Genetic risk and a primary role for cell-mediated immune mechanisms in multiple sclerosis

The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC), Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (WTCCC2)[*], Stephen Sawcer[1,*], Garrett Hellenthal[2,*], Matti Pirinen[2,*], Chris C.A. Spencer[2,*], Nikolaos A. Patsopoulos[3,5], Loukas Moutsianas[6], Alexander Dilthey[6], Zhan Su[2], Colin Freeman[2], Sarah E. Hunt[7], Sarah Edkins[7], Emma Gray[7], David R. Booth[8], Simon C. Potter[7], An Goris[9], Gavin Band[2], Annette Bang Oturai[10], Amy Strange[2], Janna Saarela[11], Céline Bellenguez[2], Bertrand Fontaine[12], Matthew Gillman[7], Bernhard Hemmer[13], Rhian Gwilliam[7], Frauke Zipp[14,15], Alagurevathi Jayakumar[7], Roland Martin[16], Stephen Leslie[17], Stanley Hawkins[18], Eleni Giannoulatou[2], Sandra D'alfonso[19], Hannah Blackburn[7], Filippo Martinelli Boneschi[20], Jennifer Liddle[7], Hanne F. Harbo[21,22], Marc L. Perez[7], Anne Spurkland[23], Matthew J Waller[7], Marcin P. Mycko[24], Michelle Ricketts[7], Manuel Comabella[25], Naomi Hammond[7], Ingrid Kockum[26], Owen T. McCann[7], Maria Ban[1], Pamela Whittaker[7], Anu Kemppinen[1], Paul Weston[7], Clive Hawkins[27], Sara Widaa[7], John Zajicek[28], Serge Dronov[7], Neil Robertson[29], Suzannah J. Bumpstead[7], Lisa F. Barcellos[30,31], Rathi Ravindrarajah[7], Roby Abraham[27], Lars Alfredsson[32], Kristin Ardlie[4], Cristin Aubin[4], Amie Baker[1], Katharine Baker[29], Sergio E. Baranzini[33], Laura Bergamaschi[19], Roberto Bergamaschi[34], Allan Bernstein[31], Achim Berthele[13], Mike Boggild[35], Jonathan P. Bradfield[36], David Brassat[37], Simon A. Broadley[38], Dorothea Buck[13], Helmut Butzkueven[39,42], Ruggero Capra[43], William M. Carroll[44], Paola Cavalla[45], Elisabeth G. Celius[21], Sabine Cepok[13], Rosetta Chiavacci[36], Françoise Clerget-Darpoux[46], Katleen Clysters[9], Giancarlo Comi[20], Mark Cossburn[29], Isabelle Cournu-Rebeix[12], Mathew B. Cox[47], Wendy Cozen[48], Bruce A.C. Cree[33], Anne H. Cross[49], Daniele Cusi[50], Mark J. Daly[4,51,52], Emma Davis[53], Paul I.W. de Bakker[3,4,54,55], Marc Debouverie[56], Marie Beatrice D'hooghe[57], Katherine Dixon[53], Rita Dobosi[9], Bénédicte Dubois[9], David Ellinghaus[58], Irina Elovaara[59,60], Federica Esposito[20], Claire Fontenille[12], Simon Foote[61], Andre Franke[58], Daniela Galimberti[62], Angelo Ghezzi[63], Joseph Glessner[36], Refujia Gomez[33], Olivier Gout[64], Colin Graham[65], Struan F.A. Grant[36,66,67], Franca Rosa Guerini[68], Hakon Hakonarson[36,66,67], Per Hall[69], Anders Hamsten[70], Hans-Peter Hartung[71], Rob N. Heard[8], Simon Heath[72], Jeremy Hobart[28], Muna Hoshi[13], Carmen Infante-Duarte[73], Gillian Ingram[29], Wendy Ingram[28], Talat Islam[48], Maja Jagodic[26], Michael Kabesch[74], Allan G. Kermode[44], Trevor J. Kilpatrick[39,40,75], Cecilia Kim[36], Norman Klopp[76], Keijo Koivisto[77], Malin Larsson[70], Mark Lathrop[72], Jeannette S. Lechner-Scott[47,78], Maurizio A. Leone[79], Virpi Leppä[11,80], Ulrika Liljedahl[81], Izaura Lima Bomfim[26], Robin R. Lincoln[33], Jenny Link[26], Jianjun Liu[82], Åslaug R. Lorentzen[22,83], Sara Lupoli[50,84], Fabio Macciardi[50,85], Thomas Mack[48], Mark Marriott[39,40], Vittorio Martinelli[20], Deborah Mason[86], Jacob L. McCauley[87],

