

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Eur J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Neurosci. 2011 December ; 34(12): 2054–2063. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07915.x.

Do brain responses to emotional images and cigarette cues differ? An fMRI study in smokers

Francesco Versace1,* , **Jeffrey M. Engelmann**1, **Edward F. Jackson**2, **Vincent D. Costa**3, **Jason D. Robinson**1, **Cho Y. Lam**1, **Jennifer A. Minnix**1, **Victoria L. Brown**1, and **Paul M. Cinciripini**¹

¹Department of Behavioral Science, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

²Department of Imaging Physics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

³Department of Psychology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Abstract

Chronic smoking is thought to cause changes in brain reward systems that result in overvaluation of cigarette-related stimuli and undervaluation of natural rewards. We tested the hypotheses that, in smokers, brain circuits involved in emotional processing 1) would be more active during exposure to cigarette-related than neutral pictures, and 2) would be less active to pleasant compared to cigarette-related pictures, suggesting a devaluation of intrinsically pleasant stimuli. We obtained whole brain blood-oxygenation-level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (BOLD fMRI) data from 35 smokers during the presentation of pleasant (erotica and romance), unpleasant (mutilations and sad), neutral, and cigarette-related pictures. Whole brain analyses showed significantly larger BOLD responses during presentation of cigarette-related pictures relative to neutral ones within the secondary visual areas, the cingulate gyrus, the frontal gyrus, the dorsal striatum, and the left insula. BOLD responses to erotic pictures exceeded responses to cigarette-related pictures in all clusters except the insula. Within the left insula we observed larger BOLD responses to cigarette-related pictures than to all other picture categories. By including intrinsically pleasant and unpleasant pictures in addition to neutral ones, we were able to conclude that the presentation of cigarette-related pictures activates brain areas supporting emotional processes, but we did not find evidence of overall reduced activation of the brain reward systems in the presence of intrinsically pleasant stimuli.

Keywords

pictures; smoking; nicotine; emotions; insula

Introduction

Relapse is the most likely outcome of any smoking cessation attempt (Piasecki, 2006). Theoretical models attribute relapses to altered motivational processes. The affective motivational model (Baker *et al*., 2004) emphasizes the role of negative affect as the principal culprit in the maintenance of smoking addiction. The incentive-sensitization theory

^{*}Correspondence to: Francesco Versace, Department of Behavioral Science, Unit 1330, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, P.O. Box 301439, Houston, TX 77030, USA. Tel: +1 (713) 792-6279, Fax: +1 (713) 745-4286, fversace@mdanderson.org.

(Robinson & Berridge, 2003) highlights the power of drug-related cues to trigger compulsive drug seeking. In fact, cravings elicited by cigarette cues are often reported by smokers as the precipitating cause of relapse (Shiffman et al., 2007). Volkow and coworkers (Goldstein & Volkow, 2002; Koob & Volkow, 2010; Volkow *et al*., 2010) proposed that, in addition to the presence of drug cues, the reduction of the motivational value held by natural rewards (e.g., sex, food) could be another cause of relapse.

Studies testing the hypothesis that chronic drug use leads to the devaluation of natural rewards have provided mixed results. In animal models, chronic drug administration has been found to both reduce (Grigson & Twining, 2002) and increase (Fiorino & Phillips, 1999; Wyvell & Berridge, 2001) the incentive value attributed to natural rewards. In humans, Goldstein and co-workers (2010) showed that cocaine addicts attribute greater incentive value to cocaine cues than to natural rewards when "under the influence". In contrast, Barr and co-workers (2008) found that, in nicotine-naive humans, nicotine administration results in response bias toward natural rewards.

Functional neuroimaging has the potential to link findings obtained by using self-report (Goldstein *et al*., 2010) and behavioral measures (Barr *et al*., 2008) to clarify the important question of how chronic drug use affects neural responses to rewarding stimuli. Two fMRI studies have found evidence of a link between addiction and decreased responses to natural rewards. Garavan and colleagues (2000) found that cocaine addicts had smaller BOLD responses in reward-processing circuits when viewing an erotic video compared to a cocaine-related video. Heinz and colleagues (2007) found that blunted responses to intrinsically pleasant pictures in the ventral striatum were predictive of relapse in a sample of detoxified alcoholics. This raises the question of whether a similar phenomenon is evident in cigarette smokers.

Although prior neuroimaging studies of smokers reported increased activation at mesolimbic sites during cigarette cues compared to neutral cues (David *et al*., 2005; Due *et al*., 2002; Franklin *et al*., 2007; McClernon *et al*., 2005), none have directly compared neural activation to cigarette cues and other naturally rewarding stimuli. The novel aim of this study was to compare BOLD signal change when smokers viewed cigarette-related, pleasant, unpleasant, and neutral pictures. We hypothesized that if cigarette cues are motivationally significant to smokers, their presence should heighten BOLD activation in regions activated by the presentation of pleasant pictures (e.g., erotic and romantic scenes). We also directly compared the magnitude of the BOLD signal change to cigarette-related and pleasant pictures to assess whether smoking sensitizes responses to cigarette cues at the expense of intrinsically pleasant stimuli, consistent with the fMRI results obtained in alcoholics and cocaine addicts (Garavan *et al*., 2000; Heinz *et al*., 2007).

Methods and Materials

Participants

Smokers motivated to quit smoking and willing to participate in a clinical trial of smokingcessation medications were recruited via local (Houston metropolitan area) radio, print, and internet advertising. As part of this trial, participants were asked to complete two fMRI scanning sessions. The first session occurred before randomization to a medication group and while still smoking at their regular rate, while the second session occurred 24 h after quitting and while still receiving study medications. This article only presents data from the first session. The data from the post-quit fMRI session and its relationship to smokingcessation outcomes will be the focus of future articles, at the conclusion of the ongoing clinical trial.

Inclusion criteria for participation in the study were as follows: 18–65 years old, selfreported smoking of at least 10 cigarettes per day, baseline expired-air carbon monoxide (CO) greater than 10 ppm, not currently meeting diagnostic criteria for psychiatric or substance use disorders (other than smoking), being free of contraindications for the study medications (bupropion and varenicline), being fluent in English, having a working telephone, being right-handed (to reduce variability introduced by differences in brain lateralization), and self-reported European ancestry (to maintain a homogeneous sample for future genetic analyses). Of the 50 individuals who met the inclusion criteria, 40 completed the pre-quit fMRI session. Scanner-related equipment failure resulted in the loss of fMRI data for 5 participants, resulting in a total of 35 participants (14 female) for this analysis. Table 1 lists demographic and smoking related characteristics for the group. All participants provided written informed consent, and the research was approved by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Review Board. Participants were compensated \$50 for completing each fMRI session.

Stimuli

We based our picture-viewing task on a paradigm that has been used to reliably measure brain responses to emotional pictures (Bradley *et al*., 2003; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2007a). Participants viewed 64 pictures from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS) (Lang *et al*., 2005) and from smoking-related picture collections previously used in our laboratory (Carter *et al*., 2006) and elsewhere (Gilbert & Rabinovich, 1999). Pictures were selected from 4 categories of affective valence, including pleasant (8 high-arousal erotic pictures and 8 low-arousal romantic pictures), unpleasant (8 high-arousal mutilation pictures and 8 low-arousal sad pictures), neutral (16 neutral people), and cigarette-related (16 pictures of people smoking). The high-arousal and low-arousal subcategories were selected to have the same arousal levels (based on the IAPS normative ratings) in both the pleasant and unpleasant valence categories.¹ The slides were displayed for 3 s each, separated by a 12-s intertrial interval, and delivered in a pseudorandom order with respect to category, with the restriction that no more than two pictures of the same category would be presented consecutively. Stimuli were presented with a Pentium 4 computer running E-prime software (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) and an MR-compatible stimulus-presentation system (IFIS-SA; Invivo, Orlando, FL) such that they subtended a horizontal viewing angle of approximately 15°.

