

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 21.

Published in final edited form as:

Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed). 2011 February 4; 2010: 609-612. doi:10.1109/

Computational Modeling of Phagocyte Transmigration during Biomaterial-Mediated Foreign Body Responses

Mingon Kang,

Department of Computer Science University of Texas at Arlington Arlington, Texas, 76019, USA mingon.kang@mavs.uta.edu

Jean X. Gao, and

Department of Computer Science University of Texas at Arlington Arlington, Texas, 76019, USA gao@uta.edu

Liping Tang

Department of Bioengineering University of Texas at Arlington Arlington, Texas, 76019, USA ltang@uta.edu

Abstract

One of the major obstacles in computational modeling of a biological system is to determine a large number of parameters in the mathematical equations representing biological properties of the system. To tackle this problem, we have developed a global optimization method, called Discrete Selection Levenberg-Marquardt (DSLM), for parameter estimation. For fast computational convergence, DSLM suggests a new approach for the selection of optimal parameters in the discrete spaces, while other global optimization methods such as genetic algorithm and simulated annealing use heuristic approaches that do not guarantee the convergence. As a specific application example, we have targeted understanding phagocyte transmigration which is involved in the fibrosis process for biomedical device implantation. The goal of computational modeling is to construct an analyzer to understand the nature of the system. Also, the simulation by computational modeling for phagocyte transmigration provides critical clues to recognize current knowledge of the system and to predict yet-to-be observed biological phenomenon.

Keywords

biological system modeling; nonlinear estimation; parameter estimation; reverse engineering

I. Introduction

Computational modeling and simulation have been highlighted in biomedical research for decades due to biological systems' complexity and intractability by human. The modeling and quantitative simulation have played an important role in not only discovering biological components' nature but also providing quantitative prediction.

Phagocyte transmigration is one of the processes involved in fibrosis formed around an implanted biomedical device. Deep understanding of this process will disclose the contributing components and predict the evolution of foreign body responses, which will eventually lead to reducing the failure rate of implantation. The goal of this paper is to provide computational modeling for phagocyte transmigration and to suggest a new optimization method, Discrete Selection Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm (DSLM), to estimate optimal parameters of the mathematical equations for the fittest model to the data.

It is proved that the new method should be satisfied with a global non-linear optimization solution and computational convergence in feasible time.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the biological hypothesis based on current existing knowledge is assimilated. The computational modeling using control theory and biological insights is depicted in Section III. The DSLM method for system modeling parameter estimation is illustrated in Section IV. Finally, in Section V, we show how the computational models are used to simulate the phagocyte transmigration with and without biological observation.

II. Hypothesis for Phagocyte Transmigration

Based on current biological experiments and reportings, we believe that diverse components - mast cells, histamine, histamine receptors, and P/E selectins - are involved in the process of phagocyte transmigration with mutual interactions, as shown in Fig. 1. As one of the major factors comprising phagocyte transmigration, previous studies hypothesized that histamine might play an important role in the recruitment of inflammatory cells to implants [2]. Mast cells are known for the majority source of histamine, and there exists a large amount of mast cells in the peritoneal space [1]. After injecting histamine receptors antagonist, pyrilamine (an H1 receptor antagonist) and famotidine (an H2 receptor antagonist) to implanted bio-materials in the mice, it is verified that histamine enhances phagocyte transmigration via both H1 and H2 receptors. In addition, the hypothesis that mast cells influence histamine releasing was clarified by the experiment using mast cell-deficient mice [3]. It is also reported that histamine augments the expression of P and E selectins [3], which eventually cause phagocytes' rolling and adhesion on endothelial cells. In the following section, we will introduce how to model different components involved in the transmigration process.

III. Phagocyte Transmigration as a Dynamic System

A. Residual Histamine

According to our biological measurements as shown in Fig. 2, it is legitimate to assume that the temporal residual histamine may have a regular pattern. In the biological sense, the phenomenon that residual histamine decreases dramatically for the first two hours can be described as residual histamine's degranulation from mast cells for histamine releasing. With the decreasing of residual histamine, another reasonable assumption is that the number of new mast cells is increased by an unknown external source after two hours. For this reason, the external source is modeled by a damped harmonic oscillator,

$$\ddot{U}_{xrmc}(t) + 2\beta \dot{U}_{xrmc}(t) + \omega_0^2(t) U_{xrmc}(t) = 0,$$
(1)

where U_{xrmc} is the function of the external input source to release new mast cells, β is a non-negative constant for resistance of friction and mass, and ω_0 indicates the oscillator frequency.

