Characterization of a putative transcription factor gene expressed in the 20-OH ecdysone inducible puff 74EF in Drosophila melanogaster

R.Janknecht, W.Taube, H.-J.Ludecke+and O.Pongs*

Lehrstuhl für Biochemie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, D-4630 Bochum, FRG

Received April 4, 1989; Revised and Accepted May 25, 1989 **EMBL accession no. X15087**

ABSTRACT

Drosophila melanogaster DNA has been cloned which encompasses the major part of the 20-OHecdysone inducible puff 74EF. One 20-OH-ecdysone responsive transcription unit was detected which gives rise to two alternative transcripts. The expression of one transcript in salivary glands of 3rd instar larvae is correlated with the 20-OH-ecdysone induced activity of puff 74EF. Corresponding cDNA analysis indicates that the two transcripts are translated into two different proteins which have alternative amino terminal ends. The carboxy terminal domain of the 74E proteins is similar to the carboxy terminal sequences of ets-oncoproteins suggesting that the 74E proteins represent alternative transcription factors. It is proposed that the activity of the 20-OH-ecdysone inducible puff 74EF leads to a switch in the synthesis of a transcription factor.

INTRODUCTION

20-OH-ecdysone is one of the key hormones in the ontogenesis of *Drosophila melanogaster* [1]. A sharp rise in the 20-OH-ecdysone titre at the end of the development of 3rd instar larvae is correlated with the onset of pupariation. The increase in ecdysteroid hormone titre is responsible for the developmental control of gene expression which is also manifest in a 20-OH-ecdysone dependent puffing activity, visible on the polytene chromosomes in salivary glands of 3rd instar larvae $[2]$. In vitro this puffing activity can be reproduced by culturing puff stage ¹ salivary glands in the presence of 20-OH-ecdysone [3]. This results (i) in the regression of intermolt puffs (e.g. at 68C), (ii) in the rapid induction of early puffs (e.g. at 74EF and 75B), and (iii) in the delayed induction of late puffs (e.g. at 78D) [4]. Products of genes expressed in early puffs under the control of 20-OH-ecdysone are necessary for the activation of late puffs [5]. Also, the gene expression in early puffs is apparently autoregulated as shown by studies with Drosophila mutants aneuploid for the chromosomal region containing the 74EF and 75B loci [6]. A combination of affinity labeling experiments with indirect immunofluorescence microscopy has demonstrated that ecdysteroid binds in vivo and in vitro to active puffs [7,8], probably via a 20-OH-ecdysone receptor complex [9].

It has been proposed that early puffs are induced by binding 20-OH-ecdysone-receptor complex and that this binding activates gene expression in early puffs [6]. Recently, a more elaborate hypothesis has been put forward which predicted that the products of 20-OHecdysone inducible genes in puffs 74EF and/or 75B are transcription factors [10]. These transcription factors would regulate in conjunction with the 20-OH-ecdysone receptor complex the transient puffing activity during the metamorphosis of 3rd instar larvae to pupae.

Nucleic Acids Research

Fig. 1: (A) Restriction map of the isolated genomic DNA. The distance is measured in kb relative to the start site of the chromosomal walk with negative/positive numbers indicating the direction towards the centromere/telomere. Isolated DNA fragments are shown below the restriction map. $E = EcoRI$, $B = BamHI$, $Bg = BgIII$, H = HindIII, K = KpnI, S = SalI, X = XbaI. Restriction enzyme sites labeled by + or * were only detected in OregonR or CantonS genomic DNA. (B) In situ hybridization of ³H-labeled JOT6 and MOT13 DNA to polytene chromosomes. Only the part of the third chromosome showing hybridization signals is presented.

in OregonR or CantonS genomic DNA. (B) *In situ* hybridization of ³H-labeled JOT6 and MOT13 DNA to polytene chromosomes. Only the part of the third chromosome showing hybridization signals is presented.
This report descr This report describes the isolation of 123 kb genomic *Drosophila melanogaster* DNA by 20-OH-ecdysone. A cDNA has been characterized which is derived from ^a 20-OHecdysone regulated transcription unit localized in the cloned 74EF DNA. The deduced protein sequence contains a domain which is similar in sequence to ets-oncoproteins. We suggest that in the early puff at 74EF 20-OH-ecdysone regulates the expression of ^a transcription factor gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Libraries

