

# Handling anticipated exceptions in clinical care: investigating clinician use of 'exit strategies' in an electronic health records system

Kai Zheng,<sup>1,2</sup> David A Hanauer,<sup>3</sup> Rema Padman,<sup>4</sup> Michael P Johnson,<sup>5</sup>  
Anwar A Hussain,<sup>6</sup> Wen Ye,<sup>7</sup> Xiaomu Zhou,<sup>8</sup> Herbert S Diamond<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Public Health  
Department of Health  
Management and Policy,  
University of Michigan, Ann  
Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Information,  
University of Michigan, Ann  
Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics,  
University of Michigan, Ann  
Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>4</sup>H John Heinz III College,  
Carnegie Mellon University,  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>5</sup>John W McCormack Graduate  
School of Policy Studies  
Department of Public Policy and  
Public Affairs, University of  
Massachusetts Boston, Boston,  
Massachusetts, USA

<sup>6</sup>Quality Outcomes, United  
Health Services Hospitals,  
Johnson City, New York, USA

<sup>7</sup>School of Public Health  
Department of Biostatistics,  
University of Michigan, Ann  
Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>8</sup>School of Communication and  
Information, Rutgers University,  
New Brunswick, New Jersey,  
USA

<sup>9</sup>Department of Medicine,  
Division of Rheumatology,  
University of Pittsburgh School  
of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA,  
USA

## Correspondence to

Dr Kai Zheng, School of Public  
Health Department of Health  
Management and Policy, School  
of Information, University of  
Michigan, M3531 SPH II, 109  
South Observatory Street, Ann  
Arbor, MI 48109-2029, USA;  
kzheng@umich.edu

Received 20 August 2010

Accepted 24 May 2011

Published Online First

14 June 2011

## ABSTRACT

Unpredictable yet frequently occurring exception situations pervade clinical care. Handling them properly often requires aberrant actions temporarily departing from normal practice. In this study, the authors investigated several exception-handling procedures provided in an electronic health records system for facilitating clinical documentation, which the authors refer to as 'data entry exit strategies.' Through a longitudinal analysis of computer-recorded usage data, the authors found that (1) utilization of the exit strategies was not affected by postimplementation system maturity or patient visit volume, suggesting clinicians' needs to 'exit' unwanted situations are persistent; and (2) clinician type and gender are strong predictors of exit-strategy usage. Drilldown analyses further revealed that the exit strategies were judiciously used and enabled actions that would be otherwise difficult or impossible. However, many data entries recorded via them could have been 'properly' documented, yet were not, and a considerable proportion containing temporary or incomplete information was never subsequently amended. These findings may have significant implications for the design of safer and more user-friendly point-of-care information systems for healthcare.

## INTRODUCTION

A medical facility is a complex, oftentimes turbulent environment full of unpredictable yet frequently occurring situations that require contingent actions deviating from normal practice, referred to as 'anticipated exceptions' in this paper. Failing to accommodate such anticipated exceptions in the design of a health information technology (HIT) system can introduce severe disruptions to clinical work.<sup>1–5</sup> For example, Han *et al* reported that not allowing medication orders to be placed prior to patient arrival, even for critically ill patients, was among the reasons for a suspected mortality increase following the implementation of a computerized prescriber order entry system.<sup>2–3</sup> Recent studies have also shown that many HIT-associated unintended consequences were attributable to simplistic, linear designs that hampered HIT systems' capability to manage complex exception situations.<sup>6–7</sup>

'Exit strategy' is a term commonly used in the military to describe tactics for escaping from unfavorable situations. In this paper, we borrow it to describe software features deliberately built into

HIT systems, electronic health records (EHRs) in particular, to handle anticipated exceptions. Our investigation was focused on a special class of EHR exit strategies: methods used to help clinicians temporarily address limitations imposed by structured data entry, which may prevent them from documenting, for example, certain patient care data that could not be easily classified or codified using a given taxonomy or nomenclature. While such exit strategies can be useful aids to reduce disruptions/delays and to prevent misinterpretation of the data in future patient care episodes or in research, they could also be misused as a speedy way of entering all types of patient care data—some of which perhaps could have been properly classified or codified with additional effort. Optimal approaches to providing such exit strategies, however, are unknown.