Procedure

All laboratory sessions took place between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. Participants were allowed to smoke *ad libitum* before the laboratory session to ensure that they would be in a non-deprived state. Upon arrival at the laboratory, the participant provided an expired-air CO sample to confirm that they had smoked and completed smoking status and mood questionnaires. After completing the questionnaires, participants were escorted to the fMRI scanning suite, placed into the scanner, and instructed to simply look at the stimuli. The MR images were acquired using a GE Healthcare (Milwaukee, WI) 3.0 T Signa HDxt (v 15.0) magnetic resonance scanner with TwinSpeed gradients in zoom mode (40 mT/m, 150 mT/ m-s slew rate) and an 8-channel, high-resolution, transmit/receive brain volume coil (MRI Devices, Waukesha, WI). After a short acclimation period, the BOLD signal was measured using a T2*-weighted, echo-planar imaging protocol while the participants completed the picture-viewing task. The slice prescription consisted of 50 coronal slices (2.5 mm thick, 0.5 mm gap) that covered most of the brain, except for the most anterior and most posterior

¹For the IAPS pictures used in this study, the average standardized valence and arousal ratings for each category were, respectively, MUT: 1.79, 6.36; SAD: 2.91, 4.82; ERO: 6.63, 6.29; ROM: 7.40, 4.90; NEU: 5.30, 3.55. The arousal ratings did not significantly differ as a function of valence for neither the high-arousal (MUT vs. ERO) or low-arousal (ROM vs. SAD) subcategories.

Eur J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 1.

regions of the prefrontal and occipital cortices, respectively. The parameters for this pulse sequence were as follows: Matrix size = 64×64 , field-of-view = 160 mm × 160 mm, inplane resolution = 2.5×2.5 mm, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 25.3 ms, flip angle = 90 $^{\circ}$. The acquisition of each volume was time-locked to the slide presentation, such that, after discarding the first 2 volumes to allow T1 magnetization to reach steady-state, 1 volume was acquired while the slide was on and 4 volumes were acquired during the intertrial interval, for a total of 335 volumes for the entire picture-viewing task. After the picture-viewing task, a high-resolution (1 mm³ voxels), 3 dimensional, T1-weighted anatomical image was obtained using a magnetization-prepared, fast spoiled gradient echo (IR-3DFSPGR) pulse sequence (TR = 6.432 ms, TE = 2.1 ms, inversion time = 400 ms, flip angle = 20°). After obtaining the anatomical image, the participant was removed from the scanner and completed a post-experimental questionnaire before dismissal until the next experimental

Data Reduction and Analysis

session.

The goal of this study was to determine whether BOLD responses to cigarette cues exceeded those to other categories of emotional stimuli. Primary analysis consisted of two steps. First, we identified regions of interest (ROIs) on the basis of a whole-brain analysis of the fMRI data that compared BOLD responses to cigarette and neutral cues**, an approach followed in previous studies** (Brody *et al*., 2007; Janes *et al*., 2009; McBride *et al*., 2006; McClernon *et al*., 2008; McClernon *et al*., 2009). Second, we compared the BOLD responses across all stimulus categories within the regions of interest identified in step 1 (Bradley *et al*., 2003). In addition, we conducted a secondary analysis comparing BOLD responses across all stimulus categories within two theoretically important regions of interest that were not found to be significantly active in the whole-brain analysis from step 1. This analysis included the amygdala and the nucleus accumbens.

Step 1: Region of interest identification—A whole-brain analysis was used to identify ROIs where BOLD responses to cigarette cues were greater than those to neutral cues. This analysis was conducted using BrainVoyager QX (version 2.2, Brain Innovation, Maastricht, Netherlands) (Goebel *et al*., 2006). First, the data for each participant were pre-processed, which consisted of slice-timing correction using cubic spline interpolation, motion correction using a 6-parameter rigid-body transformation and trilinear interpolation, spatial smoothing with a 3-dimensional Gaussian kernel (5-mm FWHM), and high-pass temporal filtering with a cutoff frequency of 0.02 Hz. The preprocessed functional images were aligned to the participants' anatomical image, followed by transformation into standard Talairach-Tournoux space (3 mm isotropic voxels) through manual identification of reference points in the anatomical images.

The Talairach-transformed functional images for each subject were analyzed using a fixedeffects general linear model. For each picture category (erotic, romantic, mutilation, sad, neutral, and cigarette), a boxcar function representing the stimulus timing was convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function to generate the predicted BOLD response for pictures from that category. The head motion parameters from preprocessing were added to the model as nuisance variables. Parameter estimates (*β*) were obtained for each of the picture categories for each voxel using least-squares regression. For group analysis, the individual subjects' *β*-values for each picture category were entered into a random-effects general linear model. The *t*-statistic from the cigarette vs. neutral contrast of the randomeffects *β*-values was used to generate a statistical parametric map of the strength of cigarette cue reactivity. Clusters of voxels that exceeded a statistical threshold of $t(34) > 3.25$ and cluster-size threshold of 125 mm³ were labeled as significantly active, which controlled the

false discovery rate at $p < 0.05$. These clusters were defined as ROIs for the comparison of BOLD responses across all stimulus categories.

Step 2: Comparison of BOLD responses across all stimulus categories—Mean peristimulus time courses for each picture category were extracted from voxels within the ROIs identified from the whole-brain analysis and expressed as the percent change from the ROI's entire time course. The volumes that started at 0, 3, 6, and 9 s after picture onset were then baseline-corrected by subtracting the value of the volume measured immediately before picture onset. To identify the post-stimulus time points that showed the largest differences across stimulus categories, we conducted a category \times time within-subjects analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the peristimulus time courses extracted from the largest ROI, the visual association cortex. The 6- and 9- s post-onset volumes showed the largest differences among picture categories (see Results). Thus, the data from these two time points were averaged for each of the ROIs identified using the whole-brain analysis and entered into ANOVAs using picture category as a within-subjects factor 2 . In the event of a significant *F*-test in these ANOVAs, we used Tukey HSD *post hoc* tests to directly compare the difference in BOLD response among the stimulus categories. To evaluate arousalmodulation of the BOLD response we tested the significance of the quadratic trend in a polynomial contrast for the following picture categories: Mutilation, sad, neutral, romantic, and erotic (coefficients of 2, −1, −2, −1, 2, respectively) (Bradley *et al*., 2003). For all statistical tests, the significance threshold was set at $p < .05$. For effects involving repeated measures, significance was assessed using multivariate tests (Wilks *γ* and its approximate *F*statistic).

