Hematopoietic cells as sources for patient-specific iPSCs and disease modeling

Zhaohui Ye,^{1,*} Cyndi F. Liu¹ and Yoon-Young Jang^{2,*}

'Division of Hematology; Department of Medicine; ²Stem Cell Biology Laboratory, Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center; Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; Baltimore, MD USA

Key words: induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), blood, B lymphocytes, hematopoietic differentiation, hepatic differentiation, disease modeling, drug testing

Abbreviations: EBV, epstein-barr virus; ESCs, embryonic stem cells; iPSCs, induced pluripotent stem cells; MPNs, myeloproliferative neoplasms

Submitted: 07/01/11

Revised: 07/07/11

Accepted: 07/09/11

DOI: 10.4161/cc.10.17.17180

*Correspondence to: Zhaohui Ye and Yoon-Young Jang; Email: zye@jhmi.edu and yjang3@jhmi.edu In addition to being an attractive source

for cell replacement therapy, human **for cell replacement therapy, human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) also have great potential for disease modeling and drug development. During the recent several years, cell reprogramming technologies have evolved to generate virus-free and integration-free human iPSCs from easily accessible sources such as patient skin fibroblasts and peripheral blood samples. Hematopoietic cells from umbilical cord blood banks and Epstein Barr virus (EBV) immortalized B lymphocyte repositories represent alternative sources for human genetic materials of diverse backgrounds. Ability to reprogram these banked blood cells to pluripotency and differentiate them into a variety of specialized and functional cell types provides valuable tools for studying underlying mechanisms of a broad range of diseases including rare inherited disorders. Here we describe the recent advances in generating disease specific human iPSCs from these different types of hematopoietic cells and their potential applications in disease modeling and regenerative medicine.**

Introduction

Like embryonic stem cells (ESCs), induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) can be expanded in culture for a prolonged time while maintaining their pluripotency (i.e., the ability to differentiate into cell types representing progenies of all three embryonic germ layers). Unlike ESCs, which are derived from the inner cell mass of blastocyst stage embryos, iPSCs can be generated

from adult somatic cells, thus having the advantage of being patient-specific. This property of iPSCs provide histocompatibility, and with our ability to generate a variety of specialized cell types from them, iPSCs become an attractive source for cell replacement therapy. More specifically the iPSC-derived donor cells would share the same genetic identity with the recipient patient hence reducing the risk of immune-rejection or the graft-vs.-host disease. Each patient's genetic information, including disease causing mutation(s), can be preserved in the reprogrammed iPSCs and further passed onto the re-differentiated cell types, making it possible to model diseases in either tissue culture dishes or xeno-graft animal models. The conventional reprogramming methods utilize retroviruses to infect fibroblast cells with four reprogramming associated transcription factors, Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and c-Myc. The reprogramming technologies have also been developed for additional somatic cell types such as blood cells, keratinocytes and hepatocytes.¹⁻¹⁴ There have been particular interests in reprogramming blood cells, because blood samples can be easily obtained from patients with a relatively less invasive procedure. What makes reprogramming of blood cells even more attractive is the availability of a large number of samples that have been already stored in banks for cord blood or EBV-immortalized lymphocytes. Here we describe our recent progress in generating disease-specific iPSCs from these blood cell types and the direct differentiation of these iPSCs into specialized and functional cell types.2,3 We also discuss the **Table 1.** Comparison of different sources of hematopoietic cells for generating human iPSCs

potentials and limitations of the diversely sourced human iPSCs in regenerative medicine and disease modeling.⁴⁻⁶

Patient-Specific iPSCs Derived from Blood Cells

Human iPSCs have been generated from various types of blood cells including peripheral blood, bone morrow aspirates and umbilical cord blood.1,2,7-14 Initial reports of blood cell reprogramming utilized the traditional retroviruses to infect human CD34+ hematopoietic cells that are enriched for hematopoietic progenitor cells. Human iPSCs containing the hematopoietic cell-restricted somatic mutations associated with myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) were generated by this method.² Upon directed differentiation back to hematopoietic cells, these MPN-patient specific iPSCs demonstrated the abnormal erythropoiesis similar to that observed in primary hematopoietic progenitor cells from the patient.² These observations have proved the principle that diseases with an acquired somatic mutation(s) can be modeled through the iPSC generation and differentiation technologies.