Through analyzing how end users utilized several exit strategies implemented in an ambulatory EHR system, we conducted an empirical examination of this intricate, double-edged nature of providing software-embedded exception-handling procedures. In this case report, we present the results of our evaluation of factors of use and clinical appropriateness of EHR exit strategies for structured documentation of clinical problems, medications, and observations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Setting

The empirical study was conducted in an ambulatory primary care practice at the Western Pennsylvania Hospital (WPH), a large urban teaching hospital located in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA. The EHR system, jointly developed by WPH practitioners and the research team (KZ, RP, MPJ, HSD), was designed to help the practice manage its daily operations and provide clinicians with electronic documentation and computerized decision-support capabilities.

The system was deployed in the study practice in June 2005. The research data collection began 3 months later and lasted 12 months. During this period, 34 residents, 10 attending physicians, and 10 nurses and physician assistants (PA) used the system in their day-to-day patient care activities.

### Types of exit strategies

The EHR system incorporated several exit strategies to accommodate a variety of clinical purposes. In this paper, we focus on the exit strategies

specifically designed to assist in clinicians' structured documentation of clinical data, collectively referred to as 'data entry exit strategies.'

Structured data entry requiring controlled medical vocabularies is used in two main documentation areas of the EHR system: (1) 'Current Problem List and Past Medical History' based on International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) Volume 1 and 2, referred to as 'Problems' hereafter; and (2) 'Active Medications and Medication History' ('Medications') based on FDA's National Drug Code Directory (NDC). Because documenting clinical data in a structured format is a very challenging task for frontline clinicians,<sup>8-10</sup> we implemented several features to facilitate structured data entry, such as a full-text vocabulary search function and a dynamic list of most frequently used codes in the past 30 days. The provision of these features, however, does not warrant full elimination of exception situations wherein clinicians may still fail to find an appropriate code, or may not be able to locate one in a timely manner. To help clinicians escape from such situations, we introduced an exit strategy that permits temporary documentation of problems/diagnoses or medication prescriptions under a 'Zero Code' (figure 1A). Data entered using this placeholder code are clearly flagged in a distinctive color and font in the EHR's user interface, and can be easily revisited and updated.

In the EHR system, clinical observations and physical examination results are documented using itemized templates provided on the 'Review of Systems' form and the 'Physical Exam' form, together referred to as 'RSPE' forms. These itemized templates (provided in appendix 1) were developed by the attending physicians in the study practice to encompass what they collectively considered to be most common and most essential RSPE data elements for capture in a structured format. Although documenting RSPE findings using the itemized templates is strongly preferred, categories labeled as 'Other' were made available on both forms in case the predefined classification schema might not be able to accommodate all types of RSPE data (figure 1B). In this paper, we refer to this exit strategy as 'RSPE-Other.'

### Evaluation methods

To examine whether the usage of the exit strategies may be associated with environmental variables or clinician characteristics, we performed a longitudinal analysis to relate their utilization rates to: (1) number of months elapsed since the EHR system's initial deployment (a surrogate measure of 'postimplementation system maturity'), (2) monthly patient visit volume of the study practice (a surrogate measure of its activity levels), (3) clinician type, and (4) gender (the study sample consisted of 14 female residents, five female attending physicians, and nine female nurse and PA users, out of a total number of 34, 10, and 10, respectively). We also incorporated in the model the total number of operations in which an exit strategy could be used by a clinician to represent the clinician's level of 'germane' clinical activities ('opportunities to use'). In the longitudinal analysis, a generalized estimating equation (GEE) with logistic link was employed to account for correlations between the observations obtained from the same users.<sup>11</sup>

Following the statistical analysis, we conducted an expert review to determine whether the clinical data entered using the exit strategies could have been documented via standard, recommended practice, that is, whether the clinicians' decision to 'exit' could be clinically justified. Two practicing physicians

(DAH, pediatrics; AAH, internal medicine) reviewed the data independently. First, they dichotomized each of these data entries as 'judged appropriate' versus 'judged inappropriate.' Then, through consensus development, they created a thematic structure of common types of exit strategy uses either as indicated by the clinicians in their narrative annotation, or as inferred by the two reviewers. Note that prior to the expert review, we used a computer program to flag the 'Zero Code' data not accompanied by any supplemental narratives as 'flagged inappropriate.' Such data were unlikely to be clinically meaningful and therefore were not reviewed by the expert reviewers.

