Thomas Ebersole,<sup>1,†</sup> Jung-Hyun Kim,<sup>1</sup> Alexander Samoshkin,<sup>1</sup> Natalay Kouprina,<sup>1</sup> Adam Pavlicek,<sup>2</sup> Robert J. White<sup>3</sup> and Vladimir Larionov<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology; National Cancer Institute; Bethesda, MD; <sup>2</sup>Computational Biology; Oncology Research Unit; Pfizer Global Research and Development; San Diego, CA USA; <sup>3</sup>Beatson Institute for Cancer Research; Glasgow, UK

<sup>+</sup>Current address: Department of Biology; Tufts University; Medford, MA USA

Key words: barrier elements, tRNA genes, Pol III-transcribed genes

It is a well-established fact that the *tRNA* genes in yeast can function as chromatin barrier elements. However, so far there is no experimental evidence that *tRNA* and other Pol III-transcribed genes exhibit barrier activity in mammals. This study utilizes a recently developed reporter gene assay to test a set of Pol III-transcribed genes and gene clusters with variable promoter and intergenic regions for their ability to prevent heterochromatin-mediated reporter gene silencing in mouse cells. The results show that functional copies of mouse *tRNA* genes are effective barrier elements. The number of *tRNA* genes as well as their orientation influence barrier function. Furthermore, the DNA sequence composition of intervening and flanking regions affects barrier activity of *tRNA* genes. Barrier activity was maintained for much longer time when the intervening and flanking regions of *tRNA* genes were replaced by AT-rich sequences, suggesting a negative role of DNA methylation in the establishment of a functional barrier. Thus, our results suggest that *tRNA* genes are essential elements in establishment and maintenance of chromatin domain architecture in mammalian cells.

# Introduction

RNA polymerase III (Pol III) transcribes genes involved in diverse cellular functions, including translation (5S *rRNA*, *tRNA*), splicing (*snRNAs*) and signal recognition (*7SL*).<sup>1</sup> In mammals, through *SINE* activity, Pol III has also played a major role in genome evolution.<sup>2,3</sup> Pol III-transcribed human *Alu* and murine *B2 SINEs* can be co-regulated with nearby genes, possibly providing an additional level of control of gene activity.<sup>4-6</sup> In yeast, *tRNA* genes prevent the spread of heterochromatin at the mating type locus and centromere repeats, and orphan B boxes associate with the Pol III subunit TFIIIC to create chromosomeorganizing clamps.<sup>7-10</sup>

The structure of Pol III promoter elements varies with a particular gene type. In type I and II, conserved basal promoter elements (i.e., A, B and C boxes) are located within the transcription unit. A and B boxes are found in *tRNA* genes and related *SINEs*; A and C boxes are found in *RN5S* (*5S*) genes (see Fig. 1B). The B box interacts with TFIIIC and sets up a platform for association of TFIIIB and Pol III.<sup>11</sup> The 11 bp B box is conserved throughout eukaryotes: four nucleotides are invariant and essential, while the remaining residues tolerate limited base changes.<sup>12,13</sup> In the tRNA secondary structure, the B box forms a portion of the cloverleaf T-loop domain. Thus, sequence variation in the B box in *tRNA* genes is restricted by dual functional constraints. Besides basal promoter elements, the factors determining the efficiency of transcription of Pol III genes are not entirely understood. Some *SINEs* are more transcriptionally-active than others, which may be due to recent expansion. Similarly, *tRNA* genes in mammalian cells are transcribed with different efficiencies.<sup>13-18</sup> Sequences outside of the transcription unit and promoter of *tRNA* and *RN5S* (*5S*) genes appear to influence transcription efficiency despite relatively low levels of sequence conservation in the flanking regions of Pol III-transcribed genes.<sup>11</sup> Some Pol IIItranscribed genes have upstream TATA boxes that facilitate recruitment of TFIIIB.<sup>19</sup>

Mammalian genomes carry a large number of interspersed Pol III-dependent genes. For example, the haploid human genome contains > 500 intact *tRNA* genes, ~1,000 *tRNA* pseudogenes, > 1,000 interspersed *RN5S* (*5S*) related genes<sup>16</sup> and more than a 1,000,000 *Alus* and *MIRs*, although most *MIRs* are degenerated and most *Alus* are inactive.<sup>20-22</sup> By analogy to families of mobile elements that have evolved to perform diverse functions in their host genomes,<sup>23-26</sup> it is possible that Pol III-transcribed genes have adopted novel genomic functions in mammalian genomes, one of which may be to help to establish and maintain chromosome domain boundaries by barrier activity.

Barrier elements play an important role in genome function. Without these elements, flanking chromosome domains can induce long-range changes in chromatin structure that can alter gene expression with adverse consequences to the organism.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Vladimir Larionov; Email: larionov@mail.nih.gov Submitted: 06/29/11; Accepted: 07/01/11 DOI: 10.4161/cc.10.16.17092



**Figure 1.** (A) Schematic diagram of the eGFP reporter gene cassette for targeting a candidate barrier element fragment into the RL5 ectopic site in mouse MEL cells. The red arrow indicates direction of transcription of Pol III-transcribed genes tested for barrier activity. Size of the YAC/BAC silencer element is ~8 kb, LCR/eGFP transgene is ~1.4 kb, loxP sequence is 33 bp. The YAC/BAC silencer element is a sequence with a high GC content and 417 CpGs. (B) Structure and genome-wide copy number of interspersed Pol III-transcribed genes incorporated into the cassette shown in (A). Size data refers to that used in constructs in this study. Copy number estimates refer to the mouse haploid genome for *RN55* (5S) and to the human genome for *Alu* and *tRNA* genes. Estimate does not include pseudogenes. *RN55* copy number in clustered tandem arrays is highly variable; hundreds of consensus and 5S-related sequences are interspersed in the human and mouse genome.<sup>16,38</sup> A, B and C boxes are essential promoter elements. (C) Mean eGFP fluorescence of cassettes tested for anti-silencing/barrier activity four weeks post-transfection: p212, no silencer element; pYB, with silencer element; a mouse *RN55* (5S) gene; a human *Alu* element; a single mouse *tRNA* (Glu) gene. RL5 corresponds to fluorescence background of untransfected cells. Human  $\gamma$ - and  $\alpha$ -satellite DNAs were used as positive and negative controls for barrier activity.<sup>34</sup>

The Drosophila Gypsy insulator and the chicken  $\beta$ -globin HS4 fragment (cHS4) are classic barrier elements that include binding sites for Su(Hw) or USF/CTCF, respectively. These binding sites are believed to tether the flanking chromosome region to the nuclear membrane or nuclear matrix.<sup>28</sup> Such tethering could sterically restrict access to a chromatin region, thus isolating and protecting the region by a mechanism that may be independent of transcription. It has been also demonstrated that barrier elements result from nucleosome-depletion or nucleosome exclusion because of a bias in nucleotide composition.<sup>29</sup> The number, categories and distribution of barrier elements in different genomes is not well-characterized, but given their proposed importance in maintaining chromosome architecture and appropriate

transcriptional patterns throughout the genome, it is likely that barrier elements are quite abundant and of variable constitution.<sup>30-32</sup> For example, several thousand ETCs (extra TFIIIC sites or orphan B boxes) loci have been identified in the human genome.<sup>13,15,16</sup> By analogy to their demonstrated properties in yeast,<sup>8-10,33</sup> mammalian ETCs as well as *tRNA* genes may also act as barrier elements and regulate local chromatin structure.

