

In Vitro Activity of a Novel Broad-Spectrum Antifungal, E1210, Tested against *Aspergillus* spp. Determined by CLSI and EUCAST Broth Microdilution Methods[∇]

Michael A. Pfaller,¹ Frederick Duncanson,² Shawn A. Messer,¹ Gary J. Moet,¹ Ronald N. Jones,^{1,3} and Mariana Castanheira^{1*}

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa 52317¹; Eisai, Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey 07677²; and Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts 02111³

Received 26 April 2011/Returned for modification 2 July 2011/Accepted 25 July 2011

E1210 is a first-in-class broad-spectrum antifungal that suppresses hyphal growth by inhibiting fungal glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) biosynthesis. In the present study, we extend these findings by examining the activity of E1210 and comparator antifungal agents against *Aspergillus* spp. by using the methods of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and the European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) to test wild-type (WT) as well as amphotericin B (AMB)-resistant (-R) and azole-R strains (as determined by CLSI methods). Seventy-eight clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* were tested including 20 isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* species complex (SC), 22 of *A. fumigatus* SC, 13 of *A. niger* SC, and 23 of *A. terreus* SC. The collection included 15 AMB-R (MIC, ≥ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) isolates of *A. terreus* SC and 10 itraconazole-R (MIC, ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) isolates of *A. fumigatus* SC (7 isolates), *A. niger* SC (2 isolates), and *A. terreus* SC (1 isolate). Comparator antifungal agents included anidulafungin, caspofungin, amphotericin B, itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole. Both CLSI and EUCAST methods were highly concordant for E1210 and all comparators. The essential agreement (EA; ± 2 log₂ dilution steps) was 100% for all comparisons with the exception of posaconazole versus *A. terreus* SC (EA = 91.3%). The minimum effective concentration (MEC)/MIC₉₀ values ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) for E1210, anidulafungin, caspofungin, itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole, respectively, were as follows for each species: for *A. flavus* SC, 0.03, ≤ 0.008 , 0.12, 1, 1, and 1; for *A. fumigatus* SC, 0.06, 0.015, 0.12, >8 , 1, and 4; for *A. niger* SC, 0.015, 0.03, 0.12, 4, 1, and 2; and for *A. terreus* SC, 0.06, 0.015, 0.12, 1, 0.5, and 1. E1210 was very active against AMB-R strains of *A. terreus* SC (MEC range, 0.015 to 0.06 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and itraconazole-R strains of *A. fumigatus* SC (MEC range, 0.03 to 0.12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), *A. niger* SC (MEC, 0.008 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), and *A. terreus* SC (MEC, 0.015 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). In conclusion, E1210 was a very potent and broad-spectrum antifungal agent regardless of *in vitro* method applied, with excellent activity against AMB-R and itraconazole-R strains of *Aspergillus* spp.

The introduction of mold-active antifungal agents of the triazole (itraconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole) and echinocandin (anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin) classes has dramatically increased the options for prophylaxis and empirical or directed therapy for the prevention and treatment of invasive aspergillosis (IA) (2, 4, 5, 11, 25, 31). Although resistance to these agents among clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* has been considered to be uncommon, both increased resistance and breakthrough infections have been reported increasingly among patients with long-term exposure to these agents (1, 3, 14, 16, 19, 20, 26, 30). These observations have prompted a call for an expanded search for new antifungal agents with novel mechanisms of action, as well as an expanded role for antifungal susceptibility testing of *Aspergillus* spp. (4, 8, 11, 12, 15, 24, 25, 30).

E1210 (Eisai Co., Tokyo, Japan) is a novel first-in-class broad-spectrum antifungal agent that inhibits the inositol acylation step in fungal glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) biosynthesis, resulting in defects in various steps in cell wall biosyn-

thesis with accompanying inhibition of cell wall growth, hyphal elongation, and attachment of fungal cells to biological substrates (27, 28, 32). Differences in the inositol acylation of GPI in fungi and in human cells suggest that this may prove to be a good target for drugs directed against fungi (yeasts and molds) that do not impair inositol acylation in human cells (27, 28).