### RESULTS

During the 12-month study period, the exit strategies were used to document 112 of 1622 (6.9%) problems and diagnoses, 243 of 2281 (10.7%) medication prescriptions, and 180 of 4385 (4.1%) RSPE annotations. A breakdown of the utilization rates by clinician type is provided in appendix 2.

The results of the longitudinal analysis, reported in table 1, show that the exit strategy utilization rates are not associated with postimplementation system maturity or a higher volume of patient visits. Residents, as compared to attending physicians, were more likely to resort to the 'Zero Code' strategy when documenting 'Problems' (95% CI (1.03 to 4.29),  $p < 0.05$ ), and gender is a significant predictor of the usage of 'Zero Code' provided on the 'Medications' form: male users tended to utilize this exit strategy nearly five times more often than females (95% CI (1.94 to 11.5),  $p < 0.001$ ). Further, the total number of germane clinical activities ('opportunities to use') did not significantly affect the utilization rates of each exit strategy.

The expert review results are shown in table 2. Seventeen problems and diagnoses (15.2%) and 69 medication prescriptions (28.4%) were flagged as inappropriate by the computer program. With a converging consensus (Cohen's kappa: 'Problems' 1.0; 'Medications' 0.95; 'RSPE-Other' 1.0), the two reviewers deemed a majority of the remaining data entered under 'Zero Code' inappropriate: they could have been properly coded yet were not, or were entered into a wrong EHR section where they did not belong (eg, certain 'Problems' data entries should be documented under 'Social History' instead). Among the 'Problems' entered under 'Zero Code', 14 were labeled as 'unable to judge.' Most of them sought to record uncertain findings at the point of documentation: the reviewers could not determine whether using exit strategies to document such data should be considered appropriate given the lack of knowledge regarding how to properly document clinical uncertainty in EHRs. Finally, both reviewers deemed a majority of the 'RSPE-Other' usage appropriate since such data could not be comfortably entered using the itemized templates.

### DISCUSSION

Incorporating exception-handling capabilities into EHRs, and HIT systems in general, may provide a potential means to streamline clinical work by temporarily suppressing disruptions and thus avoiding delays. However, such capabilities may be misused or exploited as a way to intentionally circumvent recommend practice. Through analyzing clinician utilization of several documentation-related exit strategies implemented in an ambulatory EHR system, this study aimed to empirically evaluate this double-edged nature of providing software-embedded procedures for handling exception situations.

**Figure 1** Illustration of the data entry exit strategies. (A) 'Zero Code' exit strategy provided on the 'Problems' form. (B) 'RSPE-Other' exit strategy provided on the 'Review of Systems' and 'Physical Exam' (RSPE) forms.

**A**

diabetes  OR AND

POPULAR CODES (Ranked by Frequency)		SEARCH RESULTS <span style="float: right;">18 records found</span>	
Code	Detail	Code	Caption
0	<b>THIS DIAGNOSIS IS ENTERED UNDER A TEMPORARY CODE</b>	250	<b>DIABETES MELLITUS</b> Excludes: gestational diabetes (648.8) hyperglycemia NOS (790.6) neonatal diabetes mellitus (775.1) nonclinical diabetes (790.29) The following fifth-digit subclassification is for use with category 250: 0 type II or unspecified type, not stated as uncontrolled Fifth-digit 0 is for use for type II patients, even if the patient requires insulin Use additional code, if applicable, for associated long-term (current) insulin use V58.67 1 type I [juvenile type], not stated as uncontrolled 2 type II or unspecified type, uncontrolled Use additional code, if applicable, for associated long-term (current) insulin use V58.67 Fifth-digit 2 is for use for type II, adult-onset, diabetic patients, even if the patient requires insulin 3 type I [juvenile type], uncontrolled
330.1	<b>CEREBRAL LIPIDOSES</b>	250.0	<b>DIABETES MELLITUS WITHOUT MENTION OF COMPLICATION</b> Diabetes mellitus without mention of complication or manifestation classifiable to 250.1-250.9 Diabetes (mellitus) NOS
		250.1	<b>DIABETES WITH KETOACIDOSIS</b> Diabetic: acidosis without mention of coma ketosis without mention of coma
		250.2	<b>DIABETES WITH HYPEROSMOLARITY</b> Hyperosmolar (nonketotic) coma

