

Themed Issue: Translational Neuropharmacology – Using Appropriate Animal Models to Guide Clinical Drug Development

REVIEW Animal models in the drug discovery pipeline for Alzheimer's disease

Debby Van Dam¹ and Peter Paul De Deyn^{1,2}

¹Laboratory of Neurochemistry & Behaviour, Institute Born-Bunge, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk (Antwerp), Belgium, and ²Department of Neurology, Memory Clinic, Middelheim General Hospital, ZNA, Antwerp, Belgium

Correspondence

Debby Van Dam, Laboratory of Neurochemistry & Behaviour, Institute Born-Bunge, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk (Antwerp), Belgium. E-mail: debby.vandam@ua.ac.be

Keywords

Alzheimer's disease; amyloid β; *Caenorhabditis elegans; Drosophila melanogaster*; lesion models; rodent models; tauopathy; transgenesis; translational research; zebrafish

Received

14 September 2010 Revised 21 January 2011 Accepted 4 February 2011

With increasing feasibility of predicting conversion of mild cognitive impairment to dementia based on biomarker profiling, the urgent need for efficacious disease-modifying compounds has become even more critical. Despite intensive research, underlying pathophysiological mechanisms remain insufficiently documented for purposeful target discovery. Translational research based on valid animal models may aid in alleviating some of the unmet needs in the current Alzheimer's disease pharmaceutical market, which includes disease-modification, increased efficacy and safety, reduction of the number of treatment unresponsive patients and patient compliance. The development and phenotyping of animal models is indeed essential in Alzheimer's disease-related research as valid models enable the appraisal of early pathological processes – which are often not accessible in patients, and subsequent target discovery and evaluation. This review paper summarizes and critically evaluates currently available animal models, and discusses their value to the Alzheimer drug discovery pipeline. Models dealt with include spontaneous models in various species, including senescence-accelerated mice, chemical and lesion-induced rodent models, and genetically modified models developed in *Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans, Danio rerio* and rodents. Although highly valid animal models exist, none of the currently available models recapitulates all aspects of human Alzheimer's disease, and one should always be aware of the potential dangers of uncritical extrapolating from model organisms to a human condition that takes decades to develop and mainly involves higher cognitive functions.

LINKED ARTICLES

This article is part of a themed issue on Translational Neuropharmacology. To view the other articles in this issue visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.2011.164.issue-4

Abbreviations

Aβ, amyloid-β; AD, Alzheimer's disease; APP, amyloid precursor protein; BACE1, β-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1; BPSD, behavioural and psychological signs and symptoms of dementia; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; GSK-3β, glycogen synthase kinase 3β; NFT, neurofibrillary tangle; PSEN, presenilin; SAM, senescence-accelerated mouse; SAMP, SAM-prone; TILLING, targeted induced local lesions in genomes; ZFN, zinc finger nuclease

Introduction

As the prototype of cortical dementias, Alzheimer's disease (AD) presents with prominent cognitive deficits. Initially, patients display limited forgetfulness with disruption of memory imprinting, which evolves to short-term memory disruption and, eventually, to long-term memory deficits. At

more advanced stages, patients show executive dysfunctioning leading to advanced helplessness. Besides cognitive deterioration, patients display behavioural and psychological signs and symptoms of dementia (BPSD). BPSD is an umbrella term that embraces a heterogeneous group of noncognitive symptoms and behaviours, including paranoid and delusional ideation, hallucinations, activity disturbances,



aggressiveness, diurnal rhythm disturbances, affective disturbances, anxieties and phobias (Reisberg *et al.*, 1987). The concept of BPSD is a descriptive one and does not reflect a diagnostic entity but rather highlights an important clinical dimension of dementia that has until recently been ignored from both research and therapeutic points of view. In contrast with cognitive symptomatology, BPSD do not show a progressive course. The impact of BPSD is emphasized by the fact that they increase patient suffering, impose tremendous strain on caregivers and significantly increase the financial burden on the family and society.

The histopathological hallmarks of AD brain are extracellular amyloid- β (A β) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFT), accompanied by decreased synaptic density, which eventually leads to widespread neurodegeneration, loss of synapses and failure of neurotransmitter pathways, particularly those of the basal forebrain cholinergic system.

The incidence and prevalence of dementia in general, and AD in particular, have been studied extensively. AD is the most common form of dementia, and the most elaborate European epidemiological study – The Rotterdam Study – demonstrated 72% of all dementia cases to be of AD origin (Ott *et al.*, 1995). The number of affected individuals is likely to grow in the decades to come due to demographic changes and our still-rising life expectancy. The worldwide societal cost of dementia, based on a dementia population of 34.4 million demented persons, was estimated to \$422 billion in 2009 (Wimo *et al.*, 2010). It is forecasted that the number of demented elderly will rise to 114 million by the year 2050 (Wimo *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, as prevalence rates are predicted to experience a steep rise over the next 50 years, intense and meticulous AD-related research is imperative.

Clinical research focuses at diagnosis of AD and related conditions in an early stage based on specific biomarkers. With increasing predictive efficacy for conversion of mild cognitive impairment to dementia (De Meyer *et al.*, 2010), disease-modifying treatment strategies become indispensable. Despite intensive research, underlying pathophysiological mechanisms remain insufficiently documented for purposeful target discovery. The development and phenotyping of animal models is essential in AD-related research as valid models enable the appraisal of early pathological processes – which are often not accessible in patients. The identification of biological targets with an explicit role in early stages of the disease process allows rational development and preclinical evaluation of therapeutic strategies to alleviate or prevent this neurodegenerative condition.

Animal models aiming at studying human diseases, emerged in the 1800s and experienced a major boost during the last decades. Of primary concern to neuroscientists is the selection of the most relevant animal model to achieve their research goals. Researchers are challenged to develop models that recapitulate the disorder in question, which is often not as straightforward as it may seem. Quite often they are confronted with the choice between models that reproduce cardinal pathological features of the disorders caused by mechanisms that may not necessarily occur in the patients versus models that are based on known aetiological mechanisms that may not reproduce all clinical features.

Alzheimer's disease is by its prevalence and nature an important burden on the life of patients and caretakers, as

well as poses major consequences for the health and aged care systems. This review will therefore, focus on animal models of AD. Readers interested in animal models of other dementia types, including, for example, normal pressure hydrocephalus, Parkinson's disease, frontotemporal dementia, and forms of vascular and toxic dementia, are referred to a recent Springer Science + Business Media Neuromethods book entitled: 'Animal models of Dementia' (De Deyn and Van Dam, 2010a).

Various types of animal models can contribute to our growing understanding of the molecular pathways involved in disease development and progression in AD. In general, animal models of human disease can be classified into spontaneous, induced, negative and orphan models, of which the latter two types do not apply to the field of Alzheimer modelling. Spontaneous models are presumed to develop their condition without experimental manipulation, but selective breeding is often compulsory to establish and maintain the desired line. Especially for psychiatric and neurological conditions, including AD, few spontaneous models exist and experimentally induced pathology is often necessary.

Spontaneous models

Few species, including dogs (Cummings et al., 1993; 1996; Rofina et al., 2006), cats (Head et al., 2005; Gunn-Moore et al., 2006), (polar) bears (Cork et al., 1988; Uchida et al., 1995; Tekirian et al., 1996), goats and sheep (Braak et al., 1994), wolverine (Roertgen et al., 1996), as well as several nonhuman primate species (Bons et al., 1994; Gearing et al., 1994; 1997; Lane, 2000; Geula et al., 2002; Kimura et al., 2003; Sani et al., 2003; Lemere et al., 2004; 2008), spontaneously develop plaque pathology and some species even exhibit tauopathies. In addition, these histopathological changes can be accompanied by cognitive decline (Cummings et al., 1996; Voytko and Tinkler, 2004; Gunn-Moore et al., 2006; Rofina et al., 2006). Unfortunately, the use of these species for experimental research is limited by availability, economical (based on long lifespan) and/or ethical reasons. Nevertheless, the dog has been pointed out as an especially appropriate model for the study of human brain ageing and neurodegenerative diseases in general, and AD in particular (Sarasa and Pesini, 2009), based on its phylogenetic proximity to humans, the in-depth knowledge of canine (behavioural) neurology, and the histopathological and molecular similarities between clinical AD and the canine variant. In particular, the amino acid sequence of canine $A\beta_{1-42}$ is identical to the human form, whereas the murine form differs three amino acids from the human form. The severity of cognitive decline represents a spectrum that captures normal ageing, mild cognitive impairment and early/mild AD in humans. Given these similarities, dogs have been frequently used in preclinical AD studies. Dogs are ideally suited for longitudinal studies, and have therefore been mainly used to study the beneficial effects of an antioxidant diet, behavioural enrichment and Aß immunotherapy (for review, Cotman and Head, 2008).

Ageing rodents do not spontaneously develop AD-like histopathological hallmarks, and are therefore of no use to the development of drugs targeting these pathological hallmarks. Their contribution to the AD-related drug discovery



pipeline is based on the occurrence of senescence-related cognitive decline and behavioural alterations linked to AD-relevant neurochemical and morphological alterations (Erickson and Barnes, 2003), including age-associated cholinergic hypofunction (Sherman and Friedman, 1990). In addition, they aid in uncovering the boundary between normal and pathological ageing, allowing in-depth investigation of basic neural mechanisms underlying brain ageing.

Natural age-associated deterioration has culminated in the senescence-accelerated mouse (SAM), a model which was established through phenotypic selection from a genetic pool of AKR/J mice in the early 1980s. The SAM model includes nine major SAM-prone (SAMP) substrains and three major SAM-resistant substrains. SAM strains have been extensively used as models for various age-related disorders; SAMP mice undergo accelerated ageing while SAM-resistant mice undergo normal ageing processes. The SAMP8 substrain in particular has drawn attention in dementia-related research because it shows age-associated learning and memory deficits in association with AB deposition (Yagi et al., 1988; Takeda, 1999). Interestingly, genes and proteins that undergo significant alterations in SAMP8 brains are related to the following functional categories: neuroprotection, signal transduction, immune response, energy metabolism, mitochondrion, protein folding and degradation, reactive oxygen species production, cytoskeleton and transport, lipid abnormalities and cholinergic dysfunction (Butterfield and Poon, 2005; for review, see Sowell and Butterfield, 2010). The SAMP8 strain has proven to be a relevant model for AD and several treatment strategies have been studied in these mice, including antioxidants (Farr et al., 2003; Poon et al., 2005; Nishimura et al., 2006; Shih et al., 2010), antisense oligonucleotides, directed at the AB region of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) gene (Kumar et al., 2000; Banks et al., 2001; Poon et al., 2004; Ali et al., 2009), consistent with the notion that SAMP8 cognitive changes are associated with Aβ-associated oxidative stress. Besides pharmacological interventions, dietary restriction as a way to increase lifespan and improve health, and its effect on various functional categories that are affected in SAMP8 with ageing, as described above, was recently evaluated (Tajes et al., 2010).

