Are mouse models of human mycobacterial diseases relevant? Genetics says: 'yes!'

Alexander S. Apt Central Institute for Tuberculosis, Moscow, Russia

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2011.03472.x Received 1 May 2011; revised 20 May 2011; accepted 16 June 2011. Correspondence: A. S. Apt, Professor and Head, Laboratory for Immunogenetics, Central Institute for Tuberculosis, Yauza alley, 2, Moscow 107564, Russia. Email: asapt@aha.ru Senior author: Alexander S. Apt

Immunology welcome responses to this commentary.

Summary

Relevance and accuracy of experimental mouse models of tuberculosis (TB) are the subject of constant debate. This article briefly reviews genetic aspects of this problem and provides a few examples of mycobacterial diseases with similar or identical genetic control in mice and humans. The two species display more similarities than differences regarding both genetics of susceptibility/severity of mycobacterial diseases and the networks of protective and pathological immune reactions. In the opinion of the author, refined mouse models of mycobacterial diseases are extremely useful for modelling the corresponding human conditions, if genetic diversity is taken into account.

Keywords: genetic homology; humans; immunity; mice; mycobacteria

All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others.

George Orwell, Animal Farm

Introduction

Much of what we know about the pathogenesis of and immune response to mycobacteria we have first learned in the rationally established, fine-tuned experimental models in inbred laboratory mice. Nevertheless, disputes about the relevance of experimental mouse models of tuberculosis (TB) are commonplace. $1-3$ Considering the differences between Mus musculus and Homo sapiens in body size, lifespan and population structure, along with the endless list of species-specific physiological peculiarities, intuitive doubts in the validity of mouse models are quite accountable. As always in biology, the unifying link is provided by genetics: mouse and man have \sim 85% genomic similarity, and many genes involved in the disease control are shared by the two species.

Contrary to a commonly expressed judgement that pathogenic features of TB infection substantially differ between mice and humans, $1-5$ the spectrum of lung pathology caused by TB infection is similar in the two species (although not identical, e.g. the mouse lifespan does not provide enough time for calcification of fibrotic zones and formation of true cavities), if one takes into account

genetic diversity. In recently published reviews compelling supportive evidence has been provided, $6,7$ and it was stated that 'there clearly are major differences between experimental infection of mice and natural infection of humans, but this overarching dogma (mouse is not a good model for $TB - AA$) is non-conducive to fruitful research'.⁷ To specifically advocate those mouse models of human mycobacterial diseases in which the genetic aspect is seriously taken into account, in this review I will provide examples of pathological conditions that display clear phenotypic parallels in mice and humans and are controlled by the orthologous genes. These findings were largely based upon comparisons between rare Mendelian disorders in humans and knockout mutations in mice, i.e. combination of segregation analysis, genetic mapping and the 'reverse genetics' approach. I will discuss also the irrefutable value of the 'forward genetic approach' (from phenotype to gene) for identification of complex patterns of genetic interactions between quantitative trait loci (QTL) in the control of disease spectra.

Straightforward cases for interspecies comparisons: genetic knock outs and monogenic disorders with Mendelian inheritance

Similar diseases of mice and humans caused by single, highly penetrating, Mendelian-inherited mutations affect-

ing immune functions have been known for decades. The X-linked immunodeficiency mutation, first mapped and phenotypically characterized in mice in $1970s⁸$ followed by mapping and identification of mutations in the btk gene in mice and humans, $9-11$ as well as phenotypic similarities between the Di George syndrome in humans and the *nude* mutation in mice,¹² provide good examples. However, only the introduction of principle genetic knockout techniques, based upon achievements in pluripotent embryonic stem cell culture systems and reciprocal genetic recombination in mammals (reviewed in refs 13,14), into common laboratory practice made it possible to systematically address the role of particular genes in the manifestation of disease phenotypes. How productive is this interspecies comparative approach in the field of mycobacterial diseases is exemplified below.

Humans and mice are similar in the main features of their innate and adaptive immune responses to mycobacteria, including the protective role of $CD4^+$ T cells, interferon- γ (IFN- γ) and tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α).¹⁵ Early phenotypic observations, which established these key features of anti-TB response in mice with non-manipulated genetics, $16-18$ were supported by seminal studies in the 1990s performed in mice with genetically disrupted genes encoding IFN- γ , TNF- α , interleukin-12 (IL-12), their receptors and inducible nitric oxide synthase (reviewed in ref. 19). Overall, the data clearly demonstrated that genetic interference with the type 1 cytokine response profoundly exacerbates the course of TB in the murine host.

In parallel, several studies reported cases of severe clinical diseases caused by both bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) vaccine^{20–22} and low-virulence environmental mycobacteria23,24 in children with inherited errors in immunity (reviewed in detail in ref. 25). Based upon segregation in kindreds, a corresponding syndrome was designated Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial diseases. The candidate gene approaches, combined with the phenotyping of family members, provided clear evidence that the genetic reason for an exceptionally high susceptibility to mycobacteria in otherwise healthy children was loss-of-function mutations in a few key genes involved in the type 1 response pathway, e.g. genes encoding IFN- γ receptors, IL-12 receptors, Stat-1 and some others. $24-27$ Mendelian predisposition to mycobacteria as the result of mutations in the IL-12–IFN- γ pathway was not limited to low-virulence species because several cases of flourishing TB caused by classical Mycobacterium tuberculosis in children with defective alleles in corresponding genes were reported.^{28,29}

A large international research team has reported on familial cases of Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial diseases, including TB, caused by non-sense mutations in the X-chromosome CYBB human gene encoding the gp91^{phox} NADPH oxidase, the enzyme involved in respiratory burst in phagocytes. Interestingly, these mutations selectively impaired respiratory burst within macrophages, i.e. the key effector cells in anti-mycobacterial defence.³⁰ In the context of this review, it is important that this human genetic disorder resembles the phenotype of mice with knock outs of the homologous Cybb or $gp47^{phox}$ NADPH oxidase subunit genes. $31,32$ The fact that the expression of newly discovered human mutations is limited to mature macrophages and does not affect monocytes and neutrophils 30 is a challenge for establishing adequate, extremely restricted conditional knockout mutations in mice, a tool that is requisite for the further molecular analyses.