Two Drosophila melanogaster genomic DNA libraries were used: one was constructed with sheared CantonS DNA (kindly provided by Maniatis et al. [11]), the other with OregonR DNA partially digested with Sau3AJ and inserted into the BamHI site of EMBL4 [12]. This library was made available to us by H. Jaeckle (Tübingen, FRG). For the isolation

of cDNA two Drosophila melanogaster cDNA libraries were screened: one consisted of oligo(dT)-primed $1-2d$ old OregonR pupa cDNA inserted into lambda gt10, the other of oligo(dT)-primed adult strain Berlin head cDNA inserted into lambda gtl 1. cDNA libraries were a gift of T. Kornberg (San Francisco, USA) and E. Buchner (Wiirzburg, FRG), respectively. Libraries were screened according to Benton and Davis [13]. Cloning of DNA

DNA was manipulated according to Maniatis et al. [14] using ER1 host-vector systems under LI containment conditions, as defined in the guidelines of the Federal German Government for recombinant DNA research. pAT153, Ml3mp8, M13mpl0 and pBluescript KS^+ or SK^+ (Stratagene) were used as vectors for subcloning.

Radioactive labeling of DNA

For in situ hybridizations, DNA probes were labeled with ³H-dCTP by nick-translation [15]. For plaque hybridizations, DNA probes were labeled with ³²P-dCTP by nicktranslation or by oligonucleotide primed DNA synthesis [16]. For Northern hybridizations, probes were labeled by the latter method.

Isolation of RNA

Drosophila melanogaster OregonR puff stage ¹ larvae were dissected and their salivary glands incubated in vitro according to Ashburner [3]. RNA prepared from those salivary glands was ^a gift of G. Feigl (Bochum, FRG). Drosophila melanogaster OregonR RNA of different developmental stages was isolated by the guanidinium-isothiocyanate method and $poly(A)^+$ -RNA was prepared by oligo(dT)-cellulose chromatography [14]. Northern blots

 12μ g of salivary gland RNA or 4μ g of poly(A)⁺-RNA of different developmental stages were electrophoretically separated in 1% agarose gels in 2.2 M formaldehyde, 50 mM 3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acid pH 7.0 and ¹ mM EDTA [17]. The RNA was transferred to nylon membrane (Nytran, Schleicher and Schuell, or Biodyne B, Pall) by overnight diffusion-blotting with $20 \times$ SSC. The RNA was fixed to the nylon membrane by baking for 2h at 80°C.

Hybridization procedures

In situ hybridization of ³H-labeled DNA to polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila* melanogaster has been described previously [18]. Northern blots were prehybridized in 50% formamide, ⁷⁵⁰ mM NaCl, ¹⁵⁰ mM Tris/HCl pH 7.9, ⁵ mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution and 0.1 mg/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA for 2h at 42 $^{\circ}$ C. Hybridization took place under the same conditions for $24 - 36$ h with $32P$ -labeled DNA probes. Blots were washed in $1 \times SSC$, 0.1% SDS followed by several washes in $0.1 \times SSC$, 0.1% SDS at 65° C before autoradiography.

DNA-Sequencing

DNA was sequenced by the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method [19] employing 35S-dATP and using the Sequenase Kit (United States Biochemical) or the T7 Sequencing Kit (Pharmacia). Subclones for sequencing were generated either by cloning of restriction fragments or by constructing DNaseI deletion subclones [20].

RESULTS

Previously, we had isolated 24 kb of *Drosophila melanogaster* DNA which hybridized in situ to locus 74F [21]. This DNA was used as ^a starting point for the chromosomal walk summarized in Fig. 1A. 123 kb of Drosophila melanogaster genomic DNA was isolated either from an OregonR (MOT6,8,10,12,13 and JOT6) or a CantonS genomic

Nucleic Acids Research

Fig. 2: DNA sequence and predicted amino acid sequence of 74E protein. Nucleotides are numbered in the $5' - 3'$ direction, beginning with the first ATG triplet encoding the putative methionine initiaton amino acid. The nucleotides on the ⁵'-side of residue ¹ are indicated by negative numbers. The number of the nucleotide residue at the right end of each line is given. Small letters correspond to the ⁵'-extension of cDNA sequence by genomic DNA, capital letters to cDNA sequence. The sequence of the 74E/1 cDNA clone was from nucleotide $+996$ to $+4275$, the sequence of the 74E/2 cDNA clone from nucleotide + ¹⁶⁸ to +4064. Polyadenylation signals (AATAAA) are underlined. Splice junctions of introns are indicated by arrows. The deduced 74E amino acid sequence is shown below the nucleotide sequence. Amino acid residues are numbered beginning with the putative initiation methionine. Numbers of the last residues in ^a line are given on the right-hand side. The termination codon TGA at the end of ORF is marked by an asterisk. Dots denote an upstream in frame stop codon in the ^S'-non-translated sequence.

