## **RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2014 CONSUMING A CONSUMI**



# Identification and analysis of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) transcriptomes by massively parallel pyrosequencing

Venu Kalavacharla<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Zhanji Liu<sup>1</sup>, Blake C Meyers<sup>2</sup>, Jyothi Thimmapuram<sup>3</sup> and Kalpalatha Melmaiee<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) is the most important food legume in the world. Although this crop is very important to both the developed and developing world as a means of dietary protein supply, resources available in common bean are limited. Global transcriptome analysis is important to better understand gene expression, genetic variation, and gene structure annotation in addition to other important features. However, the number and description of common bean sequences are very limited, which greatly inhibits genome and transcriptome research. Here we used 454 pyrosequencing to obtain a substantial transcriptome dataset for common bean.

Results: We obtained 1,692,972 reads with an average read length of 207 nucleotides (nt). These reads were assembled into 59,295 unigenes including 39,572 contigs and 19,723 singletons, in addition to 35,328 singletons less than 100 bp. Comparing the unigenes to common bean ESTs deposited in GenBank, we found that 53.40% or 31,664 of these unigenes had no matches to this dataset and can be considered as new common bean transcripts. Functional annotation of the unigenes carried out by Gene Ontology assignments from hits to Arabidopsis and soybean indicated coverage of a broad range of GO categories. The common bean unigenes were also compared to the bean bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) end sequences, and a total of 21% of the unigenes (12,724) including 9,199 contigs and 3,256 singletons match to the 8,823 BAC-end sequences. In addition, a large number of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and transcription factors were also identified in this study.

Conclusions: This work provides the first large scale identification of the common bean transcriptome derived by 454 pyrosequencing. This research has resulted in a 150% increase in the number of Phaseolus vulgaris ESTs. The dataset obtained through this analysis will provide a platform for functional genomics in common bean and related legumes and will aid in the development of molecular markers that can be used for tagging genes of interest. Additionally, these sequences will provide a means for better annotation of the on-going common bean whole genome sequencing.

## Background

Phaseolus vulgaris or common bean is the most important edible food legume in the world. It provides 15% of the protein and 30% of the caloric requirement to the world's population, and represents 50% of the grain legumes consumed worldwide [\[1\]](#page-16-0). Common bean has several market classes, which include dry beans, canned beans, and green beans. The related legume soybean

(Glycine max), which is one of the most important sources of seed protein and oil content belongs to the same group of papilionoid legumes as common bean. Common bean and soybean diverged nearly 20 million years ago around the time of the major duplication event in soybean [\[2,3](#page-16-0)]. Synteny analysis indicates that most segments of any one common bean linkage group are highly similar to two soybean chromosomes [[4\]](#page-16-0). Since *P. vulgaris* is a true diploid with a genome size estimated to be between 588 and 637 mega base pairs (Mbp) [[5-7\]](#page-16-0), it will serve as a model for understanding the  $\sim$ 1,100 million base pairs (Mbp) soybean genome



© 2011 Kalavacharla et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: [vkalavacharla@desu.edu](mailto:vkalavacharla@desu.edu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture & Related Sciences, Delaware State University, Dover, DE 19901, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

[[1](#page-16-0)]. Common bean is also related to other members of the papilionid legumes including cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) and pigeon pea (Vigna radiata). Therefore, better knowledge of the common bean genome will facilitate better understanding of other important legumes as well as the development of comparative genomics resources.

The common bean genome is currently being sequenced [[8\]](#page-16-0). When the sequencing of the genome is complete, this will require the prediction, annotation and validation of the expressed genes in common bean. The availability of large sets of annotated sequences as derived by identification, sequencing, and validation of genes expressed in the common bean will help in the development of an accurate and complete structural annotation of the common bean genome, a valid transcriptome map, and the identification of the genetic basis of agriculturally important traits in common bean. The transcriptome sequences will also help in the identification of transcription factors and small RNAs in common bean, understanding of gene families, and very importantly the development of molecular markers for common bean.

To date there are several relevant and important publications in common bean transcriptome sequencing and bioinformatics analyses. Ramirez et al. [\[9\]](#page-16-0) sequenced 21,026 ESTs from various cDNA libraries (nitrogen-fixing root nodules, phosphorus-deficient roots, developing pods, and leaves) derived from the Meso-American common bean genotype Negro Jamapa 81, and leaves from the Andean genotype G19833. Approximately 10,000 of these identified ESTs were classified into 2,226 contigs and 7,969 singletons.

Melotto et al. [[10](#page-16-0)] constructed three cDNA libraries from the common bean breeding line SEL1308. These libraries were comprised of 19-day old trifoliate leaves, 10-day old shoots, and 13-day old shoots (inoculated with Colletotrichum lindemuthianum). Of the 5,255 single-pass sequences obtained from this work, trimming and clustering helped identify 3,126 unigenes, and of these only 314 unigenes showed similarity to sequences from the existing database.

Tian et al. [[11\]](#page-16-0) constructed a suppression substractive cDNA library to identify genes involved in response to phosphorous starvation. Six-day old seedlings from the genotype G19833 were exposed to high and low phosphorus (five and 1,000 μmol/L) respectively and the poly (A+) RNA derived from total shoot and root RNA from plants in these conditions was used for construction of the libraries. After dot-blot hybridization and identification of differentially expressed clones, full-length cDNAs were identified from cDNA libraries constructed from the low and high P exposure experiments. Differentially expressed genes were characterized into five functional

groups, and these authors were able to further classify 72 genes by comparison to the GenBank non-redundant database using BLASTx values less than  $1.0 \times 1e^{-2}$ ).

Thibivilliers et al. [\[7](#page-16-0)] identified 6, 202 new common bean ESTs (out of a total of 10,221 ESTs) by using a substractive cDNA library constructed from the common bean rust resistant-cultivar Early Gallatin. This cultivar was inoculated with races 49 (avirulent on genotypes such as Early Gallatin carrying the rust resistance locus  $Ur-4$ ) and 41 (a virulent race that is not recognized by *Ur-4*). In order to identify genes which are differentially expressed, suppression substractive expression experiments were carried out to identify sequences which were up-regulated in response to susceptible and resistant host-pathogen interactions.

Despite these studies in common bean, there is still a paucity in the number of common bean ESTs and genes that have been deposited in GenBank  $(\sim 83,448 \text{ ESTs}, \text{as})$ of September, 2010) compared to other legume and plant models. Therefore, there is a need for deeper coverage and EST sequences from diverse common bean tissues and genotypes.

There has been an evolution in sequencing technologies starting with the traditional dideoxynucleotide sequencing to capillary-based sequencing to current "next-generation" sequencing [[12,13\]](#page-16-0). The emergence of next-generation sequencing technologies has substantially helped advance plant genome research, particularly for non-model plant species [\[14](#page-16-0)]. Next generation sequencing strategies typically have the ability to generate millions of reads of sequences at a time, without the need for cloning of the fragment libraries; these are faster than traditional capillary-based methods which may be limited to 96 samples in a run and require the nucleic acid material (DNA or complementary DNA; cDNA) to be cloned into a plasmid and amplified by Escherichia coli (E. coli). Therefore, cloning bias that is typically present in genome sequencing projects can be avoided, although depending on the specific platform used for next generation sequencing, there may be other specific biases involved. An advantage of some next generation sequencing technologies is that information on genome organization and layout may not be necessary a priori. The Roche 454 method uses the pyrophosphate molecule released when nucleotides are incorporated by DNA polymerase into the growing DNA chain to fuel reactions that result in the detection of light resulting from cleavage of oxyluciferin by luciferase [[15\]](#page-16-0). Using an emulsion PCR approach, it has the ability to sequence 400 to 500 nucleotides of paired ends and produces approximately 400-600 Mbp per run. This method has been applied to genome [\[16](#page-17-0)] and transcriptome [[17](#page-17-0)-[19\]](#page-17-0) sequencing due to its high throughput, coverage, and savings in cost.

In A. thaliana, pyrosequencing has been tested successfully to verify whether this technology is able to provide an unbiased representation of transcripts as compared to the sequenced genome. Using messenger RNA (mRNA) derived from Arabidopsis seedlings, Weber and colleagues [[20](#page-17-0)] identified 541,852 ESTs which accounted for nearly 17,449 gene loci and thus provided very deep coverage of the transcriptome. The analysis also revealed that all regions of the mRNA transcript were equally represented therefore removing issues of bias, and very importantly, over 16,000 of the ESTs identified in this research were novel and did not exist in the existing EST database. Therefore, these researchers concluded that the pyrosequencing platform has the ability to aid in gene discovery and expression analysis for non-model plants, and could be used for both genomic and transcriptomic analysis.

