ClinicalEvidence

Constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy

Search date February 2010

Juan C Vazquez

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Constipation, heartburn, and haemorrhoids are common gastrointestinal complaints during pregnancy. Constipation occurs in 11% to 38% of pregnant women. Although the exact prevalence of haemorrhoids during pregnancy is unknown, the condition is common, and the prevalence of symptomatic haemorrhoids in pregnant women is higher than in non-pregnant women. The incidence of heartburn in pregnancy is reported to be 17% to 45%. METHODS AND OUTCOMES: We conducted a systematic review and aimed to answer the following clinical questions: What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat constipation in pregnancy? What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy? We searched: Medline, Embase, The Cochrane Library, and other important databases up to February 2010 (Clinical Evidence reviews are updated periodically, please check our website for the most up-to-date version of this review). We included harms alerts from relevant organisations such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). RESULTS: We found seven systematic reviews, RCTs, or observational studies that met our inclusion criteria. We performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions. CONCLUSIONS: In this systematic review we present information relating to the effectiveness and safety of the following interventions: acid-suppressing drugs; anaesthetic agents (topical); antacids with or without alginates; bulk-forming laxatives; compound corticosteroid and anaesthetic agents (topical); corticosteroid agents (topical); increased fibre intake; increased fluid intake; osmotic laxatives; raising the head of the bed; reducing caffeine intake, intake of fatty foods, and the size and frequency of meals; rutosides; sitz baths; and stimulant laxatives.

QUESTIONS

| What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat | constipation in pregnancy? 4 |
|--|--|
| What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat h | haemorrhoids in pregnancy? |
| What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat | heartburn in pregnancy? |
| INTERVE | TAITIONIO |
| INTERVE | |
| PREVENTING OR TREATING CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANCY | Increased fluid intake for haemorrhoids in pregnant women |
| O Unknown effectiveness | Osmotic laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women |
| Bulk-forming laxatives for constipation in pregnant women | Sitz baths for haemorrhoids in pregnant women 10 |
| Increased fibre intake for constipation in pregnant women | Stimulant laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women |
| Increased fluid intake for constipation in pregnant women | PREVENTING OR TREATING HEARTBURN IN PREGNANCY |
| Osmotic laxatives for constipation in pregnant women | Control Likely to be beneficial |
| Stimulant laxatives for constipation in pregnant women | Antacids with or without alginates for heartburn in pregnant women |
| PREVENTING OR TREATING HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANCY | OO Unknown effectiveness |
| Likely to be beneficial | Acid-suppressing drugs for heartburn in pregnant women |
| Rutosides (improve symptoms of haemorrhoids but insufficient evidence about adverse effects) 7 | Raising the head of the bed for heartburn in pregnant women |
| O Unknown effectiveness | Reducing caffeine intake for heartburn in pregnant women |
| Anaesthetics (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women | Reducing the intake of fatty foods for heartburn in pregnant women |
| Bulk-forming laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women | Reducing the size and frequency of meals for heartburn in pregnant women |
| Compound corticosteroids plus anaesthetics (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women 9 | Covered elsewhere in Clinical Evidence |
| Corticosteroids (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women | Constipation in adults Haemorrhoids |
| Increased fibre intake for haemorrhoids in pregnant women | |

Key points

- Constipation, heartburn, and haemorrhoids are common gastrointestinal complaints during pregnancy.
- Constipation occurs in between 11% and 38% of pregnant women.

We don't know whether stimulant, bulk-forming, or osmotic laxatives are of benefit for constipation in pregnancy. Stimulant laxatives may be more effective than bulk laxatives in improving constipation in pregnancy, although adverse effects, such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea, could limit their use.

We found limited evidence that dietary fibre may improve constipation in pregnant women compared with placebo.

We don't know whether increasing fluid intake improves constipation in pregnancy. However, because of other health benefits, increased fluid intake may be recommended as one of the first measures to relieve constipation.

• Although the exact prevalence of haemorrhoids during pregnancy is unknown, the condition is common, and the prevalence of symptomatic haemorrhoids in pregnant women is higher than in non-pregnant women.

Rutosides improve the symptoms of haemorrhoids compared with placebo. However, further studies are needed to assess their potential adverse effects.

We don't know whether increased fibre or fluid intake are effective in relieving the symptoms of haemorrhoids in pregnancy, although it seems reasonable to encourage pregnant women to consume a fluid- and fibre-rich diet as a preventive measure.

We don't know whether stimulant laxatives, bulk-forming laxatives, or osmotic laxatives are effective in relieving symptomatic haemorrhoids in pregnancy, although, if constipation is associated with haemorrhoids, treating constipation with stimulant laxatives may relieve straining, and thereby provide some symptomatic relief.

We found no good evidence assessing the effects of sitz baths, topical anaesthetics, topical corticosteroids, or compound topical corticosteroids plus anaesthetics to treat symptomatic haemorrhoids in pregnancy. However, despite this, women who have painful complicated haemorrhoids may be offered topical anaesthetic agents unless contraindicated.

• The incidence of heartburn in pregnancy is reported to be between 17% and 45%.

Antacids may provide effective heartburn relief in pregnancy.

We don't know whether acid-suppressing drugs, such as ranitidine, are beneficial in treating heartburn in pregnancy.

We don't know whether dietary and lifestyle modifications are beneficial in preventing or treating heartburn in pregnancy. However, recommendations have been made that lifestyle and dietary modifications, including avoiding fatty foods and reducing the size and frequency of meals, should remain first-line treatment for heartburn in pregnant women. Other lifestyle modifications that could be considered are reducing caffeine intake and raising the head of the bed.

