

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Oncogene. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 October 11

Published in final edited form as:

Oncogene. 2011 January 6; 30(1): 77-86. doi:10.1038/onc.2010.404.

Identification of FUSE-binding protein 1 as a regulatory mRNAbinding protein that represses nucleophosmin translation

Mary E. Olanich^{1,2}, Britney L. Moss^{3,4}, David Piwnica-Worms^{3,4}, R. Reid Townsend⁵, and Jason D. Weber^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Molecular Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

²Department of Cell Biology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

³Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

⁴Molecular Imaging Center, Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

⁵ Division of Metabolism and Proteomics Center, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

Abstract

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is a multifunctional oncoprotein whose protein expression levels dictate cellular growth and proliferation rates. NPM is translationally responsive to hyperactive mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signals, but the mechanism of this regulation is not understood. Using chimeric translational reporters, we found that the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the NPM mRNA is sufficient to mediate its translational modulation by mTOR signalling. We show that far upstream element (FUSE)-binding protein 1 (FBP1) interacts specifically with the 3' UTR of NPM to repress translation. Overexpression of FBP1 resulted in translational repression of NPM mRNAs, while depletion of FBP1 caused a dramatic increase in NPM translation and resulted in enhanced overall cell proliferation. Thus, we propose that FBP1 is a key regulator of cell growth and proliferation through its ability to selectively bind the NPM 3' UTR and repress NPM translation.

Keywords

FBP1; Nucleophosmin; Ribosome Biogenesis; Translation

Introduction

Translational regulation functions as a critical mode by which cells direct protein expression. Translational control of select mRNAs is often mediated by regulatory proteins that interact with sequence elements within the 5' and/or 3' UTRs of transcripts (Gebauer and Hentze, 2004). Compared to regulatory protein-RNA interactions in the 3' UTR, however, those in the 5' UTR are relatively rare (Jackson *et al.*, 2010). Consistent with this

^{*}Please address correspondence to: Dr. Jason D. Weber, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Molecular Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, Campus 8069, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA, jweber@dom.wustl.edu, Telephone: 314-747-3896, Fax: 314-747-2797.

notion, numerous studies have demonstrated important regulatory protein-mRNA interactions in the 3' UTRs of various transcripts (Brennan and Steitz, 2001; de Moor *et al.*, 2005; Galban *et al.*, 2008; Hamilton *et al.*, 2008; Irwin *et al.*, 1997; Mazan-Mamczarz *et al.*, 2003; Wickens *et al.*, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2002). Thus, it is clear that UTRs, and particularly the 3' UTR, are essential regulators of the protein expression machinery.

Nucleophosmin (NPM/B23) is a nucleolar oncoprotein involved in a myriad of central cellular processes, including ribosome biogenesis (Okuwaki *et al.*, 2002), protein chaperoning (Okuwaki *et al.*, 2001), centrosome duplication (Okuda *et al.*, 2000), transcriptional regulation (Colombo *et al.*, 2002), and cellular growth and proliferation (Brady *et al.*, 2004; Grisendi *et al.*, 2005; Grisendi *et al.*, 2006). NPM plays a crucial role in modulating the rate of 40S and 60S ribosomal subunit export from the nucleolus/nucleus to the cytoplasm, thereby functioning as a chaperone for the ribosome (Maggi *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2006). Through this mechanism, NPM is able to enhance protein synthesis and promote cellular growth (Maggi *et al.*, 2008). NPM is overexpressed in several neoplasms, such as ovarian, colon, prostate, bladder, and gastric cancers (Qi *et al.*, 2008; Sandsmark *et al.*, 2007). As a potent oncoprotein, it is important to understand the regulation of NPM expression.

Previous data demonstrated that NPM protein expression is induced by hyperactive mTOR signalling caused by either overexpression of constitutively active H-Ras or loss of *Tsc1* (Pelletier *et al.*, 2007). Induction of NPM protein expression is clearly mTOR-dependent, as NPM induction was abrogated upon treatment with rapamycin, a selective inhibitor of mTOR (Wullschleger *et al.*, 2006), or overexpression of TSC1 (Pelletier *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly, NPM mRNA expression is insensitive to rapamycin, suggesting that NPM is regulated primarily at the level of translation.

Here, we establish that NPM expression is controlled translationally and that the NPM 3' UTR alone is sufficient to impart endogenous NPM-like translational modulation onto a *luciferase* reporter open reading frame (ORF). Additionally, we identify FBP1 as a novel NPM 3' UTR mRNA-binding protein that represses translation of the NPM transcript. Through modulation of NPM, FBP1 plays an important role in the regulation of cell growth and proliferation.

Results

Inhibition of mTOR induces NPM mRNA exclusion from actively translating ribosomes

Signals emanating from hyperactivated mTOR signalling stimulate the translation of NPM, resulting in increased NPM protein expression in the absence of significant changes in NPM mRNA levels (Pelletier *et al.*, 2007). To further examine the apparent translational control of NPM, $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), which display activated mTOR (Tee *et al.*, 2002), were treated with rapamycin. NPM protein induction was attenuated upon rapamycin treatment (Figure 1a), even in the presence of slightly elevated levels of NPM transcripts (Supplementary Figure 1a), indicating that the rapamycin-induced reduction in NPM protein expression is not due to reduced cellular NPM mRNAs.

