The Editor BMJ BMA House, Tavistock Square London WC1H 9JR editor@bmj.com T + 44 (0)20 7387 4499 F + 44 (0)20 7383 6418

BMA members' inquiries membership@bma.org.uk 020 7383 6599

BMJ careers advertising sales@bmjcareers.com 020 7383 6531

DISPLAY ADVERTISING sales@bmj.com 020 7383 6350

REPRINTS reprints@bmj.com 020 8346 1339

Subscriptions subscriptions@bmj.com 020 7383 6270

For "Who is Who" at the BMJ see bmj.com/contacts

For advice to contributors see bmj.com/advice

To submit an article go to submit.bmj.com



The *BMJ* is published by BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of the British Medical Association.

The BMA grants editorial freedom to the Editor of the BMJ. The views expressed in the journal are those of the authors and may not necessarily comply with BMA policy.

The BMJ follows guidelines on editorial independence produced by the World Association of Medical Editors (www.wame.org/wamestmt.htm# independence) and the code on good publication practice produced by the Committee on Publication Ethics (www.publicationethics.org.uk/cope1999/gpp/gpp.phtml#gpp).



©BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2004.

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any other means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission, in writing, of the BMJ

US second class postage paid at Rahway, NJ. Postmaster: send address changes to BMJ, c/o Mercury Airfreight International Ltd Inc, 365 Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001, USA. \$544. Weekly

Printed by Wyndeham Heron and Co

This week in the BMJ

Prospective collection of data provides better assessment of risk

Prospective collection of data may be the best method to assess the rate of adverse and preventable events and the impact of risk reduction programmes. In a study on 778 patients, Michel and colleagues (p 199) compared the effectiveness, reliability, and acceptability of estimating rates of adverse events, and of preventable adverse events, using three methods: cross sectional, prospective, and retrospective. The prospective and retrospective methods identified similar numbers of medical and surgical cases (70% and 66%), but the prospective method identified more preventable cases, had good reliability, and an acceptable workload. The cross sectional method had a large number of false positives and identified none of the most serious events. No method was appropriate for obstetrics.

Exercise training is beneficial in heart failure



Exercise training reduces mortality and hospital admission in patients with chronic heart failure. In a meta-analysis including nine trials, the ExTra MATCH Collaborative (p 189) compared exercise training with usual care in patients with chronic heart failure. The researchers found a significantly lower mortality (22% v 26%, P=0.015) and an increased median time to hospital admission (371 v 426 days) when patients exercised. They conclude that, in patients with chronic heart failure due to ventricular dysfunction, exercise training significantly improves survival.

Electrocautery strategy can induce ovulation in polycystic ovary syndrome



In women with clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovary syndrome, an integrated electrocautery strategy results in equivalent pregnancy rates but fewer multiple pregnancies than when gonadotrophin hormones alone are used. Bayram and colleagues (p 192) randomised 168 women to an electrocautery strategyconsisting of laparoscopic electrocautery of the ovaries, clomiphene, and additional hormones if required—or gonadotrophin hormones alone to induce ovulation. The ongoing pregnancy rate in both groups was 67% at 12 months, but treatment with recombinant follicle stimulating hormone alone resulted in more multiple pregnancies. Electrocautery therapy and clomiphene citrate before gonadotrophin hormones is as effective as hormones alone and may reduce multiple pregnancies, the authors say.

Chronic disease needs a generic management model

National service frameworks aim to improve the management of selected chronic diseases, but a general model is still not available in the NHS. On page 220 Lewis and Dixon analyse what it takes to manage chronic diseases, and argue for a generic model that can be applied to patients with multiple conditions or with single conditions that are not yet included in the national service framework. They describe the importance of community resources, health system organisation, self management, design of medical practice, decision support, and clinical information systems within the general context of chronic disease management. They say that such a generic model is particularly important for ensuring that gains in elective care are not at the expense of progress in chronic care.

Atypical presentation of SARS may generate an outbreak



Atypical presentation of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) may delay diagnosis of the index case and generate an outbreak. Chow and colleagues (p 195) give details of an outbreak in a Singapore hospital, where the index patient presented with

gastrointestinal bleeding. They

describe the epidemiological link of 51 patients infected directly or indirectly from the index patient, and provide an insight on the special measures introduced to control the outbreak: strict infection control, a good surveillance system, early introduction of isolation procedures, and vigilant healthcare workers.

Hypertensive? See you every six months

Following up patients with controlled hypertension every six months will not affect

blood pressure control, adherence, and patients' satisfaction. Birtwhistle and colleagues (p 204) conducted a randomised equivalence trial on 609 patients receiving medical treatment for essential hypertension, following them up every three or six months for three years. They found that control of blood pressure, patients' satisfaction, and adherence to treatment were similar, but 20% of patients in both groups had poor control of blood pressure during the study. Follow up interval may not be the most important factor in the control of hypertension by family practitioners, the authors say.

