J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 30.

Published in final edited form as:

JAm Chem Soc. 2011 November 30; 133(47): 19020–19023. doi:10.1021/ja209235d.

Nickel-Catalyzed Heck-Type Reactions of Benzyl Chlorides and Simple Olefins

Ryosuke Matsubara, **Alicia C. Gutierrez**, and **Timothy F. Jamison***
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

Abstract

Nickel-catalyzed intermolecular benzylation and heterobenzylation of unactivated alkenes to provide functionalized allylbenzene derivatives is described. A wide range of both the benzyl chloride and alkene coupling partners are tolerated. In contrast to analogous palladium-catalyzed variants of this process, all reactions described herein employ electronically unbiased aliphatic olefins (including ethylene), proceed at room temperature and provide 1,1-disubstituted olefins over the more commonly observed 1,2-disubstituted olefins with very high selectivity.

The Heck-Mizoroki reaction is a powerful carbon-carbon bond-forming process that couples alkenes with a wide scope of aryl, alkenyl, and alkyl halides.² When benzyl³ chlorides are employed, the coupling provides useful allylbenzene derivatives, a versatile functional group in organic synthesis. Despite the fact that the first coupling of methyl acrylate using benzyl chloride was described by Heck nearly 40 years ago, 4 the intervening years have witnessed only sporadic reports of couplings with benzyl halides or related derivatives.^{5,6} Of these, the chief limitation is substrate scope; most examples utilize olefins bearing substituents that facilitate coupling electronically, such as acrylates, styrenes and Nvinyl amides. The benzylation of widely available aliphatic olefin feedstocks⁷ has not yet been realized. Moreover, the products obtained in these reactions are subject to olefin isomerization, and the previously reported examples generally afford a mixture of allylbenzene derivatives (kinetic products) and isomeric styrenes. This problem can be difficult to control at the high reaction temperatures (100-130 ° C) employed. A further unsolved problem is regioselectivity; to the best of our knowledge no examples of Hecktype olefin couplings of simple alkenes that give 1,1-disubstituted products have been reported. While the ruthenium-catalyzed ene-yne coupling developed by Trost is a notable example. 9 there are far fewer methods for the direct assembly of 1,1-disubstituted olefins compared to 1,2-disubstituted olefins, despite the fact that 1,1-disubstituted olefins are prevalent in many biologically active compounds 10 and are very useful intermediates in target-oriented syntheses.¹¹

$$Ar \frown Cl + R^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Reta}} R^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Cat. Ni(cod)}_2 \\ \text{PCyPh}_2 \text{ or PCy}_2 \text{Ph} \\ \text{Et}_3 \text{SiOTf, Et}_3 \text{N}} Ar \frown R^1$$

$$Ar = \text{aryl, heteroaryl} \\ R^1 = \text{alkyl, H}$$

^{*}tfj@mit.edu .

We address herein several of the aforementioned deficiencies. The nickel-catalyzed intermolecular benzylation of simple, unactivated olefins (eq 1) represents the first example of an alkene benzylation method that (a) utilizes α -olefins as substrates, including ethylene and propylene, (b) displays high selectivity for 1,1-disubstituted olefins, (c) avoids isomerization of the desired allylbenzene derivatives to the styrenyl products, and finally, (d) proceeds smoothly at room temperature.

We initially examined the nickel-catalyzed coupling reaction of ethylene (1 atm) and benzyl alcohol (1 equiv) in the presence of Et₃SiOTf (1.75 equiv) and triethylamine (6 equiv). ¹² In stark contrast to our previously reported nickel-catalyzed olefin allylation, ^{12d} methyl ether and methyl carbonate derivatives exhibited no conversion, indicating that they failed to undergo oxidative addition. ¹³ Benzyl bromide afforded the product in poor yield and underwent undesired side reactions with the triethylamine. ¹⁴ Ultimately, benzyl chloride (1) proved to be a suitable substrate.

For these initial studies with ethylene, PCyPh₂ provided superior reactivity and yields (entries 1 and 2). Only 1 mol % of the nickel complex derived from Ni(cod)₂ and PCyPh₂ is required to catalyze the reaction, affording the product in quantitative yield. Using 5 mol % of the catalyst drastically increases the reaction rate, reducing the time required for complete conversion from 16 h to 2 h (entry 3). Decreasing the catalyst loading beyond 1 mol % significantly lowers the conversion (entry 4). No reaction took place with the exclusion of Ni(cod)₂ and only a trace amount of the product was formed without addition of Et₃SiOTf (entry 5). In the latter case the consumption of benzyl chloride is believed to be caused by a change in mechanism from the desired manifold (*vide infra*) to one which favors homocoupling.