In the legume Medicago truncatula, the 454 technology has been used to generate 252,384 reads with average (cleaned) read length of 92 nucleotides [[16\]](#page-17-0), with a total of 184,599 unique sequences generated after clustering and assembly. Gene ontology (GO) assignments from matches to the completed Arabidopsis sequence showed a broad coverage of the GO categories. Cheung and colleagues [[17\]](#page-17-0) were also able to map 70,026 reads generated in this research to 785 Medicago BAC sequences. In their analysis of the maize shoot apical meristem, Emrich and colleagues [[16\]](#page-17-0) discovered 261,000 ESTs, annotated more than 25,000 maize genomic sequences, and identified ~400 maize transcripts for which homologs have not been identified in any other species. The value of this approach in novel gene/EST discovery is underlined by the fact that nearly 30% of the ESTs identified in this study did not match the ~648,000 maize ESTs in the databases. Velasco and colleagues [\[21\]](#page-17-0) generated a draft genome of grape, Vitis vinifera Pinot Noir by using a combination of Sanger sequencing and 454 sequencing. They identified approximately 29,585 predicted genes of which 96.1% could be assigned to genetic linkage groups (LGs). Many of the genes identified have potential implications on grapevine cultivation including those that influence wine quality, and response to pathogens. Detailed analysis was also carried out to identify sequences related to disease resistance, phenolic and terpenoid pathways, transcription factors, repetitive elements, and non-coding RNAs (including microRNAs, transfer RNAs, small nuclear RNAs, ribosomal RNAs and small nucleolar RNAs).

Sequences obtained in common bean by deep sequencing can be mapped onto common bean maps by using syntenic relationships between common bean and soybean; these two species diverged over 19 MYA. McClean et al. [[22\]](#page-17-0) determined syntenic relationships between

common bean and soybean by taking genetically positioned transcript loci and mapping to the soybean 1.01 pseudochromosome assembly. Since prior evidence has shown that almost every common bean locus maps to two soybean locations (recent diploidy and polyploidy respectively), and a genome assembly is not yet available in common bean, this synteny can be effectively utilized. Therefore, by referencing common bean loci with unknown physical map positions (in common bean) to syntenic regions in soybean, and then referencing back to the common bean genetic map, approximate locations of common bean transcript loci were determined. Using this method, the authors [\[22](#page-17-0)] were able to determine median physical-to-genetic distance ratio in common bean to be  $\sim$ 120 Kb/cM (based on the soybean physical distance derived from the pseudochromosome assembly). This allowed the placing of  $\sim$ 15,000 EST contigs and singletons on the common bean map, and this strategy will allow for the discovery and chromosomal locations of genes controlling important traits in both common bean and soybean. Therefore, until the common bean genome is completed, we can now use synteny with soybean to determine more accurate locations of common bean transcripts.

## Results and Discussion

#### Generation of ESTs from Phaseolus vulgaris

Since the combined total number of common bean ESTs that have been deposited in Genbank (as of September 2010) is  $\sim 83,000$ , we sought to increase the diversity and number of these sequences to be useful for functional genomics and molecular breeding studies. We generated cDNA libraries from four plant tissues: leaves, flowers, roots derived from the common bean cultivar "Sierra", and pods derived from the common bean breeding line "BAT93." Even though the genotype that was chosen for the common bean genome sequencing project is G19833, there is considerable value in generating transcriptomic sequences from these additional genotypes. Sierra is a common bean cultivar released by Michigan State University with improved disease resistance, competitive yield, and upright growth habit. Additionally, disease resistance in Sierra includes rust resistance, field tolerance to white mold, and resis-tance to Fusarium wilt [\[23\]](#page-17-0). The breeding line BAT93 is one of the parents of the core common bean mapping populations, and therefore, understanding and identification of sequences expressed in the developing pod is very useful. BAT93 also carries resistances to multiple diseases. The sequence data obtained from this work will also be very useful in identifying single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) loci when compared to sequences derived from other genotypes in the work by Ramirez et al. [\[9](#page-16-0)], Melotto et al. [[10](#page-16-0)] and Thibivilliers et al. [[7\]](#page-16-0).

The use of next-generation sequencing for transcriptome and genome studies has been well documented (as discussed in background). Given the paucity of available common bean sequences and our interest in generating sequence reads long enough to be useful for the design of primers for mapping onto the common bean map, we chose the Roche 454 sequencing method (see materials and methods). cDNAs derived from the RNA of the four tissues were tagged with sequence tags that would help identify tissue of origin after sequencing and assembly of data. After normalization, library construction and sequencing, sequences were assembled and annotated (see materials and methods) resulting in the generation of  $\sim$ 1.6 million reads, with an average length of 207 nucleotides (nt) and a total length of 350 Mbp derived from three bulk 454 runs. These reads were assembled using gsAssembler (Newbler, from Roche, [http://www.roche-applied-science.com\)](http://www.roche-applied-science.com), into 39,572 contigs and 55,051 singletons. Of these singletons, 35,328 were determined to be less than 100 nucleotides (nt). Therefore, sequences derived from this study serve as an important first step to deriving a larger transcriptomic set of sequences in common bean and additionally demonstrate the value of next-generation sequencing. Further, these common bean sequences will be important for discovery of orthologous genes in other socalled "orphan legumes" [\[24](#page-17-0)]. Assembly statistics for the 454 reads are shown in Table 1. Of the 1.6 million reads, we were able to assemble 75% of the reads. The average length of contigs was 473 nt and for singletons 103 nt (Table 2). For the purposes of this work, we consider the 39,572 contigs and 19,723 singletons which are longer than 100 nt collectively as unigenes (totalling 59, 295). The number of contigs and singletons with respective sizes are shown in Table 2. The largest number of contigs (11,597) was in the 200-299 nt range, followed by 9,696 contigs in the 100-199 nt range. There were 5,438 contigs which were > 1,000 nt. The longest contig length was 3,183 nt.

In order to determine the number of reads which make up any particular contig in the assembly, we





determined the number of reads versus number of contigs (Table 3). In our unigenes sequences, 22,723 contigs were comprised of 2-10 reads (minimum read range).

## Comparative analysis with existing Phaseolus vulgaris ESTs

Most of the common bean ESTs available in GenBank are derived from genotypes such as Early Gallatin, Bat 93, Negro Jamapa 81, and G19833 [\[7](#page-16-0)]. In order to identify new P. vulgaris sequences among the 454 unigene set that we generated, a BLASTn search (e-value  $<$  1e<sup>-</sup>  $10$ ) against the common bean ESTs in GenBank was carried out and revealed that 27,631 (46.60%) of the 454 unigenes matched known ESTs. Thus 31,664 unigenes (18,087 contigs and 13,577 singletons; 53.40%) can be considered as new P. vulgaris unigenes.

The 83,947 common bean EST sequences (as of October 1, 2010) can be assembled into about 20,000 unique sequences. These new sequences significantly enrich by approximately 150% the number of transcripts of this important legume and provide a significant resource for discovering new genes, developing molecular markers







for future genetic linkage and QTL analysis, and comparative studies with other legumes, and will help in the discovery and understanding of genes underlying agriculturally important traits in common bean.

#### Comparison with common bean BAC-end sequences

Recently, a BAC library for common bean genotype G19833 was constructed [[25\]](#page-17-0), and a draft FingerPrinted Contig (FPC) physical map has been released using the BAC-end sequences from this work (Genbank [EI415689-](http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Nucleotide&cmd=search&term=EI415689)[EI504705\)](http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Nucleotide&cmd=search&term=EI504705). This data set contains 89,017 BAC-end sequences. The FPC physical map makes it possible to map some 454 unigenes into the bean physical map. All the 454 unigenes were compared to the BAC-end sequences by BLASTN (e-value  $\langle 1e^{-10} \rangle$ ) according to McClean et al [\[22](#page-17-0)]. As a result, a total of 12,725 unigenes including 9,199 contigs and 3,256 singletons (21% of the unigenes), were mapped to the available 8,823 BAC-end sequences.

