Thermodynamic efficiency and mechanochemical coupling of F₁-ATPase

Shoichi Toyabe^a, Takahiro Watanabe-Nakayama^b, Tetsuaki Okamoto^a, Seishi Kudo^c, and Eiro Muneyuki^{a.1}

^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan; ^bGraduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan; and ^cDepartment of Applied Physics Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

Edited by Howard C. Berg, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, and approved August 30, 2011 (received for review April 28, 2011)

F1-ATPase is a nanosized biological energy transducer working as part of F_oF₁-ATP synthase. Its rotary machinery transduces energy between chemical free energy and mechanical work and plays a central role in the cellular energy transduction by synthesizing most ATP in virtually all organisms. However, information about its energetics is limited compared to that of the reaction scheme. Actually, fundamental questions such as how efficiently F₁-ATPase transduces free energy remain unanswered. Here, we demonstrated reversible rotations of isolated F1-ATPase in discrete 120° steps by precisely controlling both the external torgue and the chemical potential of ATP hydrolysis as a model system of FoF1-ATP synthase. We found that the maximum work performed by F₁-ATPase per 120° step is nearly equal to the thermodynamical maximum work that can be extracted from a single ATP hydrolysis under a broad range of conditions. Our results suggested a 100% free-energy transduction efficiency and a tight mechanochemical coupling of F₁-ATPase.

electrorotation | molecular motor | nonequilibrium physics

TP synthase F_0F_1 plays a central role in the cellular energy A fr synthase $\Gamma_0 \Gamma_1$ plays a contained at the plays a contained of isms, including bacteria, chloroplasts, and mitochondria (1, 2). This enzyme consists of two motors: Fo-motor, which is embedded in the membrane, and F₁-motor (F₁-ATPase), which protrudes from the membrane (Fig. 1*A*). F_1 -motor is a reversible motor/generator. As part of F_0F_1 -ATP synthase, it acts as a generator. Proton flux through the F_0 -motor along the transmembrane electrochemical potential drives rotation of the F_o-motor's c-ring. Because the rotor subunit (γ -shaft) of F₁-motor is coupled with the c-ring (3–5), c-ring rotation forces γ -shaft to rotate. Under these conditions, F₁-motor synthesizes ATP from ADP and phosphate (P_i) (6–8). On the other hand, when isolated, F1-motor works as a motor, hydrolyzing ATP to ADP and P_i and rotating the γ -shaft counter to the direction it takes during ATP-synthetic rotations (9-12) (Fig. 1B). An ATP hydrolysis makes a 120° rotation of the γ -shaft.

Despite the critical role played by F₁-motor as a mechanochemical energy transducer, information about its energetics remains limited compared to that of the reaction scheme (13–15). In fact, fundamental questions remain unanswered; these questions include how efficiently F₁-motor can convert energy between the chemical free energy change of an ATP hydrolysis ($\Delta \mu$) and mechanical work. Previous studies (12, 16) suggested that F_1 -motor works at a high efficiency in the sense that the work against viscous drag during a rotational step in the absence of external torque is nearly equal to $\Delta \mu$. However, this efficiency should be distinguished from the free-energy transduction efficiency because the work against viscous drag finally dissipates as heat to the surrounding environment and cannot be fully utilized further (17). To evaluate the efficiency of the mechanochemical free-energy transduction, it is required to examine the work against a conservative external load.

The above question has not been addressed clearly for F_1 motor in experiments compared to extensive theoretical studies (18, 19) and experimental studies on linear motors (20, 21)



Fig. 1. F_0F_1 -ATP synthase and F_1 -motor. (A) F_0F_1 -ATP synthase consists of F_0 -motor (ab_2c_n) and F_1 -motor ($\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma\delta\varepsilon$). The variable *n* depends on species. (B) The $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma$ subcomplex of F_1 -motor. By adhering F_1 -motor to a glass surface and attaching a probe of dimeric particles to the γ -shaft, its rotation can be observed under optical microscope. By using electrorotation method, we can induce a controlled external torque on the probe particle.

because it has been a challenge to apply an external torque of precisely controlled magnitude. In this study, we adhered F_1 -motor's stator subunits to a glass surface and applied external, ATP-synthetic direction torque to the probe particle attached to the γ -shaft by using an electrorotation method (16, 22–24). This method allowed us to create a model system of F_0F_1 -ATP synthase, wherein we could examine the synthetic-direction rotations and the energetics of an isolated F_1 -motor while precisely controlling both the external torque and the chemical potential of ATP hydrolysis.