Recent studies demonstrated apparent overlap in the chromosomal distribution of Pol III- and Pol II-transcribed genes in human cells, suggesting functional interplay between the Pol II and Pol III transcriptional machineries.<sup>13,15,16,18</sup> Strikingly, transcriptionally active Pol III genes and Pol II promoter regions share similar epigenetic signatures that are not present in transcriptionally-inactive Pol III genes. This suggests that Pol II occupancy may enable Pol III occupancy, or, conversely, active transcription by Pol III promotes a chromatin environment that is permissive for Pol II recruitment. In other words, as suggested above and previously described in yeast, Pol III genes may act as barrier elements that prevent heterochromatin spreading and maintain an open, transcriptionally permissive chromatin structure in mammalian cells.

Herein, we ask whether *tRNA* genes can function as barrier elements in the mouse genome. For this purpose, we exploited a recently developed reporter gene assay for a barrier element function in mouse MEL cells<sup>34</sup> and tested a series of Pol III-transcribed genes and tRNA gene clusters with variable promoter structures and intergenic regions for ability to prevent heterochromatin-mediated reporter gene silencing at the ectopic RL5 site.<sup>35,36</sup> The results are consistent with the hypothesis that mammalian *tRNA* genes may protect a local chromatin structure against a distal silencing activity, as has been observed previously in yeast.

### **Results**

An experimental system for studying barrier activity of Pol IIItranscribed genes. A previously described experimental system for targeting transgene cassettes to the RL5 site in murine erthryoid leukemia (MEL) cells<sup>35,36</sup> was adapted by us to detect barrier activity of mammalian genomic DNA repeats.<sup>34</sup> Using this system, DNA fragments with potential barrier activity were inserted between an eGFP reporter gene and a heterochromatinforming bacterial/yeast artificial chromosome vector sequence (YAC/BAC) with silencing activity (Fig. 1A). The reporter gene in this cassette is under control of the human  $\beta$ -globin locus control region (LCR) and the  $\beta$ -globin promoter, which, in the absence of a silencer element, achieves and maintains a constitutive high-level transcriptional activity of the eGFP reporter at the RL5 ectopic site for many months in culture (p212, Fig. 1C). However, when the cassette carries the upstream silencer element, the reporter gene is strongly and stably repressed (pYB, Fig. 1C), and the repressed state is associated with accumulation of H3K9me3.34 The silencer element in this cassette has several regions of high CpG density; these regions and/or cryptic transcription may nucleate heterochromatin and induce gene silencing.

In our initial study, three Pol III-transcribed genes were cloned into the transgene cassette and inserted into RL5: a complete, single mouse tRNA(Glu) gene derived from a cluster on chr1:173.0 Mb; a consensus-matched mouse RN5S (5S) gene and a recently active human Alu repeat (Yb lineage) (Fig. 1B). Because the promoter elements of these genes are identical with the Pol III promoter consensus sequences,<sup>37-39</sup> all three genes are expected to be transcriptionally competent. The genes were placed in the same orientation at RL5. The gene-containing constructs, positive (p212) and negative (pYB) control constructs (i.e., with and without a silencer element) were transfected into MEL cells, and gancyclovir-resistant clones were selected. Correct insertions into the same RL5 ectopic site were confirmed by PCR using specific primers as previously described in reference 34. Reporter gene activity was quantified by measuring eGFP fluorescence. As positive and negative controls for barrier activity,  $\gamma$ - and  $\alpha$ -satellite DNAs were inserted between the silencer element and eGFP.<sup>34</sup> At four weeks post-transfection, reporter gene activity was moderately increased when the cassette carried the *RN5S* gene (Fig. 1C). However, the effect of *RN5S* was not so strong as for  $\gamma$ -satellite DNA. A single *tRNA* gene and an *Alu* element have relatively weak, if any, barrier activity in this context (Fig. 1C). However, this does not exclude that *tRNA* genes in mouse cells have barrier activity, because not all single *tRNA* genes function as barrier elements in yeast.<sup>7</sup> While anti-silencing activity of the *RN5S* gene deserves further analysis, due to recent findings that *RN5S* genes may function as origins of replication (Larionov V, unpublished data), and that origins of replication protect transgene silencing,<sup>40</sup> in this work we have focused on the *tRNA* genes.

Protection of a reporter gene by multiple *tRNA* genes. In yeast, tDNA becomes a robust insulator when part of a cluster.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, cassettes with two and four tRNA genes were constructed and tested at RL5 to determine whether such repeats have a stronger barrier activity. The cluster of tRNA genes coding for Leu, Asp, Gly and Glu was PCR-amplified from murine chr1:173.0 Mb locus as a 2 kb fragment and cloned into pYB vector (Fig. 2A and B). These four genes contain the internal promoter consensus A and B boxes and are predicted to be competent for transcription and for forming a cloverleaf secondary structure in the tRNA gene product.37,41 The individual tRNA genes in this cluster are separated by 400-500 bp of intervening sequence (IVS) and the PCR product includes 182 and 69 bp of left- and right-flanking endogenous DNA, respectively (4 tRNA, Fig. 2B). A two copies derivative of this cassette was also constructed that carries only the Gly and Glu tRNA genes (2 tRNA, Fig. 2B). A eGFP reporter gene assay indicated that the four and two copies tRNA gene clusters conferred significantly higher expression than the single tRNA(Glu) gene after four weeks in culture (Fig. 2C). A weaker performance of the four copies cluster, Leu + Asp + Gly + Glu, in de-repressing the eGFP reporter gene could be explained by the transcriptional interference between the *tRNA*(Leu) gene and the eGFP transgene.<sup>42</sup> This *tRNA* gene, present in the four but not in the two gene cluster, is transcribed toward the LCR, opposite to the other three *tRNA* genes (Fig. 2B).