library (MOT11 and JOT2,3,4,5). Some restriction site polymorphisms have been detected between the cloned OregonR and CantonS DNA (Fig. IA). The boundaries as well as the orientation of the chromosomal walk with respect to the centromere were delimited by in situ hybridization experiments. 3H-labeled MOT13 DNA and 3H-labeled JOT6 DNA either separatedly or together were hybridized to squash preparations of salivary gland polytene chromosomes of Drosophila melanogaster 3rd instar larvae. As shown in Fig. iB, 3H-labeled MOT13 DNA hybridized to the proximal end and 3H-labeled JOT6 DNA to the distal end of the puff at 74EF. Thus, the chromosomal walk encompassed the major part of the DNA in the puff at 74EF.

Exploratory Northern blot experiments were carried out by systematically hybridizing cloned 74EF DNA to RNA extracted from salivary glands of 3rd instar larvae incubated

74E GSTTY-LWEFLLKLLODREYCPRFIKWTNREKGVFKLVDSKANS D-ets-2 GSGPIQLWQFLLELLLOKT-CQSFISWTG-DGWEFKLTDPDEVA V-ets GSGPIQLWQFLLELLTDKS-CQSFISWTG-DGWEFKLSDPDEVA
74E RLIWGMHKNKPDMNYETMGRAL RYYYORGTLAKVDGORLIVYHFV D-ets-2 RRWGIRKNKPKMNYEKLSRGLRYYYDKNIIHKTAGKRYVYRFV
v-ets RRWGKRKNKPKMDYEKLSRGLRYYYDKNVLHKTAGKRYVYRFV

Fig. 3: Comparison of amino acids 784-869 of 74E protein to the carboxy terminal sequences of Drosophila D-ets-2 [27] and avian erythroblastosis virus E26 v-ets protein [26]. Identical amino acids are boxed.

for ² to 6h with or without 20-OH-ecdysone in tissue culture medium. A number of transcripts were detected and were analyzed by characterizing corresponding cDNA (data not shown) [22]. However, only one transcript was detected, the synthesis of which was apparently regulated by 20-OH-ecdysone. This transcript was derived from DNA cloned in JOT5. Since this DNA is located in the distal part of the 74EF puff, the locus 74E harbors the corresponding transcription unit. Transcript(s) derived from this transcription unit have been designated 20-OH-ecdysone inducible 74E transcripts.

Two corresponding cDNA clones, 74E/I and 74E/2, were isolated from an adult head and an $1-2d$ old pupa cDNA library, respectively. Both sequences overlap by 3069 bp. The combined cDNA sequence is 4108 bp long (Fig. 2). It contains three polyadenylation signals $(AATAAA [23])$ followed by nine adenine nucleotides at the $3⁷$ -end which are not present in the corresponding genomic DNA sequence. Thus, the ³'-end of the cDNA

Fig. 4: (A) Structure of 74E transcription unit. The restriction map is as in Fig. 1. Calibration is in kb. Intervening sequences are indicated by thin lines unterneath, exons by numbered boxes. Open box indicates non-translated region, filled boxes correspond to the 74E cDNA open reading frame. Lengths are to scale. (B) Comparison of the exon/intron (capital letters/small letters) boundaries to the Drosophila splice consensus [29].

sequence shown in Fig. 2 should be the $3'$ -terminus of the $74E$ transcript. The longest open reading frame derived from the cDNA sequence started at the second nucleotide. We did not succeed in isolating further cDNA molecules extending the ⁵'-sequence of the cDNA. Therefore, genomic DNA was isolated and sequenced which extended the cDNA sequence (Fig. 2). ¹⁶⁷ nucleotides upstream of the first cDNA nucleotide an in frame ATG is present in the genomic DNA which matches the *Drosophila* start consensus sequence (C/A)AA(A/C)ATG [24]. ³⁶ nucleotides in front of this ATG is located an in frame stop codon. Accordingly, the 74E open reading frame would be 2649 nucleotides long as shown in Fig. 2.