DEFINITION

Constipation: Some women will have experienced chronic constipation prior to becoming pregnant, and in others constipation develops for the first time during pregnancy. [1] For a full definition of constipation, see review on constipation in adults. The diagnosis of constipation is mainly clinical, based on a history of decreased frequency of defecation, as well as on the characteristics of the faeces. An extensive evaluation is usually unnecessary for women who present with chronic constipation, or if constipation develops for the first time during pregnancy. [2] Haemorrhoids: Haemorrhoids (piles) are swollen veins at or near the anus, which are usually asymptomatic. [3] [4] Haemorrhoids can become symptomatic if they prolapse (the forward or downward displacement of a part of the rectal mucosae through the anus) or because of other complications such as thrombosis. Associated anal fissures (a break or slit in the anal mucosa) can also lead to symptoms. Haemorrhoids can be classified by severity: [6] first-degree haemorrhoids bleed but do not prolapse; second-degree haemorrhoids prolapse on straining and reduce spontaneously; third-degree haemorrhoids prolapse on straining and require manual reduction; and fourth-degree haemorrhoids are prolapsed and incarcerated. Diagnosis of haemorrhoids is based on history and examination. Symptoms include bleeding, mucosal or faecal soiling, itching, and occasionally pain. [3] [6] Fourthdegree haemorrhoids may become "strangulated" and present with acute severe pain. Progressive venous engorgement and incarceration of the acutely inflamed haemorrhoid leads to thrombosis and infarction. The diagnosis of haemorrhoids is confirmed by rectal examination, and by inspection of the perianal area for skin tags, fissures, fistulae, polyps, or tumours. Prolapsing haemorrhoids may appear at the anal verge on straining. It is important to exclude more serious causes of rectal bleeding. Assessment should include anoscopy to view the haemorrhoidal cushions. [7] Haemorrhoidal size, and severity of inflammation and bleeding should be assessed. [6] Heartburn: Heartburn is defined as a sensation of "burning" in the upper part of the digestive tract, including the throat. [8] [9] It can be associated with oesophagitis. [1] One study reported the results of endoscopy on 73 pregnant women with heartburn, and found endoscopic and histological evidence of oesophagitis in most women. [10] As complications associated with heartburn during pregnancy

are rare (e.g., erosive oesophagitis), upper endoscopy and other diagnostic tests are infrequently needed. [9] [11] Therefore, the diagnosis of heartburn is mainly clinical, based on the history.

INCIDENCE/ **PREVALENCE**

Constipation: Constipation is common in pregnant women, and can develop or increase in severity during pregnancy. The prevalence of constipation in pregnancy is reported to be between 11% and 38%. Parity or previous caesarean section have been associated with constipation. Haemorrhoids: Although the exact prevalence of haemorrhoids during pregnancy is unknown, the condition is common in pregnancy, and the prevalence of symptomatic haemorrhoids is higher in pregnant than in non-pregnant women. [17] In a population of pregnant women in Serbia and Montenegro, haemorrhoids were present in 85% of women during the second and third pregnancy. [18] Haemorrhoids are also a frequent complaint among women who have recently given birth, [16] [19] and they become more common with increased age and parity. [20] [21] **Heartburn:** Heartburn is one of the most common gastrointestinal symptoms in pregnant women, with an incidence in pregnancy of 17% to 45%. [22] [23] [24] In some studies, the prevalence of heartburn has been found to increase from 22% in the first trimester to 39% in the second trimester to between 60% and 72% in the third trimester. [1] [25] However, one prospective cohort study found that, in most pregnant women, heartburn, acid regurgitation, or both began in the first trimester and disappeared during the second trimester; [23] and another cohort study also found that gastrointestinal symptoms, such as heartburn and nausea, were more common in the first trimester. [22] The study also found that primigravidae reported more gastrointestinal symptoms than multiparae. [22]

AETIOLOGY/ RISK FACTORS

Constipation: Constipation in pregnancy is probably caused by rising progesterone levels. [26] Low fluid and fibre intake may also be contributing factors. There is some evidence that pregnant women consume less fibre than is currently recommended for the non-pregnant population.

[29] [30] Low fluid intake has been linked to constipation in pregnancy, particularly in the third trimester. [30] Some medications taken during pregnancy, such as iron salts and magnesium sulphate, have been also been linked to constipation. [31] [32] [33] Hypothyroidism may also be a rare cause of constipation during pregnancy. [27] [34] Haemorrhoids: Haemorrhoids result from impaired venous return in prolapsed anal cushions, [6] with dilation of the venous plexus and venous stasis. Inflammation occurs with erosion of the anal cushion's epithelium, resulting in bleeding. Constipation with prolonged straining at stool, or raised intra-abdominal pressure as occurs in pregnancy, may result in symptomatic haemorrhoids. [7] During pregnancy, delivery, and the puerperium, sphincteral muscles and pelvic floor structures could be modified in tone and position, leading to an alteration of the normal functioning of the haemorrhoidal cushion, which may predispose to symptoms. [3] Heartburn: The cause of heartburn during pregnancy is multifactorial. [24] Increased amounts of progesterone or its metabolites cause relaxation of smooth muscle, which results in a reduction in gastric tone and motility, and a decrease in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. [25] [36] [37] It has also been found that, during pregnancy, the lower oesophageal sphincter is displaced into the thoracic cavity (an area of negative pressure), [36] which allows food and gastric acid to pass from the stomach into the oesophagus, leading to oesophageal inflammation and a sensation of "burning". Pressure of the growing uterus on gastric contents as the pregnancy progresses may worsen heartburn, [8] [38] although some authors believe that mechanical factors have a smaller role. [9] [11] [24] [39] Heartburn may also be caused by medications taken during pregnancy, such as antiemetics. [40]

PROGNOSIS

Constipation: Constipation, if mild, is often self-treated with home remedies or non-prescription preparations. Primary-care providers are usually confident managing constipation in pregnancy, unless it is severe, refractory to conventional management, or necessitates additional diagnostic studies. Referral to a gastroenterologist is therefore seldom necessary. [41] Haemorrhoids: In women with haemorrhoids, symptoms are usually mild and transient and include pain and intermittent bleeding from the anus. Depending on the degree of pain, quality of life can be affected, varying from mild discomfort to difficulty in dealing with the activities of everyday life. Treatment during pregnancy is mainly directed to the relief of symptoms, especially pain control. For many women, symptoms will resolve spontaneously soon after birth. [3] **Heartburn:** Most cases of heartburn improve with lifestyle modifications and dietary changes, [9] [24] but in some cases severity may increase throughout the course of pregnancy.

AIMS OF

To prevent constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy; to relieve or reduce the INTERVENTION severity of symptoms; to minimise and avoid adverse effects of treatment on the mother and fetus (including teratogenicity).

OUTCOMES

Constipation: Symptom severity (prevalence of constipation; frequency of bowel movements; straining at defecation; hard, lumpy stools; and sensation of incomplete evacuation/tenesmus); quality of life (visual analogue scales, linear analogue scales, pain expectation scores [PES], numeric rating scales); adverse effects of treatment on mother; adverse effects of treatment on fetus (including teratogenicity). **Haemorrhoids:** Symptom severity (prevalence of haemorrhoids; bleeding; prolapse; pain; thrombosis; soilage; and pruritus); quality of life (visual analogue scales, linear analogue scales, PES, numeric rating scales); adverse effects of treatment on mother; adverse effects of treatment on fetus (including teratogenicity). **Heartburn:** Symptom severity (prevalence of heartburn; pain from heartburn; symptom diaries; and number of additional antacids used), adverse effects of treatment on mother, adverse effects of treatment on fetus (including teratogenicity).