Preliminary data obtained using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution (BMD) method (6) have demonstrated the excellent E1210 spectrum and potency against both yeasts and molds, including *Aspergillus* spp. (18). With regard to *Aspergillus* spp., these studies are limited by the small numbers of isolates tested, the lack of antifungal comparators, and the lack of a comparison of the E1210 potency as determined by the reference BMD methods of the CLSI and the European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) (6, 9, 10). Currently there are two independent reference standards for BMD susceptibility testing of antifungal agents against *Aspergillus* spp. (6, 9, 10). These two methods are similar in that both use BMD, RPMI 1640 broth, incubation at 35 to 37°C for 48 h, and a complete (100%) inhibition (triazoles) or minimum effective concentration (MEC; lowest concentration of drug that produces stubby aberrant hyphal growth) MIC/MEC endpoint. They differ,

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Creek Centre, Suite A, North Liberty, IA 52317. Phone: (319) 665-3370. Fax: (319) 665-3371. E-mail: mariana-castanheira@jmilabs.com.

[∇] Published ahead of print on 15 August 2011.

TABLE 1. *In vitro* susceptibilities of *Aspergillus* spp. to E1210 and comparators as determined by CLSI and EUCAST broth microdilution methods

Species (no. tested)	Antifungal agent	Test method	No. of isolates at indicated MIC/MEC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)										% EA ^a	
			≤ 0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4		≥ 8
<i>A. flavus</i> SC ^b (20)	E1210	EUCAST		4	14	2								100.0
		CLSI	1	14	4	1								100.0
	Anidulafungin	EUCAST	19	1										100.0
		CLSI	20											100.0
	Caspofungin	EUCAST				4	16							100.0
		CLSI			1	15	4							100.0
	Itraconazole	EUCAST						7	13					100.0
CLSI								7	13				100.0	
Posaconazole	EUCAST					2	17	1					100.0	
	CLSI						1	16	3				100.0	
Voriconazole	EUCAST							1	12	7			100.0	
	CLSI							4	14	1	1		100.0	
<i>A. fumigatus</i> SC (22)	E1210	EUCAST		5	13	4							100.0	
		CLSI	1	1	16	4							100.0	
	Anidulafungin	EUCAST	17	5									100.0	
		CLSI	14	8									100.0	
	Caspofungin	EUCAST				3	15	4					100.0	
		CLSI				4	17	1					100.0	
	Itraconazole	EUCAST						2	13				7	100.0
CLSI								1	14		1	6	100.0	
Posaconazole	EUCAST					13	1	2	5	1			100.0	
	CLSI						8	7	6	1			100.0	
Voriconazole	EUCAST						1	12	4	4	1		100.0	
	CLSI						4	10	3	4		1	100.0	
<i>A. niger</i> SC (13)	E1210	EUCAST		5	6	2							100.0	
		CLSI	8	5									100.0	
	Anidulafungin	EUCAST	13										100.0	
		CLSI	12		1								100.0	
	Caspofungin	EUCAST					13						100.0	
		CLSI				4	9						100.0	
	Itraconazole	EUCAST						1	3	6	3			100.0
CLSI								6	5	2			100.0	
Posaconazole	EUCAST					2	4	7					100.0	
	CLSI						1	8	4				100.0	
Voriconazole	EUCAST							4	5	4			100.0	
	CLSI							4	5	4			100.0	
<i>A. terreus</i> SC (23)	E1210	EUCAST		2	17	4							100.0	
		CLSI	1	11	8	3							100.0	
	Anidulafungin	EUCAST	20	3									100.0	
		CLSI	15	8									100.0	
	Caspofungin	EUCAST				1	18	4					100.0	
		CLSI					21	2					100.0	
	Itraconazole	EUCAST						15	7		1			100.0
CLSI							1	15	6		1		100.0	
Posaconazole	EUCAST				1	14	7		1				91.3	
	CLSI						7	14	1	1			100.0	
Voriconazole	EUCAST							11	11			1	100.0	
	CLSI							4	13	5		1	100.0	

^a EA, essential agreement.^b SC, species complex.