Pharmacological, chemical and lesion-induced rodent models

The disruption of multiple neurotransmitter systems in AD plays an important role in the pathophysiology of cognitive and behavioural disturbances associated with the illness. The majority of animal models within this category are based on the cholinergic hypothesis of AD. Degeneration of cholinergic neurons in the nucleus basalis of Meynert, situated in the basal forebrain and primarily projecting to the neocortex, occurs early in the course of the disease (Davies and Maloney, 1976; Whitehouse *et al.*, 1982). A correlation between cholinergic deficits and both cognitive symptomatology and the extent of neuropathological alterations in AD was reported (Martin *et al.*, 1987; Bierer *et al.*, 1995; Dournaud *et al.*, 1995). The basal forebrain is the anatomical region ranging from the septum to the midbrain, which passes under the anterior

commissure and groups together both the telencephalic and diencephalic structures. The bilateral cholinergic centres display a high density of cholinergic isodendritic neurons. Classically, three cholinergic groups or 'nuclei' were defined: the medial septal nucleus, the nuclei of the diagonal band of Broca, and the nucleus basalis of Meynert. Histochemical research specified different sets of cortical projecting neurons with neurotransmitters other than acetylcholine intermeshed among the cholinergic neurons, as well as a better understanding of the cortical targets, and the relationship between cholinergic groups and neighbouring structures. The ascending cholinergic system, comprising sectors Ch1-Ch4 is a topographically organized cholinergic regulatory projection system, which innervates the entire neocortex, in addition to several limbic and olfactory structures. Sectors Ch5 and Ch6 provide cholinergic innervation to the thalamus, and are essential components of the ascending reticular activating system, thereby indirectly regulating cortical activity via a noncholinergic system between thalamus and cortex (Mesulam et al., 1983; Selden et al., 1998).

The most commonly used pharmacological model related to AD is scopolamine-induced amnesia (Sunderland *et al.*, 1986; Ebert and Kirch, 1998), which has increased our knowledge of the role of the cholinergic system in cognition and allows preclinical evaluation of symptomatic efficacy of cholinomimetics, including mainly compounds with presumed acetylcholinesterase inhibiting activity (e.g. Trabace *et al.*, 2000; Ahmed and Gilani, 2009; Wong *et al.*, 2010) and muscarinic receptor 1 agonists (Malviya *et al.*, 2008).

Scopolamine, a tropane alkaloid drug with muscarinic antagonist effects, has a primary influence on processes related to information acquisition (Rush, 1988). The use of the scopolamine-induced amnesia model is however limited by the fact that cholinergic hypofunction is not associated with the development of pathological AD hallmarks, and the lack of disease progression at the level of cholinergic and cognitive dysfunction. Blockade of nicotinic receptors by mecamylamine also induces learning impairment (Moran, 1993; Estapé and Steckler, 2002). In AD patients, not only the muscarinic but also the nicotinic receptors are markedly decreased (Whitehouse and Au, 1986; Nordberg *et al.*, 1989). Therefore, blockade of both receptors may offer a better amnesia model (Levin *et al.*, 1990; Riekkinen *et al.*, 1990).

Besides scopolamine-induced amnesia, the cholinergic hypothesis of AD has led to the development of a number of lesion models for studying the pathogeny of cortical cholinergic involution. Focal neurotoxic, electrolytic or mechanical lesions of the cholinergic centres of the basal forebrain, as well as more general lesions of all the cholinergic neurons of the basal forebrain, are most frequently used to obtain such models. Focal lesions are especially directed at the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (Lescaudron and Stein, 1999; Vale-Martínez et al., 2002), the rodent analogue of the human nucleus basalis of Meynert, the septal area (Mulder et al., 2005), or consist of fimbria/fornix transection leading to septo-hippocampal cholinergic denervation (He et al., 1992; Alonso et al., 1996). Lesioning can be achieved by surgical or electrolytical procedures, and intraparenchymal or intracerebroventricular microinjections of neurotoxic substances, such as quinolic, kainic, N-methyl-D-aspartic, ibotenic and quisqualic acids, the cholinotoxin AF64, and the immuno-



toxin 192 IgG-saporin (for review, see Toledana and Álvarez, 2010). These models increase our understanding of the role of cholinergic innervations in the aetiology and treatment of cognitive disorders. In-depth anatomical knowledge of the target area, including its neuronal and glial types, regional neuronal circuits and their connections with other brain areas, and mode of action of the toxin employed are essential because lesion characteristics depend on the type of agent employed and its capacity to cause selective harm to different subtypes of neurons, nerve fibres passing through the affected area, glial cells and blood vessels. However, the suitability of these models is also much debated about because conflicting results may be obtained and is it is essential to take into account a wide range of factors influencing the outcome of the study; such as, the species or strain used, its physiopathological characteristics (e.g. age at induction) and maintenance (e.g. housing conditions), the model protocol, including the location and extent of the lesion and whether a unilateral or bilateral lesion is opted for, the lesion-inducing agent, the type and concentration of toxin used, and even the morphological, histochemical, biochemical and cognitive methods used to phenotype the model (for review, see Toledana and Álvarez, 2010).

Alzheimer's disease-related memory deficits can also be (partially) reproduced by specifically lesioning brain structures or pathways essential for different aspects of learning and memory, such as the hippocampus, striatal and cortical regions (Gray and McNaughton, 1983; Glenn *et al.*, 2003; Sloan *et al.*, 2006; Castañé *et al.*, 2010). These models are mainly used to increase our knowledge of the neural mechanisms underlying memory dysfunction. As for basal forebrain lesion models, the major disadvantages are the lack of disease progression, AD-typical pathology and the fact that only selected lesion are studied compared with a more global disease process in AD.

Some chemically induced models focus on only one specific pathophysiological pathway thought to underlie AD. Such partial models have been developed to mimic, for example, brain inflammation or glucose/energy metabolism impairment and study the effects on neurodegeneration. Brain inflammation can be experimentally induced by the infusion of endotoxins, like lipopolysaccharide (Hauss-Wegrzyniak *et al.*, 1998), or proinflammatory cytokines (Wenk *et al.*, 2003). Brain metabolism can be disrupted through interference with mitochondrial metabolic pathways (Szabados *et al.*, 2004), or neuronal insulin signal transduction (Ishrat *et al.*, 2009).

Amyloid-β infusion rodent models

The amyloid cascade hypothesis of AD states that, regardless of whether the disease is familial or sporadic, cerebral accumulation and aggregation of A β peptides to form amyloid plaques is the primary culprit driving AD pathogenesis (Selkoe, 2000; Hardy and Selkoe, 2002), and additional disease processes (NFT formation and inflammation) result from the imbalance between A β production and clearance. More recently an updated version of this theory has assigned a pivotal role in AD pathogenesis to soluble A β oligomers, which can rapidly block long-term potentiation, and therefore cause memory failure (Gong *et al.*, 2003; Lacor *et al.*, 2004; Walsh and Selkoe, 2007).

Aspects of AD can be mimicked by intracerebral or intracerebroventricular infusion of A β peptides in the rodent brain (for review, see Lawlor and Young, 2010). A β species can be administered acutely, using a single stereotactic injection (Harkany *et al.*, 1998; 2000), or repetitively, using injections through an implanted cannula (Yamada *et al.*, 2005). To better mimic the progressive nature of AD, chronic and continuous administration is accomplished by connecting an implanted cannula to an osmotic mini-pump (Nakamura *et al.*, 2001; Olariu *et al.*, 2002) or a micro-infusion pump (Nag *et al.*, 1999), or with microdialysis (Harkany *et al.*, 2000).

Direct intracerebral injection of Aß peptides causes learning and memory deficits, as well as AD-like behavioural alterations (Harkany et al., 1998; Yamada et al., 2005; Sipos et al., 2007), with the severity of the deficits dependent upon the species of AB infused and the time interval between AB administration and behavioural testing. In addition to measurable deleterious effects on cognition and behaviour, exogenous administration of AB species can lead to neuropathological changes reminiscent of human AD, although the full complexity of the human pathology is not reproduced and these pathologies are not widespread as in the human condition. Accumulation of AB deposits in brain parenchyma (Frautschy et al., 1996; Sipos et al., 2007) can be associated with, for example, inflammation and microglial activation, oxidative stress, and local cell loss (Weldon et al., 1998). More specifically, disruption of cholinergic function was reported (Harkany et al., 1998; Yamada et al., 2005). Within the published literature there is a wide variation in the reported behavioural and neuropathological effects of AB infusion. These inconsistencies may be due in part to variations in methodologies; the species of peptide infused (e.g. $A\beta_{1-40}$, $A\beta_{1-42}$ or $A\beta_{25-35}$), the aggregation state and concentration of the peptide preparation, the duration of the infusion, the site of infusion, the time interval between AB administration and behavioural testing, and even the solvent used to dilute peptides (for review, see Lawlor and Young, 2010). These methodological differences need to be considered when designing an *in vivo* Aβ infusion model and interpreting data obtained from such AD models.

Models based on intracerebral A^β infusion support the A^β cascade hypothesis, provide insight in mechanisms and secondary effects of AB toxicity and allow preclinical evaluation of drugs targeting A β , as well as test the protective effects of pharmacological modulation of microglial signalling, because infusion of peptide induces inflammation and microglial activation. Rodent A
ß infusion models also offer some advantages over the use of APP transgenic models. Overexpression of APP results not only in increased production of A β_{1-40} and/or A β_{1-42} , but in elevated levels of other APP fragments, which can have neuroprotective, neurotoxic or signalling functions, and influence learning and memory. The infusion model allows researchers to administer defined amounts of a specific Aß species of known sequence and length or to introduce controlled co-factors related to plaque development. Moreover, rather than waiting several months for pathology to develop with ageing in transgenic animals, AB infusion models can deliver experimental results (including plaque pathology) within a timeframe of a few weeks (Frautschy et al., 1996).



On the downside, in addition to providing only a partial model of AD, and largely bypassing the effect of ageing on AD progression, a major caveat of this approach is the fact that administered A β concentrations are much higher than A β levels found in the brain or cerebrospinal fluid of AD patients (Vickers *et al.*, 2000). In contrast to transgenesis, the invasive nature of A β infusion inevitably brings about brain injury, which – in addition to the potential neurotoxic effects of vehicles used – may contribute to the induction of inflammation observed in these models. These potentially confounding effects can of course be controlled for by including proper sham and/or scrambled peptide groups.

Transgenic models for AD

The past two decades have witnessed an extraordinary expansion in our knowledge of the molecular basis of neurological diseases, including AD. Much of this progress is based on mapping gene loci in families with genetically determined neurological diseases. Prior to the current revolution in applied molecular genetics, the only practical method to study the regulation and function of mammalian genes was to utilize spontaneous mutants. Since the 1970s, it has been possible to introduce DNA fragments into prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells in vitro and to induce the expression of the foreign DNA in these cells. Although the evaluation of gene expression is relatively straightforward (determination of gene product in culture medium), the activity of a specific gene at the cellular level does not yield satisfactory information about the regulation of the gene among the complex physiological interactions of the whole organism. The development of transgenesis techniques to create genetically manipulated models has provided us with powerful tools to study pathophysiological mechanisms and evaluate new treatment strategies in vivo. Over the past decades, several species have been used to create genetically altered phenocopies of human AD; in particular of course mice, and to a much lesser extent, rats, as well as nonmammalian species, like zebrafish (Danio rerio), nematodes (Caenorhabditis elegans) and the fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster).

AD models in D. melanogaster

Drosophila has found major application in the analysis of genetic interaction in neurological disorders, including AD, based on both classical phenotype-based genetic screens and techniques for genetic manipulation, including gene knockdown, deletion and transgenic insertions. A large degree of functional conservation of proteins exists between insect and human. Of particular interest to the field of AD research, is the conservation of the proteolytic activity of γ-secretase between *D. melanogaster* and humans. This fruit fly γ-secretase can correctly cleave human APP. Endogenous orthologues of AD-related genes, namely *Appl* (i.e. APP-like) (Rosen *et al.*, 1989; Luo *et al.*, 1990) and *dPsn* (i.e. Drosophila presenilin (*PSENs*)) (Li *et al.*, 2007), with roles in axonal transport and Notch signalling, respectively, are present in *D. melanogaster*. In normal flies, however, there is no formation of Aβ peptides

because of the lack of β -secretase (BACE1) activity and sequence differences between APPL and APP at the positions that constitute A β (Rosen *et al.*, 1989).