We hypothesized that rapamycin treatment might result in the exclusion of NPM mRNAs from actively translating polyribosomes, or polysomes. To test this, cytosolic ribosomes were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation from equal numbers of $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs treated with vehicle or rapamycin. Ribosomal subunits were detected by continuous measurement of RNA absorbance (A_{254nm}). Treatment with rapamycin dramatically reduced the overall formation of polysomes actively engaged in mRNA translation (Figure 1b). To evaluate the distribution of NPM transcripts in monosomes/disomes and polysomes, NPM

mRNA levels in sucrose gradient fractions were measured by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Strikingly, despite a modest increase in the total cellular pool of NPM mRNAs in rapamycin-treated cells compared to vehicle-treated cells (Supplementary Figure 1a), the percentage of NPM transcripts associated with actively translating polysomes was dramatically diminished upon rapamycin treatment (Figure 1c). Accumulation of NPM mRNAs was apparent in monosomes/disomes, particularly 80S fractions, in cells treated with rapamycin (Figure 1c), which is consistent with previous studies (Jefferies et al., 1997). To test that our findings for NPM were specific for an mRNA that is translationally responsive to mTOR signals, we treated cells with rapamycin and analyzed GAPDH mRNAs. Rapamycin had no effect on the distribution of GAPDH mRNAs in monosome/ disome or polysome fractions (Figure 1d), consistent with previous findings (Terada et al., 1994). Importantly, unchanged GAPDH transcript distribution upon rapamycin treatment suggests that inhibition of mTOR did not globally affect all cellular mRNA translation, which is in accordance with previous reports (Mendez et al., 1996). Taken together, these data indicate that NPM expression is responsive to hyperactive mTOR signalling at the level of translation.

The NPM 3' UTR is sufficient to confer NPM-like translational regulation properties to a *luciferase* open reading frame

Recognition and binding of elements within the 5' and 3' UTRs of mRNAs by regulatory proteins is a common mechanism underlying selective mRNA translational control (Gebauer and Hentze, 2004). Indeed, previous reports have indicated that various mRNAs are subject to such regulation (Irwin *et al.*, 1997; Jiang *et al.*, 2006; Pontrelli *et al.*, 2004; Sidiropoulos *et al.*, 2007; Takagi *et al.*, 2005; Zhang *et al.*, 2008). To determine whether a comparable mechanism may be responsible for the translational regulation of NPM, we first identified the 5' UTR sequence of the NPM transcript by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) (Supplementary Figure 2a; GenBank accession number GU214027). Like the human NPM 5' UTR (Meyuhas, 2000), RACE revealed that the murine NPM 5' UTR contains a canonical terminal oligopyrimidine tract (TOP) also contained in the 5' UTRs of transcripts encoding ribosomal proteins, elongation factors, and other components of the translational machinery (Proud, 2007; Proud, 2009). For use as a control, we utilized RACE to determine the sequence of the GAPDH 5' UTR (GenBank accession number GU214026). We attained the complete NPM and GAPDH 3' UTR sequences from GenBank (accession numbers BC054755.1 and NM_08084.2, respectively) (Supplementary Figure 2a).

To investigate whether the NPM 5' and 3' UTRs may be important for regulation of the NPM mRNA, we sought to evaluate whether the NPM 5' and 3' UTRs were sufficient to modulate translation of another ORF in a manner equivalent to translational regulation of the NPM ORF. Specifically, we wanted to determine whether fusion of the NPM 5' and 3' UTRs to a firefly *luciferase* (Fluc) ORF rendered Fluc expression sensitive to rapamycin. To test this, $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were transduced with plasmids encoding NPM 5' and 3' UTR-flanked Fluc(NPM 5' -luc-NPM 3'; Supplementary Figure 2b). Although NPM 5'-luc-NPM 3' protein activity increased over the duration of serum stimulation, this induction was greatly attenuated in the presence of rapamycin compared to vehicle (Figure 2a). As demonstrated with endogenous NPM (Pelletier et al., 2007) (Figure 1a; Supplementary Figure 1a), these data indicate that NPM 5'-luc-NPM 3' activity is driven by changes in translation rather than transcription. To examine whether the rapamycin-induced reduction of NPM 5'-luc-NPM 3' activity was specific for an mTOR-regulated mRNA, Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-} MEFs were transduced with plasmids encoding GAPDH 5' and 3' UTR-flanked Fluc (GAPDH 5'-luc-GAPDH 3'; Supplementary Figure 2b). Notably, rapamycin failed to affect GAPDH 5'-luc-GAPDH 3' activity at any time point evaluated (Figure 2b).

To examine the independent roles of each NPM UTR as potential targets of regulation, we generated chimeric reporters by fusing the NPM 5' UTR and the GAPDH 3' UTR or the GAPDH 5' UTR and the NPM 3' UTR to the respective ends of the *Fluc* ORF (Supplementary Figure 2b). Surprisingly, NPM 5'-luc-GAPDH 3' activity resembled GAPDH 5'-luc-GAPDH 3' activity, with rapamycin having no effect at any time point measured (Figure 2c). GAPDH 5'-luc-NPM 3' activity, however, demonstrated rapamycin sensitivity similar to that observed with NPM 5'-luc-NPM 3' activity (Figure 2d). Collectively, these data suggest that sequences within the NPM 3' UTR, but not in the NPM 5' UTR, mediate regulation of NPM mRNA translation, as the NPM 3' UTR alone was sufficient to render the *Fluc* ORF rapamycin-sensitive. Given that rapamycin sensitivity of 5' TOP mRNAs ranges from resistance to marked repression (Patursky-Polischuk *et al.*, 2009), these data are in accordance with the poorly understood role of the 5' TOP. Our findings are consistent with reports highlighting the paucity of regulatory protein-RNA interactions in the 5' UTR, but the abundance of examples for 3' UTR-protein regulation (Jackson *et al.*, 2010).