**B**

REVIEW OF SYSTEMS	PHYSICAL EXAM																																																																																																									
<p>Show All Hide All</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> CONSTITUTIONAL SYMPTOMS</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Abs</th> <th>Pre</th> <th>Clear</th> <th>Mark All as Absent</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>FEVER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><b>WEIGHT LOSS</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>WEIGHT GAIN</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>DECREASED ENERGY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>INCREASED FATIGUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>CHANGES IN SLEEP</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>DECREASED APPETITE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>DECREASED FUNCTIONALITY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>DAYTIME SOMNOLENCE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>SNORING</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="radio"/> OTHER</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> EYES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> EARS, NOSE, MOUTH, THROAT</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> RESPIRATORY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> CARDIOVASCULAR</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> G/I</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> G/U</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> GYNE/OBST</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MUSCULO SKELETAL</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> INTEGUMENTARY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NEUROLOGICAL</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PSYCHIATRIC</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ENDOCRINE</p>	Abs	Pre	Clear	Mark All as Absent		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	FEVER	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>WEIGHT LOSS</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	WEIGHT GAIN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED ENERGY	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	INCREASED FATIGUE	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	CHANGES IN SLEEP	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED APPETITE	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED FUNCTIONALITY	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DAYTIME SOMNOLENCE	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	SNORING	<p>Show All Hide All</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> APPEARANCE</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> SKIN</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> EYES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> EARS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NOSE</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MOUTH</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> THROAT</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NECK</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> RESP</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> CARD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> BREAST EXAM</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ABDOMEN</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MALE G/U</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> LYMPH NODES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MUSCULO SKELETAL</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Nor</th> <th>Abn</th> <th>Clear</th> <th>Mark All as Normal</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>JOINTS NORMAL</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>SCOLIOSIS ABSENT</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>NO SCOLIOSIS/KYPHOSIS</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>RANGE OF MOTION - DEFORMITY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>RANGE OF MOTION - TENDERNESS</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>RANGE OF MOTION - TEMPERATURE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>RANGE OF MOTION - SWELLING</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>RANGE OF MOTION - REDNESS</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td><input type="radio"/></td> <td>NO EVIDENCE OF RADICULOPATHY</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="radio"/> OTHER</p>	Nor	Abn	Clear	Mark All as Normal		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	JOINTS NORMAL	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	SCOLIOSIS ABSENT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	NO SCOLIOSIS/KYPHOSIS	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - DEFORMITY	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - TENDERNESS	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - TEMPERATURE	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - SWELLING	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - REDNESS	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	NO EVIDENCE OF RADICULOPATHY
Abs	Pre	Clear	Mark All as Absent																																																																																																							
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	FEVER																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>WEIGHT LOSS</b>																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	WEIGHT GAIN																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED ENERGY																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	INCREASED FATIGUE																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	CHANGES IN SLEEP																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED APPETITE																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DECREASED FUNCTIONALITY																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	DAYTIME SOMNOLENCE																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	SNORING																																																																																																						
Nor	Abn	Clear	Mark All as Normal																																																																																																							
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	JOINTS NORMAL																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	SCOLIOSIS ABSENT																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	NO SCOLIOSIS/KYPHOSIS																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - DEFORMITY																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - TENDERNESS																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - TEMPERATURE																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - SWELLING																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	RANGE OF MOTION - REDNESS																																																																																																						
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	NO EVIDENCE OF RADICULOPATHY																																																																																																						

### Usage patterns

The overall exit strategy utilization rates were low during the study period, indicating that the provision of these exception-handling procedures did not engender clinicians' over-reliance on them as a speedy way of entering data. Further, the two expert reviewers deemed a majority of the RSPE-Other annotations appropriate. This result suggests that clinicians' work, and likely their thought process while examining patients and docu-

menting clinical findings, could have been interrupted if this exit strategy were not available.