Results

Stepwise Rotations in the ATP-Synthetic Direction. In the absence of external torque (0 pN nm/rad), F_1 -motor rotated in discrete 120° steps at a low ATP concentration (denoted by [ATP]) and in the presence of ADP and P_i (Fig. 2). The rate-limiting step, observed as a pausing (or dwell) between steps in the trajectory, corresponds to the ATP waiting state; binding of ATP triggers the 120° rotation (12). When we applied an opposing torque against hydrolytic rotation on the probe particle, duration of the dwells increased, and the rotational rate decreased accordingly. Under a sufficiently strong torque, we observed rotation in the ATP-synthetic direction, with three steps per rotation. At an intermediate magnitude of torque (31.2 pN nm/rad), the probe particle showed bidirectional stepwise fluctuations with 120° steps and stalled on average. It is noteworthy that in this stalled state the

Author contributions: S.T., S.K., and E.M. designed research; S.T. performed research; S.T. and T.W.-N. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; S.T. analyzed data; and S.T., T.W.-N., T.O., S.K., and E.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: emuneyuk@phys.chuo-u.ac.jp.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/ doi:10.1073/pnas.1106787108/-/DCSupplemental.



Fig. 2. Rotational trajectories under external torque (0.4 μ M ATP, 4 μ M ADP, 1 mM P_i) of the same F₁-motor molecule.

rotation neither froze at a specific angular position nor displayed freely rotating Brownian motion.

In a previous study (23) where an ATP regeneration system was used to drastically reduce [ADP], and where P_i was not explicitly included, rotations in the synthetic direction were not observed even under a strong torque (up to approximately 100 pN nm/ rad). Our results indicate that ADP and P_i are necessary for F_1 -motor to rotate in the synthetic direction, implying that ADP and P_i are incorporated into F_1 -motor and that ATP is synthesized during the synthetic direction rotation. The ATP synthesis under mechanically forced rotations has been demonstrated by using magnetic tweezers (6, 7). In those experiments, the angular position of the probe rather than torque was controlled. As we have shown, the present method with a controlled external torque enables us to examine mechanical responses such as the stepwise rotations in the ATP-synthetic direction. We will see that, furthermore, this method provides fruitful information about the energetics and mechanochemical coupling of F₁-motor.

Rotational Rate and Stall Torque Under Mechanochemical Control. Fig. 3 shows the torque dependency of rotational rates under various concentrations of ATP, ADP, and P_i . First, we varied [ATP] and [ADP] under the constraint that [ATP] = [ADP], and $[P_i] = 1$ mM. The free rotational rates, or the rotational rates in the absence of external torque, varied significantly depending on [ATP](= [ADP]); they increased as [ATP] increased because ATP binding was the rate-limiting step. At high $[ATP] (>10 \ \mu\text{M})$, the free rotational rates were saturated because of a viscous friction and had similar values (*ca.* 11 Hz). On the other hand, the stall torque (N_{stall}) under which the rotational rate vanishes, was almost the same except at extremely low [ATP](= [ADP]) (Fig. 3*A*). Note that the free energy change liberated by a single ATP hydrolysis is

$$\Delta \mu = \Delta \mu^{\circ} + k_{\rm B} T \ln \frac{[\rm ATP]}{[\rm ADP][P_i]},$$
[1]

which is constant under the present conditions, except for a minor difference of $\Delta\mu^{\circ}$ due to changes in variables such as the ionic strength and concentration of free Mg²⁺, because the ratio [ATP]/[ADP][P_i] is constant. When we varied $\Delta\mu$ while holding [ATP] constant at 10 μ M, N_{stall} was markedly different (Fig. 3*B*) whereas the free rotational rates were relatively similar compared to those in Fig. 3*A*.

When either [ADP] (Fig. 3, vii, viii, ix, and x) or $[P_i]$ (viii, ix) alone were increased, N_{stall} decreased. On the other hand, when



Fig. 3. Torque dependency of the mean rotational rates under various concentrations of ATP, ADP, and P_i. (A) [ATP] = [ADP], and [P_i] = 1 mM. Because the ratio [ADP][P_i]/[ATP] is constant, $\Delta\mu$ is constant (see Eq. 1). (B) [ATP] = 10 μ M while varying [ADP] and [P_i] to control $\Delta\mu$. Data from approximately 15 molecules were averaged under each condition. In total, data of 92 and 74 molecules are used in A and B, respectively. The mean rotational rates are calculated as follows: Because the magnitude of the imposed torque varies from particle to particle, we divided the horizontal axis into bins (width is 7 pN m/rad except for the case in the absence of external torque) and took an average of the torque and rotational rates in each bin.

only [ATP] was increased (v, x), N_{stall} increased. Thus, ADP and P_i facilitated rotation in the synthetic direction, whereas ATP had the opposite effect. These results again suggest that ADP and P_i are incorporated into F_1 -motor and that ATP is synthesized during synthetic direction rotations. See Fig. S1 for data of individual molecules.