A complete APP-processing Drosophila model was achieved by creating transgenic flies that carry constructs encoding both human APP and human β-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1, i.e. β -secretase). Human APP is cleaved by the transgenic human BACE1, and subsequently, by endogenous γ -secretase, thereby releasing the A β sequence. When specifically expressed in the eye, retinal deposition of AB plaques and age-dependent neurodegeneration were noted. Ubiquitous expression also led to shortened life span and defects in wing vein development. These APP-based models are useful to screen for genes, drugs or metabolites that modulate APP processing and have the potential to decrease Aβ-induced degeneration, as illustrated by dose-dependent increased survival rates and life span after supplementation of the food medium with a BACE1 inhibitor, and increased survival rates after treatment with a y-secretase inhibitor (Greeve et al., 2004).

In more simpler models, the A β sequence is fused downstream of a secretion signal peptide, which results in expression of secreted peptides in the fly nervous system or in the developing eye. These models have successfully shown progressive intracellular AB accumulation, extracellular AB plaque deposition and neurodegeneration accompanied by olfactory memory defects, reduced longevity and defective locomotor behaviour. Phenotypical alterations occur in an age- and dose-dependent manner with correlation between Aß levels and neurodegeneration, as well as between propensity of A β to aggregate and disease severity (Finelli *et al.*, 2004; Iijima et al., 2004; Crowther et al., 2005). These secreted AB models are useful to study the toxicity of different AB species, and asses modifiers of AB metabolism and toxicity. The predictive validity of this fly model as a platform for drug discovery was verified by testing the therapeutic efficacy of MK-801, an inhibitor of the excitatory action of glutamate on the NMDA receptor and functionally related to memantine, the noncompetitive glutamate antagonist approved for symptomatic treatment of AD and effective in slowing AD progression (Crowther et al., 2005). Predictive validity of the secreted AB fly models was further corroborated with administration of Congo red, which inhibits AB oligomerization and had earlier been shown to reduce neurodegeneration in a fly model of polyglutamine repeat disease and a mouse model of Huntington's disease (Crowther et al., 2005).

Some of the phenotypes observed in fruit fly AD models are analogous to those clinically observed in human patients, such as learning and memory defects, and the presence of $A\beta$ plaques and neuronal loss. In addition, other easy-to-score fly phenotypes are used as surrogate markers for neurodegeneration, such as reduced longevity, locomotor defects and rougheye phenotypes (for review, see Giannakou and Crowther, 2010). While there appears to be good concordance between the phenotypes observed in these two complementary approaches to model $A\beta$ toxicity in *Drosophila*, some differences in the subcellular localization of the peptide may exist. With normal processing of APP, $A\beta$ is generated in an endosomal compartment and may subsequently be released to the extracellular space. In $A\beta$ -expressing fly models, the peptide



may enter the secretory pathway from the endoplasmic reticulum (Crowther *et al.*, 2005).

Although APP and Aβ-expressing fly models mimic one crucial aspect of AD pathogenesis, the role of tau pathology is completely ignored. Drosophila tauopathy models developed up to date are (mutated) human tau-overexpression models. The neurotoxicity of (mutated) tau causes rough-eye and longevity phenotypes, with more pronounced deficits in models expressing mutated tau forms (Wittmann et al., 2001). Intracellular inclusion resembling NFT can be provoked in wild-type tau expressing flies when glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK-3β) activity is increased (Jackson et al., 2002), which is in accordance with the fact that hyperphosphorylation of tau induced its aggregation. As the A β -related models, Drosophila tauopathy models can be used in genetic screens for modifiers of tau pathology with rough-eye as the most frequently assessed phenotype (Shulman and Feany, 2003).

AD models in C. elegans

Caenorhabditis elegans, a free-living nematode of approximately 1 mm in length, has several characteristics that make it useful as a model organism. The nematodes are transparent, which allows study of embryonic development and gene expression in living animals under the microscope. They also have a very short life cycle (3 days) and a relatively short lifespan (3 weeks), which allow genetic dissection of the mechanisms that affect ageing and lifespan (Brenner, 1974; Byerly *et al.*, 1976). In addition, the mechanism of gene silencing by RNA interference has been discovered in *C. elegans* and has been developed into a potent reverse genetic tool (Fire *et al.*, 1998)

Several AD-related genes and pathways found in humans have orthologues in C. elegans. The nematode genome encodes three orthologues for PSEN1; (i) sel-12, which has been found in a screen for suppressors of the egg-laying defective phenotype in lin-12 gain-of-function worms (Levitan and Greenwald, 1995), and which functions mostly during embryonic development to facilitate Notch/lin-12 signalling; (ii) hop-1, homolog of PSEN1 (Li and Greenwald, 1997), which is in fact more homologous to human PSEN2; and (iii) spe-4, which has no obvious human counterpart (Li and Greenwald, 1997). Three genes, aph-1, pen-2 and aph-2, produce proteins that combined together form a functional γ -secretase complex. In addition, an orthologue of A β (*apl-1*), has been described in C. elegans (Daigle and Li, 1993). Similar to Drosophila, the APL-1 protein does not contain the Aβ sequence, neither does C. elegans display BACE1-like activity.

Three A β -expressing nematode models have been developed. When expressed in muscle cells, A β_{1-42} induced the formation of amyloid-immunoreactive inclusions. A subset of these deposits also binds the A β -specific dye thioflavin S, indicating that amyloid fibrils are formed, comparable to human AD. In addition, paralysis of the nematodes occurred, thereby indicating a specific toxicity of A β to the muscle cells (Link, 1995). Transgenic nematodes expressing A β_{1-42} in neurons, also develop amyloid deposits, but display only a very subtle phenotype (Link, 2006; Wu *et al.*, 2006). Interestingly, oligomeric species of $A\beta$ were detected in these strains that might be similar to the neurotoxic $A\beta$ -derived diffusible ligands (Wu *et al.*, 2006). These models provide important insight into toxicity of specific $A\beta$ species, but do not allow screening of genetic or chemical modifiers of APP processing.

To create nematode tauopathy models, both wild-type and mutated human tau protein were expressed in *C. elegans* neurons (Kraemer *et al.*, 2003), inducing a progressive phenotype of defective motility known as 'uncoordinated phenotype', which was more apparent in the mutants. Interestingly, these transgenic lines also exhibit hyperphosphorylation of tau (Kraemer *et al.*, 2003), which is linked to GSK-3 β activation. Future genome-wide screens will show what modifier genes are linked to the disease process, and represent diagnostic or even therapeutic targets.

AD models in D. rerio

Danio rerio, or zebrafish, is a small (3-5 cm) fresh water tropical fish, which served a premiere model organism to study vertebrate development. Danio rerio is very well suited for large-scale forward genetic screens in which phenotypic defects are identified before the identification of the gene causing these defects, due to its large quantity of eggs, short generation time and the external development of the transparent embryos (Amsterdam and Hopkins, 2006). Importantly, orthologues of the genes involved in familial AD have been identified in zebrafish as well, including PSEN1 (zf-ps1; Leimer et al., 1999; Nornes et al., 2003), PSEN2 (pre2; Groth et al., 2002; Nornes et al., 2003) and APP (appa, appb; Musa et al., 2001). Zebrafish reverse genetics is slowly catching up with Drosophila and/or mouse, as the techniques to perform gene-specific knock downs, target-selected mutagenesis and transgenesis in zebrafish are quickly developing (for review, see Willemsen et al., 2010). Morpholino antisense oligonucleotide injection is the most widely used technique for transient gene knockdown in zebrafish (Bill et al., 2009), although other strategies to establish stable knockout lines, including chemical mutagenesis using alkylating agents (e.g. N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea) for targeted induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING) (Moens et al., 2008), and zinc finger nuclease (ZFN)-mediated mutagenesis (Miller et al., 2007) are winning ground. At present, no TILLING or ZFN-stable mutant zebrafish lines with knockout mutations in orthologues of human neurodegenerative disease genes have been published yet. Morpholino-based zebrafish models have indicated that PSEN enhancer (pen-2), part of the zebrafish γ-secretase complex, plays an important role in promoting neuronal cell survival and protecting from apoptosis (Campbell et al., 2006). Morpholino-based interference with splicing of PSEN transcripts affects multiple PSEN functions, most often linked to altered Notch signalling. Phenotypical alterations, including hydrocephalus and decreased pigmentation have been noted (Nornes et al., 2008).

Methods for generating a transgenic zebrafish are pseudotyped retrovirus infection, transposons, transfection of sperm nuclei and DNA microinjection, with the latter being the most frequently used method for generating transgenic lines expressing a gene of interest (for review, see Willemsen *et al.*, 2010). A first step to study the effect of



mutant human APP expression on the development of AD was achieved by the generation of transgenic zebrafish expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under control of zebrafish app gene regulatory elements. EGFP expression was found to be present in subregions of brain and spinal cord, as well as in vasculature (Lee and Cole, 2007). The logical next step is to apply this vector to clone a PCR product containing mutant human APP. Transgenic zebrafish tauopathy models are already available. Transient and stable transgenic zebrafish expressing human (mutated) tau showed tau accumulations in neuronal cell bodies and proximal axons resembling NFT (Tomasiewicz et al., 2002; Bai et al., 2007; Paquet et al., 2009). Zebrafish kinases are sufficiently conserved with respect to their human orthologues thereby allowing the screening of therapeutic leads focussing on kinase inhibition.

Zebrafish is an ideal vertebrate for primary toxicity studies in whole animals because of their cost-effectiveness, the ease of drug delivery and their high sensitivity to toxins. Applicability of zebrafish in the drug discovery pipeline for dementia was substantiated by several recent studies. GSK-3ß is abnormally up-regulated in several diseases including AD, where it has been regarded as a potential drug target. Inhibition of GSK-3^β in zebrafish results in a headless embryo. Using this phenotype, chemical libraries were successfully screened to identify GSK-3β inhibiting compounds as potential therapeutic candidates for GSK-3β-related diseases (Zhong et al., 2009; Zou *et al.*, 2010). Inhibition of γ -secretase presents a direct target for lowering A β production in the brain as a therapy for AD. However, *y*-secretase is known to process multiple substrates in addition to APP, most notably Notch, which has limited clinical development of inhibitors targeting this enzyme. APP-selective inhibitors would be preferable to nonselective inhibitors from a safety perspective for AD therapy. Recently, a high-throughput screening method based on phenotypic differentiation between pan and APP-specific γ-secretase inhibitors was established in zebrafish (Arslanova et al., 2010).

Transgenic rodent models for AD

Modelling of AD in transgenic mice became reality in the mid-1990s with the development of the PDAPP model (Games et al., 1995), followed in subsequent years by the Tg2576 (Hsiao et al., 1996) and APP23 (Stürchler-Pierrat et al., 1997) mouse models, currently the most widely used amyloidosis models in AD-related research. The PDAPP model expresses human APP carrying the Indiana familial AD mutation (V717F) driven by the platelet-derived growth factor- β promoter, whereas both the Tg2576 and APP23 model express human APP with the Swedish mutation (K670N/ M671L) driven by the hamster prion protein and murine Thy-1 promoter respectively. All three models support the amyloid cascade hypothesis; they display progressive AB deposition in both diffuse and neuritic plaques, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, astrocytosis, microgliosis, (limited) hippocampal atrophy, synaptic and neurotransmitter alterations, and cognitive and behavioural deficits, relevant to the human AD clinical and neuropathological profile (for review, see Van Dam et al., 2005; Basak and Holtzman, 2010; Deacon,

2010; Van Dam and De Deyn, 2010). APP-based models confirm the central role of APP and A β in the Alzheimer disease process, allow target identification, and subsequently, the preclinical evaluation of various symptomatic and disease-modifying drugs, mainly targeting the amyloid cascade. The major caveat of these models, however, is the lack of NFT formation, although hyperphosphorylated tau may be present.