Frank Mentch[36], **Inger-Lise Mero**[21,83], **Tania Mihalova**[27], **Xavier Montalban**[25], **John Mottershead**[88,89], **Kjell-Morten Myhr**[90,91], **Paola Naldi**[79], **William Ollier**[53], **Alison Page**[92], **Aarno Palotie**[7,11,93,94], **Jean Pelletier**[95], **Laura Piccio**[49], **Trevor Pickersgill**[29], **Fredrik Piehl**[26], **Susan Pobywajlo**[5], **Hong L. Quach**[30], **Patricia P. Ramsay**[30], **Mauri Reunanen**[96], **Richard Reynolds**[97], **John D. Rioux**[98], **Mariaemma Rodegher**[20], **Sabine Roesner**[16], **Justin P. Rubio**[39], **Ina-Maria Rückert**[76], **Marco Salvetti**[99], **Erika Salvi**[50,100], **Adam Santaniello**[33], **Catherine A. Schaefer**[31], **Stefan Schreiber**[58,101], **Christian Schulze**[102], **Rodney J. Scott**[47], **Finn Sellebjerg**[10], **Krzysztof W. Selmaj**[24], **David Sexton**[103], **Ling Shen**[31], **Brigid Simms-Acuna**[31], **Sheila Skidmore**[1], **Patrick M.A. Sleiman**[36,66], **Cathrine Smestad**[21], **Per Soelberg Sørensen**[10], **Helle Bach Søndergaard**[10], **Jim Stankovich**[61], **Richard C. Strange**[27], **Anna-Maija Sulonen**[11,80], **Emilie Sundqvist**[26], **Ann-Christine Syvänen**[81], **Francesca Taddeo**[100], **Bruce Taylor**[61], **Jenefer M. Blackwell**[104,105], **Pentti Tienari**[106], **Elvira Bramon**[107], **Ayman Tourbah**[108], **Matthew A. Brown**[109], **Ewa Tronczynska**[24], **Juan P. Casas**[110], **Niall Tubridy**[40,111], **Aiden Corvin**[112], **Jane Vickery**[28], **Janusz Jankowski**[113], **Pablo Villoslada**[114], **Hugh S. Markus**[115], **Kai Wang**[36,66], **Christopher G. Mathew**[116], **James Wason**[117], **Colin N.A. Palmer**[118], **H-Erich Wichmann**[76,119,120], **Robert Plomin**[121], **Ernest Willoughby**[122], **Anna Rautanen**[2], **Juliane Winkelmann**[13,123,124], **Michael Wittig**[58,125], **Richard C. Trembath**[116], **Jacqueline Yaouanq**[126], **Ananth C. Viswanathan**[127], **Haitao Zhang**[36,66], **Nicholas W. Wood**[128], **Rebecca Zuvich**[103], **Panos Deloukas**[7], **Cordelia Langford**[7], **Audrey Duncanson**[129], **Jorge R. Oksenberg**[33], **Margaret A. Pericak-Vance**[87], **Jonathan L. Haines**[103], **Tomas Olsson**[26], **Jan Hillert**[26], **Adrian J. Ivinson**[51,130], **Philip L. De Jager**[4,5,51], **Leena Peltonen**[7,11,80,93,94], **Graeme J. Stewart**[8], **David A. Hafler**[4,131], **Stephen L. Hauser**[33], **Gil McVean**[2], **Peter Donnelly**[2,6,†], and **Alastair Compston**[1,†]

[1]University of Cambridge, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Addenbrooke's Hospital, BOX 165, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK [2]Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK [3]Division of Genetics, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA [4]Broad Institute of Harvard University and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA [5]Center for Neurologic Diseases, Department of Neurology, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA [6]Dept Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3TG, UK [7]Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK [8]Westmead Millennium Institute, University of Sydney, Australia [9]Laboratory for Neuroimmunology, Section of Experimental Neurology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium [10]Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Department of Neurology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark [11]Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00290, Finland [12]INSERM UMR S 975 CRICM, UPMC, Département de neurologie Pitié-Salpêtrière, AP-HP, Paris, France [13]Department of Neurology, Klinikum Rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität, Ismaninger Strasse 22, 81675 Munich, Germany [14]Department of Neurology, University Medicine Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Langenbeckstr. 1, 55131 Mainz, Germany [15]Max Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine, Robert-Rössle-Str. 10, 13092 Berlin, Germany [16]Institute for Neuroimmunology and Clinical MS Research (inims), Centre for Molecular Neurobiology, Falkenried 94, D-20251 Hamburg, Germany [17]Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus Research Building , Old Road Campus, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, UK [18]Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast, BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, UK [19]Department of Medical Sciences and Interdisciplinary Research Center of Autoimmune Diseases (IRCAD), University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy [20]Department of Neurology, Institute of Experimental Neurology (INSPE), Division of Neuroscience, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina 58, 20132, Milan, Italy [21]Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, N-0407 Oslo, Norway [22]Department of Neurology, University of Oslo, N-0318 Oslo, Norway [23]Institute of Basal Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, N-0317 Oslo, Norway [24]Department of Neurology, Laboratory of