A particularly noteworthy feature of this method is the regioselectivity observed when 1-alkyl-substituted (R \neq H) alkenes are used. Excellent regioselectivity in favor of the unusual 1,1-over 1,2-disubstituted olefins (the exclusive regioisomer observed in *all* previously reported cases) is obtained under these nickel-catalyzed conditions. Furthermore, styrene and acrylate (excellent substrates in other Heck-type analogues) are poor substrates in this catalytic system, rendering this methodology complementary in both regioselectivity and reactivity to its palladium analogues. In contrast to ethylene, for the α -olefins, higher conversion (100%) was obtained with PCy₂Ph compared to PCyPh₂ (59% conversion, entries 6 and 7). A catalyst loading of 5 mol % was sufficient to catalyze the reaction, but improved yields were obtained when the loading was increased to 10 mol % (entries 7 and 8). The reactions with 1-octene proceeded readily at ambient temperature, albeit at a slower rate that was observed for ethylene. For 1-octene, 2 equivalents of olefin were required for complete conversion(entry 9), but with a slightly diminished yield compared to the reaction in which 5 equivalents were used (entry 7).

Given the rapid rate with which the coupling of ethylene proceeded, we used this simple olefin to examine the scope of the reaction with regard to the benzyl chloride partner. Gratifyingly, good to excellent yields were observed across a broad range of substrates under these optimized conditions (Table 2). Various benzyl chloride derivatives were tolerated, including those with para-(2a-f), meta-(2g-l), hetero-(2m-r) and ortho-substitution (2s-t). Both electron-rich and electron-deficient benzyl chlorides were coupled within 2 h at room temperature. High yields were also observed when halogen-substituted aromatic substrates were used. Even an ortho-iodo benzyl chloride was successfully employed, to afford the desired product (2t) in good yield. In this case, only 4% of the product derived from oxidative addition into the carbon-iodide bond was concomitantly formed. Cyano (2c) and ester (2f) functional groups on the aromatic rings were also tolerated, and α,α' -

dichloroxylenes (*meta* and *para*) were efficient substrates for the preparation of diallylbenzenes (**2b** and **2k**). It is noteworthy that nitrogen-protecting groups, Boc and Ts (**2n**, **2q** and **2r**), located β to the benzylic carbon, did not interfere with the reaction.

Having examined the substrate scope of functional groups on the benzyl chloride with ethylene, we next turned our attention to the substituted olefins. A variety of functionality on the α -olefin is tolerated, including silyl ethers (**3h** and **3k**), phthalimides (**3f** and **3l**) and alkyl groups with α -branching (**3d**). An alkene containing a pendant alkyl bromide underwent the coupling with no observable side reactions, furnishing **3i** in 97% yield and thereby demonstrating the excellent chemoselectivity of this reaction. Propylene, a gaseous olefin, afforded the desired product (**3j**) in excellent yield. Notably, α -olefins containing pendant olefins (**3b**) are also tolerated. As was observed for ethylene, aryl bromides are compatible with the reaction conditions (**3c**). A trifluoromethyl substituent was also tolerated under the reaction conditions. Despite the presence of three fluorine atoms at a benzylic position, no evidence of fluoride substitution was detected (entry **3l**). ¹⁶

Attempts to employ more highly substituted benzyl chlorides revealed the limits of this reaction (Figure 1). No reaction was observed upon exposure of **5**, containing a methyl substituent at the benzylic position, to the reaction. Further examination of scope revealed that nitro-substituted aromatic groups were not compatible, despite the compatibility of nitrile- and ester-substituted benzyl chlorides. No conversion was observed when a pyridine was present (**7**) as the hetero-substituent, further revealing the limits of this catalyst system. We also examined the functional group tolerance with regard to the alkene partner. The steric limit is reached with *tert*-butyl ethylene **8**, for which no reaction was observed. While no reaction was observed for carbamate **9**, carbonate **10** formed an intractable mixture of products. To probe the compatibility of substrates containing enolizable protons, ester **11** was examined; the ester failed to undergo complete conversion even when more than 2 equivalents of Et₃SiOTf were used.