The discovery of early-onset AD mutations in the PSEN genes, gave rise to the development of PSEN1 and PSEN2 transgenic mouse models. Despite an increased $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ ratio in some of these models, no plaque pathology and few cognitive and behavioural abnormalities are present. Like APP-based models, they lack NFT development. They have mainly served the basis for the development of double transgenic APP/PSEN mice, which display an increased $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ ratio and accelerated A_β pathology compared to the single APP model they are based on, thereby supporting the modifying role of PSEN. In addition, these APP/PSEN mice exhibit neuronal loss, amyloid-associated inflammation, cognitive decline and BPSD-like behavioural alterations (McGowan et al., 2006; Van Dam and De Deyn, 2006). The major drawback of all above-mentioned transgenic mouse models, that is, the lack of NFT formation, was partially overcome by the development of (mutated) human tau mice, and the subsequent crossing of tau and APP models, latter featuring enhanced amyloid deposition accompanied by tau phosphorylation, NFT-like formation and overt neuronal loss, thereby supporting the amyloid cascade hypothesis stating that $A\beta$ pathology mediates tau pathology (Götz et al., 2004; Ribé et al., 2005). Unfortunately, there is no co-localization of plaques and NFT in AD-relevant brain regions, for example, hippocampus and cortex, in APP/tau mice. This shortcoming was counterbalanced with the development of the triple transgenic $(3 \times Tg)$ mouse (Oddo *et al.*, 2003a,b). Rather than crossing independent mutant mouse lines, two transgenic constructs (mutant APP and tau) were microinjected into single-cell embryos from homozygous mutant PSEN1 mice, thereby preventing segregation of APP and tau genes in subsequent generations. In accordance with the amyloid cascade theory, these $3 \times Tg$ mice develop A β plaques prior to NFT pathology with a temporal and spatial profile equivalent to AD, in addition to inflammation, synaptic dysfunction and cognitive decline (for review, see Sy et al., 2010).

Single tau-knockout and (mutated) tau-transgenic models allow further exploration of tau-related neurodegenerative mechanisms in AD and related dementias. Tau-knockout mice appear physically normal, are able to reproduce, and do not display any change in central or peripheral nervous systems, indicating that tau deficiency is likely compensated by other microtubule-associated proteins. With the discovery of mutations on microtubule-associated protein tau in frontotemporal dementia and parkinsonism linked to chromosome 17 (FTDP-17), numerous transgenic models using these mutations were developed, allowing for the development of tau pathology characterized by tau aggregation and neurofibrillary degeneration. They display various phenotypes, with the most prominent one being motor deficits, but also memory impairment, in addition to neurofibrillary (or neuronal-like) tangles or gliofibrillary tangles (for review, see Sergeant and Buée, 2010).



More recently the use of viral vector gene transfer technology has allowed the development of 'somatic transgenic' models, whereby genes putatively involved in AD pathogenesis can be selectively overexpressed in specific AD-relevant brain regions (Hong *et al.*, 2006; Lawlor *et al.*, 2007). Although this promising strategy has been shown to result in the development of both cognitive deficits and A β deposits in treated animals, these genetic models require further characterization to show reproducible development of behavioural deficits and neuropathology prior to their widespread adoption as a reliable and useful model of AD.

Given the boost transgenesis and gene targeting techniques have given to the development of valid phenocopies of the human condition the mouse models included in this review are not exhaustive but rather form a representative sample of the available models. For an updated overview of available genetically modified models, we refer the readers to specialized websites, as, for example, http://www. alzforum.org, which also pays attention to models based on late-onset AD genetic risk factors, such as apolipoprotein E and sortilin-related receptor, as well as transgenic lines based on other aetiological hypotheses, for example, mutated human α -synuclein models, human cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression models and anti-nerve growth factor mice.

The time and expense required to make genetically altered mice is considerable, and the importance of this investment is amplified by the long time course of most studies of dementia. Investigators need to be able to make informed choices about the different strategies for transgenics and gene targeting in order to minimize unwanted variation, and to maximize fidelity to the disease. In recent years, the development of large genomic fragments stably cloned in well-characterized libraries, and the ability to make transgenic mice from these clones in inbred strains have greatly increased the power of the transgenic mouse. In addition, new embryonic C57BL/6 cell lines have become widely adopted for gene targeting, allowing knockins, knockouts and conditional alleles to be established much more expeditiously on the standard C57BL/6 background (Conlon, 2010).

The generation of transgenic rodent research models that develop some of the pathological hallmarks of AD has given a sizable boost to drug discovery efforts, and has also raised many intriguing questions about the underlying disease process. However, one should never neglect the potential dangers of uncritical extrapolating from mouse/rat to humans. The fact that at the moment no animal model recapitulates all aspects of human AD reflects the limitations of using a rodent system to model a human condition that takes decades to develop and mainly involves higher cognitive functions.

The merit of animal models in AD drug development

Treatment goals change with disease severity. In mild to moderate AD, the objective is to improve or maintain baseline performance with disease-modifying drugs targeting central aetiological processes. In more progressed cases displaying cognitive and behavioural deficits which impair the wellbeing of patients and caregivers, treatment is intended to slow the rate of decline. These symptomatic therapeutics, however, do not address the cause of the disease. If predisposition for AD will become predictable – for example, based on biomarker profiling in patients with mild cognitive impairment – the development of preventive therapies will be mandatory.

Treatment strategies in AD can be based on the following approaches: (i) neurotransmitter-focussed approach; (ii) prevention based on epidemiological data; or (iii) neuropathological hallmark-based approach. Either of these approaches can be achieved via compounds with quite diverse modes of action. Table 1 provides a nonexhaustive summary of these different approaches or modes of action based on compounds currently under clinical investigation. For each compound, a representative example of a preclinical study supporting the clinical application of that compound is provided, thereby illustrating the merit of animal models in the drug discovery pipeline for dementia.

Table 1 focuses on the major pathophysiological pathways underlying AD and their link to cognitive decline. Besides focusing on cognitive symptomatology, treatment of AD should also include managing BPSD and related behavioural alterations, especially given their major impact on patients, caretakers and society at large, in addition to the fact that atypical antipsychotics or classic neuroleptics display only modest effect size and are associated with some potentially major side effects. A variety of pharmacological agents has been evaluated for the treatment of BPSD, including cholinomimetics, anxiolytics, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, hormonal preparations and antipsychotic (neuroleptic) drugs. With the exception of atypical antipsychotics, clinical evidence is rather anecdotal or based on open-label clinical trials for most of these substances. In addition, although the categories of BPSD are superficially similar to symptoms in, for example, the psychosis of schizophrenia or depression in major affective disorders, the specific nature of these symptoms in AD and related disorders may be different based on AD-specific neurochemical alterations and the interaction with psychological, cognitive and functional factors (De Devn and Van Dam, 2010b). Preclinical evaluation of (non)pharmacological treatment strategies will undoubtedly contribute to a better clinical management of BPSD. Prerequisite is of course the availability of valid animal models of BPSD, and a need for shifted attention from cognitive disturbances to BPSD-related alterations in animal models of dementia. Certain AD models have already been shown to exhibit both face (Vloeberghs et al., 2004, 2006; Van Dam et al., 2005; Van Dam and De Deyn, 2006) and predictive validity (Vloeberghs et al., 2008) with regards to BPSD-like behaviours.

However, the insuperable species barrier between AD model and patient should prevent uncritical and premature extrapolation of animal model findings to the human condition. In general, high-quality and conscientious research aiming at the validation of a new model or testing a new compound requires thorough standardization of procedures, good knowledge of strains, compounds and paradigm characteristics, and skilled personnel. Keeping in mind basic metabolic, physiological and anatomical differences between humans and other species, it is clear that a 'pluri-species' approach increases the reliability of extrapolation from



 Table 1

 The merit of animal models in AD drug development

| inesterase inhibitors Efficacy Rivastigmine Ph side effects transdermal patch Ph sease- Donepezil Ph odification | iase III–IV | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Donepezil Ph | | Tse and Laplanche (1998) | Minipig (<i>Sus scrota</i>) | Single i.v., p.o. or transdermal (patch) administration of 18 or 54 mg radioactively labelled rivastigmine | 20–40 × higher bioavailability in transdermal versus oral route |
| | lase I–II | Meunier <i>et al.</i> (2006) | Mouse i.c.v. aggregated Ap ₂₅₋₃₅ infusion model causing learning deficits on day 7–8 | Dose range 0.12-1 mg-kg ⁻¹ : a) i.p. injection 20 min before behavioural testing (antiamnestic) b) i.p. injection 20 min before Af2s-35 infusion (neuroprotective) c) i.p. injection 24 h after Af2s-35 infusion and daily until behavioural testing (neuroprotective) | a) dose-dependent reversal of alternation deficit in Y maze and passive avoidance deficit b) dose-dependent prevention of alternation deficit in Y maze and passive avoidance deficit, and lipid peroxidation c) dose-dependent prevention of alternation deficit in Y maze and passive avoidance deficit, and lipid |
| otor antagonism nes CX717 Ph | lase II | Hampson <i>et al.</i> (2009) | Adult male rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) | administration of 0.3 to 1.5 mg-kg⁻¹ 10 min prior to isotope injection for PET imaging in sleep-deprived monkeys | perovueron Reversal of delayed-matching-to-sample task deficit |
| - Memantine Ph ation | ase III | Martinez-Coria et al. (2010) | 3 × Tg AD mouse model (hAPP ₆₉₅ Swedish; human tau P3011; human PS1 M146L) | a) 3 month p.o. treatment starting at age 6 months (30 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹), mild pathology group | a) rescue of visual-spatial learning deficit; reduction of learning deficit in novel-object recognition task; improvement of deficit in short-term and long-term passive avoidance learning; 4 soluble AB1-40 and AB1-42 |
| | | | | b) 3 month p.o. treatment starting at age 9 months (30 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹), moderate pathology group | b) rescue of visual-spatial learning deficit; improvement of deficit in long-term passive avoidance learning; \downarrow tau levels; \downarrow phospho-tau levels; \downarrow CSK-3ß levels; \uparrow soluble AB ₁₋₄₂ |
| trateories hased on enidemiclooical data | | | | c) 3 month p.o. treatment starting at age 15 months (30 mg·kg⁻¹.day⁻¹), severe pathology group | c) reduction of visual-spatial learning deficit; \downarrow tau levels; \downarrow phospho-tau levels; \downarrow GSK-3B levels; \uparrow soluble AB1-42; $\downarrow\downarrow$ insoluble AB1-40 and AB1-42; \downarrow plaque load; \downarrow AB oligomers |
| naregres based on epidemiological data Ibuprofen Ph | lase l | Van Dam <i>et al.</i> (2010) | APP23 mouse model (hAPP ₇₅₁ Swedish) | 2 month treatment (50 mg·kg ⁻¹ ·day ⁻¹) starting at age 6 weeks, followed by 3 weeks wash-out period | Prevention of development of visual-spatial learning deficit |
| Simvastatin Ph | ase II-III-IV | Boimel <i>et al.</i> (2009) | Double mutant [K257T/P301S] tau tg mouse | a) 1 month treatment in normocholesterolemic aged mice b) 8 month treatment in voung mice | a) ↓ NFT and lectin-positive microglia b) ↓ NFT and improved T-maze performance |
| n/HRT/SERMs Raloxifene Ph | lase III | Wu et al. (1999) | 6-month-old ovariectomized rats exhibiting reduced hippocampal ChAT activity | 3 or 10 day s.c. treatment 3 weeks post-surgery (3 mg-kg ⁻¹ .day ⁻¹) | Recovery hippocampal ChAT activity |
| nts α-Tocopherol Ph logical hallmark-based approach | ase III | Nishida <i>et al.</i> (2009) | Ttpa≁-APPsw | a) 18-month-old α-tocopherol transfer protein knockout mice crossed with Tg2576 model b) α-tocopherol supplementation (750 mg·kg⁻¹) | a) ↑ Aβ brain levels based on ↓ Aβ clearance b) partial ↓ Aβ accumulation |
| the amyloid cascade ease Aβ formation | : | | | | - |
| tase Semagacestat Ph tors | lase III | Ness <i>et al.</i> (2004) | PDAPP mouse model (hAPP ₆₉₅ Indiana) | 5 month p.o. treatment starting at age 5 months (3, 10 or 30 mg·kg ⁻¹ .day ⁻¹) | Dose-dependent ↓ plaque load; ↓ cortical Abi⊣₄o and Abi⊣₄₂ levels; ↓ hippocampal Abi⊣₄₂ levels; ↓ plasma total Ab; ↑ cortical and hippocampal C99 levels |
| nonomer CAD-106 Ph Inotherapy | lase II | Staufenbiel <i>et al.</i> (2006) | APP23 mouse model (hAPP ₇₅₁ Swedish) | 10 month s.c. treatment with monthly administration of 25 mg starting at age 3–4 months | ↓ Plaque load; ↓ cortical Aβ1.⊣2 levels |