The presence of three identical copies of the Leu + Asp + Gly + Glu cluster in the mouse genome makes it impossible to distinguish tRNA transcripts from the cassette at RL5 from those derived from tRNA genomic loci. We examined histone modification profiles and occupancy of the tDNA cassette by transcription machinery at RL5 by a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. To distinguish the tDNA cassette at RL5 from the endogenous (genomic) tDNA clusters, a special pair of primers was used for ChIP analysis, one of which was designed from a vector sequence present in the cassette (Table S1). At the tRNA(Gly) gene sequence, the histones have strongly enriched signals for H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 (Fig. 3A; probe 2). Similar enrichments for H3K4me2 and H3K4me3, markers for transcriptionally active chromatin state and open chromatin, were observed in the eGFP transgene (Fig. 3A; probe 3). H3K9me3,



**Figure 2.** (A) Description of the 2 kb tRNA cluster from murine chr 1:173.0 Mb locus. Three identical copies of this cluster are present in the mouse genome. B and A boxes in each *tRNA* is highlighted in blue. Consensus A and B boxes are highlighted in red. Red arrows show relative transcriptional orientation of *tRNA* genes in the mouse genome. There are 222 CpG dinucleotides in the 2 kb fragment (GC = 68%), 22 of them localized in *tRNAs*. (B) Black lines between or outside of four and two *tRNA* genes indicate native intervening sequence, IVS or end endogenous genomic flanking DNA (in bp). The red arrows indicate direction of *tRNA* genes toward eGFP transgene. (C) Mean eGFP fluorescence of cassettes tested for anti-silencing/barrier activity four weeks post-transfection. pYB with silencer element, no gene inserted; p212, no silencer element; a two tRNA genes cluster (2 tRNA); a four tRNA genes cluster (4 tRNA).

a marker for silent chromatin, was associated with the vector YAC/BAC DNA sequence (Fig. 3A; probe 1). This is in agreement with spreading of heterochromatin from the vector DNA.<sup>34</sup> These results suggest that tRNA genes allow a transcriptionally permissive chromatin conformation in adjacent transgene sequences by arresting the spreading of transcriptionally inactive chromatin from the vector sequence. Moreover, the observed pattern of histone modifications is very similar to that described for active tRNA genes,15 suggesting that tRNA genes in the 2 tRNA construct are also transcribed. This hypothesis was checked in more direct experiments. Figure 3A summarizes ChIP data for cells carrying the tRNA construct using antibodies to TFIIIB (Brf1), TFIIIC or RNA Pol III and probes for the *tRNA*(Gly), the pYB vector sequence (negative control) or an endogenous chromosomal copy of the same tRNA gene (positive control) or endogenous 5S DNA (positive control). ChIP data demonstrate a significant enrichment of RNA Pol III and lower but detectable enrichment of TFIIIC and TFIIIB in close proximity to the tRNA(Gly) gene, indicating occupancy with Pol III machinery at RL5. (A relatively low enrichment with mouse chromatin compared with that observed with human chromatin<sup>43</sup> is due to the fact that these antibodies were raised against human Pol III). To summarize, our results demonstrate that the tRNA gene cluster that recruits the Pol III holoenzyme complex functions as a barrier element.

tRNA genes with AT-rich intervening sequences (IVS) provide prolonged barrier activity. In the endogenous four tRNA gene cluster, the tRNA coding and promoter regions comprise only ~15% of the total 2 kb fragment. The remaining 85% of the cloned mouse DNA segment is GC-rich, with 68% GC content and >200 CpG dinucleotides lying within the three intergenic (1,580 total bp) and two flanking DNA regions (251 total bp) (Fig. 2B). When the intergenic DNA was reduced to three segments of approximately 100 bp, barrier efficiency was not



**Figure 3.** ChIP analysis of tRNA gene cassettes inserted into the RL5 locus. (A) ChIP analysis of lysine 4-dimethylated and trimethylated histone H3 (H3K4me2 and H3K4me3) and lysine 9-trimethylated histone H3 (H3K9me3) with the cassette carrying two *tRNA* genes. Positions of primers for the cassettes are as follows: (1) YAC/BAC vector backbone; (2) the *tRNA*(Gly) gene; (3) eGFP coding region. ChIP analysis was performed using antibodies for Brf1/TFIIIB, TFIIIC and RNA Pol III with the cassette carrying two *tRNA* genes. The endogenous genomic *5S RNA* genes as well as genomic copies of the tRNA *Gly* gene on chromosome 1 that can be amplified using a specific pair of primers (**Table S1**) were included as positive controls. (B) ChIP analysis of H3K4me2, H3K4me3 and H3K9me3 with the cassette carrying a cluster of 4 *tRNA* genes with A boxes deleted. Sequences of all primers as well as for two control loci, the murine β-major globin locus and the murine amylase locus, are presented in **Table S1**.

reduced, with eGFP expression level similar to the entire four tRNA genes cassette (4 tRNA vs. 4 tRNA *IVS*, **Fig. 4A and B**). These results show that the barrier activity does not depend on the IVS length.

The entire four tRNA genes cluster protects eGFP reporter gene expression against silencing, but the barrier effect was mostly eliminated by 8 weeks post-transfection (4 tRNA, Fig. 4B). Similar results were observed for the two tRNA genes construct with a longer time frame (2 tRNA, Fig. 4B) and for the 4 tRNA *IVS*<sup>-</sup> construct, which lacks most but not all of the GC-rich intergenic/flanking DNA (4 tRNA *IVS*<sup>-</sup>, Fig. 4B). A plausible explanation may be that the large number of CpG sites in the IVS sequences facilitate heterochromatization and silencing of the reporter gene, and that the same process silences



