Mechanisms of Immunity to *Ehrlichia muris*: a Model of Monocytotropic Ehrlichiosis

Hui-Min Feng and David H. Walker*

Department of Pathology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555

Received 11 August 2003/Returned for modification 16 September 2003/Accepted 13 November 2003

Ehrlichia species can cause life-threatening infections or chronic persistent infections. Mechanisms of protective immunity were examined in an Ehrlichia muris mouse model of monocytotropic ehrlichiosis. C57BL/6 mice possessed strong genetic resistance to E. muris of an undetermined mechanism. CD8 T lymphocytes were particularly important, as revealed by 81% fatalities for E. muris-infected, major histocompatibility complex class I gene knockout mice compared with no deaths for wild-type C3H mice. Moreover, 80% of C3H mice depleted of CD8 and CD4 cells died of E. muris infection compared with only 44% of CD4 cell-depleted mice. CD8 T lymphocytes were demonstrated for the first time in an Ehrlichia infection to exhibit cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity against Ehrlichia-infected target cells. Both gamma interferon and tumor necrosis factor were shown to play synergistic roles in protective immunity in vivo for the first time, as demonstrated by 75% fatalities when both cytokines were neutralized compared with minimal mortality when they were depleted separately. Passive transfer of antibodies, but not Fab fragments, to E. muris protected C3H/SCID mice against lethal infection. The mechanism of increased susceptibility (22% lethality) of C57BL/6 major histocompatibility complex class II gene knockout mice and CD4 cell-depleted C3H mice (i.e., through a gamma interferon or antibody mechanism), as well as the more important role of CD8 T lymphocytes (in the form of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity and/or gamma interferon production), remains to be elucidated. Protective immunity against monocytotropic E. muris is mediated by a combination of CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes, gamma interferon, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and antibodies.

Human monocytotropic ehrlichiosis (HME) caused by *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* is a prototypic emerging infectious disease (33). First reported as an infectious disease of humans in 1987, HME very likely has a high prevalence throughout the rural southeastern and south central states where white-tailed deer and lone-star ticks are prevalent (29, 32). Despite dramatic susceptibility to doxycycline, the case fatality rate is a significant 3% owing to misdiagnosis and delayed or ineffective treatment (29). A similar disease in Russia has been associated with *E. muris* in *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks and development of antibodies to antigens of the closely related *E. chaffeensis* (37, 46).

Five species of *Ehrlichia* are associated with human or veterinary diseases: *E. chaffeensis*, *E. ewingii*, *E. canis*, *E. ruminantium*, and *E. muris*. Ehrlichiae cause a persistent infection in their natural hosts (e.g., *E. ruminantium* in certain wild African ruminants and *E. chaffeensis* in white-tailed deer) (9, 35). Infection of some accidental hosts results in a severe toxic shocklike illness (e.g., *E. chaffeensis* in humans and an unnamed *Ehrlichia* from Japanese *Ixodes ovatus* ticks [IOE] in experimentally inoculated mice) (13, 41, 42). Although persistent or prolonged human infections with *E. chaffeensis* have been described, it is not known what proportions of untreated human infections develop persistent infections (7, 40).

Because adequate reagents and inbred or gene knockout (KO) animals are not available for critical studies of mechanisms of immunity to *E. canis* in dogs, *E. chaffeensis* in deer or

dogs, or E. ruminantium in sheep, goats, or cattle, investigation of mechanisms of immunity relies upon four murine models of infection with E. chaffeensis, IOE, E. ruminantium, and E. muris. E. chaffeensis causes a transient subclinical infection in immunocompetent mice without reported pathology (9, 16, 17, 35, 38, 39, 49). Although SCID mice infected with E. chaffeensis have been utilized to identify a protective epitope and Toll receptor 4 and major histocompatibility class II gene KO mice develop prolonged infection, the model offers very limited opportunities to elucidate mechanisms of immunity against ehrlichial disease (14, 21, 43). IOE is a superb model of fatal HME (42). However, the disease is so severe that the model is difficult to utilize to elucidate protective immunity. An interesting mouse model of E. ruminantium has been developed (8), but the U.S. Department of Agriculture does not permit research on these organisms in the United States, except at Plum Island. E. muris causes systemic infection in immunocompetent mice in association with clinical signs of illness and establishes a persistent lifelong infection (18). Its level of virulence in immunocompetent mice is ideal for investigation of mechanisms of immunity with mice in which particular immune-related genes are inactivated or immune mechanisms are neutralized.

The objectives of this study were to determine the mechanisms of control of acute monocytotropic *E. muris* infection in mice by studies designed to evaluate the importance of the roles of CD4 and CD8 T-lymphocyte subsets, major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and class II, gamma interferon (IFN- γ), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and antibodies. Each component was demonstrated to play an effector role in the immune protection against *E. muris*.