METHODS

Clinical Evidence search and appraisal February 2010. The following databases were used to identify studies for this systematic review: Medline 1966 to February 2010, Embase 1980 to February 2010, and The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue 1 (1966 to date of issue). An additional search within The Cochrane Library was carried out for the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) and Health Technology Assessment (HTA). We also searched for retractions of studies included in the review. Abstracts of the studies retrieved from the initial search were assessed by an information specialist. Selected studies were then sent to the contributor for additional assessment, using pre-determined criteria to identify relevant studies. Study design criteria for inclusion in this review were: published systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs in any language, at least single blinded, and containing more than 20 individuals of whom more than 80% were followed up. There was no minimum length of follow-up required to include studies. We excluded all studies described as "open", "open label", or not blinded unless blinding was impossible. Blinding was not necessary for lifestyle and dietary interventions. We also carried out a search for cohort studies on lifestyle and dietary interventions. We included systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs where harms of an included intervention were studied applying the same study design criteria for inclusion as we did for benefits. In addition, we did an observational harms search for specific harms as highlighted by the contributor, peer reviewers, and editor. We searched for systematic reviews, RCTs, cohort studies (prospective, retrospective, with or without a control group), and case control studies assessing adverse effects/harms of rutosides in pregnancy. In addition, we use a regular surveillance protocol to capture harms alerts from organisations such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), which are added to the reviews as required. To aid readability of the numerical data in our reviews, we round many percentages to the nearest whole number. Readers should be aware of this when relating percentages to summary statistics such as relative risks (RRs) and odds ratios (ORs). We have performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions included in this review (see table, p 17). The categorisation of the quality of the evidence (into high, moderate, low, or very low) reflects the quality of evidence available for our chosen outcomes in our defined populations of interest. These categorisations are not necessarily a reflection of the overall methodological quality of any individual study, because the Clinical Evidence population and outcome of choice may represent only a small subset of the total outcomes reported, and population included, in any individual trial. For further details of how we perform the GRADE evaluation and the scoring system we use, please see our website (www.clinicalevidence.com).

QUESTION

What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat constipation in pregnancy?

OPTION

BULK-FORMING LAXATIVES FOR CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Compared with stimulant laxatives Bulk-forming laxatives may be less effective at decreasing the proportion of women with unresolved constipation (very low-quality evidence).

Note

We found no direct information from RCTs about whether bulk-forming laxatives are better than no active treatment.

Note

Although both stimulant and bulk-forming laxatives have been associated with high absolute rates of unacceptable adverse effects, stimulant laxatives cause more adverse effects, such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea, compared with bulk-forming laxatives.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits:

Bulk-forming laxatives versus placebo:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001), which identified no RCTs comparing bulk-forming laxatives versus placebo for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy. [13] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of bulk-forming laxatives in the treatment or prevention of constipation in pregnancy.

Bulk-forming laxatives versus stimulant laxatives:

See benefits of stimulant laxatives, p 6.

Harms: Bulk-forming laxatives versus placebo:

We found no RCTs.

Bulk-forming laxatives versus stimulant laxatives:

See harms of stimulant laxatives, p 6.

Comment: Clinical guide:

There is limited evidence of benefit for stimulant laxatives compared with bulk-forming laxatives, and high absolute rates of unacceptable adverse effects with both stimulant and bulk-forming laxatives. However, stimulant laxatives cause more adverse effects, such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea. Therefore, it may be preferable to use bulk-forming laxatives in pregnant women who do not tolerate stimulant laxatives.

OPTION

INCREASED FIBRE INTAKE FOR CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Compared with no treatment Additional dietary fibre in the form of corn-based biscuits or wheat bran may be more effective at increasing bowel frequency at 2 weeks in pregnant women who are constipated (low-quality evidence).

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: Increased fibre intake versus placebo:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001), which identified no RCTs of sufficient quality.
[13] We found no subsequent RCTs or cohort studies.

Increased fibre intake versus no treatment:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001, 1 RCT), which compared additional dietary fibre versus no additional fibre for 2 weeks in pregnant women with self-reported constipation (using any definition). ^[13] The RCT identified by the review (40 women in the third trimester of pregnancy) found that adding fibre supplements (in the form of corn-based biscuits or wheat bran) significantly increased bowel movement frequency over 2 weeks compared with no fibre supplements (proportion of women with no increased frequency of defecation: 9/27 [33%] with additional dietary fibre v 10/13 [77%] with no additional fibre; OR 0.15, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.68; P = 0.01). ^[13] The RCT identified by the review had two intervention arms and one control arm. Women in the intervention arms were given either 10 g dietary fibre supplement in biscuit form or 10 g dietary fibre supplement as wheat bran. The control group was not given placebo supplementation. In the review, results from both intervention arms were combined and compared with the control group. We found no subsequent RCTs or cohort studies.

Harms: Increased fibre intake versus placebo:

We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Increased fibre intake versus no treatment:

The review gave no information on the adverse effects of increased fibre intake. ^[13] We found no additional RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

It seems reasonable to recommend an increase in the intake of fibre during pregnancy to women with known dietary fibre deficiency. $^{[42]}$ Fibre should be given in the form of foods such as wheat, vegetables, and wholemeal bread. However, caution should be exercised about the amount of dietary fibre intake, because some studies have shown that high intakes of non-starch polysaccharide may result in calcium, iron, or zinc deficiencies during pregnancy — although these results have been controversial. $^{[42]}$

OPTION INCREASED FLUID INTAKE FOR CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about increased fluid intake for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy. Increasing fluid intake should be recommended as one of the first measures to relieve constipation in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, $p\ 17$.

Benefits:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001), which identified no RCTs of increased fluid intake for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy. [13] We found no subsequent RCTs or cohort studies on the effects of increased fluid intake in the treatment or prevention of constipation in

pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical quide:

> Despite the lack of evidence, increased fluid intake should be recommended as one of the first measures to relieve constipation in pregnancy. Increasing fluid intake is not expensive, is readily

available, and has several other beneficial effects during pregnancy.

OPTION OSMOTIC LAXATIVES FOR CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about osmotic laxatives for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Osmotic laxatives versus placebo: **Benefits:**

We found one systematic review (search date 2001), which identified no RCTs of osmotic laxatives for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy. [13] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of osmotic laxatives in the treatment or prevention of constipation in pregnancy.

Harms: Osmotic laxatives versus placebo:

We found no RCTs.