Paramount reactions of protective immunity to mycobacteria in mice and humans depend upon identical genes, the rude disruption of which by experimental manipulations in mice or natural 'knockout experiments' in humans leads to strong defects resulting in severe mycobacterial infections. Moreover, in many aspects the mouse appears to be an adequate tool with which to experimentally study human TB, and so is a valuable model.

Complex cases for interspecies comparisons: allelic variants and polygenic control of infections

Although murine experimental systems based upon genetic disruption of genes encoding central elements of host defence have proved to be extremely useful analytical tools, they possess at least one major intrinsic disadvantage for modelling the spectrum of genetic and immune variability existing in the general population. Gene targeting, which results in the complete abrogation of any key function of the immune system, leads to a defect in protection against infection which is extreme. Given that such defects are normally rapidly eliminated from a population by natural selection, they could hardly account for the much more common modestly susceptible phenotypes.

Genetic and immunological mechanisms underlying the expression of the latter could be more rationally studied by the traditional means of segregation genetic analysis and forward genetic approach. However, both in mice and humans, quantitative differences between strains and individuals in, for example, the level of a cytokine production or the degree of cell activation, are under polygenic control. Therefore, the interpretation of results obtained by studying genetically non-manipulated mouse strains and general human populations is usually far more ambiguous than of those obtained in experiments with gene-targeted animals and Mendelian human disorders. By definition, this should impede building direct mouse–human parallels for quantitative traits; however, it is enough to mention that 'forward genetics' allowed the discovery of key pathways of immune response, including the Toll-like receptor family³³ and

several others, 34 to demonstrate the utmost importance of this approach. Some useful insights into genetic control and pathogenesis of important human diseases provided by quantitative genetic studies in the two species are discussed below.

Slc11a1 (Nramp1) in mice and humans

The famous Nramp1 gene (former Bcg, presently Slc11a1), the first one shown to be involved in the control of genetic susceptibility to several intracellular bacteria, was mapped about 30 years ago and identified by positional cloning about 20 years ago.^{35,36} Its phenotypic expression, as well as the molecular and functional characteristics of the corresponding protein, which functions as an efflux pump for divalent cations at the membrane of phagosome, 37 were recently reviewed, 25 so these data will not be included here. In the context of this review, relevance of the disease phenotypes observed in mice differing in Slc11a1 alleles to those observed in humans with SLC11A1 diversity are of interest.

Combining the phenotypic variants determined by the Slc11a1 alleles and genetic approaches used for their identification, one can nicely bridge two main types of genetic analysis discussed above, that is, reverse and forward genetics. On the one hand, distribution of a single functional versus non-functional (G169D substitution) allelic variant of this gene in a large panel of inbred mouse strains, respectively, resistant or susceptible to low-virulence and mildly virulent intracellular parasites,³⁸ as well as a Mendelian dominant inheritance of the functional r (resistance) allele, closely mimics the monogenic disorders described above. On the other hand, the relatively weak influence on susceptibility to highly virulent mycobacteria, the generally intact immune system of the s (susceptibility) allele carriers, and the need for development of Nramp1-congenic mouse strains carrying a very short Nramp1-containing interval of the chromosome 1 for positional cloning³⁶ all resemble QTL-driven conditions.

Identification of Slc11a1 allelic variants in mice stimulated studies in humans to assess the involvement of the SLC11A1 homologue in the control of susceptibility to mycobacterial diseases. As non-functional alleles of SLC11A1 were (and still are) not found, research in human populations was based on case–control and family genetic approaches. In case–control studies, significantly increased frequencies of a few polymorphisms, mainly in non-coding regions of SLC11A1, were discovered in TB^{39-42} and leprosy^{$43,44$} patients in ethnically distant populations, demonstrating that the gene is involved in the control of mycobacterial infections. The clearest evidence for the role of NRAMP1 in susceptibility to TB per se was provided by a family genetic study in a very large Canadian aboriginal family experiencing a TB outbreak: strong linkage to the gene (Log of Odds $>$ 3) was discovered.⁴⁵ Taken together, these studies provide ample evidence of the NRAMP1 involvement in mycobacterial infection control. Not surprisingly, the influence of its allelic variants on the infectious course in humans is much milder than that of a complete switch-off of the genes in the type 1 immune response pathway, e.g. IL-12 or IFN- γ , but is comparable with that provided by natural allelic variability in the latter. $46,47$