Neuroimmunology, Medical University of Lodz, Kopcinskiego 22, 90-153 Lodz, Poland [25]Clinical Neuroinmunology Unit, Multiple Sclerosis Center of Catalonia (CEM-Cat), Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain [26]Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Centre for Molecular Medicine CMM, L8:04, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden [27]Keele University Medical School, Stoke-on-Trent, UK [28]Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth, Clinical Neurology Research Group, Tamar Science Park, Plymouth, PL6 8BX, UK [29]Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XW, UK [30]Genetic Epidemiology and Genomics Laboratory, Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-7356, USA [31]Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research, 2000 Broadway, Oakland, CA, 94612, USA [32]Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Box 210, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden [33]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, 505 Parnassus Avenue, S-256, San Francisco, CA 94143-0435, USA [34]Neurological Institute C. Mondino, IRCCS, Pavia, Italy [35]The Walton Centre for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Liverpool, UK [36]Center for Applied Genomics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3615 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA [37]INSERM U 563 et Pôle Neurosciences, Hopital Purpan, Toulouse, France [38]School of Medicine, Griffith University, Australia [39]Florey Neuroscience Institutes, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3010 [40]Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, 3050 [41]Box Hill Hospital, Box Hill 3128, Australia [42]Department of Medicine, RMH Cluster, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3010 [43]Multiple Sclerosis Centre, Department of Neurology, Ospedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy [44]Centre for Neuromuscular and Neurological Disorders, University of Western Australia, Perth WA 6009, Australia [45]Department of Neurosciences, University of Turin, A.O.U. San Giovanni Battista,Turin, Italy [46]INSERM U535, Univ Paris-Sud, Villejuif, France [47]University of Newcastle, University Drive, Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia [48]Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1540 Alcazar St. NOR 4453, Los Angeles, CA 90033 [49]Department of Neurology, Washington University, St Louis MO, USA [50]University of Milan, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, AO San Paolo, University of Milan, c/o Filarete Foundation - Viale Ortles 22/4 - 20139 Milano, Italy [51]Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA [52]Center for Human Genetic Research, Massachusetts General Hospital, USA [53]The UK DNA Banking Network, Centre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research, University of Manchester, UK [54]Department of Medical Genetics, Division of Biomedical Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands [55]Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands [56]Service de Neurologie, Hôpital Central, Nancy, France [57]National Multiple Sclerosis Center, 1820 Melsbroek, Belgium [58]Institute for Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel, Germany [59]Department of Neurology, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland [60]University of Tampere, Medical School, Tampere, Finland [61]Menzies Research Institute, Locked Bag 23, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia 7000 [62]Department of Neurological Sciences, Centro Dino Ferrari, University of Milan, Fondazione Cà Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy [63]Centro Studi Sclerosi Multipla, Ospedale di Gallarate, Gallarate (VA), Italy [64]Service de Neurologie, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild, Paris, France [65]Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, City Hospital, Belfast BT9 7AB, Northern Ireland,UK [66]Division of Genetics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3615 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA [67]Department of Pediatrics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, 3615 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA [68]Laboratory of Molecular Medicine and Biotechnology, Don C. Gnocchi Foundation IRCCS, S. Maria Nascente, Milan, Italy [69]Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden [70]Atherosclerosis Research Unit, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Center for Molecular Medicine, L8:03, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, S-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden [71]Department of Neurology, Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany [72]Centre National de Genotypage, 2 rue Gaston

Cremieux, CO 5721, 91057 Evry Cedex, France [73]Experimental and Clinical Research Center, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin and Max Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany [74]Clinic for Paediatric Pneumology, Allergology and Neonatology, Hannover Medical School, Germany [75]Centre for Neuroscience, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3010 [76]Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstädter Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Munich, Germany [77]Seinäjoki Central Hospital, Seinäjoki, Finland [78]Hunter Medical Research Institute, John Hunter Hospital, Lookout Road, New Lambton NSW 2305, Australia [79]SCDU Neurology, Maggiore della Carità Hospital, Novara, Italy [80]Unit of Public Health Genomics, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, 00290, Finland [81]Molecular Medicine, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Entrance 70, 3rd Floor, Res Dept 2, Univeristy Hospital, S-75185, Uppsala, Sweden [82]Human Genetics and Cancer Biology, Genome Institute of Singapore, Singapore 138672 [83]Institute of Immunology, Oslo University Hospital, N-0027 Oslo, Norway [84]Institute of Experimental Neurology (INSPE), San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina 58, 20132, Milan, Italy [85]Dept of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, University of California, Irvine (UCI), 5251 California Av, S.te 240, Irvine CA, 92617 - USA [86]Christchurch School of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand [87]John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics and The Dr. John T Macdonald Foundation Department of Human Genetics, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, 1501 NW 10th Avenue, Miami, FL 33136, USA [88]Greater Manchester Centre for Clinical Neurosciences, Hope Hospital, Salford, UK [89]The Department of Neurology, Dunedin Public Hospital, Otago, NZ [90]The Multiple Sclerosis National Competence Centre, Department of Neurology, Haukeland University Hospital, N-5021 Bergen, Norway [91]Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, N-5021 Bergen, Norway [92]Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Department of Neurology, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth, PL6 8DH, UK [93]Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki and University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland [94]Program in Medical and Population Genetics and Genetic Analysis Platform, The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA [95]Pôle Neurosciences Cliniques,Service de Neurologie, Hôpital de la Timone, Marseille, France [96]Department Neurology, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland [97]UK MS Tissue Bank, Wolfson Neuroscience Laboratories, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital, London, W12 0NN [98]Université de Montréal & Montreal Heart Institute, Research Center, 5000 rue Belanger, Montreal, Quebec H1T 1C8, Canada [99]Neurology and Center for Experimental Neurological Therapy (CENTERS), Sapienza University of Rome, Italy [100]KOS Genetic Srl, Via Podgora, 7 - 20123 Milan - Italy [101]Department of General Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Schleswig-Holstein, Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel, Germany [102]Systems Biology and Protein-Protein Interaction, Center for Molecular Neurobiology, Falkenried 94, D-20251 Hamburg, Germany [103]Center for Human Genetics Research, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 519 Light Hall, Nashville, TN 37232, USA [104]Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Centre for Child Health Research, University of Western Australia, 100 Roberts Road, Subiaco, Western Australia 6008 [105]Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge CB2 0XY, UK [106]Department of Neurology, Helsinki University Central Hospital and Molecular Neurology Programme, Biomedicum, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland [107]Division of Psychological Medicine and Psychiatry, Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London and The South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK [108]Service de Neurologie et Faculté de Médecine de Reims, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France [109]University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia [110]Dept Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK [111]St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland [112]Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group, Institute of Molecular Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Eire [113]Centre for Gastroenterology, Bart's and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London E1 2AT, UK [114]Department of