The proposed amino acid sequence of the 74E protein is composed of ⁸⁸³ amino acids with a calculated molecular weight of 94.8 kDa. The protein can be divided into three domains: an acidic amino terminus (amino acids $1-280$), a middle domain rich in Gln, Ala, and Ser as a consequence of *opa* elements [25], and a basic carboxy terminus (amino acids $760-883$). Only 17 of the 69 basic amino acids, but 50 of the 80 acidic amino acids occuring in 74E protein are located in the amino terminal domain. Viceversa, 28 of the 69 basic amino acids, but only 14 acidic amino acids are located in the carboxy terminal domain. The sequence encompassing amino acids 784-869 of the carboxy terminal domain is similar to the carboxy terminal sequences of ets-oncoproteins (Fig. 3). 43 or 45 amino acids of this sequence $(50-52%)$ are found at identical positions in the sequences of erythroblastosis virus E26 v-ets protein [26] and of *Drosophila D-ets-2* protein [27], respectively. If one allows for conservative amino acid substitutions [28], the similarity between the sequence of 74E protein and ets-oncoproteins increases to 67%.

Comparison of the cDNA sequence with the corresponding genomic DNA sequence revealed ¹¹ nucleotide differences outside the cDNA's open reading frame. Two base pair substitutions were detected in the coding region, but only one of them (G versus T at nucleotide 2601) would alter the gerived 74E amino acids sequence by replacing His 867 by Gln. The sequence differences are most likely explained by the use of different Drosophila stocks in the construction of genomic and cDNA libraries. The alignment of cDNA with the genomic DNA sequence showed ³ points of non-colinearity, indicative of 3 intervening sequences in the primary $74E$ transcript (Fig. 4A). The splice junctions for these intervening sequences are located at positions $+1039$, $+1408$, and $+1663$. The exon/intron boundaries conform to the consensus sequences of Drosophila splice sites (Fig. 4B) [29].

Expression of 74E transcripts

The developmental profile of $74E$ mRNA expression was studied by Northern blot experiments. A BamHI/BglII fragment of cDNA 74E/1 containing exon 4 sequences was used as hybridization probe. It hybridized to two transcripts, approximately 5.0 and 6.2 kb long (Fig. 5A). Although each lane in the Northern blot experiment in Fig. 5A did not contain the same quantity of poly(A)+-RNA as indicated by the control hybridization with β 1-tubulin cDNA [30], it is obvious that both $74E$ transcripts are predominantly present in late 3rd instar larvae and in early pupae.

Small amounts of the 5.0 kb transcript were also present in 12- 18h embryos, early 2nd instar larvae, and late pupae whereas small amounts of the 5.0 kb and the 6.2 kb transcript were detected in $18 - 24$ h embryos and late 2nd instar larvae. Corresponding faint bands were visible in the original autoradiographic exposure in the lanes containing poly(A)+-RNA of these developmental stages. The results shown in Fig. 5A indicate that the 5.0 kb transcript is synthesized ahead of the 6.2 kb transcript during 3rd larval instar

Fig. 5: Expression of 74E transcripts. A ³²P-labeled cDNA probe from exon 4 was used for Northern hybridization. Size markers in kb are shown on the left side. To check the amounts and the integrity of RNA Northern blots were reprobed with probes to β 1-tubulin [30]. The results are shown under each corresponding lane. The size of β 1-tubulin mRNA is 1.8 kb. (A) poly(A)⁺-RNA from different developmental stages. E0-6, $E6 - 12$, $E12 - 18$, $E18 - 24$: $0 - 6h$, $6 - 12h$, $12 - 18h$, $18 - 24h$ old embryos. L1, L2, L3: 1st, 2nd, 3rd larval instar. P: pupa. A: adult. $e = \text{early}$ and $l = \text{late}$, to denote the first and second half of the developmental stage, respectively. In case of L3 ^e denotes larvae crawling in the nutrient agar and ¹ denotes larvae which have left the nutrient agar. (B) RNA from puff stage 1 salivary glands of 3rd instar larvae incubated in vitro for the indicated time span without or in the presence of 5×10^{-6} M 20-OH-ecdysone (+).

development. This suggests that the expression of both 74E transcripts is regulated differently.