however, in inoculum concentration (0.4×10^4 to 5×10^4 CFU/ml [CLSI] versus 2×10^5 to 5×10^5 CFU/ml [EUCAST]), glucose content of the medium (0.2% [CLSI] versus 2.0% [EUCAST]), and rounded (CLSI) versus flat-bottom (EUCAST) microdilution wells (6, 9, 10). Although we have shown previously that both methods provide concordant results when testing *Aspergillus* spp. against itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole (21), similar data are not available for E1210 or the echinocandins anidulafungin and

caspofungin. Given the important role that both methods currently play in antifungal resistance surveillance and regulatory evaluations of new agents, it is important to demonstrate the comparability of their results in the preclinical development of new antifungal agents. In the present study, we have used a collection of *Aspergillus* spp. isolates selected to represent both polyene- and triazole-resistant strains to examine the activity of E1210 and comparator agents as determined by both CLSI and EUCAST reference BMD methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms. A total of 78 clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* spp. obtained from centers participating in the 2008-2009 ARTEMIS and SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Programs (22, 23) were tested against E1210, amphotericin B, anidulafungin, caspofungin, itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole. The collection included 20 isolates of *A. flavus* species complex (SC), 22 of *A. fumigatus* SC, 13 of *A. niger* SC, and of 23 *A. terreus* SC. The phenotypically resistant isolates (as determined by CLSI methods) included 15 amphotericin B-resistant (MIC, ≥ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) isolates of *A. terreus* SC and 10 itraconazole-resistant (MIC, ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) isolates of *A. fumigatus* SC (7 isolates), *A. niger* SC (2 isolates), and *A. terreus* SC (1 isolate). The isolates were obtained from a variety of sources, including sputum, bronchoscopy, and tissue biopsy specimens, and represented individual infection episodes. The isolates were collected at individual study sites and sent to JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA) for identification and susceptibility testing as described previously (17, 23). All isolates were identified by standard microscopic morphology (29) and were stored as spore suspensions in sterile distilled water at room temperature until used in the study. Before testing, each isolate was subcultured at least twice on potato dextrose agar (Remel, Lenexa, KS) to ensure viability and purity.

Antifungal agents. Reference powders of E1210, amphotericin B, anidulafungin, caspofungin, itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole were obtained from their respective manufacturers. Stock solutions were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide, and serial doubling dilutions in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) buffered to pH 7.0 with 0.165 M morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer (Sigma) were made.

Antifungal susceptibility testing. All isolates were tested for *in vitro* susceptibility to E1210 and comparators using the CLSI and EUCAST BMD methods (6, 9, 10). CLSI BMD testing was performed as outlined in document M38-A2 (6) by using RPMI 1640 medium with 0.2% glucose, round-bottom microdilution trays, inocula of 0.4×10^4 to 5×10^4 CFU/ml, and incubation at 35°C for 48 h. MIC values for the triazoles were determined visually as the lowest concentration of drug that caused complete inhibition of growth (first clear well) relative to that of the growth control. MEC values for E1210, anidulafungin, and caspofungin were determined as described previously (18, 22) and by the CLSI (6). The MEC endpoint was chosen for E1210 due to the fact that, similar to the echinocandins, E1210 inhibits cell wall synthesis and hyphal extension of *Aspergillus* spp., resulting in aberrant hyphal growth (short, stubby, highly branched hyphae) but not complete growth inhibition. Preliminary data generated by the sponsor and in experiments at JMI Laboratories (unpublished) found that as with the echinocandins, when an MIC for E1210 is determined (complete growth inhibition), an endpoint is not achieved for *Aspergillus* spp.

EUCAST BMD testing was performed exactly as detailed by EUCAST (10) by using RPMI 1640 medium with 2.0% glucose, flat-bottom microdilution trays, inocula of 2×10^5 to 5×10^5 CFU/ml, and incubation at 35°C. MICs (triazoles) and MECs (E1210, echinocandins) were determined after 48 h as described above and by both CLSI (6) and EUCAST (10). Quality control was assured by testing the following strains recommended by CLSI and by EUCAST (7): *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, *C. krusei* ATCC 6258, and *A. flavus* ATCC 204304 (6, 9).