The reprogramming technology has been recently improved with several new methods for creating safer, virus-free iPSCs.3,7,17-19 Study has shown that blood cells possess a unique epigenetic signature that is closer to ESC/iPSCs, compared with that of fibroblast to ESC/ iPSCs, making them more amenable to

reprogramming.7 It has been shown that with a short time of priming, human primary blood cells can be efficiently reprogrammed into integration-free iPSCs using episomal plasmids without the need for enriching hematopoietic progenitors $first.^{7,14}$

Reprogramming of Human B Lymphocytes into iPSCs

For disease modeling purposes, the EBVimmortalized B cells are of particular interest as a potential source for iPSC generation (**Table 1**). In past decades, blood cells from a diverse population of patients have been immortalized through infection with EBV.15,16 In addition to storing patient DNA samples and frozen tissues, this immortalization procedure provided a way to preserve and expand cells that contain important genetic information of the patients. Reprogramming of these EBVimmortalized B cells, however, presented a challenge to the traditional reprogramming protocols.³ Similar to mouse B cells, human primary B cells have been difficult to reprogram. Currently there is still no evidence for generating iPSC clones from human B cells, though various studies have reported successful reprogramming of human blood cells including CD34⁺ cells and T lymphocytes. $2,7-14$ The underlying mechanisms to the biological phenomenon of why B cells are more refractory to reprogramming are not fully understood. There are also technical challenges that may

limit human B cell line reprogramming: (1) The transduction efficiencies of human B lymphocytes (both primary B cells and EBV-immortalized B cells) with traditional retroviruses are extremely low (less than 1% as compared with ~90% for fibroblasts); (2) The presence of EBV genome in the cells and the expression of EBV-related genes may interfere the reprogramming process; (3) Unlike most primary cell types, the immortalized B cells can extensively proliferate, presenting another challenge to select the reprogrammed clones. To overcome these hurdles, we have utilized an episomal vector system coupled with the nucleofection technology to deliver the reprogramming factors more efficiently into human B cells.3 During the reprogramming process, we also supplemented the culture medium with small molecules such as sodium butyrate, a HDAC inhibitor that has been shown to not only significantly enhance reprogramming efficiency of other types of human cells²⁰ but also to significantly suppress the proliferation of EBV-immortalized B cells.³ Through these improvements, we have successfully generated human iPSCs from EBV-B cells immortalized from multiple patients including those with sickle cell anemia and α 1-antitrypsin deficiency.3 These iPSCs display typical morphology of human ESCs and iPSCs along with expression of markers specific for pluripotent stem cells (**Fig. 1A**).3 Sequencing analyses confirmed that the EBV-iPSCs carry the same genetic mutations that are specific to the patients

(**Fig. 1B**). The EBV genome that is present in the parental EBV-immortalized B cells was also evidently lost from the cultured iPSCs during the reprogramming and/or iPSC expansion processes.3 This is likely caused by the epigenetic landscape changes during the reprogramming, which may induce silencing of the EBV genes including EBNA-1 which is essential for the replication of EBV genome. Silencing and the consequent loss of EBV genome occurs stochastically during routine culturing of the immortalized B cells, and it is the selection pressure for cell growth that maintains the EBV genome in the proliferating B cells. However, during the process of generating iPSCs, the successfully reprogrammed iPSCs gain indefinite proliferation ability therefore the selection pressure for the presence of EBV genome may no longer exist, which might be an alternative explanation for this phenomenon. These two potential mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and both are likely to contribute to the generation of EBV-free iPSCs.

The successful generation of human iPSCs from the immortalized B cells also raises the question of why human primary B cells could not be reprogrammed at similar efficiencies using the same protocol. On the basis of our experience, primary B cells (obtained from either cord blood or peripheral blood) are far more difficult to transfect compared with fibroblasts or EBV-immortalized B cells.³ In addition, a certain intrinsic epigenetic feature of human primary B cells could make them more refractory to reprogramming using the current methods, as suggested by a mouse study.²¹ There are still many unknowns about direct cellular reprogramming although studies have started to uncover the mechanisms underlying this process.22-25 A better understanding of the reprogramming mechanisms and future development of new reagents or technologies that can enhance the efficiency of reprogramming factor delivery are required in order to achieve efficient reprogramming of primary B cells.