**Figure 4.** Dependence of tRNA barrier activity on length and content of flanking and intergenic DNA. (A) Schematic of the constructs: 2 tRNA; 4 tRNA; 4 tRNA *IVS*<sup>-</sup> (Four tRNA genes with deletion of 80% of native IVS, leaving a residual 100 bp GC-rich DNA; 4 tRNA *AT-IVS* and 2 tRNA *AT-IVS* (with switching out GC-rich intervening (IVS) and flanking sequences for AT-rich DNA in 4 tRNA and 2 tRNA constructs). The same AT-rich DNA was used for all AT variants. The AT control construct is made up of AT IVS variants, AT-2, AT-1, AT-R (~300 bp) present in AT intervening sequences and flanks of the constructs: 4 tRNA *AT-IVS*, 2 tRNA *AT-IVS*, AT glu and AT gly. AT gly and AT glu constructs represent a single *tRNA* gene with AT-rich flanking sequences. (B) eGFP fluorescence of cells carrying cassettes with two or four *tRNA* genes without and with reduced intervening sequences as well as with AT-rich intervening sequences or flanks only was measured after four, eight and 12 weeks post-transfection. eGFP fluorescence of cells carrying single *tRNA* genes with AT flanks was also analyzed. p212 (after eight weeks post-transfection) was used as a positive control (no silencer element). pYB (after four weeks post-transfection) was used as a negative control (no barrier element).

the reporter gene even when the IVS is truncated to -100 bp. Therefore, the cassette was constructed so that the intergenic and flanking sequences in the four tRNA genes cluster were completely substituted with approximately 100 bp AT-rich/CpG-poor DNA (4 tRNA *AT-IVS*, Fig. 4A and Table S1). As

a result, the number of CpG dinucleotides decreased to 28. Reporter gene assays showed that this construct and the intact four tRNA genes cluster protected eGFP expression to a similar extent at 4 weeks post-transfection (4 tRNA vs. 4 tRNA *AT-IVS*, **Fig. 4B**). However, protection by the 4tRNA *AT-IVS* construct was maintained at the same level for at least 12 weeks and did not gradually decrease over time as observed for the constructs with a native IVS (Fig. 4B). Importantly, a construct containing just -300 bp of AT-rich sequence without tRNA sequence completely failed to protect eGFP expression from silencing (AT control, Fig. 4A and B); therefore, AT-rich sequences have no intrinsic short- or long-term barrier activity in and of themselves in this assay. A stable eGFP expression was observed at an even higher level, close to the unsilenced level of the p212-positive control even after 12 weeks post-transfecion, when native IVS sequences were substituted by AT-rich IVS in the two tRNA genes construct (2 tRNA *AT-IVS*, Fig. 4A and B and Table S1).

Encouraged by the results obtained with 2 tRNA *AT-IVS* and 4 tRNA *AT-IVS* constructs, we analyzed a single copy *tRNA* gene (Glu or Gly) in which the native GC-rich flanking DNA sequences were replaced by AT-rich DNA. These cassettes (AT gly, AT glu) did not protect eGFP expression from silencing (**Fig. 4A and B**). Therefore, a relatively strong barrier activity of the 2 tRNA cassette, which carries one copy of the *tRNA*(Gly) and *tRNA*(Glu) genes, likely reflects a synergistic effect between the two Pol III transcription units, and that a threshold exists before significant barrier activity is detected in this system. Collectively, the data obtained with the tRNA genes constructs carrying synthetic AT-rich IVSs indicate importance of the genomic context for function of *tRNA* genes as barrier elements and suggest a negative effect of CpG methylation on barrier activity of *tRNA* genes in mammalian cells.

Barrier activity of orphan B boxes. tRNA genes are classified as type II Pol III-transcribed genes, because their promoter regions that recruit the Pol III transcription machinery include two highly conserved essential motifs, boxes A and B, which lie within the tRNA coding region. In yeast, the B box appears to directly bind TFIIIC and possess barrier activity when amplified independent of the A box or other gene sequences.9,10,33 Nevertheless, in mouse cells, the four tRNA genes cluster, deleted for the A boxes but retaining the remainder of the coding sequences, including four B boxes and native IVS (4 tRNA  $A^{-}B^{+}$ , Fig. 5A), is an ineffective barrier element, resulting in a very low level of eGFP expression close to the level of the negative control construct (pYB vs. 4 tRNA  $A^{-}B^{+}$ , Fig. 5B). Thus, in our reporter assay system, box A in the endogenous tRNA gene cluster is essential for effective barrier activity. ChIP analysis did not reveal any enrichment for H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 in the 4 tRNA  $A^{-}B^{+}$  sequence (Fig. 3B). Instead, H3K9me3 was associated with this sequence as well as with the vector sequence (Fig. 3B). ChIP analysis also did not reveal any enrichment of RNA Pol III in close proximity to the tRNA(Gly) gene, indicating that inactivation of the A boxes abolished gene transcription (data not shown).

To investigate if multiple orphan B boxes surrounded by AT-rich flanking DNA have barrier activity in mouse cells, cassettes carrying four or six orphan B boxes were constructed and tested (AT 4B, AT 6B, Fig. 5A). None of them showed significant eGFP protection (Fig. 5B). Thus, clusters of B boxes, even in an AT-rich DNA surrounding, cannot provide barrier activity in our barrier activity assay.

Distribution of tRNA genes near protein-coding genes in the human genome. Given the potential of Pol III promoters to influence the expression of nearby Pol II genes, we decided to see whether we could detect any selection of co-occurrence of *tRNA* genes near protein-coding loci in the human genome, which is annotated much better than the mouse genome. We first found that *tRNA* genes and pseudogenes are enriched in GC-rich genomic segments (Fig. 6), which are also known to be generich.44,45 A similar trend was also found for micro-RNA genes and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) but was less pronounced for other RNA gene categories (Fig. 6). Moreover, in agreement with the recently published data,13,15,16,18 tRNA genes are concentrated closer to the 5' end of genes compared with other RNA gene categories (Fig. 7A). The density of *tRNA* genes within 2 kb of the transcription start site of protein-coding genes is more than two times higher than in the next 2 kb from the transcription start site. Interestingly, accumulation of *tRNA* genes is specific to the transcription start sites (TSS) of Pol II genes (5' end); the tRNA gene density is two-fold lower near 3' ends of Pol II genes, and the difference is highly significant (Fig. 7B). Note that the 5'/3'ratio is independent of variable gene density and other biases in the genome.

Since many, especially older, RNA pseudogenes are often fragmented, we re-analyzed the data by joining closely located *RNA* genes coding for the same product into one, and the results remained very similar (Fig. S1). Altogether, these results indicate that *tRNA* genes are enriched in gene-rich regions and are often close to TSS of protein-coding genes.

### Discussion

Previous studies in yeast showed that *tRNA* genes regulate chromatin structure and function as barrier elements.<sup>7,9,10,33,46</sup> Herein, we present evidence for a similar phenomenon in the mouse genome, which contains approximately 1,000-times more putative RNA Pol III-transcribed genes and potential orphan B boxes than the yeast genome. Our results show that 2 and 4 tRNA genes clusters exhibit barrier activity when inserted into an ectopic chromosomal site in mouse cells. Using another experimental system, Kamakaka and co-authors came to a similar conclusion that tDNAs function as insulators in human cells (Dr. Kamakaka, personal communication).