Maximum Work During a 120° Rotation is Equal to $\Delta\mu$. Under an opposing torque of *N*, F₁-motor performs $W \equiv N \times 120^\circ$ work against the external torque during a 120° rotation. Therefore, $W_{\text{stall}} \equiv N_{\text{stall}} \times 120^\circ$ is equivalent to the maximum work performed by F₁-motor during a 120° rotation. We found that W_{stall} is nearly equal to $\Delta\mu$ under a variety of conditions (Fig. 4*A* and *B*, see Fig. S2 for the method to estimate the stall torque). Note that $\Delta\mu$ is the thermodynamic limitation for the work extractable from an ATP hydrolysis. When [ATP] and [ADP] are extremely low (0.08 μ M), W_{stall} was significantly smaller than $\Delta\mu$ (see Table S1 for the *t* test). At low [ADP], it is possible that there are slippery rotations in the synthetic direction, during which ADP is not incorporated and, therefore, ATP is not synthesized.

Proper Torque Generation Requires only a Partial Binding of Nucleotides. It is still unclear how the F₁-motor generates torque (25–27). To investigate the amino acid residues critical for the torque generation, we measured the stall torque of various mutants. We mutated one or more of the following three β -subunit's amino acid residues (Fig. S3): one in the hinge region [β G181A (28)], and two around the ATP binding site [β T165S (29) and β Y341W (30)]. β T165 interacts with the phosphate moiety via Mg²⁺, whereas β Y341 has a hydrophobic interaction with the



Fig. 4. W_{stall} [the stall torque × 120° (2 π /3)] of the wild type and mutants. (A and B) W_{stall} of the wild type under conditions corresponding to those in Fig. 3 A and B, respectively. Error bars (standard errors) are smaller than the symbols. (C) W_{stall} of the mutants under 10 μ M ATP, 10 μ M ADP, and 1 mM P_i. Error bars are standard errors. The thick lines indicate the value of $\Delta\mu$. The standard free energy difference $\Delta\mu^{\circ}$ is different among literatures; the thickness of these lines indicates the estimation variance of $\Delta\mu$ (see Table S1 and Table S2 for details).

adenine ring moiety. β G181 undergoes a large change in the dihedral angle of peptide bond during rotation. The mutant including all of these three mutations is known to have a smaller free rotational rate than the wild type (31), suggesting that it has a smaller torque against a viscous load.

The W_{stall} values of the mutants including both β T165S and β Y341W were significantly smaller than that of the wild type, whereas those of the remaining mutants were nearly equal to that of the wild type (Fig. 4*C*, see also Fig. S4 and Table S2). These results imply that proper torque generation requires only a partial binding of nucleotides at either the phosphate moiety and the adenine ring. On the other hand, β G181A had little effect on stall torque; this result is consistent with a previous result suggesting that β G181A does not affect torque against a viscous load (28). Although β G181A clearly changes its dihedral angle when the β subunit undergoes a conformational transition from open to closed, the role of the hinge region in torque generation is still unclear. To reveal its role, future study should examine the effects of mutations in the hinge region.

Mechanochemical Coupling. We next focus on the stepping motion to evaluate the mechanochemical coupling. The angular distribution had three peaks corresponding to the ATP waiting states at low [ATP] in the absence of external torque (Fig. 5A). This distribution was maintained as we applied torque, even when the rotation almost stalled and when rotating in the synthetic direction. For quantitative analysis, we fitted the angular distribution of each peak by the Gaussian function: $A \exp\{-[\theta - \theta_0(N)]^2/$ $2\sigma^2(N)$, where A is the height of the peak, θ is the angular position, $\theta_0(N)$ is the angular position of the peak in the presence of torque (N), and $\sigma(N)$ is the width. We found that the angular positions of the peaks shifted in the direction of the applied torque with a linear dependence on torque overall (Fig. 5B). On the other hand, the peak width had little dependency on torque (Fig. 5C). We confirmed Einstein relation, that is, the spring constant calculated from the peak width, $k_{\text{width}} \equiv k_{\text{B}}T/\bar{\sigma}^2$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the mean of σ over N, and that calculated from the torque dependency of the peak displacement, k_{slope} , which is the slope of a linear fitting curve of $\theta_0(N)$, coincided well (Fig. 5D). These