Directed Differentiation of Human iPSCs Generated from Blood Cells

To utilize patient specific iPSC derived functional cell types for disease modeling

and drug screening, effective differentiation technologies of human iPSCs into cells of interest such as hematopoietic, hepatic or neuronal lineage cells are critical. Recently, we have improved the human iPSC differentiation protocols and demonstrated the feasibility of using directed differentiation of patient

iPSCs to model both acquired diseases (i.e., polycythemia vera, liver cirrhosis and liver cancer) and inherited diseases (i.e., α1-antitrypsin deficiency and sickle cell anemia);^{2,3,6} it was feasible to differentiate these patient iPSCs into either hematopoietic progenitors or hepatic cells, and to recapitulate key features of some of these diseases (i.e., polycythemia vera and α 1-antitrypsin deficiency) in a culture dish.2,3 Patient-specific iPSCs derived from EBV-immortalized B cells also showed a similar differentiation capability to those iPSCs from other tissue origin.^{3,5} In addition to becoming multi-stage hepatic cells under endoderm/hepatic differentiation conditions, these cells also demonstrated the ability to generate CD34+ CD45+ hematopoietic stem/ progenitor cells following a serum-free embryoid body (EB)-mediated differentiation protocol (**Fig. 1C**).2 Upon further differentiation, the iPSC-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells, like their primary counterparts, gave rise to more specialized cell types that constitute the lymphohematopoietic system (**Fig. 1D–F**). When supplemented with a cytokine erythropoietin, the sickle cell anemia iPSCs-derived progenitor cells underwent erythroid differentiation and generated glycophorin A+ CD45low erythroblast (**Fig. 1D**), demonstrating their potential to model red blood cell diseases.

For future clinical applications of treating patients with immunologic deficiencies or for research applications of creating animal models with humanized immune system, it is essential to direct human iPSCs to lymphoid cells such T, B or NK cells. The lymphoid differentiation potential of the EBV-iPSCs was also accessed using a co-culture differentiation system with OP9 stromal cells. In the presence of added cytokines, such as Flt3-ligand (FL) and interleukine-7 (IL-7), the hematopoietic progenitors derived from iPSCs can differentiate to CD45+ CD19+ B cells after 21 d co-culture (**Fig. 1E**). These cells also express other pre-B cell surface markers such as CD20 and CD10 (**Fig. 1F**). Together with a recent report in reference 26, these results suggest that human iPSCs derived from diverse sources have

the capacity to undergo lymphoid differentiation and have the potential to reconstitute host immune system upon transplantation.

Applications of Blood Origin Human iPSCs from Diverse Sources

With many cell types having been reprogrammed, it is important to evaluate the potentials and limitations of differently sourced human iPSCs in order to take full advantage of this technology.4-6,27-29 Our recent data suggest that immortalized B cells from patients with blood or liver diseases can be reprogrammed into diseasespecific iPSCs and further induced to differentiate into cells of interest including early and late developmental stages of both hematopoietic and hepatic lineages (**Fig. 1**).3 Compared with peripheral blood and cord blood, the EBV-B cells have a unique advantage as a source for generating disease-specific iPSCs for modeling diseases: a large quantity of EBV-B cell lines that reflect a variety of different diseases, including rare inherited disorders, and patients samples with diverse genetic backgrounds (and their family members, even the deceased ones) have been stored in various repositories. It would be highly useful to reprogram these patient derived EBV-B cells for creating various parts of iPSC-based in vitro disease models. Such models will accelerate the pathogenesis study for many rare diseases with unknown mechanisms, and help facilitate the development of novel drug therapy, with a reduced need for recruiting new patients and obtaining fresh tissue samples. Despite the great potential of the EBV-B cell derived human iPSCs in disease modeling and drug development, these iPSCs may not be an ideal choice for cell regeneration therapy (**Table 1**). This is due to the concern associated with the prolonged culture of these immortalized B cell lines, which may result in a higher number of genetic mutations compared with primary blood samples. The rearranged immunoglobulin loci of these lymphocytes might pose an additional safety concern as it has been shown in

mouse, where mice derived from a reprogrammed T cell had a higher incidence of lymphomagenesis.30

Among the different sources of blood, umbilical cord blood might represent the best source for cell replacement therapy (**Table 1**). These cells are more likely to maintain higher genomic integrity due to the reduced risk of age-related somatic mutations and genomic rearrangements. Although the cell number is usually limited per individual, the unique epigenetic features of the cord blood cells make them one of the easiest cell types to be reprogrammed using virus-free and integrationfree protocols.7 Not only can the iPSCs be used clinically for the same individual who had the cord blood banked at birth, the large number of the available samples stored in cord blood banks would also make it more feasible for other patient-inneed to find HLA-matched existing stem cell lines. If no such cell line is available, patient-specific iPSCs can always be generated using patient's own peripheral blood (**Table 1**). Although new technologies have made the reprogramming of peripheral blood more efficient and the iPSCs safer, caution still needs to be taken when using patient peripheral blood-derived iPSCs for cell therapy purposes. Individuals who suffer from chronic hematopoietic disorders often carry somatic mutations in their blood cells; therefore these iPSCs may not be an ideal choice for therapy and alternative sources should be considered.