A single copy of a *tRNA* gene did not demonstrate a significant barrier activity in the barrier activity assay used here. This is similar to yeast cells, where often a single copy of the *tRNA* gene is not able to function as barrier but becomes effective in two or more copies.<sup>7</sup> This observation may explain a clustering of *tRNA* genes in the human genome, specifically in 5' upstream regions of annotated Pol II TSS.<sup>16</sup> A molecular mechanism by which actively transcribed *tRNA* genes act as barriers for heterochromatin remains to be determined. Based on the studies in yeast, insulation by *tRNA* genes may require interaction of Pol III factors with chromatin remodeling and histone-modifying enzymes and a spatial organization of these loci involving TFIIIC-mediated tethering to the specific nuclear territory (reviewed in ref. 47). It is quite possible that tDNA insulation in mammals occurs by





a similar mechanism. The results of our study also demonstrate that a genomic context strongly influences the relative strength of barrier activity associated with *tRNA* genes. In particular, intergenic CpG dinucleotides and/or high GC content DNA consistently suppresses the barrier activity of adjacent *tRNA* genes. This suggests that the GC-rich IVS sequences of *tRNA* genes may undergo methylation that negatively affects their potential barrier activity. Consistent with this, the tRNA genes clusters with AT-rich IVS DNA sequences exhibit robust and long-term barrier activity. Though additional experiments are required to confirm that loss of barrier activity is indeed caused by IVS DNA methylation, our findings suggest that a genomic context plays a role in determining which *tRNA* genes are constitutively active in dividing cells and can function as barriers, and which genes are either irreversibly silenced or conditionally-activated.

In yeast, complete *tRNA* genes are not required for barrier activity, which can be also observed for tandem clusters of synthetic B boxes.<sup>8,9,33</sup> In the barrier activity assay used here, four orphan B boxes in the context of the native high GC-content IVS DNA sequences lacked barrier activity. No sustained barrier activity was observed with synthetic arrays with four or six orphan B boxes either, even in the context of AT-rich IVS DNA sequences. However, these results do not rule out the possibility that clusters with a bigger number of B boxes (that have potentially a higher affinity to TFIIIC) may create strong barriers.

It is tempting to speculate on the role diverse classes of Pol IIItranscribed genes may play in modulating local chromatin conformation. Interspersed tRNA-derived *SINE* elements constitute a major class of Pol III-dependent genes that has active and inactive members. By analogy to active and inactive *tRNA* genes, it is possible that transcriptionally-competent *SINEs* and those that are not transcribed but are capable of binding TFIIIC and localized near Pol III-transcribed loci potentially might have barrier activity. Because some nucleotide substitutions in the Pol III **Figure 6.** GC content of 100 kb segments around human *RNA* genes. We calculated the GC content of from 5' and 3' 50 kb-long sequences flanking the *RNA* genes. The histogram of the GC distribution is compared with the whole genome (gray), calculated as 100 kb long, non-overlapping segments. Vertical bars represent graphical visualization of averages for a given RNA gene group and the whole genome.

consensus binding sites are tolerated,<sup>11</sup> in silico identification of functional *SINEs* is difficult. However, if identified, transcriptionally-competent *SINE* clusters could be tested for barrier activity using the assay described in this study.

In the Drosophila genome, a small fraction of the putative 14,000 insulator sites identified by ChIP shows cell-type regulation.<sup>32</sup> In human cells, the same has been demonstrated for a fraction of *tRNA* genes.<sup>14</sup> If some *SINEs* are similarly under regulation, a significant source of gene modulation is available for mammalian cells.

Our data on computational analysis of distribution of Pol III-driven genes in the human genome are in agreement with experimental results on Pol III occupancy in the genome.<sup>13,15-18</sup> A considerable fraction of Pol III-occupied loci in the human genome is localized at the 5' region of protein-coding genes, with a tendency to be concentrated within 2 kb of the transcription start site. While we cannot exclude that Pol II transcription may enable Pol III occupancy, these results support the hypothesis that Pol III-transcribed genes contribute to a local chromatin architecture permissive for transcription by Pol II. At the same time, a genome-scale analysis revealed a complex link between Pol III occupancy and gene expression. Not all genes with Pol III occupancy are transcribed.<sup>18</sup> Also, a significant fraction of Pol III-occupied loci in the human genome is localized in the promoter regions of housekeeping genes with a high CpG content16 that may undergo methylation, leading to inactivation of the barrier element. This indicates that the Pol III-occupied loci, along with their flanking regions, represent complex regulatory elements. These regulatory elements can be distant from a particular gene, e.g., the LCR of the  $\beta$ -globin locus, and can be associated







**Figure 7.** Distribution of Pol II-transcibed genes in the human genome. (A) RNA Pol III gene distance from transcription start of Pol II genes. The density of *RNA* genes near transcription start sites of RefSeq genes is plotted according to their absolute distance from the starts. (B) Ratio of RNA gene density near 5' and 3' ends of Pol II genes. We compared the distribution of *RNA* genes near 5' vs. 3' ends of protein-coding genes within six discrete intervals (0–2 kb, 2–4 kb, 4–6 kb, 6–8 kb, 8–10 kb and >10 kb). Black dashed line indicates 5'/3' ratio of 1 (random expectation); blue and red lines indicate two-fold and four-fold ratios, respectively. Marks at the bottom indicate statistical significance using the Fisher exact (binomial) test (\*p-value < 0.05, \*\* < 0.01).

with transcription factors and/or insulator proteins that provide a balanced gene regulation. For example, co-occurrence of Pol III-occupied loci and a known insulator protein, CTCF, has been described in human genome-wide ChIP assays.<sup>13</sup> Besides structuring DNA looping, CTCF protects DNA from methylation. Therefore, its binding to Pol III-transcribed loci may provide a prolonged barrier activity.

This study demonstrates that tRNA genes in an AT-rich context can be engineered to protect specific regions in a mouse chromosome from epigenetic silencing, a result that has important implications for gene expression experiments in mammalian cells. Previous studies showed that cHS4,48 human replicators,40 and  $\gamma$ -satellite DNA<sup>34</sup> can also protect a cloned transgene from silencing. However, sometimes these elements do not provide a stable barrier activity over long periods of cell growth in culture<sup>49</sup> or may be cell-type dependent.<sup>34</sup> Therefore, new barrier elements need to be identified and characterized for achieving a stable transgene expression in mammalian cells. To explore this possibility, synthetic *tRNA* genes-derived barrier elements as well as other known barrier elements are now being incorporated into a human artificial chromosome (HAC)-based gene delivery vector with a conditional centromere (Larionov V, unpublished data).<sup>50,51</sup> Ultimately, we expect to develop a HAC-based system that achieves consistent regulated expression of full-size human genes with minimal risk of uncontrolled epigenetic silencing of Pol II-dependent transcription units.