Neurosciences, Institute of Biomedical Research August Pi Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Spain [115]Clinical Neurosciences, St George's University of London, London SW17 0RE [116]Dept Medical and Molecular Genetics, King's College London School of Medicine, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK [117]Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, Robinson Way, Cambridge, CB2 0SR, UK [118]Biomedical Research Institute, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, DD1 9SY [119]Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 81377 Munich, Germany [120]Klinikum Grosshadern, Munich, Germany [121]King's College London, Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK [122]Department of Neurology, Auckland City Hospital, Grafton Road, Auckland, New Zealand [123]Institut für Humangenetik, Technische Universität München, Germany [124]Institut für Humangenetik, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany [125]Popgen Biobank, Christian-Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany [126]Pôle Recherche et Santé Publique, CHU Pontchaillou, Rennes, France [127]NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London EC1V 2PD, UK [128]Dept Molecular Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK [129]Molecular and Physiological Sciences, The Wellcome Trust, London NW1 2BE [130]Harvard NeuroDiscovery Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA [131]Department of Neurology & Immunology, Yale University Medical School, New Haven, CT, USA

Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (OMIM 126200) is a common disease of the central nervous system in which the interplay between inflammatory and neurodegenerative processes typically results in intermittent neurological disturbance followed by progressive accumulation of disability.[1] Epidemiological studies have shown that genetic factors are primarily responsible for the substantially increased frequency of the disease seen in the relatives of affected individuals;[2,3] and systematic attempts to identify linkage in multiplex families have confirmed that variation within the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) exerts the greatest individual effect on risk.[4] Modestly powered Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS)[5-10] have enabled more than 20 additional risk loci to be identified and have shown that multiple variants exerting modest individual effects play a key role in disease susceptibility.[11] Most of the genetic architecture underlying susceptibility to the disease remains to be defined and is anticipated to require the analysis of sample sizes that are beyond the numbers currently available to individual research groups. In a collaborative GWAS involving 9772 cases of European descent collected by 23 research groups working in 15 different countries, we have replicated almost all of the previously suggested associations and identified at least a further 29 novel susceptibility loci. Within the MHC we have refined the identity of the *DRB1* risk alleles and confirmed that variation in the *HLA-A* gene underlies the independent protective effect attributable to the Class I region. Immunologically relevant genes are significantly over-represented amongst those mapping close to the identified loci and particularly implicate T helper cell differentiation in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis.

Keywords

multiple sclerosis; GWAS; genetics

We performed a large GWAS as part of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (WTCCC2) project. Cases were recruited through the International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC) and compared with the WTCCC2 common control set[12,13] supplemented by data from the control arms of existing GWAS. We introduced a number of

novel quality control (QC) methods for processing these datasets (see Supplementary Information), which ultimately provided reliable information from 9772 cases and 17376 controls (see Figure 1A). Following single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) based QC, data from 441547 autosomal SNPs, common to all internally and externally generated datasets, were available for analysis.

The multi-population nature of our study (Figure 1 A and B) afforded an opportunity to assess various published approaches for controlling the potential confounding effects of population structure, several of which (in the event) proved unhelpful (see Supplementary Information). Whilst not common in primary GWAS undertaken to date, the challenge of combining data across populations, in contexts where not all case samples have controls available from the same population (thus precluding standard meta-analytical techniques), may become more routine as study sizes increase.

