Vitamin D receptor and megalin gene polymorphisms and their associations with longitudinal cognitive change in US adults^{1–3}

May A Beydoun, Eric L Ding, Hind A Beydoun, Toshiko Tanaka, Luigi Ferrucci, and Alan B Zonderman

ABSTRACT

Background: Vitamin D receptor (VDR) and the megalin gene polymorphism's link with longitudinal cognitive change remains unclear.

Objective: The associations of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for VDR [rs11568820 (*CdX*-2:T/C), rs1544410 (*Bsm*I:G/A), rs7975232 (*Apa*I:A/C), rs731236 (*Taq*I:G/A)], and Megalin (rs3755166:G/A; rs2075252:C/T; rs4668123:C/T) genes with longitudinal cognitive performance changes were examined.

Design: Data from 702 non-Hispanic white participants in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging were used. Longitudinal annual rates of cognitive change (LARCCs) between age 50 y and the individual mean follow-up age were predicted with linear mixed models by using all cognitive score time points (prediction I) or time points before dementia onset (prediction II). Latent class, haplotype, and ordinary least squares (OLS) regression analyses were conducted.

Results: Among key findings, in OLS models with SNP latent classes as predictors for LARCCs, Megalin₂ [rs3755166(-)/rs2075252(TT)/ rs4668123(T-)] compared with Megalin₁ [rs3755166(-)/rs2075252 (CC)/rs4668123(-)] was associated with greater decline among men for verbal memory (prediction II). Significant sex differences were also found for SNP haplotype (SNPHAP). In women, VDR1 [BsmI (G-)/ApaI(C-)/TaqI(A-); baT] was linked to a greater decline in category fluency (prediction I: $\beta = -0.031$, P = 0.012). The Megalin₁ SNPHAP (GCC) was related to greater decline among women for verbal memory, immediate recall [California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT), List A; prediction II: $\beta = -0.043$, P = 0.006) but to slower decline among men for delayed recall (CVLT-DR: $\beta > 0, P <$ 0.0125; both predictions). In women, the Megalin₂ SNPHAP (ACC) was associated with slower decline in category fluency (prediction II: $\beta = +0.026$, P = 0.005). Another finding was that Megalin SNP rs3755166:G/A was associated with greater decline in global cognition in both sexes combined and in verbal memory in men.

Conclusion: Sex-specific VDR and Megalin gene variations can modify age-related cognitive decline among US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95:163–78.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D's biological effect on the brain function was shown in recent studies, specifically in terms of neuroprotection (mainly mediated by calcium, nerve growth factor, and neurotrophin 3), immunomodulation, and detoxification (1–9). A few receptors and binding proteins mediate vitamin D functions in both animals and humans. VDR⁴, a part of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, is expressed in many organs. Whereas vitamin D deficiency in animals was associated with changes in brain morphology (10), locomotion (11, 12), learning, and memory (13), a dysfunctional VDR was linked to anxiety-like behavior in mice (14, 15). Among humans, vitamin D deficiency was related to mood disorders and poor cognitive functioning (16, 17). However, only a handful of recent studies have examined VDR gene polymorphisms in relation to cognition among older adults (18, 19), and none so far have examined longitudinal change in cognitive abilities.

Another endocytic vitamin D binding receptor, known as megalin or LRP2, is expressed in many epithelial cells including those of the choroid plexus (ie, blood-brain barrier) and belongs to the LDL receptor family (20, 21). Megalin also binds apoE (22), a protein involved in redistribution of cholesterol for nerve repair (23). In fact, the ApoE genotype was associated with cognitive impairment, decline, and dementia, particularly AD (24, 25), as well as a number of neurobiological factors implicated in dementia: β -amyloid deposition, tangle formation, oxidative stress, lipid homeostasis dysregulation, synaptic plasticity loss, and cholinergic dysfunction (26). Importantly, megalin in choroid plexus directly participates in clearance of β -amyloids (27–30) and is involved in neuroprotection by binding and transcytosis of insulin-like growth factor I (30). The expression of megalin

First published online December 14, 2011; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.017137.

Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:163-78. Printed in USA. © 2012 American Society for Nutrition

¹ From the National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, NIH, Baltimore, MD (MAB, TT, LF, and ABZ); the Harvard School of Public Health, Cambridge, MA (ELD); and the Graduate Program in Public Health, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA (HAB).

² Supported entirely by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute on Aging.

³ Address correspondence to MA Beydoun, NIH Biomedical Research Center, National Institute on Aging, IRP, 251 Bayview Boulevard, Suite 100, Room 04B118, Baltimore, MD 21224. E-mail: baydounm@mail.nih.gov.

⁴ Abbreviations used: AD, Alzheimer disease; ApoE, apolipoprotein E; BLSA, Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BVRT, Benton Visual Retention Test; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; CVLT-DR, California Verbal Learning Test, Delayed Recall; DS-B, Digits Span Backward; DS-F, Digits Span Forward; DSM-III-R, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders III, revised*; LARCC, longitudinal annual rate of cognitive change; LC, latent class; LCA, latent class analysis; LD, linkage disequilibrium; LRP2, LDL receptorrelated protein 2; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OLS, ordinary least squares; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SNPHAP, single nucleotide polymorphism haplotype; SNPLC, single nucleotide polymorphism latent class; Trails A, Trailmaking Test, part A; Trails B, Trailmaking Test, part B; VDR, vitamin D receptor; VFT-C, Verbal Fluency Test-Categories; VFT-L, Verbal Fluency Test-Letter.

Received April 1, 2011. Accepted for publication October 14, 2011.

is regulated by serum vitamin D and vitamin A (31). Vitamin D requires another protein, namely vitamin D binding protein, to bind to megalin and enter cells (32–35). Despite the biologically plausible involvement of megalin in AD pathogenesis, only 2 recent studies thus far have examined the relation between megalin gene polymorphisms and incident AD (20, 36).

To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study to test the associations of VDR and megalin SNP, SNP LCs, and SNPHAPs with longitudinal changes in cognitive function with the use of a large long-term study in US adults.

METHODS

Database and study participants

Data from the BLSA were used. The BLSA, initiated in 1958, is an ongoing prospective open-cohort study in communitydwelling, generally highly educated, upper to middle class adults aged 17–97 y at baseline (60.1% men) with a total enrollment of 3005 (37); exclusionary criteria are summarized elsewhere (38). Medical history was determined, and physical, neurological, and neuropsychological examinations were conducted in the BLSA's protocol, which has continued approval from the institutional review board of Medstar Research Institute.

In the present study, eligible participants (n = 2321) had at least one visit at or later than age 50 y and were at risk of dementia; 1917 of whom were non-Hispanic whites. Complete genetic, anthropometric, and other covariate data in eligible participants were available for 702 BLSA participants; data on cognitive function were available for n = 459 for the Trails A and B (megalin gene) and up to n = 616 for the BVRT (VDR gene).

Clinical evaluation of dementia

Annual follow-ups were conducted in all participants, and a consensus conference review was carried out if their Blessed Information Memory Concentration score (39) was ≥ 4 , if their informant or subject Clinical Dementia Rating (40) score was ≥ 0.5 , or if their Dementia Questionnaire (41) was abnormal. By using DSM-III-R (42) criteria, dementia diagnosis was determined and the age of onset was estimated on the basis of consecutive case conference findings. When participants had either single domain cognitive impairment (usually memory) or cognitive impairment in multiple domains without any significant functional loss in activities of daily living, a diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment was made following the Petersen algorithm (43). In our present analysis, mild cognitive impairment cases were retained. However, 2 sets of analyses were conducted taking into account year of onset of dementia.