FUSE-binding protein 1 (FBP1) interacts specifically with the NPM 3' UTR

Although reporter assay data (Figure 2a–d) indicated that only the NPM 3' UTR is important for modulation of the NPM mRNA, we undertook an unbiased approach to screen for putative regulatory binding proteins of the NPM 5' and 3' UTRs. We utilized an RNA pull-down assay coupled to mass spectrometry to identify proteins that bind the NPM 5' or 3' UTR. Whole cell lysates prepared from $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs treated with vehicle or rapamycin were incubated with biotinylated NPM 5' UTR or 3' UTR RNA. Several proteins were found to preferentially interact with the NPM 3' UTR, but none appeared to bind exclusively to the NPM 5' UTR, consistent with reporter assay findings (Figure 3a, arrows). We next employed mass spectrometry to identify putative NPM 3' UTR binding proteins and confirmed their identities as FBP1, FBP2 (also known as KHSRP or KSRP), and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A/B (Figure 3a; Supplementary Figure 3). Since the A/B subfamily of hnRNPs refers to several promiscuous, multifunctional RNA binding proteins (He and Smith, 2009), subsequent experiments focused on evaluating the roles of FBPs in NPM translational regulation.

The FBP family is most noted for its transcriptional activation of c-myc (Duncan et al., 1994; He et al., 2000); however, the FBPs have also been reported to bind several RNAs, though in *in-vitro* studies only (Chung et al., 2006). To evaluate FBP binding specificity, we incubated biotinylated GAPDH 5' UTR, GAPDH 3' UTR, NPM 5' UTR, or NPM 3' UTR RNA with whole cell lysates from $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs treated with vehicle or rapamycin. FBP1 and FBP2 were visualized by Western blot analysis of UTR-precipitated samples (Figure 3b). Although FBP3 was not identified by mass spectrometry (Figure 3a; Supplementary Figure 3), we also analyzed it by immunoblot assay, as it is a member of the highly related FBP protein family. Consistent with analyses from mass spectrometry, however, FBP3 was undetectable. FBP1 was precipitated exclusively by the NPM 3' UTR (Figure 3b). FBP2 was precipitated predominantly by the NPM 3' UTR, but also by the GAPDH 3' UTR and the NPM 5' UTR in vehicle-treated cells (Figure 3b). The more promiscuous RNA binding by FBP2 is in agreement with previous reports implicating FBP2 in RNA editing, RNA trafficking, RNA stabilization, and RNA decay (Briata et al., 2005; Briata et al., 2003; Gherzi et al., 2004; Kroll et al., 2002; Li et al., 2009; Min et al., 1997; Snee et al., 2002). However, given that the interaction of FBP1 with the NPM 3' UTR appeared to be specific, especially in the presence of rapamycin, we focused on the role of FBP1 in modulating NPM translation.

We next sought to verify the interaction of FBP1 with endogenous NPM mRNAs. FBP1 was immunoprecipitated from whole cell extracts prepared from $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs treated

with vehicle or rapamycin (Figure 3c, top). Total RNA was isolated from FBP1 immunoprecipitates, and bound NPM mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR. Significantly higher numbers of NPM transcripts were associated with FBP1 in rapamycin-treated cells versus vehicle-treated cells (Figure 3c, bottom).

FBP1 overexpression represses NPM translation

To explore the functional role of FBP1 in NPM translational regulation, we evaluated the effects of FBP1 overexpression. Ectopic expression of Flag epitope-FBP1 in Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-} MEFs drastically reduced NPM protein levels (Figure 4a). Consistent with FBP1 functioning as a translational regulator of NPM expression, NPM mRNA levels remained unchanged upon FBP1 overexpression (Supplementary Figure 1b). We questioned whether FBP1 overexpression could mimic inhibition of mTOR by reducing polysome-associated NPM transcripts. To test this, cytosolic ribosomes were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation from equal numbers of $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs transduced with vector or Flagtagged FBP1. Unlike rapamycin treatment, FBP1 overexpression failed to dramatically diminish the overall formation of polysomes (Figure 4b). Distribution of NPM transcripts in monosome/disome and polysome fractions, however, was analogous to the NPM mRNA distribution observed upon inhibition of mTOR (Figure 4c; Figure 1c). In cells overexpressing FBP1, exclusion of NPM mRNAs from polysomes and accumulation in 80S fractions was visible although less pronounced compared to the shift of NPM transcripts from polysomes to monosomes/disomes measured in rapamycin-treated cells (Figure 4c; Figure 1c). These findings demonstrate that FBP1 represses NPM translation.

Depletion of FBP1 enhances NPM translation and cell proliferation

To further investigate the functional role of FBP1 as a translational regulator of NPM expression, we transduced $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs with control siRNA or two different siRNAs targeting murine FBP1. The presence of FBP1-siRNA #2 caused a marked reduction in FBP1 protein, whereas FBP1-siRNA #3 yielded a more modest decrease (Figure 5a). Increases in NPM protein expression correlated with the amount of FBP1 reduction, as siRNA #2 resulted in higher NPM induction than that observed with siRNA #3 (Figure 5a). Again, consistent with NPM protein expression being regulated independent of transcription, NPM mRNA levels remained constant in the presence of either siRNA targeting FBP1 (Supplementary Figure 1c).