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Pathology, Center for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases, 301 University Blvd., Keiller Bldg., Galveston, TX 77555-0609. Phone: (409) 772-2856. Fax: (409) 772-1850. E-mail: dwalker@utmb.edu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ehrlichia. Ehrlichia muris was a gift from Y. Rikihisa (Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio) (48). The 10% (wt/vol) infected spleen suspension on day 9 after intraperitoneal inoculation did not kill immunocompetent mice and was used as stock. The 50% lethal dose (LD_{50}) of this stock for SCID/B6 mice was $10^{-3.5}$ (1 ml/mouse administered intraperitoneally). The 50% tissue culture infectious dose ($TCID_{50}$) of the 10% fresh infected spleen suspension in the P388D₁ mouse macrophage-like cell line (catalogue no. T1B3; American Type Culture Collection [ATCC], Manassas, Va.) was $10^{-2.5}$.

Mice. C3H/HeN and Swiss Webster mice were purchased from Harlan Sprague Dawley (Indianapolis, Ind.). BALB/c, C57BL/6, DBA/2, AKR/J, SWR/J, and immunodeficient or gene KO mice were all purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). All of the mice were 6- to 8-week-old males, except those used for the DBA/2, AKR/J, and SWR/J mouse experiments, for which 4-week-old male mice were used.

Polyclonal antibody. The mouse anti-*E. muris* polyclonal antibody was produced by intraperitoneal inoculation of a 10% suspension of *E. muris*-infected spleen from the same strain of mice (1 ml/mouse). At 1 month later the mice were given booster injections with the same dose of *E. muris*. On the next day after challenge, the mice were inoculated with Sarcoma 180 cells (a gift from R.B. Tesh, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Tex.) (5×10^6 cells/mouse administered intraperitoneally). Between 10 days and 2 weeks later, the mice began to produce large amounts of ascites. The ascites was collected, and the antibody was precipitated with 50% saturated ammonium sulfate. After dialysis and filtration, the product was used in these experiments. The antibody titer for *E. muris* was 1:1,280, as determined by immunofluorescence assay (IFA). The control ascites were from mice inoculated with Sarcoma 180 cells only. Fab fragments of the antibody was performed according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Monoclonal antibodies. Anti-*E. muris* monoclonal antibodies were developed as previously described (6). In brief, BALB/c splenic lymphocytes were obtained from mice inoculated with *E. muris* and given booster injections 3 to 4 days before the fusion. The fusion partner was the SP2/0-Ag14 (ATCC CRL 8006) (34) myeloma cell line. The anti-ehrlichial antibody-secreting clones were identified by IFA screening and characterized by Western immunoblotting after being subcloned three times by limiting dilution.

Passive protection. Anti-*E. muris* intact polyclonal antibody, its Fab fragment, monoclonal antibodies, or control ascites was inoculated into SCID/B6 mice, with 0.5 ml administered intravenously and 1 ml intraperitoneally per mouse. At 24 to 48 h later the mice were challenged intraperitoneally with 5 LD_{50} of *E. muris*. The mice were observed daily for mortality for 1 month.

Depletion of CD4 T lymphocytes, both CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes, TNF-α, IFN-γ, or both TNF-α and IFN-γ. The method of depletion of CD4 or both CD4 and CD8 lymphocytes in C3H/HeN mice was performed as described previously (11). Briefly, 1 mg of purified monoclonal antibody against mouse CD4 from hybridoma GK1.5 (ATCC T1B 207) and/or hybridoma 53-6.72 (ATCC T1B 105) directed against mouse CD8 was inoculated intravenously (0.5 ml per mouse) after *E. muris* infection on days -2, 0, 2, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35. Depletion of IFN-γ and/or TNF-α was performed according to a method described previously (10) except that the period of treatment with the monoclonal antibody was longer (until day 35).

Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte (CTL) activity assay. The CTL assay was performed according to a standard method (41). Target cells (P388D₁ cells) were inoculated with *E. muris* and incubated for 3 to 4 days, when approximately 50% of the cells were infected as determined by the observation of morulae in Diff Quik-stained cells. The cells were scraped off the flask, and a single-cell supension was prepared, labeled by incubation with 200 μ Ci of ⁵¹Cr (Amersham, Piscataway, N.J.) for 1 h, and then washed three times with Dulbecco modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum without phenol red and aliquoted into 96-well plates at a concentration of 2×10^4 cells/well.