Fig. 5. Torque dependency of angular distributions under $0.4 \,\mu$ M ATP, $4 \,\mu$ M ADP, and 1 mM P_i. (*A*) Angular distributions of three peaks of a molecule under hydrolytic rotations in the absence of torque (blue), around the stalled state (gray, torque = 31.2 pN nm/rad), and under the synthetic-direction rotations (red, 49.0 pN nm/rad). Bin width is 2°. (*B*) Angular positions of the peaks (symbols) and linear fitting curves (solid lines). In the shaded region, F_1 -motor rotates in the synthetic direction. (*C*) Width of the peaks. The data of the same molecule are used for plotting *A*, *B*, and *C*. (*D*) Validation of Einstein relation. Spring constants calculated by different two methods are plotted. Different symbols correspond to different molecules (15 dwells of five molecules).

results suggest that the displacement of the peak's angular position under torque is simply due to a shift of the equilibrium angular position during a dwell; the angular position of the dwell observed during synthetic direction rotations is equivalent to that of the ATP waiting state during hydrolytic direction rotations.

Note that the estimated spring constant reflects not only the internal stiffness of the motor but also the external one such as the linker between the γ -shaft and the probe and that between the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ -ring and the glass surface (32, 33). Okuno et al. has estimated the internal and external stiffness to be $k_{in} =$ 223 pN nm/rad² and $k_{ex} = 72.6$ pN nm/rad², respectively, in their system, which yield the total stiffness that the probe feels of $k_{\text{total}} = 1/(k_{\text{in}}^{-1} + k_{\text{ex}}^{-1}) = 54.8 \text{ pN nm/rad}^2$ (32). In our system, k_{total} was typically 150 pN nm/rad² (Fig. 5D). Given that $k_{\rm in} = 223 \text{ pN nm/rad}^2$ as estimated by Okuno et al., $k_{\rm ex}$ was estimated to be 458 pNnm/rad² typically. The large difference of k_{ex} from their estimation is expected be due to the difference of the preparation of the probe. Thus, the total stiffness that the probe feels is dominated by the internal stiffness of the motor in our system. The broad distribution of the spring constants (Fig. 5D) is supposed to be due to the small distortion of the motor's structure by the interaction between the motor and the glass surface.

The equivalence of the pausing states during rotations in both the directions leads to a simple model that the bidirectional stepwise rotations of F_1 -motor can be modeled as jumps among three discrete states with 120° spacings. For further analysis, we counted the number of steps in both the directions. Fig. 6A shows the fraction of steps in the synthetic direction, p_s , and in the hydrolytic direction, $p_h(=1-p_s)$, under torque. As we increased the magnitude of torque, p_s increased and then balanced with p_h (the stalled state). The logarithmic ratio of p_s to p_h depended linearly on torque (Fig. 6B):

$$k_{\rm B}T \ln \frac{p_{\rm s}}{p_{\rm h}} = (N - N_{\rm stall})d = (W - W_{\rm stall})\frac{d}{120^{\circ}},$$
 [2]



Fig. 6. Step analysis of the rotational trajectories under 0.4 μ M ATP, 4 μ M ADP, and 1 mM P_i. Different symbol corresponds to different molecule (five molecules in total). (*A*) Occurrence probabilities of steps in the synthetic direction (p_s , red) and hydrolytic direction (p_h , blue). (*B*) The ratio p_s/p_h depends exponentially on the torque. (*C*) Free energy landscape and possible pathways.

where the fitting parameters d and N_{stall} were 55° and 31.2 pN nm/ rad, respectively. $W_{\text{stall}} = N_{\text{stall}} \times 120^\circ = 65.3$ pN nm was nearly equal to $\Delta \mu$ under this condition (65.2 pN nm). The value of d provides valuable information about the mechanochemical coupling, which will be discussed later.

Discussion

When examining the maximum torque generated by F₁-motors, previous studies have focused on the stepping torque, that is, the rotational velocity during the step between dwells multiplied by the rotational frictional coefficient of the probe (12, 34). We found that the rotation neither froze at a specific angular position nor displayed freely rotating Brownian motion, even in the stalled state; rather it showed bidirectional stepwise fluctuations (Fig. 2). Thus, the stepping torque does not vanish and the F_1 -motor appears capable of exerting torque during the stalled state; instead, the occurrence probabilities of steps in the hydrolytic and synthetic directions are balanced. Therefore, it is essential to distinguish between the stepping torque and the stall torque. The former is determined basically by the mechanical properties, or by the structure, of the F₁-motor. On the other hand, the latter is determined by both the chemical potential of the ATP hydrolysis and the mechanical properties of the F₁-motor.