Cognitive assessment

A battery of 6 selected cognitive tests was used: the MMSE (44); BVRT (45); CVLT, List A (summation score across 5 learning trials) and delayed free recall score (DR) (46); verbal fluency tests, both letter (VFT-L) (47–49) and category (VFT-C) (50); Trails A and B (51); and DS-F and DS-B (52) (*see* Supplemental Material 1 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue). Linear mixed models with a quadratic age term (to allow for nonlinear age effects) were applied to predict cognitive score values at specific ages, particularly the mean individual age at

follow-up, taking all time points until the end of follow-up (prediction I) or time points before the onset of dementia (prediction II), and to predict the slope for annual cognitive change at that particular age. The latter, which is termed LARCC was the main outcome of interest. It can be interpreted as the annual rate of change in the cognitive score between age 50 y and the mean age of follow-up per individual and cognitive test. After this estimation, LARCCs for each cognitive test score were entered into a factor analysis model as measured variables (53) in which a number of common factors were extracted on the basis of common variance, factor loadings estimated, and the residual variance labeled as uniqueness for each LARCC. The common factor model can be summarized as follows:

$$LARCC_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{ij} \times Domain_{j} + \varphi_{i}$$
(1)

where LARCC_i is the standardized z score for each cognitive test LARCC, λ_{ii} is the factor loading for each LARCC and each factor, domain_i is the standardized z score for each factor j, and φ_i is the residual error, the squared value of which is the uniqueness. The sum of squared factor loadings for each LARC- C_i is the communality or the common variance that is accounted for by the extracted factors. An eigenvalue >1 rule was used and the scree plot was observed to determine the adequate number of extracted factors that would produce the best model fit. The factor loadings were then rotated by using varimax orthogonal rotation, and the factors were interpreted and cognitive domains labeled accordingly, with a cutoff of ≥ 0.40 for significant loading. The factor scores (z scores) were predicted and used as markers of LARCC for specific cognitive domains. Domains were labeled on the basis of the combination of significantly high factor loadings and the corresponding measured variables or LARCC_i as follows: domain 1, "Memory and executive function: earlier decline"; domain 2, "Verbal fluency and attention: later decline." With the exception of Trails B, all LARCC_i factor loadings were significant for only 1 of the 2 domains, creating a relatively simple structure that was easy to label and interpret. The labels were determined on the basis of the nature of the cognitive test and the timing that decline in those domains is usually observed during the life course (earlier compared with later). (See Supplemental Material 2 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue for results of the factor analysis.)

VDR and megalin SNPs, SNP LCs, and SNPHAPs

Blood samples were collected for DNA extraction, and genomewide genotyping was completed for 1231 subjects by using Illumina 550K. EIGENSTRAT (http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~reich/Software.htm) analysis using ~10,000 randomly selected SNPs from the 550K SNP panel was used to select the subjects of European descent using HapMap CEU (http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/) [Utah residents with northern and western European ancestry from the CEPH (Council on Education for Public Health) collection] as the reference population (54). In addition, part of our main analyses was adjusted for the top 2 principal components to control for any residual effects of population structure (54). Moreover, the HapMap CEU sample (build 36) was used as a reference to impute ~2.5 million SNPs using MACH (http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/MACH/tour/imputation.html) (55). Imputed SNPs with an

imputation quality $r^2 < 0.3$ or minor allele frequency of < 1% were excluded from the analysis. The selection of SNPs of interest was solely based on those selected in previous confirmatory studies, many of which were identified by genomewide association studies, relating cognitive function, decline, or dementia to VDR (18, 19) and megalin (20, 36) gene polymorphisms. Most of those selected SNPs were available in our database, with few exceptions (eg, VDR SNP rs10735810, FokI: G/A). Note that some VDR SNPs were also studied in relation to other phenotypes, including body composition in old age, obesity, bone mineral density, the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and coronary artery disease (56-62). Consequently, 4 VDR SNPs [rs11568820 (CdX-2:T/C), rs1544410 (BsmI:G/A), rs7975232 (ApaI:A/C), and rs731236 (TaqI:G/A)] and 3 megalin SNPs (rs3755166: G/A; rs2075252: C/ T; rs4668123: C/T) were chosen as long as they had reliable values. Those SNPs and their locations on each gene and their distributions are shown in Figure 1.

VDR and megalin SNP LCs were obtained by using LC analysis (PROC LCA in SAS version 9.1; SAS Institute) (63, 64), in which sex and first-visit age were introduced as potential covariates and each selected SNP per gene was entered into that model (one gene per model) as a 3-level categorical variable. Model fit was determined on the basis of Akaike Information Criterion and Bayesian Information Criterion, which led to deciding the appropriate number of LCs. Posterior probabilities were estimated by using the Bayes theorem, and those were the same for all individuals with a specific SNP pattern per gene. On the basis of those posterior probabilities, each individual was labeled as belonging to a specific LC when the posterior probability for this class was >0.50, and the higher this probability the more the certainty of belonging to this class. In most cases, it is expected that this posterior probability is >0.90 (63). These SNPLCs, in terms of SNP combinations, are shown in more detail in Figure 1.

SNPHAPs were also considered as main predictors in our analysis for each of the 2 genes. For the VDR gene, the *BsmI*:G/A, *ApaI*:G/A, and *TaqI*:G/A SNPs were combined in that order to form SNPHAP, and their proportions in the population were found to be similar to those found in at least one previous study (18). As a result, 3 SNPHAPs were found in this population with one of the following SNP combinations for 1 or 2 alleles: VDR₁, GCA (baT); VDR₂, AAG (BAt); or VDR₃, GAA (bAT). Participants were coded as 0 = having no VDR_x haplotype, 1 = having one allele carrying the VDR_x haplotype, 2 = having 2 alleles carrying the VDR_x haplotypes were found. However, only 3 of them were considered in the main analysis because their proportion in the population (with 1 or 2 copies) was >10% (*see* Figure 1 for more details).

Covariates

Three sets of covariates were considered as potential confounders in the main associations of interest: *1*) sociodemographic factors, namely individual age at first visit and mean ages at follow-up (per individual and cognitive test), sex, educational attainment (years of schooling), and one lifestyle-related factor, namely smoking status (never, former or current smoker); *2*) selfreported history of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease (stroke, congestive heart failure, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or atrial fibrillation), and dyslipidemia at first visit; and 3) measured first-visit BMI (in kg/m²). Moreover, first-visit blood pressure (systolic and diastolic in mm Hg), plasma total and HDL cholesterol, and fasting blood glucose (in mg/dL) were analyzed only in relation to availability of genetic data for descriptive purposes, given their higher proportion with missing data compared with the self-reported conditions.

Statistical analysis

For each gene SNP that was included in our analyses, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was examined by using an exact test, and pairwise LD was calculated by using the Haploview version 4.2 package (65, 66). The LD map for all available SNPs of VDR and megalin genes are presented in Supplemental Material 3 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue. To describe the study participant characteristics and to compare them by genetic data availability, 1-factor ANOVA, t test, and chi-square test were used.

Furthermore, multiple OLS linear regression analysis was carried out to examine the association of VDR and megalin SNPs, SNPLCs, and SNPHAPs with predicted LARCCs for each cognitive test or domain and from each predictive model, after potential confounding variables including first-visit age, mean age of follow-up, sex, education, first-visit smoking status, self-reported comorbid conditions, and BMI were controlled for. SNPs (wild-type with variant v) were examined both in terms of genotype, comparing the 2 variant genotypes (wv, wild type-variant; vv, variant-variant) with the wild-type genotype (ww), and in terms of dosage of the variant allele (v). In the latter case, a P value for trend was computed. P values for trend were also computed when testing the association between each haplotype dosage (0, 1, and 2 copies) and the cognitive outcomes of interest.