Analysis. The MIC/MEC results for each antifungal agent obtained with the EUCAST method (9) were compared to those of the CLSI BMD method (6). High off-scale MIC/MEC results were converted to the next highest concentration and low off-scale MIC/MEC results were left unchanged. Discrepancies of more than ± 2 log₂ dilution steps among MIC results were used to calculate the level of essential agreement (EA) between the two methods with a target of $\geq 95\%$ EA at ≤ 2 doubling dilutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarizes the *in vitro* susceptibilities of tested *Aspergillus* spp. to E1210 and five comparators as determined by the CLSI and EUCAST BMD methods (6, 9, 10). All *Aspergillus* spp. were inhibited by ≤ 0.06 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of E1210 as determined by both methods. The echinocandins (anidulafungin and caspofungin) displayed the lowest MEC₅₀/MIC₅₀ values among comparator agents (MEC₅₀, ≤ 0.008 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for anidulafungin for all species; MEC₅₀ range from 0.06 to 0.12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for caspofungin) followed by posaconazole (MIC₅₀s of 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for all *Aspergillus* spp.).

The EA between the two reference methods was 100.0% for

TABLE 2. *In vitro* activities of E1210 and comparators against amphotericin B-resistant *A. terreus* SC as determined by CLSI broth microdilution methods^a

Isolate no.	MIC/MEC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) for ^{b,c} :						E1210
	AMB	ITR	PSC	VRC	ANF	CSF	
446	4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.008	0.12	0.015
618	4	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.008	0.12	0.03
8691	2	1	0.5	1	0.015	0.25	0.06
8693	2	1	0.5	0.5	0.015	0.25	0.06
8694	2	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.008	0.12	0.03
8695	2	1	0.5	1	0.008	0.12	0.06
8696	2	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.008	0.12	0.015
8722	2	0.5	0.5	1	0.015	0.12	0.03
8723	2	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.008	0.12	0.03
8727	2	0.5	0.5	1	0.008	0.12	0.03
8728	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.008	0.12	0.03
8729	2	1	0.5	1	0.008	0.12	0.03
8730	2	0.5	0.5	1	0.008	0.12	0.03
8731	2	4	2	>8	0.008	0.12	0.015
8733	2	0.5	0.5	1	0.015	0.12	0.015

^a The MICs and minimum effective concentrations (MECs) were determined after 48 h of incubation.

^b The MICs for AMB (amphotericin B), ITR (itraconazole), PSC (posaconazole), and VRC (voriconazole) were read at complete (100%) inhibition.

^c The MECs for ANF (anidulafungin), CSF (caspofungin), and E1210 were read as described in CLSI document M38-A2 (6).

E1210 across all four *Aspergillus* spp. (Table 1). The MEC values generated by the EUCAST method (9, 10) tended to be 1 log₂ dilution higher than those obtained by the CLSI method (6). Likewise, the overall EA between EUCAST and CLSI results was 100.0% for anidulafungin, caspofungin, itraconazole, and voriconazole but was 97.4% (76 of 78 results) for posaconazole. These results confirm the high level of concordance between the MIC results produced by both methods for the triazoles when testing *Aspergillus* spp. (21) and demonstrates for the first time that both reference methods generate comparable MEC values for E1210, anidulafungin, and caspofungin.

E1210 and the echinocandins were the most active agents against amphotericin B-resistant strains of *A. terreus* SC as determined by the CLSI method (Table 2). Anidulafungin was the most potent agent (MEC₉₀, 0.015 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) followed by E1210 (MEC₉₀, 0.06 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), caspofungin (MEC₉₀, 0.25 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), posaconazole (MIC₉₀, 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), itraconazole and voriconazole (MIC₉₀, 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), and amphotericin B (4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$).