Theory-based region of interest analysis—Although the whole-brain analysis did not detect significantly greater activation for cigarette pictures than neutral pictures in the amygdala or the ventral striatum (see Results), we were interested in BOLD responses in these regions due to their theoretical significance for responses to emotional pictures (Sabatinelli *et al*., 2007a) and tobacco addiction (David *et al*., 2005; Franklin *et al*., 2007). Thus, we created ROIs for these structures using coordinates from a computerized version of the Talairach-Tournoux atlas (Lancaster *et al*., 1997; Lancaster *et al*., 2000). Extraction of peristimulus time courses from these regions and subsequent ANOVAs for differences in the BOLD response among stimulus categories paralleled those described above.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Table 1 shows demographic and smoking characteristics of the 35 participants included in the analyses.

Identification of Regions of Interest from the Whole-Brain Analysis

To identify ROIs for detailed comparisons of the BOLD response among cigarette cues and emotional stimuli, we examined the results of a whole brain analysis of BOLD responses to cigarette vs. neutral cues. This analysis indicated that viewing cigarette related pictures, compared to neutral pictures, heightened BOLD activity in the visual association cortex in the occipital, posterior parietal, and inferior temporal lobes, the dorsal striatum, the cingulate gyrus, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and the insula. Peak activation coordinates and statistics for the activated regions are listed in Table 2.

²When sex was included as a between-subjects factor, neither the main effect of sex $[Fs(1,33) < 2.6, ps > .1]$ nor sex \times picture category interaction [*F*s(5,29) < 1.5, *p*s > .2, *γ*s > .8] were statistically significant in any brain region.

Eur J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 1.

BOLD Responses within each Region of Interest

Visual Association Cortex—The whole-brain analysis revealed that responses to cigarette cues differed from neutral cues in several areas of the visual association cortex in the occipital, posterior parietal, and temporal lobes (Figure 1a). The clearest pattern of significant activation emerged bilaterally in the cuneus, inferior parietal lobule, and middle temporal gyrus. Comparison of BOLD responses across all stimulus categories revealed a large increase in BOLD signal in response to erotic pictures, followed by all other emotional and cigarette-related pictures, and finally by neutral pictures (Figure 1b), as evidenced by a statistically significant category \times time interaction $[F(20,15) = 5.32, p < 0.001, \gamma = .12]$, which was the result of significant differences between stimulus categories during the 3-, 6-, and 9- s post-stimulus-onset volumes, [*F*s(5,30) > 3.6, *p*s < 0.05]. The BOLD response peaked during the 6- and 9-s post-stimulus onset volumes, and the largest differences between picture categories were observed during this interval. Thus, subsequent analyses focused on the percent change in BOLD signal from baseline averaged across these two volumes. Figure 1c shows the BOLD responses in this time window averaged across the active clusters in the visual association cortex. The main effect of picture category was significant, $[F(5,30) = 12.27, p < 0.001, \gamma = .33]$, and *post hoc* comparisons showed that responses to erotic pictures were significantly larger than those to all other picture categories (*p*s < 0.001) and that responses to neutral pictures were significantly smaller than responses to all other picture categories ($ps < 0.05$). The significant quadratic trend, $[F(1,34) = 30.01$, *p* < 0.0001], showed that in visual cortex BOLD activation increased as picture content became more emotionally arousing.

Dorsal Striatum—The whole-brain analysis found another area of significant cigarette > neutral cue reactivity in the head of the right caudate nucleus. Across all picture categories, the pattern of results in this cluster was similar to that seen in the visual association areas [Figure 2a and 2b, stimulus category *F*(5,30) = 4.67, *p* < 0.01, *γ* = .56], in which BOLD responses to erotic pictures were significantly greater than responses to all other picture categories (*p*s < 0.05). Although the differences were not statistically significant (corrected *p* values were between 0.11 and 0.39), BOLD responses to cigarette-related, romantic, sad, and mutilation pictures appeared to be larger than responses to neutral pictures, similar to the result observed in the visual association areas. In fact, the significant quadratic trend, $[F(1,34) = 17.16, p < 0.0005]$ confirmed the relationship between emotional arousal and BOLD activation.

Cingulate Gyrus—Significantly active clusters from the whole-brain cigarette > neutral analysis were also evident in the left anterior (BA24) and posterior (BA31) cingulate gyrus (Table 2). Both clusters showed similar patterns of response and Figure 2c shows BOLD responses averaged across the two clusters. Examination of BOLD responses within this cluster to all picture categories found a significant main effect of picture category, [*F*(5, 30) $= 11.5, p < 0.001, \gamma = .34$. Again, the largest BOLD increase occurred in response to erotic pictures, but the differences between these responses and the responses to romantic and cigarette pictures were not as large as those in the visual system and dorsal striatum. In fact, the difference between erotic and romantic or cigarette-related pictures did not reach significance ($ps < 0.10$). Although the quadratic trend was significant, $[F(1,34) = 16.69, p <$ 0.0005], an exploratory analysis using polynomial contrasts to compare the mean response to erotic, romantic, and cigarette pictures to the mean response to neutral, sad, and mutilation pictures found that there was more BOLD activation in response to erotic, romantic, and cigarette pictures than to neutral, sad, and mutilation pictures, $[F(1,34) = 22.4]$, $p < 0.001$].

Prefrontal Cortex—Two ROIs identified from the whole-brain cigarette > neutral analysis were found within the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, located primarily at the middle (BA46) and superior (BA9) frontal gyri (Table 2). In these regions, there was significant arousal modulation of the BOLD signal, with the largest responses to the highly arousing erotic and mutilation pictures and the smallest responses to neutral pictures. This conclusion was supported by a main effect of picture category, [*F*(5,30) = 7.51, *p* < 0.001, *γ* = .44], and a significant quadratic trend of category, $[F(1,34) = 16.48, p < 0.001]$. The response to cigarette-related pictures was similar to that seen in visual areas, (i.e., smaller than erotic pictures but larger than neutral pictures), but these differences were not significant.

Insula—The whole-brain analysis also found a cluster of significant cigarette > neutral reactivity in the left insula [Figure 3; main effect of picture category, $F(5,30) = 2.71$, $p <$ 0.05, *γ* = .69)]. In this region, comparison of BOLD responses across all picture categories revealed a pattern of results that was substantially different from that seen in the other regions, as cigarette-related pictures, rather than erotic, elicited the largest BOLD response. Although Tukey HSD *post hoc* tests found no significant differences between individual group means (*p*s > .1), an exploratory polynomial contrast of BOLD responses to cigaretterelated pictures vs. the mean of all other picture categories was statistically significant, $[F(1,34) = 11.51, p < 0.01]$. This effect was primarily due to decreased BOLD responses relative to baseline in response to mutilation, neutral, and pleasant pictures and a small increase in BOLD responses to cigarette-related pictures.

BOLD responses in theory-based ROIs

Amygdala—The amygdala region-of-interest was defined as two 512-mm³ regions centered at ±20, −4, −11 mm in Talairach-Tournoux space (see Figure 4a inset). The mean percent signal change from 6 to 12 s after picture onset over all voxels in these cubes was extracted and analyzed for differences between picture categories. For non-cigarette-related pictures, the BOLD response varied as a function of the emotional arousal-level of the picture [Figure 4, main effect of picture category $F(5,30) = 3.87$, $p < 0.01$, $\gamma = .61$], with the largest responses to the most highly arousing pictures (erotica and mutilation) and the smallest response to neutral pictures [quadratic trend of category: $F(1,34) = 5.82$, $p < 0.05$]. The BOLD response to cigarette-related pictures did not significantly differ from the BOLD response to neutral pictures.