We attempted analyses of the non-United Kingdom (UK) data with the now widespread technique of using principal components as covariates to correct for structure. However, even use of all seven top principal components which captured genome-wide effects in our data resulted in an unacceptably high genomic inflation: for example, the genomic control factor (λ)[14] was $\lambda = 1.2$. We tried to reduce the genomic inflation by discarding the case samples that seemed least well matched to control sets. Removal of half the available cases in this fashion only reduced λ to 1.1. In another approach to handling structure, statistical clustering algorithms were successful in identifying subgroups of the data within which cases and controls appeared well-matched for ancestry (See Supplementary figure S17). However tests within these subgroups combined via fixed-effects meta-analysis also yielded unacceptably high genomic inflation ($\lambda > 1.4$) in an analysis with seven matched sub-groups of cases and controls. Finally, we applied a novel variance components method (similar to Kang et al.[15]), separately to the UK and non-UK datasets, that explicitly accounts for correlations among the phenotypes of individuals resulting from relatedness, allowing us to deal successfully with all sources of structure in our samples (see Supplementary Information for details of the linear mixed model we used). For example, the genomic inflation was reduced to $\lambda = 0.995$ in the UK and 1.016 in the non-UK data (see also Supplementary Information). After fixed effects meta-analysis of the results from the UK and non-UK datasets, the inflation factor was $\lambda = 1.045$. We adopted this approach for all subsequent non-MHC association analyses.

Outside the MHC we identified 95 distinct regions having at least one SNP associated with multiple sclerosis at $p_{GWAS} < 1 \times 10^{-4.5}$; in six of these 95 regions conditional analysis revealed an additional SNP showing association to the same locus (one locus containing two such SNPs). In total we took all 102 SNPs forward to replication, which we performed using data from previously reported multiple sclerosis GWAS[8,9] and the iControl database (excluding any WTCCC controls previously used in these studies). In total, the replication analysis included data from 4218 cases and 7296 controls. These were considered in six independent strata after which results were combined through a fixed effects meta-analysis. For 98 of the 102 SNPs, the same allele was over-represented in cases compared to controls. Twenty three of the 26 previously known or strongly suggested multiple sclerosis associated loci were replicated in our primary GWAS with $p_{GWAS} < 1 \times 10^{-3}$. Our GWAS and replication also revealed another 29 novel associated regions (defined as having $p_{GWAS} < 1 \times 10^{-4.5}$, one-sided $p_{Replication} < 0.05$, and $p_{Combined} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), and a further 5 regions with strong evidence for association (with $p_{GWAS} < 1 \times 10^{-4.5}$, one sided $p_{Replication} < 0.05$, and $p_{Combined} < 5 \times 10^{-7}$). In one previously reported locus and two novel loci, additional SNPs were identified as being conditionally important in explaining risk. Just over one third of the identified loci overlap with regions already confirmed as associated with at least one other autoimmune disease (according to the GWAS catalog, http://www.genome.gov/

gwastudies/). Results both for the previously established and novel loci are shown in Figure 2 and Supplementary Tables 1-3; and details of all 102 SNPs taken to replication are available in the Supplementary Data file.

In order to assess objectively the collective evidence across the associated regions for particular classes of genes, we performed statistical analyses to look for enrichment of genes with similar function. We first identified the nearest gene to the lead SNP in each of the (52) regions of association and used the Gene Ontology (GO) database[16] to define sets of functionally related genes (GO terms). We then tested whether the set of nearest-genes was enriched for particular GO terms using Fisher's exact test. The GO terms having the most significant enrichment include genes linked to lymphocyte function (p =3.2×10^{-11}, OR = 35.96) and in particular those with a role in T cell activation and proliferation (p = 1.85×10^{-9}, OR = 40.85). These are representative of a larger group associated with various components of the GO 'immune system process' (p = 8.6×10^{-11}, OR = 9.12). A similar analysis based on all genes in or near association regions showed similar enrichment, as did independent analyses based on nearest-gene or all genes in our next tier of signals, the 42 regions taken to replication but not meeting the thresholds above for association (see Supplementary file.) Although GO immune system genes only account for 7% of human genes, in 30% of our association regions the nearest gene to the lead SNP is an immune system gene. As an illustration, Figure 3 shows a schematic of genes involved in the T helper cell differentiation pathway; a striking number show strong evidence for association with multiple sclerosis particularly those acting as cell surface receptors. We infer from this pathway analysis of our GWAS signals that specific classes of immune system genes are especially important in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis.

Our screen not only implicates a multitude of genes coding for cytokine pathway (*CXCR5*, *IL2RA*, *IL7R*, *IL7*, *IL12RB1*, *IL22RA2*, *IL12A*, *IL12B*, *IRF8*, *TNFRSF1A*, *TNFRSF14*, *TNFSF14*), co-stimulatory (*CD37*, *CD40*, *CD58*, *CD80*, *CD86*, *CLECL1*) and signal transduction (*CBLB*, *GPR65*, *MALT1*, *RGS1*, *STAT3*, *TAGAP*, *TYK2*) molecules of immunological relevance, but also relates to previously reported environmental risk factors such as Vitamin D[9,17] (*CYP27B1*, *CYP24A1*) and therapies for multiple sclerosis including Natalizumab[18] (*VCAM1*) and Daclizumab[19] (*IL2RA*). There is a relative absence of genes relevant to potential pathways for neurodegeneration independent of inflammation (*GALC*, *KIF21B*).