The possibility that the appearance of one or both 74E transcripts in 3rd instar larvae might be correlated with a corresponding peak of ecdysteroid titre in larval development [31] was investigated by studying in vitro the effect of 20-OH-ecdysone on 74E mRNA expression. For this purpose, salivary glands corresponding to puff stage ¹ were dissected from 3rd instar larvae and were explanted in tissue culture medium. Incubations were carried out for 2 or 6h at 25° C in the presence of 5×10^{-6} M 20-OH-ecdysone. Control incubations were carried out without added 20-OH-ecdysone. Subsequently, 74E mRNA expression was analyzed by Northern blot experiments with total RNA isolated from the salivary glands (Fig. SB). Apparently, the non-specific background on the resultant autoradiogram was caused by rRNA and/or RNA present in the total RNA preparations, which was not isolated with the $poly(A)^+$ -RNA used in the developmental Northern blot experiments in Fig. 5A. Evidently, the synthesis of the 5.0 kb transcript is not influenced by 20-OH-ecdysone. The amount of the 5.0 kb transcript decreased in salivary glands which were incubated with or without 20-OH-ecdysone. On the other hand, the synthesis of the 6.2 kb transcript is regulated by 20-OH-ecdysone. The amount of the 6.2 kb transcript increases in salivary glands upon incubation with 20-OH-ecdysone. Incubations of 2h apparently induced larger quantities of the 6.2 kb transcript than incubations of 6h. This suggests that the expression of the 6.2 kb transcript is transiently induced by 20-OHecdysone in explanted salivary glands. This transient increase follows closely the activity of the 74EF puff which is rapidly induced by 20-OH-ecdysone, reaches ^a maximal size at about $2 - 4h$ and then regresses.

DISCUSSION

We have analyzed the structure of 74E cDNA. It was used to study the expression of two 74E transcripts in the 20-OH-ecdysone regulated puff 74EF in salivary glands of Drosophila melanogaster 3rd instar larvae. As probes corresponding to the first exon of 74E cDNA

hybridized only to 5.0 kb mRNA in Northern blot experiments (data not shown) the isolated 74E cDNA is derived from the 5.0 kb transcript. The structure of an alternative 74E cDNA has been described which corresponds to the 6.2 kb transcript [32]. It shares with our cDNA sequence exons $2-4$ but disposes of alternative exons at its $5'$ -end. Thus, the two 74E transcripts have different exon compositions which could be generated by transcription from two different promoters and/or by alternative splicing mechanisms. The different exon compositions give rise to two alternative reading frames of which proteins are deduced with different amino terminal domains. The deduced proteins have in common most of the middle and the carboxy terminal domain.

The 5.0 kb transcript is already present in salivary glands of puff stage 1, i.e. before the puff 74EF is active. This transcript is not 20-OH-ecdysone inducible in explanted salivary glands. On the contrary, the 5.0 kb transcript disappears upon incubations of salivary glands in vitro. However, the synthesis (amount) of the 6.2 kb transcript transiently increases in explanted salivary glands in the presence of 20-OH-ecdysone (Fig. SB). This transient increase correlates with the transient activity of puff 74EF induced by 20-OH-ecdysone. Therefore, we propose that the primary result of 20-OH-ecdysone regulated 74EF puff activity would be a decrease in the synthesis of the 5.0 kb transcript concomitant with an increase in the synthesis of the 6.2 kb transcript. A corollary of these observations is that 20-OH-ecdysone does not activate together with the 74EF puff the expression of a hitherto silent gene, but induces the synthesis of an alternative transcript and hence of an alternative gene product.

The common carboxy terminal domain of the $74E$ gene products is similar to the carboxy terminal sequences of ets-oncoproteins (Fig. 3). ets-oncoproteins are nuclear proteins [33,34] and may bind to DNA [35]. Together with our previous proposition that 20-OH-ecdysone inducible gene(s) of puff 74EF encode transcription factor(s) [10], these observations suggest that $74E$ gene product(s) function as transcription factor(s). Then, the 20-OH-ecdysone induced puff activity at 74EF would lead to a transcription factor switch. Such a switch would have important implications for models to understand the time-dependent sequence of puffing activities controlled by gene products of early puffs. They autoregulate their own expression as well as stimulate the activity of late puffs [6,10]. This functional duality could be accomplished easily by the synthesis of alternative transcription factors. Firstly, they would compete with existing ones for the same DNA binding sites and secondly, they would interact with other, i.e. different transcription factors. Both mechanisms might be the molecular basis for the time-dependent sequence of gene activities seen during the development of 3rd instar larvae into pupae.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Rentrop for providing MOT phages. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