We next wanted to determine whether depletion of FBP1 leads to enhanced polysomeassociated NPM transcripts. We isolated cytosolic ribosomes by sucrose gradient centrifugation from equal numbers of $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs transduced with control siRNA or two siRNAs targeting FBP1. Depletion of FBP1 resulted in elevated formation of polysomes actively engaged in mRNA translation (Figure 5b). As observed with NPM protein induction (Figure 5a), polysome enhancement corresponded with the degree of FBP1 reduction (Figure 5b). Furthermore, also relative to the amount of FBP1 depletion, NPM transcripts associated with actively translating polysomes were dramatically elevated in the presence of siRNAs targeting FBP1 compared to control siRNA (Figure 5c).

Based on the striking enhancement of ribosome recruitment to NPM mRNAs observed upon FBP1 depletion and previous reports demonstrating the ability of NPM to potently promote proliferation (Brady *et al.*, 2009; Maggi *et al.*, 2008), we sought to explore the effects of FBP1-mediated NPM induction on cellular proliferation. In cells depleted of FBP1, we observed increased proliferation rates relative to control siRNA-transfected cells (Figure 5d). Again, enhancement of proliferation correlated with the amount of FBP1 reduction. Taken together, these findings indicate that FBP1 is necessary to restrain NPM translation and, thereby, cell proliferation.

Discussion

Here we have demonstrated that NPM expression is regulated at the level of translation and that the 3' UTR of the NPM mRNA is sufficient to confer rapamycin sensitivity to a reporter ORF. Further analyses identified FBP1 as a protein that selectively interacts with the NPM 3' UTR. FBP1 overexpression and knockdown data are consistent with FBP1 functioning to negatively regulate translation of NPM mRNAs.

Though we have demonstrated that NPM mRNAs are largely excluded from polysomes by FBP1, further studies will be needed to provide insight into the precise mechanism by which FBP1 binding to the NPM 3' UTR negatively regulates NPM translation. It appears that FBP1 represses NPM translation by interfering with translation initiation, as the shift in NPM mRNA distribution from polysomes to monosomes/disomes is indicative of a reduced translation initiation rate (Meyuhas, 2000).

Collectively, our data suggest that FBP1 acts as a suppressor of proliferation through its direct repression of NPM translation. This is consistent with the requirement of NPM expression for continued cellular proliferation and growth both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Brady *et al.*, 2009; Grisendi *et al.*, 2005; Maggi *et al.*, 2008). By targeting NPM, FBP1 appears to at least mimic the functional activity of the ARF tumor suppressor. Numerous studies have shown that ARF binds directly to NPM to inhibit its activity and prevent cell growth (Bertwistle *et al.*, 2004; Brady *et al.*, 2004; Itahana *et al.*, 2003). Through its ability to repress NPM translation, FBP1 also antagonizes NPM to suppress cell growth and proliferation. Of particular interest is the question of whether FBP1, like ARF, utilizes its anti-NPM function to serve as a tumor suppressor.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfection

 $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were maintained in DMEM supplemented as described previously (Pelletier *et al.*, 2007). For bioluminescence assays, transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000transfection reagent (Invitrogen). All other transfections were carried out using the Nucleofector system (Amaxa) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

5' RACE and 3' UTR sequences

Sequences of the GAPDH and NPM 5' UTRs were obtained by 5' RACE using GeneRacer (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the GAPDH 5' UTR and the NPM 5' UTR, the forward GeneRacer 5' nested primer (5'-

GGACACTGACATGGACTGAAGGAGTA-3') was used. For the GAPDH 5' UTR, we used the following gene-specific reverse primer: 5'-

GCATTGCTGACAATCTTGAGTGAGTTG-3'. The following gene-specific reverse primer was used for the NPM 5' UTR: 5'-CATGTCCATATCCATCGAGTCTTCCAT-3'. Sequences of the GAPDH and NPM 3' UTRs were obtained from GenBank (accession numbers NM_008084.2 and BC054755.1, respectively).

Plasmids

GAGGTGGAGGCGCGCACTT-3'; NPM 3' UTR: forward, 5'-

Western blot analyses

Tsc1^{-/-}*p53*^{-/-} MEFs were lysed by sonication in EBC buffer as previously described (Maggi *et al.*, 2008). The following antibodies were used at the dilutions indicated: anti-NPM (Santa Cruz, sc6013; 1:1000), anti- γ -tubulin (Santa Cruz, sc17787; 1:500), anti-FBP1 (Abcam, ab28732; 1:1600; Western blot only), anti-FBP1 (Santa Cruz, sc11101; 1:500; immunoprecipitation only), anti-FBP2 (Abnova, H00008570-A01; 1:2000), anti-FBP3 (Santa Cruz, sc11103; 1:500), and anti-Flag M2 (Sigma, F1804; 1:1000). ImageScanner III (GE) was used to scan autoradiograms, and densities were measured with ImageQuant V. 2005 (GE).

Immunoprecipitations

 $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with vehicle or rapamycin for 48 h and lysed by sonication in EBC buffer as described above. Whole cell lysates (500 µg) were pre-cleared with 50 µl of protein A/G PLUS-agarose (Santa Cruz, sc2003) for 1 h at 4°C with rotation. Pre-cleared lysates were then subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-FBP1 antibody (Santa Cruz, sc11101) or non-immune goat serum(Santa Cruz, 2028).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs with RNA-Solv (Omega Bio-tek). Reverse transcription reactions were performed using the SuperScript III first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen) with oligo d(T) primer according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCR was performed on an iCycler apparatus (Bio-Rad). To amplify NPM and GAPDH mRNAs from monosome/disome and polysome fractions, we used SsoFast EvaGreen supermix (Bio-Rad) and the following primers: NPM: forward, 5'-GGAAGACTCGATGGATATGG-3'; reverse, 5'-CTTCAACCGTAAGACCACAGG-3'; GAPDH: forward, 5'-GCTGGGGGCTCACCTGAAGGG-3'; reverse, 5'-GGATGACCTTGCCCACAGCC-3'. To measure NPM mRNA in immunoprecipitates, the primers used are described above. Numbers of NPM transcripts per cell were calculated by extrapolation from a standard curve generated from serial dilutions of a known quantity of subcloned NPM cDNA. To amplify NPM mRNA or firefly luciferase mRNA not isolated from ribosome fractions or immunoprecipitates, iQ Sybr green supermix (Bio-Rad) was used. Histone 3.3 mRNA was amplified as an expression control. For NPM, the primers used are described above. The following other primers were used: firefly luciferase: forward, 5'-CCCTGGTTCCTGGAACAATT-3'; reverse, 5'-GCAACCCCTTTTTGGAAACG-3'; histone 3.3: forward, 5'-