The coincidence between the maximum work performed by F_1 -motor during the 120° rotation (W_{stall}) and the chemical free energy change of an ATP hydrolysis ($\Delta \mu$) suggests that F_1 -motor serves as a highly efficient mechanochemical free-energy transducer of F_0F_1 -ATP synthase at almost 100% thermodynamic efficiency. For such a high efficiency to be achieved, a tight mechanochemical coupling is expected, that is, one ATP is hydrolyzed during every hydrolytic-direction step, whereas one ATP is synthesized during every synthetic direction step.

On the other hand, in a tightly coupled system in the rigorous meaning of this term, the ratio of the occurrence frequencies of the forward and backward chemical cycles (denoted as π_s and π_h) is expected to satisfy $k_B T \ln(\pi_s/\pi_h) = (N - N_{stall})d$, where *d* is the step size corresponding to a chemical cycle and is 120° here, to be consistent with the detailed balance condition or fluctuation theorem (18, 35–38); π_s/π_h depends only on the free energy

difference between states independently of the system's detail. However, d was significantly smaller than 120° when we used the ratio of step fractions in both the directions, p_s/p_h , as the estimation of π_s/π_h (Fig. 6B). This result is reasonable given that there are rotational steps without the completion of chemical cycles. That is, because a single rotational step comprises multiple chemical processes, it is possible that the γ -shaft starts to rotate but sometimes returns to the initial state before the chemical cycle completes (39). In addition to such on-pathway incomplete steps, off-pathway steps without accompanying any chemical transitions might occur (Fig. 6C). Because such an off-pathway step results in an unstable state, the γ -shaft will return to the initial state immediately without rotating further. When we use Eq. 2 for the estimation of d, these trial round-trip steps with incomplete chemical cycles decrease the estimation value of das follows: When we count m incomplete round-trip steps in addition to the steps accompanying complete chemical cycles $(n_{\rm s} \text{ and } n_{\rm h}), \ \pi_{\rm s}/\pi_{\rm h} = n_{\rm s}/n_{\rm h}$ and $p_{\rm s}/p_{\rm h} = (n_{\rm s} + m)/(n_{\rm h} + m).$ Because of the upward concavity of logarithmic function, $|\ln \pi_{\rm s}/\pi_{\rm h}| > |\ln p_{\rm s}/p_{\rm h}|$. More detailed statistical analysis of rotational steps would be helpful for better understanding of the energetics and mechanochemical coupling (39). However, the most essential approach is to evaluate not only mechanical response but also chemical response by directly observing the binding and release of ATP and ADP (13, 40) in the presence of controlled torque.

It is known that the hydrolytic 120° step is resolved to two substeps of 80° and 40° (12, 41, 42). During the pause after 80° step (catalytic dwell), ATP is hydrolyzed and a phosphate is released. Because its duration is only milliseconds long, we did not observe it during free hydrolytic rotations with the large probe used in this study. However, we did not observe corresponding pauses during hydrolytic rotations under load and even during synthetic direction rotations. This result suggests that the duration of the catalytic dwells has little torque dependency. For better understanding, it maybe productive to investigate the effect of external torque on catalytic dwells of the β E190D mutant with low ATPase activity (41, 42).

Materials and Methods

Rotation Assay. The observation chamber was constructed by essentially the same method in the previous study (16, 23). F₁ molecules derived from a thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 with mutations for the rotation assay (His₆- α C1935/W463F, His₁₀- β , γ 5107C/I210C, denoted by wild type) (7) and other mutations indicated were adhered on a coverslip functionalized by Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetic (NTA) acid. Rotations of the γ -shaft were probed by streptavidin-coated dimeric polystyrene particles (diameter = 0.287 µm, Seradyn) attached to the biotinylated γ -shaft.

Observation was performed at 24.5 ± 1.5 °C in a buffer containing 5 mM 3-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid (MOPS)-K, indicated amount of MgATP, MgADP, and P_i, and 1 mM excess of MgCl₂ over ATP and ADP (pH 6.9) on a phase-contrast upright microscope (Olympus) with a 100× objective (Olympus), at 1,800 Hz using a high-speed camera (Imperx), an interface board (National Instruments), and a laboratory-made capturing software developed on LabView 8.6 (National Instruments). Such a high frequency recording prevents the finite exposure time effect for the estimation of the spring constant (32). The angular position of the probe was estimated by using an algorithm based on the principal component analysis, which reduces the effect of the instrumental noise. The data including a long pause presumably due to the MgADP-inhibited state (43) are excluded from the analysis.

