, nor did the depletion of  $CD3^+$  and/or  $CD14^+$  cells impact the numbers of  $CD34^+$  cells. Similarly, no impact on  $CD19<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD56<sup>+</sup>$  cells was observed (data not shown).

To investigate whether 'processing' of the CB MNC for MACS had any impact on the initial input numbers of CD34+ cells and the level of *ex vivo* CD34+ and TNC expansion achieved, (i) untreated MNC (not exposed to Miltenyi reagents) were passed through pre-separation filters and MACS LS separation columns, and (ii) CB MNC were treated with either CD3 and/or CD14 Reagents and passed through pre-separation filters and MACS LS separation columns that were outside of the magnetic separation device. No evidence that such MACS 'processing' had any impact on *ex vivo* expansion was observed (data not shown).

#### *Ex vivo* **expansion of TNC and CD34+ following CD3+ and/or CD14+ depletion by MACS**

Fold expansion of TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> numbers with no depletion (MNC) and following CD3 and/or CD14 depletion [MNC-CD3, MNC-CD14 and MNC-(CD3&CD14)] is shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. (Data is presented as mean fold increase  $\pm$  SEM (n=4-6) replicate experiments). Control data from CD56 depleted samples is shown for comparison.

(i) **No depletion**—With no depletion (MNC) an input TNC number of  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$  cells contained approximately  $1.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells (approximately 0.6% of pre-expansion TNC). After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers were increased by approximately 20- and 19-fold, respectively to  $473.5 \pm 164.8 \times 10^6$  TNC and  $24.8\pm9.8\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells (approximately 0.5% of post-expansion product).

**(ii) CD3 depletion—**CD3 depletion (MNC-CD3) reduced the input TNC number from that seen in the non-depleted CB MNC by approximately  $42\%$ , from  $23.5\pm2.6\times10^6$  cells to  $13.6\pm3.3\times10^6$  cells. The  $1.4\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contained in the CD3 depleted fraction, was similar to the  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contained in the MNC (no depletion). These data demonstrate that the depletion of  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  cells did not impact the  $CD3<sup>4+</sup>$  population. After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, TNC numbers were increased approximately 47 fold from the initial input of  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$  TNC to  $1097.3 \pm 287.6 \times 10^6$  TNC.(Figure 3) It should be noted that this expansion actually represents a >80-fold increase over the postdepletion value of  $13.6 \pm 3.3 \times 10^6$  cells.

After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers were increased approximately 48-fold from the initial input of  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells to  $62.5\pm24.2\times10^5$ CD34+ cells. (Figure 4) This represents approximately 0.6% of the post-expansion product.

*Ex vivo* expansion following CD3 depletion delivered significantly greater TNC numbers (2.3-fold, *P*≤0.05) and significantly greater CD34+ cell numbers (2.5-fold, *P*≤0.05) than did *ex vivo* expansion of unmanipulated CB MNC (no depletion). The increases in TNC and CD34+ cell numbers following CD3 depletion are not significantly different from those obtained following CD14, or CD3 and CD14 depletion.

**(iii) CD14 depletion—**CD14 depletion (MNC-CD14) reduced the input TNC number from that seen in the non-depleted CB MNC by approximately 22%, from  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$ TNC to  $18.2\pm1.4 \times 10^6$  TNC. The  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contained in the CD14 depleted fraction, was identical to that contained in the unmanipulated MNC (no depletion) demonstrating that the depletion of CD14<sup>+</sup> cells did not impact CD34<sup>+</sup> numbers.

After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, TNC numbers were increased approximately 45 fold from the initial input of  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$  TNC to an output of  $1097.3 \pm 287.6 \times 10^6$  TNC. (Figure 3) It should be noted that this expansion actually represents a >50-fold increase over the post-depletion value of  $18.2 \pm 1.4 \times 10^6$  TNC. CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers were increased approximately 44-fold from the initial input to  $56.7 \pm 25.0 \times 10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells. (Figure 4) This represents approximately 0.5% of the post-expansion product.

*Ex vivo* expansion following CD14 depletion delivered significantly greater TNC (2.2-fold, *P*≤0.05), and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers (2.3-fold, *P*≤0.05), respectively, than did *ex vivo* expansion of unmanipulated MNC (no depletion). The increases in TNC and CD34+ cell numbers following CD14 depletion are not significantly different from those obtained following CD3, or CD3 and CD14 depletion.