To account for potential selection bias in OLS models (due to the nonrandom selection of participants with genetic data from the target study population), a 2-stage Heckman selection model was constructed (67) by using a probit model to obtain an inverse mills ratio at the first stage (derived from the predicted probability of being selected, conditional on the covariates in the probit model), as was done in an earlier study (24). The inverse mills ratio was then included into the main OLS models at a second stage to adjust for this selection bias. Stratification was made, and effect modification was tested (by adding interaction terms) by sex for the analysis when SNPs, SNPLCs, and SNPHAPs were the main predictors, particularly when the megalin SNP was included in the analysis. In fact, sex differences in the association between the megalin gene polymorphism and cognitive outcomes were hypothesized a priori, as discussed later (68–70).

A type I error of 0.05 was considered for all analyses, and *P* values between 0.05 and 0.10 were considered to be borderline significant for main effects, whereas a P < 0.10 was considered significant for interaction terms (71), before correction for multiple testing. Correction for multiple testing was performed by using a family-wise Bonferroni procedure whereby a family was defined by a cognitive test or a cognitive domain, with the assumption that the family was independent in content though not necessarily in its degree of correlation (72). Within each cognitive test, there were generally 2 test scores and 2 predictions to take into account for correction. This was the case for the CVLT-DR and CVLT-List A, Trails A and B, DS-F and DS-B, and VFT-C and VFT-L. For these cognitive tests, the significance criterion for *P* and *P*-trend was reduced to P = 0.05/ 63kb ----->



.



VDR ₃ : GAA: bAT	13.5%	VDR ₃ : GG/AA	35.2%
	SNPHAP (allelic cop Bsml/Apal/	ies of the haploty Taql	/pe)
	No copy (0)	One copy (1)	Two copies (2)
VDR ₁ : GCA: baT	29.2%	50.4%	20.4%
VDR ₂ : AAG: BAt	35.6%	47.7%	16.7%
VDR3: GAA: bAT	74.4%	24.2%	1.4%

3'

SNPLC

(two alleles)

VDR1: AG/GA

VDR₂: AA/GG

(n=719)

47.8%

17.0%

Bsml/Taql





rs3755166:G/A

	1000		Dprime	
	LRP2	rs3755166	rs4668123	rs2075252
	rs3755166	1	0.183	0.191
R ²	rs4668123	0.009	1	0.697
	rs2075252	0.008	0.427	1



SNPHAP (any allele, three SNP) (rs3755166 /rs4668123 /rs2075252)	% (n=702)	SNPLC (two alleles rs4668123 (n=719)) (n=719)
Megalin ₁ :GCC	37.4%	Megalin ₁ :CC	63.8%
Megalin ₂ :ACC	33.3%	Megalin ₂ :TT	8.2%
Megalin3:GTT	11.0%	Megalin3:CT	28.0%
Megalin ₄ :ATT	5.9%		
Megalin ₅ :GTC	4.3%		
Megalin ₆ :ATC	3.0%		
Megalin ₇ :GCT	3.0%		
Megalin _{8:} ACT	2.1%		
	SNPHAP (allelic copies of (rs3755166/rs46	of the haplotype 68123/rs207525	2) 52)
	No copy (0)	One copy (1)	Two copies (2)
Megalin ₁ :GCC	47.3%	38.9%	13.8%
Megalin ₂ :ACC	35.5%	53.4%	11.1%
Megalin :GTT	74 1%	24 2%	1 7%

rs4668123:C/T rs2075252:C/T

FIGURE 1. A: Gene structure of the VDR gene. The SNP and gene coordinates are based on NCBI build 36 (hg18, March 2006) using RefSeq gene prediction. The VDR gene on chromosome 12 is composed of \leq 11 exons spanning ~63 kb. Note: More than 99% of eligible participants had well-defined SNPLCs that could be summarized by *BsmI* and *TaqI* SNP combinations. SNPHAPs were defined on the basis of 3 VDR SNP combinations (*BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI*) and were expressed as dosage (0 = none, 1 = one copy, 2 = 2 copies) in the main analysis. B: Gene structure of the megalin gene. The SNP and gene coordinates are based on NCBI build 36 (hg18, March 2006) using RefSeq gene prediction. The megalin (*LRP2*) gene on chromosome 2 has 79 exons and is ~235 kb in size. Note: More than 96% of eligible participants had well-defined SNPLCs that could be summarized by the genotype of rs4668123. SNPHAPs were defined on the basis of all 3 megalin SNP combinations (rs3755166, rs4668123, and rs2075252) and were expressed as dosage (0 = none, 1 = one copy, 2 = 2 copies) in the main analysis. hg, human genome; LRP2, LDL receptor-related protein 2; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; RefSeq, reference sequence; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SNPLC, single nucleotide polymorphism latent class; SNPHAP, single nucleotide polymorphism haplotype; VDR, vitamin D receptor gene; vv, variant-variant; wv, wild-type–wild-type.

Α

4 = 0.0125 (marginal significance: P = 0.10/4 = 0.025). In the case of the MMSE (a measure of global cognition), BVRT, and cognitive domains (domains 1 and 2, produced by factor analysis of LARCCs with orthogonal rotation), only prediction was taken into account. In fact, they were deemed to be independent in content of other tests and of each other, while having only one score each, and thus the significance criterion was reduced to only P = 0.05/2 = 0.025 (marginal significance to P = 0.10/2 = 0.05). After correction for multiple testing, and due to their lower statistical power compared with main effects (71), interaction terms had their critical P values reduced to 0.05. All analyses (except for LCA) were performed by using Stata version 11.0 (73).

RESULTS

Study sample characteristics

Study sample characteristics are presented in Table 1, and the eligible group with genetic data available was compared with those without available genetic data. Generally, participants with complete genetic data were younger (mean age: 52.3 compared with 60.8 y), had higher proportion of women (47.8% compared with 26.9%), had higher educational attainment (mean education: 16.8 compared with 16.6 y), were less likely to be current smokers (18.5% compared with 25.3%), and were healthier in terms of continuous BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, fasting glucose concentration, and some comorbid conditions (type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease) (P < 0.05 on the basis of t test or chi-square test). By the end of follow-up, 50 participants developed dementia in the group with available genetic data (7.1%) as compared with 17.4% (n = 212) in the group with unavailable genetic data. Moreover, LARCCs were indicative of larger cognitive declines among participants with no genetic data available compared with those with genetic data for most cognitive tests (Table 1).

All of the SNPs examined were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05). Within the VDR gene, 3 SNPs (BsmI, ApaI, TaqI) were in LD ($r^2 > 0.5$), whereas the CdX-2 SNP was independent. In the megalin gene, rs4668123 and rs2075252 were in moderate LD ($r^2 = 0.42$), whereas rs3755166 was independent (Figure 1). Genotypic frequencies indicated that, for each SNP, one genotype had a relative frequency >40% and thus was dominant compared with the other genotypes. The percentage distributions of VDR and megalin SNP LC as determined by LCA and SNPHAP (1 or 2 copies) are presented in Figure 1. Note that the SNPHAP distribution is presented in non-mutually exclusive fashion because it reflects allelic combinations for each individual. When each SNPHAP was cross-tabulated with the SNP LC per gene, they were found to be significantly associated (P < 0.001 on the basis of chi-square test). In particular, participants with 2 copies of an SNPHAP belonged exclusively to a single SNP LC.

