Nonlinear acoustic complexity in a fish 'two-voice' system

Aaron N. Rice^{1,3,*}, Bruce R. Land^{1,2} and Andrew H. Bass^{1,*}

¹Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, and ²School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

³ Bioacoustics Research Program, Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

Acoustic signals play essential roles in social communication and show a strong selection for novel morphologies leading to increased call complexity in many taxa. Among vertebrates, repeated innovations in the larynges of frogs and mammals and the syrinx of songbirds have enhanced the spectro-temporal content, and hence the diversity of vocalizations. This acoustic diversification includes nonlinear characteristics that expand frequency profiles beyond the traditional categorization of harmonic and broadband calls. Fishes have remained a notable exception to evidence for such acoustic innovations among vertebrates, despite their being the largest group of living vertebrates that also exhibit widespread evolution of sound production. Here, we combine rigorous acoustic and mathematical analyses with experimental silencing of the vocal motor system to show how a novel swim bladder mechanism in a toadfish enables it to generate calls exhibiting nonlinearities like those found among frogs, birds and mammals, including primates. By showing that fishes have evolved nonlinear acoustic signalling like all other major lineages of vocal vertebrates, these results suggest strong selection pressure favouring this mechanism to enrich the spectro-temporal content and complexity of vocal signals.

> Keywords: acoustic communication; Batrachoididae; deterministic chaos; sound production; swim bladder; toadfish

1. INTRODUCTION

Acoustic signals play essential roles in social communication to mediate inter- and intraspecific interactions [\[1\]](#page-5-0). Across the diversity of vocal vertebrates—from fishes to frogs, songbirds and mammals—analysis of communication signals from these taxonomic groups suggests a strong selection for increased call complexity [\[2](#page-5-0)–[7](#page-5-0)]. Complexity in acoustic signals has traditionally been described by patterns of frequency and/or amplitude modulation, or the sequential combination of harmonic and broadband elements [\[1,4,7\]](#page-5-0). However, recent application of chaos theory and nonlinear time-series analysis to animal calls [\[4,8](#page-5-0)] has revealed a new metric of acoustic complexity that expands frequency profiles beyond this dichotomous categorization of harmonic and broadband calls in both vertebrate [\[1,4,7,8\]](#page-5-0) and invertebrate lineages $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$.

Vertebrates' ability to produce nonlinear acoustic signals is facilitated by specific vocal morphologies, as shown for the larynges of frogs and mammals [[4](#page-5-0),[7](#page-5-0)] and the syrinx of songbirds $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$. Songbirds exhibit biphonation ('two-voices'), the most thoroughly studied vocal mechanism capable of producing nonlinear signals, which arises from the ability of each side of the syrinx to independently produce temporally overlapping sounds (e.g. [\[12,14](#page-5-0),[15\]](#page-5-0)). Bilateral morphological structures appear to

facilitate such acoustic innovations in birds, as well as amphibians and mammals [\[4](#page-5-0),[7,14\]](#page-5-0).

Fishes have remained a notable exception to a demonstration of such acoustic innovations, despite their being the largest group of living vertebrates with evidence for widespread evolution of sound production [[16](#page-5-0)]. Toadfishes are one of the best-studied groups of vocal fishes, producing several types of advertisement and agonistic calls that play essential roles in mate attraction and territoriality [[5](#page-5-0),[17,18\]](#page-5-0). The toadfish vocal organ, the swim bladder, is typically a single structure in toadfishes and most other fishes using this sonic mechanism [[17](#page-5-0),[19](#page-5-0)]. Here, through mathematical modelling of acoustic signals and experimental silencing of the vocal organ, we demonstrate that the bilaterally separated swim bladder in the three-spined toadfish, Batrachomoeus trispinosus, has facilitated a concomitant acoustic innovation resulting in nonlinear acoustic complexity previously only observed in tetrapods. Novel vocal morphologies have thus led to nonlinear acoustic signalling among fishes, convergent with both the morphologies and signals underlying tetrapod communication.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Batrachomoeus trispinosus (Günther) were acquired through the aquarium trade and maintained in community aquaria in an environmental control room maintained on a 13 L : 11 D cycle. Main room lights were switched off from 20.00 to 07.00 h, with the lunar cycle replicated by an incandescent moonlight timer [\[5\]](#page-5-0). All methods used for animal husbandry and acoustic recording are described fully elsewhere [\[5](#page-5-0)].

PROCEEDINGS \overline{OP} THE ROYAL **SOCIETY**

^{*}Authors for correspondence (arice@cornell.edu; [ahb3@cornell.](mailto:ahb3@cornell.edu) [edu\)](mailto:ahb3@cornell.edu).