# **Materials and Methods**

Targeting and constructs. The targeting method and its modifications were described by Feng and co-authors<sup>35,36</sup> and Kim and co-authors.<sup>34</sup> The use of inverted loxP sites increases the efficiency of targeting, since excision is prevented and results in recovery of targeted constructs in two orientations. For simplicity, here we refer only to clones in orientation A unless otherwise noted. Correct targeting is determined by PCR across the recombination junctions and results in a single insertion of the cassette into a defined locus at mouse chromosome 4 flanked by the *Map17* and *Tal1* genes. Recovery of random background insertions is rare due to gancyclovir selection for HyTK loss and lack of positive selection for transfected plasmids.

Queried DNA was inserted into the *MluI* site in the pYB vector. Orientation of *tRNA* genes was maintained in all constructs so that transcription was toward the YAC/BAC module, with the exception of the *tRNA*(Leu) gene as noted above. "A" orientation results are reported here. Owing to an orientation-dependent suppression of expression at the RL5 site,<sup>35</sup> "B" orientation eGFP expression was uniformly low. Pol III genes were PCR amplified from total genomic DNA (see **Table S1** for primers). Variants inserted to the pYB vector were constructed by PCR from ligations of end-digested PCR products amplified with primers containing restriction enzyme sites added to their 5' ends. Primer pairs data used for constructing *tRNA* gene variants are available upon request. An AT-rich sequence was derived from a human chromosome 6 alphoid centromeric repeat lacking the CENP-B box that induces seeding of heterochromatin. The sequence was

PCR amplified with specific primers (Table S1). These regions are not known to contain motifs for DNA binding proteins.

Fluorescence quantification. eGFP fluorescence was measured for  $-1-2 \ge 10^4$  cells for each targeted cell line or controls on a FacsCalibur machine using CellQuest for acquisition control and FlowJo for analysis.<sup>34</sup> A minimum of three cell lines was analyzed for mean GFP fluorescence for each construct at 4 weeks post-transfection or also at 8 and 12 weeks for longer term assays as needed.

Tissue culture. Murine erythroid leukemia (MEL) suspension cells with the RL5 site were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen 11965) with 10% FBS (Hyclone). For electroporation, 100 ug of pYB construct and 50 ug of Cre expression plasmid in 100 ul  $1/10^{th} T_{10}E_1$  were added to 700 ul PBS in 0.4 cm cuvettes and were co-electroporated at 230 V and 1,000 uF in a BioRad GenePulser Xcell. Selection for loss of HyTK following Cre-mediated cassette exchange was begun 48 h post-electroporation with 10 uM Gancyclovir and dilution into 96-well plates. Gancyclovirresistant clones were expanded, washed in PBS and resuspended to ~10<sup>5</sup> per ml for FACS analysis.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. ChIP assay was performed using ChIP assay kit (Upstate, New York) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly,  $6 \times 10^6$  cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C. After serial washings, cells were resuspended to 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells per 200 µl of SDS Lysis Buffer and sonicated for 30 sec with subsequent 30 sec intervals for 16 min at 4°C using a Bioruptor (Cosmo Bio). Immunoprecipitated DNA was obtained with antibodies to lysine 4-di- and tri-methylated histone H3 (H3K4me2 and H3K4me3), lysine 9-tri-methylated histone H3 (H3K9me3) (Upstate, New York), antibodies 4289, 1900 and 128 that recognize human TFIIIC, Pol III and Brf1, respectively,<sup>52-54</sup> and was quantitated by real-time PCR using the icycler IQ (Bio-Rad). The sequences of primers are listed in Table S1.

Sequence analysis. We used the human genome NCBI Build 36.1 and hg18 version of the USCS annotation database<sup>55</sup> to analyze the distribution of RNA genes in the human genome. Coordinates of RNA genes and pseudogenes were obtained from the "rnaGene" table; protein-coding genes were extracted from the RefSeq annotation tables in the database. We have excluded the category of miscellaneous RNAs from the analysis and split the tRNA category into gene and pseudogenes using information in the "rnaGene" table. To compare distribution of RNA genes and protein-coding genes for each RNA gene in the rnaGene table, we calculated its nucleotide distance from the closest 5' end of a protein-coding gene (RefSeq). We also calculated RNA gene distances to the closest 3' end of a gene as a control (which, in some cases, is the same gene as 5' gene). No distinction was made whether the *tRNA* gene is inside or outside the protein-coding genes, only absolute distances to 5' and 3' ends were considered. After obtaining 5' and 3' distances to coding genes, we compared the distribution of RNA genes near 5' vs. 3' ends of protein-coding genes within six discrete intervals (0-2 kb, 2-4 kb, 4-6 kb, 6-8 kb and >10 kb). For each of the intervals, we compared the number of *tRNA* genes within and outside this interval for both 5' and 3' distance using the Fisher exact (binomial) test. All plots

and statistical tests were obtained in the R statistical package (www.r-project.org).

research program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research.

## Acknowledgments

Thanks to Mark Batzer for the *Alu*-Yb data, general support and discussion. This research was supported by the intramural