Comment: Clinical guide:

> A panel of experts has suggested that polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based osmotic laxatives plus electrolytes (PEG+E) may be the ideal laxative for use in pregnancy because absorption is minimal, and because they found no evidence of teratogenicity in animal studies. However, there are insufficient data about the potential effects on the fetus of PEG+E. [1] There is also insufficient evidence about the effects of PEG+E on constipation during pregnancy, and its use cannot therefore be recommended.

OPTION

STIMULANT LAXATIVES FOR CONSTIPATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Compared with bulk-forming laxatives Stimulant laxatives may be more effective at reducing the proportion of women with unresolved constipation (very low-quality evidence).

Note

We found no direct information from RCTs about whether stimulant laxatives are better than no active treatment for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy.

Although both stimulant and bulk-forming laxatives have been associated with high absolute rates of unacceptable adverse effects, stimulant laxatives cause more adverse effects, such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea, compared with bulk-forming laxatives.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: Stimulant laxatives versus placebo:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001), which identified no RCTs comparing stimulant laxatives versus placebo for the treatment of constipation in pregnancy. [13] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of stimulant laxatives in the treatment or prevention of constipation in pregnancy.

Stimulant laxatives versus bulk-forming laxatives:

We found one systematic review (search date 2001, 1 RCT, 175 women [data reported for only 140 women]), which compared stimulant laxatives versus bulk-forming laxatives in pregnant women with self-reported constipation (using any definition). [13] The duration of treatment was not reported. The review found that stimulant laxatives significantly decreased the proportion of women with unresolved constipation compared with bulk-forming laxatives (16/70 [23%] with stimulant laxatives v 35/70 [50%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 0.30, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.61; P = 0.001). The RCT identified by the review had four intervention arms: two arms assessed the effects of stimulant

laxatives (senna 14 mg/day or dioctyl sodium succinate 120 mg plus dihydroxyanthroquinone 100 mg once daily), and two arms assessed the effects of bulk-forming laxatives (60% sterculia plus 8% frangula [10 mL once daily] or 60% sterculia [10 mL once daily]). The review combined the results from the two stimulant-laxative arms and the two bulk-forming-laxative arms to perform the meta-analysis. The RCT was reported to be of moderate quality as the method of randomisation was unclear, although researchers were noted to be blinded to the intervention. Results were reported for only 140 of 175 women, with no reasons given for loss to follow-up. We found no additional or subsequent RCTs. [13]

Harms:

Stimulant laxatives versus placebo:

We found no RCTs.

Stimulant laxatives versus bulk-forming laxatives:

The review found that stimulant laxatives significantly increased the proportion of women with adverse effects such as abdominal pain and diarrhoea compared with bulk-forming laxatives (total number of adverse effects: 56/210 [27%] with stimulant laxatives v 32/210 [15%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 2.08, 95% CI 1.27 to 3.41; P = 0.004; abdominal pain: 31/70 [44%] with stimulant laxatives v 15/70 [21%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 2.91, 95% CI 1.39 to 6.11; P = 0.005; diarrhoea: 21/70 [30%] with stimulant laxatives v 9/70 [13%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 2.90, 95% CI 1.22 to 6.91; P = 0.02). However, there was no significant difference between groups in the proportion of women with nausea (4/70 [6%] with stimulant laxatives v 8/70 [11%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.13 to 1.64; P = 0.2). There was also no significant difference between stimulant and bulk-forming laxatives in the proportion of women who reported that adverse effects of treatment were "unacceptable", which was high for both interventions (33/70 [47%] with stimulant laxatives v 35/70 [50%] with bulk-forming laxatives; OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.46 to 1.73; P = 0.7). The criteria for stating that adverse effects of treatment were "unacceptable" were not reported by the review. [13]

Comment:

Clinical guide:

There is limited evidence of benefit for stimulant laxatives compared with bulk-forming laxatives. However, the adverse-effects profile of stimulant laxatives (abdominal pain and diarrhoea) could limit their use in clinical practice, and there are high absolute rates of unacceptable adverse effects both with stimulant laxatives and bulk-forming laxatives.

QUESTION

What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy?

OPTION

RUTOSIDES FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Compared with placebo Rutosides are more effective at reducing the proportion of women with worsening or continuing symptoms of haemorrhoids at 4 weeks (high-quality evidence).

Note

The effects of rutosides on the fetus are unknown.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits:

Rutosides versus placebo:

We found one systematic review with meta-analysis (search date 2007, 2 RCTs, 150 pregnant women at 12 to 34 weeks' gestation with symptomatic grade 1 to grade 3 haemorrhoids), which compared oral rutosides twice daily versus placebo for 4 weeks. [3] The review found that rutosides significantly reduced the proportion of women with worsening or continuing symptoms at 4 weeks compared with placebo (no response to treatment; 2 RCTs: 3/75 [4%] with rutosides v 50/75 [67%] with placebo; RR 0.07, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.20; P less than 0.0001). [3] The method of randomisation in the RCTs was not described. We found no additional or subsequent RCTs on the effects of rutosides to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms:

Rutosides versus placebo:

The RCTs identified by the review were too small to detect a clinically important difference in adverse effects between groups. ^[3] The review found no significant difference between rutosides and placebo in maternal adverse effects, which were reported as mild gastrointestinal discomfort, nausea, and dizziness (4/75 [5%] with rutosides v 0/75 [0%] with placebo; RR 4.99, 95% CI 0.60 to 41.49; P = 0.1). There was also no significant difference between rutosides and placebo in the rates of fetal death, preterm delivery, or congenital malformations (fetal and perinatal death: 0/75 [0%] with rutosides v 1/75 [2%] with placebo; RR 0.34, 95% CI 0.01 to 8.15; P = 0.5; preterm delivery:

1/48 [2.0%] with rutosides v 1/49 [2.3%] with placebo; RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.07 to 15.86; P = 0.99; congenital malformations: 1/75 [2%] with rutosides v 0/75 [0%] with placebo; RR 3.06, 95% CI 0.13 to 73.34; P = 0.49). [3]

We also searched for systematic reviews, RCTs, cohort studies, and case control studies assessing adverse effects of rutosides in pregnancy. We found one systematic review (search date 2009), which assessed the effects of rutosides for the treatment of varicose veins and leg oedema in pregnancy. [43] The review identified one small RCT (69 women, at least 28 weeks' gestation), which compared rutosides versus placebo for 8 weeks. The RCT found no significant difference in the proportion of women with adverse effects between rutosides and placebo (2/37 [5%] with rutosides v2/32 [6%] with placebo; RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.13 to 5.79; P = 0.9). The review did not report details of the specific adverse effects. The authors of the review concluded that there were insufficient data to assess the safety of rutosides in pregnancy. [43]

We found no cohort studies or case control studies reporting adverse effects of rutosides in pregnancy.