| _ | p |
|----------|----|
| Ð | лe |
| | ţ |
| a | 6 |
| Η. | C |

| Study outcome | ↑ Hippocampal neurogenesis potential; ↓ cortical synaptophysin intensity; ↓ cortical plaque burden; reversal contextual memory deficit; |) prevention of visual-spatial learning deficit, J brain Aβ levels, ↓ plaque load, ½ aggregation into oligomeric AR, ↓ somatric loss: J inflammation: J montality | b) improvement of visual-spatial learning deficit, \downarrow aggregation into oligomeric AB, \downarrow brain AB levels, \downarrow plaque load; \downarrow aggregation into oligomeric AB | c) dose-dependent improvement of visual-spatial learning deficit; 4 plaque load; 4 brain AB oligomers a) 4 AB plaque load; 4 Abi-40 and Abi-42 plasma levels | b) \downarrow soluble and insoluble AB1–40 and AB1–42 brain levels | a1) improvement of visual-spatial learning deficit; \downarrow brain insoluble Aβ levels; \downarrow brain oligomeric Aβ levels; \downarrow brain phosphorylated tau levels; \uparrow brain synaptophysin levels | a2) ↓ interstitial Aβ levels a3) faster ↓ interstitial Aβ levels | b1) improvement of visual-spatial learning deficit; \downarrow brain insoluble AB levels; \downarrow brain total tau levels; $\hat{\Gamma}$ brain synaptophysin levels | b2) ↓ interstitial Aβ levels | a) (partial) recovery of synaptic plasticity-related gene expression levels b) improvement of visual-spatial learning | Wig is able to penetrate the blood-brain barrier; intensity of WIG immunostaining increased with duration of treatment; highest reactivity in hippocampus, IVIg selectively binds to AB deposits in co-localization with microolia | a) no effect on NFT development | b) \downarrow tau phosphorylation; \downarrow aggregated tau; \downarrow NFT; no rescue of motor and working memory deficits |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Treatment scheme | 9 month p.o. treatment (375 p.p.m. in diet) starting at age 6 months | a) p.o. treatment starting at age 6 weeks till age 4 or 6 months (preventive trial; 30 mg·kg ⁻¹ -day ⁻¹) | b) 1 month p.o. treatment starting at age 5 months (symptomatic trial; 30 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹) | c) p.o. treatment starting at age 6 weeks till age 4 month (0.3 to 30 mg·kg ⁻¹ ·day ⁻¹) a) 8 week s.c. treatment starting at age 9 weeks (30 or 100 mg·kg ⁻¹ ·day ⁻¹) | b) 9 week s.c. treatment starting at age 9 weeks (500 mg·kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) resulting in same Aβ/homotaurine in both groups | a1) 11 or 35 day p.o. treatment at age 8 months (10 or 30 mg·kg ⁻¹ ·day ⁻¹) | a2) single p.o. dose (30 mg·kg ⁻¹) prior to microdialysis at age 22 months a3) single p.o. dose (30 mg·g ⁻¹) prior to | incroundysa et age 3-4 monus b1) 11 day p.o. treatment at age 13.5 months (30 mg.kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) | b2) single p.o. dose (30 mg·kg ⁻¹) prior to microdialysis at age 18 months | a) 5 day i.p. treatment starting on day 2 post-infusion (3, 10 or 30 mg·kg⁻¹) b) 20 day i.p. treatment starting on day 2 post-infusion (3, 10 or 30 mg·kg⁻¹) | i.v. administration of 1.0 g-kg ⁻¹ of 10% IVIg starting at age 4 months with twice weekly injections for 1-3 weeks (short-term) or long-term study with weekly injection for 14 weeks | a) 8 month treatment starting at age 3 months with lithium carbonate supplemented in food | (2.4 g·kg ⁻¹ chow) b) 1 month treatment starting at age 9 months with daily gavage (350 mg·kg ⁻¹) |
| earch supporting clinical trials Animal model | Tg2576 mouse model (hAPP ₆₉₅ Swedish) | TgCRND8 mouse model (hAPP ₆₉₅ Swedish-Indiana) | | TgCRND8 mouse model (hAPP ₆₉₅ Swedish-Indiana) on | two different backgrounds resulting in four to five times higher Aβ levels in mice for experiment b | a) APP/PS1 mouse model expressing human APP with Swedish mutation and human PS1-dE9 deletion | | b) Tg2576 mouse model (hAPP₆₉₅Swedish) | | Rat bilateral intrahippocampal amyloid infusion model (Aβı ₋₄₀ + ibotenic acid) | APP/PS1 mouse model expressing human APP with Swedish mutation and human PS1-dE9 deletion | Tg30tau mice expressing human 4R1N double-mutant tau (P301S | and G272V) |
| Preclinical res Reference | Imbimbo <i>et al.</i> (2007) | McLaurin <i>et al.</i> (2006) | | Gervais <i>et al.</i> (2007) | | Adlard <i>et al.</i> (2008) | | | | Ahmed <i>et al.</i> (2010) | Magga <i>et al.</i> (2010) | Leroy <i>et al.</i> (2010) | |
| Current or recent clinical phase(s) | Phase I | Phase II | | Phase III | | Phase II | | | | Phase II | Phase III | Phase II | |
| Compound | tio CHF5 074 | Scyllo-inositol | | Homotaurine = tramiprosate = | 3APS | PBT-2 | | | | Curcumin | бIVI | Lithium | |
| | Increase Aβ₁₋₄₀/Aβ₁₋₄₂ rat γ-Secretase modulators | Inhibit Aβ aggregation Aβ inhibitors | | Aß intercalators | | Metal-protein attenuating compound | | | 4. Anti-olidomer agents | Small molecule inhibitors | Anti-oligomer immunotherapy | argeting tau pathology Inhibition GSK-3β linked to tau | hyperphosphorylation |

4β, amyloid-β; AD, Alzheimer's disease; AMPA, acamino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate; APP, amyloid precursor protein; ChAT, choline O-acetyltransferase; GSK-3B; glycogen synthase kinase 3B; HRT, hormone-replacement therapy; NIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; NFT, neurofibrillary tangles; NSADs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents; PBT-2, second-generation 8-hydroxy quinoline analogue; PSEN1, presentiin1; SERN1s, Selective Oestrogen Receptor Modulators; tg, transgenic.



animal models to humans (Van Dam and De Devn, 2006). Too often it is taken for granted that a very good correlation between the effect of drugs in so-called 'validated' animal models and human clinical trials exists. A possible failure of a drug in clinical settings is often interpreted as the failure of the basis hypotheses on which the target for the drug was selected, rather than the failure of the animal models in which the drug was active. Several essential neurochemical differences between, for example, rodents and men might hinder a successful clinical development of a candidate drug; for example, (i) the different pharmacology of the same drug for rodent versus human target subtypes; (ii) the different wiring of specific neurotransmitter circuits in rodent versus human brain; and (iii) the difference in drug metabolism which makes it difficult to simulate the human drug exposure. More emphasis on good-quality translational studies, more pre-competitive information sharing and the implementation of multi-target pharmacology strategies in preclinical settings, may allow more reliable translation of preclinical observations to the clinical setting and significantly increase the success rate of the CNS drug discovery pipeline (for review; see Geerts, 2009; 2010).

Conclusion

The conclusions drawn from animal models largely depend on the validity of the model in representing the human condition. Validation of a newly developed model mostly comprises assessment of face, construct, predictive and aetiological validity. The more levels of validity a model satisfies, the greater its value, utility and relevance to the human condition. The perfect model would account for aetiology, symptomatology, treatment and physiological basis. Animal models in general do not meet all of these criteria, but nevertheless, all models described in this review may serve a pivotal role in the drug discovery and development pipeline of dementia to increase our knowledge of pathophysiological mechanisms underlying dementia and predict clinical activity of newly developed treatment strategies. Certainly given the fact that the evaluation of preventive or diseasemodifying efficacy is not easily accomplished in a clinical setting. Animal models have the advantages of a rapid development of symptoms and/or pathology, availability of potentially large groups of subjects, accessibility to early-stage CNS changes and the possibility of time-linked observations.

Unmet needs in the current AD pharmaceutical market are disease-modification, improved efficacy, fewer side effects, reduction of the number of treatment unresponsive patients and patient compliance. Translational research based on target discovery and evaluation in animal models will undoubtedly aid in alleviating at least some of these shortcomings of the presently marketed drugs in the years to come.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Research Foundation Flanders – FWO, Interuniversity Poles of Attraction (IAP Network P6/43) of the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office, Methusalem excellence grant of the Flemish Government, agreement between Institute Born-Bunge and University of Antwerp, the Medical Research Foundation Antwerp, the Thomas Riellaerts research fund and Neurosearch Antwerp. D. V. D. is a postdoctoral fellow of the FWO.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists with regard to the material discussed in this review paper.

References

Adlard, PA, Cherny, RA, Finkelstein, DI, Gautier, E, Robb, E, Cortes, M *et al.* (2008). Rapid restoration of cognition in Alzheimer's transgenic mice with 8-hydroxy quinoline analogs is associated with decreased interstitial Abeta. Neuron 59: 43–55.

Ahmed T, Gilani AH (2009). Inhibitory effect of curcuminoids on acetylcholinesterase activity and attenuation of scopolamineinduced amnesia may explain medicinal use of turmeric in Alzheimer's disease. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 91: 554–559.

Ahmed T, Enam SA, Gilani AH (2010). Curcuminoids enhance memory in an amyloid-infused rat model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience 169: 1296–1306.

Ali AK, Banks WA, Kumar VB, Shah GN, Lynch JL, Farr SA *et al.* (2009). Nitric oxide activity and isoenzyme expression in the senescence-accelerated mouse p8 model of Alzheimer's disease: effects of anti-amyloid antibody and antisense treatments. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64: 1025–1030.

Alonso JR, U HS, Amaral DG (1996). Cholinergic innervation of the primate hippocampal formation: II. Effects of fimbria/fornix transection. J Comp Neurol 375: 527–551.

Amsterdam A, Hopkins N (2006). Mutagenesis strategies in zebrafish for identifying genes involved in development and disease. Trends Genet 22: 473–478.

Arslanova D, Yang T, Xu X, Wong ST, Augelli-Szafran CE, Xia W (2010). Phenotypic analysis of images of zebrafish treated with Alzheimer's gamma-secretase inhibitors. BMC Biotechnol 10: 24.