#### References

- Dieci G, Fiorino G, Castelnuovo M, Teichmann M, Pagano A. The expanding RNA polymerase III transcriptome. Trends Genet 2007; 23:614-22; PMID:17977614; DOI:10.1016/j.tig.2007.09.001.
- Hedges DJ, Batzer MA. From the margins of the genome: mobile elements shape primate evolution. Bioessays 2005; 27:785-94; PMID:16015599; DOI:10.1002/bies.20268.
- Kazazian HH Jr. Mobile elements: drivers of genome evolution. Science 2004; 303:1626-32; PMID:15016989; DOI:10.1126/science.1089670.
- Lunyak VV, Prefontaine GG, Núñez E, Cramer T, Ju BG, Ohgi KA, et al. Developmentally regulated activation of a SINE B2 repeat as a domain boundary in organogenesis. Science 2007; 317:248-51; PMID:17626886; DOI:10.1126/science.1140871.
- Sasaki T, Nishihara H, Hirakawa M, Fujimura K, Tanaka M, Kokubo N, et al. Possible involvement of SINEs in mammalian-specific brain formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105:4220-5; PMID:18334644; DOI:10.1073/pnas.0709398105.
- Saito Y, Suzuki H, Tsugawa H, Nakagawa I, Matsuzaki J, Kanai Y, et al. Chromatin remodeling at Alu repeats by epigenetic treatment activates silenced microRNA-512-5p with downregulation of Mcl-1 in human gastric cancer cells. Oncogene 2009; 28:2738-44; PMID:19503096; DOI:10.1038/onc.2009.140.
- Donze D, Kamakaka RT. RNA polymerase III and RNA polymerase II promoter complexes are heterochromatin barriers in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J 2001; 20:520-31; PMID:11157758; DOI:10.1093/ emboj/20.3.520.
- Scott KC, Merrett SL, Willard HF. A heterochromatin barrier partitions the fission yeast centromere into discrete chromatin domains. Curr Biol 2006; 16:119-29; PMID:16431364; DOI:10.1016/j.cub.2005.11.065.
- Noma K, Cam HP, Maraia RJ, Grewal SI. A role for TFIIIC transcription factor complex in genome organization. Cell 2006; 125:859-72; PMID:16751097; DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2006.04.028.
- Simms TA, Dugas SL, Gremillion JC, Ibos ME, Dandurand MN, Toliver TT, et al. TFIIIC binding sites function as both heterochromatin barriers and chromatin insulators in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eukaryot Cell 2008; 7:2078-86; PMID:18849469; DOI:10.1128/EC.00128-08.
- Geiduschek EP, Tocchini-Valentini GP. Transcription by RNA polmerase III. Annu Rev Biochem 1988; 57:873-914; PMID:3052292; DOI:10.1146/annurev. bi.57.070188.004301.
- Gaëta BA, Sharp SJ, Stewart TS. Saturation mutagenesis of the Drosophila tRNA (Arg) gene B-Box intragenic promoter element: requirements for transcription activation and stable complex formation. Nucleic Acids Res 1990; 18:1541-8; PMID:2109308; DOI:10.1093/ nar/18.6.1541.
- Moqtaderi Z, Wang J, Raha D, White RJ, Snyder M, Weng Z, et al. Genomic binding profiles of functionally distinct RNA polymerase III transcription complexes in human cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010; 17:635-40; PMID:20418883; DOI:10.1038/nsmb.1794.
- Dittmar KA, Goodenbour JM, Pan T. Tissue-specific differences in human transfer RNA expression. PLoS Genet 2006; 2:221; PMID:17194224; DOI:10.1371/ journal.pgen.0020221.

- Barski A, Chepelev I, Liko D, Cuddapah S, Fleming AB, Birch J, et al. Pol II and its associated epigenetic marks are present at Pol III-transcribed noncoding RNA genes. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010; 17:629-34; PMID:20418881; DOI:10.1038/nsmb.1806.
- Oler AJ, Alla RK, Roberts DN, Wong A, Hollenhorst PC, Chandler KJ, et al. Human RNA polymerase III transcriptomes and relationships to Pol II promoter chromatin and enhancer-binding factors. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010; 17:620-8; PMID:20418882; DOI:10.1038/nsmb.1801.
- Canella D, Praz V, Reina JH, Cousin P, Hernandez N. Defining the RNA polymerase III transcriptome: Genome-wide localization of the RNA polymerase III transcription machinery in human cells. Genome Res 2010; 20:710-21; PMID:20413673; DOI:10.1101/ gr.101337.109.
- Raha D, Wang Z, Moqtaderi Z, Wu L, Zhong G, Gerstein M, et al. Close association of RNA polymerase II and many transcription factors with Pol III genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:3639-44; PMID:20139302; DOI:10.1073/pnas.0911315106.
- Geiduschek EP, Kassavetis GA. The RNA polymerase III transcription apparatus. J Mol Biol 2001; 310:1-26; PMID:11419933; DOI:10.1006/jmbi.2001.4732.
- Schmid CW. Human Alu subfamilies and their methylation revealed by blot hybridization. Nucleic Acids Res 1991; 19:5613-7; PMID:1945838; DOI:10.1093/ nar/19.20.5613.
- Liu WM, Maraia RJ, Rubin CM, Schmid CW. Alu transcripts: cytoplasmic localisation and regulation by DNA methylation. Nucleic Acids Res 1994; 22:1087-95; PMID:7512262; DOI:10.1093/nar/22.6.1087.
- Smit AF, Riggs AD. MIRs are classic, tRNA-derived SINEs that amplified before the mammalian radiation. Nucleic Acids Res 1995; 23:98-102; PMID:7870595; DOI:10.1093/nar/23.1.98.
- Eickbush TH. Telomerase and retrotransposons: which came first? Science 1997; 277:911-2; PMID:9281073; DOI:10.1126/science.277.5328.911.
- Jordan IK, Rogozin IB, Glazko GV, Koonin EV. Origin of a substantial fraction of human regulatory sequences from transposable elements. Trends Genet 2003; 19:68-72; PMID:12547512; DOI:10.1016/ S0168-9525(02)00006-9.
- Lippman Z, Gendrel AV, Black M, Vaughn MW, Dedhia N, McCombie WR, et al. Role of transposable elements in heterochromatin and epigenetic control. Nature 2004; 430:471-6; PMID:15269773; DOI:10.1038/nature02651.
- Smalheiser NR, Torvik VI. Mammalian microR-NAs derived from genomic repeats. Trends Genet 2005; 21:322-6; PMID:15922829; DOI:10.1016/j. tig.2005.04.008.
- Gilbert MK, Tan YY, Hart CM. The Drosophila boundary element-associated factors BEAF-32A and BEAF-32B affect chromatin structure. Genetics 2006; 173:1365-75; PMID:16648647; DOI:10.1534/genetics.106.056002.
- Dorman ER, Bushey AM, Corces VG. The role of insulator elements in large-scale chromatin structure in interphase. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2007; 18:682-90; PMID:17919949; DOI:10.1016/j. semcdb.2007.08.009.
- Bi X, Yu Q, Sandmeier JJ, Zou Y. Formation of boundaries of transcriptionally silent chromatin by nucleosome-excluding structures. Mol Cell Biol 2004; 24:2118-31; PMID:14966290; DOI:10.1128/ MCB.24.5.2118-31.2004.