To refine our understanding of the MHC associations in multiple sclerosis we imputed classical Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) types at six loci (*A*, *B*, *C*, *DQA1*, *DQB1* and *DRB1*)[20] and analysed these alongside the SNPs (see Supplementary Information for validation; at alleles responsible for the major signals described below, estimated specificity was at least 0.99 and sensitivity was at least 0.98, except for DRB1*13:03, where it was 0.88). Primary discovery was focused on the UK cohort with candidate signals being validated through support from additional case-control cohorts. Because of the extensive linkage disequilibrium within the MHC, we identified associated alleles in a stepwise manner, selecting the most strongly associated to include in a general model, in turn, if p_{UK} $<10^{-4}$ and $p_{combined} <10^{-9}$ (Supplementary Information). At each stage we explored possible interactions and departures from the simple model in which risk increases multiplicatively with each additional copy of the relevant allele (additive increase on the log-odds scale) within the logistic risk framework.

By this approach we found that DRB1*15:01 has the strongest association with multiple sclerosis amongst all classical and SNP alleles, with a consistent effect between cohorts (p $<1\times10^{-320}$: Figure 4A). The data are consistent with an additive effect on the log-odds scale for each additional allele. Conditioning on DRB1*15:01, we confirmed the presence of a

protective Class I allele and identified the signal as being driven by HLA-A*02:01 (as previously suggested),[21] with a consistent effect size across cohorts (p = 9.1×10^{-23}: Figure 4A). Again, we found no strong evidence for departure from additivity on the log-odds scale or statistical interaction with DRB1*15:01. Conditioning on both DRB1*15:01 and A*02:01 revealed additional risk associated with the strongly linked alleles DRB1*03:01 and DQB1*02:01 (p = 3.6×10^{-10}: Figure 4A; note that we cannot separate these alleles but for simplicity refer only to DRB1*03:01 below). Further conditioning identified an additional *DRB1* risk allele DRB1*13:03 (p = 1.3×10^{-11}: Figure 4A). Although no other classical alleles meet the above criteria, we did observe several SNPs providing independent signals, the strongest coming from rs9277535_G (combined OR 1.28, p = 2.2×10^{-22}), an allele known to be in linkage disequilibrium with DPB1*03:01 ($r^2 = 0.37$).[22]

Analysis of the MHC SNP data using a genealogical method (GENECLUSTER)[23] offers an alternate means of relating our results to classical HLA alleles that provides additional insight into the underlying genetic architecture (see Supplementary Information). Figure 4B shows genealogical trees relating the classical alleles at *DRB1* and *HLA-A*, together with the estimated evolutionary position of the mutations predicted by GENECLUSTER as most completely modelling the association. At *HLA-DRB1*, three mutations are predicted, each of which implicates a clade of haplotypes carrying particular *DRB1* alleles. All of the *DRB1* alleles we have shown to be independently associated are included in these clades, each corresponding to a particular mutation. In addition, the analysis also explains why those haplotypes carrying the *08:01 allele have previously been shown to increase risk[24,25] since they carry the same mutation as those bearing *13:03. At *HLA-A*, the predicted protective mutation is also concordant with our regression analysis of classical alleles in implicating *02:01 but, in addition, predicts that *68:01, *02:05, and *02:06 carry the same protective allele. All of these secondary predictions (increased risk from DRB1*08:01 and protection from HLA-A*68:01, *02:05, and *02:06) are supported in our regression analysis of classical alleles but the power to detect them in the primary analyses is limited because each allele occurs at a very low frequency.

We found no evidence for genetic associations with clinical course, severity of disease or month of birth, and no evidence of interaction with gender or DRB1*15:01 in any part of the genome (see Supplementary Information). However, analysis with respect to age at onset replicated the previously suggested association with the DRB1*15:01 allele.[26] Although no other part of the genome contained individual SNPs showing strong evidence for association, risk alleles determining susceptibility are collectively more closely associated with age at onset than expected by chance, suggesting that individual genetic susceptibility is inversely correlated with age at onset.

Our GWAS - large for any complex trait having a prevalence of 1:1000 and involving diverse populations of European descent - has identified 29 novel susceptibility loci. Four mutations, one from Class I and three from Class II, with effects modelled in a simple multiplicative manner within and across loci are sufficient to account for most of the risk attributable to the MHC (see Supplementary file). Although our data do not address the issue of which components within the nervous system are initially damaged by the inflammatory response the over-representation of genes that influence T cell maturation provides independent and compelling evidence that the critical disease mechanisms primarily involve immune dysregulation.