Ventral Striatum—The ventral striatal region-of-interest was defined as two 512-mm³ regions centered at ±9, 9, −4 mm in Talairach-Tournoux space (see Figure 4b inset). In this region, the BOLD response appeared to vary as a function of valence for non-smokingrelated pictures (Figure 4b), with decreased BOLD activity for mutilation and sad pictures and no change from baseline for romantic and erotic pictures. The response to cigarette pictures resembled those to romantic and erotic pictures. However, the main effect of picture category was not statistically significant $[F(5,30) = 0.95, \gamma = .86]$, with an exploratory polynomial contrast between the mean response to sad and mutilation pictures and the mean response to romantic, erotic, and cigarette pictures only approaching significance $[F(1,34) =$ 3.31, $p = 0.07$].

Discussion

This study measured treatment-seeking smokers' BOLD responses to cigarette cues and to non-drug-related pleasant, neutral, and unpleasant cues. We tested the hypotheses that: 1) cigarette-related pictures, compared to neutral pictures, would produce significant BOLD activation in anatomical regions that are involved in the processing of emotional stimuli and 2) that the magnitude of BOLD responses to intrinsically pleasant stimuli would be reduced

relative to the response to cigarette cues (Volkow *et al*., 2010). The first hypothesis was supported, but support for the second hypothesis was found only in the insula, where responses to cigarette cues were greater than responses to all other picture categories. In all other clusters of activation, the magnitude of the smokers' BOLD responses to erotic stimuli exceeded the magnitude of their BOLD responses to cigarette cues. This finding has important implications for future studies of smoking cue reactivity and for theories of addiction.

Reactivity to cigarette and intrinsically pleasant cues

Through a learning process, chronic drug use is believed to result in the attribution of increased incentive salience to drug cues. Thus, major theories of addiction predict that brain regions that are involved in learning, memory, and reward processing should be active in response to drug cues (Hyman, 2005; Koob & LeMoal, 2001; Volkow *et al*., 2010). Consistent with these models, we found evidence of significant cigarette cue reactivity in the visual association cortex, dorsal striatum, anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex, and insula and a trend toward significance in the nucleus accumbens. These areas have previously been implicated in reactivity to cigarette cues (Brody *et al*., 2007; David *et al*., 2005; Due *et al*., 2002; Franklin *et al*., 2007; Janes *et al*., 2009; Janes *et al*., 2010a; Janes *et al*., 2010b; McClernon *et al*., 2005; McClernon *et al*., 2008; McClernon *et al*., 2009), alcohol cues (George *et al*., 2001; Heinz *et al*., 2004; Heinz *et al*., 2007), and cocaine cues (Kosten *et al*., 2006; Volkow *et al*., 2006; Wexler *et al*., 2001). Specifically, we found that the magnitude of the BOLD response to cigarette cues closely resembled the magnitude of the response to mildly arousing, pleasant stimuli (i.e., the romantic pictures). This finding is consistent with self-report studies in which smokers reported cigarette cues as pleasant and mildly arousing (Carter *et al*., 2006; Orain-Pelissolo *et al*., 2004), studies that showed similar startle inhibition for pleasant and cigarette-related cues in smokers (Cinciripini *et al*., 2006; Geier *et al*., 2000), and studies that compared smokers' brain reactivity to emotional and cigarette-related cues using event-related potentials (Versace *et al*., 2010; Versace *et al*., 2011). These results converge in supporting the hypothesis that chronic smoking leads to overvaluation of cigarette cues by the brain's reward circuits.

In addition to an overvaluation of drug cues, chronic drug use is believed to lead to a devaluation of natural rewards and their associated cues (Koob & LeMoal, 2001; Volkow *et al*., 2010). For example, in the medial prefrontal cortex, cocaine addicts, compared with non-addicts, have been shown to have larger BOLD responses to cocaine videos and smaller responses to erotic videos (Garavan *et al*., 2000). The lack of alternative means of activating the brain's reward circuitry may be one reason that makes long-term drug abstinence a goal difficult to achieve (Volkow & Li, 2005). Indeed, previous research has demonstrated that detoxified alcoholics with the largest BOLD responses to pleasant pictures in the ventral striatum were more likely to be abstinent at follow-up than those with smaller responses to pleasant pictures (Heinz *et al*., 2007), suggesting that responding to natural rewards may have a protective effect against relapse.

Building on previous neuroimaging studies that examined responses to drug cues in isolation, this study directly compared smokers' brain responses to cigarette cues and naturally-rewarding erotic stimuli using fMRI. Contrary to the results described above for cocaine users, we found that smokers had larger BOLD responses to erotic pictures than to cigarette-related pictures in nearly all ROIs, including the medial prefrontal cortex, where the effect was previously found in cocaine users (Garavan *et al*., 2000).

At first glance, this result may be interpreted as being inconsistent with the hypothesis that chronic drug use results in decreased sensitivity to naturally rewarding stimuli (Volkow *et al*., 2010) and with the data from cocaine users and animal models that support this

hypothesis (Garavan *et al*., 2000; Grigson & Twining, 2002). However, there are several alternative explanations for the differences in response to erotic stimuli between the studies. Although cocaine and nicotine produce similar physiological effects throughout the brain, there are subtle differences between the actions of each drug, especially in the dorsal striatum and anterior cingulate cortex (Merlo Pich *et al*., 1997), which is where, in comparison to drug cues, the cocaine users were less reactive (Garavan *et al*., 2000) and the smokers more reactive to erotic stimuli. There are also important methodological differences between the studies. For example, the smokers in our study were entering a cessation program, but the cocaine users did not report serious plans to quit. Also, the erotic stimulus was presented to the cocaine users in the form of a single motion picture, whereas we used a set of several erotic photographs randomly interspersed within a series of stimuli from several categories. These differences might explain why smokers did not show the same

suppression of BOLD responses to erotic stimuli as cocaine addicts did. Further research is necessary to determine whether this discrepancy is primarily due to the differences in the neuroadaptations following cocaine and nicotine addiction or to procedural differences between smoking and cocaine cue reactivity studies.

Cigarette-specific cue reactivity in the insula

A notable exception to our finding of larger BOLD responses to erotica than cigaretterelated pictures was found in the left insula, where responses to cigarette cues were larger than responses to all other stimulus categories. Several fMRI studies have found significant responses to cigarette cues in the insula (Brody *et al*., 2007; Franklin *et al*., 2007; Janes *et al*., 2009; Janes *et al*., 2010a; Janes *et al*., 2010b; McBride *et al*., 2006; McClernon *et al*., 2005), but the lack of highly-arousing control stimuli in those studies made it difficult to determine whether the insula was selectively responsive to cigarette-related cues over other emotional stimuli. Our study extended the cue reactivity literature by suggesting that BOLD responses in the insula have some degree of selectivity to cigarette cues. Interestingly, animal models also provide support for selective processing of drug cues over natural rewards in the insula: Inactivation of the insula disrupted nicotine self-administration and cue-induced reinstatement of nicotine-seeking but had no effect on food self-administration or food-induced reinstatement of food-seeking (Forget *et al*., 2010). Thus, diminished cue reactivity may be one of the reasons why smokers who suffer damage to the insula region find it easy to quit smoking (Naqvi *et al*., 2007).