Electronic supplementary material is available at [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0656) [10.1098/rspb.2011.0656](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0656) or via [http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org.](http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org)

(a) Experimental acoustic recording

Three different treatment groups of animals were used for experiments. (i) Control animals ($n = 6$) were housed communally (150 l aquaria) to allow for recording of sounds in social contexts. (ii) Fish in the experimental group to undergo swim bladder nerve transection $(n = 4)$ were housed individually (38 l aquaria) and separately recorded in isolation (76 l aquarium with no gravel or filter to create background noise). (iii) Sham-operated fish ($n = 6$), to determine whether the experimental surgery limited the fish's ability to produce nonlinear vocalizations, were housed communally (150 l aquaria) to maximize the occurrence of social vocalizations.

For the experimental fish, grunts were elicited from individuals by chasing them by hand. After multiple sounds (more than five) were recorded from each individual, fish had the left $(n = 2)$ or right $(n = 2)$ swim bladder muscle nerve transected. Following recovery, grunts were elicited from these experimental fish to allow for the direct comparison of pre-operative and post-operative sounds, enabling each animal to serve as its own control.

(b) Surgery

Fish were anaesthetized by immersion in 0.025 per cent benzocaine [\[5](#page-5-0)] and were randomly assigned to either an experimental group $(n = 4)$ where the vocal motor nerve was transected or a sham-surgery control group $(n = 6)$, where only the body wall was cut, but the vocal motor nerve remained intact. For the experimental group, a small incision was made on the left $(n = 2)$ or right $(n = 1)$ 2) side of the body wall (randomly chosen), and the vocal motor nerve was cut. For the sham operation, only a small incision was made in the left $(n = 3)$ or right $(n = 3)$ side of the body wall (randomly chosen). The surgical site was closed with silk suture, sealed with Vetbond tissue adhesive (3M Corporation, Saint Paul, MN, USA), and the fish was allowed to recover for at least one week before sound recordings. Following deep anaesthetization, all experimental fish were euthanized at the end of the experiments to verify the presence and absence (sham-operated) of transections.

(c) Acoustic analysis

Sounds were recorded as in Rice & Bass [[5\]](#page-5-0), and spectrograms were qualitatively analysed using the RAVEN 1.4 software package $[20]$ $[20]$ (Hann window, FFT size = 2048, 95% overlap). Mean $(\pm s.e.)$ power levels at each frequency were calculated from power spectra for calls from both intact and transected animals exported from AUDACITY ([http://audacity.sourceforge.net\)](http://audacity.sourceforge.net). Nonlinear features were quantitatively analysed with the TSTOOL analysis package for MATLAB [[21\]](#page-6-0), a nonlinear time-series analysis program. For calls analysed with TSTOOL, all calls were down-sampled to the same sampling frequency of 22.05 kHz. Incidental ambient noise (such as aquarium filters or sounds generated by fish movement) was filtered out of the recordings (using RAVEN or AUDACITY); however, filtering of this noise did not substantially change the results of the analysis, as the analysis on different permutations of the sound (e.g. different levels of background noise, different sampling frequencies) was remarkably consistent. We also experimented with the nonlinear analysis of synthetically designed signals in AUDACITY (100 Hz sine wave, 100 Hz square wave, 75 Hz combined with 105 Hz sine waves to produce biphonation, white noise); those results (not shown) were consistent with our findings of the presence and absence of nonlinear phenomena in natural signals.