More generally, our study reinforces the view that the GWAS design, combined with very large experimental sample sizes and careful statistical analysis, provides valuable insights into the genetic architecture of common complex diseases. Here, this approach has identified many associated genetic variants close to genes, which are both individually interesting and

collectively illuminate the roles of key biological pathways. It also provides indirect evidence that many more common variants of small effect contribute to genetic susceptibility for multiple sclerosis. Simple models, in which the previously-known and newly-identified variants affect risk multiplicatively, both within and across loci, explain a meaningful proportion (~20%, see Supplementary Information) of genetic risk for the disease. Important challenges lie ahead, in understanding overlap between the genetic basis for susceptibility in the context of different autoimmune diseases, and in uncovering the functional mechanisms underlying these associations.

Materials and Methods

Details of case ascertainment, processing and genotyping, together with sample and genotyping quality control are provided in the Supplementary Information. Statistical methods developed for testing the reliability of externally generated data sets, detecting samples with non-European ancestry, correcting for structure, classical HLA imputation and meta-analysis are also outlined in the Supplementary Information. Results for all scans and all reported loci are described in detail in the Supplementary Information.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Compston A, Coles A. Multiple sclerosis. Lancet. 2008; 372:1502–1517. [PubMed: 18970977]

2. Dyment DA, Yee IM, Ebers GC, Sadovnick AD. Multiple sclerosis in stepsiblings: recurrence risk and ascertainment. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2006; 77:258–259. [PubMed: 16421134]

3. Hemminki K, Li X, Sundquist J, Hillert J, Sundquist K. Risk for multiple sclerosis in relatives and spouses of patients diagnosed with autoimmune and related conditions. Neurogenetics. 2009; 10:5–11. [PubMed: 18843511]

4. The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC). A high-density screen for linkage in multiple sclerosis. Am J Hum Genet. 2005; 77:454–467. [PubMed: 16080120]

5. The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC). Risk Alleles for Multiple Sclerosis Identified by a Genomewide Study. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357:851–862. [PubMed: 17660530]

6. The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC). Association scan of 14,500 nonsynonymous SNPs in four diseases identifies autoimmunity variants. Nat Genet. 2007; 39:1329–1337. [PubMed: 17952073]

7. Baranzini SE, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of susceptibility and clinical phenotype in multiple sclerosis. Hum Mol Genet. 2009; 18:767–778. [PubMed: 19010793]

8. De Jager PL, et al. Meta-analysis of genome scans and replication identify CD6, IRF8 and TNFRSF1A as new multiple sclerosis susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:776–782. [PubMed: 19525953]

9. The ANZgene Consortium. Genome-wide association study identifies new multiple sclerosis susceptibility loci on chromosomes 12 and 20. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:824–828. [PubMed: 19525955]

10. Sanna S, et al. Variants within the immunoregulatory CBLB gene are associated with multiple sclerosis. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:495–497. [PubMed: 20453840]

11. The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC). Evidence for polygenic susceptibility to multiple sclerosis--the shape of things to come. Am J Hum Genet. 2010; 86:621–625. [PubMed: 20362272]

12. The UK Parkinson's Disease Consortium and the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2. Dissection of the genetics of Parkinson's disease identifies an additional association 5′ of SNCA and multiple associated haplotypes at 17q21. Hum Mol Genet. 2011; 20:345–353. [PubMed: 21044948]

13. The Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis (GAP) Consortium and the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2. A genome-wide association study identifies new psoriasis susceptibility loci and an interaction between HLA-C and ERAP1. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:985–990. [PubMed: 20953190]

14. Devlin B, Roeder K. Genomic control for association studies. Biometrics. 1999; 55:997–1004. [PubMed: 11315092]

15. Kang HM, et al. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:348–354. [PubMed: 20208533]

16. Ashburner M, et al. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet. 2000; 25:25–29. [PubMed: 10802651]

17. Pierrot-Deseilligny C, Souberbielle JC. Is hypovitaminosis D one of the environmental risk factors for multiple sclerosis? Brain. 2010; 133:1869–1888. [PubMed: 20584945]

18. Steinman L. A molecular trio in relapse and remission in multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009; 9:440–447. [PubMed: 19444308]

19. Bielekova B, et al. Effect of anti-CD25 antibody daclizumab in the inhibition of inflammation and stabilization of disease progression in multiple sclerosis. Arch Neurol. 2009; 66:483–489. [PubMed: 19364933]

20. Leslie S, Donnelly P, McVean G. A statistical method for predicting classical HLA alleles from SNP data. Am J Hum Genet. 2008; 82:48–56. [PubMed: 18179884]

21. Brynedal B, et al. HLA-A confers an HLA-DRB1 independent influence on the risk of multiple sclerosis. PLoS One. 2007; 2:e664. [PubMed: 17653284]

22. Field J, et al. A polymorphism in the HLA-DPB1 gene is associated with susceptibility to multiple sclerosis. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13454. [PubMed: 21049023]

23. Su Z, Cardin N, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A Bayesian method for detecting and characterizing allelic heterogeneity and boosting signals in genome-wide association studies. Statistical Science. 2009; 24:430–450.