Bai Q, Garver JA, Hukriede NA, Burton EA (2007). Generation of a transgenic zebrafish model of tauopathy using a novel promoter element derived from the zebrafish eno2 gene. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 6501–6516.

Banks WA, Farr SA, Butt W, Kumar VB, Franko MW, Morley JE (2001). Delivery across the blood-brain barrier of antisense directed against amyloid beta: reversal of learning and memory deficits in mice overexpressing amyloid precursor protein. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 297: 1113–1121.

Basak JM, Holtzman DM (2010). APP-based transgenic models of Alzheimer's dementia: the PDAPP Model. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 371–385.

Bierer LM, Haroutunian V, Gabriel S, Knott PJ, Carlin LS, Purohit DP *et al.* (1995). Neurochemical correlates of dementia severity in Alzheimer's disease: relative importance of the cholinergic deficits. J Neurochem 64: 749–760.



D Van Dam and PP De Deyn

Bill BR, Petzold AM, Clark KJ, Schimmenti LA, Ekker SC (2009). A primer for morpholino use in zebrafish. Zebrafish 6: 69–77.

Boimel M, Grigoriadis N, Lourbopoulos A, Touloumi O, Rosenmann D, Abramsky O *et al.* (2009). Statins reduce the neurofibrillary tangle burden in a mouse model of tauopathy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 68: 314–325.

Bons N, Mestre N, Ritchie K, Petter A, Podlisny M, Selkoe D (1994). Identification of amyloid beta protein in the brain of the small, short-lived lemurian primate Microcebus murinus. Neurobiol Aging 15: 215–220.

Braak H, Braak E, Strothjohann M (1994). Abnormally phosphorylated tau protein related to the formation of neurofibrillary tangles and neuropil threads in the cerebral cortex of sheep and goat. Neurosci Lett 171: 1–4.

Brenner S (1974). The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71–94.

Butterfield DA, Poon HF (2005). The senescence-accelerated prone mouse (SAMP8): a model of age-related cognitive decline with relevance to alterations of the gene expression and protein abnormalities in Alzheimer's disease. Exp Gerontol 40: 774–783.

Byerly L, Cassada RC, Russell RL (1976). The life cycle of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. I. Wild-type growth and reproduction. Dev Biol 51: 23–33.

Campbell WA, Yang H, Zetterberg H, Baulac S, Sears JA, Liu T *et al.* (2006). Zebrafish lacking Alzheimer presenilin enhancer 2 (Pen-2) demonstrate excessive p53-dependent apoptosis and neuronal loss. J Neurochem 96: 1423–1440.

Castañé A, Theobald DE, Robbins TW (2010). Selective lesions of the dorsomedial striatum impair serial spatial reversal learning in rats. Behav Brain Res 210: 74–83.

Conlon RA (2010). Transgenic and gene targeted models of dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 77–90.

Cork LC, Powers RE, Selkoe DJ, Davies P, Geyer JJ, Price DL (1988). Neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques in aged bears. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 47: 629–641.

Cotman CW, Head E (2008). The canine (dog) model of human aging and disease: dietary, environmental and immunotherapy approaches. J Alzheimers Dis 15: 685–707.

Crowther DC, Kinghorn KJ, Miranda E, Page R, Curry JA, Duthie FA *et al.* (2005). Intraneuronal Ab, non-amyloid aggregates and neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience 132: 123–135.

Cummings BJ, Su JH, Cotman CW, White R, Russell MJ (1993). Beta-amyloid accumulation in aged canine brain: a model of early plaque formation in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 14: 547–560.

Cummings BJ, Head E, Ruehl W, Milgram NW, Cotman CW (1996). The canine as an animal model of human aging and dementia. Neurobiol Aging 17: 259–268.

Daigle I, Li C (1993). apl-1, a Caenorhabditis elegans gene encoding a protein related to the human beta-amyloid protein precursor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90: 12045–12049.

Davies P, Maloney AJ (1976). Selective loss of central cholinergic neurons in Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 2: 1403.

De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). (2010a). Animal models of dementia. Neuromethods, Vol. 48, 1st edn.. Springer Science + Business Media: New York.

De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (2010b). Dementia and related disorders. In: Stolerman IP (ed.). Encyclopedia of Psychopharmacology. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 383–388.

De Meyer G, Shapiro F, Vanderstichele H, Vanmechelen E, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP *et al.* for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2010).Diagnosis-independent Alzheimer disease biomarker signature in cognitively normal elderly people. Arch Neurol 67: 949–956.

Deacon RMJ (2010). APP-based transgenic models of Alzheimer dementia: the Tg2576 mouse. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 387–398.

Dournaud P, Delaere P, Hauw JJ, Epelbaum J (1995). Differential correlation between neurochemical deficits, neuropathology, and cognitive status in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 16: 817–823.

Ebert U, Kirch W (1998). Scopolamine models of dementia: electroencephalogram findings and cognitive performance. Eur J Clin Invest 28: 944–949.

Erickson CA, Barnes CA (2003). The neurobiology of memory changes in normal aging. Exp Gerontol 38: 61–69.

Estapé N, Steckler T (2002). Cholinergic blockade impairs performance in operant DNMTP in two inbred strains of mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 72: 319–334.

Farr SA, Poon HF, Dogrukol-Ak D, Drake J, Banks WA, Eyerman E *et al.* (2003). The antioxidants alpha-lipoic acid and N-acetylcysteine reverse memory impairment and brain oxidative stress in aged SAMP8 mice. J Neurochem 84: 1173–1183.

Finelli A, Kelkar A, Song HJ, Yang H, Konsolaki M (2004). A model for studying Alzheimer's Abeta42-induced toxicity in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell Neurosci 26: 365–375.

Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC (1998). Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 391: 806–811.

Frautschy SA, Yang F, Calderon L, Cole GM (1996). Rodent models of Alzheimer's disease: rat A beta infusion approaches to amyloid deposits. Neurobiol Aging 17: 311–321.

Games D, Adams D, Alessandrini R, Barbour R, Berthelette P, Blackwell C *et al.* (1995). Alzheimer-type neuropathology in transgenic mice overexpressing V717F β -amyloid precursor protein. Nature 373: 523–527.

Gearing M, Rebeck GW, Hyman BT, Tigges J, Mirra SS (1994). Neuropathology and apolipoprotein E profile of aged chimpanzees: implications for Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91: 9382–9386.

Gearing M, Tigges J, Mori H, Mirra SS (1997). β -amyloid (A β) deposition in the brains of aged orangutans. Neurobiol Aging 18: 139–146.

Geerts H (2009). Of mice and men: bridging the translational disconnect in CNS drug discovery. CNS Drugs 23: 915–926.

Geerts H (2010). Pharmacological validation in animal models of dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 155–168.

Gervais F, Paquette J, Morissette C, Krzywkowski P, Yu M, Azzi M *et al.* (2007). Targeting soluble Abeta peptide with Tramiprosate for the treatment of brain amyloidosis. Neurobiol Aging 28: 537–547.



Geula C, Nagykery N, Wu CK (2002). Amyloid-beta deposits in the cerebral cortex of the aged common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus): incidence and chemical composition. Acta Neuropathol 103: 48–58.

Giannakou ME, Crowther DC (2010). *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model organism for dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 223–240.

Glenn MJ, Nesbitt C, Mumby DG (2003). Perirhinal cortex lesions produce variable patterns of retrograde amnesia in rats. Behav Brain Res 41: 183–193.

Gong Y, Chang L, Viola KL, Lacor PN, Lambert MP, Finch CE *et al.* (2003). Alzheimer's disease-affected brain: presence of oligomeric A beta ligands (ADDLs) suggests a molecular basis for reversible memory loss. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 10417–10422.

Götz J, Schild A, Hoerndli F, Pennanen L (2004). Amyloid-induced neurofibrillary tangle formation in Alzheimer's disease: insight from transgenic mouse and tissue-culture models. Int J Dev Neurosci 22: 453–465.

Gray JA, McNaughton N (1983). Comparison between the behavioural effects of septal and hippocampal lesions: a review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 7: 119–188.

Greeve I, Kretzschmar D, Tschäpe JA, Beyn A, Brellinger C, Schweizer M *et al.* (2004). Age-dependent neurodegeneration and Alzheimer-amyloid plaque formation in transgenic Drosophila. J Neurosci 24: 3899–3906.

Groth C, Nornes S, McCarty R, Tamme R, Lardelli M (2002). Identification of a second presenilin gene in zebrafish with similarity to the human Alzheimer's disease gene presenilin2. Dev Genes Evol 212: 486–490.

Gunn-Moore DA, McVee J, Bradshaw JM, Pearson GR, Head E, Gunn-Moore FJ (2006). Ageing changes in cat brains demonstrated by beta-amyloid and AT8-immunoreactive phosphorylated tau deposits. J Feline Med Surg 8: 234–242.

Hampson RE, España RA, Rogers GA, Porrino LJ, Deadwyler SA (2009). Mechanisms underlying cognitive enhancement and reversal of cognitive deficits in nonhuman primates by the ampakine CX717. Psychopharmacology 202: 355–369.

Hardy J, Selkoe DJ (2002). The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. Science 297: 353–356.

Harkany T, O'Mahony S, Kelly JP, Soós K, Törö I, Penke B *et al.* (1998). β -Amyloid(Phe(SO3H)24)25–35 in rat nucleus basalis induces behavioral dysfunctions, impairs learning and memory and disrupts cortical cholinergic innervation. Behav Brain Res 90: 133–145.

Harkany T, Abrahám I, Timmerman W, Laskay G, Tóth B, Sasvári M *et al.* (2000). β -Amyloid neurotoxicity is mediated by a glutamate-triggered excitotoxic cascade in rat nucleus basalis. Eur J Neurosci 12: 2735–2745.

Hauss-Wegrzyniak B, Dobrzanski P, Stoehr JD, Wenk GL (1998). Chronic neuroinflammation in rats reproduces components of the neurobiology of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 780: 294–303.

He Y, Yao Z, Gu Y, Kuang G, Chen Y (1992). Nerve growth factor promotes collateral sprouting of cholinergic fibers in the septohippocampal cholinergic system of aged rats with fimbria transection. Brain Res 586: 27–35.

Head E, Moffat K, Das P, Sarsoza F, Poon WW, Landsberg G *et al.* (2005). β -amyloid deposition and tau phosphorylation in clinically characterized aged cats. Neurobiol Aging 26: 749–763.

Hong CS, Goins WF, Goss JR, Burton EA, Glorioso JC (2006). Herpes simplex virus RNAi and neprilysin gene transfer vectors reduce accumulation of Alzheimer's disease-related amyloid-beta peptide in vivo. Gene Ther 13: 1068–1079.

Hsiao K, Chapman P, Nilsen S, Eckman C, Harigaya Y, Younkin S *et al.* (1996). Correlative memory deficits, A β elevation, and amyloid plaques in transgenic mice. Science 274: 99–102.

lijima K, Liu HP, Chiang AS, Hearn SA, Konsolaki M, Zhong Y (2004). Dissecting the pathological effects of human Abeta40 and Abeta42 in Drosophila: a potential model for Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 6623–6628.

Imbimbo BP, Del Giudice E, Colavito D, D'Arrigo A, Dalle Carbonare M, Villetti G *et al.* (2007). 1-(3',4'-Dichloro-2fluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-cyclopropanecarboxylic acid (CHF5074), a novel gamma-secretase modulator, reduces brain beta-amyloid pathology in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease without causing peripheral toxicity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 323: 822–830.