(d) Statistical analysis

Calls from intact and transected animals were quantitatively compared using spectrographic cross-correlation (SPCC) followed by principal coordinates analysis (PCO), following Rice & Bass [\[5](#page-5-0)] and Cortopassi & Bradbury [\[22](#page-6-0)]. SPCC was performed by cross-correlation, based on the peaks of the signals using the batch correlator function in RAVEN with a Hann window, $FFT = 2048$ and 95 per cent overlap settings (used for the qualitative spectrographic analysis, as above). All sounds were bandpass filtered from 0 to 3000 Hz to reduce the effects of background noise. A total of 82 sounds $(n = 22$ intact; $n = 60$ transected) were included in the SPCC analysis, resulting in a total of 6724 sound comparisons. The resulting similarity matrix generated from the SPCC was converted to a distance matrix (distance $= 1 -$ similarity) and analysed with a PCO using the PCoord script in R [\[23](#page-6-0)], following Cortopassi & Bradbury [\[22](#page-6-0)]. Eigenvectors from coordinate axes 1–4 (all with eigenvalues greater than 1) from the SPCC–PCO were statistically analysed with a nested MANOVA, in order to examine differences between treatment groups while still accounting for differences between fishes. Any additional statistical analyses were performed in JMP 5.0.1.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The three-spined toadfish, B. trispinosus, produces two major types of social vocalization: advertisement 'hoots' and agonistic 'grunts' [\[5\]](#page-5-0). Visual analysis of the spectrograms of naturally elicited calls from communally housed fish $(n = 6)$ revealed that 38.0 per cent of hoots (275/723) and 34.1 per cent of grunts (177/519) exhibited at least one form of nonlinearity, including deterministic chaos (267 hoots, 52 grunts; [figure 1](#page-2-0)a), subharmonics (33 hoots, 68 grunts; [figure](#page-2-0) $1a$ $1a$), biphonation (four hoots, 12 grunts; [figure 1](#page-2-0)c), frequency jumps (two hoots, eight grunts; figure $1d$) and bifurcation (two hoots, seven grunts; figure $1e$). These different classes of nonlinear sounds are readily identifiable through spectrographic analysis [\[4](#page-5-0)[,24,25](#page-6-0)]. Deterministic chaos is the combination of a harmonic series coincident with increased levels of broadband energy. Subharmonics are the appearance of frequency bands directly related to some consistent fraction (e.g. a quarter or half) of the frequencies in the original harmonic series. Biphonation is the overlap of two independent (i.e. not-harmonically related) sounds, often referred to as 'two-voices'; when these two sound series are close in their fundamental frequency, they result in the production of acoustic beats [[5,12](#page-5-0)]. A frequency jump is an abrupt upward shift in all frequency components. Bifurcations are evident when harmonic bands appear to 'split' and are often a transition between linear and nonlinear states (usually deterministic chaos).

We analysed the number of linear versus nonlinear hoots and grunts per two hour time period (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Nonlinear hoots became prevalent at 23.00 and increased in number

Figure 1. Acoustic analysis of linear and nonlinear sounds in the three-spined toadfish. (a) Spectrogram of representative advertisement sound ('hoot') of three-spined toadfish (B. trispinosus) showing linear and nonlinear features (with labelled bars above sections), including deterministic chaos and subharmonics (indicated with arrows). Inset shows waveform of this call; here and in $(c) - (e)$, x-axis tick marks represent 0.25 s, for both the oscillogram and the larger spectrogram. (b) Power spectra corresponding to spectrogram in (a) , showing the frequency spectra of linear (grey) and nonlinear (black) portions of the call. Arrows indicate subharmonics. (c) Spectrogram of representative agonistic sound ('grunt') exhibiting biphonation, with arrows indicating second phonant series. Inset shows the sound's waveform. (d) Spectrogram of a grunt exhibiting a frequency jump, with arrows indicating the occurrence of the jump; the inset shows the sound's waveform. (e) Spectrogram of a grunt exhibiting a bifurcation, with arrows indicating the bifurcating harmonic bands; the inset shows the sound's waveform. (f) Averaged power spectra $(+s.e.)$ of a subset of agonistic sounds of fish from transection experiments with intact swim bladder nerves from four fish (13 representative sounds) with nonlinear (black) and linear (light grey) components, versus sounds from the same four fish with one transected nerve (dark grey, 32 calls). The inset shows the spectrogram of an agonistic grunt from a fish with a transected swim bladder nerve showing only linear harmonic features (for comparison with (c)). The y-axis represents relative power (dB) , and the *x*-axis represents time (s) .

until 5.00. Linear hoots peaked in number during a more restricted time period (23.00–3.00 h) before starting a sharp decline as the occurrence of nonlinear hoots peaked (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1a). Conversely, nonlinear grunts were most frequent between 21.00 and 23.00 h—threefold greater in number than linear grunts, which were most often from 3.00 to 5.00 h (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1b). During the lunar cycle, all nonlinear calls, hoots

and grunts predominantly increased in their occurrence around the full moon, whereas linear calls were highest at the waxing half-moon and approaching the new moon (see electronic supplementary material, figure $S1c$).

Like the chaotic calls from other vertebrates [[4,](#page-5-0)[24](#page-6-0),[25](#page-6-0)], the spectrograms revealed co-occurrence of harmonic structure and broadband energy (i.e. deterministic chaos) that was independent of harmonics. For example, 40.5 per cent of hoots with deterministic chaos, the

predominant nonlinearity, had an initial linear section followed by a chaotic nonlinear section (figure $1a$). A statistical analysis of a subset of these calls $(n = 9)$ reveals significantly more amplitude in chaotic portions of the calls for the first four harmonics; the frequency range of these harmonics corresponds to the range of greatest hearing sensitivity in toadfishes [[5\]](#page-5-0) (two-tailed paired t-tests followed by Bonferroni correction [[26](#page-6-0)]; F_0 : $t_8 = -5.92, p < 0.0004; F_1: t_8 = -6.46, p < 0.0002;$ F_2 : $t_8 = -7.48$, $p < 0.0001$; F_3 : $t_8 = -8.43$, $p <$ 0.00002; figure $1a,b$).