VDR SNPs and LARCCs

The association between VDR SNPs (entered alternatively, models A–D) and LARCCs (predictions I and II), with the use of multiple OLS models, is shown in Supplemental Material 4 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue. After correction for multiple testing, none of the associations (main effects in the total population) remained significant. When effect modification by sex was tested in the association between VDR SNP dosage and

LARCC, sex differences (P < 0.05 for null hypothesis sex × SNP interaction term = 0) emerged in many of those associations, indicating in some cases that there were significant associations in women only (*BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI* in relation to VFT-C LARCC, both predictions; *ApaI* and *TaqI* in relation to DS-F LARCC, both predictions).

Megalin SNPs and LARCCs: sex-stratified findings

Similarly, in OLS models that included only the megalin gene SNP (Table 2), significant associations were found between the rs3755166: G/A megalin SNP and LARCC on MMSE, whereby an increasing dose of the "A" nucleotide was associated with faster decline (prediction I) in both sexes combined (β = -0.011, P = 0.033), an association deemed only marginally significant after correction of main effects for multiple testing (P < 0.05). An examination of prediction I of LARCC in verbal memory resulted in a significant association between the rs3755166: G/A megalin SNP and faster decline on tests scores in men (CVLT-List A: $\beta = -0.038$, P = 0.008; CVLT-DR: $\beta =$ -0.011, P = 0.003) but a slower decline in women (CVLT-List A: $\beta = +0.038$, P = 0.016; CVLT-DR: $\beta = +0.006$, P = 0.082), with a significant interaction with sex (P < 0.05). Those associations remained significant only in men after correction for multiple testing (P < 0.0125). The finding of a sex interaction (P < 0.05) was replicated for most of those associations in prediction II. Similarly, decline in cognitive domain 1 was faster in men but not in women among those with a higher dose of the rs3755166:G/A megalin SNP (ie, the "A" nucleotide), with a significant interaction by sex for both predictions. In particular, for prediction I, men declined in this domain by -0.16 SD faster with each "A" nucleotide (P = 0.009), an association deemed significant even after correction for multiple testing (P < 0.025).

When examining the association between rs2075252: C/T and cognitive outcomes, faster decline among men only was found on VFT-L (prediction I: $\beta = -0.029$, P = 0.008; prediction II: $\beta = -0.021$, P = 0.006), without significant sex differences in this main association.

In contrast, slower decline in VFT-L, deemed marginally significant after correction for multiple testing, was found among men with increasing dosage of the "T" nucleotide for the third megalin SNP (rs4668123: C/T) for prediction I (β = +0.028, *P* = 0.019). In this case, sex differences were also nonsignificant.

VDR and Megalin SNP LC associations with LARCC: sex-stratified findings

As shown in **Table 3**, OLS regression models were conducted for SNP LCs as exposures and LARCCs as outcomes, stratifying by sex. After correction for multiple testing, Megalin₂ (compared with Megalin₁) was linked to a greater rate of decline with the CVLT-DR in men only (prediction II: $\beta = -0.025$, P = 0.011; P < 0.05 for sex × SNP LC interaction). A similar pattern was noted whereby the Megalin₂ SNP LC (compared with Megalin₁) was associated with a greater rate of decline with the CVLT-List A (prediction II: $\beta = -0.108$, P = 0.008) and cognitive domain 1 (prediction II: $\beta = -0.529$, P = 0.012) in men only, although without any significant sex differences (P > 0.05 for sex × SNP LC interaction). None of the other sex-specific associations retained their significance after correction for multiple testing.

TABLE 1

Study sample characteristics by availability of gene SNP data: $BLSA^{I}$

	Eligible study sample with visit at age \geq 50 y				Genetic d availabl	ata e		uta ble		
	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	P value ²
Female (%)	1917	32.7		702	47.85		1215	26.91		< 0.001
Age at first visit (%)	1901	57.7	16.37	702	52.34	16.7	1199	60.81	15.34	< 0.001
≤20 y	1	0.05		1	0.14		0	0		
21–29 у	93	4.89		62	8.83		31	2.59		
30–39 y	239	12.57		130	18.52		109	9.09		
40–49 y	336	17.67		160	22.79		176	14.68		
50–59 y	334	17.62		113	16.10		222	18.52		
60–69 y	350	18.41		94	13.39		256	21.35		
70–79 у	378	19.88		92	13.11		286	23.85		
≥80 y	169	8.89		50	7.12		119	9.92		
Education at first visit (y)	1854	16.65	2.90	674	16.85	2.53	1180	16.55	3.09	0.0333
Smoking status at first visit (%)	1823			644			1179			0.004
Never	689	37.79		254	39.44		435	36.9		
Former	717	39.33		271	42.08		446	37.83		
Current	417	22.87		119	18.48		298	25.28		
Type 2 diabetes at first visit (%)	1901	2.89		702	1.28		1199	3.84		0.001
Hypertension at first visit (%)	1882	37.25		689	27.00		1193	43.17		< 0.001
Cardiovascular disease at first visit $(\%)^3$	1917	7.25		702	3.85		1215	9.22		< 0.001
Dyslipidemia at first visit (%)	1901	5.84		702	6.70		1199	5.34		0.223
BMI at first visit (kg/m ²)	1892	24.95	3.40	698	24.75	3.42	1194	25.07	3.39	0.0453
Underweight [BMI (in kg/m ²) \leq 18.5] (%)	25	1.32		10	1.43		15	1.26		0.192
Normal weight $(18.5 < BMI \le 24.9)$ (%)	1015	53.65		396	56.73		619	51.84		
Overweight $(25.0 < BMI \le 29.9)$ (%)	718	37.95		244	34.96		474	39.7		
Obese (BMI \geq 30) (%)	134	7.08		48	6.88		86	7.2		
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	1881	130.57	20.72	689	124.74	17.97	1192	133.94	21.45	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	1880	79.91	10.98	689	78.36	10.16	1191	80.8	11.34	< 0.001
Total cholesterol concentration (mg/dL)	1443	221.67	41.54	616	213.59	39.14	827	227.68	42.28	< 0.001
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	581	49.46	13.03	323	49.68	12.65	258	49.18	13.52	0.6465
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	951	98.34	12.75	459	96.84	12.62	492	99.74	12.73	0.0004
Dementia (%)	1916	13.67		701	7.13		1215	17.45		< 0.001
Predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 5 y										
and mean age at follow-up ⁴										
MMSE										
All time points: prediction I	944	0.017	0.117	500	0.039	0.095	444	-0.008	0.134	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II BVRT	888	-0.007	0.055	492	0.001	0.052	396	-0.017	0.058	< 0.001
All time points: prediction I	1394	0.126	0.076	630	0.109	0.077	764	0.140	0.073	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	1319	0.121	0.065	622	0.107	0.066	697	0.135	0.061	< 0.001
CVLT-List A										
All time points: prediction I	920	-0.306	0.242	620	-0.274	0.239	300	-0.371	0.236	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	870	-0.271	0.192	601	-0.251	0.196	269	-0.315	0.175	< 0.001
CVLT-DR										
All time points: prediction I	920	-0.087	0.061	620	-0.080	0.059	300	-0.103	0.062	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	870	-0.075	0.048	601	-0.071	0.048	269	-0.085	0.048	< 0.001
VFT-C										
All time points: prediction I	1025	-0.040	0.137	519	-0.011	0.132	506	-0.071	0.135	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	961	-0.055	0.089	511	-0.040	0.091	450	-0.071	0.083	< 0.001
VFT-L										
All time points: prediction I	1023	-0.002	0.115	519	0.020	0.116	504	-0.024	0.110	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	939	-0.008	0.079	508	0.001	0.084	431	-0.020	0.072	< 0.001
Trails A										
All time points: prediction I	960	0 391	1,114	484	0.080	0.927	476	0 708	1,198	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	882	0.309	0.641	473	0.132	0.558	409	0.513	0.670	<0.001
Trails B	502	0.009	0.011	.15	0.102	0.000	107	0.010	0.070	
All time points: prediction I	955	0 466	1,990	484	-0.134	1.693	471	1 083	2.082	< 0.001
Time points before dementia onset: prediction II	879	0.446	1.668	472	0.014	1.455	407	0.947	1.759	<0.001
DS-F	517	0.770	1.000	.72	0.014	1.455	101	0.747	1.157	-0.001