## Functional annotation of the P. vulgaris unigenes-Comparison to Arabidopsis

The common bean unigene set was compared to predicted Arabidopsis protein sequences by using BLASTX. A total of 26,622 (44.90%) of the unigenes had a significant match with the annotated Arabidopsis proteins, and were assigned putative functions (Figure 1). However, 55.10% (32,673) of the common bean unigenes had no significant match and therefore could not be classified into gene ontology (GO) categories. The comparison of the distribution of *P. vulgaris* unigenes among GO molecular function groups with that of A. thaliana suggests that this 454 unigene set is broadly representative of the P. vulgaris transcriptome. Unigenes with positive matches to the Arabidopsis proteins were grouped into 20 categories (Figure 1). The largest

proportion of the functionally assigned unigenes fell into seven categories: unknown (30.13%), nucleotide metabolism (9.50%), protein metabolism (9.41%), plant development and senescence (7.27%), stress defense (9.04%), signal transduction (7.11%) and transport (7.67%).

#### Functional comparison to soybean

All of the common bean unigenes were used to compare with soybean peptide sequences (55,787) by BLASTX (Figure [2\)](#page-5-0). As a result, a total of 63.31% (37,538) unigenes have a good match to soybean peptide sequences. Therefore the number of common bean matches to soybean sequences was significantly higher  $(-1.4x)$  compared to *Arabidopsis* and may reflect the larger number of predicted genes in soybean compared to Arabidopsis. These sequences can be used for discovery of not only common bean genes but also for validation of predicted soybean genes.

## Comparison of P. vulgaris unigenes with those in M.

truncatula, G. max, L japonicus, A. thaliana and O. sativa We were also interested in understanding the relationship of common bean unigenes in this study to those that have been identified in other legume models and the model plants *Arabidopsis* and rice with larger sequence collections. We also wanted to determine the unique and shared sequences between common bean, Medicago, lotus and soybean, and also those that are shared between common bean, Arabidopsis and rice. Nearly 54% (31,880) of the common bean unigenes have homology to Medicago, 44% (25,837) have homology to lotus, and 63% (37,538) have homology to soybean (Figure [3A](#page-6-0)). Approximately 72% (42,270) of common bean unigenes are shared between the four legume species (common bean, lotus, Medicago and soybean). We also determined that 54% (31,992) of the common bean unigenes are shared with Arabidopsis and 99% (58,716) are



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

shared with rice. When compared to Medicago, soybean and lotus, 28% (16,525) of the unigenes are unique to common bean whereas only 0.43% (254) of the unigenes are unique to common bean when compared to Arabi-dopsis and rice (Figure [3B\)](#page-6-0).

As seen in the comparison to the Arabidopsis transcriptome, the most abundant category was comprised of 30.13% of the unigenes with unknown functions which was consistent with the previous study by Thibivilliers et al. [\[7](#page-16-0)], who found that 31.9% of common bean ESTs from bean rust-infected plants had an unknown function. They also found that 15.3% of those ESTs fell into signal transduction and nucleotide metabolism classes. Similarly, our results found that 16.61% of 454 unigenes belonged to signal transduction and nucleotide metabolism. Additionally, this analysis showed that 9.04% of the unigenes belong to the stress defense category. These unigenes provide a new and additional source for mining stress-regulated and defense response genes. Interestingly, Wong et al. [[26](#page-17-0)] identified a common bean antimicrobial peptide with the ability to inhibit the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 reverse transcriptase. This 47-amino acid peptide was also found to inhibit fungi such as Botrytis cinerea, Fusarium oxysporum and Mycosphaerella arachidicola. We used the corresponding nucleotide sequence from this peptide to search against the 454 sequences in this report, and discovered one unigene represented by contig03541 with a nucleotide length of 450 bases. Search of this sequence against the NCBI non-redundant database identified homology to a plant defensin peptide from legumes such as mung bean, soybean, Medicago, and yam-bean (Pachyrhizus erosus), and it is possible that this is a gene that is specific to legumes.

#### Validation of common bean reference genes

Thibivilliers et al. [[7\]](#page-16-0) compared several housekeeping genes for use as a common bean reference for qRT-PCR

experiments. They tested three bean genes TC197 (guanine nucleotide-binding protein beta subunit-like protein), TC127 (ubiquitin), and TC185 (tubulin beta chain), and the common bean homologs of the soybean genes cons6 (coding for an F-box protein family), cons7 (a metalloprotease), and cons15 (a peptidase S16). These researchers concluded that cons7 was the most stably expressed for their experimental conditions. Likewise, Libault et al. [[27](#page-17-0)] also identified cons7 to be stably expressed and to be useful as a reference gene for quantitative studies in soybean, and with the confirmation in our studies can possibly be used for other legume gene expression experiments. Therefore, for our experiments, we used the *Gmcons7* primers and verified expression in the Sierra genotype (please see Figure [4,](#page-7-0) lane 57); this was then used as an endogenous control, and used in leaf tissue as a reference gene for expression analysis of common bean contigs.

## Quantification of tissue-specific expression of the common bean transcriptome

When the cDNA libraries were created, the four tissues were tagged using a molecular barcode, based on their source of either leaves, roots, flowers or pods (see materials and methods) so that we could determine possible origin of tissues of the transcripts. The tags can be used to describe the presence or degree of tissue-specific expression of the unigenes. The distribution of these tags among the four tissues is shown in Figure [5.](#page-7-0) About 69% (41,161 unigenes) of the unigenes were present in leaves, 52% (30,914 unigenes) were present in flowers, 42% (24,725 unigenes) were present in roots, and 36% (21,063 unigenes) were present in pods. Among all the unigenes, 27% (16,155 unigenes) were observed only in leaves, 8% (4,805 unigenes) only in roots, 11% (6,810 unigenes) only in flowers, and 6% (3,321 unigenes) only in pods.

In our analysis of the 454 data, we found that 28,204 contigs were composed of transcripts that were derived

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

from multiple tissues (Table [4\)](#page-8-0). The tagging of the cDNA libraries will be very useful in order to verify and validate global gene expression patterns and understanding both shared and unique transcripts between and

among the tissues in this study. Equally significant is the ability to capture rarely expressed transcripts. Since normalization was carried out (as seen in methods), the large number of transcripts derived from leaves is

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

primers designed from contig11286 in lanes with genomic DNA, leaf cDNA, leaf cDNA control (no reverse transcriptase added to reaction), and water as template to check DNA contamination. In lanes 6-19, 22-39, and 42-56, 58 and 59 RT-PCR products derived by amplification from an additional 47 common bean unigenes using leaf cDNA as a template are shown (complete list of contigs shown in Table 4). Lane 57 is amplification by the cons7 primers.



Tissue-specific unigenes	No. of unigenes	Average reads	No. of reads in the largest contigs
Leaf-specific	16,155	1.99	96
Root-specific	4,805	2.21	502
Pod-specific	3,321	3.63	650
Flower-specific	6,810	1.87	231
Mixed-tissue unigenes	28,204	59.83	2,484
All unigenes	59,295	29.60	2,484

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 4 Identification of tissue-specific unigenes from common bean 454 sequences

interesting. The contigs and singletons which contain flower, root, and pod-specific transcripts will be very useful to understand and compare with transcriptomic sequences derived from other temporal and spatial conditions from other studies.

## SSR analysis

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs), or microsatellites consist of repeats of short nucleotide motifs with two to six base pairs in length. In the present study, the 59,295 454-derived sequences from common bean (estimated length of 22.93 Mbp) and 92,124 common bean genomic sequences (validated September 2010; estimated length of 64.67 Mbp) were analyzed for SSR sequences using the software MISA [http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa) [misa](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa). We surveyed these and all other sequences mentioned in this analysis for di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotide type of SSRs. We detected a total of 1,516 and 4,517 SSRs in 454-derived and genomic sequences respectively (Table 5). In order to determine the identification of SSR sequences from other plants with both transcriptome and genomic resources, we analyzed 33,001 unigenes and 973.34 Mbp of genomic sequences from G. max, 18,098 unigenes and 105.5 Mbp of genomic sequences from M. truncatula, and 30,579 unigenes and the whole genome from Arabidopsis. In G. max, we found 3,548 SSRs in the unigenes, and 143,666 SSRs in genomic sequences. In M. truncatula, we found 1,470 SSRs in the unigenes, and 10,412 SSRs in the genomic sequences, and finally we found 5,586 SSRs in Arabidopsis unigenes, and 14,110 SSRs in Arabidopsis genomic sequences (Table 5).