Ishrat T, Hoda MN, Khan MB, Yousuf S, Ahmad M, Khan MM *et al.* (2009). Amelioration of cognitive deficits and neurodegeneration by curcumin in rat model of sporadic dementia of Alzheimer's type (SDAT). Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 19: 636–647.

Jackson GR, Wiedau-Pazos M, Sang TK, Wagle N, Brown CA, Massachi S *et al.* (2002). Human wild-type tau interacts with wingless pathway components and produces neurofibrillary pathology in Drosophila. Neuron 34: 509–519.

Kimura N, Tanemura K, Nakamura S, Takashima A, Ono F, Sakakibara I *et al.* (2003). Age-related changes of Alzheimer's disease-associated proteins in cynomolgus monkey brains. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 310: 303–311.

Kraemer BC, Zhang B, Leverenz JB, Thomas JH, Trojanowski JQ, Schellenberg GD (2003). Neurodegeneration and defective neurotransmission in a Caenorhabditis elegans model of tauopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 9980–9985.

Kumar VB, Farr SA, Flood JF, Kamlesh V, Franko M, Banks WA *et al.* (2000). Site-directed antisense oligonucleotide decreases the expression of amyloid precursor protein and reverses deficits in learning and memory in aged SAMP8 mice. Peptides 21: 1769–1775.

Lacor PN, Buniel MC, Chang L, Fernandez SJ, Gong Y, Viola KL *et al.* (2004). Synaptic targeting by Alzheimer's related amyloid β oligomers. J Neurosci 24: 10191–10200.

Lane MA (2000). Nonhuman primate models in biogerontology. Exp Gerontol 35: 533–541.

Lawlor PA, Young D (2010). Aβ infusion and related models of Alzheimer dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 347–370.

Lawlor PA, Bland RJ, Das P, Price RW, Holloway V, Smithson L *et al.* (2007). Novel rat Alzheimer's disease models based on AAV-mediated gene transfer to selectively increase hippocampal Abeta levels. Mol Neurodegener 2: 11.

Lee JA, Cole GJ (2007). Generation of transgenic zebrafish expressing green fluorescent protein under control of zebrafish amyloid precursor protein gene regulatory elements. Zebrafish 4: 277–286.

Leimer U, Lun K, Romig H, Walter J, Grünberg J, Brand M *et al.* (1999). Zebrafish (Danio rerio) presenilin promotes aberrant amyloid beta-peptide production and requires a critical aspartate residue for its function in amyloidogenesis. Biochemistry 38: 13602–13609.



Lemere CA, Beierschmitt A, Iglesias M, Spooner ET, Bloom JK, Leverone JF *et al.* (2004). Alzheimer's disease abeta vaccine reduces central nervous system abeta levels in a non-human primate, the Caribbean vervet. Am J Pathol 165: 283–297.

Lemere CA, Oh J, Stanish HA, Peng Y, Pepivani I, Fagan AM *et al.* (2008). Cerebral amyloid-beta protein accumulation with aging in cotton-top tamarins: a model of early Alzheimer's disease? Rejuvenation Res 11: 321–332.

Leroy K, Ando K, Héraud C, Yilmaz Z, Authelet M, Boeynaems JM *et al.* (2010). Lithium treatment arrests the development of neurofibrillary tangles in mutant tau transgenic mice with advanced neurofibrillary pathology. J Alzheimers Dis 19: 705–719.

Lescaudron L, Stein DG (1999). Differences in memory impairment and response to GM1 ganglioside treatment following electrolytic or ibotenic acid lesions of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis. Restor Neurol Neurosci 15: 25–37.

Levin ED, Rose JE, McGurk SR, Butcher LL (1990). Characterization of the cognitive effects of combined muscarinic and nicotinic blockade. Behav Neural Biol 53: 103–112.

Levitan D, Greenwald I (1995). Facilitation of lin-12-mediated signalling by sel-12, a Caenorhabditis elegans S182 Alzheimer's disease gene. Nature 377: 351–354.

Li X, Greenwald I (1997). HOP-1, a Caenorhabditis elegans presenilin, appears to be functionally redundant with SEL-12 presenilin and to facilitate LIN-12 and GLP-1 signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 12204–12209.

Li A, Xie Z, Dong Y, McKay KM, McKee ML, Tanzi RE (2007). Isolation and characterization of the Drosophila ubiquilin ortholog dUbqln: in vivo interaction with early-onset Alzheimer disease genes. Hum Mol Genet 16: 2626–2639.

Link CD (1995). Expression of human beta-amyloid peptide in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92: 9368–9372.

Link CD (2006). C. elegans models of age-associated neurodegenerative diseases: lessons from transgenic worm models of Alzheimer's disease. Exp Gerontol 41: 1007–1013.

Luo LQ, Martin-Morris LE, White K (1990). Identification, secretion, and neural expression of APPL, a Drosophila protein similar to human amyloid protein precursor. J Neurosci 10: 3849–3861.

McGowan E, Eriksen J, Hutton M (2006). A decade of modeling Alzheimer's disease in transgenic mice. Trends Genet 22: 281–289.

McLaurin J, Kierstead ME, Brown ME, Hawkes CA, Lambermon MH, Phinney AL *et al.* (2006). Cyclohexanehexol inhibitors of Abeta aggregation prevent and reverse Alzheimer phenotype in a mouse model. Nat Med 12: 801–808.

Magga J, Puli L, Pihlaja R, Kanninen K, Neulamaa S, Malm T *et al.* (2010). Human intravenous immunoglobulin provides protection against A β toxicity by multiple mechanisms in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroinflammation 7: 90.

Malviya M, Kumar YC, Asha D, Chandra JN, Subhash MN, Rangappa KS (2008). Muscarinic receptor 1 agonist activity of novel N-arylthioureas substituted 3-morpholino arecoline derivatives in Alzheimer's presenile dementia models. Bioorg Med Chem 16: 7095–7101.

Martin EM, Wilson RS, Penn RD, Fox JH, Clasen RA, Savoy SM (1987). Cortical biopsy results in Alzheimer's disease: correlation with cognitive deficits. Neurology 37: 1201–1204.

Martinez-Coria H, Green KN, Billings LM, Kitazawa M, Albrecht M, Rammes G *et al.* (2010). Memantine improves cognition and reduces Alzheimer's-like neuropathology in transgenic mice. Am J Pathol 176: 870–880.

Mesulam MM, Mufson EJ, Wainer BH, Levey AI (1983). Central cholinergic pathways in the rat: an overview based on an alternative nomenclature (Ch1-Ch6). Neuroscience 10: 1185–1201.

Meunier J, Ieni J, Maurice T (2006). The anti-amnesic and neuroprotective effects of donepezil against amyloid beta25-35 peptide-induced toxicity in mice involve an interaction with the sigma1 receptor. Br J Pharmacol 149: 998–1012.

Miller JC, Holmes MC, Wang J, Guschin DY, Lee YL, Rupniewski I *et al.* (2007). An improved zinc-finger nuclease architecture for highly specific genome editing. Nat Biotechnol 25: 778–785.

Moens CB, Donn TM, Wolf-Saxon ER, Ma TP (2008). Reverse genetics in zebrafish by TILLING. Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic 7: 454–459.

Moran PM (1993). Differential effects of scopolamine and mecamylamine on working and reference memory in the rat. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 45: 533–538.

Mulder J, Harkany T, Czollner K, Cremers TI, Keijser JN, Nyakas C *et al.* (2005). Galantamine-induced behavioral recovery after sublethal excitotoxic lesions to the rat medial septum. Behav Brain Res 163: 33–41.

Musa A, Lehrach H, Russo VA (2001). Distinct expression patterns of two zebrafish homologues of the human APP gene during embryonic development. Dev Genes Evol 211: 563–567.

Nag S, Yee BK, Tang F (1999). Reduction in somatostatin and substance P levels and choline acetyltransferase activity in the cortex and hippocampus of the rat after chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of β -amyloid (1–40). Brain Res Bull 50: 251–262.

Nakamura S, Murayama N, Noshita T, Annoura H, Ohno T (2001). Progressive brain dysfunction following intracerebroventricular infusion of β 1–42-amyloid peptide. Brain Res 912: 128–136.

Ness DK, Boggs LN, Hepburn DL, Gitter B, Long GG, May PC *et al.* (2004). Reduced β -amyloid burden, increased C-99 concentrations and evaluation of neuropathology in the brains of PDAPP mice given LY450139 dihydrate daily by gavage for 5 months. Neurobiol Aging 25 (Suppl. 2): S238–S239.

Nishida Y, Ito S, Ohtsuki S, Yamamoto N, Takahashi T, Iwata N *et al.* (2009). Depletion of vitamin E increases amyloid beta accumulation by decreasing its clearances from brain and blood in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease. J Biol Chem 284: 33400–33408.

Nishimura H, Higuchi O, Tateshita K, Tomobe K, Okuma Y, Nomura Y (2006). Antioxidative activity and ameliorative effects of memory impairment of sulfur-containing compounds in Allium species. Biofactors 26: 135–146.

Nordberg A, Nilsson-Håkansson L, Adem A, Hardy J, Alafuzoff I, Lai Z *et al.* (1989). The role of nicotinic receptors in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease. Prog Brain Res 79: 353–362.

Nornes S, Groth C, Camp E, Ey P, Lardelli M (2003). Developmental control of Presenilin1 expression, endoproteolysis, and interaction in zebrafish embryos. Exp Cell Res 289: 124–132.

Nornes S, Newman M, Verdile G, Wells S, Stoick-Cooper CL, Tucker B *et al.* (2008). Interference with splicing of Presenilin transcripts has potent dominant negative effects on Presenilin activity. Hum Mol Genet 17: 402–412.



Oddo S, Caccamo A, Kitazawa M, Tseng BP, LaFerla FM (2003a). Amyloid deposition precedes tangle formation in a triple transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 24: 1063–1070.

Oddo S, Caccamo A, Shepherd JD, Murphy MP, Golde TE, Kayed R *et al.* (2003b). Triple-transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease with plaques and tangles: intracellular Abeta and synaptic dysfunction. Neuron 39: 409–421.

Olariu A, Yamada K, Mamiya T, Hefco V, Nabeshima T (2002). Memory impairment induced by chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of β -amyloid (1–40) involves downregulation of protein kinase C. Brain Res 957: 278–286.

Ott A, Breteler MM, van Harskamp F, Claus JJ, van der Cammen TJ, Grobbee DE *et al.* (1995). Prevalence of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia: association with education. The Rotterdam study. BMJ 310: 970–973.

Paquet D, Bhat R, Sydow A, Mandelkow EM, Berg S, Hellberg S *et al.* (2009). A zebrafish model of tauopathy allows in vivo imaging of neuronal cell death and drug evaluation. J Clin Invest 119: 1382–1395.

Poon HF, Joshi G, Sultana R, Farr SA, Banks WA, Morley JE *et al.* (2004). Antisense directed at the Abeta region of APP decreases brain oxidative markers in aged senescence accelerated mice. Brain Res 1018: 86–96.

Poon HF, Farr SA, Thongboonkerd V, Lynn BC, Banks WA, Morley JE *et al.* (2005). Proteomic analysis of specific brain proteins in aged SAMP8 mice treated with alpha-lipoic acid: implications for aging and age-related neurodegenerative disorders. Neurochem Int 46: 159–168.

Reisberg B, Borenstein J, Salob SP, Ferris SH, Franssen E, Georgotas A (1987). Behavioral symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: phenomenology and treatment. J Clin Psychiatry 48: 9–15.

Ribé EM, Pérez M, Puig B, Gich I, Lim F, Cuadrado M *et al.* (2005). Accelerated amyloid deposition, neurofibrillary degeneration and neuronal loss in double mutant APP/tau transgenic mice. Neurobiol Dis 20: 814–822.