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Eligi	ble study s with visit at age >50	ample v		Genetic da available	ta				
n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	P value ²
959	-0.031	0.010	623	-0.030	0.011	338	-0.032	0.009	0.009
904	-0.029	0.010	607	-0.029	0.010	297	-0.030	0.008	0.316
961	-0.046	0.016	623	-0.046	0.017	338	-0.046	0.013	0.673
906	-0.045	0.016	607	-0.045	0.017	299	-0.044	0.013	0.101
707	-0.16	0.97	475	0.00	0.94	232	-0.50	0.94	< 0.001
649	-0.13	0.94	453	0.00	0.93	196	-0.43	0.89	< 0.001
707	-0.07	0.86	475	0.00	0.86	232	-0.22	0.84	0.001
649	-0.05	0.83	649	0.00	0.84	196	-0.16	0.80	0.025
	Eligi <u>n</u> 959 904 961 906 707 649 707 649	Eligible study s with visit at age ≥ 50 <i>n</i> Mean 959 -0.031 904 -0.029 961 -0.046 906 -0.045 707 -0.16 649 -0.13 707 -0.07 649 -0.05	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Eligible study sample with visit at age ≥ 50 y n n Mean SD n 959 -0.031 0.010 623 904 -0.029 0.010 607 961 -0.046 0.016 623 906 -0.045 0.016 607 707 -0.16 0.97 475 649 -0.13 0.94 453 707 -0.07 0.86 475 649 -0.05 0.83 649	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eligible study sample with visit at age ≥ 50 y Genetic data available n Mean SD n Mean SD 959 -0.031 0.010 623 -0.030 0.011 904 -0.029 0.010 607 -0.029 0.010 961 -0.046 0.016 623 -0.046 0.017 906 -0.045 0.016 607 -0.045 0.017 707 -0.16 0.97 475 0.00 0.94 649 -0.13 0.94 453 0.00 0.93 707 -0.07 0.86 475 0.00 0.86 649 -0.05 0.83 649 0.00 0.84	Eligible study sample with visit at age ≥ 50 y Genetic data available n Mean SD n Mean SD n 959 -0.031 0.010 623 -0.030 0.011 338 904 -0.029 0.010 607 -0.029 0.010 297 961 -0.046 0.016 623 -0.046 0.017 338 906 -0.045 0.016 607 -0.045 0.017 299 707 -0.16 0.97 475 0.00 0.94 232 649 -0.13 0.94 453 0.00 0.93 196 707 -0.07 0.86 475 0.00 0.86 232 649 -0.05 0.83 649 0.00 0.84 196	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

¹ BLSA, Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BVRT, Benton Visual Retention Test; CVLT-List A, California Verbal Learning Test, List A; CVLT-DR, California Verbal Learning Test, Delayed Recall; DS-B, Digits Span Backward; DS-F, Digits Span Forward; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Trails A, Trailmaking Test, part A; Trails B, Trailmaking Test, part B; VFT-C, Verbal Fluency Test-Categorical; VFT-L, Verbal Fluency Test-Letter.

 2 P value for null hypothesis of no difference between those with and those without genetic data.

³ Reported any of the following conditions at first visit: stroke, congestive heart failure, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or atrial fibrillation.

⁴ Cognitive scores were predicted at mean age at follow-up before onset of dementia or for all time points by using a linear mixed model with control for sex, race-ethnicity, education (y), and smoking status, with age added among the fixed-effects variables to allow for quadratic nonlinear change. The slope or annual rate of change was predicted from these models at the mean age at follow-up (ie, between age 50 and the individual mean age of follow-up for each cognitive test). By using factor analysis, 2-factor scores were estimated and were labeled as Longitudinal Annual Rate of Cognitive Change in the following domains: domain 1, "Memory and executive function: earlier decline"; domain 2, "Verbal fluency and attention: later decline" (*see* Supplemental Material 2 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue for more details).

VDR and Megalin SNPHAP associations with LARCC: sex-stratified findings

VDR SNPHAPs, consisting of [rs1544410 (*Bsm*I:G/A), rs7975232 (*Apa*I:A/C), and rs731236 (*Taq*I:G/A)] SNP combinations, and megalin SNPHAPs, consisting of [rs3755166:G/A; rs2075252:C/T; and rs4668123:C/T] combinations were examined in terms of haplotype dosage in relation to LARCCs for each sex and each prediction (**Table 4**).

Among women only, VDR₁ (GCA) was associated with greater decline with the VFT-C (prediction I: $\beta = -0.031$, P = 0.012; P < 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP interaction). VDR₂ (AAG), however, was associated with a marginally significant slower decline in women only (prediction I) with the MMSE ($\beta = +0.017$, P = 0.042). The third VDR SNPHAP (VDR₃: GAA) was associated with marginally significant slower decline in mem only with the MMSE (prediction II: $\beta = +0.014$, P = 0.047; P < 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP interaction), the CVLT-List A (prediction I: $\beta = +0.059$, P = 0.025; prediction II: $\beta = +0.052$, P = 0.019; P > 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP interaction), and the CVLT-DR (prediction II: $\beta = +0.013$, P = 0.018; P < 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP interaction).

When megalin SNPHAPs were examined in relation to LARCCs, several key findings emerged. Megalin₁ (GCC) was associated with a significantly faster decline with the CVLT-List A among women (prediction II: $\beta = -0.043$, P = 0.006). For the CVLT-DR, however, a slower decline was found among men (prediction I: $\beta = +0.011$, P = 0.009; prediction II: $\beta = +0.010$, P = 0.007), although the associations were not significant among women. However, for both cognitive test scores and predictions, sex differences were significant (P < 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP

interaction). Megalin₁ (GCC) was also associated with slower decline for cognitive domain 1 among men only (prediction II: $\beta = +0.17$, P = 0.021; P < 0.05 for sex × SNPHAP interaction). To assess confounding effects of covariates included in the latter model (prediction II, cognitive domain 1), a change-in-estimate analysis was conducted with backward elimination of covariates. This analysis indicated that the strongest confounding effect was found for education (years) among men, whereas among women baseline age, smoking status, education, and sample selectivity were found to affect the estimate in an appreciable manner (>7% change in estimate; data not shown).

The Megalin₂ (ACC) SNPHAP was associated with slower cognitive decline among women with the VFT-C (prediction II: $\beta = +0.026$, P = 0.005), without significant sex differences. There was no significant link between Megalin₃ SNPHAP (GTT) and decline on any of the cognitive test scores. A sensitivity analysis was conducted in which the 2 principal components analysis factor scores were added to each model to address the issue of the residual effects of population structure within the sample. This adjustment did not alter any of our key findings, particularly the strong positive association between the Megalin₁ SNPHAP (GCC) and LARCC in cognitive domain 1 (reflecting less decline) among men.