On the contrary, the two reviewers found most of the problems, diagnoses, and medications entered under 'Zero Code' could not be clinically justified, indicating that the clinician users either lacked a good understanding of the nature of medical coding or had difficulties in using the controlled medical vocabularies provided. This situation may become exacerbated

**Table 1** Longitudinal analysis results based on the generalized estimating equation (GEE) model

Independent variables	Dependent variable (monthly utilization rates)					
	'Zero code—problems'		'Zero code—medications'		'RSPE-other'	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Postimp. system maturity	1.15	(0.96 to 1.40)	1.00	(0.85 to 1.14)	1.00	(0.81 to 1.13)
Monthly visit volume	1.00	(1.00 to 1.01)	1.00	(0.99 to 1.01)	1.00	(0.99 to 1.00)
Residents	2.10*	(1.03 to 4.29)	0.94	(0.56 to 1.60)	1.36	(0.77 to 2.42)
Attending physicians	—	†	0.89	(0.56 to 1.42)]	—	†
Nurses and PAs	—	‡	—	†	Not applicable§	
Male	1.32	(0.77 to 2.27)	4.72**	(1.94 to 11.5)	1.52	(0.70 to 3.30)
Opportunities to use	0.99	(0.98 to 1.01)	1.00	(1.00 to 1.00)	1.00	(0.99 to 1.00)

\*p&lt;0.05, \*\*p&lt;0.001.

†Reference group.

‡No usage recorded.

§The nurse and PA users' clinical responsibilities did not involve documentation of the 'Review of Systems' and 'Physical Exam' (RSPE) findings.

**Table 2** Expert review results

Flagged inappropriate	Judged appropriate	Judged inappropriate				Unable to judge
		Valid problems or diagnoses that could have been coded		Not germane to 'problems'		
				Procedures	Other	
<b>2A. 'Problems' (n=112)</b>						
17 (15.2%)	0	61 (54.5%)		2 (1.8%)	18 (16.1%)	14 (12.5%)
—	—	'posttraumatic stress— attacked by pitbulls 2004', 'Parkinson's disease'		's/p roux en y gastric bypass', 'splenectomy'	'Driver's Physical— Approved', 'Colon cancer screening'	'2 Small ulcers?? on the uvula', 'disc exam limited'
<b>2B. 'Medications' (n=243)</b>						
Flagged inappropriate	Judged appropriate	Valid medication prescriptions that could have been coded			Not germane to 'medications'	Unable to judge
		Vitamin or supplements	Aspirin	Other		
69 (28.4%)	21 (8.6%)	60 (24.7%)	28 (11.5%)	65 (26.7%)	0	0
—	'Flax seed oil capsule', 'sleeping pill'	'Oscal D 1250 mg', 'multivitamin'	'ASA 81MG OD', 'aspirin 81 mg qd'	'CELEXA 40MG', 'Tylenol 325 mg'	—	—
<b>2C. 'RSPE' annotations (n=180)</b>						
Flagged inappropriate	Judged appropriate	Judged inappropriate		Not germane to RSPE		Unable to judge
		Miscategorized*				
—	166 (92.2%)	3 (1.7%)		11 (6.1%)		0
	'bruising on arms', 'pedal edema'	—		'see hpi', 'previous hysterectomy approximately 7 years ago'		—

\* 'Review of Systems' data mistakenly entered into the 'Physical Exam' section, or vice versa. RSPE, 'Review of Systems' and 'Physical Exam.'

as the healthcare system in the USA migrates to more complex coding systems such as ICD-10-CM and Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT), and the coding responsibilities increasingly shift from professional coders to frontline clinicians.

The longitudinal analysis of exit strategy usage did not reveal any declining trends over time, suggesting that the learning and adaptation effect was not an influential factor, or its influences might have already been diminished when the data collection of this study began 3 months after the EHR system went live. Similarly, the monthly patient visit volume of the study practice did not have a significant impact on exit strategy usage; nor did the amount of germane clinical activities by individual users. These findings suggest that clinicians' needs to exit unwanted situation might be persistent regardless of environmental influences.

Additionally, different types of clinicians demonstrated distinct usage patterns. Residents were more likely to resort to the 'Zero Code—Problems' strategy than attending physicians,

and male users utilized 'Zero Code—Medications' much more often than females. These findings suggest that EHR training strategies should be tailored based on the characteristics of users, in anticipation that certain behaviors might be particularly prominent among certain user groups. Further, it may be also possible to use adaptive designs in EHR systems to cater to unique needs and preferences of clinicians with distinct backgrounds, such as different levels of medical training.