For a more rigorous mathematical analysis to compare nonlinearities in toadfish vocalizations with those reported for tetrapods [[4\]](#page-5-0), we employed three analytical tools derived from chaos theory [[8,](#page-5-0)[27](#page-6-0)]: phase-space plots, Poincaré sections and recurrence plots. We chose a chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes) pant-hoot and cockatoo (Cacatua galerita) scream as exemplars of tetrapod calls previously characterized as exhibiting deterministic chaos [[4,](#page-5-0)[28](#page-6-0)]. Like the spectrogram of three-spined toadfish calls ([figure 2](#page-4-0)a, shown on a slower time scale than figure $1a$ to facilitate comparisons with other species), the chimpanzee (figure $2c$) and cockatoo (electronic supplementary material, figure S2q) calls showed transitions from stable harmonics to a combination of harmonic and broadband features indicative of deterministic chaos [\[4,7,8](#page-5-0)[,27\]](#page-6-0). The hoot-like calls of two other closely related toadfishes, the plainfin midshipman (Porichthys notatus) and the gulf toadfish (Opsanus beta) [\[5](#page-5-0)[,29,30](#page-6-0)], were analysed to demonstrate the evolutionary novelty of nonlinear calls among toadfishes. Unlike three-spined toadfish, chimpanzee and cockatoo, spectrograms from midshipman ([figure 2](#page-4-0)e; electronic supplementary material, figure S2e) and gulf toadfish (electronic supplementary material, figure S2i) showed a stable harmonic stack.

Phase-space representations show the progression of a signal through time as a function of its first (x) , second (y) and third (z) derivatives $[4,8,27]$ $[4,8,27]$. In chaotic nonlinear signals, the phase-space plot has a distinctive threedimensional, aperiodic structure, whereas linear signals are periodic and often two-dimensional [\[4,8,](#page-5-0)[27\]](#page-6-0). The three-spined toadfish advertisement hoot (see [figure 2](#page-4-0)b and electronic supplementary material, figure S2b for animations) was three-dimensional and aperiodic like the chimpanzee pant-hoot [\(figure 2](#page-4-0)d; electronic supplementary material, figure $S2n$) and cockatoo scream (electronic supplementary material, figure $S2r$). By contrast, the advertisement calls of the plainfin midshipman [\(figure 2](#page-4-0)f; electronic supplementary material, figure $S2f$) and gulf toadfish (electronic supplementary material, figure S2j) were periodic and largely restricted to two dimensions in phase space.

Poincaré sections illustrate the instantaneous cross section through the signal's phase-space plot [\[8](#page-5-0)]. A strongly two-dimensional distribution of points in a Poincaré map confirms the internal three-dimensional structure of the phase-space plot, and thus the deterministically chaotic nature of the signal in phase space [\[4,8\]](#page-5-0). Additionally, Poincaré sections in which the points 'mix' by stretching and folding are the best sign of deterministic chaos [[8](#page-5-0)]. For toadfish and tetrapod calls studied here, animations of Poincare´ sections showed the changing nature of these analyses at each point along the signal's progression.

The animations suggested that the chaotic calls of threespined toadfish, chimpanzee and cockatoo had more mixing of points in the sections, further emphasizing their structural similarities (electronic supplementary material, figure S2c,o,s).

Recurrence plots determine whether signals are chaotic by representing the times at which a signal passes through the same position in phase space [\[27\]](#page-6-0). In systems with deterministic chaos, signals pass through the same point in phase space irregularly, while linear systems exhibit a clear pattern of recurrence [\[27\]](#page-6-0). These distinctions were again visible in the calls of the three-spined toadfish, chimpanzee and cockatoo, but not in the calls of either midshipman or gulf toadfish (electronic supplementary material, figure S2d,h,e,p,t). Together, the use of recurrence plots and the other nonlinear time-series analyses strongly supported nonlinear phenomena (and not stochastic noise) as the cause for the observed spectra of three-spined toadfish calls, as well as those of chimpanzee and cockatoo.