Comment:

We found one systematic review assessing the effects of rutosides in people with venous insufficiency (search date 2005); however, the review did not include pregnant women. ^[44] The review (23 RCTs, 2537 people) found no significant difference between rutosides and placebo in the proportion of people reporting an adverse effect (187/1453 [13%] with rutosides v 210/1084 [19%] with placebo; RR 0.84, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.28; P = 0.42). The review found that the most common adverse effects were gastrointestinal (constipation, dry mouth, epigastric discomfort, vomiting), followed by headache and tiredness. ^[44]

Clinical guide:

Rutosides seem to relieve the symptoms of haemorrhoids, but safety during pregnancy should be assessed by large, high-quality RCTs. Therefore, rutosides should not be used during the first trimester (14 weeks of gestation), when teratogenesis is more likely.

OPTION ANAESTHETICS (TOPICAL) FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about topical anaesthetic agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of topical anaesthetic

agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. ^[3] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of anaesthetics (topical) to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: Clinical guide:

There is consensus that pregnant women who have pain because of the complications of haemorrhoids should be offered topical anaesthetic agents unless there are contraindications, despite the absence of RCT evidence.

OPTION BULK-FORMING LAXATIVES FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about bulk-forming laxatives to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of bulk-forming

laxatives to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. ^[3] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of bulk-forming laxatives to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: None.

OPTION

COMPOUND CORTICOSTEROIDS PLUS ANAESTHETICS (TOPICAL) FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about compound topical corticosteroid and anaesthetic agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of sufficient quality

of compound topical corticosteroid and anaesthetic agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. [3] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of compound corti-

costeroids plus topical anaesthetics to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: None.

OPTION CORTICOSTEROIDS (TOPICAL) FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about topical corticosteroid agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of topical corticos-

teroid agents to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. ^[3] We found no subsequent RCTs on the effects of topical corticosteroids to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in

pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: None.

OPTION INCREASED FIBRE INTAKE FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about increased fibre intake to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of increased fibre

intake to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. $^{[3]}$ We found no subsequent RCTs or cohort studies on the effects of increased fibre intake to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in

pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

The association of constipation with a low-fibre diet and low fluid intake has been well established in epidemiological studies. ^[6] It seems reasonable, therefore, to recommend a diet high in fibre and fluids to prevent haemorrhoids in pregnant women. However, the benefit of increased fibre for

the relief of symptoms associated with haemorrhoids has yet to be assessed in RCTs.

OPTION INCREASED FLUID INTAKE FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about increased fluid intake to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of increased fluid

intake to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. [3] We found no subsequent

RCTs or cohort studies on the effects of increased fluid intake to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in

pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

The association of constipation with a low-fibre diet and low fluid intake has been well established in epidemiological studies. ^[6] It seems reasonable, therefore, to recommend a diet high in fibre and fluids to prevent haemorrhoids in pregnant women. However, the benefit of increased fluid intake for the relief of symptoms associated with haemorrhoids has vet to be assessed in RCTs.

OPTION

OSMOTIC LAXATIVES FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about osmotic laxatives to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of osmotic laxatives

to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. [3] We found no additional or subsequent RCTs on the effects of osmotic laxatives to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: None.

OPTION SITZ BATHS FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about sitz baths to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of sitz baths to treat

symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. [3] We found no additional or subsequent

RCTs on the effects of sitz baths to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: Clinical guide:

Research on the effect of sitz baths on haemorrhoids should be conducted only in the context of RCTs, because of the potential risk of cervical and vaginal infections caused by contamination

from the perianal region.

OPTION STIMULANT LAXATIVES FOR HAEMORRHOIDS IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about stimulant laxatives to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found one systematic review (search date 2007), which identified no RCTs of stimulant laxatives

to treat symptomatic or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy. [3] We found no subsequent RCTs

on the effects of stimulant laxatives to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs.

Comment: None.

What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy?

OPTION

ANTACIDS WITH OR WITHOUT ALGINATES FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Compared with placebo Antacids may be more effective at relieving heartburn symptoms and at reducing need for additional antacids (low-quality evidence).

Compared with antacids plus acid-suppressing drugs We don't know how antacid alone and antacid plus ranitidine (an H₂ receptor antagonist) compare at relieving heartburn (low-quality evidence).

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: Antacids versus placebo:

We found one systematic review (search date 2008), [45] which identified one RCT assessing antacids for heartburn in pregnant women. [46] The RCT (156 pregnant women with heartburn) compared a magnesium- and aluminium hydroxide-based antacid in combination with simethicone versus placebo. [46] The review found that a significantly larger proportion of women in the antacid group reported either complete or partial relief from heartburn compared with placebo (77/83 [93%] with antacid v 48/73 [66%] with placebo; RR 1.41, 95% CI 1.18 to 1.68). The method of randomisation of the RCT was not clear.

We found one additional RCT (50 women with heartburn), which compared three interventions for 7 days: magnesium hydroxide plus aluminium hydroxide (antacid) plus oxethazaine (anaesthetic; 15 women); magnesium hydroxide plus aluminium hydroxide without oxethazaine (17 women); and placebo (18 women). [47] The intervention of antacid plus anaesthetic is not covered by our inclusion criteria. However, we have reported the data here as the RCT does not report a statistical analysis for the comparison of antacid alone versus placebo. The RCT found that antacid with or without oxethazaine was associated with a significant improvement in heartburn symptoms compared with placebo, although the improved relief was of borderline significance (mean heartburn relief score [scale ranging from 1 = mild symptoms to 5 = severe symptoms]: 3.9 with antacid plus oxethazaine v3.3 with antacid alone v2.9 with placebo; P = 0.05 for among group difference; difference reported as significant). The RCT found that additional antacids were used significantly less frequently in the antacid groups compared with placebo (use of other antacids [% of days per participant]: 7% with antacid plus oxethazaine v13% with antacid alone v29% with placebo; P = 0.0003 for among group difference).

Antacids alone versus antacids plus acid-suppressing drugs:

We found one systematic review (search date 2008), $^{[45]}$ which identified one RCT (30 pregnant women) comparing calcium-based antacid plus ranitidine (an H₂ receptor antagonist) versus antacid alone. $^{[48]}$ After 1 week of open-label treatment with antacid alone, women with four or more moderate to severe episodes of heartburn during the week (30 women) were randomised to either continued treatment with antacid alone or to antacid plus ranitidine. The review found no significant difference between antacid alone and antacid plus ranitidine in heartburn intensity at 2 weeks, although scores were lower in the antacid plus ranitidine group (mean difference in score [measured using a 10-point visual analogue scale, where 0 = no pain and 10 = disabling] –2.13, 95% CI –4.37 to +0.11). $^{[45]}$ The method of randomisation in the RCT was unclear.