Of course, it was important to find out whether or not these findings in humans – inspired by discovery of the Nramp1 gene in mice – are supported by experimental mouse models of 'real' mycobacterial diseases, given that experiments with the almost avirulent Mycobacterium bovis BCG strain led to Nramp1 identification. Experiments in mice congenic for Nramp1 r and s alleles using fully virulent M. tuberculosis strains provided conflicting results. Whereas R. North with colleagues found no influence of Nramp1 on susceptibility to and severity of TB infection, 4 in our hands Nramp1^s mice following a low-dose intravenous challenge controlled multiplication of virulent mycobacteria in spleens significantly less effectively than their $Nrampl^r$ congenic counterparts.⁴⁹ For the disease caused by a less virulent pathogen, Mycobacterium avium, the role of Nramp1 is far more evident: strong regulation of mycobacterial multiplication in organs by Nramp1 alleles was demonstrated not only in Nramp1 congenic mice,⁵⁰ but, more recently, by means of segregation genetic analysis.⁵¹ Organisms of the M. avium complex are intracellular human pathogens in the absence of the normal T-cell immunity,52,53 present in approximately 70% of patients with advanced untreated AIDS and are considered the major killer in this cohort.⁵⁴ In addition, *M. avium-trig*gered lung disease in mice is considered as a useful model of lung granuloma formation in humans.⁵⁵ Recently, we have shown that only mice genetically susceptible to M. avium because of the Nramp1^s genotype follow the 'correct', human-like pattern of granuloma development. A mirror pattern of granuloma formation is evident during M. tuberculosis-triggered disease: necrotizing hypoxic granulomata also developed in genetically susceptible mice, but irrespective of their Nramp1 genotype, because the host genetic control of TB largely depends upon other genes. $51,56$

Overall, these results suggest that: (i) Nramp1 allelic polymorphisms influence, albeit weakly, TB susceptibility in mice; (ii) NRAMP1 allelic polymorphisms have a moderate effect on genetic TB susceptibility in humans; and (iii) the role of NRAMP1 polymorphisms in immunocompromised humans infected with M. avium is worth studying because Nramp1 is a key gene determining susceptibility/resistance to this agent. Referring to clinical cases of non-TB, non-BCG mycobacterial infections in patients with Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial diseases, $2^{3,24}$ it would be interesting to find out whether such individuals are specifically predisposed to atypical mycobacterial diseases.

Ipr1 in mice, SP110 in humans and polygenic quantitative traits

The group of I. Kramnik studied the genetic control of TB susceptibility using a set of recombinant congenic strains derived from the C3H (susceptible) and C57BL6/J (resistant) mouse strains.⁵⁷ They identified the C3HeB/FeJ substrain that was especially susceptible to $TB₁⁵⁸$ despite the lack of known immune deficiencies. The major TB phenotype in the C3HeB/FeJ mice was rapid development of necrotic lung lesions. Using linkage analysis in the $(B6 \times C3HeB/FeJ)$ F₂ hybrids, a locus on mouse chromosome 1 was mapped and named sst1 for super-susceptibility to TB.58 In the newly developed sst1-congenic mouse strains the locus was reduced to an interval containing 22 known and predicted genes, and the analysis of expression of the interval-encoded genes in M. tuberculosis-infected macrophages identified ifi75 (IFN-inducible-75) gene as a top candidate. Further functional and genetic characterization of the sst1 locus, in the first instance the assessment of the expression of ifi75 in lungs and macrophages (see ref. 59 for details), led to positional cloning of the Ipr1 (for Intracellular pathogen resistance 1) gene, 60 as it was demonstrated that expression of the $Ipr1^r$ allele in the Ipr1^s macrophages increased their ability to control multiplication of M. tuberculosis⁶⁰ and Listeria monocytogenes.⁶¹ Deleterious effect of the $Ipr1^s$ allelic variant on TB manifestation was comparable with that of genetic knockout in the type 1 response pathway.⁵⁹

The ability of the mouse Ipr1 gene to control the formation of necrotic TB lesions in the lungs suggested that its human homologue might also be involved in the control of pulmonary TB, as necrosis of lung TB foci, with their subsequent caseation, are typical for the human disease. The SP110 protein is the closest human homologue of mouse Ipr1. It is encoded by the SP110 gene orthologous to Ipr1 and located in a highly conserved region of human chromosome 2q37. As for mouse Ipr1, SP110 is strongly regulated by interferons, 62 suggesting its possible role in microbial immunity. However, there is still no convincing evidence that SP110 polymorphisms influence susceptibility to human TB. Analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) within the SP110 sequence in large cohorts of patients with TB and healthy controls of Russian origin revealed no significant associations.⁶³ A recent study of patients with TB and healthy controls in China claims significant associations between a few SP110 SNP and elevated incidence of $TB_i⁶⁴$ however, the statistical details of this work, published in Chinese, are unclear. Although expression of SP110 mRNA in peripheral blood cells was found to be higher in patients with TB than in healthy controls,⁶⁵ the most likely explanation for this effect is up-regulation of the expression because of elevated IFN- γ levels in infected individuals,^{59,62} rather than genuine genetic association. A supportive evidence of the role of SP110 in mycobacterial infections control was provided by the study in cattle, in which a single SNP in the bovine SP110 orthologue was associated with the difference in susceptibility to John's disease, a chronic granulomatous condition caused by M. avium ssp. paratuberculosis.⁶⁶ More work is needed to reach definitive conclusions.