Although the insula is involved in the expression of drug-taking behavior in humans (Naqvi *et al*., 2007) and animal models (Contreras *et al*., 2007), and insular cue reactivity is associated with relapse (Janes *et al*., 2010a), the specific processes by which the insula influences this behavior are unknown. One possibility is that insular activity in response to cigarette cues mediates an interoceptive conditioned response that is subjectively correlated with expecting the "taste" of a cigarette or the sensory qualities of smoking (Naqvi $\&$ Bechara, 2010). The insula has been implicated in interoceptive conditioning in general (Craig, 2002) and in taste perception specifically (Pritchard *et al*., 1999). In fact, the insula has been shown to be responsive to capsaicin-induced stimulation of the upper airway (Mazzone *et al*., 2007), a phenomenon similar to the effects of cigarettes on the upper airway (Behm & Rose, 1994).

Another possible role of the insula in cigarette cue reactivity may be in the subjective experience of cigarette "urge" or "craving" and cognitive efforts to resist that craving. The insula is interconnected with the anterior cingulate gyrus and medial prefrontal cortex (Mesulam & Mufson, 1982; Mufson & Mesulam, 1982), which are involved in rewardrelated decision-making (Liu *et al*., 2010). Data in support of this hypothesis come from a study that found a significant correlation between the amount of self-reported cigarette craving and insula activity to cigarette cues (Brody *et al*., 2007). However, other studies did

not replicate this finding (Franklin *et al*., 2007; McClernon *et al*., 2005). Thus, further research is needed to clarify the relationship between craving and cue reactivity in the insula, as well as to address the other possible roles of the insula in smoking behavior, such as interoceptive conditioned responses.

Potential limitations and future directions

Since we drew our sample from a clinical trial of smokers seeking treatment for smoking cessation, a limitation of our study is the lack of a control group of nonsmokers. The lack of a control group makes it difficult to determine whether the BOLD responses that we observed were due to chronic smoking, or whether they would have been evident in never smokers or light smokers as well. However, the possibility that the effects observed in this study were not due to chronic smoking is unlikely. In fact our results are similar to those observed in other studies of smokers that also included nonsmokers, as the cigarette cue reactivity that characterized smokers was not evident in the nonsmokers (David *et al*., 2005; Due *et al*., 2002; Rubinstein *et al*., 2011). A second limitation is that our sample included only European-American, treatment-seeking smokers who met strict inclusion criteria for a clinical trial and therefore may not be representative of the population of smokers as a whole (e.g., ethnic minorities, smokers not attempting to quit). Future research must therefore extend sampling to more representative populations of smokers.

To evaluate BOLD responses to cigarette-related stimuli relative to other pleasant pictures differing in emotional arousal, we included both romantic (low arousing) and erotic (high arousing) pictures. Previous studies suggest that BOLD responses to erotic pictures are greater in males than in females (Hamann *et al*., 2004; Lang *et al*., 1998; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2004), which could bias the comparison between erotic and cigarette cues when averaging across a sample of males and females. However, we found no evidence of sex differences in BOLD responses to erotic stimuli in our analyses. The lack of sex differences in BOLD activation might be due to differences between the current sample (middle-aged, treatmentseeking smokers) and the samples used in previous research (undergraduate students). The lack of sex differences may also be the result of our identification of ROIs based on reactivity to cigarette cues rather than reactivity to erotic stimuli (Hamann *et al*., 2004; Lang *et al*., 1998; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2004). Also, we did not ask our participants about their sexual orientation, and since all erotic stimuli depicted heterosexual couples, we may have missed potential differences in the BOLD response to the erotic stimuli across participants of differing sexual orientation (Paul *et al*., 2008). However, large differences in the BOLD response as a function of sexual orientation are unlikely. Previous studies have found that, although men and women produce differences in self-reported affect across images of erotic couples, opposite sex nudes, and same sex nudes, their psychophysiological responses to these three categories of erotic picture are equal (Bradley *et al*., 2001).

Differences in the type of reinforcement provided by erotic and cigarette cues is also an issue that should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. Cigarette cues are not intrinsically reinforcing, but are instead thought to become motivationally significant through repeated pairing with the reinforcing effects of smoking (Hyman, 2005; Koob & LeMoal, 2001; Robinson & Berridge, 2003; Volkow *et al*., 2010). Erotica, however, appears to be intrinsically reinforcing (e.g., Hoffmann *et al*., 2004). This suggests that processes other than the drug-related content stimuli may have contributed to the differences in BOLD response between these two classes of picture. One of these additional factors may have been primary vs. secondary reinforcement processes, which have been shown to produce different patterns of BOLD response (Sescousse *et al*., 2010). Thus, an important direction for future research is to directly compare drug- vs. non-drug-related primary reinforcers and drug- vs. non-drug-related secondary reinforcers.

Previous studies have shown that the patterns of BOLD response to emotional pictures can be altered by the presence of active tasks during picture presentation (Blair *et al*., 2007; Hariri *et al*., 2000; Ochsner & Gross, 2005; Ochsner & Gross, 2008; Pessoa *et al*., 2005). Hence, to avoid confounds due to the presence of secondary tasks, we opted for a passive viewing paradigm. The lack of overt behavioral responses during picture presentation, however, does not allow us to be certain that participants maintained attentiveness throughout the whole paradigm. Our finding of significantly greater activation to highly arousing pictures than neutral pictures in the visual association areas and the amygdala replicates several previous fMRI studies of picture viewing (Bradley *et al*., 2003; Lane *et al*., 1997; Lang *et al*., 1998; Phan *et al*., 2004; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2007b), and suggests that the participants in our study were indeed paying attention to the pictures.

In our experiment we used a fixed, 12-s interstimulus interval. The selection of this interval was based on previous fMRI studies of emotional picture-viewing (Bradley *et al*., 2003; Lang *et al*., 1998; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2004; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2005; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2007b; Sabatinelli *et al*., 2007a). However, the use of a fixed interstimulus interval in event-related fMRI research is problematic because 1) the participants may be able to predict when the stimuli are presented and 2) the amplitude of the BOLD signal may be decreased due to variability in the duration of the hemodynamic response that is not captured by a fixed interstimulus interval (e.g., Burock *et al*., 1998).

Despite these concerns, the current study provides a significant extension beyond existing smoking cue reactivity research. By including intrinsically pleasant and unpleasant pictures in addition to neutral ones, we were able to conclude that smokers, although more reactive to cigarette-related pictures than to neutral ones, were not more reactive to cigarette-related pictures than to intrinsically pleasant, erotic pictures. We also found evidence suggestive of a selective bias toward cigarette-related pictures, but not toward other emotional pictures, in the insula. It is therefore possible that smoking-cessation interventions that target the insula may be more effective than existing therapies at reducing cue-induced craving and relapse (Naqvi & Bechara, 2009). Thus, future research into the neurophysiological basis of the insula's response to cigarette cues is warranted.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Institute on Drug Abuse through grant 1R01DA017073-S1 to Paul Cinciripini, by a faculty fellowship from The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Duncan Family Institute for Cancer Prevention and Risk Assessment to Francesco Versace, and by the National Institutes of Health through MD Anderson's Cancer Center Support Grant CA016672. The authors wish to thank David T. Evans, Jennifer Canul, Janeene Frerking, and Christine Jeria for their help with data collection. Dr. Cinciripini has served on the scientific advisory board of Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, has received grant support from Pfizer, and has conducted educational talks sponsored by Pfizer on smoking cessation for physicians. The other authors declare no conflict of interest. Clinical trial registration details: The parent project for this study is registered at <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>as protocol NCT00507728.