A similar rank order of activity was determined with the itraconazole-resistant isolates: anidulafungin (MEC₉₀, 0.015 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > E1210 (MEC₉₀, 0.06 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > caspofungin (MEC₉₀, 0.12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > amphotericin B (MIC₉₀, 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > posaconazole (MIC₉₀, 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > voriconazole (MIC₉₀, 8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) > itraconazole (MIC₉₀, >8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) (Table 3). Whereas cross-resistance was apparent between itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole, the distinctly different mechanisms of action represented by E1210, amphotericin B, and the echinocandins result in activity of these antifungal agents against this subset of *Aspergillus* species isolates that are of great clinical concern worldwide (3, 12, 13, 15, 30).

There were several important findings derived from this investigation. First, we have documented the excellent *in vitro* potency for E1210 tested against contemporary isolates of *Aspergillus* spp., including polyene- and triazole-resistant

TABLE 3. *In vitro* activities of E1210 and comparators against itraconazole-resistant *Aspergillus* spp. as determined by CLSI broth microdilution methods

Species	Isolate no.	MIC/MEC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) for ^a :						
		ITR	PSC	VRC	AMB	ANF	CSF	E1210
<i>A. fumigatus</i> SC	8686	>8	1	2	1	0.015	0.12	0.12
	8687	>8	1	2	1	0.015	0.12	0.06
	8688	>8	1	1	1	0.008	0.12	0.03
	8689	>8	1	2	1	0.015	0.12	0.06
	8690	>8	2	8	1	0.008	0.12	0.06
	8737	4	1	2	1	0.015	0.12	0.03
	8737	>8	1	0.25	1	0.008	0.12	0.03
<i>A. niger</i> SC	8698	4	1	2	1	0.008	0.12	0.008
	301	4	1	2	0.5	0.008	0.12	0.008
<i>A. terreus</i> SC	8731	4	2	>8	2	0.008	0.12	0.015

^a Definitions for MIC and MEC and all abbreviations are as described in Table 2. Resistance to itraconazole is defined as an MIC of ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ (8, 29, 30).

strains. Second, we have confirmed previous observations (21) regarding the comparability of the CLSI and EUCAST BMD methods (6, 9, 10) when testing the triazoles against *Aspergillus* spp. Finally, we document the high level of agreement between the CLSI and EUCAST methods for testing E1210 and the echinocandins against *Aspergillus* spp. In conclusion, E1210 is a potent, novel antifungal agent with impressive activity against both wild-type and antifungal-resistant strains of *Aspergillus* species. Further development of this new antifungal agent appears warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. A. Clark and J. D. Feuerbach for their excellent data analysis support for the present study.

This study was sponsored by an educational/research grant from the Eisai Co. (Japan).