We then analyzed the average distance between any two SSRs and found that this differed among species. The average distance between two SSRs in unigenes and genomic sequences of P. vulgaris was 15.13 kb and 14.32 kb respectively, higher than that of the other three species. However, the average distance between two SSRs was quite similar between unigenes and genomic sequences for common bean, soybean, Medicago, and lotus (Table 5).

The frequency of SSRs in terms of repeat motif length (di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa- nucleotide) was different. Of all the SSRs found in common bean unigenes, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide and hexanucleotide repeats account for 36.15%, 59.50%, 2.57%, 0.79%, and 0.99%, respectively, while repeats account for 70.02%, 26.85%, 2.17%, 0.51% and 0.44% in genomic sequences. In  $G$ . max unigenes, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide and hexanucleotide repeats account for 42.64%, 54.20%, 2.00%, 0.51%, and 0.65%, respectively, and was 69.50%, 26.74%, 2.75%, 0.81% and 0.20% in genomic sequences. In M. truncatula unigenes, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide and hexanucleotide repeats account for 35.03%, 59.66%, 3.33%, 1.16%, and 0.82%, respectively, and was 62.06%, 33.92%, 3.02%, 0.61% and 0.39% in genomic sequences. In Arabidopsis unigenes, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide and hexanucleotide repeats account for

<b>Type</b>	P. vulgaris		G. max		M. truncatula		A. thaliana	
	Unigene	Genome	<b>Unigene</b>	Genome	Unigene	Genome	Unigene	Genome
Dinucleotide	548	3163	5944	99856	1903	6462	1914	8686
Trinucleotide	902	1213	5771	38411	2999	3532	3600	5180
Tetranucleotide	39	98	238	3954	165	314	34	155
Pentanucleotide	12	23	66	1161	53	63	8	38
Hexanucleotide	15	20	100	284	65	41	30	51
Total SSR	1516	4517	12119	143666	5158	10412	5586	14110
Total length (Mbp)	22.94	64.68	71.80	973.34	51.93	105.52	43.58	111.14
Average distance (kb)	5.13	14.32	5.92	6.78	10.07	10.13	7.80	7.88

Table 5 SSR survey in unigenes and genomic sequences from P. vulgaris, G. max, M. truncatula, and A. thaliana.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>34.26%, 64.45%, 0.61%, 0.14%, and 0.54%, respectively, which was different from 61.56%, 36.71%, 1.10%, 0.27% and 0.36% in genomic sequences. The most frequent type of repeat motif between unigenes and genomic sequences was different. Trinucleotide SSRs were the most common type in unigenes in all the four species, while dinucleotide SSRs were the most common type in genomic sequences. These EST-SSRs will help to develop SSR markers with high polymorphism for common bean.

Tri-nucleotides were found to be the most abundant repeats and AAG/CTT repeats were the most frequent motifs in the tri-nucleotides. The prevalence of trinucleotide over di-nucleotide or other SSRs was also observed in the unigenes of G. max, M. truncatula and A. thaliana, and also may be characteristic of EST-SSRs of maize, wheat, rice, sorghum, barley [[28\]](#page-17-0) and many other plant species [[29](#page-17-0),[30\]](#page-17-0). In contrast, di-nucleotides were the most common repeats in the genomic sequences of the four species and AT/AT was the most dominant repeat. Blair et al. [[30,31](#page-17-0)] and Cordoba et al. [[32\]](#page-17-0) identified 184 gene-based SSRs and 875 SSRs from common bean ESTs and BAC-end sequences, respectively. They also found that tri-nucleotide SSRs were more common in ESTs, while di-nucleotide SSRs were more dominant in GSSs. The frequency of SSR-containing ESTs in the common bean unigenes as shown in this study was 2.37% and much lower than that of rice [[28\]](#page-17-0), bread wheat [[33\]](#page-17-0), and other plants [[29\]](#page-17-0). The SSRs identified in the present study can be used by the common bean community as molecular markers for mapping of important agronomic traits and for integration of common bean genetic and physical maps.

#### Validation of selected bean 454 transcripts

We wanted to verify the expression of common bean ESTs identified in this work, before which we ensured that the procedures that we were following in the laboratory were consistent and that there was no contamination of the cDNA with genomic DNA. Figures 6A and 6B show that the cDNA that we have used for our gene expression experiments is contamination free. We wanted to test the accuracy of the contigs assembled by the gsAssembler with reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR. We designed PCR primers for 48 randomly selected contigs (Table [6\)](#page-10-0) and analyzed the cDNA under standard PCR conditions and electrophoresed the products on a 2% agarose gel (Figure [4](#page-7-0)).

Almost all of the amplifications yielded single products ranging from 100 bp-150 bp showing that these are real transcripts derived from mRNA.

## Quantitative PCR analysis of 23 common bean contigs

Of the 48 contigs whose amplification is shown in Figure [4](#page-7-0), we randomly chose 23 contigs (Table [7](#page-11-0)) for further analysis with quantitative PCR. Randomly selected contigs were tested to determine if they were derived from RNA sequences and for their expression pattern in common bean plant parts under ambient conditions. Relative quantification of contig expression was performed by comparative  $\Delta\Delta C_T$  analysis from leaf, flower, pod and root tissues using leaf as a reference sample.



Figure 6 Tests for DNA contamination in reverse transcriptase PCR. (A) Common bean sequence characterized amplified repeat (SCAR) marker SK14, linked to the Ur-3 rust resistance locus. From our experiments, SK14 amplifies from genomic DNA but not from cDNA, presumably because SK14 is from the intronic region of the gene. Forward and reverse primers derived from the SK14 sequence were used to amplify a 600 bp product from genomic DNA and cDNA; no amplification from cDNA was observed. Lane 1, 100 bp ladder; Lane 2, genomic DNA; Lane 3, leaf cDNA; Lane 4. Negative cDNA control (no reverse transcriptase was added to cDNA synthesis reaction); Lane 5, H<sub>2</sub>O only control; Lane 6, 100 bp ladder. (B) Primers from contig32565, a sequence with homology to a MADS transcription factor amplified long flanking intronic genomic DNA yielding a 1200 bp amplicon from genomic DNA and a short 300 bp amplicon from cDNA. The order and contents of lanes 1 to 5 are identical to those in panel A.

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 6 Description of unigenes randomly selected for validation



## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 6 Description of unigenes randomly selected for validation (Continued)



## Table 7 Expression analysis of common bean contigs



\* Data not available (inadequate replicates)

Almost all of the contigs showed expression as illustrated in Table [7](#page-11-0). We highlight a few contigs here including contig28742 (fructose-like protein), contig2884 (NAC domain protein), contig33251 (sucrose synthase) and contig04711 (alcohol dehydrogenase) for which the expression levels were lower in flowers, pods and roots compared to leaves. Contig29672 (glutathione S-tranferase GST 19), contig28932 (noduleenhanced protein phosphatase type C), contig30958 (WRKY27), and contig38427 (senescence-associated nodulin 1A) showed higher expression levels in flower, pod and roots compared to leaves. Expression levels of contig30958 with homology to a WRKY-27 transcription factor involved in bacterial wilt resistance [[34](#page-17-0)] and contig08830 with homology to another WRKY35 transcription factor were high (119-fold) in pods compared to leaves. Expression levels of contig14749 (senescence-associated nodulin 1A), contig20010 (ABC transporter family protein) and contig38383 (fructokinase-like protein) were higher (64 fold) in flower than leaf, pods, and roots. Interestingly PCR primers designed from contig32565 showed a size difference when amplified from genomic and cDNA, and it is possible that the primers were designed from a region flanking an intron (example shown in Figure [6B\)](#page-9-0).

## Identification of transcription factors

Putative common bean transcription factors (TFs) were identified by comparing Arabidopsis transcription factors<http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/v3.0/> against the 454 sequencing-derived unigenes in this study [[35](#page-17-0)]. A total of 2,516 unigenes coding for putative transcription factors were identified in common bean, which is similar to the 2,758 transcription factors discovered in Arabidopsis. However, these numbers represent about half of the transcription factors (5,671) discovered in soybean. In Table 8 we show the 16 most common transcription factor families found in common bean and corresponding TFs identified from Arabidopsis [[35](#page-17-0)] and soybean [[36\]](#page-17-0).