Effector cells were prepared from DBA/2 mice immunized with a 10% suspension of *E. muris*-infected DBA/2 spleen cells. P388D₁ cells were derived from DBA/2 mice and thus have the same MHC class I. At 1 month later the mice were sacrificed, and a single-spleen-cell suspension was prepared and adjusted to a concentration of 5×10^6 /ml and stimulated with Renografin-purified *E. muris* antigen (30 µg/ml). The antigen-presenting cells were used at a proportion of 10^5 /ml of irradiated dendritic cells (36) (5,000 rads) and 5×10^6 /ml of normal DBA spleen cells (2,300 rads). At 5 days later the CD8 T lymphocytes were separated by use of a mouse CD8 subset column (R & D, Minneapolis, Minn.). The CD8 T cells were adjusted to a concentration of 2×10^6 /ml and used as effector cells. The CTL assay was performed for 10 h at effector cell-to-target cell

 TABLE 1. Importance of CD4 and CD8 T-lymphocyte subsets in immunity to E. muris

| Mouse strain | Gene KO or depletion | No. of mice | % Fatalities (P value in comparisons with wild-type results) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C57BL/6 | MHC class I KO | 9 | 0 |
| | MHC class II KO | 9 | 22 (0.509) |
| | Wild-type C57BL/6 | 9 | 0 |
| СЗН | MHC class I KO | 16 | 81 (<0.001) |
| | Wild-type C3H | 9 | 0 ` |
| | CD4 depleted | 9 | 44 (0.092) |
| | CD4 + CD8 depleted | 10 | 80 (0.002) |

ratios of 10:1, 3:1, and 1:1. The percentage of target cell lysis was calculated as follows: (sample counts per minute [cpm] – spontaneous release cpm)/(maximum release cpm – spontaneous release cpm) \times 100.

RESULTS

E. muris caused a nonlethal infection of immunocompetent mice. A total of 20 mice each of strains Swiss Webster, C3H/ HeN, and C57BL/6 were inoculated intraperitoneally with a fresh homologous 10% suspension of *E. muris*-infected spleen. All of the mice had developed splenomegaly at 10 days after inoculation. No mice died.

CD4 and **CD8 T** lymphocytes were both important in immunity to *E. muris*. Initially, the importance of CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes was evaluated by the use of gene KO mice, nearly all of which have the C57BL/6 genetic background (Table 1). It was observed that with the exception of MHC class II gene KO mice (22% fatalities), none of the C57BL/6 gene KO mice died after infection with *E. muris*. The critical importance of CD8 T lymphocytes in the only relevant gene KO mice available on the C3H genetic background, namely, MHC class I gene KO mice (81% fatalities compared with no deaths in wild-type C3H/HeN mice), was apparent.

Because of the lack of informativeness of the C57BL/6 gene KO experiments and the lack of availability of other relevant gene KOs on the C3H genetic background, studies involving depletion of CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes were performed. Depletion of CD4 T lymphocytes resulted in the death of 44% of *E. muris*-infected mice compared with no deaths among the sham-depleted, infected mice. To determine whether the importance of CD8 T lymphocytes (as already demonstrated clearly in the 81% mortality of MHC class I gene KO mice) was redundant with, or additional to, the contribution of CD4 T lymphocytes, both CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes were depleted in C3H/HeN mice infected with *E. muris*. The increase to of the level of fatalities to 80% indicated that both CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes contributed significantly to immune protection against *E. muris*.

Immune CD8 lymphocytes showed cytotoxic activity against *E. muris*-infected target cells. CD8 T lymphocytes from immune DBA/2 mice showed cytotoxic activity with MHC class I-matched, *E. muris*-infected P388D₁ cells (Fig. 1). No CTL activity by immune CD8 T lymphocytes against uninfected P388D₁ cells or by naïve CD8 cells against infected or uninfected target cells was observed. The observations were demonstrated to be reproducible. Studies utilizing gene KO mice



FIG. 1. CTL activity of CD8 lymphocytes expressed as percent target cell lysis at effector-to-target ratios of 10:1, 3:1, and 1:1. Interaction of uninfected target cells with normal CD8 T lymphocytes resulted in no target cell lysis.

(perforin studies, six animals; TAP studies, three animals) to examine the potential importance of anti-*E. muris* CTL activity in vivo were not revealing, as all the mice had a C57BL/6 genetic background and were completely resistant to illness.

IFN-γ and TNF-α act synergistically against *E. muris.* Infection of C57BL/6 gene KO mice for IFN-γ (9 animals), inducible nitric oxide synthase (13 animals), and phox (9 animals) studies was not informative because all were completely resistant to illness and death. The potential importance of TNF-α was suggested by the development of a mild self-limited illness (ruffled fur, less activity) in some of the nine *E. muris*-infected TNF receptor gene KO mice.