**(iv) CD3 and CD14 depletion—**CD3 and CD14 depletion [MNC-(CD3&CD14)] reduced the input TNC number from that seen in the non-depleted CB MNC by approximately 58%, from 23.5 $\pm$ 2.6 $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup> cells to 9.8 $\pm$ 1.4 $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup> cells. These data are consistent with the value that would be predicted following the individual depletion of  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$ (approximately 42%) and CD14<sup>+</sup> cells (approximately 22%). The  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contained in the CD3 and CD14-depleted fraction, was similar to that present in the unmanipulated MNC (no depletion) and demonstrated that the simultaneous depletion of  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$  cells did not impact  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  numbers.

After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, TNC numbers were increased approximately 42 fold from the initial input of  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$  TNC to an output of  $982.1 \pm 302.7 \times 10^6$  TNC. (Figure 3) It should be noted that this expansion actually represents a >100-fold increase from the post-depletion value of  $9.8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^6$  TNC.

CD34+ cell numbers were increased approximately 47-fold from the initial input of  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells to 61.5 $\pm$ 24.2  $\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells.(Figure 4) This represents approximately 0.6% of post-expansion product.

*Ex vivo* expansion following CD3 and CD14 depletion delivered significantly greater TNC  $(2.1-fold, P \le 0.05)$  and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers (2.5-fold, *P*≤0.05), respectively, than did *ex vivo* expansion of unmanipulated MNC (no depletion) when initial (pre-depletion) inputs were compared. The increases in TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers following CD3 and CD14 depletion are not significantly different from those obtained following CD3 or CD14 depletion.

**(v) CD56+ depletion—**In a limited number of experiments CD56 depletion was performed as a control. 'Add-back' experiments suggested that the presence of CD56+ cells did not inhibit CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion.(Figure 1) CD56 depletion (MNC-CD56) reduced the input TNC number from that seen in the non-depleted CB MNC by approximately 14% from  $23.5\pm2.6\times10^6$  cells to  $20.2\pm4.0\times10^6$  cells. The number of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contained in the CD56 depleted fraction was similar to the  $1.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells present in the unmanipulated MNC (no depletion) and suggest that the depletion of  $CD56<sup>+</sup>$  did not impact CD34+ numbers.

After 14 days of *ex vivo* expansion culture, TNC numbers were increased approximately 18 fold from the initial input of  $23.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$  TNC to an output of  $416.7 \pm 187.7 \times 10^6$  TNC. (Figure 3) It should be noted that this increase in TNC numbers actually represents a >20 fold increase from the post-depletion value of  $20.2\pm4.0\times10^6$  TNC. CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers were increased approximately 14-fold from the initial input of  $1.3\pm0.5\times10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells to  $18.1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$  CD34<sup>+</sup> cells.(Figure 4) This represents approximately 0.4% of post-expansion product.

The increase in TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell numbers following CD56 depletion is significantly less than that obtained following CD3 and/or CD14 depletion (*P*≤0.05), however, it is not significantly different (*P*>0.05) from that obtained following the *ex vivo* expansion of unmanipulated (non-depleted) MNC.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Where appropriate data were compared using the Student's t-test (Excel, Microsoft) with significance assumed at *P*≤0.05.

#### **Discussion**

Strategies to overcome the low TNC and CD34+ doses associated with CB transplantation have been developed with the goal of improving the speed of neutrophil and platelet engraftment and reducing the risk of graft failure and include the transplantation of two unmanipulated CB units<sup>3,13,14</sup>, and the *ex vivo* expansion of one of the two CB units prior to transplant.15-20 Here we focus specifically on the contribution of *ex vivo* CB expansion and demonstrate that a relatively simple refinement to a current *ex vivo* CB MNC/MSC coculture expansion technique, might further improve the magnitude of *ex vivo* expansion achieved and therefore possibly positively impact the rate of neutrophil and platelet engraftment and reduce the risk of graft failure.

*Ex vivo* expansion using a liquid culture technique was shown to be effective only if CD34<sup>+</sup> or CD133<sup>+</sup> CB progenitors were first positively selected from the CB MNC cell milieu<sup>21</sup> (albeit an inefficient process) suggesting that the removal of the putative negative influences of non-CD34+ or non-CD133+ "accessory" cells was required. Here we present evidence for the presence of negative "accessory" cells in CB MNC and demonstrate that the depletion of these inhibitory "accessory" cells and/or the factors they produce, improves *ex vivo* expansion in a CB MNC/MSC co-culture system.