POEM*

Lipid lowering is crucial in diabetics

Question What is the relative benefit of lowering lipids in patients with diabetes?

Synopsis This decision analysis used the cardiovascular disease life expectancy model to estimate the annual probability of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events. Using the third national health and nutrition examination survey, the authors compared cardiovascular risk factors in adults with diabetes with those in adults with cardiovascular disease but no diabetes. They estimated what would happen if low density lipoprotein levels were lowered by 35% and high density lipoprotein levels were increased by 8%, which is what occurred in the Scandinavian simvastatin survival study. With the model, an estimated 25.4 million person years of life would be saved with lipid control in patients with diabetes and 16 million person years of life saved in patients with cardiovascular disease. On average, this benefit translates into 3 to 3.4 years of life saved in the average patient with diabetes as compared with 2.4 to 2.7 years of life saved in the average patient with heart disease (this latter group is more likely to smoke than patients with diabetes, which is why the benefit is less). In women with diabetes, controlling lipids results in 1.6 to 2.4 years of life saved as compared with 1.6 to 2.1 years in women with heart disease. All of these benefits assume that patients in typical practices will achieve the same degree of lipid control as occurred in the research studies

Bottom line The benefit of lowering lipids in patients with type 2 diabetes is at least as high as lowering lipids in patients with cardiovascular disease. Cholesterol and blood pressure control in patients with type 2 diabetes are much more important than blood glucose control when it comes to extending life and preventing complications.

Level of evidence 2b (see www.infopoems.com/resources/levels.html). Individual cohort study or low quality randomised controlled trials (< 80% follow up).

Grover SA, Coupal L, Zowall H, Weiss TW, Alexander CM. Evaluating the benefits of treating dyslipidemia: the importance of diabetes as a risk factor. *Am J Med* 2003; 115:122-8.

©infoPOEMs 1992-2003 www.infoPOEMs.com/informationmastery.cfm

* Patient-Oriented Evidence that Matters. See editorial (BMJ 2002;325:983)

Editor's choice

"Let food be thy medicine ..."

Mark Lucock ends his review of the science of folic acid by quoting Hippocrates: "Let food be thy medicine and medicine be thy food" (p 211).

Although many patients are convinced of the importance of food in both causing and relieving their problems, many doctors' knowledge of nutrition is rudimentary. Most feel much more comfortable with drugs than foods, and the "food as medicine" philosophy of Hippocrates has been largely neglected. That may be about to change. Concern about obesity is rocketing up political agendas, and a growing interest in the science of functional foods is opening up many therapeutic possibilities (p 180).

It was in 1931 that Lucy Wills described how yeast extract could be effective in preventing tropical macrocytic anaemia of late pregnancy. Folate was shown to be the crucial factor. In the 1980s a series of studies showed how periconceptional folate could prevent spina bifida. Then in 1995 came a meta-analysis that established that high homocysteine concentrations were a risk factor for atherosclerosis. Dietary folate reduces homocysteine, raising the possibility that a vitamin might prevent vascular disease. Next, several nucleotide polymorphisms were found to be related to folate, meaning that folate levels might influence the chance of developing cancer.

These discoveries are not surprising as folate metabolism is involved in many of the fundamental processes of life. Lucock describes, for example, how it is important for nucleotide biosynthesis. Thymidylate synthase, an enzyme that helps synthesise DNA, depends on a folate derivative. Low levels of folate may thus lead to breaks in DNA, predisposing to cancer. There are many other ways in which folate can affect gene function, and so folate is central to nutrigenomics—the study of the links between nutrition and gene function.

Folate may thus be a leading contender for panacea of the 21st century. Addition of folate to foods might reduce birth defects, vascular disease, and heart disease—and the Americans favour fortifying bread with folate. But folate being involved in so many of life's fundamental processes not only leads to its possibilities as a panacea but also to the prospect that "messing around with folate" could do extensive harm. The folate used in food fortification is not a natural co-enzyme, and nobody knows the long term effects of exposing whole populations to the unnatural folate.

There is thus great potential for good, some possibility of harm, and much uncertainty. The question of fortifying foods inevitably becomes highly political, and the politics of nutrition are just as complex as the science. Owen Dyer tells how the United States government—lobbied by food manufacturers—is trying to undermine a report by the World Health Organization on *Diet, Nutrition, and the Prevention of Chronic Disease* (p 185). My unadventurous prediction is that we will be hearing much more about the science, medicine, and politics of food. Hippocrates would be pleased.

Richard Smith editor (rsmith@bmj.com)

To receive Editor's choice by email each week subscribe via our website: bmi.com/cgi/customalert