The largest share of common bean transcription factors (169) shows homology to the MYB super family similar to soybean (586) and Arabidopsis thaliana (266) which show the same abundance. This high number of MYB transcription factor identification may be due to their abundance in the genome as well as identification and characterization in model organisms. MYB genes are involved in regulation of various metabolic pathways and developmental regulation by determining cell fate and identity [[37](#page-17-0),[38\]](#page-17-0). Study of these genes in common bean will help in the identification and analysis of important developmental pathways.

The second largest TF family in common bean (77) has similarity with the (NAM, ATAF1, 2 and CUC2) family as compared to 205 in soybean and 126 in Arabidopsis thaliana as shown in Table 8. The NAC gene family is reported to be composed of plant-specific transcription factors with a broad role in plant development (especially in lignocelluloses and cell wall development) and response to external stimuli [[39\]](#page-17-0). Several NAC genes were induced by cold and dehydration in Brassica napus [[40\]](#page-17-0), by abscisic acid (ABA) and salt stress in rice [[41](#page-17-0)], drought and developmental processes in chickpea [[42](#page-17-0)], salinity and osmotic stress [[43](#page-17-0)] and stripe rust in wheat [\[44\]](#page-17-0).

Table 8 Comparison of most common transcription factor families among common bean, soybean, and Arabidopsis derived by screening of the P. vulgaris 454 unigenes set against Arabidopsis transcription factors

Number	TF family	Number in P. vulgaris unigenes	Number in G. max	Number in Arabidopsis
	<b>MYB</b>	169	586	266
$\overline{2}$	<b>NAC</b>	77	205	126
3	bHLH	75	390	177
4	<b>WRKY</b>	71	219	88
5	HB	68	279	109
6	ARF	67	75	24
7	AUX/IAA	61	97	36
8	FAR1	59	$\circledcirc$	24
9	CCCH	58	176	85
10	PHD	50	288	59
11	Ap2/EREBP	48	405	168
12	bZIP	48	191	111
13	SET	44	0	46
14	mTERF	40	0	36
15	SNF <sub>2</sub>	32	$\circledcirc$	45
16	MADS box	32	220	132

We found 44 SET, 40 mTERF, 32 SNF2 and 59 FAR1 unigenes in our study from common bean. There are 46 SET, 36 mTERF, 45 SNF2 and 24 FAR1 transcription factors from Arabidopsis which are not represented in the soybean and Medicago transcription factor databases <http://bioinfo3.noble.org/dmtr/> to date. The SET TF family is involved in methylation of lysine residues on histone tails. As of now the SET family has been found only in a few species [http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/](http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/v3.0/) [v3.0/](http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/v3.0/). It is important to reveal the structural and functional details of these transcription factors as studies on epigenetics are expanding [[45](#page-17-0)]. The SNF2 family of proteins which are DNA-dependent ATPases play an important role in chromatin remodelling complexes that are involved in epigenetic gene regulation. The mTERF family contains leucine zipper-like heptads that may be involved in mtDNA transcription and replication [[46](#page-17-0)] while the FAR1 family is involved in regulation of the circadian clock in Arabidopsis [[47](#page-17-0)].

## Identification and analysis of nodulation-specific contigs in the unigene dataset

The 52 soybean nodulation genes discovered by Schmutz et al. [[48](#page-17-0)] were compared to the common bean unigenes, using the TBLASTN algorithm. We considered as orthologs, hits with an E-value of  $< 1 \times 10^{-20}$ as per McClean et al. (22). A total of 67 hits were identified and the average E-value for these hits was 3.3  $\times$ 10<sup>-69</sup> (Table [9\)](#page-14-0). Sixteen unigenes are seen to be expressed more abundantly in root tissues and this gene family will be investigated in further detail in subsequent studies.

## Conclusions

Genomic resources in legume crops are lacking with the exception of soybean and Medicago for which wholegenome sequences are now available. Since the common bean genome is relatively small compared to other legumes, there is a great potential to utilize and apply the information from common bean to other legume crops such as soybean, cowpea, mung bean, rice bean and lentils. We have partially made up for this lack of genomic information by sequencing a large number of cDNAs. In summary, we identified 59,295 common bean unigenes of which 31,664 unigenes are newly discovered sequences. Combined with existing transcriptomic and genomic sequences available for common bean, this dataset will be very useful for functional genomics research in common bean.

Comparison of the number of common bean unigene matches to other legumes shows that there may be many more legume unigenes that are yet to be discovered. Therefore, high throughput transcriptome sequencing will continue to help in identifying genes associated with biotic and abiotic stress, development of high resolution genetic maps, and automated phenotyping which will lead to crop improvement.

## Methods

## Plant materials

Common bean plants were grown in the greenhouse and leaves, flowers, and root tissues (from common bean cultivar Sierra) and pods (from common bean genotype BAT93) were collected into envelopes and frozen in liquid nitrogen for further processing.

#### RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and normalization

Total RNA was extracted from the four tissues using Plant RNA Reagents (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA). mRNAs (PolyA RNA) were isolated by using Oligotex Mini Kit (Qiagen; Valencia, CA). cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of mRNA following Clontech's (Mountain View, CA) Creator SMART cDNA synthesis system using modified Oligo-dT (to make compatible with 454 GS FLX) and 5' RACE primers. The primer sequences are: CDSIII-First 454: 5' TAG AGA CCG AGG CGG CCG ACA TGT TTT GTT TTT TTT TCT TTT TTT TTT VN 3' and SMARTIV: 5' AAG CAG TGG TAT CAA CGC AGA GTG GCC ATT ACG GCC GGG 3'.

For normalization, 300 nanograms (ng) of cDNA was denatured and allowed to self-anneal in a  $1 \times$  hybridization buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH7.5 and 0.5 M NaCl) for a period of 4 hrs. Within this hybridization period, most of the abundant transcripts found their homologs to form double stranded (ds) molecules but the unique/ rare transcripts and their homologs remain as single stranded (ss). After hybridization, duplex/double stranded specific Nuclease-DSN (Evrogen, Russia) was added to the reaction to degrade ds-cDNAs. Single stranded transcripts and their homologs that remained in the treated reactions were PCR amplified to make normalized ds-cDNA.

## Library preparation (DNA processing) for 454 (GSFLX) sequencing

cDNA was nebulized and size selected for an average size of 400-500 bp. 454 GSFLX specific adapters, AdapterA and AdapterB, with 10 bp MIDs in AdapterA were ligated to the cDNA ends after end-polishing reaction. The adapter ligated DNAs were then mobilized to the library preparation beads and ss-cDNAs were captured. Number of molecules of the ss-cDNAs was calculated using the concentration and average fragment length.

## emPCR, Enrichment and DNA Bead Loading

Emulsion PCR (emPCR) reactions were set up for titration run using  $6 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^6$ ,  $4.8 \times 10^6$  and  $9.6 \times$ 10<sup>6</sup> molecules of ss-cDNAs that corresponds to 0.5, 2, 4 and 8 copies, respectively, of the ss-cDNA per bead.