Thus, experiments were performed using C3H/HeN mice in which the activity of IFN- γ and/or TNF- α was neutralized. Depletion of both IFN- γ and TNF- α increased the mortality of *E. muris* infection in C3H/HeN mice to 75% (Table 2). Depletion of IFN- γ alone did not cause a significant effect. Only one mouse died in this group. None of the TNF- α -depleted, *E. muris*-infected mice died. Thus, IFN- γ and TNF- α acting synergistically are very important effectors of immunity against *E. muris*.

Complement was not critical to the resistance of mice to *E. muris.* Complement is an element of innate immunity and a potential factor in antibody-mediated immunity. As there were no complement gene KO mice available at the time of this study, animals genetically deficient in C5 were utilized. C5 deficiency is particularly informative, because both the classical and alternative pathways of complement activation require C5. AKR/J, SWR/J, and DBA/2 mice carry a null allele at HC (8, 10) and produce no C5.

In these experiments 4-week-old male mice were used. AKR/J mice were more susceptible (17% mortality among 23 animals) than mice of the other two C5-deficient strains (no mortality among 15 animals of each strain). Thus, the susceptibility of AKR/J mice to *E. muris* was not explained by C5 deficiency unless it must be present in combination with other still-unknown genetic factors.

Antibody played a role in immunity against *E. muris* infection. The presence of antibody was sufficient to protect the SCID/B6 mice against challenge with 5 $LD_{50}s$ of *E. muris* (Table 3). This protection was Fc dependent, requiring intact antibody. The Fab fragments of antibodies were not protective. Two monoclonal antibodies (EM16 and EM17) directed against 28-kDa proteins (p28s) of *E. muris* are isotypes of immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) and IgG2b, respectively. The IFA titer for *E. muris* of each antibodies did not provide passive protection. More monoclonal antibodies need to be developed and evaluated to identify the protective B-cell epitopes. Studies of relevant gene KO mice (for studies using Fc receptor 1, three mice were used; for Fc receptor 2B studies, three mice were used; and for Fc receptor 3 studies, three mice were used)

TABLE 2. IFN- γ and TNF- α are important cytokines in immunity to *E. muris*

| Group | No. of mice | % Fatalities (P value in comparisons with wild-type results) | |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| IFN-γ depleted | 9 | 11 (0.992) | |
| TNF- α depleted | 9 | 0 | |
| IFN- γ + TNF- α depleted | 12 | 75 (0.003) | |
| Wild-type C3H | 9 | 0 | |

TABLE 3. Passive protection of antibody against E. muris

| Group | No. of mice | % Fatalities (range, mean $[\pm SD]$ for day of death) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Polyclonal anti- <i>E. muris</i> ascites Normal ascites Polyclonal anti- <i>E. muris</i> Fab Anti- <i>E. muris</i> MAb ^a (Em 16) Anti- <i>E. muris</i> MAb (Em 17) | $\begin{array}{c}10\\10\\8\\5\\6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 100 \ (day \ 14\mathchar`-17, \ 15.7 \ \pm \ 1.1)\\ 100 \ (day \ 16\mathchar`-22, \ 18.9 \ \pm \ 2.2)\\ 100 \ (day \ 18\mathchar`-25, \ 20.6 \ \pm \ 2.9)\\ 100 \ (day \ 16\mathchar`-22, \ 18.7 \ \pm \ 2.3) \end{array}$ |

^a MAb, monoclonal antibody.

were not revealing, as all mice had a C57BL/6 genetic background and were resistant to illness.

DISCUSSION

Although sterile immunity has not been demonstrated in infections caused by Ehrlichia, there is substantial evidence that the immune system plays an important role in the control of ehrlichial infection. The veterinary literature contains convincing reports that immunization of animals with inactivated E. ruminantium stimulates their ability to survive an ordinarily highly lethal infection (24, 25, 28). Similar enhanced survival follows vaccination with live attenuated E. ruminantium or deliberate infection of animals followed by treatment with tetracycline (15). DNA vaccines expressing particular antigens stimulate protective immunity against E. ruminantium challenge in mice (31). Conversely, immunocompromised persons appear to be more susceptible to severe HME and to infection with E. ewingii (23, 34). In the present reported studies, the importance of CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes, antibodies, and IFN- γ combined with TNF- α in protective immunity of mice against E. muris was demonstrated for the first time. The data confirmed some principles previously proposed for immunity to ehrlichiae mediated by antibodies, Fc receptors, MHC class II, and IFN- γ and suggest novel anti-ehrlichial mechanisms involving MHC class I and CTL (1, 3, 5, 8, 14, 21, 22, 26, 44, 50).