CGTGAAATCAGACGCTATCAGAA-3'; reverse, 5'-TCGCACCAGACGCTGAAAG-3'.

Bioluminescence imaging

Phenol red-free DMEM was supplemented with 10% FBS and with D-luciferin (150 μ g/ml; Biosynth) or coelenterazine (1 μ g/ml; Biotium). Assay plates were imaged using an IVIS100 imaging system (Xenogen Caliper). Acquisition parameters were as follows: acquisition time, 60 s (firefly luciferase) or 300 s (*Renilla* luciferase); binning, 4; field of view, 10 cm;

f/stop, 1; filter, open. Photon flux data were analyzed with Living Image (Xenogen Caliper) and Igor (Wavemetrics) image analysis software platforms, and expressed as the ratio of Flucto Rluc as described (Gross and Piwnica-Worms, 2005).

Ribosome fractionation

Cells were treated with cycloheximide (10 μ g/ml) prior to harvesting and counting. Equal numbers of cells (3 × 10⁶) were lysed, and cytosolic extracts were subjected to ribosome fractionation as previously described (Strezoska *et al.*, 2000) using a density gradient system (Teledyne ISCO).

In-vitro transcription

DNA templates for *in-vitro* transcription were GAPDH 5' UTR, GAPDH 3' UTR, NPM 5'UTR, and NPM 3' UTR PCR products cloned into the pCR 2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). To increase proximity of the UTR sequence to the T7 promoter, we excised the EcoRV-ApaI fragment between the PCR product and T7 promoter. DNA was linearized by digestion with BstXI. We used the Megashortscript kit (Ambion) for transcription with 0.5 mM biotinylated UTP (Enzo Life Sciences) and 7.5 mM ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP.

RNA pull-down assay

For analysis by mass spectrometry, whole cell lysates (500 µg) prepared from $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs treated with vehicle or rapamycin were pre-cleared with 50 µl streptavidin sepharose (GE Healthcare). Pre-cleared lysates were then incubated with biotinylated GAPDH 5' or 3' UTR RNA or NPM 5' or 3' UTR RNA (20 µg) in binding buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 90 mM potassium acetate, 1.5 mM magnesium acetate, 40 mM KCl, 2.5 mM DTT, 0.05% NP40, protease inhibitor cocktail, and 0.5 mM PMSF). Protein and biotinylated RNA mixtures were recovered by incubation with 50 µl streptavidin sepharose. Eluted proteins were separated on 10% polyacrylamide SDS gels and stained with SYPRO-Ruby dye. To validate results from mass spectrometry, RNA pull-down assays were performed as described, but amounts of whole cell lysate and biotinylated UTR RNA were halved.

Nano-LC FT-MS analysis

Mass spectrometry was performed using the system previously described (King *et al.*, 2006). The survey scans (m/z= 350–2,000) were acquired using FTICR-MS with a resolution of 100,000 at m/z = 421.75 with a target value of 500,000. The ten most intense ions from survey scans were isolated in the ion trap and analyzed after reaching a target value of 10,000. The MS/MS isolation width was 2.5 Da and the normalized collision energy was 35% using wide band activation. The electrospray ionization was accomplished with a spray voltage of 2.2 kV without sheath gas. The ion transfer tube temperature was 200°C.

RNAi

The following HP GenomeWide (Qiagen) siRNA oligonucleotides were used: FBP1-2 (5'-CAGGAACGGGCTGGTGTTAAA-3') and FBP1-3 (5'-

ATGCTTTGTGATATAAATGTA-3'). As a control, si*CONTROL* RISC-free siRNA (Dharmacon, D-001220-01-05) was used. $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs (2 × 10⁶) were transfected with 0.2 nM of oligonucleotide using the Nucleofector system (Amaxa) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were assayed 48 h after transfection. For proliferation assays, cells (1 × 10⁴) were seeded in triplicate at 24 h post-transfection. Total cell numbers were counted daily for 5 days.

FBP1 overexpression

 $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs (2 × 10⁶) were transfected with pGL3 Control (Promega; 2 µg) or Flag-FBP1 (Origene; 2 µg) using the Nucleofector system (Amaxa) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were assayed 24 h post-transfection.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the members of the Weber, Townsend, and Piwnica-Worms laboratories for their advice and technical assistance. The *luciferase* reporter work was initiated through a Pilot Grant from the Washington University Imaging Center. M.E.O. was supported by NIH 5T32 GM007067. This research was supported with grants from the National Institutes of Health, P30 CA91842 to the Siteman Comprehensive Cancer Center and P41RR000954 and UL1 RR024992 from the National Center for Research Resources to the Proteomics Center. D.P.W. and B.L.M. were supported by NIH P50 CA94056. This work was supported by NIH grant CA128007 and an Era of Hope Scholar Award in Breast Cancer Research (BC007304) to J.D.W. This work was supported by NIH grant CA127008.