Based on "add-back" experiments,  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$  cells appeared to be candidate inhibitory "accessory" cells. Subsequently, *ex vivo* expansion of CD34+ in CB MNC/MSC co-culture was performed where  $CD3^+$  and/or  $CD14^+$  cells were first depleted from the CB MNC. We demonstrate that the depletion of CD3+ and/or CD14+ cells improves the *ex vivo* expansion of TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cells obtained with CB MNC/MSC co-culture.<sup>19</sup>

'Add-back' experiments demonstrated that both CD3<sup>+</sup> and CD14+ cells were required before a maximal negative impact on CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion was observed. These data were confirmed by the observation that  $CD34^+$  expansion was improved ( $>2$ -fold) with the depletion of both CD3<sup>+</sup> and CD14+ cells from CB MNC prior to CB MNC/MSC co-culture. However, a similar improvement in  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  expansion was also observed when either  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$ or CD14<sup>+</sup> cells were depleted from CB MNC prior to MSC co-culture. These data suggest that the removal of one, or other, or both populations, has a similar positive impact on CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion. This observation might be explained by the possible existence of an inhibitory 'cross-talk' between the  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  cells and  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$  cell populations. One population may stimulate the production of an inhibitory activity by the other to ultimately regulate CD34+ proliferation. Alternatively, each population may produce unique factors that may synergize to inhibit  $CD34<sup>+</sup> ex vivo$  expansion. In such models, the removal of one, or other, or both populations could therefore be explained to similarly improve CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion.

Targeting the depletion of one inhibitory "accessory" cell population  $(CD3 + or CD14 +$ cells) rather than both  $(CD3^+$  and  $CD14^+$  cells) to improve *ex vivo* CB expansion reduces both the complexity and cost of the procedure. In addition, there may be advantages to the targeted depletion of only one population. For example, the  $CD3^+$  cell population collected after  $CD3$ depletion could be used to further develop immunologic and/or anti-tumor strategies to potentially supplement hematopoietic reconstitution of the transplant recipient.30-32

Further, the observation that inhibitory activity may be associated with CD14<sup>+</sup> cells present in the CB MNC might not be surprising since macrophages have previously been shown to produce stem cell-specific proliferation regulators.<sup>33-36</sup> Whether the putative CD3<sup>+</sup>/CD14<sup>+</sup> interaction requires cell-cell contact, and/or is mediated through humoral factors, is under investigation. Further, the specific subsets of the  $CD3<sup>+</sup>$  population and/or the  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$ population, which may be responsible for the inhibitory activity, remain to be identified.

The prospect of identifying possible 'inhibitory factors' lends itself to the possible clinical application of activity-neutralizing antibodies and/or function-blocking agents to optimize *ex vivo* CD34+ expansion. Such an approach may replace the need for depletion to remove specific 'accessory' cells.

The inhibition of CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion observed in the presence of both CD3<sup>+</sup> and CD14+ cells during CB MNC/MSC co-culture, might only be a relatively short-lived, early event, since the current *ex vivo* culture conditions (SCF, TPO, G-CSF and Flt-3L) do not promote  $CD3^+$  cell survival.  $CD3^+$  cells are therefore 'lost' from the culture relatively early in the *ex vivo* expansion culture, presumably releasing their contribution to any putative inhibition of  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  *ex vivo* expansion. This would restrict the impact of any  $CD3<sup>+</sup>/CD14<sup>+</sup>$ inhibitory "cross-talk" to the earliest parts of the CB MNC/MSC co-culture. This might, at least in apart, explain the initial 'lag' observed in *ex vivo* expansion cultures. It is possible that the >2-fold improvement in TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion observed with CD3<sup>+</sup> and/or CD14+ depletion is a consequence of reducing this initial 'lag' in TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion.