DISCUSSION

We examined associations of SNPs for VDR [rs11568820 (*CdX*-2:T/C), rs1544410 (*Bsm*I:G/A), rs7975232 (*Apa*I:A/C), rs731236 (*Taq*I:G/A)] and Megalin [rs3755166:G/A; rs2075252:C/T; rs4668123:C/T] genes with longitudinal cognitive performance

BEYDOUN ET AL

TABLE 2

Megalin gene SNP associations with predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up: multiple OLS regression analysis, $BLSA^{I}$

All time points: prediction ITime points before demended n β^3 SEEP-trend n β^3 MMSE Megalin: rs3755166: G/A484 -0.011 0.005 0.033^4 477 -0.004 Men 312 -0.014 0.007 0.038^4 306 -0.007	ntia onset: p SEE 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.006	0.126 0.076
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SEE 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.006	<i>P</i> -trend
MMSE Megalin: rs3755166: G/A 484 -0.011 0.005 0.033^4 477 -0.004 Men 312 -0.014 0.007 0.038^4 306 -0.007	0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.006	0.126 0.076
Megalin: rs3755166: G/A 484 -0.011 0.005 0.033^4 477 -0.004 Men 312 -0.014 0.007 0.038^4 306 -0.007	0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.006	0.126 0.076 0.856
Men $312 -0.014 0.007 0.038^4 306 -0.007$	0.004 0.003 0.004 0.006	0.076
	0.003 0.004 0.006	0.956
Women 172 -0.008 0.007 0.282 171 -0.001	0.004 0.006	0.850
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T 484 -0.008 0.007 0.279 477 -0.005	0.006	0.208
Men 312 -0.009 0.010 0.384 306 -0.006	~ ~ ~ ~	0.264
Women 172 -0.009 0.011 0.407 171 -0.004	0.005	0.373
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T 484 +0.005 0.008 0.540 477 +0.006	0.004	0.191
Men 312 +0.016 0.011 0.170 306 +0.011	0.006	0.088
Women 172 -0.009 0.012 0.456 171 -0.001	0.005	0.875
BVRT		
Megalin: rs3755166: G/A 608 +0.002 0.003 0.600 601 +0.000	0.003	0.898
Men 364 +0.003 0.004 0.390 357 +0.002	0.004	0.647
Women 244 -0.002 0.005 0.608 244 -0.003	0.004	0.524
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T 608 +0.003 0.005 0.580 601 +0.005	0.004	0.229
Men 364 +0.001 0.006 0.838 357 +0.005	0.005	0.327
Women 244 +0.006 0.008 0.467 244 +0.006	0.006	0.364
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T 608 +0.000 0.005 0.929 601 -0.001	0.005	0.803
Men = 364 + 0.006 = 0.007 = 0.326 = 357 + 0.003	0.006	0.587
Women $244 - 0.010 0.009 0.256 244 - 0.010$	0.007	0.190
CVITList A	01007	01190
Megalin: $r_{3}755166$; G/A 598 -0.006 0.011 0.562 ⁵ 580 -0.000	0.009	0.9595
$Men 356 -0.038 0.014 0.002^6 343 -0.025$	0.002	0.045
Women $242 \pm 0.038 = 0.016 = 0.016^4 = 2.37 \pm 0.033$	0.012	0.013^4
Wondin: rs2075252: C/T 598 -0.030 0.016 0.069 580 -0.028	0.013	0.047
$M_{en} = 356 -0.012 + 0.022 + 0.053 = 343 -0.042$	0.014	0.026
Women $242 - 0.028 0.024 0.025 237 - 0.021$	0.019	0.020
Wondin: rs/4668123; C/T 598 ±0.026 0.018 0.157 580 ±0.020	0.020	0.190
$M_{en} = 356 \pm 0.016 + 0.074 = 0.517 = 343 \pm 0.010 = 0.074 = 0.517 = 343 \pm 0.010 = 0.020 = 0.010 = 0.017 = 0.0100 = $	0.015	0.130
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.020	0.053
Wonch 242 +0.054 0.026 0.055 257 +0.045	0.025	0.052
Magdin: $rc^{2755166}$ G/A 508 -0.003 0.003 0.174^{5} 580 -0.002	0.002	0.2085
$M_{22} = \frac{1}{2} 1$	0.002	0.298
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.003	0.009
Wonich 2075252; C/T 509 -0.004 0.052 237 70.005	0.003	0.075
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.005	0.038
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.005	0.020
Wonlien x4662122; C/T 502 +0.007 0.004 0.521 257 -0.004	0.005	0.417
Meganii: Is4008125: $C/1$ 596 +0.007 0.004 0.155 560 +0.005 Mar 256 10.004 0.006 0.527 243 10.002	0.004	0.100
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.005	0.034
women 242 +0.012 0.000 0.070 257 0.010	0.005	0.078
$V \Gamma^{1-1}$	0.004	0.120
Meganii $153/35100$: $C/A = 504 = 0.005 = 0.000 = 0.055 = 497 = 40000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = 0.0000 = $	0.004	0.159
Men 519 -0.008 0.008 0.510 515 -0.000	0.005	0.955
women 185 +0.017 0.000 0.104 184 +0.015	0.007	0.037
Meganii: rs_{20}/s_{252} : C/1 504 -0.011 0.009 0.228 497 -0.004	0.006	0.513
Men $319 - 0.010 0.012 0.381 313 - 0.002$	0.008	0.832
Women 185 -0.018 0.015 0.228 184 -0.010	0.010	0.335
Megalin: $rs4668123$: C/T 504 +0.014 0.010 0.167 497 +0.007	0.007	0.313
Men 319 +0.019 0.013 0.155 313 +0.007	0.008	0.384
Women 185 +0.012 0.017 0.501 184 +0.008	0.011	0.465
VFT-L		
Megalin: $rs5/55166$: G/A 507 -0.007 0.006 0.206 494 -0.004	0.004	0.298
Men 319 -0.010 0.007 0.176 311 -0.006	0.005	0.212
Women 185 -0.006 0.009 0.524 183 -0.002	0.007	0.728
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T 507 -0.015 0.008 0.072 494 -0.010	0.006	0.099
Men $319 - 0.029 0.011 0.008^{\circ} 311 - 0.021$	0.008	0.006
Women 185 +0.007 0.013 0.602 183 +0.008	0.010	0.374

TABLE 2 (Continued)