We propose the reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 1. Nickel(0) complex 12, possibly in equilibrium with alkene (substrate or cyclooctadiene) complex 13, adds oxidatively to benzyl chloride without mediation of Et_3SiOTf , affording a mixture of nickel(II) complex 14, bearing an η^3 -benzyl ligand and one phosphine, and 15 bearing an η^1 -benzyl ligand and two phosphines. In solution at room temperature, a rapid equilibrium exists between complexes 14 and 15, but favors complex 14. As depicted, $Ni(\eta^3-CH_2C_6H_5)(PCy_2Ph)Cl$ (14) has been characterized by X-ray crystallography. Counteranion exchange from Cl^- to TfO^- mediated by Et_3SiOTf provides cationic nickel complex 16, which then undergoes olefin coordination. Subsequent migratory insertion, the carbon-carbon bond-forming step in which the regioselectivity is determined, gives alkyl-nickel species 17. This is followed by β -hydride elimination, affording the 1,1-disubstituted olefin product and nickel complex 18. Nickel(0) complex 12 is then regenerated by triethylamine, completing the catalytic cycle.

In Heck reactions catalyzed by palladium, the selectivity of the olefin insertion step is governed by a combination of electrostatic and frontier orbital effects, yet which effect is dominant in this reaction is unclear at this time. In the proposed mechanism, the productive pathway is the one that places the metal on the less substituted olefinic carbon. Given the relatively shorter nickel-carbon bond lengths (compared to the analogous palladium system), it is conceivable that steric effects are the dominant factor in this reaction. The ease with which ethylene undergoes the reaction compared to substituted olefins (2 h versus 16 h), despite its significantly lower relative concentration (1 atm in 0.2M toluene versus neat in 5 equiv olefin), lends support to this argument. However, the most sterically demanding olefin tolerated by the reaction—vinyl cyclohexane—was also the only substrate that afforded any of the linear adduct.

The observation that 36% of the benzyl chloride is converted to the homo dimer in the absence of Et₃SiOTf (Table 1, entry 5) can also be rationalized using this mechanism. Unable to form allyl complex **16**, it is likely that two molecules of the nickel chloride (**14**, **15**) undergo disproportionation to the catalytically incompetent Ni(II)Cl₂ and a Ni(II)Bn₂ intermediate. The latter would then afford the self-coupled product (dihydrostilbene) via reductive elimination.

In conclusion, we have described a novel nickel-catalyzed intermolecular benzylation of simple, widely available α -olefins and ethylene. The functional group tolerance seen across the broad range of substrates studied rivals that observed for analogous reported palladiumcatalyzed methods. The observed selectivity favoring 1,1-versus 1,2-disubstituted olefins is a unique and significant feature of this methodology. Additionally, the relatively low temperature at which these reactions proceed (room temperature) is unique. The above study represents an important advance in catalytic reactions using simple olefins as substrates. We are currently investigating Et₃SiOTf-free processes as well as other types of catalytic reactions using simple olefins as nucleophiles in carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Support for this work was provided by the NIGMS (GM 72566 and GM 63755). We are grateful to Dr. Peter Müller (MIT) and Dr. Michael Takase (MIT) for X-ray diffraction analysis. R. M. thanks JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad for financial support and Dr. Akemi Moriyama (Children's Hospital Boston, deceased March 2011) for encouragement support. A.C.G. thanks NIH for financial support.