Complex phenotypes of mycobacterial infections result from epistatic interactions between several loci. Earlier, we have reported on inter-locus genetic interactions when studying the body weight loss control after TB infection in $[(I/St \times A/Sn) F_1 \times I/St]$ backcross mice⁶⁷ segregating for the alleles of three QTL located on chromosomes 3, 9 and 17.67,68 Another example is a strong epistatic interaction between Nramp1 and an MHC-located QTL in the control of M. bovis BCG infection in mice derived from the wild.⁶⁹ Generally speaking, both penetrance and expressiveness of a certain QTL appeared to be dependent upon allelic variation in another QTL. Work on identification of QTL involved in TB control in the B6–C3H strain combination also contributed to the list of interacting genetic loci. A strong influence of the Ipr1 alleles on severity of TB infection masked the input of other QTL segregating in the $(B6 \times C3HeB/FeJ)$ F₂ mice. However, after fixing the effect of the sst1 locus by developing two panels of sst1-congenic strains on both parental genetic backgrounds, six additional QTL involved in TB control polymorphic between B6 and C3H mice have been mapped by Kramnik's group on chromosomes 2, 7, 12, 13, 15 and 17.59,70 An important practical outcome of this work was the creation of a panel of mouse strains combining s and r Ipr1 alleles with s and r alleles in other QTL. As suggested by I. Kramnik,⁵⁹ variations of r and s allelic combinations in Ipr1 and the Chr. 7 QTL (yet to be cloned) are sufficient to roughly model many major clinical TB manifestations: caseous pneumonia, miliary TB, chronic TB with necrotizing lesions, and chronic persistent TB. Given that all three different mouse strain combinations systemically studied so far with regard to the TB susceptibility/severity provided unique combinations of the QTL involved⁶⁷⁻⁷² (except the MHC-located QTL(s), which is not a surprise), a conclusion that the whole spectrum of TB manifestations can be reproduced in a rationally developed and subtly selected panel of strains congenic for key TB-controlling QTL looks realistic.⁶

Involvement of the MHC region in TB control in mice and humans is a separate problem which requires a separate review. There is ample evidence that polymorphisms in the MHC region in both species have modest effects on TB susceptibility (reviewed in refs. 22,59), and profound effects on the immune response to mycobacterial antigens.73–75 However, the number of polymorphic genes located within the MHC region and potentially involved in the anti-mycobacterial immune response is very large, even if classical Class I and Class II antigen-presenting molecules and TNF-like products are left aside. Hence, by establishing a panel of intra-H2 recombinant congenic strains in B6–I/St strain combination, we recently narrowed the segment of interest to \sim 1·1 Mb and excluded the distal part of the H2 complex, i.e. IE, Class III, TNF and $H2-D$, L, O regions, from TB control in our system.⁷⁶ However, the presence of several genes with non-synonymous mutations in their coding sequences, as well as the mode of expression of TB-related phenotypes (N. Logunova, A. Apt, unpublished observations), suggest that more than one QTL is located even within this short fragment of the H2 complex.

From quantitative genetics of mycobacterial infections to systemic immune disorders: the Icsbp/IFR8 gene

Among other approaches, comprehensive studies of Nramp1 gene included studies on M. bovis BCG infection control in a panel of recombinant inbred congenic strains derived from B6/J and C3H/HeJ progenitors (the BXH panel). It was noted that mice of the BXH-2 strain were permissive for BCG growth despite their $Nramp1^r$ genotype.³⁵ More recently, it was demonstrated that the ability of BXH-2 mice to control M. tuberculosis infection is also severely impaired as the result of an abrogated immune response against the parasite along the type 1 axis.⁷⁷ These mice also displayed a myeloproliferative syndrome, characterized by uncontrolled infiltration of lymphoid organs with granulocyte precursors.⁷⁸ Segregation analysis mapped the trait involved in both defects to the distal mouse chromosome 8, and positional cloning identified the gene Icsbp/Irf8 encoding a transcriptional factor from the interferon response factor (IRF) family, participating in regulation of transcription of IFN-inducible genes.^{78,79} The BXH-2 mice carry an impaired-function (hypomorphic) mutation R294C and do not respond with IL-12 and IFN- γ to external activating stimuli. Extreme susceptibility to mycobacteria in these mice is apparently explained by the importance of IRF8 for systemic immunity: not only activation but proliferation of myeloid cells depends on transactivation of the Il12b gene after IRF8 binding to its promoter. Consequently, IRF8-deficient BXH-2 mice are depleted of $CD8\alpha^+$ lymphoid and $CD103^+$ myeloid dendritic cells, and IRF8-devoided $Irf8^{-/-}$ knockout mice also lack plasmacytoid dendritic cells. $80,81$

These data have helped to establish the cause of a newly identified syndrome in humans.⁸² A large international team leaded by P. Gros and J.-L. Casanova evaluated an infant with early-onset disseminated BCG disease, who did not respond to antibiotic therapy, required haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and closely resembled mouse $Irf8^{-/-}$ phenotype regarding both BCG susceptibility and monocyte/dendritic cell content. The candidate genes were sequenced, and it appeared that the K108E mutation in IRF8 caused autosomal recessive severe immunodeficiency with a complete lack of circulating monocytes and dendritic cells. In addition, two subjects with a history of disseminated but curable BCG disease in childhood, and lacking mutations in previously identified immunodeficiency genes, were studied. Both individuals carried the T80A substitution in IRF8, associated with a moderate autosomal dominant immunodeficiency and a selective depletion of $CD11c^+$ $CD1c^+$ circulating dendritic cells, closely resembling the BXH-2 phenotype. Structural analysis demonstrated that both K108E and T80A mutations impaired IRF8 transcriptional activity by disrupting the interaction between IRF8 and DNA.⁸² Taken together, these results not only prove that mutations in orthologue genes in mice and humans cause similar immunological disorders, but also provide an example of parallel variations in their penetrance.