### Reasons for resorting to the data entry exit strategies

The tension between structured and narrative documentation has been well recognized.<sup>10</sup> The data-entry exit strategies described in this paper may provide a solution to mitigating this tension by facilitating the capture of structured data while preserving certain information elements that cannot be adequately accommodated by structured forms. For example, in several instances, 'Zero Code' was used to document pertinent negatives (action performed while no findings resulted), for example, '(the patient is) on no meds at this time.' On a paper

form, clinicians can add an annotation in any convenient spot to indicate pertinent negatives, while on a computerized structured data entry form, making such a note can be rather difficult unless the function is explicitly provided.

Further, a significant number of 'Zero Codes' entered through the 'Problems' form were used to express clinical uncertainty at the point of documentation—for example:

- ▶ 'Submandibular space infection-Lymphadenitis vs Ludwig's angina (unlikely). Would treat with Abx. Ctu peroxide mouth rinse. F/U with Oral surgeon'
- ▶ 'Diarrhea—seems to be of acute nature will check cbc and bmp and lft'
- ▶ 'Questionable hx of Crohns'

Attempting to interpret the clinicians' rationale behind these narrative annotations raised a number of interesting questions pertaining to EHR design: (1) Should such data, of a clearly work-in-progress nature, be entered into EHRs which would then become part of the patient's legal medical record? (2) Should such data be recorded in the 'Current Problem List and Past Medical History' section or in another, perhaps more appropriate 'Transitory Information' section? (3) Should a deterministic, billable code be mandated, even if the clinical findings are not yet certain at the point of data entry? (4) Would a probabilistic scale allowing indication of the degree of uncertainty increase the value of codified data, and if so, how should it be implemented?

Seeking answers to these questions is beyond the scope of this case report. However, the fact that the clinicians repeatedly resorted to exit strategies to enter such data suggests that structured data-entry forms might not adequately support their documentation needs and, perhaps more importantly, their mental model of clinical reasoning.

### Lessons learned

Despite the demonstrated value of providing exit strategies through EHRs, our analysis did highlight several issues of concern. Although exit strategies enabled actions that would be otherwise difficult or impossible, many data entries recorded via these exception-handling procedures could have been 'properly' documented according to recommended practice, yet were not, and a significant proportion containing temporary or incomplete information were never subsequently amended.

That the utilization rates of the data-entry exit strategies were associated neither with postimplementation system maturity nor with patient visit volume, suggests the clinicians' tendency to resort to exit strategies might have become part of their work routine. Hence, the exit strategies provided in the EHR system—legitimate 'workaround' solutions to a degree—could be responsible for diminishing the clinicians' motivation to adhere to recommended practices. Close monitoring of such potential unintended consequences is therefore needed. When exit strategies must be provided to allow for the handling of extreme situations, mechanisms should be in place to ensure that the residuals as a result of aberrant actions, such as placeholder data entered to temporarily accelerate clinical work, will be promptly rectified.

### Study limitations

The findings of this empirical research should be interpreted within the boundary of its limitations. First, the idiosyncrasies of the EHR system, as well as those of the study clinic, might give rise to unique exit strategy utilization behaviors not generalizable to other settings. Second, in this investigation, we only used computer-recorded data to infer reasons underlying

the exit strategy usage, which limited our ability to understand the root causes of the exception situations that clinicians had to cope with. Future work is needed to study and address the sources of such exception situations, so that the need to handle them can be minimized.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to the Western Pennsylvania Hospital where the empirical study was conducted, and all participating clinicians whose enthusiasm and patience made this research possible.

**Funding** This work was supported in part by Grant #D28HP10107 received from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and Grant # UL1RR024986 received from the National Center for Research Resources, a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** Institutional Review Board, the Western Pennsylvania Hospital.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