The attraction of a  $CD3^+$  or  $CD14^+$  depletion strategy to remove inhibitory "accessory" cells and/or the factors they produce from the CB MNC/MSC co-culture and improve CD34<sup>+</sup> expansion is that it does not adversely impact the input CD34+ population, unlike the use of a positive CD34+ selection strategy where significant CD34+ losses are incurred. Further, the relative ease, rapidity and availability of clinical-grade Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-compliant devices and MACS Reagents (Miltenyi Biotec, Antioch, IL), give this approach a significant advantage over other selection/depletion techniques. Since clinical-

grade devices and reagents are available, the use of an "accessory" cell depletion strategy and its beneficial impact on *ex vivo* CB expansion could be readily translated to the clinic. Ultimately, increased TNC and CD34<sup>+</sup> cell doses may contribute to improve neutrophil and platelet engraftment for CB recipients thereby improving the efficacy and ultimately the cost-effectiveness of CB transplantation as a treatment rationale.<sup>37</sup>

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by NCI R01 CA061508-16. The authors gratefully acknowledge the provision of MPC by Angioblast Systems, Inc., New York, NY.

#### **References**

- 1. Barker JN, Davies SM, Defor T, Ramsay NK, Weisdorf DJ, Wagner JE. Survival after transplantation of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood is comparable to that of human leukocyte antigen-matched unrelated donor bone marrow: results of a matched-pair analysis. Blood. 2001; 97:2957–2961. [PubMed: 11342417]
- 2. Barker JN, Weisdorf DJ, Defor TE, Blazar BR, Miller JS, Wagner JE. Rapid and complete donor chimerism in adult recipients of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood transplantation after reducedintensity conditioning. Blood. 2003; 102:1915–1919. [PubMed: 12738676]
- 3. Barker JN, Weisdorf DJ, Defor TE, Blazar BR, McGlave PB, Miller JS, et al. Transplantation of 2 partially HLA-matched umbilical cord blood units to enhance engraftment in adults with hematologic malignancy. Blood. 2005; 105:1343–1347. [PubMed: 15466923]
- 4. Brunstein CG, Barker JN, Weisdorf DJ, Defor TE, Miller JS, Blazar BR, et al. Umbilical cord blood transplantation after nonmyeloablative conditioning: impact on transplantation outcomes in 110 adults with hematologic disease. Blood. 2007; 110:3064–3070. [PubMed: 17569820]
- 5. Gluckman E, Rocha V, Boyer-Chammard A, Locatelli F, Arcese W, Pasquini R, et al. Outcome of cord-blood transplantation from related and unrelated donors. Eurocord Transplant Group and the European Blood and Marrow Transplantation Group. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:373–381. [PubMed: 9241126]
- 6. Gluckman E, Rocha V, Chevret S. Results of unrelated umbilical cord blood hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Rev Clin Exp Hematol. 2001; 5:87–99. [PubMed: 11486656]
- 7. Gluckman E, Rocha V, Arcese W, Michel G, Sanz G, Chan KW, et al. Factors associated with outcomes of unrelated cord blood transplant: guidelines for donor choice. Exp Hematol. 2004; 32:397–407. [PubMed: 15050751]
- 8. Kurtzberg J, Laughlin M, Graham ML, Smith C, Olson JF, Halperin EC, et al. Placental blood as a source of hematopoietic stem cells for transplantation into unrelated recipients. N Engl J Med. 1996; 335:157–166. [PubMed: 8657213]
- 9. Laughlin MJ, Barker J, Bambach B, Koc ON, Rizzieri DA, Wagner JE, et al. Hematopoietic engraftment and survival in adult recipients of umbilical-cord blood from unrelated donors. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:1815–1822. [PubMed: 11407342]
- 10. Laughlin MJ, Eapen M, Rubinstein P, Wagner JE, Zhang MJ, Champlin RE, et al. Outcomes after transplantation of cord blood or bone marrow from unrelated donors in adults with leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351:2265–2275. [PubMed: 15564543]
- 11. Rubinstein P, Carrier C, Scaradavou A, Kurtzberg J, Adamson J, Migliaccio AR, et al. Outcomes among 562 recipients of placental-blood transplants from unrelated donors. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339:1565–1577. [PubMed: 9828244]
- 12. Wagner JE, Barker JN, Defor TE, Baker KS, Blazar BR, Eide C, et al. Transplantation of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood in 102 patients with malignant and nonmalignant diseases: influence of CD34 cell dose and HLA disparity on treatment-related mortality and survival. Blood. 2002; 100:1611–1618. [PubMed: 12176879]
- 13. Barker JN, Weisdorf DJ, Wagner JE. Creation of a double chimera after the transplantation of umbilical-cord blood from two partially matched unrelated donors. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:1870–1871. [PubMed: 11407361]