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>Table 9 Analysis of tentative nodulation genes from 454 unigenes of common bean



FF0DN3U02GRTQS	Glyma15g35070.1	112	9.00E-27	0		0	0	
contig04872	Glyma16q21620.1	699	$\Omega$	13	10	26	35	
contig10706	Glyma16q21620.1	229	1.00E-60	6		0	$\Omega$	
contig28435	Glyma16q21620.1	182	2.00E-46	8	28	29		
contig06537	Glyma17q03340.1	144	6.00E-36	7	16	14	77	
contig29092	Glyma17q03340.1	220	1.00E-58	6	11	3	$\overline{2}$	
contig01836	Glyma17q08110.1/Nod55-2	94.4	1.00E-20	$\overline{2}$		0	18	
contig06642	Glyma17g14220.1	397	3.00E-111	183	165	374	19	
contig08079	Glyma17g14220.1	315	1.00E-86	3	2	4	0	
FF0DN3U01DP3JJ	Glyma17g14220.1	108	1.00E-25	$\Omega$	$\Omega$		$\Omega$	
contig04461	Glyma17q27490.1	415	1.00E-116	6	5	19	5	
contig34068	Glyma18q02230.1/N70	324	2.00E-89	75	64	19	$\Omega$	
contig36321	Glyma18g02230.1/N70	318	4.00E-92	16	9	16	12	
FFSTDNT01DXXI7	Glyma18q02230.1/N70	99	7.00E-23		0	0	0	
contig34962	Glyma18g14750.1	197	1.00E-93	25	16	55	41	
contig08052	Glyma19q45310.1	333	6.00E-92	3			2	
contig12852	Glyma19q45310.1	495	1.00E-140	9	$\Omega$	5		

Table 9 Analysis of tentative nodulation genes from 454 unigenes of common bean (Continued)

Amount of ss DNA needed for the bulk run depends on the results of the titration run. In emPCR individual ss-DNA fragments were first annealed to an oligonucleotide complementary to 'B' adapter covalently bound to DNA capture beads. An emulsion was then prepared by vigorous shaking which created water-in-oil microreactors, each containing a DNA bead with attached sscDNA fragment and all necessary PCR reagents. This emulsion went through a thermocycling reaction that clonally amplified the attached DNA fragments to generate millions of copies of DNA on each bead. After amplification, the emulsion was broken and the beads were recovered and then washed by filtration.

The biotinylated Adapter A, also added during sscDNA construction, was utilized next to facilitate capture and recovery of all DNA positive beads using a streptavidin-coated magnetic bead. The capture beads without bound DNA did not bind to the streptavidin beads and were washed away. The remaining beads were then subjected to a chemical melt which denatured the bound ds-cDNA and thus separated the amplified capture beads from the magnetic beads. The mixture was magnetized again and the supernatant was recovered. This recovered supernatant contained the collection of ss-cDNA positive beads. A sequencing primer was then annealed to Adapter A and approximately 40,000 and 1,500,000 beads were loaded into a  $1/16^{th}$ region (for titration) and into two half regions (Bulk run) respectively, of a  $70 \times 75$  PicoTiterPlate (PTP) which contains approximately 1 million wells with an average diameter of 44 μm. This was followed by loading of the packing beads and enzyme beads. The PTP was then placed onto the GSFLX Genome Sequencer and bases (TACG) are sequentially flowed (100 cycles)

across the plate. Each time a base is incorporated a chemical reaction occurs resulting in the emission of light. As chemilluminescent signal is generated it is captured by the onboard camera and processed in real time by the on-rig computer into digital images, from which DNA sequence and quality scores are generated. The raw sequences are available in SRA at NCBI under the accession number SRA028837.

#### SSR analysis

To find SSRs in the data sets, the MISA program (a PERL-program written by Thomas Thiel; [http://pgrc.](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa)) [ipk-gatersleben.de/misa\)](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa)) was used. The program can identify not only perfect SSRs but also compound SSRs which are interrupted by a certain number of bases. SSRs were considered to contain motifs which were between two and six nucleotides in size in this study. Dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, pentanucleotide, and hexanucleotide repeats with minimum lengths of 12 bp, 15 bp, 20 bp, 25 bp and 30 bp, respectively, were considered as SSRs, as similarly defined in barley studies [\[49\]](#page-17-0).

#### Assembly and annotation of 454-reads

The adaptor sequences are identified and trim positions are changed in sff files using cross\_match [http://www.](http://www.phrap.org) [phrap.org](http://www.phrap.org), sff tools from Roche [https://www.roche](https://www.roche-applied-science.com/index.jsp)[applied-science.com/index.jsp](https://www.roche-applied-science.com/index.jsp) and in-house Java scripts. Short sequences (less than 25 nt) were filtered and then sequences are assembled using Newbler software from Roche, using the modified sff files and default parameters. The resulting contigs and singletons that are more than 100 nt were annotated separately using BLAST [[50\]](#page-17-0). The databases used were non-redundant

<span id="page-16-0"></span>protein database and bean ESTs from NCBI [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), Arabidopsis protein database from TAIR<http://www.arabidopsis.org/>, Soybean, Medicago and Lotus gene indices from DFCI [http://compbio.dfci.](http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/) [harvard.edu/tgi/](http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/) and rice protein database from Gramene [http://www.gramene.org/.](http://www.gramene.org/) In all cases the E-value cut off was  $10^{-5}$ . Top1 hits from the BLAST were parsed and used for annotation and further analysis of bean 454- contigs and singletons. The GO annotation was carried out using BLAST results against Arabidopsis protein sequences.

#### Transcription factor analysis

We used BLAST results of bean unique sequences against Arabidopsis proteins, to identify bean sequences homologous to Arabidopsis transcription factor genes from PlnTFDB<http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/v3.0/> [[35\]](#page-17-0).

#### Validation of expression patterns of selected unigenes

Total RNA was isolated from leaf, flower, pod and root tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Total RNA was digested with rDNAse (Ambion Inc, USA) to remove contaminated DNA. RNA concentration was measured by ND-2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, DE) and 10 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using ProtoScript® M-MuLV First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England BioLabs, Beverley, MA). Removal of genomic DNA in RNA samples was further confirmed by amplifying the genomic DNA, positive cDNA, negative cDNA control with common bean molecular marker SK14 (Figure [6A](#page-9-0)) and contig 32,565 primers designed to amplify intron flanking region (Figure [6B\)](#page-9-0). The 48 contigs were verified as shown in Figure [4](#page-7-0). Randomly chosen contigs listed in Table [4](#page-8-0) were selected for expression analysis by quantitative PCR. Concentrations of cDNA from all the tissues were equalized for reverse transcriptase and quantitative PCR experiments. The gene cons7 was used as an endogenous control [7,[27](#page-17-0)] and leaf tissue was used as a reference sample. Real time PCR analysis was performed in 96 well format (7500 Real-Time system, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using SYBR dye. Gene expression analysis was carried out by Comparative  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method [[51\]](#page-17-0) where  $\Delta\Delta CT$  = (CT of contig -CT of cons7) tissue to be observed - (CT of contig.x - CT of cons7) leaf tissue.

#### Acknowledgements

VK acknowledges funding by NSF grant EPS-0814251. Support for KM was provided by USDA grant 2007-03409 to VK. Support for ZL was provided by USDA grant 2008-02675 to VK. The authors also acknowledge the assistance of Mollee Crampton and Meredith Biedrzycki in editing of the manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture & Related Sciences, Delaware State University, Dover DE 19901, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant & Soil Sciences and Delaware Biotechnology Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19711, USA. <sup>3</sup>W. M. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, USA. <sup>4</sup>Center for Integrated Biological and Environmental Research, Delaware State University, Dover, DE 19901, USA.

#### Authors' contributions

VK conceived and designed the research, and contributed to coordination of the analysis and experimental validation, and to the writing of the manuscript. ZL helped with experimental verification, analysis of sequence data, and contributed to writing of the manuscript. BM helped with conceiving and analysis of the research, and editing of the manuscript. JT conducted the bioinformatics analysis and contributed to the writing of the methods for 454 sequencing and the manuscript. KM helped with experimental verification and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Received: 22 June 2011 Accepted: 11 October 2011 Published: 11 October 2011