		Predicted	l annual rate o	of cognitive change l	between age 50 y	and mean age o	of follow-up ²	
		All time poir	nts: prediction	I	Time p	oints before de	mentia onset: p	rediction II
	n	β^3	SEE	P-trend	n	β^3	SEE	P-trend
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T	507	+0.010	0.011	0.271	494	+0.006	0.006	0.354
Men	319	+0.028	0.012	0.019^4	311	+0.017	0.008	0.037
Women	185	-0.020	0.015	0.180	183	-0.014	0.011	0.211
Trails A								
Megalin: rs3755166: G/A	469	-0.002	0.052	0.971	459	+0.008	0.031	0.803
Men	302	+0.049	0.076	0.523	294	+0.041	0.042	0.330
Women	167	-0.062	0.053	0.241	165	-0.039	0.041	0.337
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T	469	+0.018	0.080	0.816	459	+0.028	0.047	0.548
Men	302	+0.062	0.115	0.589	294	+0.074	0.064	0.250
Women	167	+0.006	0.082	0.942	165	-0.008	0.063	0.902
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T	469	+0.076	0.086	0.379	459	+0.053	0.051	0.292
Men	302	+0.058	0.125	0.641	294	+0.061	0.069	0.377
Women	167	+0.062	0.092	0.502	165	+0.022	0.070	0.749
Trails B	160	0.002	0.007	0.227	150	0.070	0.075	0.007
Megalin: rs3/55166: G/A	469	+0.082	0.086	0.337	458	+0.072	0.075	0.337
Men	302	+0.151	0.111	0.180	294	+0.122	0.095	0.199
women	167	0.000	0.134	0.998	164	+0.022	0.124	0.858
Megallii: 1820/3232: C/1	409	+0.040	0.150	0.720	438	+0.005	0.115	0.379
Wemen	502 167	+0.102	0.109	0.348	294	+0.143	0.144	0.515
Magalin: rs/668122; C/T	107	0.071	0.207	0.730	104	+0.017	0.190	0.928
Megallii. 184008123. C/1	409	+0.010	0.142	0.940	438	+0.001	0.125	0.990
Women	167	-0.005	0.184	0.677	164	-0.135	0.155	0.535
DS-F	107	0.090	0.231	0.077	104	0.155	0.212	0.520
Megalin: rs3755166: G/A	600	+0.000	0.000	0.886	585	+0.000	0.001	0 840
Men	360	+0.000	0.000	0.214	348	+0.001	0.000	0.153
Women	240	-0.001	0.001	0.104	237	-0.001	0.000	0.080
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T	600	+0.000	0.000	0.373	585	+0.001	0.001	0.202
Men	360	+0.001	0.001	0.152	348	+0.001	0.001	0.126
Women	240	-0.000	0.001	0.828	237	+0.000	0.001	0.760
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T	600	+0.000	0.001	0.515	585	+0.000	0.001	0.860
Men	360	+0.001	0.001	0.376	348	+0.000	0.001	0.533
Women	240	+0.000	0.001	0.968	237	-0.000	0.001	0.654
DS-B								
Megalin: rs3755166: G/A	600	-0.000	0.001	0.678	585	-0.000	0.001	0.607
Men	359	+0.000	0.001	0.727	347	+0.000	0.001	0.771
Women	241	-0.001	0.001	0.150	238	-0.001	0.001	0.150
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T	600	+0.000	0.001	0.645	585	+0.001	0.001	0.443
Men	359	+0.001	0.001	0.459	347	+0.001	0.001	0.338
Women	241	+0.000	0.001	0.912	238	+0.001	0.002	0.704
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T	600	+0.000	0.001	0.785	585	+0.000	0.001	0.953
Men	359	+0.001	0.001	0.376	347	+0.001	+0.001	0.487
Women	241	-0.001	0.002	0.422	238	-0.002	0.002	0.344
Cognitive domain 1	160	0.05	0.05	0.0005	120	0.02	0.05	0.5505
Megalin: rs3/55166: G/A	460	-0.05	0.05	0.260	439	-0.03	0.05	0.559
Men Wana an	295	-0.16	0.06	0.009*	280	-0.12	0.06	0.048
Women Magalin, m2075252, C/T	165	+0.12	0.08	0.114	159	+0.13	0.08	0.105
Megallii: 1820/3232: C/1	400	-0.08	0.07	0.270	439	-0.10	0.07	0.189
Women	293 165	-0.11	0.09	0.251	200	-0.10	0.09	0.090
Megalin: rs/662123. C/T	105	±0.04	0.12	0.500	139	10.07	0.12	0.541
Men	205	-0.04	0.08	0.590	439	-0.03	0.08	0.098
Women	165	+0.22	0.13	0.104	159	+0.22	0.13	0.400
Cognitive domain 2	105	10.22	0.15	0.107	137	10.22	0.15	0.101
Megalin: rs3755166 [•] G/A	460	-0.00	0.03	0.965	439	+0.00	0.03	0.922
Men	295	-0.00	0.05	0.928	280	+0.01	0.04	0.819
337	165	-0.03	0.06	0.608	159	-0.03	0.06	0 597

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	Predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up ²													
		All time poin	ts: prediction	Ι	Time p	oints before der	nentia onset: p	rediction II						
	n	β^3	SEE	P-trend	n	β^3	SEE	P-trend						
Megalin: rs2075252: C/T	460	-0.01	0.05	0.804	439	-0.00	0.05	0.964						
Men	295	-0.00	0.07	0.998	280	-0.00	0.07	0.945						
Women	165	-0.05	0.08	0.594	159	+0.00	0.09	0.995						
Megalin: rs4668123: C/T	460	+0.04	0.06	0.473	439	+0.04	0.06	0.513						
Men	295	+0.09	0.07	0.239	280	+0.07	0.07	0.355						
Women	165	-0.02	0.10	0.838	159	-0.02	0.10	0.841						

¹ Note that each SNP is denoted by an rs number followed by the polymorphism in which one nucleotide is replaced by another (eg, C/T or G/A). BLSA, Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BVRT, Benton Visual Retention Test; CVLT-List A, California Verbal Learning Test, List A; CVLT-DR, California Verbal Learning Test, Delayed Recall; DS-B, Digits Span Backward; DS-F, Digits Span Forward; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OLS, ordinary least squares; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Trails A, Trailmaking Test, part A; Trails B, Trailmaking Test, part B; VFT-C, Verbal Fluency Test-Categorical; VFT-L, Verbal Fluency Test-Letter.

² Cognitive scores were predicted at the mean age at follow-up before onset of dementia or for all time points by using a linear mixed model controlled for sex, race-ethnicity, education (y), and smoking status, with age added among the fixed-effects variables to allow for quadratic nonlinear change. The slope or annual rate of change was predicted from these models at the mean age at follow-up (ie, between age 50 and the individual mean age of follow-up for each cognitive test). By using factor analysis, 2-factor scores were estimated and were labeled as Longitudinal Annual Rate of Cognitive Change in the following domains: domain 1, "Memory and executive function: earlier decline"; domain 2, "Verbal fluency and attention: later decline" (*see* Supplemental Material 2 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue for more details).

³ On the basis of multiple OLS regression models with outcome being cognitive annual rate of change and main exposures being the 3 megalin SNPs. The model controlled for first-visit age, mean age at follow-up, education, first-visit smoking status, first-visit self-reported type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and BMI.

⁴ Marginally significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.05 for MMSE or BVRT or cognitive domains and P < 0.025 for other cognitive tests.

 $^{5} P < 0.05$ for the null hypothesis that sex \times SNP interaction term = 0 in a model in which the main effect of sex was added.

⁶ Significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.025 for MMSE and cognitive domains and P < 0.0125 for other cognitive tests.

changes. Data from 702 non-Hispanic white BLSA participants were used. LARCCs between age 50 y and the age at individual mean follow-up were predicted with linear mixed models by using all cognitive score time points (prediction I) or time points before dementia onset (prediction II). LC, haplotype, and OLS regression analyses were conducted. Among many key findings, in OLS models with SNP LCs as predictors for LARCC, Megalin₂ [rs3755166(–)/rs2075252(TT)/rs4668123(T–)] compared with Megalin₁ [rs3755166(-)/rs2075252(CC)/rs4668123(-)] was associated with greater decline among men in verbal memory (prediction II), with significant sex differences (P <0.05). When examining SNPHAPs, in women VDR₁ [BsmI (G-)/ApaI(C-)/TaqI(A-); baT] was linked to greater decline in category fluency (prediction I: $\beta = -0.031$, P = 0.012). The Megalin₁ SNPHAP (GCC) was related to greater decline among women in verbal memory, immediate recall (CVLT-List A; prediction II: $\beta = -0.043$, P = 0.006), but slower decline among men in delayed recall (CVLT-DR: $\beta > 0, P < 0.0125$; both predictions). In women, the Megalin₂ SNPHAP (ACC) was associated with slower decline in category fluency (VFT-C; prediction II: $\beta = +0.026$, P = 0.005). Another finding was that Megalin SNP rs3755166:G/A was associated with greater decline in global cognition in both sexes combined and in verbal memory in men.