Electrorotation Method. We have four electrodes patterned with a spacing of 50 μ m on the bottom glass surface of the chamber (16, 23) (Fig. S5). By applying 15-MHz sinusoidal voltages with a phase shift of $\pi/2$ on the electrodes using a function generator (NF Corporation) and four voltage amplifiers (NF Corporation), an electric field rotating at 15 MHz was generated in the center of the electrodes. Under this rotating electric field, a dipole moment rotating at 15 MHz were induced on the probe particle. Because there is a phase delay between the electric field and dipole moment, the probe is subjected to a constant torque. The magnitude of torque is proportional to the square of the voltages' amplitude and the volume of the dielectric objects. The

temperature rise problem reported in the previous study (23) was avoided by reducing the ionic strength of the buffer and reducing the distance between the top and bottom glasses using thinner spacers [SaranWrap (Asahi Kasei) with silicone grease]. The distance between the top and bottom glass surfaces was 15–20 μ m. Temperature rise caused by the electric field was measured by the same method used in ref. 23 and found to be at most 1.0 °C, which was comparable to the temperature variance of the environment.

Torque Calibration. We controlled the magnitude of the torque by varying the amplitude of the applied voltage. The magnitude of torque (*N*) is proportional to the square of the voltages' amplitude (*A*): $N \equiv \alpha A^2$. The coefficient α depends on various parameters such as the height and ionic strength of the chamber and the volume of the probe particle. Therefore, it is required to evaluate α in each chamber. Previously, assuming that torque is similar among particles (16, 23). In this study we developed a unique method to evaluate α , which provides a better estimation; α can be directly obtained using the particle with which we take data.

In ref. 16, we showed that the velocity fluctuation-dissipation theorem (44) holds in the high frequency region for the rotating probe particle attached to the F_1 -ATPase;

$$\tilde{C}(f) = 2k_{\rm B}T\tilde{R}'(f).$$
[3]

Here, $\tilde{C}(f)$ is the Fourier transform of the auto-correlation function of the rotational velocity $C(t) = \langle v(\tau + t)v(\tau) \rangle$ at a frequency f. $\tilde{R}'(f)$ is the real part of the Fourier–Laplace transform of the linear-response function R(t), which satisfies $\langle v(t) \rangle_{N} = v_{0} + \int_{-\infty}^{t} dsR(t-s)N(s)$, where $\langle v(t) \rangle_{N}$ denotes the ensemble average of the rotational velocity under a sufficiently small probe torque N(t). The mean rotational velocity is $v_{0} \equiv \langle v(t) \rangle_{0}$ in the absence of external torque. Because of the causality, R(t) = 0 if t < 0. Given that we apply a sufficiently small sinusoidal torque $N(t) = N_{0} \sin(2\pi f_{0}t)$ of a frequency f_{0} and obtain a velocity profile $\langle v(t) \rangle_{N} = v_{0} + w_{0} \sin(2\pi f_{0}t + \phi)$, it is easily shown that $\tilde{R}(f_{0})$ becomes

$$\tilde{R}(f_0) = \frac{w_0}{N_0} e^{i\phi}.$$
[4]

Note that w_0 and ϕ depend on f_0 . By measuring the response (w_0 and ϕ) and fluctuation [$\tilde{C}(f_0)$] of the rotational velocity at sufficiently high frequency f_0 , we obtain α by using Eq. 3, Eq 4, and $N_0 = \alpha A_0^2$, where A_0 is the amplitude of