We took advantage of the readily accessible toadfish sonic organ, the swim bladder, to test the proposed role of coupled peripheral oscillators in generating chaotic calls [\[4,7](#page-5-0),[12](#page-5-0)–[14\]](#page-5-0). Like other toadfishes, the three-spined toadfish's swim bladder has a single vocal muscle completely attached to each bladder wall; however, unlike any other known fish species, there are two completely separate bladders, each with one muscle [[5\]](#page-5-0). While three-spined toadfish hoots are only produced in social contexts [\[5](#page-5-0)], grunts can be elicited from individually housed/identified fish. Surgical transection of the vocal motor nerve completely eliminated each individual's prior ability to produce grunts with any nonlinear phenomena, leaving them only with linear calls ([figure 1](#page-2-0)*f*, inset shows spectrogram). SPCC and PCO followed by a nested MANOVA on principal coordinates 1–4 (accounting for 30% of the total variation in the signal) showed significant overall differences in call structure from each fish before and after the transection $(F_{7,74} = 28.075, p \le 0.0001)$.

From the four transected fish, 28 calls were produced before nerve transection, 18 of which were nonlinear (deterministic chaos: $n = 8$; biphonation: $n = 4$; bifurcation: $n = 4$; subharmonics: $n = 2$). Following transection, 44 calls were produced, but none exhibited any form of nonlinearity. The lack of nonlinearity was not the result of the operation itself, as our sham-operated fish still retained the ability to produce naturally elicited nonlinear hoots ($n = 211$) and grunts ($n = 90$). The spectrograms of calls from transected fish were composed of stable harmonic stacks [\(figure 1](#page-2-0)f inset) like those of intact midshipman and gulf toadfish [\(figure 2](#page-4-0)e; electronic supplementary material, figure $S2*e*,*i*$). Recurrence plots, which most succinctly reveal differences in phase space between nonlinear and linear calls (compare the electronic supplementary material figures $S2d, p, t$ and $S(2h,l)$, were also similar between the calls of transected fish (see inset of electronic supplementary material, figure S2d) and the linear calls of intact midshipman and gulf toadfish (electronic supplementary material, figure $S2h,l$).

In summary, we show that fish can produce vocalizations exhibiting nonlinear features previously only observed in tetrapods. Using spectrographic analysis and three analytical methods derived from chaos theory, we

Figure 2. Spectrographic and phase-space representations of linear and nonlinear fish and mammalian calls. (a) Representative spectrogram of three-spined toadfish advertisement hoot with chaotic features and (b) the corresponding phase-space plot from a nonlinear time-series analysis showing a three-dimensional, periodic structure indicative of deterministic chaos. (c) Representative spectrogram of a pant-hoot from a chimpanzee (P. troglodytes) and (d) its corresponding phase-space plot from nonlinear time-series analysis of the sound, showing a periodic three-dimensional structure. (e) Representative spectrogram of midship-man toadfish (P. notatus) advertisement 'hum' [\[5](#page-5-0)] and (f) the corresponding phase-space plot from nonlinear time-series analysis of the sound showing only a two-dimensional structure, indicative of linear signals. See electronic supplementary material, figure S2 for more examples.

demonstrated that three-spined toadfish calls display a degree of complexity comparable to the chaotic vocalizations of birds and primates. Additional complexity of sounds with multiple nonlinear features (for example, [figure 1](#page-2-0)a) may depend on the interaction of the contracting muscle (the sound's source) with the resonance created by the swim bladder (the sound's filter), comparable to source–filter interactions in the larynx and syrinx [[13\]](#page-5-0). Loss in power and changes in frequency profile that accompanied the loss of nonlinearities following unilateral vocal nerve transection were consistent with the interaction of the two swim bladders as the source of acoustic complexity.

Some of the nonlinearities shown here for three-spined toadfish may arise from the independent control of each swim bladder, as suggested from behavioural evidence for the closely related Lusitanian toadfish, Halobatrachus didactylus [\[31\]](#page-6-0). Neurophysiological evidence shows

quency modulation of natural calls [\[33\]](#page-6-0). Nonlinearities may thus be more widespread among fishes, depending on a range of novel peripheral and central neuronal mechanisms [\[19\]](#page-5-0). The repeated evolution of nonlinear acoustic signals in vertebrates and insects suggests the existence of broad selection pressures favouring the evolution of these signals. However, since inter-order (or inter-phyla) taxonomic comparisons of acoustic communicatory signals are rare, these broad pressures may not have previously been recognized.