24. Barcellos LF, et al. Heterogeneity at the HLA-DRB1 locus and risk for multiple sclerosis. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15:2813–2824. [PubMed: 16905561]

25. Dyment DA, et al. Complex interactions among MHC haplotypes in multiple sclerosis: susceptibility and resistance. Hum Mol Genet. 2005; 14:2019–2026. [PubMed: 15930013]

26. Masterman T, et al. HLA-DR15 is associated with lower age at onset in multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 2000; 48:211–219. [PubMed: 10939572]

Figure 1.
Distribution of cases and controls. All cases and controls were drawn from populations with European ancestry; cases from 15 countries and controls from 8. **A**: numbers of case (red) and control (black) samples from each country. **B:** The projection of samples onto the first two principal components of genetic variation, with cases shown on the left and controls on the right. The axes are orientated to approximate to the geography, and samples are colour coded as indicated in the legend. We genotyped the cases (9772) and some Swedish controls (527) using the Illumina Human 660-Quad platform, and the UK controls (5175, the WTCCC2 common control set[12,13]) using the Illumina 1.2M platform. All other controls were genotyped externally using various Illumina genotyping systems (see Supplementary Information).

Figure 2.
Regions of the genome showing association to multiple sclerosis. Columns from left to right: evidence for association from the linear mixed model analysis of the discovery data (thresholded at $-\log_{10}(p) = 12$). Non-MHC regions containing associated SNPs are shown in red and are labelled with the rsID (bold for newly identified loci, black for strong evidence, grey for previously reported) and risk allele of the most significant SNP. * indicates that the locus contains a secondary SNP signal. Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals estimated from the meta-analysis of the discovery and replication data (+ indicates estimates for previously-known loci from discovery data only). Risk allele frequency estimates in each of the control populations used in the study (each is shown as a vertical bar on a scale from 0 to

1 going left to right). For each region of association the number of genes is reported, and where non-zero a candidate gene is given. Black dots indicate that the candidate gene is physically the nearest gene included in the "immune system process" GO term. When the most-significant SNP tags a SNP predicted to have an impact on the function of the candidate gene this is indicated. Where such a SNP exists, the gene involved is selected as the candidate gene; otherwise the nearest gene is selected unless there are strong biological reasons for a different choice. The final column indicates SNPs which are correlated ($r^2 >$ 0.1) with SNPs reported to be associated with other autoimmune (AI) diseases (abbreviations: RA = Rheumatoid arthritis; CeD = Celiac disease; UC = Ulcerative colitis; CrD = Crohns's disease; T1D = Type 1 diabetes; PS = Psoriasis). An interactive version of the figure is available at www.well.ox.ac.uk/wtccc2/ms.

Figure 3.
Graphical representation of the T helper cell differentiation pathway. The figure is derived from an image generated by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software version 8.8 (Ingenuity Systems, Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA). Alpha-numeric labels indicate the individual genes and gene complexes (nodes) included in the pathway (note some are included more than once). Coloured nodes are those containing a gene implicated by proximity to a SNP showing evidence of association. Red: in bold or grey in Figure 2 (plus MHC class II region and TNFα); Orange: other loci in Figure 2 or discovery P value < $1 \times 10^{-4.5}$ and consistent replication data. Yellow: Discovery P value < 1×10^{-3}. Other molecules (proteins, vitamins etc.) may also be of relevance in these processes but are not included here as they are not currently listed as being part of this particular pathway in the IPA database.

Figure 4.
Results for the main MHC alleles. **A:** Forest plots for each of the primary HLA alleles
(HLA-A*02:01, DRB1*15:01, DRB1*03:01 and DRB1*13:03) showing consistency of
effect across the populations and combined OR of 0.73, 3.1, 1.26 and 2.4 respectively
(whiskers indicate 95% confidence intervals). **B:** The genealogical trees estimated for *DRB1*
(top) and *HLA-A* (bottom). These trees were constructed using classical HLA and SNP
typing data available from the HapMap CEU haplotype data. Each left hand branch of the
tree terminates on a set of haplotypes carrying a particular HLA allele. The coloured dots
indicate the mostly likely locations for a disease-associated mutation as predicted by the
GENECLUSTER program[23]. In the DRB1 tree, the blue dot captures a risk effect
attributable to all haplotypes carrying the *15:01 allele. The green dot captures a risk effect
carried by all haplotypes carrying the *03:01 allele and the red dot captures a risk effect on
haplotypes carrying *13:03 or *08:01. In the HLA-A plot, the orange dot is a protective
mutation lying at the root of all *02:01, *02:05, *02:06 and *68:01 alleles. The blue dot in
brackets denotes a branch containing those *03:01 haplotypes that also carry DRB1*15:01;
the GENECLUSTER prediction here is thus a reflection, due to linkage disequilibrium of
the risk attributable to DRB1*15:01. The terminal branches are labelled with the allele
carried by the haplotype and its frequency.