Harms: Antacids versus placebo:

The review reported that there was limited information on adverse effects associated with the preparations identified. [45] The RCT identified by the review found that antacid was associated with a lower rate of adverse effects (including constipation, headache, cramps, and dry mouth) compared with placebo (5/83 [6%] with antacid v7/73 [10%] with placebo; significance not assessed). [46]

The additional RCT comparing antacid with or without oxethazaine versus placebo gave no information on adverse effects. [47]

Antacids versus antacids plus acid-suppressing drugs:

The review reported that there was limited information on adverse effects associated with the preparations identified. [45] The RCT identified by the review reported that there were no adverse effects associated with ranitidine and that birth outcomes were favourable; no comparative data on adverse effects reported. [48]

Comment: Clinical guide:

A consensus document has recommended that antacids should be used "on demand" as the first-choice drug treatment for heartburn in pregnancy, because they provide effective and rapid symptom relief. ^[1] The preferred choice should be calcium-based antacids, because adverse effects are rare, and because calcium-based antacids have been shown to be beneficial for the prevention of hypertension and pre-eclampsia. ^[49] RCTs have shown that magnesium sulphate reduces the risk of eclampsia by greater than 50%, and reduces the risk of maternal death. ^[50]

A panel of experts has agreed that, in pregnant women, H₂ receptor antagonists, such as ranitidine, can be combined with antacids when symptoms persist with antacids alone. ^[1]

OPTION

ACID-SUPPRESSING DRUGS FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

Symptom severity

Acid-suppressing drugs plus antacids compared with antacids alone We don't know how antacid plus ranitidine (an H₂ receptor antagonist) and antacid alone compare at relieving heartburn (low-quality evidence).

Note

We found no direct information from RCTs about whether acid-suppressing drugs are better than no active treatment to treat heartburn in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17 .

Benefits: Acid-suppressing drugs versus placebo:

We found no systematic review or RCTs comparing acid-suppressing drugs versus placebo for heartburn in pregnancy.

Acid-suppressing drugs plus antacids versus antacids alone:

See benefits of antacids with or without alginates, p 11.

Harms: Acid-suppressing drugs versus placebo:

We found no RCTs.

Acid-suppressing drugs plus antacids versus antacids alone:

See harms of antacids with or without alginates, p 11.

Comment:

One systematic review (search date 2008, 4 cohort studies [2 prospective, 2 retrospective], 122,290 people) assessing the safety of $\rm H_2$ receptor antagonists during pregnancy found no significant difference in risk of congenital malformation between exposure to $\rm H_2$ receptor antagonist and no exposure (112/2398 [4.7%] with exposure $\rm v$ 5699/119,892 [4.8%] with no exposure; 1.14, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.45). [51] Further analysis found that exposure to $\rm H_2$ receptor antagonist did not increase risk of spontaneous abortion (2 studies, based on 738 exposures and 1575 unexposed controls; OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.36 to 1.05), preterm delivery (4 studies, based on 2421 exposures and 119,072 unexposed controls; OR 1.17, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.47), or infant being small for gestational age (2 studies, based on 611 exposures and 794 unexposed controls; OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.06 to 1.22; absolute numbers not reported for listed outcomes).

Clinical guide:

A panel of experts has agreed that, in pregnant women, H_2 receptor antagonists can be combined with antacids when symptoms persist with antacids alone. [1]

OPTION RAISING THE HEAD OF THE BED FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about raising the head of the bed to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found no systematic review, RCTs, or cohort studies of raising the head of the bed to prevent

or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

A consensus document has recommended that lifestyle and dietary modifications should remain first-line treatment for heartburn in pregnancy. ^[1] The measures include avoiding and reducing intake of reflux-inducing foods (such as greasy and spicy foods, tomatoes, highly acidic citrus products, and carbonated drinks), and substances such as caffeine. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should also be avoided. The document also recommends other lifestyle changes to reduce the risk of reflux, such as avoiding lying down within 3 hours after eating. However, if heartburn is severe enough to warrant this action, medication should begin after consultation with a healthcare professional. ^[1]

OPTION REDUCING CAFFEINE INTAKE FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about reducing caffeine intake to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found no systematic review, RCTs, or cohort studies of reducing caffeine intake to prevent or

treat heartburn in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

A consensus document has recommended that lifestyle and dietary modifications should remain first-line treatment for heartburn in pregnancy. The measures include avoiding and reducing intake of reflux-inducing foods (such as greasy and spicy foods, tomatoes, highly acidic citrus products, and carbonated drinks), and substances such as caffeine. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should also be avoided. The document also recommends other lifestyle changes to reduce the risk of reflux, such as avoiding lying down within 3 hours after eating. However, if heartburn is severe enough to warrant this action, medication should begin after consultation with a healthcare profes-

sional. [1]

OPTION REDUCING THE INTAKE OF FATTY FOODS FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about reducing intake of fatty foods to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found no systematic review, RCTs, or cohort studies of reducing intake of fatty foods to prevent

or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

A consensus document has recommended that lifestyle and dietary modifications should remain first-line treatment for heartburn in pregnancy. [1] The measures include avoiding and reducing intake of reflux-inducing foods (such as greasy and spicy foods, tomatoes, highly acidic citrus products, and carbonated drinks). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should also be avoided. The document also recommends other lifestyle changes to reduce the risk of reflux, such as avoiding lying down within 3 hours after eating. However, if heartburn is severe enough to warrant this action, medication about design of the respectitory with a healthear a professional. [1]

should begin after consultation with a healthcare professional. [1]

OPTION REDUCING THE SIZE AND FREQUENCY OF MEALS FOR HEARTBURN IN PREGNANT WOMEN

We found no direct information from RCTs about reducing meal size and frequency to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

For GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy, see table, p 17.

Benefits: We found no systematic review, RCTs, or cohort studies of reducing meal size and frequency to

prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy.

Harms: We found no RCTs or cohort studies.

Comment: Clinical guide:

A consensus document has recommended that lifestyle and dietary modifications should remain first-line treatment for heartburn in pregnancy. The measures include avoiding and reducing intake of reflux-inducing foods (such as greasy and spicy foods, tomatoes, highly acidic citrus products, and carbonated drinks), and substances such as caffeine. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should also be avoided. The document also recommends other lifestyle changes to reduce the risk of reflux, such as avoiding lying down within 3 hours after eating. However, if heartburn is severe enough to warrant this action, medication should begin after consultation with a healthcare professional.