Studies in both vertebrates and invertebrates suggest a range of adaptive advantages of nonlinear acoustic signals. First, the increased amplitude and frequency content afforded by nonlinearities provides for increased

independent neural control of the two sides of the swim bladder in the more distantly related Northern sea robin (Prionotus carolinus, Scorpaenidae [[32](#page-6-0)]), leading to frepropagation distance and detection range in their environment [5[,34\]](#page-6-0); similarly, deterministic chaos has been suggested as a mechanism to increase the propagation distance of the airborne signals of cicadas [11]. Second, deterministic chaos may contribute to a heightened sense of urgency in the receiver, as suggested for meerkat alarm calls [\[35\]](#page-6-0) and film score music in humans [[36](#page-6-0)]. Third, biphonation may allow for individual recognition, as with penguins [[37](#page-6-0)] or canids [\[38\]](#page-6-0). Toadfishes use vocalizations in territorial displays [\[29,39](#page-6-0)], with evidence for biphonation contributing to the discrimination of agonistic from advertisement calls. The closely related plainfin midshipman can discriminate acoustic beats, one form of biphonation resembling three-spined toadfish grunts (figure $1c$), from signals resembling midshipman advertisement hums and the linear portion of threespined toadfish hoots [\(figures 1](#page-2-0)a, [2](#page-4-0)e) [[40\]](#page-6-0). Both the central and peripheral auditory systems of midshipman also encode beats [\[41\]](#page-6-0), providing a physiological basis to support the role of these signals in acoustic recognition tasks.

Further indication of the behavioural context of nonlinear calls in three-spined toadfish comes from the demonstration here of increased prevalence of nonlinear grunts and hoots at different times of day and phases of the lunar cycle. As shown for midshipman that make agonistic grunts and growls that are an amalgam of grunt and hoot-like calls, diel and lunar rhythms in call occurrence probably indicate changing behavioural and hormonal state [[29](#page-6-0),[42,43\]](#page-6-0). Midshipman growls and repetitive series of grunts (grunt trains) are generated only at night during the breeding season when males in reproductive condition are guarding nests; we predict a similar context of territorial defence for the nonlinear calls of the three-spined toadfish.

The co-occurrence of nonlinear and linear calls during any one time period (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1) may further reflect an ability to rapidly change call types depending on social context. Playback studies with gulf toadfish (O. beta) show that they can rapidly shift the spectro-temporal properties of calls; males guarding nests increase call rate and duration within 5 min in response to playbacks of hoot-like calls that mimic conspecific calls [\[39\]](#page-6-0). These rapid alterations in call attributes, similar to diel and seasonal changes in agonistic call type in midshipman (see above), are probably under hormonal control [\[44,45\]](#page-6-0).

Together, these results provide new experimental and analytical support for the proposed role of other coupled peripheral oscillators, the paired vocal cords of the larynx and the two halves of the syrinx, in producing calls with nonlinearities in non-aquatic vertebrates, including humans [4,7,12–14]. Strong selection for innovation in acoustic signals has apparently led to the evolution of acoustic communication signals exhibiting nonlinearities in all major lineages of vocal bony vertebrates, including fishes.

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Cornell University.