- 14. de Lima M, St John L, Wieder ED, Lee MS, McMannis J, Karandish S, et al. Double-chimaerism after transplantation of two human leucocyte antigen mismatched, unrelated cord blood units. Br J Haematol. 2002; 119:773–776. [PubMed: 12437658]
- 15. McNiece IK, meida-Porada G, Shpall EJ, Zanjani E. Ex vivo expanded cord blood cells provide rapid engraftment in fetal sheep but lack long-term engrafting potential. Exp Hematol. 2002; 30:612–616. [PubMed: 12063029]
- 16. McNiece I, Harrington J, Turney J, Kellner J, Shpall EJ. Ex vivo expansion of cord blood mononuclear cells on mesenchymal stem cells. Cytotherapy. 2004; 6:311–317. [PubMed: 16146883]
- 17. McNiece I, Kubegov D, Kerzic P, Shpall EJ, Gross S. Increased expansion and differentiation of cord blood products using a two-step expansion culture. Exp Hematol. 2000; 28:1181–1186. [PubMed: 11027837]
- 18. Shpall EJ, Quinones R, Giller R, Zeng C, Baron AE, Jones RB, et al. Transplantation of ex vivo expanded cord blood. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2002; 8:368–376. [PubMed: 12171483]
- 19. Robinson SN, Ng J, Niu T, Yang H, McMannis JD, Karandish S, et al. Superior ex vivo cord blood expansion following co-culture with bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2006; 37:359–366. [PubMed: 16400333]
- 20. de Lima M, McMannis J, Gee A, Komanduri K, Couriel D, Andersson BS, et al. Transplantation of ex vivo expanded cord blood cells using the copper chelator tetraethylenepentamine: a phase I/II clinical trial. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2008; 41:771–778. [PubMed: 18209724]
- 21. Briddell RA, Kern BP, Zilm KL, Stoney GB, McNiece IK. Purification of CD34+ cells is essential for optimal ex vivo expansion of umbilical cord blood cells. J Hematother. 1997; 6:145–150. [PubMed: 9131444]
- 22. Harada M, Odaka K, Kondo K, Nakao S, Ueda M, Matsue K, et al. Effect of activated lymphocytes on the regulation of hematopoiesis: suppression of in vitro granulopoiesis and erythropoiesis by OKT8+ Ia- T cells induced by concanavalin-A stimulation. Exp Hematol. 1985; 13:963–967. [PubMed: 2931299]
- 23. Harada M, Nakao S, Kondo K, Odaka K, Ueda M, Shiobara S, et al. Effect of activated lymphocytes on the regulation of hematopoiesis: enhancement and suppression of in vitro BFU-E growth by T cells stimulated by autologous non-T cells. Blood. 1986; 67:1143–1147. [PubMed: 2869804]
- 24. Degliantoni G, Perussia B, Mangoni L, Trinchieri G. Inhibition of bone marrow colony formation by human natural killer cells and by natural killer cell-derived colony-inhibiting activity. J Exp Med. 1985; 161:1152–1168. [PubMed: 3838767]
- 25. Degliantoni G, Murphy M, Kobayashi M, Francis MK, Perussia B, Trinchieri G. Natural killer (NK) cell-derived hematopoietic colony-inhibiting activity and NK cytotoxic factor. Relationship with tumor necrosis factor and synergism with immune interferon. J Exp Med. 1985; 162:1512– 1530. [PubMed: 3932579]
- 26. Landoni VI, Vermeulen M, van RN, Gomez S, Palermo M, Isturiz MA, et al. Macrophage derived signalling regulates negatively the megakaryocyte compartment. Cell Mol Biol. 2004; 50:667– 675.
- 27. Zuckerman KS. Human erythroid burst-forming units. rowth in vitro is dependent on monocytes, but not T lymphocytes. G J Clin Invest. 1981; 67:702–709.
- 28. Cicuttini FM, Loudovaris M, Boyd AW. Interactions between purified human cord blood haemopoietic progenitor cells and accessory cells. Br J Haematol. 1993; 84:365-373. [PubMed: 7692926]
- 29. Flores-Guzman P, Martinez-Jaramillo G, Montesinos JJ, Valencia I, Mayani H. Growth kinetics of progenitor cell-enriched hematopoietic cell populations in long-term liquid cultures under continuous removal of mature cells. Cytotherapy. 2006; 8:299–307. [PubMed: 16793738]
- 30. Parmar S, Robinson SN, Komanduri K, St JL, Decker W, Xing D, et al. Ex vivo expanded umbilical cord blood T cells maintain naive phenotype and TCR diversity. Cytotherapy. 2006; 8:149–157. [PubMed: 16698688]
- 31. Robinson KL, Ayello J, Hughes R, van d V, Issitt L, Kurtzberg J, et al. Ex vivo expansion, maturation, and activation of umbilical cord blood-derived T lymphocytes with IL-2, IL-12, anti-