The challenge for creating a relatively large part of disease-specific iPSCs from peripheral blood samples to study complex multifactorial diseases is often the limited availability of consent patients with diverse genetic backgrounds. The new technologies to generate patient-specific iPSCs from the banked cells, such as cord blood and EBV-immortalized B cells, will provide alternative and complementary ways to extend the potential of iPSCs in both regenerative medicine and disease modeling.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH grant 109577 and 5T32HL007525-27 and by MSCRF grants 108563 and 106408.

References

- 1. Chun YS, Chaudhari P, Jang YY. Applications of patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells; focused on disease modeling, drug screening and therapeutic potentials for liver disease. Int J Biol Sci 2010; 6:796-805; PMID:21179587.
- 2. Ye Z, Zhan H, Mali P, Dowey S, Williams DM, Jang YY, et al. Human-induced pluripotent stem cells from blood cells of healthy donors and patients with acquired blood disorders. Blood 2009; 114:5473- 80; PMID:19797525; DOI:10.1182/blood-2009-04- 217406.
- 3. Choi SM, Liu H, Chaudhari P, Kim Y, Cheng L, Feng J, et al. Reprogramming of EBVimmortalized B-lymphocyte cell lines into induced pluripotent stem cells. Blood Press 2011; PMID: 21628406; DOI:10.1182/blood-2011-03-340620.
- 4. Liu H, Ye Z, Kim Y, Sharkis S, Jang YY. Generation of endoderm-derived human induced pluripotent stem cells from primary hepatocytes. Hepatology 2010; 51:1810-9; PMID:20432258; DOI:10.1002/ hep.23626.
- 5. Liu H, Kim Y, Sharkis S, Marchionni L, Jang YY. In vivo liver regeneration potential of human induced pluripotent stem cells from diverse origins. Sci Transl Med 2011; 3:82ra39; PMID:21562231; DOI:10.1126/scitranslmed.3002376.
- 6. Choi SM, Kim Y, Liu H, Chaudhari P, Ye Z, Jang YY. Liver engraftment potential of hepatic cells derived from patient specific induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Cycle 2011; 10:2423-7; PMID:21750407; DOI:10.4161/cc.10.15.16869.
- 7. Chou BK, Mali P, Huang X, Ye Z, Dowey SN, Resar LM, et al. Efficient human iPS cell derivation by a non-integrating plasmid from blood cells with unique epigenetic and gene expression signatures. Cell Res 2011; 21:518-29; PMID:21243013; DOI:10.1038/ cr.2011.12.
- 8. Loh YH, Agarwal S, Park I, Urbach A, Huo H, Heffner GC, et al. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human blood. Blood 2009; 113:5476- 9; PMID:19299331; DOI:10.1182/blood-2009-02- 204800.
- 9. Giorgetti A, Montserrat N, Aasen T, Gonzalez F, Rodríguez-Pizà I, Vassena R, et al. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human cord blood using OCT4 and SOX2. Cell Stem Cell 2009; 5:353-7; PMID:19796614; DOI:10.1016/j. stem.2009.09.008.
- 10. Brown ME, Rondon E, Rajesh D, Mack A, Lewis R, Feng X, et al. Derivation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human peripheral blood T lymphocytes. PLoS ONE 2010; 5:11373; PMID:20617191; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0011373.
- 11. Loh YH, Hartung O, Li H, Guo C, Sahalie JM, Manos PD, et al. Reprogramming of T cells from human peripheral blood. Cell Stem Cell 2010; 7:15-9; PMID:20621044; DOI:10.1016/j. stem.2010.06.004.
- 12. Staerk J, Dawlaty MM, Gao Q, Maetzel D, Hanna J, Sommer CA, et al. Reprogramming of human peripheral blood cells to induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2010; 7:20-4; PMID:20621045; DOI:10.1016/j.stem.2010.06.002.
- 13. Seki T, Yuasa S, Oda M, Egashira T, Yae K, Kusumoto D, et al. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human terminally differentiated circulating T cells. Cell Stem Cell 2010; 7:11-4; PMID:20621043; DOI:10.1016/j.stem.2010.06.003.