We thank J. Guckenheimer (Cornell University) for helpful guidance on chaotic systems. We thank J. L. Morano and two anonymous reviewers whose helpful suggestions and comments greatly improved the manuscript. Research support provided by a grant from NIH (DC-00092) to A.H.B.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bradbury, J. W. & Vehrencamp, S. L. 1998 Principles of animal communication. Sunderland,MA: Sinauer Associates.
- 2 Akre, K. L. & Ryan, M. J. 2010 Complexity increases working memory for mating signals. Curr. Biol. 20, 502 –505. ([doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.01.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.01.021))
- 3 Gridi-Papp, M., Rand, A. S. & Ryan, M. J. 2006 Complex call production in the tungara frog. Nature 441, 38. ([doi:10.1038/441038a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/441038a))
- 4 Fitch, W. T., Neubauer, J. & Herzel, H. 2002 Calls out of chaos: the adaptive significance of nonlinear phenomena in mammalian vocal production. Anim. Behav. 63, 407– 418. [\(doi:10.1006/anbe.2001.1912](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2001.1912))
- 5 Rice, A. N. & Bass, A. H. 2009 Novel vocal repertoire and paired swimbladders of the three-spined toadfish, Batrachomoeus trispinosus: insights into the diversity of the Batrachoididae. \tilde{J} . Exp. Biol. 212, 1377-1391. ([doi:10.1242/jeb.028506\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.028506)
- 6 Suthers, R. A. & Zollinger, S. A. 2004 Producing song: the vocal apparatus. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 1016, 109-129. [\(doi:10.1196/annals.1298.041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1196/annals.1298.041))
- 7 Suthers, R. A., Narins, P. M., Lin, W.-Y., Schnitzler, H.-U., Denzinger, A., Xu, C.-H. & Feng, A. S. 2006 Voices of the dead: complex nonlinear vocal signals from the larynx of an ultrasonic frog. \tilde{f} . Exp. Biol. 209, 4984–4993. ([doi:10.1242/jeb.02594](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02594))
- 8 Lauterborn, W. & Parlitz, U. 1988 Methods of chaos physics and their application to acoustics. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 84, 1975 –1993. ([doi:10.1121/1.397042\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.397042)
- 9 Benko, T. P. & Perc, M. 2006 Deterministic chaos in sounds of Asian cicadas. *J. Biol. Syst.* 14, 555-566. ([doi:10.1142/S0218339006002008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218339006002008)
- 10 Benko, T. P. & Perc, M. 2007 Singing of Neoconocephalus robustus as an example of deterministic chaos in insects. J. Biosci. 32, 797–804. ([doi:10.1007/s12038-007-0081-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12038-007-0081-5))
- 11 Hughes, D. R., Nuttall, A. H., Katz, R. A. & Carter, G. C. 2009 Nonlinear acoustics in cicada mating calls enhance sound propagation. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 125, 958 –967. [\(doi:10.1121/1.3050258](http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.3050258))
- 12 Nowicki, S. & Capranica, R. R. 1986 Bilateral syringeal coupling during phonation of a songbird. J. Neurosci. 6, 3595–3610.
- 13 Riede, T. & Goller, F. 2010 Peripheral mechanisms for vocal production in birds—differences and similarities to human speech and singing. Brain Lang. 115, 69-80. [\(doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2009.11.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2009.11.003))
- 14 Fee, M. S., Shraiman, B., Pesaran, B. & Mitra, P. P. 1998 The role of nonlinear dynamics of the syrinx in the vocalizations of a songbird. Nature 395, 67–71. ([doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/25725) [25725\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/25725)
- 15 Suthers, R. A. 2001 Peripheral vocal mechanisms in birds: are songbirds special? Neth. *J. Zool.* 51, 217-242.
- 16 Ladich, F., Collin, S. P., Moller, P. & Kapoor, B. G. (eds) 2006 Communication in fishes. Enfield, NH: Science Publishers.
- 17 Bass, A. H. & McKibben, J. R. 2003 Neural mechanisms and behaviors for acoustic communication in teleost fish. Prog. Neurobiol. 69, 1 –26. [\(doi:10.1016/S0301-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(03)00004-2) [0082\(03\)00004-2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(03)00004-2)
- 18 Amorim, M. C. P., Simões, J. M., Mendonça, N., Bandarra, N. M., Almada, V. C. & Fonseca, P. J. 2010 Lusitanian toadfish song reflects male quality. J. Exp. Biol. 213, 2997–3004. ([doi:10.1242/jeb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.044586) [044586\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.044586)
- 19 Bass, A. H. & Ladich, F. 2008 Vocal-acoustic communication: from neurons to behavior. In Fish bioacoustics (eds A. N. Popper, R. R. Fay & J. F. Webb), pp. 253–278. New York, NY: Springer. ([doi:10.1007/978-0-387-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-73029-5_8) [73029-5_8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-73029-5_8)).
- 20 Charif, R. A., Waack, A. M. & Strickman, L. M. 2008 Raven Pro 1.4 user's manual. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology.
- 21 Merkwirth, C., Parlitz, U., Wedekind, I., Engster, D. & Lauterborn, W. 2009 OpenTSTOOL user manual. Göttingen, Germany: Drittes Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen.
- 22 Cortopassi, K. A. & Bradbury, J. W. 2000 The comparison of harmonically rich sounds using spectrographic cross-correlation and principal coordinates analysis. Bioacoustics 11, 89–127.
- 23 Casgrain, P. & Legendre, P. 2004 The R package for multivariate and spatial analysis, v. 4.0 d6. Montreal, Canada: University of Montreal. See [http://www.bio.umontreal.](http://www.bio.umontreal.ca/casgrain/en/) [ca/casgrain/en/](http://www.bio.umontreal.ca/casgrain/en/).