- 1. Yoshida M, Muneyuki E, Hisabori T (2001) ATP synthase; a marvelous rotary engine of the cell. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:669–677.
- Stock D, Leslie AGW, Walker JE (1999) Molecular architecture of the rotary motor in ATP synthase. Science 286:1700–1705.
- 3. Ueno H, Suzuki T, Kinosita K, Jr, Yoshida M (2005) ATP-driven stepwise rotation of F_oF_1 -ATP synthase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:1333–1338.
- 4. Diez M, et al. (2004) Proton-powered subunit rotation in single membrane-bound $F_{\rm o}F_1\text{-}ATP$ synthase. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11:135–141.
- Tsunoda SP, Aggeler R, Yoshida M, Capaldi RA (2001) Rotation of the c subunit oligomer in fully functional F₁F₀-ATP synthase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:898–902.
- 6. Itoh H, et al. (2004) Mechanically driven ATP synthesis by ${\rm F_1}\mbox{-}ATP ase.$ Nature 427:465–468.
- Rondelez Y, et al. (2005) Highly coupled ATP synthesis by F₁-ATPase single molecules. Nature 433:773–777.
- 8. Turina P, Samoray D, Gräber P (2003) H⁺/ATP ratio of proton transport-coupled ATP synthesis and hydrolysis catalyzed by CF_0F_1 -liposomes. *EMBO J* 22:418–426.
- Boyer PD (1993) The binding change mechanism for ATP synthase-some probabilities and possibilities. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1140:215–250.
- 10. Abrahams JP, Leslie AGW, Lutter R, Walker JE (1994) Structure at 2.8 Å resolution of F₁-ATPase from bovine heart mitochondria. *Nature* 370:621–628.
- Noji H, Yasuda R, Yoshida M, Kinosita K, Jr (1997) Direct observation of the rotation of F₁-ATPase. *Nature* 386:299–302.
- Yasuda R, Noji H, Kinosita K, Jr, Yoshida M (1998) F₁-ATPase is a highly efficient molecular motor that rotates with discrete 120° steps. *Cell* 93:1117–1124.
- 13. Adachi K, et al. (2007) Coupling of rotation and catalysis in F₁-ATPase revealed by single-molecule imaging and manipulation. *Cell* 130:309–321.
- Shimo-Kon R, et al. (2010) Chemo-mechanical coupling in F₁-ATPase revealed by catalytic site occupancy during catalysis. *Biophys J* 98:1227–1236.
- Watanabe R, lino R, Noji H (2010) Phosphate release in F₁-ATPase catalytic cycle follows ADP release. Nat Chem Biol 6:814–820.
- Toyabe S, et al. (2010) Nonequilibrium energetics of a single F₁-ATPase molecule. *Phys Rev Lett* 104:198103.
- 17. Wang H, Oster G (2002) The stokes efficiency for molecular motors and its applications. *Europhys Lett* 57:134–140.
- Seifert U (2005) Fluctuation theorem for a single enzyme or molecular motor. Europhys Lett 70:36–41.

the sinusoidal amplitude-modulation of the electrorotation voltages used for applying sinusoidal probe torque:

$$\alpha = 2k_{\rm B}T \frac{w_0 \cos\phi}{A_0^2 \tilde{C}(f_0)}.$$
[5]

The actual experimental procedure was as follows: We calculated $\tilde{C}(f_0)$ from the rotational trajectories in the absence of external torque by a fast Fourier method (45) (Fig. S6A). Measurement of w_0 and ϕ was performed in the following manner (Fig. S7). We observed the time course of the rotational velocity v(t) under a sufficiently small sinusoidal torque at a frequency $f_0 = 300$ Hz. Sinusoidal torque was obtained by modulating the amplitude of the applied voltage periodically. We took an ensemble average $\langle v(t) \rangle_N$ for each period of $T = 1/f_0$, fitted by a sinusoidal function $\langle v(t) \rangle_N = \langle v(t) \rangle_0 + w_0 \sin(2\pi f_0 t + \phi)$ for evaluating w_0 and ϕ , and calculated α by using Eq. 5. The response curve under a sinusoidal probe torque was well-fitted by a sinusoidal function (Fig. S6B), suggesting that the response function was measured in the linear-response regime around the steady state.

Step Analysis. Steps in the rotational trajectories were identified by using an algorithm based on Hidden Markov Modeling and the Viterbi algorithm (45). The validity of this method was checked by eye. In this method, we modeled the stepping motion as jumps among three discrete states. We denote the state at a frame k ($1 \le k \le L$) as s_k ($1 \le s_k \le 3$) and define a score function: $\phi(\{s_k\}) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^L \beta_{s_k}(x_k) \times \prod_{k=1}^{L-1} w(s_k \to s_{k+1})$. Here, $\{x_k\}$ is the rotational trajectory, $\beta_s(x)$ is the probability that x is observed at a state s, and $w(s \to s')$ is a transition probability from s to s'. We obtained $\{s_k^*\}$ that maximizes $\phi(\{s_k\})$ by Viterbi algorithm and calculated the fraction of steps (p_h and p_s). We estimated $\beta_m(x)$ by fitting the angular distribution by Gaussian functions and $w(s \to s')$ to maximize $\phi(\{s_k^*\})$. We repeated these two optimizations until the convergence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Shigeru Sugiyama for the technical advice on the electrorotation method. We appreciate helpful discussions with Masaki Sano and Hiroshi Ueno. This work was supported by Japan Science and Technology Agency (J.S.T.), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas Grants 18074001, 17049015, 19037022, 18031033 (to E.M.) and Grant 21023007 (to S.T.), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas Grant 20118010 (to E.M.), and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Grant 21740291 (to S.T.).