Riekkinen P Jr, Sirviö J, Aaltonen M, Riekkinen P (1990). Effects of concurrent manipulations of nicotinic and muscarinic receptors on spatial and passive avoidance learning. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 37: 405–410.

Roertgen KE, Parisi JE, Clark HB, Barnes DL, O'Brien TD, Johnson KH (1996). A beta-associated cerebral angiopathy and senile plaques with neurofibrillary tangles and cerebral hemorrhage in an aged wolverine (Gulo gulo). Neurobiol Aging 17: 243–247.

Rofina JE, van Ederen AM, Toussaint MJ, Secrève M, van der Spek A, van der Meer I *et al.* (2006). Cognitive disturbances in old dogs suffering from the canine counterpart of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 1069: 216–226.

Rosen DR, Martin-Morris L, Luo LQ, White K (1989). A Drosophila gene encoding a protein resembling the human beta-amyloid protein precursor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86: 2478–2482.

Rush DK (1988). Scopolamine amnesia of passive avoidance: a deficit of information acquisition. Behav Neural Biol 50: 255–274.

Sani S, Traul D, Klink A, Niaraki N, Gonzalo-Ruiz A, Wu CK *et al.* (2003). Distribution, progression and chemical composition of cortical amyloid- β deposits in aged rhesus monkeys: similarities to the human. Acta Neuropathol 105: 145–156.

Sarasa M, Pesini P (2009). Natural non-transgenic animal models for research in Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 6: 171–178.

Selden NR, Gitelman DR, Salamon-Murayama N, Parrish TB, Mesulam MM (1998). Trajectories of cholinergic pathways within the cerebral hemispheres of the human brain. Brain 121: 2249–2257.

Selkoe DJ (2000). Toward a comprehensive theory for Alzheimer's disease. Hypothesis: Alzheimer's disease is caused by the cerebral accumulation and cytotoxicity of amyloid beta-protein. Ann N Y Acad Sci 924: 17–25.

Sergeant N, Buée L (2010). TAU models. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 449–468.

Sherman KA, Friedman E (1990). Pre- and post-synaptic cholinergic dysfunction in aged rodent brain regions: new findings and an interpretive review. Int J Dev Neurosci 8: 689–708.

Shih PH, Chan YC, Liao JW, Wang MF, Yen GC (2010). Antioxidant and cognitive promotion effects of anthocyanin-rich mulberry (Morus atropurpurea L.) on senescence-accelerated mice and prevention of Alzheimer's disease. J Nutr Biochem 21: 598–605.

Shulman JM, Feany MB (2003). Genetic modifiers of tauopathy in Drosophila. Genetics 165: 1233–1242.

Sipos E, Kurunczi A, Kasza A, Horváth J, Felszeghy K, Laroche S *et al.* (2007). Beta-amyloid pathology in the entorhinal cortex of rats induces memory deficits: implications for Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience 147: 28–36.

Sloan HL, Good M, Dunnett SB (2006). Double dissociation between hippocampal and prefrontal lesions on an operant delayed matching task and a water maze reference memory task. Behav Brain Res 171: 116–126.

Sowell RA, Butterfield DA (2010). Spontaneous vertebrate models of Alzheimer Dementia: Selectively bred strains (SAM strains). In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 271–293.

Staufenbiel M, Wiederhold KH, Tissot AC, Frey P, Fulurija A, Hiestand P *et al.* (2006). Immunization with A β 1-6 coupled to the virus-like particle Q β (CAD106) efficiently removes β -amyloid without inducing A β -reactive T-cells. Proceedings of 10th ICAD Alzheimer's and Dementia 2 (Suppl. 1), S20.

Stürchler-Pierrat C, Abramowski D, Duke M, Wiederhold KH, Mistl C, Rothacher S *et al.* (1997). Two amyloid precursor protein transgenic mouse models with Alzheimer disease-like pathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 13287–13292.

Sunderland T, Tariot PN, Weingartner H, Murphy DL, Newhouse PA, Mueller EA *et al.* (1986). Pharmacologic modelling of Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 10: 599–610.

Sy M, Kitazawa M, LaFerla F (2010). The 3xTg-AD Mouse Model: Reproducing and modulating plaque and tangle pathology. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 482–496.

Szabados T, Dul C, Majtényi K, Hargitai J, Pénzes Z, Urbanics R (2004). A chronic Alzheimer's model evoked by mitochondrial poison sodium azide for pharmacological investigations. Behav Brain Res 154: 31–40.

Tajes M, Gutierrez-Cuesta J, Folch J, Ortuño-Sahagun D, Verdaguer E, Jiménez A *et al.* (2010). Neuroprotective role of intermittent fasting in senescence-accelerated mice P8 (SAMP8). Exp Gerontol 45: 702–710.

Takeda T (1999). Senescence-accelerated mouse (SAM): a biogerontological resource in aging research. Neurobiol Aging 20: 105–110.



Tekirian TL, Cole GM, Russell MJ, Yang F, Wekstein DR, Patel E *et al.* (1996). Carboxy terminal of beta-amyloid deposits in aged human, canine, and polar bear brains. Neurobiol Aging 17: 249–257.

Toledana A, Álvarez MI (2010). Lesion-induced vertebrate models of Alzheimer dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 295–345.

Tomasiewicz HG, Flaherty DB, Soria JP, Wood JG (2002). Transgenic zebrafish model of neurodegeneration. J Neurosci Res 70: 734–745.

Trabace L, Cassano T, Steardo L, Pietra C, Villetti G, Kendrick KM *et al.* (2000). Biochemical and neurobehavioral profile of CHF2819, a novel, orally active acetylcholinesterase inhibitor for Alzheimer's disease. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 294: 187–194.

Tse FL, Laplanche R (1998). Absorption, metabolism, and disposition of [14C]SDZ ENA 713, an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, in minipigs following oral, intravenous, and dermal administration. Pharm Res 15: 1614–1620.

Uchida K, Yoshino T, Yamaguchi R, Tateyama S, Kimoto Y, Nakayama H *et al.* (1995). Senile plaques and other senile changes in the brain of an American black bear. Vet Pathol 32: 412–414.

Vale-Martínez A, Guillazo-Blanch G, Martí-Nicolovius M, Nadal R, Arévalo-García R, Morgado-Bernal I (2002). Electrolytic and ibotenic acid lesions of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis interrupt long-term retention, but not acquisition of two-way active avoidance, in rats. Exp Brain Res 142: 52–66.

Van Dam D, De Deyn PP (2006). Drug discovery in dementia: the role of rodent models. Nat Rev Drug Discov 5: 956–970.

Van Dam D, De Deyn PP (2010). The APP23 mouse model for Alzheimer's disease. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 399–413.

Van Dam D, Vloeberghs E, Abramowski D, Staufenbiel M, De Deyn PP (2005). APP23 mice as a model of Alzheimer's disease: an example of a transgenic approach to modeling a CNS disorder. CNS Spectr 10: 207–222.

Van Dam D, Coen K, De Deyn PP (2010). Ibuprofen modifies cognitive disease progression in an Alzheimer's mouse model. J Psychopharmacol 24: 383–388.

Vickers JC, Dickson TC, Adlard PA, Saunders HL, King CE, McCormack G (2000). The cause of neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neurobiol 60: 139–165.

Vloeberghs E, Van Dam D, Engelborghs S, Nagels G, Staufenbiel M, De Deyn PP (2004). Altered circadian locomotor activity in APP23 mice: a model for BPSD disturbances. Eur J Neurosci 20: 2757–2766.

Vloeberghs E, Van Dam D, Coen K, Staufenbiel M, De Deyn PP (2006). Aggressive male APP23 mice modeling behavioral alterations in dementia. Behav Neurosci 120: 1380–1383.

Vloeberghs E, Coen K, Van Dam D, De Deyn PP (2008). Validation of the APP23 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease through evaluation of risperidone treatment on aggressive behaviour. Arzneimittelforschung 58: 265–268.

Voytko ML, Tinkler GP (2004). Cognitive function and its neural mechanisms in nonhuman primate models of aging, Alzheimer disease, and menopause. Front Biosci 9: 1899–1914.

Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ (2007). A beta oligomers – a decade of discovery. J Neurochem 101: 1172–1184.

Weldon DT, Rogers SD, Ghilardi JR, Finke MP, Cleary JP, O'Hare E *et al.* (1998). Fibrillar beta-amyloid induces microglial phagocytosis, expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase, and loss of a select population of neurons in the rat CNS in vivo. J Neurosci 18: 2161–2173.

Wenk GL, McGann K, Hauss-Wegrzyniak B, Rosi S (2003). The toxicity of tumor necrosis factor-alpha upon cholinergic neurons within the nucleus basalis and the role of norepinephrine in the regulation of inflammation: implications for Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience 121: 719–729.

Whitehouse PJ, Au KS (1986). Cholinergic receptors in aging and Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 10: 665–676.

Whitehouse PJ, Price DL, Clark AW, Coyle JT, DeLong MR (1982). Alzheimer disease: evidence for selective loss of cholinergic neurons in the nucleus basalis. Ann Neurol 10: 122–126.

Willemsen R, van't Padje S, van Swieten JC, Oostra BA (2010). Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) as a models organism for dementia. In: De Deyn PP, Van Dam D (eds). Animal Models of Dementia. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, pp. 255–269.

Wimo A, Winblad B, Aguero-Torres H, von Strauss E (2003). The magnitude of dementia occurrence in the world. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 17: 63–67.

Wimo A, Winblad B, Jönsson L (2010). The worldwide societal costs of dementia: estimates for 2009. Alzheimers Dement 6: 98–103.

Wittmann CW, Wszolek MF, Shulman JM, Salvaterra PM, Lewis J, Hutton M *et al.* (2001). Tauopathy in Drosophila: neurodegeneration without neurofibrillary tangles. Science 293: 711–714.

Wong KK, Ho MT, Lin HQ, Lau KF, Rudd JA, Chung RC *et al.* (2010). Cryptotanshinone, an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor from Salvia miltiorrhiza, ameliorates scopolamine-induced amnesia in Morris water maze task. Planta Med 76: 228–234.

Wu X, Glinn MA, Ostrowski NL, Su Y, Ni B, Cole HW *et al.* (1999). Raloxifene and estradiol benzoate both fully restore hippocampal choline acetyltransferase activity in ovariectomized rats. Brain Res 847: 98–104.

Wu Y, Wu Z, Butko P, Christen Y, Lambert MP, Klein WL *et al.* (2006). Amyloid-beta-induced pathological behaviors are suppressed by Ginkgo biloba extract EGb 761 and ginkgolides in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci 26: 13102–13113.

Yagi H, Katoh S, Akiguchi I, Takeda T (1988). Age-related deterioration of ability of acquisition in memory and learning in senescence accelerated mouse: SAM-P/8 as an animal model of disturbances in recent memory. Brain Res 474: 86–93.

Yamada M, Chiba T, Sasabe J, Nawa M, Tajima H, Niikura T *et al.* (2005). Implanted cannula-mediated repetitive administration of A β 25–35 into the mouse cerebral ventricle effectively impairs spatial working memory. Behav Brain Res 164: 139–146.

Zhong H, Zou H, Semenov MV, Moshinsky D, He X, Huang H *et al.* (2009). Characterization and development of novel small-molecules inhibiting GSK3 and activating Wnt signaling. Mol Biosyst 5: 1356–1360.

Zou H, Zhou L, Li Y, Cui Y, Zhong H, Pan Z *et al.* (2010). Benzo[e]isoindole-1,3-diones as potential inhibitors of glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3). Synthesis, kinase inhibitory activity, zebrafish phenotype, and modeling of binding mode. J Med Chem 53: 994–1003.