References

- Bertwistle D, Sugimoto M, Sherr CJ. Physical and functional interactions of the Arf tumor suppressor protein with nucleophosmin/B23. Mol Cell Biol. 2004; 24:985–96. [PubMed: 14729947]
- Brady SN, Maggi LB Jr, Winkeler CL, Toso EA, Gwinn AS, Pelletier CL, et al. Nucleophosmin protein expression level, but not threonine 198 phosphorylation, is essential in growth and proliferation. Oncogene. 2009; 28:3209–20. [PubMed: 19561638]
- Brady SN, Yu Y, Maggi LB Jr, Weber JD. ARF impedes NPM/B23 shuttling in an Mdm2-sensitive tumor suppressor pathway. Mol Cell Biol. 2004; 24:9327–38. [PubMed: 15485902]
- Brennan CM, Steitz JA. HuR and mRNA stability. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2001; 58 :266–77. [PubMed: 11289308]
- Briata P, Forcales SV, Ponassi M, Corte G, Chen CY, Karin M, et al. p38-dependent phosphorylation of the mRNA decay-promoting factor KSRP controls the stability of select myogenic transcripts. Molecular Cell. 2005; 20:891–903. [PubMed: 16364914]
- Briata P, Ilengo C, Corte G, Moroni C, Rosenfeld MG, Chen CY, et al. The Wnt/beta-catenin-->Pitx2 pathway controls the turnover of Pitx2 and other unstable mRNAs. Molecular Cell. 2003; 12:1201–11. [PubMed: 14636578]
- Chung HJ, Liu J, Dundr M, Nie Z, Sanford S, Levens D. FBPs are calibrated molecular tools to adjust gene expression. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26:6584–97. [PubMed: 16914741]
- Colombo E, Marine JC, Danovi D, Falini B, Pelicci PG. Nucleophosmin regulates the stability and transcriptional activity of p53. Nat Cell Biol. 2002; 4:529–33. [PubMed: 12080348]
- de Moor CH, Meijer H, Lissenden S. Mechanisms of translational control by the 3' UTR in development and differentiation. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2005; 16:49–58. [PubMed: 15659339]
- Duncan R, Bazar L, Michelotti G, Tomonaga T, Krutzsch H, Avigan M, et al. A sequence-specific, single-strand binding protein activates the far upstream element of c-myc and defines a new DNAbinding motif. Genes Dev. 1994; 8:465–80. [PubMed: 8125259]
- Galban S, Kuwano Y, Pullmann R Jr, Martindale JL, Kim HH, Lal A, et al. RNA-binding proteins HuR and PTB promote the translation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha. Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 28:93–107. [PubMed: 17967866]
- Gebauer F, Hentze MW. Molecular mechanisms of translational control. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2004; 5:827–35. [PubMed: 15459663]
- Gherzi R, Lee KY, Briata P, Wegmuller D, Moroni C, Karin M, et al. A KH domain RNA binding protein, KSRP, promotes ARE-directed mRNA turnover by recruiting the degradation machinery. Molecular Cell. 2004; 14:571–83. [PubMed: 15175153]