- 24 Riede, T., Owren, M. J. & Arcadi, A. C. 2004 Nonlinear acoustics in pant hoots of common chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes): frequency jumps, subharmonics, biphonation, and deterministic chaos. $Am.$ \tilde{t} . Primatol. 64, 277 –291. [\(doi:10.1002/ajp.20078](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20078))
- 25 Tyson, R. B., Nowacek, D. P. & Miller, P. J. O. 2007 Nonlinear phenomena in the vocalizations of North Atlantic right whales (Eubalaena glacialis) and killer whales (Orcinus orca). J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 122, 1365-1373. [\(doi:10.1121/1.2756263](http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.2756263))
- 26 Rice, W. R. 1989 Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43, 223–225. ([doi:10.2307/2409177](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2409177))
- 27 Kantz, H. & Schreiber, T. 2004 Nonlinear time series analysis, 2nd edn. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 28 Fletcher, N. H. 2000 A class of chaotic bird calls? *J. Acoust*. Soc. Am. 108, 821–826. ([doi:10.1121/1.429615](http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.429615))
- 29 Brantley, R. K. & Bass, A. H. 1994 Alternative male spawning tactics and acoustic signals in the plainfin midshipman fish *Porichthys notatus* Girard (Teleostei, Batrachoididae). Ethology 96, 213–232. ([doi:10.1111/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1994.tb01011.x) [1439-0310.1994.tb01011.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1994.tb01011.x))
- 30 Tavolga, W. N. 1958 Underwater sounds produced by two species of toadfish, Opsanus tau and Opsanus beta. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Carib. 8, 278 –284.
- 31 dos Santos, M. E., Modesto, T., Matos, R. J., Grober, M. S., Oliviera, R. F. & Canário, A. 2000 Sound production by the Lusitanian toadfish, Halobatrachus didactylus. Bioacoustics 10, 309-321.
- 32 Bass, A. H. & Baker, R. 1991 Evolution of homologous vocal control traits. Brain Behav. Evol. 38, 240-254. ([doi:10.1159/000114391](http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000114391))
- 33 Connaughton, M. A. 2004 Sound generation in the searobin (Prionotus carolinus), a fish with alternate sonic muscle contraction. *J. Exp. Biol.* 207, 1643-1654. ([doi:10.1242/jeb.00928](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00928))
- 34 Bass, A. H. & Clark, C. W. 2003 The physical acoustics of underwater sound communication. In Acoustic communication, vol. 16 (eds A. M. Simmons, R. R. Fay & A. N. Popper), pp. 15–64. New York, NY: Springer. ([doi:10.1007/0-387-22762-8_2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-387-22762-8_2))
- 35 Townsend, S. W. & Manser, M. B. 2011 The function of nonlinear phenomena in meerkat alarm calls. Biol. Lett. 7, 47–49. ([doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0537](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2010.0537))
- 36 Blumstein, D. T., Davitian, R. & Kaye, P. D. 2010 Do film soundtracks contain nonlinear analogues to influence emotion? Biol. Lett. 6, 751-754. ([doi:10.1098/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2010.0333) [rsbl.2010.0333\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2010.0333)
- 37 Aubin, T., Jouventin, P. & Hildebrand, C. 2000 Penguins use the two-voice system to recognize each other. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 267, 1081-1087. ([doi:10.1098/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.1112) [rspb.2000.1112\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.1112)
- 38 Volodina, E. V., Volodin, I. A., Isaeva, I. V. & Unck, C. 2006 Biphonation may function to enhance individual recognition in the dhole, *Cuon alpinus*. *Ethology* 112, 815 –825. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2006.01231.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2006.01231.x))
- 39 Remage-Healey, L. & Bass, A. H. 2005 Rapid elevations in both steroid hormones and vocal signaling during playback challenge: a field experiment in Gulf toadfish. Horm. Behav. 47, 297–305. ([doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2004.11.017) [11.017\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2004.11.017)
- 40 McKibben, J. R. & Bass, A. H. 2001 Effects of temporal envelope modulation on acoustic signal recognition in a vocal fish, the plainfin midshipman. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 109, 2934–2943. ([doi:10.1121/1.1373441](http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.1373441))
- 41 McKibben, J. R. & Bass, A. H. 2001 Peripheral encoding of behaviorally relevant acoustic signals in a vocal fish: harmonic and beat stimuli. J. Comp. Physiol. A 187, 271 –285. [\(doi:10.1007/s003590100199\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003590100199)
- 42 Rubow, T. K. & Bass, A. H. 2009 Reproductive and diurnal rhythms regulate vocal motor plasticity in a teleost fish. *J. Exp. Biol.* 212, 3252-3262. ([doi:10.1242/jeb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.032748) [032748\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.032748)
- 43 Bass, A. H., Bodnar, D. & Marchaterre, M. A. 1999 Complementary explanations for existing phenotypes in an acoustic communication system. In The design of animal communication (eds M. D. Hauser & M. Konishi), pp. 493-514. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 44 Remage-Healey, L. & Bass, A. H. 2006 From social behavior to neural circuitry: steroid hormones rapidly modulate advertisement calling via a vocal pattern generator. Horm. Behav. 50, 432–441. ([doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.05.007) [yhbeh.2006.05.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.05.007))
- 45 Remage-Healey, L., Nowacek, D. P. & Bass, A. H. 2006 Dolphin foraging sounds suppress calling and elevate stress hormone levels in a prey species, the Gulf toadfish. \tilde{f} . Exp. Biol. 209, 4444–4451. [\(doi:10.1242/jeb.02525](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02525))