GLOSSARY

Grade 1 to grade 3 haemorrhoids: Grade 1 haemorrhoids are bleeding haemorrhoids that do not protrude from the anus. Grade 2 haemorrhoids are haemorrhoids that protrude on defecation, but that are reduced spontaneously. Grade 3 haemorrhoids protrude on defecation, but can be replaced digitally.

Sitz bath A warm water bath taken in the sitting position. The water covers only the hips and buttocks.

High-quality evidence Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Low-quality evidence Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low-quality evidence Any estimate of effect is very uncertain.

SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES

Acid-suppressing drugs for heartburn in pregnant women One systematic review added identified one small RCT comparing antacid plus ranitidine (an H₂ receptor antagonist) versus antacid alone. ^[45] The review found no significant difference between groups in heartburn. Because of the size of the RCT and methodological limitations (unclear method of randomisation), conclusions cannot be drawn on the effects of adding ranitidine to an antacid. Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Anaesthetics (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of anaesthetics in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Antacids with or without alginates for heartburn in pregnant women One systematic review added found that a magnesium- and aluminium hydroxide-based antacid in combination with simethicone improved heartburn compared with placebo. [45] The review found no significant difference in heartburn between antacid plus ranitidine (an H₂ receptor antagonist) and antacid alone. Categorisation unchanged (Likely to be beneficial).

Bulk-forming laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of bulk-forming laxatives in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Compound corticosteroids plus anaesthetics (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of compound corticosteroids plus anaesthetics in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. [3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Corticosteroids (topical) for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of corticosteroids (topical) in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. [3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Increased fibre intake for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of increased fibre intake in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Increased fluid intake for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of increased fluid intake in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Osmotic laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of osmotic laxatives in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. [3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Rutosides for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of rutosides in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] One updated systematic review reported only in the harms section identified no new evidence on the adverse effects of rutosides. ^[43] Categorisation unchanged (Likely to be beneficial).

Sitz baths for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of sitz baths in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. [3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

Stimulant laxatives for haemorrhoids in pregnant women One updated systematic review identified no new evidence on the effects of stimulant laxatives in the treatment of haemorrhoids in pregnant women. ^[3] Categorisation unchanged (Unknown effectiveness).

REFERENCES

- Tytgat GN, Heading RC, Müller-Lissner S, et al. Contemporary understanding and management of reflux and constipation in the general population and pregnancy: a consensus meeting. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003;18:291–301.
- Prather CM. Pregnancy-related constipation. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2004;6:402–404.[PubMed]
- Quijano CE, Abalos E, Quijano CE, et al. Conservative management of symptomatic and/or complicated haemorrhoids in pregnancy and the puerperium. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2007.
- Balasubramaniam S, Kaiser AM. Management options for symptomatic hemorrhoids. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2003;5:431–437. [PubMed]
- Haas PA, Hass GP, Schmaltz S, et al. The prevalence of hemorrhoids. Dis Colon Rectum 1983;26:435–439.[PubMed]
- Nisar PJ, Scholefield JH. Managing haemorrhoids. BMJ 2003;327:847–851.[PubMed]
- Brisinda G. How to treat haemorrhoids. Prevention is best; haemorrhoidectomy needs skilled operators. BMJ 2000;321:582–583.[PubMed]
- Eisenberg A, Murkoff HE, Hathaway SE. What to expect when you are expecting. New York: Workman Publishing, 1998. [In Spanish]
- Richter JE. Review article: the management of heartburn in pregnancy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2005;22:749–757.[PubMed]
- Castro Lde P. Reflux esophagitis as the cause of heartburn in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1967;98:1–10.[PubMed]
- Baron TH, Ramirez B, Richter JE. Gastrointestinal motility disorders during pregnancy. Ann Intern Med 1993;118:366–375.[PubMed]
- Thukral C, Wolf JL. Therapy insight: drugs for gastrointestinal disorders in pregnant women. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;3:256–266. [PubMed]
- Jewell DJ, Young G. Interventions for treating constipation in pregnancy. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2021
- Hannah ME, Whyte H, Hannah WJ, et al. Maternal outcomes at 2 years after planned cesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: the international randomized Term Breech Trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:917–927.[PubMed]
- Marshall K, Thompson KA, Walsh DM, et al. Incidence of urinary incontinence and constipation during pregnancy and postpartum: survey of current findings at the Rotunda Lying-In Hospital. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1998;105:400–402.[PubMed]
- Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Romito P, Lelong N, et al. Women's health after childbirth: a longitudinal study in France and Italy. BJOG 2000;107:1202–1209. [PubMed]
- Medich DS, Fazio VW. Surgery in pregnant women. Clin Quir Norte Am 1995;1:67–69. [In Spanish]
- Gojnic M, Dugalic V, Papic M, et al. The significance of detailed examination of hemorrhoids during pregnancy. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2005;32:183–184.[PubMed]
- Brown S, Lumley J. Maternal health after childbirth: results of an Australian population based survey. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1998;105:156–161.[PubMed]
- Habr-Gama A. Proctologic diseases during pregnancy. Actas Curso Internacional de Coloproctología. Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires. Argentina. September, 1994. [In Portuguese]
- MacLennan AH, Taylor AW, Wilson DH, et al. The prevalence of pelvic floor disorders and their relationship to gender, age, parity and mode of delivery. BJOG 2000;107:1460–1470.[PubMed]
- 22. Audu BM, Mustapha SK. Prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in pregnancy. Niger J Clin Pract 2006;9:1–6.[PubMed]
- Ho KY, Kang JY, Viegas OA. Symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux in pregnancy: a prospective study among Singaporean women. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1998;13:1020–1026.[PubMed]
- Richter JE. Gastroesophageal reflux disease during pregnancy. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2003;32:235–261.[PubMed]
- Marrero JM, Goggin PM, de Caestecker JS, et al. Determinants of pregnancy heartburn. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1992;99:731–734. [PubMed]
- Bonapace ES Jr, Fisher RS. Constipation and diarrhea in pregnancy. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1998;27:197–211.[PubMed]