In the context of this review, four deductions readily occur. First, studies on susceptibility to a mycobacterial infection in a panel of specifically established and genetically defined mouse strains led to the conclusion that yet another transcriptional factor (see above about the Ipr1 gene) is important for anti-mycobacterial immunity. Second, studies applying forward quantitative genetics of infectious diseases are not inferior to those using knockout mutations in discovering loss-of-sense mutations and deciphering gene functions (Nramp1, Ipr1, Icsbp – what follows?). Third, by analysing the genetics of susceptibility to infection we may discover genes with very broad physiological functions. Finally, many disorders with similar phenotypic expression in mice and humans are determined by mutations in orthologous genes, and genetically refined mouse models of diseases provide deep insights and valuable tools to study corresponding conditions in humans. Remarkably, the National Institutes of Health-organized workshop made refining animal TB models in general, and mouse models in particular, its first recommendation.⁸³

For an immunologist, the best illustration of how interosculation of mouse and human disease control genetics has led to a better understanding of immune system functioning is the T regulatory cell story. In the early 1990s, a severe disorder of immunity displaying several features of a fatal autoimmnue disease was described in the scurfy (sf) mouse mutants. $84,85$ Ten years later, two concurrent important observations were published. First, genetic analysis in patients with the X-linked hereditary monogenic syndrome (IPEX) including immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy and enteropathy discovered the FoxP3 gene on the X chromosome.^{86,87} Second, disruption of the murine homologue Foxp3 (also X-located) was found to be the causal mutation underlying the scurfy phenotype, which included lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, dermatitis and eczema, closely resembling the human IPEX syndrome. In addition, genetic transduction of common peripheral T cells, normally showing no Foxp3 expression, with the Foxp3-encoding genetic constructs resulted in the acquisition of immune regulatory functions; adoptive transfer of such cells into mutant mice abrogated IPEX-like syndrome.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰

These findings not only identified a common genetic basis of a systemic immune disorder in humans and mice, but led to the discovery of a previously unknown CD4+ CD25+ Foxp3⁺ T-cell population, commonly appreciated now as T regulatory cells. Studies on the physiology, maintenance, trafficking and education of these cells have been performed in the 8 years since their discovery, and now transfer of the knowledge obtained largely in mouse models into medical practice is in prospect (reviewed in ref. 91). Hence, regarding T regulatory cells, mouse models appeared to be absolutely adequate, and their application was essential for the discovery of molecular mechanisms underlying a whole class of immune reactions. Will Icsbp eventually develop into a full-size Foxp3 twin?

Acknowledgements

This work of the author is financially supported by the R01 National Institutes of Health grant AI078864 and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 09-04-00-332.

Disclosures

The author declares no competing financial interests.