- Grisendi S, Bernardi R, Rossi M, Cheng K, Khandker L, Manova K, et al. Role of nucleophosmin in embryonic development and tumorigenesis. Nature. 2005; 437:147–53. [PubMed: 16007073]
- Grisendi S, Mecucci C, Falini B, Pandolfi PP. Nucleophosmin and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6:493–505. [PubMed: 16794633]
- Gross S, Piwnica-Worms D. Real-time imaging of ligand-induced IKK activation in intact cells and in living mice. Nat Methods. 2005; 2:607–14. [PubMed: 16094386]
- Hamilton BJ, Wang XW, Collins J, Bloch D, Bergeron A, Henry B, et al. Separate cis-trans pathways post-transcriptionally regulate murine CD154 (CD40 ligand) expression: a novel function for CA repeats in the 3'-untranslated region. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:25606–16. [PubMed: 18640985]
- He L, Liu J, Collins I, Sanford S, O'Connell B, Benham CJ, et al. Loss of FBP function arrests cellular proliferation and extinguishes c-myc expression. EMBO J. 2000; 19:1034–44. [PubMed: 10698944]
- He Y, Smith R. Nuclear functions of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A/B. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2009; 66:1239–56. [PubMed: 19099192]
- Irwin N, Baekelandt V, Goritchenko L, Benowitz LI. Identification of two proteins that bind to a pyrimidine-rich sequence in the 3'-untranslated region of GAP-43 mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:1281–8. [PubMed: 9092640]
- Itahana K, Bhat KP, Jin A, Itahana Y, Hawke D, Kobayashi R, et al. Tumor suppressor ARF degrades B23, a nucleolar protein involved in ribosome biogenesis and cell proliferation. Mol Cell. 2003; 12:1151–64. [PubMed: 14636574]
- Jackson RJ, Hellen CU, Pestova TV. The mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation and principles of its regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010; 11:113–27. [PubMed: 20094052]
- Jefferies HB, Fumagalli S, Dennis PB, Reinhard C, Pearson RB, Thomas G. Rapamycin suppresses 5'TOP mRNA translation through inhibition of p70s6k. EMBO J. 1997; 16:3693–704. [PubMed: 9218810]
- Jiang Y, Xu XS, Russell JE. A nucleolin-binding 3 ' untranslated region element stabilizes beta-globin mRNA in vivo. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2006; 26:2419–2429. [PubMed: 16508016]
- King JB, Gross J, Lovly CM, Rohrs H, Piwnica-Worms H, Townsend RR. Accurate mass-driven analysis for the characterization of protein phosphorylation. Study of the human Chk2 protein kinase. Anal Chem. 2006; 78:2171–81. [PubMed: 16579595]
- Kroll TT, Zhao WM, Jiang C, Huber PW. A homolog of FBP2/KSRP binds to localized mRNAs in Xenopus oocytes. Development. 2002; 129:5609–19. [PubMed: 12421702]
- Li H, Chen W, Zhou Y, Abidi P, Sharpe O, Robinson WH, et al. Identification of mRNA binding proteins that regulate the stability of LDL receptor mRNA through AU-rich elements. J Lipid Res. 2009; 50:820–31. [PubMed: 19141871]
- Maggi LB, Kuchenruether M, Dadey DYA, Schwope RM, Grisendi S, Townsend RR, et al. Nucleophosmin Serves as a Rate-Limiting Nuclear Export Chaperone for the Mammalian Ribosome. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2008; 28:7050–7065. [PubMed: 18809582]
- Mazan-Mamczarz K, Galban S, Lopez de Silanes I, Martindale JL, Atasoy U, Keene JD, et al. RNAbinding protein HuR enhances p53 translation in response to ultraviolet light irradiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:8354–9. [PubMed: 12821781]
- Mendez R, Myers MG Jr, White MF, Rhoads RE. Stimulation of protein synthesis, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E phosphorylation, and PHAS-I phosphorylation by insulin requires insulin receptor substrate 1 and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. Mol Cell Biol. 1996; 16:2857–64. [PubMed: 8649395]
- Meyuhas O. Synthesis of the translational apparatus is regulated at the translational level. Eur J Biochem. 2000; 267:6321–30. [PubMed: 11029573]
- Min H, Turck CW, Nikolic JM, Black DL. A new regulatory protein, KSRP, mediates exon inclusion through an intronic splicing enhancer. Genes Dev. 1997; 11:1023–36. [PubMed: 9136930]
- Okuda M, Horn HF, Tarapore P, Tokuyama Y, Smulian AG, Chan PK, et al. Nucleophosmin/B23 is a target of CDK2/cyclin E in centrosome duplication. Cell. 2000; 103:127–40. [PubMed: 11051553]
- Okuwaki M, Matsumoto K, Tsujimoto M, Nagata K. Function of nucleophosmin/B23, a nucleolar acidic protein, as a histone chaperone. FEBS Lett. 2001; 506:272–6. [PubMed: 11602260]

- Okuwaki M, Tsujimoto M, Nagata K. The RNA binding activity of a ribosome biogenesis factor, nucleophosmin/B23, is modulated by phosphorylation with a cell cycle-dependent kinase and by association with its subtype. Mol Biol Cell. 2002; 13:2016–30. [PubMed: 12058066]
- Patursky-Polischuk I, Stolovich-Rain M, Hausner-Hanochi M, Kasir J, Cybulski N, Avruch J, et al. The TSC-mTOR pathway mediates translational activation of TOP mRNAs by insulin largely in a raptor-or rictor-independent manner. Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 29:640–9. [PubMed: 19047368]
- Pelletier CL, Maggi LB, Brady SN, Scheidenhelm DK, Gutmann DH, Weber JD. TSC1 sets the rate of ribosome export and protein synthesis through nucleophosmin translation. Cancer Research. 2007; 67:1609–1617. [PubMed: 17308101]
- Pontrelli L, Sidiropoulos KG, Adeli K. Translational control of apolipoprotein B mRNA: regulation via cis elements in the 5' and 3' untranslated regions. Biochemistry. 2004; 43:6734–44. [PubMed: 15157107]
- Proud CG. Signalling to translation: how signal transduction pathways control the protein synthetic machinery. Biochemical Journal. 2007; 403:217–234. [PubMed: 17376031]
- Proud CG. mTORC1 signalling and mRNA translation. Biochemical Society Transactions. 2009; 37:227–231. [PubMed: 19143637]
- Qi W, Shakalya K, Stejskal A, Goldman A, Beeck S, Cooke L, et al. NSC348884, a nucleophosmin inhibitor disrupts oligomer formation and induces apoptosis in human cancer cells. Oncogene. 2008; 27:4210–20. [PubMed: 18345031]
- Sandsmark DK, Pelletier C, Weber JD, Gutmann DH. Mammalian target of rapamycin: master regulator of cell growth in the nervous system. Histol Histopathol. 2007; 22:895–903. [PubMed: 17503347]
- Sidiropoulos KG, Zastepa A, Adeli K. Translational control of apolipoprotein B mRNA via insulin and the protein kinase C signaling cascades: evidence for modulation of RNA-protein interactions at the 5'UTR. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2007; 459:10–9. [PubMed: 17288985]
- Snee M, Kidd GJ, Munro TP, Smith R. RNA trafficking and stabilization elements associate with multiple brain proteins. J Cell Sci. 2002; 115:4661–9. [PubMed: 12415010]
- Strezoska Z, Pestov DG, Lau LF. Bop1 is a mouse WD40 repeat nucleolar protein involved in 28S and 5. 8S RRNA processing and 60S ribosome biogenesis. Mol Cell Biol. 2000; 20:5516–28. [PubMed: 10891491]
- Takagi M, Absalon MJ, McLure KG, Kastan MB. Regulation of p53 translation and induction after DNA damage by ribosomal protein L26 and nucleolin. Cell. 2005; 123:49–63. [PubMed: 16213212]
- Tee AR, Fingar DC, Manning BD, Kwiatkowski DJ, Cantley LC, Blenis J. Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and-2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:13571–6. [PubMed: 12271141]
- Terada N, Patel HR, Takase K, Kohno K, Nairn AC, Gelfand EW. Rapamycin selectively inhibits translation of mRNAs encoding elongation factors and ribosomal proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994; 91:11477–81. [PubMed: 7972087]
- Wickens M, Bernstein DS, Kimble J, Parker R. A PUF family portrait: 3'UTR regulation as a way of life. Trends Genet. 2002; 18:150–7. [PubMed: 11858839]
- Wullschleger S, Loewith R, Hall MN. TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. Cell. 2006; 124:471– 84. [PubMed: 16469695]
- Yu Y, Maggi LB, Brady SN, Apicelli AJ, Dai MS, Lu H, et al. Nucleophosmin is essential for ribosomal protein L5 nuclear export. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2006; 26:3798–3809. [PubMed: 16648475]
- Zhang J, Tsaprailis G, Bowden GT. Nucleolin stabilizes Bcl-X L messenger RNA in response to UVA irradiation. Cancer Res. 2008; 68:1046–54. [PubMed: 18281479]
- Zhang T, Kruys V, Huez G, Gueydan C. AU-rich element-mediated translational control: complexity and multiple activities of trans-activating factors. Biochem Soc Trans. 2002; 30:952–8. [PubMed: 12440953]