REFERENCES

- (1). (a) Heck RF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968; 90:5518.(b) Mizoroki T, Mori K, Ozaki A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971; 44:581.
- (2). For reviews on the Heck-Mizoroki reaction, see: (a)Bräse S, de Meijere A. Diederich F, Stang PJ. Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions. 1998WeinheimWiley-VCHChapter 3 (b)Link JT, Overman LE. Diederich F, Stang PJ. Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions. 1998WeinheimWiley-VCHChapter 6 (c)Brseä S, de Meijere A. de Meijere A, Diederich F. Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions (2nd ed.). 2004WeinheimWiley-VCHChapter 5 (d) Beletskaya IP, Cheprakov AV. Chem. Rev. 2000; 100:3009. [PubMed: 11749313] (e) Dounay AB, Overman LE. Chem. Rev. 2003; 103:2945–2963. [PubMed: 12914487] (f) Oes-treich M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2007; 24:169–192. (g) Shibasaki M, Ohshima T, Itano W. Science of Synthesis. 2011; 3:483–512. (h) Le Bras J, Muzart J. Chem. Rev. 2011; 111:1170–1214. [PubMed: 21391560]
- (3). In the context of this publication, "benzylation" refers to reaction at the carbon attached to an aromatic ring.
- (4). Heck RF, Nolley JP Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1972; 37:2320.
- (5). (a) Wu, G.-z.; Lamaty, F.; Negishi, E.-i. J. Org. Chem. 1989; 54:2507.(b) Yi P, Zhuangyu Z, Hongwen H. Synth. Commun. 1992; 22:2019.(c) Yi P, Zhuangyu Z, Hongwen H. Synthesis. 1995; 245(d) Kumar P. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1997; 29:477.(e) Wang L, Pan Y, Jiang X, Hu H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000; 41:725.(f) Narahashi H, Yamamoto A, Shimizu I. Chem. Lett. 2004; 33:348.(g) Narahashi H, Shimizu I, Yamamoto A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2008; 693:283.
- (6). To the best of our knowledge, there is only one example of intermo-lecular benzylation of a simple olefin, where a styrene-type product derived from olefin isomerization of the initially formed product was obtained. See ref 5a.

(7). Lappin, GR.; Sauer, JD., editors. Alpha Olefins Applications Handbook. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1989. Alpha olefins are regarded as a chemical feedstock.

- (8). Cross-coupling reactions of benzyl halides (or their derivatives) and alkenyl organometallics have been reported, although very few methods to synthesize 1,1-disubstituted olefins were reported. For selected examples, see: (a) Milstein D, Stille JK. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979; 101:4992. (b) Kamlage S, Sefkow M, Peter MG. J. Org. Chem. 1999; 64:2938. [PubMed: 11674371] (c) Zhang S, Marshall D, Liebeskind LS. J. Org. Chem. 1999; 64:2796. [PubMed: 11674348] (d) Pérez I, Sestelo JP, Sarandeses LA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:4155. [PubMed: 11457178] See also ref 10a and 11.
- (9). For initial reports describing branch selectivity in the ruthenium-catalyzed intermolecular ene-yne coupling, see: (a) Trost BM, Toste FD. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999; 40:7739–7743. (b) Trost BM, Machacek M, Schnaderbeck MJ. Org. Lett. 2000; 2:1761–1764. [PubMed: 10880220] (c) Trost BM, Pinkerton AB, Toste FD, Sperrle M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:12504–12509. [PubMed: 11741413]
- (10). For examples, see: (a) Miller JA, Negishi E.-i. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984; 25:5863. (b) Sabarre A, Love J. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:3941. [PubMed: 18702501]
- (11). For examples, see: (a) Taber DF, Christos TE, Neubert TD, Batra D. J. Org. Chem. 1999; 64:9673. (b) Tiefenbacher K, Mulzer J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:2548.
- (12). The combination of Et₃N and a silyl triflate has been found in our laboratory to mediate nickel-catalyzed reactions of simple olefins. (a) Ng S-S, Jamison TF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005;
 127:14194. [PubMed: 16218608] (b) Ho C-Y, Ng S-S, Jamison TF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006;
 128:5362. [PubMed: 16620106] (c) Ho C-Y, Jamison TF. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007; 46:782.
 (d) Matsubara R, Jamison TF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:6880. [PubMed: 20433144]
- (13). See Supporting Information for details.
- (14). The discrepancy between the high (100%) conversion of the benzyl bromide and the low (20%) yield of product is believed to be due to nucleophilic displacement of the benzyl bromide by Et₃N to form the insoluble triethylammonium salt.
- (15). GC or NMR yields are given for cases where product volatility made isolation difficult.
- (16). The successful use of m-CF₃C₆H₄CH₂Cl in a nickel(0)-catalyzed cross coupling reaction has been reported previously: Lipshutz BH, Bulow G, Lowe RF, Stevens KL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996; 118:5512.
- (17). For experimental details and NMR spectra, see Supporting Information.
- (18). (a) Bartsch E, Dinjus E, Fischer R, Uhilig E. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1977; 433:5.(b) Carmona E, Marín JM, Paneque M, Poveda ML. Organometallics. 1987; 6:1757.(c) Carmona E, Paneque M, Poveda ML. Polyhedron. 1989; 8:285.
- (19). Similar nickel complexes have been unambiguously characterized by X-ray diffraction analyses.
 (a) Ascenso JR, Carrondo MAAFDT, Dias AR, Gomes PT, Piedade MFM, Romao CC.
 Polyhedron. 1989; 8:2449. (b) Albers I, Álvarez E, Cámpora J, Maya CM, Palma P, Sánchez LJ, Passaglia E. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004; 689:833. (c) Anderson TJ, Vicic DA. Organometallics. 2004; 23:623.