Considering biological half-life cycle, residual histamine can be modeled by the following equation,

$$C_{rh}(t) = -k_{rhch}C_{rh}(t) + U_{xrmc}(t), \qquad (2)$$

where $C_{rh}(t)$ is the concentration function of residual histamine, k_{rhch} is the rate that residual histamine decayed, and $U_{xrmc}(t)$ is the input function defined above.

B. Histamine

The concentration of histamine is increased as much as residual histamine's decreasing.

$$C_{h}(t) = k_{rhch}C_{rh}(t) I_{mc}(t) - k_{hs}C_{h}(t),$$
(3)

where $C_h(t)$ is the concentration function of histamine, k_{rhch} is the rate that histamine released from residual histamine given from residual histamine (2), k_{hs} is the rate that histamine regulates itself, and $I_{mc}(t)$ is an input parameter indicating block/non-block mast cells. The first term on the right hand side of (3) represents the increasing of histamine released from mast cells. The second term on the right hand side of (3) represents the decay of histamine itself.

C. Histamine Receptor and Selectins

Histamine receptors enhance the permeability of the endothelial cell barrier of capillaries for phagocyte to transmigrate into the peritoneal space. It is modeled as,

$$\dot{C}_{hr}\left(t\right) = \frac{k_{hchrt}C_{h}\left(t\right)}{k_{hchrb} + C_{h}\left(t\right)} - k_{hrs}C_{hr}\left(t\right),\tag{4}$$

where $C_{hr}(t)$ is the concentration function of histamine receptors, k_{hchrt} and k_{hchrb} are the rate bounds that histamine receptors are combined by histamine, k_{hrs} is the rate that histamine receptors regulate themselves.

In a similar way, selectins are modeled as,

$$\dot{C}_{s}(t) = \frac{k_{hcst}C_{h}(t)}{k_{hcsb}+C_{h}(t)} - k_{ss}C_{s}(t),$$
(5)

where $C_s(t)$ is the concentration function of selectins, k_{hcsb} and k_{hcst} are the rate bounds for hyperbolic form, k_{ss} is the rate that selectins regulate themselves.

D. Phagocytes

For modeling of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) and monocytesy/macrophages ($M\Phi$), we consider capillary permeabilities for both of them, which are related to the transmigration rate of phagocytes,

$$\dot{C}_{pmnp}(t) = \frac{k_{pmnipt}C_{hr}(t)C_{s}(t)I_{pmns}(t)I_{pmnhr}(t)}{k_{pmnipb}+C_{hr}(t)C_{s}(t)I_{pmns}(t)I_{pmnhr}(t)} - k_{pmnps}C_{pmnp}(t),$$
(6)

where $C_{pmnp}(t)$ is the capillary permeability function for PMN to move into peritonea, k_{pmnipb} and k_{pmnipt} are the rate bounds for histamine receptors and selectins to increase permeability for PMN, $C_{hr}(t)$ is the concentration function of histamine receptors, $C_s(t)$ is the concentration function of selectins, k_{pmnps} is the contraction rate of capillary permeability, $I_{pmnhr}(t)$ is the input indicating block/un-block histamine receptors, and

Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 21.

 $I_{pmns}(t)$ is the input indicating block/un-block selectins. Similarly, the permeability function for M Φ can be modeled as,

$$\dot{C}_{mpp}(t) = \frac{k_{mpipt}C_{hr}(t)C_{s}I_{mps}(t)I_{mphr}(t)}{k_{mpipb}+C_{hr}(t)C_{s}I_{mps}(t)I_{mphr}(t)} - k_{mpps}C_{mpp}(t),$$
(7)

where $C_{mpp}(t)$ is the capillary permeability function for M Φ to move into peritonea, k_{mpipb} and k_{mpipt} are the rates for histamine receptors and selectins to increase permeability for M Φ , and k_{mpps} is the contraction rate of capillary permeability. Now we can model the recruited PMN as,