The most interesting observations made during these experiments concerned the presence and likely importance of CD8 cytotoxic T lymphocytes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of CTL activity in an ehrlichial infection. The dramatic conversion from 100% survival of E. muris infection in wild-type C3H mice to a fatal outcome of 81% of MHC class I KO mice and an increase from 44% fatalities in CD4 Tlymphocyte-depleted mice to 80% fatalities in mice depleted of both CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes indicates that CD8 Tlymphocyte recognition of E. muris antigens in the context of MHC class I presentation is a critical factor in protective immunity against ehrlichiae. Whether CTL activity or IFN-y secretion or both are the critical mechanisms remains to be determined (47). These interpretations are consistent with the prior observation that effective adoptive transfer of immunity to E. ruminantium in mice requires CD8, but not CD4, T lymphocytes (8). C57BL/6 mice possess such strong undefined genetic resistance to E. chaffeensis that gene KO mice for MHC class I, IFN-y, and inducible nitric oxide synthase are completely resistant (12). C57BL/6 mice gene KOs for perforin, cytochrome B245, TAP, T-cell receptor delta chain, and Ig Fc receptors 1, 2B, and 3 were resistant even to E. muris, which is more pathogenic for mice than E. chaffeensis. These negative results reflect the genetic resistance of C57BL/6 mice more than a lack of a role for these immune system genes.

The results in the present study indicate that CD4 T lymphocytes contribute to immune protection of C3H/HeN mice against *E. muris*. Depletion of CD4 T lymphocytes converted the outcome of *E. muris* infection from 100% survival to 44% fatalities, and even C57BL/6 mice with KO of the MHC class II gene became ill and suffered 22% fatalities. Taken in conjunction with the other results of this and previous studies, it would seem likely that the major contribution of CD4 cells in

immunity to *E. muris* is the secretion of IFN- γ , with additional roles in the development of the humoral immune response and providing help for CD8 T lymphocyte proliferation. Even *E. chaffeensis* is capable of establishing a persistent infection in mice with MHC class II gene KO (14). It is noteworthy that *E. ruminantium* infection of bovine endothelial cells downregulates the expression of both MHC class I and class II on the main target cells of this infection, bovine endothelial cells, which perhaps represents an ehrlichial mechanism of evading immune clearance (45).

969

The effect of depletion of both IFN- γ and TNF- α was an increase of lethality to 75%. This is the first direct in vivo evidence for the important of IFN- γ and TNF- α as host defenses against Ehrlichia species. Elegant in vitro studies of E. chaffeensis infection of a human monocytic cell line (THP-1 cells) had previously demonstrated that intricate interactions have evolved between the host and parasite. E. chaffeensis infection upregulates mRNA for transferrin receptors, which increase on the ehrlichial cytoplasmic inclusions in monocytes providing iron that is essential for ehrlichial growth (2). The effect of IFN- γ on human macrophages (when IFN- γ is present prior to or early in the infection) is to kill intracellular E. chaffeensis by limitation of the availability of iron transferrin (1). Conversely, E. chaffeensis has evolved a mechanism to activate protein kinase A, which blocks IFN-y-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of Jak 1, Jak 2, and Stat 1 and their subsequent antiehrlichial effect (20). These highly evolved interactions emphasize the probable importance of IFN- γ as a host defense against Ehrlichia organisms. The data reported in the present study indicate that, in vivo, IFN- γ and TNF- α act synergistically.

IFN- γ also appears to play a role in host defenses against *E. ruminantium*. Bovine endothelial cells activated by IFN- γ inhibit the growth of intracellular *E. ruminantium* in association with the production of nitric oxide and without evidence for a role for TNF- α (26, 27, 30, 44). Moreover, DBA/2 mice are protected against *E. ruminantium* by adoptive transfer of splenic T lymphocytes (which secrete a significant concentration of IFN- γ , but not TNF- α , when stimulated by *E. ruminantium* antigens) (5). The reasons for the apparent difference with regard to the importance of TNF- α are not clear, but the experiments reported herein are the only in vivo studies of the role of TNF- α in *Ehrlichia* infection.

Moreover, the antibody-dependent, Fc-receptor-dependent protection of SCID mice against fatal E. muris infection confirmed the principle (established by Winslow et al. for E. chaffeensis infections in mice) that antibodies play a role in the clearance of ehrlichiae in vivo (50). Further extension of their model identified an epitope within peptides 61 to 90 of the first hypervariable region of the E. chaffeensis p28 (p28-19) as a target for protective immunity conferred most effectively by IgG monoclonal antibodies of picomolar affinity levels (21). Although 40% of the 100 E. chaffeensis-specific monoclonal antibodies characterized in that study were directed against p28-19, neither of the two anti-E. muris p28 monoclonal antibodies that we evaluated were protective. Further development and investigation of anti-E. muris monoclonal antibodies are required for identification of the protective target proteins and epitopes.