CD3, and IL-7. Potential for adoptive cellular immunotherapy post-umbilical cord blood transplantation. Exp Hematol. 2002; 30:245–251. [PubMed: 11882362]

- 32. Mazur MA, Davis CC, Szabolcs P. Ex vivo expansion and Th1/Tc1 maturation of umbilical cord blood T cells by CD3/CD28 costimulation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2008; 14:1190–1196. [PubMed: 18804050]
- 33. Graham GJ, Wright EG, Hewick R, Wolpe SD, Wilkie NM, Donaldson D, et al. Identification and characterization of an inhibitor of haemopoietic stem cell proliferation. Nature. 1990; 344:442– 444. [PubMed: 2320111]
- 34. Graham GJ, Freshney MG, Donaldson D, Pragnell IB. Purification and biochemical characterisation of human and murine stem cell inhibitors (SCI). Growth Factors. 1992; 7:151– 160. [PubMed: 1419072]
- 35. Wright EG, Garland JM, Lord BI. Specific inhibition of haemopoietic stem cell proliferation: characteristics of the inhibitor producing cells. Leuk Res. 1980; 4:537–545. [PubMed: 7206775]
- 36. Lord BI, Wright EG. Sources of haemopoietic stem cell proliferation: stimulators and inhibitors. Blood Cells. 1980; 6:581–593. [PubMed: 7470631]
- 37. Majhail NS, Mothukuri JM, Brunstein CG, Weisdorf DJ. Costs of hematopoietic cell transplantation: comparison of umbilical cord blood and matched related donor transplantation and the impact of posttransplant complications. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009; 15:564–573. [PubMed: 19361748]





 $CD34^+$ ,  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD14^+$ ,  $CD56^+$  and  $CD19^+$  cells were isolated from CB MNC by MACS. CD34<sup>+</sup> cells were then cultured alone or in the presence of CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\pm$  CD14<sup>+</sup>  $\pm$  CD56<sup>+</sup>  $\pm$ CD19+ cells at levels comparable to those present in the initial CB MNC. While CD34<sup>+</sup> *ex vivo* expansion was reduced in the presence of CD3<sup>+</sup> or CD14<sup>+</sup> cells, maximal reduction was observed when  $CD3^+$  and  $CD14^+$  cells were present (indicated by arrows).





Representative flow cytometric analyses of depleted and non-depleted CB-MNC products are shown. The efficacy of MACS depletion is demonstrated by the depletion of CD3<sup>+</sup> (upper right panel), CD14<sup>+</sup> (lower left panel) and CD3<sup>+</sup> & CD14<sup>+</sup> (lower right panel).



**Figure 3. Impact of CD3+ and/or CD14+ depletion on TNC expansion** TNC numbers are shown for each condition following expansion (mean±SEM, n=4-6 replicate experiments. \*Statistically-significant from MNC, *P*≤0.05). Fold increase from input (dashed line) to output is also shown. TNC expansion was approximately 2.3, 2.2 and 2.1-fold greater than MNC following CD3, CD14, and CD3 & CD14 depletion, respectively.



**Figure 4. Impact of CD3+ and/or CD14+ depletion on CD34+ cell expansion**

 $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cell numbers are shown for each condition following expansion (mean $\pm$ SEM, n=4-6 replicate experiments. \*Statistically-significant from MNC, *P*≤0.05). Fold increase from input (dashed line) to output is also shown.  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cell expansion was approximately 2.5, 2.3 and 2.5-fold greater than MNC following CD3, CD14, and CD3 & CD14 depletion, respectively.