$$C_{pmn}(t) = C_{pmnp}(t) - k_{pmns}C_{pmn}(t), \qquad (8)$$

where $C_{pmn}(t)$ is the concentration function of PMN, C_{pmnp} is the permeability for PMN, k_{pmns} is the rate of self degradation. Now we can model the recruited M Φ as,

$$C_{mp}(t) = C_{mpp}(t) - k_{mps}C_{mp}(t), \qquad (9)$$

where $C_{mp}(t)$ is the concentration function of M Φ , C_{mpp} is the permeability for M Φ , k_{mps} is the rate of self degradation.

IV. Parameter Estimation

The aforementioned phagocyte transmigration contains numerous parameters whose values indicate characteristics of the system. The estimation of parameter is essential to understanding individual component's behavior and a successful computational modeling. Our proposed DSLM method is motivated by the following observations. The classical Levenberg-Marquardt method converges quickly to the optimum solution [5]. However, it tends to fall into the local optimum. Hence, if it is possible to discretize each dimension of the space with number *N* to cover possibly every local curves, the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm can then be extended to a global algorithm searching all local curves in the discrete space. DSLM seeks to search the global optima for each dimension of the input variables iteratively instead of comprehensive dimensions which may lead unforeseeable computational cost. In the following paragraphs, we will show how the searching space is reduced to be linear.

1) Selection

Instead of seeking to converge to the local optima, DSLM attempts to vary the initial guesses within the dimension of each parameter fixing other parameters. Denote P_i as a finite vector to represent the *i*th parameter spaces. While the global optimizations search the comprehensive spaces whose complexity is $P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots P_p$, the complexity of searching space in DSLM becomes $P_1+P_2+\cdots+P_p$ because it iteratively searches each parameter space. Then, it checks the scores of the function calculated with an updated **x** by conducting the Levenberg-Marquardt method. In Fig. 3, the arrows show that it checks the scores of the function variables under the condition that the horizontal dimension variable is fixed for the 2-dimension space. The score of the function is calculated by the result that the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm converges to. Once it selects the optimal parameter that has the best function score in the dimension, the parameter is updated to the new parameter with fixed parameters previously chosen as the optimum.

This process iterates until all parameters' change falls into a certain range or the number of iteration is greater than a predefined value.

2) Discretization

Discretization of each parameter dimension is the key part for DSLM algorithm which will affect its performance and computational cost. Given *N* numbers of discrete spaces of a

parameter, the discrete dimension can be denoted as a vector, $P_i = (P_i^1, ..., P_i^N)$. It is worth mentioning that it is not necessary to calculate the function score from all discrete spaces. This is because the Levenberg-Marquardt method updates the initial parameter x_i to the new

parameter x'_i which is subject to converging toward its local optimum. DSLM algorithm

marks between the discrete spaces where x_i and x'_i belong to, respectively. Then, DSLM continues to check unmarked discrete spaces to find the global minimum. Unlike other discretization algorithms, DSLM's discretization does not affect accuracy of the solution but only for preventing re-checking the space.

DSLM function constantly checks the function scores of discrete spaces while updating parameter estimation. The discretization number is a pre-defined constant which may cover local curves of the function. By constantly checking current calculated mean squared error and historical minimum one, it will ensure the current parameter selection as a better candidate which avoids falling to the local minima. After selection of all parameters, LM method is conducted to get the global optimum.

V. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

In the study of phagocyte transmigration, accumulation of PMN and M Φ on the surfaces of Fg-coated PET implants following 16 hours *in vivo* was measured (number/cm²). Implants were placed in up to six Swiss Webster mice. Estimated numbers of PMN were calculated from myeloperoxidase activities and M Φ were from nonspecific esterase activities. Different biological knock out experiments were carried out by blocking out various components in Fig. 1. Due to the sparseness of the data, we developed data interpolation using an iterative weighted mean algorithm which calculates the arithmetic mean using an adaptive weight. Linear interpolation was carried out for every discrete time period.