#### Note

# Supplementary material can be found at www.landesbioscience.com/journals/cc/article/17092/.

- Xie X, Mikkelsen TS, Gnirke A, Lindblad-Toh K, Kellis M, Lander ES. Systematic discovery of regulatory motifs in conserved regions of the human genome, including thousands of CTCF insulator sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104:7145-50; PMID:17442748; DOI:10.1073/pnas.0701811104.
- Kim TH, Abdullaev ZK, Smith AD, Ching KA, Loukinov DI, Green RD, et al. Analysis of the vertebrate insulator protein CTCF-binding sites in the human genome. Cell 2007; 128:1231-45; PMID:17382889; DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.048.
- Nègre N, Brown CD, Shah PK, Kheradpou P, Morrison CA, Henikoff JG, et al. A comprehensive map of insulator elements for the Drosophila genome. PLoS Genet 2010; 6:1000814; PMID:20084099; DOI:10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000814.
- Valenzuela L, Dhillon N, Kamakaka RT. Transcription independent insulation at TFIIIC-dependent insulators. Genetics 2009; 183:131-48; PMID:19596900; DOI:10.1534/genetics.109.106203.
- 34. Kim JH, Ebersole T, Kouprina N, Noskov VN, Ohzeki J, Masumoto H, et al. Human gamma-satellite DNA maintains open chromatin structure and protects a transgene from epigenetic silencing. Genome Res 2009; 19:533-44; PMID:19141594; DOI:10.1101/gr.086496.108.
- Feng YQ, Seibler J, Alami R, Eisen A, Westerman KA, Leboulch P, et al. Site-specific chromosomal integration in mammalian cells: highly efficient CRE recombinasemediated cassette exchange. J Mol Biol 1999; 292:779-85; PMID:10525404; DOI:10.1006/jmbi.1999.3113.
- Feng YQ, Lorincz MC, Fiering S, Greally JM, Bouhassira EE. Position effects are influenced by the orientation of a transgene with respect to flanking chromatin. Mol Cell Biol 2001; 21:298-309; PMID:11113204; DOI:10.1128/MCB.21.1.298-309.2001.
- Looney JE, Harding JD. Structure and evolution of a mouse tRNA gene cluster encoding tRNAAsp, tRNAGly and tRNAGlu and an unlinked, solitary gene encoding tRNAAsp. Nucleic Acids Res 1983; 11:8761-75; PMID:6324100; DOI:10.1093/nar/11.24.8761.
- Hallenberg C, Nederby Nielsen J, Frederiksen S. Characterization of 5S rRNA genes from mouse. Gene 1994; 142:291-5; PMID:8194767; DOI:10.1016/0378-1119(94)90277-1.
- Carter AB, Salem AH, Hedges DJ, Keegan CN, Kimball B, Walker JA, et al. Genome-wide analysis of the human Alu Yb-lineage. Hum Genomics 2004; 1:167-78; PMID:15588477.
- Fu H, Wang L, Lin CM, Singhania S, Bouhassira EE, Aladjem MI. Preventing gene silencing with human replicators. Nat Biotechnol 2006; 24:572-6; PMID:16604060; DOI:10.1038/nbt1202.
- Ross BM, Looney JE, Harding JD. Nucleotide sequence of a mouse tRNA Leu gene. Nucleic Acids Res 1986; 14:5567-72; PMID:3737412; DOI:10.1093/ nar/14.13.5567.
- Eszterhas SK, Bouhassira EE, Martin DI, Fiering S. Transcriptional interference by independently regulated genes occurs in any relative arrangement of the genes and is influenced by chromosomal integration position. Mol Cell Biol 2002; 22:469-79; PMID:11756543; DOI:10.1128/MCB.22.2.469-79.2002.
- Kenneth NS, Marshall L, White RJ. Recruitment of RNA polymerase III in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res 2008; 36:3757-64; PMID:18487626; DOI:10.1093/nar/ gkn272.

- Bernardi G, Olofsson B, Filipski J, Zerial M, Salinas J, Cuny G, et al. The mosaic genome of warm-blooded vertebrates. Science 1985; 228:953-8; PMID:4001930; DOI:10.1126/science.4001930.
- Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, et al. International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 2001; 409:860-921; PMID:11237011; DOI:10.1038/35057062.
- Iwasaki O, Tanaka A, Tanizawa H, Grewal SI, Noma K. Centromeric localization of dispersed Pol III genes in fission yeast. Mol Biol Cell 2010; 21:254-65; PMID:19910488; DOI:10.1091/mbc.E09-09-0790.
- Kirkland JG, Kamakaka RT. tRNA insulator function: insight into inheritance of transcription states? Epigenetics 2010; 5:96-9; PMID:20168086; DOI:10.4161/epi.5.2.10775.
- Gaszner M, Felsenfeld G. Insulators: exploiting transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms. Nat Rev Genet 2006; 7:703-13; PMID:16909129; DOI:10.1038/ nrg1925.

- Jakobsson J, Rosenqvist N, Thompson L, Barraud P, Lundberg C. Dynamics of transgene expression in a neural stem cell line transduced with lentiviral vectors incorporating the cHS4 insulator. Exp Cell Res 2004; 298:611-23; PMID:15265707; DOI:10.1016/j. yexcr.2004.04.037.
- Nakano M, Cardinale S, Noskov VN, Gassman R, Vagnarelli P, Kandels-Lewis S, et al. Inactivation of a human kinetochore by specific targeting of chromatin modifiers. Dev Cell 2008; 14:507-22; PMID:18410728; DOI:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.02.001.
- Chi Y, Kim JH, Kazuki Y, Hoshiya H, Takiguchi M, Hayashi M, et al. Human artificial chromosome with a conditional centromere for gene delivery and gene expression. DNA Res 2011; 18:1-16; PMID:21169340; DOI:10.1093/dnares/dsq031.
- Fairley JA, Scott PH, White RJ. TFIIIB is phosphorylated, disrupted and selectively released from tRNA promoters during mitosis in vivo. EMBO J 2003; 22:5841-50; PMID:14592981; DOI:10.1093/emboj/ cdg544.

- Cairns CA, White RJ. p53 is a general repressor of RNA polymerase III transcription. EMBO J 1998; 17:3112-23; PMID:9606193; DOI:10.1093/ emboj/17.11.3112.
- Athineos D, Marshall L, White RJ. Regulation of TFIIIB during F9 cell differentiation. BMC Mol Biol 2010; 11:21; PMID:20226026; DOI:10.1186/1471-2199-11-21.
- Rhead B, Karolchik D, Kuhn RM, Hinrichs AS, Zweig AS, Fujita PA, et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: update 2010. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38:613-9; PMID:19906737; DOI:10.1093/nar/gkp939.