Antibody opsonization of monocytotropic ehrlichiae may

also enhance the host defenses by inducing the production of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β), IL-6, and TNF- α by macrophages which opsonized the ehrlichiae encounter (19). Curiously, infectivity of *E. ruminantium* for bovine endothelial cells has been reported to be neutralized by polyclonal antibodies in vitro and yet no protection was observed in the *E. ruminantium*-DBA/2 mouse model (4).

Altogether, these studies emphasize the utility of the *E. muris* mouse model for elucidation of the protective immune mechanisms against monocytotropic ehrlichiae. Because of the close genetic and antigenic relationships between *E. chaffeensis* and *E. muris*, there are excellent prospects for greater progress in the evaluation of candidate vaccine antigens as well as dissection of the orchestration of the events in the development of protective immunity through the use of the murine *E. muris* model.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our gratitude to Kelly Cassity and Melissa Yancey for expert secretarial assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

This research was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (AI 31431).

REFERENCES

- Barnewall, R. E., and Y. Rikihisa. 1994. Abrogation of gamma interferoninduced inhibition of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* infection in human monocytes with iron transferrin. Infect. Immun. 62:4804–4810.
- Barnewall, R. E., N. Ohashi, and Y. Rikihisa. 1999. *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* and *E. sennetsu*, but not the human granulocytic ehrlichiosis agent, colocalize with transferrin receptor and up-regulate transferrin receptor mRNA by activating iron-responsive protein 1. Infect. Immun. 67:2258–2265.
- Byrom, B., A. F. Barbet, M. Obwolo, and S. M. Mahan. 2000. CD8⁺ T cell knockout mice are less susceptible to *Cowdria ruminantium* infection than athymic, CD4⁺ T cell knockout, and normal C57BL/6 mice. Vet. Parasitol. 93:159–172.
- Byrom, B., S. M. Mahan, and A. F. Barbet. 1993. The development of antibody to *Cowdria runniantium* in mice and its role in heartwater disease. Rev. Élev. Méd. Vét. Pays. Trop. 46:197–201.
- Byrom, B., M. Obwolo, A. F. Barbet, and S. M. Mahan. 2000. A polarized TH1 type immune response to *Cowdria ruminantium* infection is detected in immune DBA/2 mice. J. Parasitol. 86:983–992.
- Chen, S.-M., V. L. Popov, H.-M. Feng, and D. H. Walker. 1996. Analysis and ultrastructural localization of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* proteins with monoclonal antibodies. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 54:405–412.
- Dumler, J. S., W. L. Sutker, and D. H. Walker. 1993. Persistent infection with Ehrlichia chaffeensis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 17:903–905.
- du Plessis, J. L., P. Berche, and L. Van Gas. 1991. T cell-mediated immunity to *Cowdria ruminantium* in mice: the protective role of LYT-2⁺ T cells. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res. 58:171–179.
- Ewing, S. A., J. E. Dawson, A. A. Kocan, R. W. Barker, C. K. Warner, R. J. Panciera, J. C. Fox, K. M. Kocan, and E. F. Blouin. 1995. Experimental transmission of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* (Rickettsiales: Ehrlichieae) among white-tailed deer by *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae). J. Med. Entomol. 32:368–374.
- Feng, H.-M., V. L. Popov, and D. H. Walker. 1994. Depletion of gamma interferon and tumor necrosis factor alpha in mice with *Rickettsia conorii*infected endothelium: impairment of rickettsicidal nitric oxide production resulting in fatal, overwhelming rickettsial disease. Infect. Immun. 62:1952– 1960.
- Feng, H.-M., V. L. Popov, G. Yuoh, and D. H. Walker. 1997. Role of Tlymphocyte subsets in immunity to spotted fever group rickettsiae. J. Immunol. 158:5314–5320.
- Feng, H.-M., and D. H. Walker. 1999. Mechanisms of immunity to *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* in mice, p. 145–150. *In* D. Raoult and P. Brouqui (ed.), Rickett-siae and rickettsial diseases at the turn of the third millennium. Elsevier, Paris, France.
- Fichtenbaum, C. J., L. R. Peterson, and G. J. Weil. 1993. Ehrlichiosis presenting as a life-threatening illness with features of the toxic shock syndrome. Am. J. Med. 95:351–357.
- Ganta, R. R., M. J. Wilkerson, C. Cheng, A. M. Rokey, and S. K. Chapes. 2002. Persistent *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* infection occurs in the absence of functional major histocompatibility complex class II genes. Infect. Immun. 70: 380–388.
- 15. Jongejan, F. 1991. Protective immunity to heartwater (Cowdria ruminantium

infection) is acquired after vaccination with in vitro-attenuated rickettsiae. Infect. Immun. **59:**729–731.