A. Parameter Estimation

Totally seven unknown parameters - β , t_1 , k_{e0} , k_{k1} , k_{w0} , k_{k2} , k_{rhch} - are estimated, given a 100 synthetic data set. Figures 4(a) to (c) illustrate the processes that DSLM converges to the optimum for each iteration. In the figure, observation data set is depicted by its mean and standard deviation for convenient comparison between estimation and observation. In conclusion, DSLM estimation converged quickly in the beginning of the iterations. Then, it stopped after meeting the convergence criteria. Figure 4(d) shows the final result of the experiment after 103 iterations.

For phagocyte simulation, 15 parameters - k_{hs} , k_{hchrb} , k_{hchrt} , k_{hrs} , k_{hcsb} , k_{hcst} , k_{ss} , k_{pmnipb} , k_{pmnipb} , k_{pmnipt} , k_{pmnipt} , k_{pmns} , k_{mps} , k_{mp

B. Simulation

From the modeling equations and estimated parameters, we can use simulation for the prediction of yet-to-be-observed biological experiments. Figures. 5(a) and 5(b)

VI. Conclusion

In this study, phagocyte transmigration has been studied to tackle the problem of fibrotic tissue formation surrounding biomaterial implants, which causes implantation failure. System biology concepts and control theory were incorporated in the computational modeling of the transmigration process. For a better estimation of the modeling parameters, we proposed a global heuristic optimization technique, DSLM, which is designed to overcome the limitations of existing algorithms. The computational simulation can be used to predict the future evolution of long term phagocyte transmigration after implantation which eventually can be applied for successful implant surgical procedures.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully thank the support from NIH under grant R01EB007271.

References

- Christenson L, Aebischer P, McMillan P, Galletti PM. "Tissue reaction to intraperitoneal polymer implants: species difference and effects of corticoids and doxorubicin,". Journal of Biomedical Materials Research. 1989; 23(7):705–18.
- 2. Tang L, Wu Y, Timmons RB. "Fibrinogen adsorption and host tissue responses to plasma functionalized surfaces,". Journal of Biomedical Materials Research. 1998; 42(1):156–63.
- 3. Tang L, Jennings TA, Eaton JW. "Mast cells mediate acute inflammatory responses to implanted biomaterials,". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. July.1998 95
- John J, Dennis E, Gay DM, Welsch RE. "An adaptive nonlinear least-squares algorithm,". ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. September.1981 7:348–368.
- 5. Nielsen, HB. tech. rep. Department of Mathematical Modeling; May. 1999 "Damping parameter in marquardt's method,".







Fig. 2. Experiment Data for Residual Histamine.



Fig. 3. Illustration for the flow of DSLM Algorithm

Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 21.





Computation Results for Residual Histamine.(a)-(d) show the results from the first to the third, and the final iteration of DSLM.



Fig. 5.

Simulation Results. (a) Prediction of Residual Histamine up to 36 hours; (b) Prediction of PMN up to 36 hours; (c) PMN prediction when blocking mast cells and histamine receptors; and (d) M Φ prediction when blocking selectins. show the prediction of recruited residual histamine and PMN in temporal space up to 36 hours, respectively. For deficiency simulation, Fig. 5(c) illustrates the prediction of dynamic evolution of PMN when mast cells are blocked up to 25 hours and histamine receptors are blocked after 25 hours. Figure 5(d) shows the simulation result of M Φ when selectins are blocked up to 20 hours.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table I

Estimated Optimal Parameters

Par.	Est.	Par.	Est.	Par.	Est.
t_{1}	3.5000	$k \ _{e0}$	11.2464	k_{k1}	0.1017
β	0.0134	$k w_0$	1.4037	k _{k2}	0.0937
k_{rhch}	0.3704	k_{hs}	0.0002	k_{hchrb}	L666'0
k_{hchrt}	0.0757	k_{hrs}	0.7232	k_{hcsb}	1.9997
k_{hcst}	0.2668	k_{ss}	0.1024	k_{pmnipb}	0.2226
k_{pnuipt}	2.0000	k_{pmups}	0.1003	k_{mpipb}	0.0001
k_{mpipt}	1.0108	k_{mpps}	0.1961	k_{pmns}	0.0582
k_{mps}	0.0307				

Т

1 1

Т

Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 21.