### REFERENCES

1. **Koppel R**, Metlay JP, Cohen A, *et al*. Role of computerized physician order entry systems in facilitating medication errors. *JAMA* 2005;**293**:1197–203.
2. **Han YY**, Carcillo JA, Venkataraman ST, *et al*. Unexpected increased mortality after implementation of a commercially sold computerized physician order entry system. *Pediatrics* 2005;**116**:1506–12.
3. **Sittig DF**, Ash JS, Zhang J, *et al*. Lessons from 'Unexpected increased mortality after implementation of a commercially sold computerized physician order entry system'. *Pediatrics* 2006;**118**:797–801.
4. **Campbell EM**, Sittig DF, Ash JS, *et al*. Types of unintended consequences related to computerized provider order entry. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2006;**13**:547–56.
5. **Ash JS**, Sittig DF, Poon EG, *et al*. The extent and importance of unintended consequences related to computerized provider order entry. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2007;**14**:415–23.
6. **Niazkhani Z**, Pirnejad H, Berg M, *et al*. The impact of computerized provider order entry (CPOE) systems on inpatient clinical workflow: a literature review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2009;**16**:539–49.
7. **National Research Council**. *Computational Technology for Effective Health Care: Immediate Steps and Strategic Directions*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2009.
8. **Hartzband P**, Groopman J. Off the record—avoiding the pitfalls of going electronic. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**358**:1656–8.
9. **Schiff GD**, Bates DW. Can electronic clinical documentation help prevent diagnostic errors? *N Engl J Med* 2010;**362**:1066–9.
10. **Rosenbloom ST**, Denny JC, Xu H, *et al*. Data from clinical notes: a perspective on the tension between structure and flexible documentation. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2011;**18**:181–6.
11. **Liang KY**, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika* 1986;**73**:13–22.

### APPENDIX 1 Itemized templates of the 'review of systems' and 'physical exam' forms

#### 1. Review of systems

##### Constitutional symptoms

- Fever
- Weight loss
- Weight gain
- Decreased energy
- Increased fatigue
- Changes in sleep
- Decreased appetite
- Decreased functionality
- Daytime somnolence
- Snoring
- Other

##### Eyes

- Decreased vision
- Pain
- Red
- Double vision
- Discharge/watering
- Other

##### Ears, nose, mouth, throat

- Discharge
- Hearing loss

Dysphagia  
 Ulcers  
 Sore throat  
 Earache  
 Facial pain  
 Nasal block  
 Other  
 Respiratory  
 Dry cough  
 Dyspnea  
 Hemoptysis  
 Wheezing  
 Productive cough  
 Last CXR  
 PPD  
 Hoarseness  
 Other  
 Cardiovascular  
 DOE  
 Chest pain  
 Palpitations  
 Peripheral edema  
 PND  
 Orthopnea  
 Other  
 G/I  
 Nausea/vomiting  
 Early satiety  
 Reflux  
 Odynophagia  
 Abdominal pain  
 Hematemesis  
 Change in bowel habits  
 Melena  
 Hematochezia  
 Other  
 G/U  
 Dysuria  
 Increased frequency  
 Decreased flow  
 Hematuria  
 History of UTI  
 Urgency  
 Poor stream  
 Discharge  
 Incontinence  
 Other  
 GYNE/OBST  
 Menstrual periods  
 Perimenstrual problems  
 h/o PID  
 Other  
 Musculo skeletal  
 Muscle weakness  
 Cramping  
 Muscle pain  
 Morning stiffness  
 Other  
 Integumentary  
 Mole changes  
 Rash  
 Sun damage  
 Hx of skin cancer  
 Joint pain  
 Other  
 Neurological  
 Headache  
 Weakness  
 Paresthesias  
 Seizures  
 Headtrauma  
 Hx CVA  
 Abnormal speech  
 Abnormal gait and coordination  
 Neuropathic pain  
 Altered mental status  
 Radiculopathy  
 Forgetfulness

Other  
 Psychiatric  
 Mood  
 Anxiety  
 Sleep  
 Sleep  
 Suicidal ideation  
 Psychiatric disorders  
 Other  
 Endocrine  
 Fatigue  
 Polyuria  
 Polydipsia  
 Polyphagia  
 Thyroid disease  
 Other  
 Back  
 Pain  
 Injury  
 Other  
 Breast  
 Mass  
 Discharge  
 Skin changes  
 Other  
 Hematologic  
 Hx anemia  
 Easy bruising  
 Hx blood transfusion  
 Other  
 Allergic/immunologic  
 Rhinitis  
 Wheezing  
 Hives  
 Pruritus  
 Watery eyes  
 Other