- 14. Hu K, Yu J, Suknuntha K, Tian S, Montgomery K, Choi KD, et al. Efficient Generation of transgenefree induced pluripotent stem cells from normal and neoplastic bone marrow and cord blood mononuclear cells. Blood 2011; 117:109-19; PMID:21296996; DOI:10.1182/blood-2010-07-298331.
- 15. Chen F, Zou JZ, di Renzo L, Winberg G, Hu LF, Klein E, et al. A subpopulation of normal B cells latently infected with Epstein-Barr virus resembles Burkitt lymphoma cells in expressing EBNA-1 but not EBNA-2 or LMP1. J Virol 1995; 69:3752-8; PMID:7745723.
- 16. Altmann M, Pich D, Ruiss R, Wang J, Sugden B, Hammerschmidt W. Transcriptional activation by EBV nuclear antigen 1 is essential for the expression of EBV's transforming genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:14188-93; PMID:16966603; DOI:10.1073/pnas.0605985103.
- 17. Jia F, Wilson KD, Sun IJ, Gupta DM, Huang M, Li Z, et al. A nonviral minicircle vector for deriving human iPS cells. Nat Methods 2010; 7:197-9; PMID:20139967; DOI:10.1038/nmeth.1426.
- 18. Warren L, Manos PD, Ahfeldt T, Loh YH, Li H, Lau F, et al. Highly efficient reprogramming to pluripotency and directed differentiation of human cells with synthetic modified mRNA. Cell Stem Cell 2010; 7:618-30; PMID:20888316; DOI:10.1016/j. stem.2010.08.012.
- 19. Montserrat N, Garreta Bahima E, Gonzalez F, Gutiérrez J, Eguizábal C, Ramos V, et al. Simple generation of human induced Pluripotent stem cells using Poly({beta}-Amino Esters) as non-viral gene delivery system. J Biol Chem 2011; 286:12417-28; PMID:21285354; DOI:10.1074/jbc.M110.168013.
- 20. Mali P, Chou BK, Yen J, Ye Z, Zou J, Dowey S, et al. Butyrate greatly enhances derivation of human induced pluripotent stem cells by promoting epigenetic remodeling and the expression of pluripotency-associated genes. Stem Cells 2010; 28:713-20; PMID:20201064; DOI:10.1002/stem.402.
- 21. Hanna J, Markoulaki S, Schorderet P, Carey BW, Beard C, Wernig M, et al. Direct reprogramming of terminally differentiated mature B lymphocytes to pluripotency. Cell 2008; 133:250-64; PMID:18423197; DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.028.
- 22. Menendez S, Camus S, Izpisua Belmonte JC. p53: guardian of reprogramming. Cell Cycle 2010; 9:3887-91; PMID:20948296; DOI:10.4161/ cc.9.19.13301.
- 23. Hanna J, Saha K, Pando B, van Zon J, Lengner CJ, Creyghton MP, et al. Direct cell reprogramming is a stochastic process amenable to acceleration. Nature 2009; 462:595-601; PMID:19898493; DOI:10.1038/nature08592.
- 24. Lagarkova MA, Shutova MV, Bogomazova AN, Vassina EM, Glazov EA, Zhang P, et al. Induction of pluripotency in human endothelial cells resets epigenetic profile on genome scale. Cell Cycle 2010; 9:937-46; PMID:20160486; DOI:10.4161/ cc.9.5.10869.
- 25. Bhutani N, Brady JJ, Damian M, Sacco A, Corbel SY, Blau HM. Reprogramming towards pluripotency requires AID-dependent DNA demethylation. Nature 2010; 463:1042-7; PMID:20027182; DOI:10.1038/nature08752.
- 26. Carpenter L, Malladi R, Yang CT, French A, Pilkington KJ, Forsey RW, et al. Human induced pluripotent stem cells are capable of B-cell lymphopoiesis. Blood 2011; 117:4008-11; PMID:21343609; DOI:10.1182/blood-2010-08-299941.
- 27. Miura K, Okada Y, Aoi T, Okada A, Takahashi K, Okita K, et al. Variation in the safety of induced pluripotent stem cell lines. Nat Biotechnol 2009; 27:743-5; PMID:19590502; DOI:10.1038/nbt.1554.
- 28. Ye Z, Cheng L. Potential of human induced pluripotent stem cells derived from blood and other postnatal cell types. Regen Med 2010; 5:521-30; PMID:20632856; DOI:10.2217/rme.10.38.
- 29. Sun N, Longaker MT, Wu JC. Human iPS cellbased therapy: considerations before clinical applications. Cell Cycle 2010; 9:880-5; PMID:20160515; DOI:10.4161/cc.9.5.10827.
- Serwold T, Hochedlinger K, Swindle J, Hedgpeth J, Jaenisch R, Weissman IL. T-cell receptor-driven lymphomagenesis in mice derived from a reprogrammed T cell. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:18939-43; PMID:20956329; DOI:10.1073/pnas.1013230107.