#### References

- 1. McConnell M, Mamidi S, Lee R, Chikara S, Rossi M, Papa R, McClean P: [Syntenic relationships among legumes revealed using a gene-based](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20607211?dopt=Abstract) [genetic linkage map of common bean \(](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20607211?dopt=Abstract)Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Theor Appl Genet 2010, 121:1103-1116.
- 2. Lavin M, Herendeen PS, Wojjciechowski MF: [Evolutionary rate analysis of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16085576?dopt=Abstract) [leguminosae implicates a rapid diversification of lineages during the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16085576?dopt=Abstract) [tertiary.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16085576?dopt=Abstract) Syst Biol 2005, 54:575-594.
- 3. Schlueter JA, Dixon P, Granger C, Grant D, Clark L, Doyle JJ, Shoemaker RC: [Mining the EST databases to determine evolutionary events in the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15499401?dopt=Abstract) [legumes and grasses.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15499401?dopt=Abstract) Genome 2004, 47:868-876.
- Galeano CH, Fernandez AC, Gomez M, Blair MW: [Single strand](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20030833?dopt=Abstract) [conformation polymorphism based SNP and Indel markers for genetic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20030833?dopt=Abstract) [mapping and synteny analysis of common bean \(](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20030833?dopt=Abstract)Phaseolus vulgaris L.). BMC Genomics 2009, 10:629.
- 5. Arumuganthan K, Earle E: Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1991, 9:208-218.
- 6. Bennett MD, Leitch IJ: Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. Annals of Botany 1995, 76:113-116.
- 7. Thibivilliers S, Joshi T, Campbell KB, Scheffler B, Xu D, Coopers B, Nguyen HT, Stacey G: Generation of [Phaseolus vulgaris](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19397807?dopt=Abstract) ESTs and [investigation of their regulation upon](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19397807?dopt=Abstract) Uromyces appendiculatus infection. BMC Plant Biol 2009, 9:46.
- 8. McClean PE, Cannon S, Gepts P, Hudson M, Jackson S, Rokhsar D, Schmutz J, Vance C: Towards a whole genome sequence of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris): Background, Approaches, Applications.[[http://](http://www.csrees.usda.gov/business/reporting/stakeholder/pdfs/pl_common_bean.pdf) [www.csrees.usda.gov/business/reporting/stakeholder/pdfs/](http://www.csrees.usda.gov/business/reporting/stakeholder/pdfs/pl_common_bean.pdf) [pl\\_common\\_bean.pdf\]](http://www.csrees.usda.gov/business/reporting/stakeholder/pdfs/pl_common_bean.pdf), Executive Summary.
- 9. Ramirez M, Graham MA, Blanco-Lopez L, Silvente S, Medrao-soto A, Blair MW, Hernandez G, Vance CP, Lara M: [Sequencing and analysis of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15824284?dopt=Abstract) [common bean ESTs. Building a foundation for functional genomics.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15824284?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiology 2005, 137:1211-1227.
- 10. Melotto M, Monteiro-Vitorello CB, Bruschi AG, Camargo LE: [Comparative](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16121253?dopt=Abstract) [bioinformatic analysis of genes expressed in common bean \(](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16121253?dopt=Abstract)Phaseolus vulgaris [L.\) seedlings.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16121253?dopt=Abstract) Genome 2005, 48:562-570.
- 11. Tian J, Venkatachalam P, Liao H, Yan X, Raghothama K: [Molecular cloning](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17701202?dopt=Abstract) [and characterization of phosphorous starvation responsive genes in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17701202?dopt=Abstract) common bean ([Phaseolus vulgaris](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17701202?dopt=Abstract) L.). Planta 2007, 227:151-165.
- 12. Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR: [DNA sequencing with chain-terminating](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/271968?dopt=Abstract) [inhibitors.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/271968?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1977, 74:5463-5467.
- 13. Mardis ER: [The impact of next-generation sequencing technology on](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18262675?dopt=Abstract) [genetics.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18262675?dopt=Abstract) Trends in Genetics 2008, 24:133-141.
- 14. Vera J, Wheat C, Fescemyer H, Frilander M, Crawford D, Hanski I, Marden J: [Rapid transcriptome characterization for a nonmodel organism using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18266620?dopt=Abstract) [454 pyrosequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18266620?dopt=Abstract) Mol Ecol 2008, 17:1636-1647.
- 15. Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attya S, Bader JS, Bemben LA, Berka J, Braverman MS, Chen YJ, Chen Z, Dewell SB, Du L, Fierro JM, Gomes XVs, Goodwin BC, He W, Helgesen S, Ho CH, Irzyk GP, Jando SC, Alenquer MLI,

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Jarvie TP, Jirage KB, Kim JB, Knight JK, Lanza JR, Leamon JH, Lefkowitz SM, Lei M, Li J, Lohman KL, Lu H, Makhijani VB, McDade KE, McKenna MP, Myers EW, Nickerson E, Nobile JR, Plant R, Puc BP, Ronan MT, Roth GT, Sarkis GJ, Simons JF, Simpson JW, Srinivasan M, Tartaro KR, Tomasz A, Vogt KA, Volkmer GA, Wang SH, Wang Y, Weiner MP, Yu P, Begley RF, Rothberg JM: Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. Nature 2005, 4437:376-80.