REFERENCES

- Arendrup, M. C., et al. 2010. Development of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* during azole therapy associated with change in virulence. *PLoS One* **5**:e10080.
- Barnes, P. D., and K. A. Marr. 2006. Aspergillosis: spectrum of disease, diagnosis, and treatment. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* **20**:545–561.
- Bueid, A., et al. 2010. Azole antifungal resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: 2008 and 2009. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **65**:2116–2118.
- Chen, A., and J. D. Sobel. 2005. Emerging azole antifungals. *Expert Opin. Emerg. Drugs* **10**:21–33.
- Chen, S. C., E. G. Playford, and T. C. Sorrell. 2010. Antifungal therapy in invasive fungal infections. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **10**:522–530.
- CLSI. 2008. M38-A2. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. 2nd edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Cuenca-Estrella, M., et al. 2007. Multicentre determination of quality control strains and quality control ranges for antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts and filamentous fungi using the methods of the Antifungal Susceptibility Testing Subcommittee of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AFST-EUCAST). *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **13**:1018–1022.
- Espinell-Ingroff, A., et al. 2010. Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiological cutoff values for the triazoles and six *Aspergillus* spp. for the CLSI broth microdilution method (M38-A2 document). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**:3251–3257.
- EUCAST. 2010. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 1.1, April 2010. http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/.
- EUCAST. 2008. EUCAST technical note on the method for the determination of broth dilution minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for conidia-forming moulds. http://www.eucast.org/documents/technical_notes/.
- Fridkin, S. K. 2005. The changing face of fungal infections in health care settings. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **41**:1455–1460.
- Howard, S. J., and M. C. Arendrup. 2011. Acquired antifungal drug resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: epidemiology and detection. *Med. Mycol.* **49**(Suppl. 1):S90–S95.
- Howard, S. J., et al. 2009. Frequency and evolution of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* associated with treatment failure. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **15**:1068–1076.
- Lafaurie, M., et al. 2010. High rate of breakthrough invasive aspergillosis among patients receiving caspofungin for persistent fever and neutropenia. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **16**:1191–1196.
- Lass-Flori, C. 2009. Azole resistance in aspergillosis: the next threat. *Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep.* **3**:236–242.
- Madureira, A., et al. 2007. Breakthrough invasive aspergillosis in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients treated with caspofungin. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **30**:551–554.
- Messer, S. A., R. N. Jones, G. J. Moet, J. T. Kirby, and M. Castanheira. 2010. Potency of anidulafungin compared to nine other antifungal agents tested against *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp., and *Aspergillus* spp.: results from the global SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2008). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**:2984–2987.
- Miyazaki, M., T. Horii, K. Hata, and M. Watanabe. 2010. *In vitro* antifungal activity of E1210: a novel antifungal with activity against clinically important yeasts and moulds, abstr. F1-840. Proc. 50th Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., Boston, MA, 12 to 15 September 2010.
- Mortensen, K. L., et al. 2010. Environmental study of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* and other aspergilli in Austria, Denmark, and Spain. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **54**:4545–4549.
- Pavie, J., et al. 2005. Breakthrough disseminated *Aspergillus ustus* infection in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients receiving voriconazole or caspofungin prophylaxis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **43**:4902–4904.
- Pfaller, M. A., et al. 2011. Comparison of the broth microdilution methods of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing and the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute for testing itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole against *Aspergillus* isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **49**:1110–1112.
- Pfaller, M. A., et al. 2009. *In vitro* susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* spp. to anidulafungin, caspofungin, and micafungin: a head-to-head comparison using the CLSI M38-A2 broth microdilution method. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **47**:3323–3325.
- Pfaller, M. A., M. Castanheira, S. A. Messer, G. J. Moet, and R. N. Jones. 2011. Echinocandin and triazole antifungal susceptibility profiles for *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Aspergillus fumigatus*: application of new CLSI clinical breakpoints and epidemiological cutoff values to characterize resistance in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2009). *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **69**:45–50.
- Rodriguez-Tudela, J. L., et al. 2008. Epidemiological cutoffs and cross-resistance to azole drugs in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:2468–2472.
- Spanakis, E. K., G. Aperis, and E. Mylonakis. 2006. New agents for the treatment of fungal infections: clinical efficacy and gaps in coverage. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **43**:1060–1068.
- Sun, H. Y., and N. Singh. 2010. Characterisation of breakthrough invasive mycoses in echinocandin recipients: an evidence-based review. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **35**:211–218.
- Tsukahara, K., et al. 2003. Medicinal genetics approach towards identifying the molecular target of a novel inhibitor of fungal cell wall assembly. *Mol. Microbiol.* **48**:1029–1042.
- Umemura, M., et al. 2003. *GWT1* gene is required for inositol acylation of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**:23639–23647.
- Verweij, P. E., and M. E. Brandt. 2007. *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and other opportunistic moniliceous fungi, p. 1802–1838. In M. A. Pfaller (ed.), *Manual of clinical microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Verweij, P. E., S. J. Howard, W. J. Melchers, and D. W. Denning. 2009. Azole-resistance in *Aspergillus*: proposed nomenclature and breakpoints. *Drug Resist. Updat.* **12**:141–147.
- Walsh, T. J., et al. 2008. Treatment of aspergillosis: clinical practice guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **46**:327–360.
- Watanabe, N., T. Horii, M. Miyazaki, and K. Hata. 2010. E1210, a new broad-spectrum antifungal, inhibits glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) biosynthesis and affects *Candida albicans* cell characteristics, abstr. F1-841. Proc. 50th Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., Boston, MA, 12 to 15 September 2010.