⁺Present address: Institut für Humangenetik, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Hufelandstrasse 55, D-4300 Essen, FRG

REFERENCES

- 1. Karlson, P. (1966) Naturwissenschaften 53, 445-453.
- 2. Becker, H.J. (1959) Chromosoma 10, 654-678.
- 3. Ashburner, M. (1972) Chromosoma 38, 255-281.
- 4. Ashburner, M. (1973) Dev. Biol. 35, 47-61.
- 5. Ashburner, M. (1974) Dev. Biol. 39, 141-157.
- 6. WaLker, V.K. and Ashburner, M. (1981) Cell 26, 269-277.
- 7. Gronemeyer, H. and Pongs, 0. (1980) Proc. Nail. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 2108-2112.
- 8. Dworniczak, B., Seidel, R. and Pongs, 0. (1983) EMBO J. 2, 1323-1330.
- 9. Schaltmann, K. and Pongs, O. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 6-10.
- 10. Pongs, 0. (1988) Eur. J. Biochem. 175, 199-204.
- 11. Maniatis, T., Hardison, R.C., Lacy, E., Lauer, J., O'Connell, C., Quon, D., Sim, G.K. and Efstratiadis, A. (1978) Cell 15, 687-701.
- 12. Kaiser, K. and Murray, N.E. (1985) In Glover, D.M. (ed), DNA Cloning-A Practical Approach, IRL Press, Oxford, Vol 1, pp. $1-47$.
- 13. Benton, W.D. and Davis, R.W. (1977) Science 196, 180-182.
- 14. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- 15. Rigby, P.W.J., Dieckmann, M., Rhodes, C. and Berg, P. (1977) J. Mol. Biol. 113, 237-251.
- 16. Feinberg, A.P. and Vogelstein, B. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 6-13.
- 17. Gerard, G.F. and Miller, K. (1986) Focus 8:3, 5-6.
- 18. Baumann, A., Krah-Jentgens, I., Miller, R., Miiller-Holtkamp, F., Seidel, R., Kecskemethy, N., Casal, J., Ferrus, A. and Pongs, 0. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 3419-3429.
- 19. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Nail. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463-5467.
- 20. Lin, H.-C., Lei, S.-P. and Wilcox, G. (1985) Anal. Biochem. 147, 114-119.
- 21. Möritz, T., Edström, J.E. and Pongs, O. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 289-295.
- 22. Rettberg, P. (1987) Ph.D. Thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, FRG.
- 23. Birnstiel, M.L., Busslinger, M. and Strub, K. (1985) Cell 41, 349-359.
- 24. Cavener, D.R. (1987) Nucl. Acids. Res. 15, 1353-1361.
- 25. Wharton, K.A., Yedvobnick, B., Finnerty, V.G. and Artavanis- Tsakonas, S. (1985) Cell 40, 55-62.
- 26. Nunn, M.F., Seeburg, P.H., Moscovici, C. and Duesberg, P.H. (1983) Nature 306, 391-395.
- 27. Pribyl, L.J., Watson, D.K., McWilliams, M.J., Ascione, R. and Papas, T.S. (1988) Dev. Biol. 127, 45-53.
- 28. Dayhoff, M.O., Schwartz, R.M. and Orcutt, B.C. (1978) Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure, National Biomedical Research Foundation, Silver Springs, MD, Vol. 5, Suppl. 3, pp. 345-352.
- 29. Snyder, M., Hunkapiller, M., Yuen, D., Silvert, D., Fristrom, J. and Davidson, N. (1982) Cell 29, $1027 - 1040.$
- 30. Bialojan, S., Falkenburg, D. and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 2543-2548.
- 31. Richards, G. (1981) Molec. cell. Endocr. 21, 181-197.
- 32. Burtis, K.C. (1986) Ph. D. Thesis, Stanford University, California (USA).
- 33. Boulukos, K.E., Pognonec, P., Begue, A., Galibert, F., Gesquiere, J.C., Stehelin, D. and Ghysdael, J. (1988) EMBO J. 7, 697-705.
- 34. Bhat, N.K., Fisher, R.J. Fujiwara, S., Ascione, R. and Papas, T.S. (1987) Proc. Nail. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 3161-3165.
- 35. Golay, J., Introna, M. and Graf, T. (1988) Cell 55, 1147-1158.

This article, submitted on disc, has been automatically converted into this typeset format by the publisher.