Benzyl chlorides
$$Me$$
 O_2N
 O_2N

Figure 1. Substrates that did not undergo the desired benzylation.

Scheme 1. Proposed Catalytic Cycle and ORTEP Drawing of $\eta^3\text{-Nickel}$ Complex 14^a a $P\!=PR_3.$

Matsubara et al.

Table 1

Optimization of Olefin Benzylation Conditions

_\alpha)
Ni(cod) ₂ (x mol %) Phosphine (2x mol %) Et ₃ SiOTf (1.75 equiv) Et ₃ N (6 equiv)	nt
« «	(y equiv)
5	1 equiv)

	+	بــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Et ₃ N	Et ₃ N (6 equiv)	\(\bar{\chi}\)		-ш
. 1 (1 equiv)	<u>\$</u>	(y equiv)		t	١	>	
entry	R	PR_3	ý	×	time (h)	conv (%)	yield (%)
	Н	P(o-anis) ₃	1 atm	20	23	92	73
2	Н	$PCyPh_2$	1 atm	-	13	100	100
8	Н	$PCyPh_2$	1 atm	5	7	100	100
4	Н	$PCyPh_2$	1 atm	0.5	16	17	17
<i>2p</i>	Н	$PCyPh_2$	1 atm	20	2	36	1
9	n-hex	$PCyPh_2$	S	10	16	59	28
7	n-hex	PCy_2Ph	5	10	16	100	06
∞	n-hex	PCy_2Ph	5	S	16	100	80
6	n-hex	PCy_2Ph	2	10	16	100	78
10	n-hex	PCy_2Ph	1	10	16	98	54

^aDetermined by GC.

 $^b{
m Et3SiOTf}$ not used.

Table 2 Nickel-Catalyzed Benzylation of Ethylene^a

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ni(cod)}_2 \text{ (5 mol \%)} \\ \text{PCyPh}_2 \text{ (10 mol \%)} \\ \text{Et}_3 \text{SiOTf (1.75 equiv)} \\ \text{Et}_3 \text{N (6 equiv)} \\ \text{rt, 2 h, toluene} \end{array} \quad \text{Ar} \\ \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{2s} \\ 88\% \text{ yield} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \textbf{2t}^e \\ 88\% \text{ yield} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textbf{2t}^e \\ 95\% \text{ yield } (\alpha:\beta = 81:19) \end{array}$$

^aIsolated yield unless otherwise noted.

 $^{^{}b}$ Determined by GC (internal standard).

 $^{^{}c}$ Determined by 1 H NMR spectroscopy (internal standard).

 $[^]d\mathrm{Yield}$ obtained with 10 mol % catalyst.

 $^{^{}e}$ 2,3-Dihydro-1H-indene (4%) observed as inseparable byproduct.

fYield obtained with 20 mol % catalyst loading.

 $[^]g$ 4-Cyano- β -methylstyrene (5%) obtained as an inseparable byproduct.

 $^{^{}h}$ Obtained with 2.5 equiv Et3SiOTf.

 $\label{eq:Table 3} \label{eq:Table 3} \mbox{Nickel-Catalyzed Benzylation of 1-Substituted Olefins}{}^{a,b}$

^aAll yields are isolated yields.

brr = Regioisomeric ratio (3/4).

^CReactions carried out neat in olefin (5 equiv) except for the examples that employ a gaseous or solid olefin; for these entries, toluene was used as a solvent.

 $[^]d\mathrm{20}\:\mathrm{mol}$ % of the catalyst.

 $^{^{}e}$ Total yield of **3** and **4**.

fToluene (1.4 M) used as solvent; 1.5 equiv of olefin.

 $^{{}^}g\!\operatorname{Propylene}$ introduced via balloon (1 atm); toluene (0.2 M) used as solvent.

h_{Toluene} (1.2 M) used as solvent.