## 2. Physical exam

Appearance  
 Appearance of patient  
 Alert and oriented  
 No distress  
 Other  
 Skin  
 No rashes, lesions or ulcers, no discoloration  
 Warm and dry, normal turgor  
 Other  
 Eyes  
 Sclera white  
 Conjunctivae clear  
 EOMI  
 Lids without lag  
 PERRLA  
 Discs flat  
 No hemorrhages or exudates  
 Other  
 Ears  
 Tympanic membranes translucent  
 Canal walls without discharge  
 Hearing non-impaired  
 No TM perforation  
 No TM bulge  
 Other  
 Nose  
 Mucosa and turbinates pink  
 Septum midline  
 Other  
 Mouth  
 Lips pink and symmetrical  
 Gums healthy  
 Oral mucosa without lesions  
 Normal dentition  
 Dental hygiene  
 Other  
 Throat

Tongue without lesions  
 No erythema/congestion  
 Normal tonsils  
 No PND  
 Other

Neck  
 Full ROM, trachea midline  
 No thyromegaly  
 No lymphadenopathy  
 No bruits  
 Other

Resp  
 Normal respiration rate, unlabored  
 Lung fields  
 Sounds  
 Wheeze  
 Crackles  
 Other

Card  
 No lifts, heaves, or thrills  
 S1 and S2 normal  
 RRR  
 No JVD  
 Normal pedal pulse  
 No murmurs, gallops, clicks  
 Other

Breast exam  
 Breasts symmetrical  
 No lumps, masses, discharge or tenderness  
 Other

Abdomen  
 No bruits  
 Normoactive bowel sounds  
 No masses or tenderness  
 No hepatosplenomegaly  
 No hernias  
 Rectal, normal tone, no hemorrhoids or masses  
 Rectal refused  
 No guarding/rebound/tenderness  
 Other

Male G/U  
 Scrotum, testes, without tenderness, swelling or masses  
 No penile discharge, lesions  
 Prostate normal  
 Other

Female G/U  
 No external masses, lesions, scars, rashes, or swelling of vulva  
 Labia, clitoris, vaginal orifice, and urethral meatus intact without discharge  
 Bladder, non-bulging, non-tender  
 Cervix pink without lesions, odor, or discharge  
 Uterus midline, non-tender, firm and smooth  
 No adnexal masses or tenderness  
 Other

Lymph nodes  
 No neck lymphadenopathy  
 No axillary lymphadenopathy  
 No groin lymphadenopathy  
 Other

Musculo skeletal  
 Joints normal  
 No scoliosis/kyphosis  
 Range of motion—deformity  
 Range of motion—tenderness

Range of motion—temperature  
 Range of motion—swelling  
 Range of motion—redness  
 No evidence of radiculopathy  
 Other

Extremities  
 No clubbing, cyanosis  
 No muscle atrophy or weakness  
 No calf tenderness  
 No edema  
 Normal Peripheral pulses  
 No ulcers  
 No chronic venous stasis  
 Other

Neurologic  
 Cranial nerves intact  
 Normal deep tendon reflexes  
 Superficial touch and pain sensation intact bilaterally  
 Normal muscle strength  
 Normal muscle tone  
 Babinski absent  
 Gait coordinated and smooth  
 Cerebellar functions normal  
 Normal memory  
 Other

Psychiatric  
 Normal judgment and insight  
 Alert and oriented  $\times 3$   
 Recent and remote memory intact  
 No mood disorders noted, appropriate affect  
 Other

## APPENDIX 2 Utilization rates of the data-entry exit strategies by clinician type

Measure	Clinician type					
	Residents (n = 34)		Attending physicians (n = 10)		Nurses and PAs (n = 10)	
	n	%*	n	%*	n	%*
Total no of problems and diagnoses	998	61.5	559	34.5	65	4.0
Entered under 'Zero Code'	79	7.9	33	5.9	0	0
Total no of medication prescriptions	986	43.2	484	21.2	811	35.6
Entered under 'Zero Code'	76	7.7	46	9.5	121	14.9
Total no of 'Review of Systems' and 'Physical Exam' annotations	3421	78.0	964	22.0	Not applicable†	
Entered into 'Other' categories	137	4.0	43	4.5	Not applicable†	

\*The percentage cells in the 'Total no' rows report the proportion distribution across the three user types, and the percentage cells in the exit strategy rows report the ratio of data entered through the exit strategy by users of the respective clinician type groups.

†The nurse and PA users' clinical role did not involve the documentation of RSPE findings.