References

- Baker TB, Piper ME, McCarthy DE, Majeskie MR, Fiore MC. Addiction motivation reformulated: An affective processing model of negative reinforcement. Psychological Review. 2004; 111:33–51. [PubMed: 14756584]
- Barr RS, Pizzagalli DA, Culhane MA, Goff DC, Evins AE. A single dose of nicotine enhances reward responsiveness in nonsmokers: implications for development of dependence. Biol Psychiatry. 2008; 63:1061–1065. [PubMed: 17976537]
- Behm FM, Rose JE. Reducing craving for cigarettes while decreasing smoke intake using capsaicinenhanced low tar cigarettes. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 1994; 2:143–153.

- Blair KS, Smith BW, Mitchell DG, Morton J, Vythilingam M, Pessoa L, Fridberg D, Zametkin A, Sturman D, Nelson EE, Drevets WC, Pine DS, Martin A, Blair RJ. Modulation of emotion by cognition and cognition by emotion. Neuroimage. 2007; 35:430–440. [PubMed: 17239620]
- Bradley MM, Codispoti M, Sabatinelli D, Lang PJ. Emotion and motivation II: sex differences in picture processing. Emotion. 2001; 1:300–319. [PubMed: 12934688]
- Bradley MM, Sabatinelli D, Lang PJ, Fitzsimmons JR, King W, Desai P. Activation of the visual cortex in motivated attention. Behavior Neuroscience. 2003; 117:369–80.
- Brody AL, Mandelkern MA, Olmstead RE, Jou J, Tiongson E, Allen V, Scheibal D, London ED, Monterosso JR, Tiffany ST, Korb A, Gan JJ, Cohen MS. Neural substrates of resisting craving during cigarette cue exposure. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 62:642–651. [PubMed: 17217932]
- Burock MA, Buckner RL, Woldorff MG, Rosen BR, Dale AM. Randomized event-related experimental designs allow for extremely rapid presentation rates using functional MRI. Neuroreport. 1998:9.
- Carter BL, Robinson JD, Lam CY, Wetter DW, Day SX, Tsan JY, Cinciripini PM. A psychometric evaluation of cigarette stimuli used in a cue reactivity study. Nicotine Tob Res. 2006; 8:361–369. [PubMed: 16801294]
- Cinciripini PM, Robinson JD, Carter BL, Lam CY, Wu X, De Moor CA, Baile WS, Wetter DW. The effects of smoking deprivation and nicotine administration on emotional reactivity. Nicotine Tob Res. 2006; 8:379–392. [PubMed: 16801296]
- Contreras M, Ceric F, Torrealba F. Inactivation of the interoceptive insula disrupts drug craving and malaise induced by lithium. Science. 2007; 318:655. [PubMed: 17962567]
- Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2002; 3:655–666.
- David SP, Munafo MR, Johansen-Berg H, Smith SM, Rogers RD, Matthews PM, Walton RT. Ventral striatum/nucleus accumbens activation to smoking-related pictorial cues in smokers and nonsmokers: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Biol Psychiatry. 2005; 58:488–494. [PubMed: 16023086]
- Due DL, Huettel SA, Hall WG, Rubin DC. Activation in mesolimbic and visuospatial neural circuits elicited by smoking cues: evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Psychiatry. 2002; 159:954–960. [PubMed: 12042183]
- Fiorino DF, Phillips AG. Facilitation of sexual behavior in male rats following d-amphetamineinduced behavioral sensitization. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1999; 142:200–208. [PubMed: 10102773]
- Forget B, Pushparaj A, Le FB. Granular insular cortex inactivation as a novel therapeutic strategy for nicotine addiction. Biol Psychiatry. 2010; 68:265–271. [PubMed: 20299008]
- Franklin TR, Wang Z, Wang J, Sciortino N, Harper D, Li Y, Ehrman R, Kampman K, O'Brien CP, Detre JA, Childress AR. Limbic activation to cigarette smoking cues independent of nicotine withdrawal: a perfusion fMRI study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007; 32:2301–2309. [PubMed: 17375140]
- Garavan H, Pankiewicz J, Bloom A, Cho JK, Sperry L, Ross TJ, Salmeron BJ, Risinger R, Kelley D, Stein EA. Cue-induced cocaine craving: Neuroanatomical specificity for drug users and drug stimuli. Am J Psychiatry. 2000; 157:1789–1798. [PubMed: 11058476]
- Geier A, Mucha RF, Pauli P. Appetitive nature of drug cues confirmed with physiological measures in a model using pictures of smoking. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2000; 150:283–291. [PubMed: 10923756]
- George MS, Anton RF, Bloomer C, Teneback C, Drobes DJ, Lorberbaum JP, Nahas Z, Vincent DJ. Activation of prefrontal cortex and anterior thalamus in alcoholic subjects on exposure to alcoholspecific cues. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2001; 58:345. [PubMed: 11296095]
- Gilbert, DG.; Rabinovich, NE. The international smoking image series (with neutral counterparts), v. 1.2. Department of Psychology, Southern Illinois University; Carbondale, IL: 1999.
- Goebel R, Esposito F, Formisano E. Analysis of functional image analysis contest (FIAC) data with brainvoyager QX: From single-subject to cortically aligned group general linear model analysis and self-organizing group independent component analysis. Hum Brain Mapp. 2006; 27:392–401. [PubMed: 16596654]

- Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Drug addiction and its underlying neurobiological basis: Neuroimaging evidence for the involvement of the frontal cortex. Am J Psychiatry. 2002; 159:1642–1652. [PubMed: 12359667]
- Goldstein RZ, Woicik PA, Moeller SJ, Telang F, Jayne M, Wong C, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, Volkow ND. Liking and wanting of drug and non-drug rewards in active cocaine users: the STRAP-R questionnaire. J Psychopharmacol. 2010; 24:257–266. [PubMed: 18801822]
- Grigson PS, Twining RC. Cocaine-induced suppression of saccharin intake: a model of drug-induced devaluation of natural rewards. Behav Neurosci. 2002; 116:321–333. [PubMed: 11996317]
- Hamann S, Herman RA, Nolan CL, Wallen K. Men and women differ in amygdala response to visual sexual stimuli. Nature Neuroscience. 2004; 7:411–416.
- Hariri AR, Bookheimer SY, Mazziotta JC. Modulating emotional responses: effects of a neocortical network on the limbic system. Neuroreport. 2000; 11:43–48. [PubMed: 10683827]
- Heinz A, Siessmeier T, Wrase J, Hermann D, Klein S, Grusser-Sinopoli SM, Flor H, Braus DF, Buchholz HG, Grunder G. Correlation between dopamine D2 receptors in the ventral striatum and central processing of alcohol cues and craving. Am J Psychiatry. 2004; 161:1783. [PubMed: 15465974]
- Heinz A, Wrase J, Kahnt T, Beck A, Bromand Z, Grusser SM, Kienast T, Smolka MN, Flor H, Mann K. Brain activation elicited by affectively positive stimuli is associated with a lower risk of relapse in detoxified alcoholic subjects. Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research. 2007; 31:1138– 1147.
- Hoffmann H, Janssen E, Turner S. Classical Conditioning of Sexual Arousal in Women and Men: Effects of Varying Awareness and Biological Relevance of the Conditioned Stimulus. Archives of Sexual Behavior. 2004; 33:43–53. [PubMed: 14739689]
- Hyman SE. Addiction: a disease of learning and memory. Am J Psychiatry. 2005; 162:1414–1422. [PubMed: 16055762]
- Janes AC, Frederick B, Richardt S, Burbridge C, Merlo-Pich E, Renshaw PF, Evins AE, Fava M, Kaufman MJ. Brain fMRI reactivity to smoking-related images before and during extended smoking abstinence. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2009; 17:365–373. [PubMed: 19968401]
- Janes AC, Pizzagalli DA, Richardt S, deB FB, Chuzi S, Pachas G, Culhane MA, Holmes AJ, Fava M, Evins AE, Kaufman MJ. Brain reactivity to smoking cues prior to smoking cessation predicts ability to maintain tobacco abstinence. Biol Psychiatry. 2010a; 67:722–729. [PubMed: 20172508]
- Janes AC, Pizzagalli DA, Richardt S, Frederick BB, Holmes AJ, Sousa J, Fava M, Evins AE, Kaufman MJ. Neural substrates of attentional bias for smoking-related cues: an FMRI study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010b; 35:2339–2345. [PubMed: 20703221]
- Koob GF, LeMoal M. Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2001; 24:97–129. [PubMed: 11120394]
- Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurocircuitry of addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010; 35:217–238. [PubMed: 19710631]
- Kosten TR, Scanley BE, Tucker KA, Oliveto A, Prince C, Sinha R, Potenza MN, Skudlarski P, Wexler BE. Cue-induced brain activity changes and relapse in cocaine-dependent patients. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31:644–650. [PubMed: 16123763]
- Lancaster JL, Rainey LH, Summerlin JL, Freitas CS, Fox PT, Evans AC, Toga AW, Mazziotta JC. Automated labeling of the human brain: A preliminary report on the development and evaluation of a forward-transform method. Hum Brain Mapp. 1997; 5:238–242. [PubMed: 20408222]
- Lancaster JL, Woldorff MG, Parsons LM, Liotti M, Freitas ES, Rainey L, Kochunov PV, Nickerson D, Mikiten SA, Fox PT. Automated Talairach Atlas labels for functional brain mapping. Hum Brain Mapp. 2000; 10:120–131. [PubMed: 10912591]
- Lane RD, Reiman EM, Bradley MM, Lang PJ, Ahern GL, Davidson RJ, Schwartz GE. Neuroanatomical correlates of pleasant and unpleasant emotion. Neuropsychologia. 1997; 35:1437–1444. [PubMed: 9352521]
- Lang, PJ.; Bradley, MM.; Cuthbert, BN. Technical Report A-6. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida; 2005. International affective picture system (IAPS): Digitized photographs, instruction manual and affective ratings. Ref Type: Audiovisual Material

- Lang PJ, Bradley MM, Fitzsimmons JR, Cuthbert BN, Scott JD, Moulder B, Nangia V. Emotional arousal and activation of the visual cortex: an fMRI analysis. Psychophysiology. 1998; 35:199– 210. [PubMed: 9529946]
- Liu X, Hairston J, Schrier M, Fan J. Common and distinct networks underlying reward valence and processing stages: A meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2010
- Mazzone SB, McLennan L, McGovern AE, Egan GF, Farrell MJ. Representation of capsaicin-evoked urge-to-cough in the human brain using functional magnetic resonance imaging. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2007; 176:327. [PubMed: 17575093]
- McBride D, Barrett SP, Kelly JT, Aw A, Dagher A. Effects of expectancy and abstinence on the neural response to smoking cues in cigarette smokers: An fMRI study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31:2728–2738. [PubMed: 16598192]
- McClernon FJ, Hiott FB, Huettel SA, Rose JE. Abstinence-induced changes in self-report craving correlate with event-related FMRI responses to smoking cues. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2005; 30:1940–1947. [PubMed: 15920499]
- McClernon FJ, Kozink RV, Lutz AM, Rose JE. 24-h smoking abstinence potentiates fMRI-BOLD activation to smoking cues in cerebral cortex and dorsal striatum. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2009; 204:25–35. [PubMed: 19107465]
- McClernon FJ, Kozink RV, Rose JE. Individual differences in nicotine dependence, withdrawal symptoms, and sex predict transient fMRI-BOLD responses to smoking cues. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008; 33:2148–2157. [PubMed: 17987060]
- Merlo Pich E, Pagliusi SR, Tessari M, Talabot-Ayer D, Hooft van Huijsduijnen R, Chiamulera C. Common neural substrates for the addictive properties of nicotine and cocaine. Science. 1997; 275:83. [PubMed: 8974398]
- Mesulam MM, Mufson EJ. Insula of the old world monkey. III: Efferent cortical output and comments on function. J Comp Neurol. 1982; 212:38–52. [PubMed: 7174907]
- Mufson EJ, Mesulam MM. Insula of the old world monkey. II: Afferent cortical input and comments on the claustrum. J Comp Neurol. 1982; 212:23–37. [PubMed: 7174906]
- Naqvi NH, Bechara A. The hidden island of addiction: the insula. Trends in Neurosciences. 2009; 32:56–67. [PubMed: 18986715]
- Naqvi NH, Bechara A. The insula and drug addiction: an interoceptive view of pleasure, urges, and decision-making. Brain Struct Funct. 2010; 214:435–450. [PubMed: 20512364]
- Naqvi NH, Rudrauf D, Damasio H, Bechara A. Damage to the Insula Disrupts Addiction to Cigarette Smoking. Science. 2007; 315:531–534. [PubMed: 17255515]
- Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. The cognitive control of emotion. Trends Cogn Sci. 2005; 9:242–249. [PubMed: 15866151]
- Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. Cognitive Emotion Regulation Insights From Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2008; 17:153–158.
- Orain-Pelissolo S, Grillon C, Perez-Diaz F, Jouvent R. Lack of startle modulation by smoking cues in smokers. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2004; 173:160–166. [PubMed: 14726999]
- Paul T, Schiffer B, Zwarg T, Krüger THC, Karama S, Schedlowski M, Forsting M, Gizewski ER. Brain response to visual sexual stimuli in heterosexual and homosexual males. Hum Brain Mapp. 2008; 29:726–735. [PubMed: 17636559]
- Pessoa L, Padmala S, Morland T. Fate of unattended fearful faces in the amygdala is determined by both attentional resources and cognitive modulation. Neuroimage. 2005; 28:249–255. [PubMed: 15993624]
- Phan KL, Taylor SF, Welsh RC, Ho SH, Britton JC, Liberzon I. Neural correlates of individual ratings of emotional salience: a trial-related fMRI study. Neuroimage. 2004; 21:768–780. [PubMed: 14980580]
- Piasecki TM. Relapse to smoking. Clin Psychol Rev. 2006; 26:196–215. [PubMed: 16352382]
- Pritchard TC, Macaluso DA, Eslinger PJ. Taste Perception in Patients With Insular Cortex Lesions. Behavioral Neuroscience. 1999; 113:663–671. [PubMed: 10495075]
- Robinson TE, Berridge KC. Addiction. Annu Rev Psychol. 2003; 54:25–53. [PubMed: 12185211]