- Kawahara, M., T. Ito, C. Suto, S. Shibata, Y. Rikihisa, K. Hata, and K. Hirai. 1999. Comparison of *Ehrlichia muris* strains isolated from wild mice and ticks and serologic survey of humans and animals with *E. muris* as antigen. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:1123–1129.
- Kawahara, M., C. Suto, Y. Rikihisa, S. Yamamoto, and Y. Tsuboi. 1993. Characterization of ehrlichial organisms isolated from a wild mouse. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:89–96.
- Kawahara, M., C. Suto, S. Shibata, M. Futohashi, and Y. Rikihisa. 1996. Impaired antigen specific responses and enhanced polyclonal stimulation in mice infected with *Ehrlichia muris*. Microbiol. Immunol. 40:575–581.
- Lee, E. H., and Y. Rikihisa. 1997. Anti-Ehrlichia chaffeensis antibody complexed with E. chaffeensis induces potent proinflammatory cytokine mRNA expression in human monocytes through sustained reduction of IκB-α and activation of NF-κB. Infect. Immun. 65:2890–2897.
- Lee, E. H., and Y. Rikihisa. 1998. Protein kinase A-mediated inhibition of gamma interferon-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of Janus kinases and latent cytoplasmic transcription factors in human monocytes by *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*. Infect. Immun. 66:2514–2520.
- Li, J. S.-Y., F. Chu, A. Reilly, and G. M. Winslow. 2002. Antibodies highly effective in SCID mice during infection by the intracellular bacterium *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* are of picomolar affinity and exhibit preferential epitope and isotype utilization. J. Immunol. 169:1419–1425.
- Li, J. S.-Y., E. Yager, M. Reilly, C. Freeman, G. R. Reddy, A. A. Reilly, F. K. Chu, and G. M. Winslow. 2001. Outer membrane protein-specific monoclonal antibodies protect SCID mice from fatal infection by the obligate intracellular bacterial pathogen *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*. J. Immunol. 166:1855–1862.
- Liddell, A. M., J. W. Sumner, C. D. Paddock, Y. Rikihisa, A. Unver, R. S. Buller, and G. A. Storch. 2002. Reinfection with *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* in a liver transplant recipient. Clin. Infect. Dis. 34:1644–1647.
- Mahan, S. M., H. R. Andrew, and A. N. Tebele. 1995. Immunisation of sheep against heartwater with inactivated *Cowdria ruminantium*. Res. Vet. Sci. 58:46–49.
- Mahan, S. M., D. Kumbula, M. J. Burridge, and A. F. Barbet. 1998. The inactivated *Cowdria ruminantium* vaccine for heartwater protects against heterologous strains and against laboratory and field tick challenge. Vaccine 16:1203–1211.
- Mahan, S. M., M. Sileghem, G. E. Smith, and B. Byrom. 1996. Neutralization of bovine concanavalin-A T-cell supernatant-mediated anti-*Cowdria ruminantium* activity with antibodies specific to interferon gamma but not to tumor necrosis factor. Parasite Immunol. 18:317–324.
- Mahan, S. M., G. E. Smith, and B. Byrom. 1994. Conconavalin A-stimulated bovine T-cell supernatants inhibit growth of *Cowdria ruminantium* in bovine endothelial cells *in vitro*. Infect. Immun. 62:747–750.
- Martinez, D., J. C. Maillard, S. Coisne, C. Sheikboudou, and A. Bensaid. 1994. Protection of goats against heartwater acquired by immunisation with inactivated elementary bodies of *Cowdria ruminantium*. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 41:153–163.
- McQuiston, J. H., C. D. Paddock, R. C. Holman, and J. E. Childs. 1999. The human ehrlichiosis in the United States. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 5:635–642.
- Mutunga, M., P. M. Preston, and K. J. Sumption. 1998. Nitric oxide is produced by *Cowdria ruminantium*-infected bovine pulmonary endothelial cells in vitro and is stimulated by gamma interferon. Infect. Immun. 66:2115– 2121.
- Nyika, A., S. M. Mahan, M. J. Burridge, T. C. McGuire, F. Rurangirwa, and A. F. Barbet. 1998. A DNA vaccine protects mice against the rickettsial agent *Cowdria ruminantium*. Parasite Immunol. 20:111–119.
- 32. Olano, J. P., E. Masters, L. Cullman, W. Hogrefe, X-J. Yu, and D. H. Walker. 1999. Human monocytotropic ehrlichiosis (HME): epidemiological, clinical and laboratory diagnosis of a newly emergent infection in the United States. *In* D. Raoult and P. Brouqui (ed.), Rickettsiae and rickettsial diseases at the turn of the third millennium. Elsevier, Paris, France.
- Paddock, C. D., and J. E. Childs. 2003. Ehrlichia chaffeensis: a prototypical emerging pathogen. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 16:137–164.
- 34. Paddock, C. D., S. M. Folk, G. M. Shore, L. J. Machado, M. M. Huycke, L. N. Slater, A. M. Liddell, R. S. Buller, G. A. Storch, T. P. Monson, D. Rimland, J. W. Sumner, J. Singleton, K. C. Bloch, Y.-W. Tang, S. M. Standaert, and J. E. Childs. 2001. Infections with *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* and *Ehrlichia ewingii* in persons coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus. Clin. Infect. Dis. 33:1586–1594.
- Peter, T. F., M. J. Burridge, and S. M. Mahan. 2002. Ehrlichia ruminantium infection (heartwater) in wild animals. Trends Parasitol. 18:214–218.
- Qi, H., V. Popov, and L. Soong. 2001. Leishmania amazonensis-dendritic cell interactions in vitro and the priming of parasite-specific CD4⁺ T-cells in vivo. J. Immunol. 167:4534–4542.
- Ravyn, M. D., E. I. Korenberg, J. A. Oeding, Y. V. Kovaleskii, and R. C. Johnson. 1999. Monocytic *Ehrlichia* in *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks from Perm, Russia. Lancet 353:722–723.
- Reardon, M. J., and K. R. Pierce. 1981. Acute experimental canine ehrlichiosis. I. Sequential reaction of the hemic and lymphoreticular systems. Vet. Pathol. 18:48–61.