References

- 1 Flynn JL. Lessons from experimental Mycobacterium tuberculosis infections. Microbes Infect 2006; 8:1179–88.
- 2 Tsai MC, Chakravarty S, Zhu G et al. Characterization of the tuberculous granuloma in murine and human lungs: cellular composition and relative tissue oxygen tension. Cell Microbiol 2006; 8:218–32.
- 3 Rhoades ER, Frank AA, Orme IM. Progression of chronic pulmonary tuberculosis in mice aerogenically infected with virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Tuber Lung Dis 1997; 78:57–66.
- 4 McMurray DN, Collins FM, Dannenberg AM Jr, Smith DW. Pathogenesis of experimental tuberculosis in animal models. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1996; 215:157–79.
- 5 Orme IM. Development of new vaccines and drugs for TB: limitations and potential strategic errors. Future Microbiol 2011; 6:161–77.
- 6 Apt A, Kramnik I. Man and mouse TB: contradictions and solutions. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2009; 89:195–8.
- 7 Young D. Animal models of tuberculosis. Eur J Immunol 2009; 39:2011–4.
- 8 Berning AK, Eicher EM, Paul WE, Scher I. Mapping of the X-linked immune deficiency mutation (xid) of CBA/N mice. J Immunol 1980; 124:1875–7.
- 9 Rawlings DJ, Saffran DC, Tsukada S et al. Mutation of unique region of Bruton's tyrosine kinase in immunodeficient XID mice. Science 1993; 261:358–61.
- 10 Thomas JD, Sideras P, Smith CI, Vorechovsky I, Chapman V, Paul WE. Colocalization of X-linked agammaglobulinemia and X-linked immunodeficiency genes. Science 1993; 261:355–8.
- 11 de Weers M, Mensink RG, Kraakman ME, Schuurman RK, Hendriks RW. Mutation analysis of the Bruton's tyrosine kinase gene in X-linked agammaglobulinemia: identification of a mutation which affects the same codon as is altered in immunodeficient xid mice. Hum Mol Genet 1994; 3:161–6.
- 12 Gershwin ME. DiGeorge syndrome: congenital thymic hypoplasia. Animal model: congenitally athymic (nude) mouse. Am J Pathol 1977; 89:809–12.
- 13 Koller B, Smithies O. Altering genes in animals by gene targeting. Annu Rev Immunol 1992; 10:705–30.
- 14 Mak TW, Penninger JM, Ohashi PS. Knockout mice: a paradigm shift in modern immunology. Nat Rev Immunol 2001; 1:11–9.
- 15 Cooper AM. Cell-mediated immune responses in tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2009; 27:393–422.
- 16 Flesch I, Kaufmann SHE. Mycobacterial growth inhibition by interferon-y-activated bone marrow macrophages and differential susceptibility among strains of Mycobacteriun tuberculosis. J Immunol 1987; 138:4408–13.
- 17 Orme IM, Andersen P, Boom WH. T cell response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 1993; 167:1481–97.
- 18 Rook GAW, Steele J, Ainsworth M, Champion BR. Activation of macrophages to inhibit proliferation of Mycobacteriun tuberculosis: comparison of the effects of recombinant gamma-interferon on human monocytes and murine peritoneal macrophages. Immunology 1986; 59:333–8.
- 19 Flynn JL, Chan J. Immunology of tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2001; 19:93–129.
- 20 Casanova JL, Blanche S, Emile JF et al. Idiopathic disseminated bacillus Calmette–Guérin infection: a French national retrospective study. Pediatrics 1996; 98:774–8.
- 21 Casanova JL, Jouanguy E, Lamhamedi S, Blanche S, Fisher A. Immunological conditions of children with BCG disseminated infection. Lancet 1995; 346:581.
- 22 Casanova JL, Abel L. Genetic dissection of immunity to mycobacteria: the human model. Annu Rev Immunol 2002; 20:581–620.
- 23 Dorman SE, Holland SM. Interferon-gamma and interleukin-12 pathway defects and human disease. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2000; 11:321–3.
- 24 Levin M, Newport MJ, D'Souza S et al. Familial disseminated atypical mycobacterial infection in childhood: a human mycobacterial susceptibility gene? Lancet 1995; 345:79–83.
- 25 Fortin A, Abel L, Casanova JL, Gros P. Host genetics of mycobacterial diseases in mice and men: forward genetic studies of BCG-osis and tuberculosis. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 2007; 8:163–92.
- 26 Filipe-Santos O, Bustamante J, Chapgier A. Inborn errors of IL-12/23 and IFN-gammamediated immunity: molecular, cellular, and clinical features. Semin Immunol 2006; 18:347–61.
- 27 Hamosh A, Scott AF, Ambereger JS, Bocchini CA, McKusick VA. Online Mendelian inheritance in man (OMIM), a knowledgebase of human genes and genetic disorders. Nucleic Acids Res 2005; 33:D514–7.
- 28 Alcais A, Fieschi C, Abel L, Casanova JL. Tuberculosis in children and adults: two distinct genetic diseases. J Exp Med 2005; 202:1617–21.
- 29 Jouanguy E, Lamhamedi S, Altare F. Partial interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency in a child with tuberculoid bacillus Calmette–Guérin infection and a sibling with clinical tuberculosis. J Clin Invest 1997; 100:2658–64.
- 30 Bustamante J, Arias AA, Vogt G et al. Germline CYBB mutations that selectively affect macrophages in kindreds with X-linked predisposition to tuberculous mycobacterial disease. Nat Immunol 2011; 12:213–22.
- 31 Adams LB, Dinauer MC, Morgenstern DE, Krahenbuhl JL. Comparison of the roles of reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates in the host response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis using transgenic mice. Tuber Lung Dis 1997; 78:237–46.
- 32 Cooper AM, Segal BH, Frank AA, Holland SM, Orme IM. Transient loss of resistance to pulmonary tuberculosis in $p47^{pbox-/}$ mice. Infect Immun 2000; 68:1231-4.
- 33 Beutler B, Jiang Z, Georgel P, Crozat K, Croker B, Rutschmann S, Du X, Hoebe K. Genetic analysis of host resistance: toll-like receptor signaling and immunity at large. Annu Rev Immunol 2006; 24:353–89.
- 34 Inohara C, McDonald C, Nunez G. NOD-LRR proteins: role in host–microbial interactions and inflammatory disease. Annu Rev Biochem 2005; 74:355–83.
- 35 Skamene E, Gros P, Forget A, Kongshavn PA, Charles C, Taylor BA. Genetic regulation of resistance to intracellular pathogens. Nature 1982; 297:506–9.
- 36 Vidal S, Malo D, Vogan K, Skamene E, Gros P. Natural resistance to infection with intracellular parasites: isolation of a candidate for Bcg. Cell 1993; 73:469–85.
- 37 Forbes JR, Gros P. Iron, manganese, and cobalt transport by Nramp1 (Slc11a1) and Nramp2 (Slc11a2) expressed at the plasma membrane. Blood 2003; 102:1884–92.
- 38 Malo D, Vogan K, Hu J et al. Haplotype mapping and sequence analysis of the mouse Nramp gene predict susceptibility to infection with intracellular parasites. Genomics 1994; 23:51–61.
- 39 Awomoyi AA, Marchant A, Howson JMM, McAdam K, Blackwell JM, Newport MJ. Interleukin-10, polymorphism in SLC11A1 (formerly NRAMP1), and susceptibility to tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2002; 186:1808–14.
- 40 Bellamy R, Ruwende C, Corrah T, McAdam K, Whittle HC, Hill AV. Variations in the NRAMP1 gene and susceptibility to tuberculosis in West Africans. N Engl J Med 1998; 338:640–4.
- 41 Delgado JC, Baena A, Thim S, Goldfeld AE. Ethnic-specific genetic associations with pulmonary tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2002; 186:1463–8.
- 42 Malik S, Abel L, Tooker H et al. Alleles of the NRAMP1 gene are risk factors for pediatric tuberculosis disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102:12183–8.
- 43 Abel L, Sanchez FO, Oberti J et al. Susceptibility to leprosy is linked to the human NRAMP1 gene. J Infect Dis 1998; 177:133–45.