- Müller-Lissner SA, Kamm MA, Scarpignato C, et al. Myths and misconceptions about chronic constipation. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:232–242.
- West L, Warren J, Cutts T. Diagnosis and management of irritable bowel syndrome, constipation, and diarrhoea in pregnancy. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1992;21:793–802.[PubMed]
- Anderson AS. Dietary factors in the aetiology and treatment of constipation during pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1986;93:245–249.[PubMed]
- Derbyshire E, Davies J, Costarelli V, et al. Diet, physical inactivity and the prevalence of constipation throughout and after pregnancy. *Matern Child Nutr* 2006;2:127–134.[PubMed]
- Meier PR, Nickerson HJ, Olson KA, et al. Prevention of iron deficiency anemia in adolescent and adult pregnancies. Clin Med Res 2003;1:29–36.[PubMed]
- Milman N, Byg KE, Bergholt T, et al. Side effects of oral iron prophylaxis in pregnancy – myth or reality? Acta Haematol 2006;115:53–57.[PubMed]
- Zygmunt M, Heilmann L, Berg C, et al. Local and systemic tolerability of magnesium sulphate for tocolysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2003;107:168–175.[PubMed]
- Redmond GP. Hypothyroidism and women's health. Int J Fertil Womens Med 2002;47:123–127.[PubMed]
- Schottler JL, Balcos EG, Golberg SM. Postpartum hemorrhoidectomy. Dis Colon Rectum 1973;16:395–396.[PubMed]
- Bruce NW. Gestational adaptation. In: Iffy L, Kaminetzki HA, eds. Obstetrics and perinatology. Principles and practice. Buenos Aires: Médica Panamericana, 1986. pp. 706–711. [In Spanish]
- Al-Amri SM. Twenty-four hour pH monitoring during pregnancy and at postpartum: a preliminary study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2002;102:127–130.[PubMed]
- Santisteban S, Oliva J. Obstetric semiology. In: Rigol O, ed. Obstetrics and gynaecology. La Habana: Ciencias Médicas, 2004. p. 59. [In Spanish]
- van Thiel DH, Gavaler JS, Joshi SN, et al. Heartburn of pregnancy. Gastroenterology 1977;72:666–668.
- Sripramote M, Lekhyananda N. A randomized comparison of ginger and vitamin B6 in the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy. J Med Assoc Thai 2003;86:846–853.[PubMed]
- Wald A. Constipation, diarrhea, and symptomatic hemorrhoids during pregnancy. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2003;32:309–322.[PubMed]
- Derbyshire E. The importance of adequate fluid and fibre intake during pregnancy. Nurs Stand 2007:21:40–43.
- Bamigboye AA, Smyth RI. Interventions for varicose veins and leg oedema in pregnancy In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2009.
- Martinez MJ, Bonfill X, Moreno RM, et al. Phlebotonics for venous insufficiency. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2005.
- Dowswell T, Neilson JP. Interventions for heartburn in pregnancy. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2008.
- 46. Reisfield DR. Pyrosis and pregnancy. Curr Ther Res Clinical Exp 1971;13:680–684.[PubMed]
- Kovacs GT, Campbell J, Francis D, et al. Is mucaine an appropriate medication for the relief of heartburn during pregnancy? Asia-Oceania J Obstet Gynaecol 1990;16:357–362.[PubMed]
- Rayburn W, Liles E, Christensen H, et al. Antacids vs. antacids plus non-prescription ranitidine for heartburn during pregnancy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1999;66:35–37.[PubMed]
- Hofmeyr GJ, Atallah AN, Duley L. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2006.
- Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Henderson-Smart DJ. Magnesium sulphate and other anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2002.
- Gill SK, O'Brien L, Koren G, et al. The safety of histamine 2 (H2) blockers in pregnancy: a meta-analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2009;54:1835–1838.[PubMed]

Juan C Vazquez

Specialist in Obstetrics and Gynecology and Assistant Professor in Obstetrics and Gynecology Instituto Nacional de Endocrinologia Zapata y D, Vedado Ciudad Habana Cuba

Competing interests: JCV declares that he has no competing interests

Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is intended for medical professionals. Categories presented in Clinical Evidence indicate a judgement about the strength of the evidence available to our contributors prior to publication and the relevant importance of benefit and harms. We rely on our contributors to confirm the accuracy of the information presented and to adhere to describe accepted practices. Readers should be aware that professionals in the field may have different opinions. Because of this and regular advances in medical research we strongly recommend that readers' independently verify specified treatments and drugs including manufacturers' guidance. Also, the categories do not indicate whether a particular treatment is generally appropriate or whether it is suitable for a particular individual. Ultimately it is the readers' responsibility to make their own professional judgements, so to appropriately advise and treat their patients. To the fullest extent permitted by law, BMJ Publishing Group Limited and its editors are not responsible for any losses, injury or damage caused to any person or property (including under contract, by negligence, products liability or otherwise) whether they be direct or indirect, special, incidental or consequential, resulting from the application of the information in this publication.

TABLE

GRADE evaluation of interventions for constipation, haemorrhoids, and heartburn in pregnancy

| Important out- comes | Symptom severity | , adverse effects | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| Number of studies (participants) | Outcome | Comparison | Type of evi- dence | Quality | Consisten- cy | Directness | Effect size | GRADE | Comment | |
| What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat constipation in pregnancy? | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 (40) [13] | Symptom severity | Increased fibre intake <i>v</i> no treatment | 4 | -2 | 0 | -2 | +2 | Low | Quality points deducted for sparse data and for combination of results from 2 active treatment arms. Directness points deducted for unspecific definition of constipation and for few comparators. Effect-size point added for odds ratio less than 0.2 | |
| 1 (140) ^[13] | Symptom severity | Stimulant laxatives <i>v</i> bulk-forming laxatives | 4 | -3 | 0 | -1 | +1 | Very low | Quality points deducted for sparse data, uncertainty about randomisation, no clear end point, and for combination of results from 2 active treatment arms. Directness point deducted for unspecific definition of constipation. Effect-size point added for odds ratio less than 0.5 | |
| What are the effects of | What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat haemorrhoids in pregnancy? | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 (150) ^[3] | Symptom severity | Rutosides v placebo | 4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | +2 | High | Quality points deducted for sparse data, and for not reporting method of randomisation. Effect-size points added for RR less than 0.2 | |
| What are the effects of interventions to prevent or treat heartburn in pregnancy? | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 (206) ^[47] ^[46] ^[45] | Symptom severity | Antacids v placebo | 4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Low | Quality points deducted for unclear method of randomisation and no between group statistical analysis in one RCT | |
| 1 (30) [45] [48] | Symptom severity | Antacid <i>v</i> antacid plus acid-suppressing drug | 4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Low | Quality points deducted for sparse data and for unclear method of randomisation | |
| Type of evidence: 4 = RCT; 2 = Observational; 1 = Non-analytical/expert opinion. Consistency: similarity of results across studies Directness: generalisability of population or outcomes Effect size: based on relative risk or odds ratio | | | | | | | | | | |

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2010. All rights reserved.