- Reardon, M. J., and K. R. Pierce. 1981. Acute experimental canine ehrlichiosis. II. Sequential reaction of the hemic and lymphoreticular system of selectively immunosuppressed dogs. Vet. Pathol. 18:384–395.
- Roland, W. E., G. McDonald, C. W. Caldwell, and E. D. Everett. 1995. Ehrlichiosis—a cause of prolonged fever. Clin. Infect. Dis. 20:821–825.
- Shibata, S.-I., M. Kawahara, Y. Rikihisa, H. Fujita, Y. Watanabe, C. Suto, and T. Ito. 2000. New ehrlichia species closely related to *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* isolated from *Ixodes ovatus* ticks in Japan. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38:1331–1338.
- Sotomayor, E. A., V. L. Popov, H.-M. Feng, D. H. Walker, and J. P. Olano. 2001. Animal model of fatal human monocytotropic ehrlichiosis. Am. J. Pathol. 158:757–769.
- Telford, S. R., and J. E. Dawson. 1996. Persistent infection of C3H/HeJ mice by *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*. Vet. Microbiol. 52:103–112.
- 44. Totte, P. H., D. Blankaert, P. Zilimwabagabo, and J. Wérenne. 1993. Inhibition of *Cowdria ruminantium* infectious yield by interferons alpha and gamma in endothelial cells. Rev. Élev. Méd. Vét. Pays. Trop. 46:189–194.
- Vachiéry, N., I. Trap, P. Totté, D. Martinez, and A. Bensaid. 1998. Inhibition of MHC class I and class II cell surface expression on bovine endothelial cells

Editor: W. A. Petri, Jr.

upon infection with *Cowdria ruminantium*. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **61**:37–48.

- Vorobyeva, N. N., E. I. Korenberg, and Y. V. Grigoryan. 2002. Diagnostics of tick-borne diseases in the endemic region of Russia. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 114:610–612.
- Walker, D. H., J. P. Olano, and H.-M. Feng. 2001. Critical role of cytotoxic T lymphocytes in immune clearance of rickettsial infection. Infect. Immun. 69:1841–1846.
- Wen, B., Y. Rikihisa, J. Mott, P. A. Fuerst, M. Kawahara, and C. Suto. 1995. *Ehrlichia muris* sp. nov., identified on the basis of 16S rRNA base sequences and serological, morphological, and biological characteristics. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 45:250–254.
- Winslow, G. M., E. Yager, K. Shilo, D. N. Collins, and F. K. Chu. 1998. Infection of the laboratory mouse with the intracellular pathogen *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*. Infect. Immun. 66:3892–3899.
- Winslow, G. M., E. Yager, K. Shilo, E. Volk, A. Reilly, and F. K. Chu. 2000. Antibody-mediated elimination of the obligate intracellular bacterial pathogen *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* during active infection. Infect. Immun. 68:2187– 2195.