- Rubinstein ML, Luks TL, Moscicki AB, Dryden W, Rait MA, Simpson GV. Smoking-related cueinduced brain activation in adolescent light smokers. J Adolesc Health. 2011; 48:7–12. [PubMed: 21185518]
- Sabatinelli D, Bradley MM, Fitzsimmons JR, Lang PJ. Parallel amygdala and inferotemporal activation reflect emotional intensity and fear relevance. Neuroimage. 2005; 24:1265–1270. [PubMed: 15670706]
- Sabatinelli D, Bradley MM, Lang PJ, Costa VD, Versace F. Pleasure rather than salience activates human nucleus accumbens and medial prefrontal cortex. J Neurophysiol. 2007a; 98:1374–1379. [PubMed: 17596422]
- Sabatinelli D, Flaisch T, Bradley MM, Fitzsimmons JR, Lang PJ. Affective picture perception: gender differences in visual cortex? Neuroreport. 2004; 15:1109–1112. [PubMed: 15129155]
- Sabatinelli D, Lang PJ, Keil A, Bradley MM. Emotional perception: correlation of functional MRI and event-related potentials. Cereb Cortex. 2007b; 17:1085–1091. [PubMed: 16769742]
- Sescousse G, Redouté J, Dreher JC. The Architecture of Reward Value Coding in the Human Orbitofrontal Cortex. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2010; 30:13095–13104. [PubMed: 20881127]
- Shiffman S, Balabanis MH, Gwaltney CJ, Paty JA, Gnys M, Kassel JD, Hickcox M, Paton SM. Prediction of lapse from associations between smoking and situational antecedents assessed by ecological momentary assessment. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007; 91:159–168. [PubMed: 17628353]
- Versace F, Minnix JA, Robinson JD, Lam CY, Brown VL, Cinciripini PM. Brain reactivity to emotional, neutral and cigarette-related stimuli in smokers. Addiction Biology. 2011; 16:296–307. [PubMed: 21182573]
- Versace F, Robinson JD, Lam CY, Minnix JA, Brown VL, Carter BL, Wetter DW, Cinciripini PM. Cigarette cues capture smokers' attention: Evidence from event-related potentials. Psychophysiology. 2010; 47:435–441. [PubMed: 20070579]
- Volkow N, Li TK. The neuroscience of addiction. Nat Neurosci. 2005; 8:1429–1430. [PubMed: 16251981]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, Tomasi D, Telang F, Baler R. Addiction: decreased reward sensitivity and increased expectation sensitivity conspire to overwhelm the brain's control circuit. Bioessays. 2010; 32:748–755. [PubMed: 20730946]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, Fowler JS, Logan J, Childress AR, Jayne M, Ma Y, Wong C. Cocaine cues and dopamine in dorsal striatum: mechanism of craving in cocaine addiction. Journal of Neuroscience. 2006; 26:6583. [PubMed: 16775146]
- Wexler BE, Gottschalk CH, Fulbright RK, Prohovnik I, Lacadie CM, Rounsaville BJ, Gore JC. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of cocaine craving. Am J Psychiatry. 2001; 158:86. [PubMed: 11136638]
- Wyvell CL, Berridge KC. Incentive sensitization by previous amphetamine exposure: increased cuetriggered "wanting" for sucrose reward. J Neurosci. 2001; 21:7831–7840. [PubMed: 11567074]

Versace et al. Page 16

Figure 1.

(A) Clusters of significantly higher functional activity during cigarette-related picture processing relative to neutral pictures in the visual association cortex. **(B)** Event-related time courses of the percent blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal change from baseline averaged across cuneus, inferior parietal lobule, and middle temporal gyrus in response to the different categories of pictures. **(C)** Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s post stimulus onset averaged across cuneus, inferior parietal lobule, and middle temporal gyrus in response to the different categories of pictures. # indicates that BOLD responses to neutral pictures were significantly smaller than those to all other picture categories (*p*s < 0.05). ^ indicates that BOLD responses to erotic pictures were significantly larger than those to all other picture categories (*p*s < 0.001). MUT = mutilations, SAD = sad contents, NEU = neutral people, $ROM =$ romantic couples, $ERO =$ erotic couples, $CIG =$ cigarette-related pictures.

Figure 2.

(A) Cluster of significantly higher functional activity during cigarette-related picture processing relative to neutral pictures in the head of the right caudate nucleus (NC, Talairach coordinates: 9, 3, 4; yellow circle), and the left anterior cingulate gyrus (ACG, Talairach coordinates: 0, 38, 2; red circle). **(B)** Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s post stimulus onset in the head of the right caudate nucleus in response to the different categories of pictures. ^ BOLD responses to erotic pictures were significantly larger than those to all other picture categories ($p_s < 0.05$). (C) Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s post stimulus onset averaged across the anterior and the posterior cingulate gyri in response to the different categories of pictures. NC = Caudate Nucleus, $ACG =$ Anterior Cingulate Gyrus, $INS =$ Insula, $MUT =$ mutilations, $SAD =$ sad contents, $NEU =$ neutral people, $ROM =$ romantic couples, $ERO =$ erotic couples, $CIG =$ cigaretterelated pictures.

Versace et al. Page 18

Figure 3.

(A) Cluster of significantly higher functional activity during cigarette-related picture processing relative to neutral pictures in the left insula (Talairach coordinates: −37, −8, 9) **(B)** Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s post stimulus onset in the left insula in response to the different categories of pictures. Unlike what observed in other all other areas of activation, cigarette-related pictures elicited a larger BOLD response than the other categories of pictures. \blacklozenge = BOLD responses to cigarette-related pictures vs. the mean of all other picture categories $p < 0.01$; MUT = mutilations, SAD = sad contents, NEU $=$ neutral people, ROM $=$ romantic couples, ERO $=$ erotic couples, CIG $=$ cigarette-related pictures.

Versace et al. Page 19

Figure 4.

(A) Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s after stimulus onset in the ROIs covering left and right amygdala (Talairach coordinates: ±20, −4, −11; see inset). **(B)** Percent signal change relative to the baseline from 6 to 12 s after stimulus onset in the two ROIs covering left and right ventral striatum (Talairach coordinates: ±9, 9, −4; see inset). $MUT =$ mutilations, $SAD =$ sad contents, $NEU =$ neutral people, $ROM =$ romantic couples, ERO = erotic couples, CIG = cigarette-related pictures.

Table 1

Participant Demographics and Smoking History

Note. FTND = Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence

Table 2

Anatomical Localization of Clusters of Significant Cigarette > Neutral Cue Reactivity Anatomical Localization of Clusters of Significant Cigarette > Neutral Cue Reactivity

Note: Hem = hemisphere, $R = right$, $L = left$, $M = midline$, $BA = Brodmann$ area. Talairach coordinates are for the center of gravity of the cluster, and are expressed as follows, with the origin at the anterior commissure: x increases from le Note: Hem = hemisphere, $R = right$, L = left, M = midline, BA = Brodmann area. Talairach coordinates are for the center of gravity of the cluster, and are expressed as follows, with the origin at the anterior commissure: x increases from left to right, y increases from posterior to anterior, z increases from inferior to superior. t_{max} = maximum t statistic found within the cluster, with 34 degrees of freedom.