- 16. Macas J, Neumann P, Navratilova A: [Repetitive DNA in the pea \(](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18031571?dopt=Abstract)Pisum sativum [L.\) genome: comprehensive characterization using 454](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18031571?dopt=Abstract) [sequencing and comparison to soybean and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18031571?dopt=Abstract) Medicago truncatula. BMC Genomics 2007, 8:427.
- 17. Cheung F, Haas B, Goldberg S, May G, Xiao Y, Town C: [Sequencing](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17062153?dopt=Abstract) Medicago truncatula [expressed sequenced tags using 454 Life Science](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17062153?dopt=Abstract) [technology.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17062153?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2006, 7:272-282.
- 18. Emrich SJ, Barbazuk WB, Li L, Schnable PS: [Gene discovery and annotation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17095711?dopt=Abstract) [using LCM-454 transcriptome sequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17095711?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 2007, 17:69-73.
- 19. Vega-Arreguin J, Ibarra-Laclette E, Jimenez-Moraila B, Martinez O, Vielle-Calzada J, Herrera-Estrella L, Herrera-Estrella A: [Deep sampling of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) [Palomero maize transcriptome by a high throughput strategy of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) [pyrosequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19580677?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2009, 10:299.
- 20. Weber AP, Weber KL, Carr K, Wilkerson C, Ohlrogge JB: [Sampling the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17351049?dopt=Abstract) Arabidopsis [transcriptome with massively parallel pyrosequencing.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17351049?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiol 2007, 144:32-42.
- 21. Velasco R, Zharkikh A, Troggio M, Cartwright DA, Cestaro A, Pruss D, Pindo M, Fitzgerald LM, Vezzulli S, Reid J, Malacarne G, Iliev D, Coppola G, Wardell B, Micheletti D, Macalma T, Facci M, Mitchell JT, Perazzolli M, Eldredge G, Gatto P, Oyzerski R, Moretto M, Gutin N, Stefanini M, Chen Y, Segala C, Davenport C, Demattte L, Mraz A, Battilana J, Stormo K, Costa F, Tao Q, Si-Ammour A, Harkins T, Lackey A, Perbost C, Taillon B, Stella A, Solovyev V, Fawcett JA, Sterck L, Vandepoele K, Grando SM, Toppo S, Moser C, Lanchbury J, Bogden R, Skolnick M, Sgaramella V, Bhatnagar SK, Fontana P, Gutin A, Van de Peer Y, Salamini F, Viola R: [A high quality draft](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18094749?dopt=Abstract) [consensus sequence of the genome of a heterozygous grapevine](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18094749?dopt=Abstract) [variety.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18094749?dopt=Abstract) PLoS ONE 2007, 2:e1326.
- 22. McClean PE, Mamidi S, McConnell M, Chikara S, Lee R: [Synteny mapping](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20298570?dopt=Abstract) [between common bean and soybean reveals extensive blocks of shared](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20298570?dopt=Abstract) [loci.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20298570?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2010, 11:184.
- 23. WebReference 1: Sierra a new pinto bean for Michigan. Michigan State University;[\[http://web2.msue.msu.edu/Bulletins/Bulletin/PDF/Historical/](http://web2.msue.msu.edu/Bulletins/Bulletin/PDF/Historical/finished_pubs/e2494/e2494-1994.pdf) [finished\\_pubs/e2494/e2494-1994.pdf\]](http://web2.msue.msu.edu/Bulletins/Bulletin/PDF/Historical/finished_pubs/e2494/e2494-1994.pdf).
- 24. Varshney R, Close T, Singh N, Hoisington D, Cook D: [Orphan legume crops](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19157958?dopt=Abstract) [enter the genomics era!](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19157958?dopt=Abstract) Curr Opin Plant Biol 2009, 12:202-210
- 25. Schlueter JA, Goicoechea JL, Collura K, Gill N, Lin JY, Yu Y, Kudrna D, Zuccolo A, Vallejos CE, Munoz-Torres M, Blair MW, Tohme J, Tomkins J, McClean P, Wing RA, Jackson SA: BAC-end sequence analysis and a draft physical map of the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) genome. Trop Plant Biol 2008, 1:40-48.
- 26. Wong JH, Zhang XQ, Wang HX, Ng TB: [A mitogenic defensin from white](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16687191?dopt=Abstract) cloud beans ([Phaseolus vulgaris](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16687191?dopt=Abstract)). Peptides 2006, 27:2075-2081.
- 27. Libault M, Thibivilliers S, Bilgin DD, Radwan O, Benitez M, Clough SJ, Stacey G: Identification of four soybean reference genes for gene expression normalization. The Plant Genome 2008, 1:44-54.
- 28. Kantety RV, La Rota M, Matthews DE, Sorrells ME: [Data mining for simple](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11999831?dopt=Abstract) [sequence repeats in expressed sequence tags from barley, maize, rice,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11999831?dopt=Abstract) [sorghum and wheat.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11999831?dopt=Abstract) Plant Mol Biol 2002, 48:501-510.
- 29. Gao L, Tang J, Li H, Jia J: Analysis of microsatellites in major crops assessed by computational and experimental approaches. Mol Breeding 2003, 12:245-261.
- 30. Blair MW, Torres MM, Giraldo MC, Pedraza F: [Development and diversity of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19646251?dopt=Abstract) [Andean-derived, gene-based microsatellites for common bean](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19646251?dopt=Abstract) ([Phaseolus vulgaris](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19646251?dopt=Abstract) L.). BMC Plant Biol 2009, 9:100.
- 31. Blair MW, Hurtado N, Chavarro CM, Munoz-Torres MC, Giraldo MC, Pedraza F, Tomkins J, Wing R: [Gene-based SSR markers for common bean](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21426554?dopt=Abstract) [\(Phaseolus vulgaris L.\) derived from root and leaf tissue ESTs: an](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21426554?dopt=Abstract) [integration of the BMC series.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21426554?dopt=Abstract) BMC Plant Biol 2011, 11:50.
- 32. Cordoba JM, Chavarro C, Schlueter JA, Jackson SA, Blair MW: [Integration of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20637113?dopt=Abstract) [physical and genetic maps of common bean through BAC-derived](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20637113?dopt=Abstract) [microsatellite markers.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20637113?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2010, 11:436.
- 33. Gupta PK, Rustgi S, Sharma S, Singh R, Kumar N, Balyan HS: [Transferable](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14508680?dopt=Abstract) [EST-SSR markers for the study of polymorphism and genetic diversity in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14508680?dopt=Abstract) [bread wheat.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14508680?dopt=Abstract) Mol Genet Genomics 2003, 270:315-323.
- 34. Mukhtar MS, Deslandes L, Auriac MC, Marco Y, Somssich IE: The [Arabidopsis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18702671?dopt=Abstract) [transcription factor WRKY27 influences wilt disease symptom](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18702671?dopt=Abstract) [development caused by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18702671?dopt=Abstract) Ralstonia solanacearum. Plant J 2008, 56:935-947.
- 35. Perez-Rodriguez P, Riano-Pachon DM, Correa LGG, Rensing SA, Kersten B, Mueller-Roeber B: [PlnTFDB: updated content and new features of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19858103?dopt=Abstract) [plant transcription factor database.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19858103?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 38:D822-D827.
- 36. Mochida K, Yoshida T, Sakurai T, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K, Tran LS: [LegumeTFDB: an integrative database of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933159?dopt=Abstract) Glycine max, Lotus [japonicus and Medicago truncatula](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933159?dopt=Abstract) transcription factors. Bioinformatics 2010, 26:290-291.
- 37. Borevitz JO, Xia Y, Blount J, Dixon RA, Lamb C: [Activation tagging](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11148285?dopt=Abstract) [identifies a conserved MYB regulator of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11148285?dopt=Abstract) Plant Cell 2000, 12:2383-2394.
- 38. Oppenheimer D, Herman PL, Sivakumaran S, Esch J, Marks MD: [A myb](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1934056?dopt=Abstract) [gene required for leaf trichome differentiation in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1934056?dopt=Abstract) Arabidopsis is [expressed in stipules.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1934056?dopt=Abstract) Cell 1991, 67:483-493.
- 39. Olsen AN, Ernst HA, Leggio LL, Skriver K: NAC transcription factors: structurally distinct, functionally diverse. Trends in Plant Sci 2005, 10:79-87.
- Hegedus D, Yu M, Baldwin D, Gruber M, Sharpe A, Parkin I, Whitwill S, Lydiate D: [Molecular characterization of Brassica napus NAC domain](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14750526?dopt=Abstract) [transcriptional activators induced in response to biotic and abiotic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14750526?dopt=Abstract) [stress.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14750526?dopt=Abstract) Plant Mol Biol 2003, 53:383-397.
- 41. Ohnishi T, Sugahara S, Yamada T, Kikuchi K, Yoshiba Y, Hirano HY, Tsutsumi N: [OsNAC6, a member of the NAC gene family, is induced by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16172526?dopt=Abstract) [various stresses in rice.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16172526?dopt=Abstract) Genes Genet Syst 2005, 80:135-139.
- 42. Peng H, Cheng HY, Yu XW, Shi QH, Zhang H, Li JG, Ma H: [Characterization](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19800808?dopt=Abstract) of a chickpea (Cicer arietinum [L.\) NAC family gene, CarNAC5, which is](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19800808?dopt=Abstract) [both developmentally-and stress-regulated.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19800808?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiol Biochem 2009, 47:1037-1045.
- 43. Pinheiro GL, Marques CS, Costa MD, Reis PA, Alves MS, Carvalho CM, Fietto LG, Fontes EP: [Complete inventory of soybean NAC transcription](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19497355?dopt=Abstract) [factors: sequence conservation and expression analysis uncover their](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19497355?dopt=Abstract) [distinct roles in stress response.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19497355?dopt=Abstract) Gene 2009, 444:10-23.
- 44. Xia N, Zhang G, Liu XY, Deng L, Cai GL, Zhang Y, Wang XJ, Zhao J, Huang LL, Kang ZS: [Characterization of a novel wheat NAC transcription](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20213512?dopt=Abstract) [factor gene involved in defense response against stripe rust pathogen](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20213512?dopt=Abstract) [infection and abiotic stresses.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20213512?dopt=Abstract) Mol Biol Rep 2010, 37(8):3703-12.
- 45. Marmorstein R: [Transcription initiation at its most basic level.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14622590?dopt=Abstract) Cell 2003, 115:370-372.
- 46. Roberti M, Polosa PL, Bruni F, Manzari C, Deceglie S, Gadaleta MN, Cantatore P: [The MTERF family proteins: mitochondrial transcription](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19366610?dopt=Abstract) [regulators and beyond.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19366610?dopt=Abstract) Biochim Biophys Acta 2009, 1787:303-311.
- 47. Lin R, Ding L, Casola C, Ripoll DR, Feschotte C, Wang H: [Transposase](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18033885?dopt=Abstract)[derived transcription factors regulate light signaling in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18033885?dopt=Abstract) Arabidopsis. Science 2007, 318:1302-1305.
- 48. Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J, Xu D, Hellsten U, May GD, Yu Y, Sakurai T, Umezawa T, Bhattacharyya MK, Sandhu D, Valliyodan B, Lindquist E, Peto M, Grant D, Shu S, Goodstein D, Barry K, Futrell-Griggs M, Abernathy B, Du J, Tian Z, Zhu L, Gill N, Joshi T, Libault M, Sethuraman A, Zhang XC, Shinozaki K, Nguyen HT, Wing RA, Cregan P, Specht J, Grimwood J, Rokhsar D, Stacey G, Shoemaker RC, Jackson SA: [Genome sequence of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20075913?dopt=Abstract) [palaeopolyploid soybean.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20075913?dopt=Abstract) Nature 2010, 463:178-183.
- 49. Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney RK, Graner A: [Exploiting EST databases for](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12589540?dopt=Abstract) [the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12589540?dopt=Abstract) barley ([Hordeum vulgare](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12589540?dopt=Abstract) L.). Theor Appl Genet 2003, 106:411-422.
- 50. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: [Basic local alignment](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2231712?dopt=Abstract) [search tool.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2231712?dopt=Abstract) *J Mol Biol* 1990, 215:403-410.
- 51. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: [Analysis of relative gene expression data using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609?dopt=Abstract) [real-time quantitative PCR and the 2 \(-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609?dopt=Abstract)ΔΔ C(T)) Method. Methods 2001, 25:402-408.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-135

Cite this article as: Kalavacharla et al.: Identification and analysis of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) transcriptomes by massively parallel pyrosequencing. BMC Plant Biology 2011 11:135.