- 19. Gerritsma E, Gaspard P (2009) Discrete- versus continuous-state descriptions of the F1-ATPase molecular motor., arXiv/0904.4218.
- Nishiyama M, Higuchi H, Yanagida T (2002) Chemomechanical coupling of the forward and backward steps of single kinesin molecules. Nat Cell Biol 4:790–797.
- 21. Carter N, Cross R (2005) Mechanics of the kinesin step. *Nature* 435:308–312.
- 22. Berry RM, Turner L, Berg HC (1995) Mechanical limits of bacterial flagellar motors probed by electrorotation. *Biophys J* 69:280–286.
- Watanabe-Nakayama T, et al. (2008) Effect of external torque on the ATP-driven rotation of F₁-ATPase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 366:951–957.
- Toyabe S, Sagawa T, Ueda M, Muneyuki E, Sano M (2010) Experimental demonstration of information-to-energy conversion and validation of the generalized Jarzynski equality. Nat Phys 6:988–992.
- 25. Wang H, Oster G (1998) Energy transduction in the F_1 motor of ATP synthase. Nature 396:279–282.
- Hossain MD, et al. (2008) Neither helix in the coiled coil region of the axle of F₁-ATPase plays a significant role in torque production. *Biophys J* 95:4837–4844.
- Furuike S, et al. (2008) Axle-less F₁-ATPase rotates in the correct direction. Science 319:955–958.
- 28. Masaike T, et al. (2000) Rotation of F1-ATPase and the hinge residues of the β subunit. J Exp Biol 203:1–8.
- 29. Jault JM, et al. (1996) The $a_3\beta_{3\gamma}$ subcomplex of the F₁-ATPase from the thermophilic Bacillus PS3 with the β T165S substitution does not entrap inhibitory MgADP in a catalytic site during turnover. J Biol Chem 271:28818–28824.
- 30. Dou C, Fortes AG, Allison WS (1998) The $a_3(\beta Y341W)_{3\gamma}$ subcomplex of the F₁-ATPase from the thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 fails to dissociate ADP when MgATP is hydrolyzed at a single catalytic site and attains maximal velocity when three catalytic sites are saturated with MgATP. *Biochemistry* 37:16757–16764.
- Muneyuki E, et al. (2007) Single molecule energetics of F₁-ATPase motor. *Biophys J* 92:1806–1812.
- Okuno D, lino R, Noji H (2010) Stiffness of γ subunit of F₁-ATPase. Eur Biophys J 39:1589–1596.
- Sielaff H, et al. (2008) Domain compliance and elastic power transmission in rotary F_oF₁-ATPase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:17760–17765.
- Hayashi K, Ueno H, Iino R, Noji H (2010) Fluctuation theorem applied to F₁-ATPase. Phys Rev Lett 104:218103.

- Hill TL (2004) Free Energy Transduction and Biochemical Cycle Kinetics (Dover, New York), pp 1–115.
- Andrieux D, Gaspard P (2006) Fluctuation theorems and the nonequilibrium thermodynamics of molecular motors. *Phys Rev E* 74:011906.
- 37. Harada T, Nakagawa N (2007) A reversibility parameter for a Markovian stepper. Europhys Lett 78:50002.
- Lacoste D, Lau AWC, Mallick K (2008) Fluctuation theorems and large deviation function for a solvable model of a molecular motor. *Phys Rev E* 78:011915.
- Lindén M, Wallin M (2007) Dwell time symmetry in random walks and molecular motors. *Biophys J* 92:3804–3816.
- Nishizaka T, et al. (2004) Chemomechanical coupling in F₁-ATPase revealed by simultaneous observation of nucleotide kinetics and rotation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 11:142–148.

SANG SANG

- 41. Shimabukuro K, et al. (2003) Catalysis and rotation of F_1 motor: Cleavage of ATP at the catalytic site occurs in 1 ms before 40° substep rotation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:14731–14736.
- Ariga T, Muneyuki E, Yoshida M (2007) F₁-ATPase rotates by an asymmetric, sequential mechanism using all three catalytic subunits. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 14:841–846.
- Hirono-Hara Y, et al. (2001) Pause and rotation of F₁-ATPase during catalysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:13649–13654.
- 44. Kubo R, Toda M, Hashitsume N (1991) Statistical Physics II (Springer, Berlin), 2nd Ed.,, pp 31–39.
- Press WH, Teukolsky SA, Vetterling WT, Flannery BP (2007) Numerical Recipes (Cambridge Univ Press, New York), 3rd Ed., pp 840–898.