Figure 1.

Translation of NPM mRNAs is abrogated upon inhibition of mTOR. $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with vehicle (-) or rapamycin (+). (a) Rapamycin treatment results in reduced NPM protein levels. (b) Polysome formation is decreased in cells treated with rapamycin. (c) NPM mRNAs are excluded from actively translating polysomes upon treatment with rapamycin. Monosome/disome- and polysome-associated NPM mRNAs were measured by qRT-PCR and were calculated as percentage of total NPM mRNAs. Data are mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. (d) Monosomal/disomal and polysomal distributions of GAPDH mRNAs are unaffected by rapamycin. GAPDH mRNAs measured by qRT-PCR from RNA extracted from sucrose gradient fractions are shown as percentage of total GAPDH mRNAs. Values are mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments.



Figure 2.

The NPM 3' UTR is sufficient to confer NPM-like translational control to a *luciferase* ORF. (**a**–**d**) $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were transfected with plasmids depicted in Supplementary Figure 2b. Cells were serum starved and then incubated with 10% serum in the presence or absence of rapamycin for the indicated durations. Plasmid expressing CMV-driven *Renilla* luciferase (Rluc) was used as an internal control for transfection efficiency. Photon flux was calculated by normalizing firefly *luciferase* (Fluc) activity to Rluc activity. Levels of Fluc mRNA at each time point were measured by qRT-PCR from total RNA isolated from transfected MEFs. Shown is photon flux normalized to Fluc mRNA levels. Data are mean ± s.d. of quadruplicate samples per condition from three independent experiments (* *P* < 0.05, *** *P* < 0.005, Student's *t*-test). (**a**) Rapamycin reduces NPM 5' UTR-Fluc-NPM 3' UTR activity. (**b**) Activity of GAPDH 5' UTR-Fluc-GAPDH 3' UTR is unchanged upon treatment with rapamycin. (**c**) Rapamycin has no effect on NPM 5' UTR-Fluc-GAPDH 3' UTR activity. (**d**) Activity of GAPDH 5' UTR-Fluc-NPM3' UTR is abrogated upon rapamycin treatment.



Figure 3.

FUSE-binding protein 1 (FBP1) interacts specifically with the NPM 3' UTR. (a) Identification of NPM 3' UTR binding proteins. Lanes indicated as RNA (–) represent samples pre-cleared with streptavidin sepharose. Arrows indicate proteins selected as putative regulatory binding proteins of the NPM 3' UTR, and identified proteins are shown. (b) FBP1 specifically interacts with the NPM 3' UTR. (c) Endogenous NPM mRNAs preferentially bind FBP1 in rapamycin-treated cells. FBP1 was immunoprecipitated from vehicle-treated (–) or rapamycin-treated (+) $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEF lysates with anti-FBP1 antibody. Non-immune goat serum (IgG) was used as a control. NPM mRNA from

immunoprecipitates was measured by qRT-PCR. Data are mean \pm s.d. of triplicate samples from three independent experiments (* P < 0.05, Student's *t*-test).



Figure 4.

FBP1 overexpression represses NPM translation. (a) Overexpression of FBP1 results in reduced NPM protein levels. (b) Overexpression of FBP1 fails to affect polysome formation. (c) NPM mRNAs are excluded from actively translating polysomes upon FBP1 overexpression. Monosome/disome- and polysome-associated NPM mRNAs were measured by qRT-PCR and were calculated as percentage of total NPM mRNAs. Data are mean \pm s.d. of t riplicate samples from three independent experiments.



Figure 5.

FBP1 depletion enhances NPM translation. (a) FBP1 knockdown increases levels of NPM protein. $Tsc1^{-/-}p53^{-/-}$ MEFs were transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or two different siRNAs targeting FB1. (b) Knockdown of FBP1 results in increased polysome formation. (c) NPM mRNAs are recruited to actively translating polysomes upon FBP1 depletion. Monosomal/disomal and polysomal NPM mRNAs were measured by qRT-PCR and were calculated as percentage of total NPM mRNAs. Data are mean \pm s.d. of triplicate samples from three independent experiments. (d) Knockdown of FBP1 leads to enhanced cell proliferation.