Relevance of mouse models of mycobacterial diseases

- 44 Meisner SJ, Mucklow S, Warner G, Sow SO, Lienhardt C, Hill AV. Association of NRAMP1 polymorphism with leprosy type but not susceptibility to leprosy per se in West Africans. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001; 65:733–5.
- 45 Greenwood CM, Fujiwara TM et al. Linkage of tuberculosis to chromosom 2q35 loci, including NRAMP1, in a large aboriginal Canadian family. Am J Hum Genet 2000; 67:405–16.
- 46 Selvaraj P, Sriram U, Mathan Kurian S, Reetha AM, Narayanan R. Tumor necrosis factor α (-238 and -308) and β gene polymorphisms in pulmonary tuberculosis: haplotype analysis with HLA-A, B and DR genes. Tuberculosis 2001; 81:335–41.
- 47 Rossouw M, Nel HJ, Cooke GS, van Henden PD, Hoal EG. Association between tuberculosis and a polymorphic NF_KB binding site in the interferon γ gene. Lancet 2003; 361:1871–2.
- 48 Medina E, North RL Evidence inconsistent with a role for the Bcg gene (Nramp1) in resistance of mice to infection with virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Exp Med 1996; 183:1045–51.
- 49 Nikonenko BV, Apt AS, Mezhlumova MB, Avdienko VG, Yeremeev VV, Moroz AM. Influence of the mouse Bcg, Tbc-1 and xid genes on resistance and immune responses to tuberculosis infection and efficacy of bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination. Clin Exp Immunol 1996; 104:37–43.
- 50 Nakamura RN. Effect of natural resistance gene on the immune response against Mycobacterium avium complex infection. Kekkaku 1992; 67:41–6.
- 51 Kondratieva EV, Evstifeev VV, Kondratieva TK, Petrovskaya SN, Pichugin AV, Rubakova EI, Averbakh MM Jr, Apt AS. I/St mice hypersusceptible to Mycobacterium tuberculosis are resistant to M. avium. Infect Immun 2007: 75:4762-8.
- 52 Horsburgh CR Jr. Mycobacterium avium complex in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). N Engl J Med 1991; 324:1332–8.
- 53 Inderlied CB, Kemper CA, Bermudez LE. The Mycobacterium avium complex. Clin Microbiol Rev 1993; 6:266–310.
- 54 Nightingale SD, Byrd LT, Southern PM, Jockusch JD, Cal SX, Wynne B. Incidence of Mycobactereium avium–intracellulare complex bacteremia in human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients. J Infect Dis 1992; 165:1082–5.
- 55 Ehlers S, Benini J, Held HD et al. Alpha-beta T cell receptor-positive cells and interferon-gamma, but not inducible nitric oxide synthase, are critical for granuloma necrosis in a mouse model of mycobacteria-induced pulmonary immunopathology. J Exp Med 2001; 194:1847–59.
- 56 Kondratieva EV, Logunova N, Majorov KB, Averbakh MM, Apt AS. Host genetics in granuloma formation: human-like lung pathology in mice with reciprocal genetic susceptibility to Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. avium. PLoS ONE 2010; 5:e10515.
- 57 Kramnik I, Demant P, Bloom B. Susceptibility to tuberculosis as a complex genetic trait: analysis using recombinant congenic strains of mice. Novartis Found Symp 1998; 217:120–31.
- 58 Kramnik I, Dietrich WF, Demant P, Bloom B. Genetic control of resistance to experimental infection with virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000; 97:8560–5.
- 59 Schurr E, Kramnik I. Genetic control of host susceptibility to tuberculosis. In: Kaufmann SHE, Britton WJ, eds. Handbook of Tuberculosis: Immunology and Cell Biology. Weinheim: WILEY-VCH Verlag, 2008:295–336.
- 60 Pan H, Yan B-S, Rojas M et al. Ipr1 gene mediates innate immunity to tuberculosis. Nature 2005; 434:767–72.
- 61 Boyartchuk V, Rojas M, Yan BS et al. The host resistance locus sst1 controls innate immunity to Listeria monocytogenes infection in immunodeficient mice. J Immunol 2004; 173:5112–20.
- 62 Kadereit S, Gewert DR, Galabru J, Hovanessian AG, Meurs EF. Molecular cloning of two new interferon-induced, highly related nuclear phosphoproteins. J Biol Chem 1993; 268:24432–41.
- 63 Szeszko JS, Healy B, Stevens H, Balabanova Y, Drobniewski F, Todd JA, Nejentsev S. Resequencing and association analysis of the SP110 gene in adult pulmonary tuberculosis. Hum Genet 2007; 121:155–60.
- 64 Cong J, Li G, Zhou D, Tao Y, Xing Y. Study on relation between SP110 gene polymorphism and tuberculosis genetic susceptibility of Chongqing Han People. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 2010; 39:540–4.
- 65 Constantoulakis P, Filiou E, Rovina N et al. In vivo expression of innate immunity markers in patients with Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. BMC Infect Dis 2010; 18:243.
- 66 Ruiz-Larranäga O, Garrido JM, Iriondo M et al. $SP110$ as a novel susceptibility gene for Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis infection in cattle. J Dairy Sci 2010; 93:5950–8.
- 67 Sanchez F, Radaeva TV, Nikonenko BV et al. Multigenic control of disease severity after virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in mice. Infect Immun 2003; 71:126-31
- 68 Lavebratt C, Apt AS, Nikonenko BV, Schalling M, Schurr E. Severity of tuberculosis in mice is linked to distal chromosome 3 and proximal chromosome 9. J Infect Dis 1999; 180:150–5.
- 69 Turcotte K, Loredo-Osti JC, Fortin P, Schurr E, Morgan K, Gros P. Complex genetic control of susceptibility to Mycobacterium bovis (Bacille Calmette–Guérin) infection in wild-derived Mus spretus mice. Genes Immun 2006; 7:684–7.
- 70 Yan B-S, Kirby A, Shebzukhov YV, Daly MJ, Kramnik I. Genetic architecture of tuberculosis resistance in a mouse model of infection. Genes Immun 2006; 7:201–10.
- 71 Mitsos LM, Cardon LR, Fortin A, Ryan L, LaCourse R et al. Genetic control of susceptibility to infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in mice. Genes Immun 2000; 1:467–77.
- 72 Mitsos LM, Cardon LR, Ryan L, LaCourse R, North RJ, Gros P. Susceptibility to tuberculosis: a locus on mouse chromosome 19 (Trl-4) regulates Mycobacterium tuberculosis replication in the lungs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100:6610–5.
- 73 Apt AS, Nickonenko BV, Avdeenko VG, Kramnik IB, Moroz AM, Skamene E. Distinct H-2 complex control of mortality and immune responses to tuberculosis infection in virgin and BCG-vaccinated mice. Clin Exp Immunol 1993; 94:322–32.
- 74 Pichugin AV, Petrovskaya SN, Apt AS. H2 complex controls CD4/CD8 ratio, recurrent responsiveness to repeated stimulations, and resistance to activation-induced apoptosis during T cell response to mycobacterial antigens. *J Leukoc Biol* 2006; 79:739-46
- 75 Delgado JC, Baena A, Thim S, Goldfeld AE. Aspartic acid homozygosity at codon 57 of HLA-DO β is associated with susceptibility to pulmonary tuberculosis in Cambodia. J Immunol 2006; 176:1090–7.
- 76 Korotetskaya MV, Kapina MA, Averbakh MM, Evstifeev VV, Apt AS, Logunova NN. A locus involved in tuberculosis infection control in mice locates in the proximal part of the H2 complex. Mol Biol (Russian) 2011; 45:68-76. (Cover-to-cover English translation: Mol Biol 2011; 45:46-53).
- 77 Marquis JF, LaCourse R, Ryan L, North RJ, Gros P. Disseminated and rapidly fatal tuberculosis in mice bearing a defective allele at IFN regulatory factor 8. J Immunol 2009; 182:3008–15.
- 78 Turcotte K, Gauthier S, Mitsos LM, Shustik C, Copeland NG et al. Genetic control of myeloproliferation in BXH-2 mice. Blood 2004; 103:2343–50.
- 79 Turcotte K, Gauthier S, Tuite A, Mullick A, Malo D, Gros P. A mutation in the Icsbp1 gene causes susceptibility to infection and a chronic myeloid leukemia-like syndrome in BXH-2 mice. J Exp Med 2005; 201:881–90.
- 80 Tailor P, Tamura T, Morse HC III, Ozato K. The BXH2 mutation in IRF8 differentially impairs dendritic cell subset development in the mouse. Blood 2008; 111:1942–5.
- 81 Tsujimura H, Tamura T, Ozato K. Cutting edge: IFN consensus sequence binding protein/IFN regulatory factor 8 drives the development of type I IFN-producing plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *I Immunol* 2003; 170:1131-5.
- 82 Hambelton S, Salem S, Bustamante J et al. IRF8 mutations and human dendritic-cell immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med 2011; 364, 10.1056/NEJMoa1100066.
- 83 Smith I, Nathan C, Peavy H. Progress and new directions in genetics of tuberculosis. A NHLBI working group report. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 172:1491–6.
- 84 Godfrey VL, Wilkinson JE, Rinchik EM, Russell LB. Fatal lymphoreticular disease in the scurfy (sf) mouse requires T cells that mature in a sf thymic environment: potential model for thymic education. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991; 88:5528–32.
- 85 Godfrey VL, Wilkinson JE, Russell LB. X-linked lymphoreticular disease in the scurfy (sf) mutant mouse. Am J Pathol 1991; 138:1379–87.
- 86 Ferguson PJ, Blanton SH, Saulsbury FT et al. Manifestations and linkage analysis in X-linked autoimmunity-immunodeficiency syndrome. Am J Med Genet 2000; 90:390– 7.
- 87 Wildin RS, Smyk-Pearson S, Filipovich AH. Clinical and molecular features of the immunodysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X linked (IPEX) syndrome. J Med Genet 2002; 39:537–45.
- 88 Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, Ramsdell F. An essential role for Scurfin in CD4⁺ CD25+ T regulatory cells. Nat Immunol 2003; 4:337–42.
- 89 Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science 2003; 299:1057–61.
- 90 Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol 2003; 4:330-6.
- 91 Buckner JH. Mechanisms of impaired regulation by CD4⁺CD25⁺FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells in human autoimmune diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2010; 10:849–59.