Four recent studies have examined Megalin (20, 36) and VDR (18, 19) genetic polymorphisms as potential risk markers for cognitive impairment or, more specifically, AD. Overall, cognitive impairment risk appears to be associated with various genetic SNPs and haplotypes pertaining to megalin and VDR. In

a case-control study (1158 patients with sporadic AD compared with 1025 healthy controls), out of 3 megalin SNPs (rs3755166, rs2075252, rs4668123), only one (rs3755166:G/A) was found to be associated with apparent increased AD risk. Note that the rs3755166 "A" variant had 20% less transcriptional activity than did the "G" variant (20).

This finding was replicated by a recent case-control study in Chinese middle-aged and older adults (n = 361), in which cases were found to be 38% more likely than controls to have the "A" variant of that SNP (rs3755166 G/A: OR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.87; P = 0.039) (36). Similarly, in our study, we found a marginally significant inverse relation between rs3755166 G \rightarrow A and MMSE LARCC, indicative of greater cognitive decline for the "A" variant SNP. Moreover, this SNP variant was associated with significantly greater decline in verbal memory among men only, even after correction for multiple testing. Whereas the previously described study (20) did not find a significant relation between rs2075252 SNP LC (TT compared with CC) may be associated with greater decline in verbal memory (CVLT-DR), particularly before the onset of dementia and more so among men.

When testing VDR SNP associations with AD, a recent casecontrol study (104 patients with late-onset AD compared with 109 age-matched controls) found that heterozygous ApaI genotype (AC) was linked to an increased risk of AD, compared with homozygous AA genotype (19). In our study, we found only a marginally significant *P*-trend (P < 0.10), indicating that AA may be protective against cognitive decline compared with AC and CC, particularly for changes in global cognitive performance

TABLE 3

VDR and Megalin SNP LC associations with predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up: multiple OLS regression analysis, BLSA¹

			F	Predicted a	annual	rate of co	gnitive	change	between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up ²									
			All t	ime point	s: predi	iction I			Time points before dementia onset: prediction II									
		Ν	Ien			Wo	men			Ν	Men			Wo	omen			
	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р		
MMSE	315				179				309				178					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.019	0.016	0.241		+0.024	0.016	0.125		+0.014	0.009	0.118		+0.006	0.007	0.435		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.004	0.013	0.742		-0.009	0.013	0.504		+0.006	0.007	0.381		-0.003	0.006	0.543		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁		-0.000	0.023	0.985		-0.015	0.021	0.493		-0.003	0.013	0.833		-0.011	0.010	0.244		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		+0.010	0.013	0.432		-0.025	0.013	0.060		+0.004	0.007	0.566		-0.003	0.006	0.641		
BVRT	368				254				361				254					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		-0.007	0.009	0.441		+0.011	0.011	0.316		-0.002	0.008	0.799		+0.007	0.010	0.485		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		-0.008	0.007	0.280		+0.009	0.009	0.320		-0.007	0.007	0.305		+0.007	0.008	0.383		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁		+0.011	0.013	0.408		-0.002	0.014	0.874		+0.014	0.012	0.233		+0.000	0.012	0.969		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		+0.007	0.007	0.327		+0.003	0.009	0.738		+0.008	0.007	0.221		-0.000	0.008	0.949		
CVLT-List A	360				252				347				247					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.049	0.034	0.148		-0.029	0.036	0.421		+0.039	0.029	0.176		-0.017	0.031	0.586		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.035	0.027	0.199		-0.017	0.029	0.567		+0.026	0.023	0.258		-0.004	0.025	0.880		
$Megalin_2$ vs $Megalin_1$		-0.102	0.048	0.034		+0.003	0.046	0.954		-0.108	0.040	0.008^{4}		-0.008	0.038	0.829		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		+0.002	0.027	0.930		+0.007	0.030	0.827		-0.002	0.002	0.915		+0.025	0.026	0.325		
CVLT-DR	360				252				347				247					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.010	0.008	0.218		+0.000	0.008	0.992		+0.007	0.007	0.348		+0.004	0.007	0.581		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.015	0.007	0.019		-0.001	0.007	0.915		+0.012	0.006	0.029		+0.002	0.006	0.643		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁		-0.026	0.012	0.026		+0.001	0.011	0.922		-0.025	0.010	0.0114,0		-0.002	0.009	0.853		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		-0.002	0.006	0.709	100	+0.009	0.007	0.184		-0.003	0.006	0.542°		+0.014	0.006	0.0235		
VFI-C	322	0.007	0.010	0.750	192	0.022	0.000	0.155	316	0.004	0.010	0.700	191	0.000	0.016	0.165		
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.006	0.018	0.758		+0.033	0.023	0.155		+0.004	0.012	0.729		+0.022	0.016	0.165		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.022	0.015	0.161		-0.021	0.019	0.284		+0.012	0.010	0.192		-0.012	0.013	0.340		
$Megalin_2$ VS $Megalin_1$		-0.002	0.026	0.929		+0.010	0.030	0.729		-0.007	0.017	0.084		+0.005	0.020	0.812		
Megalin ₃ Vs Megalin ₁	222	+0.019	0.015	0.205	102	-0.010	0.030	0.435	214	+0.013	0.017	0.169	100	+0.000	0.013	0.978		
VFI-L	322	0.015	0.017	0.202	192	0.006	0.021	0 757	314	0.011	0.012	0.259	190	0.005	0.015	0.720		
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		-0.015	0.017	0.385		-0.006	0.021	0.757		-0.011	0.012	0.558		-0.005	0.015	0.720		
VDR3 VS VDR1 Magglin vo Magglin		-0.005	0.014	0.712		-0.004	0.017	0.799		-0.005	0.009	0.582		+0.000	0.012	0.952		
Megalin vs Megalin		-0.001	0.024	0.978		-0.000	0.027	0.829		-0.009	0.017	0.390		-0.008	0.019	0.008		
Traile A	304	-0.007	0.014	0.007	172	+0.000	0.018	0.800	206	-0.007	0.010	0.401	170	+0.021	0.012	0.085		
VDP. vs VDP.	504	-0.342	0 174	0.050	172	+0.036	0 121	0.768	290	-0.174	0.100	0.076	170	+0.025	0.003	0.786		
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		-0.221	0.174	0.030		+0.030	0.121	0.708		-0.003	0.100	0.070		+0.023	0.093	0.780		
Megalin, vs Megalin,		+0.1221	0.150	0.623		+0.037	0.162	0.633		±0.075	0.077	0.220		-0.006	0.122	0.963		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁ Megalin ₂ vs Megalin.		+0.122	0.249	0.023		+0.078	0.102	0.033		+0.109	0.141	0.233		-0.038	0.122	0.903		
Trails B	304	+0.107	0.141	0.450	172	+0.0+0	0.102	0.075	296	+0.075	0.070	0.234	169	0.050	0.077	0.022		
VDR ₂ vs VDR ₂	504	-0.504	0.258	0.052	172	-0.101	0 309	0 744	270	-0.485	0.218	0.027	10)	-0.177	0 286	0 537		
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		-0.230	0.205	0.052		+0.023	0.255	0.929		-0.249	0.173	0.151		-0.007	0.228	0.974		
Megalina vs Megalina		+0.209	0.369	0.572		-0.185	0.414	0.655		+0.417	0.317	0.189		-0.203	0.369	0.584		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁		+0.072	0.209	0.935		+0.272	0.260	0.297		+0.135	0.175	0.441		-0.042	0.236	0.857		
DS-F	364				250				352				247					
VDR ₂ vs VDR ₁	20.	+0.001	0.001	0.869^{6}	200	+0.000	0.001	0.739	002	+0.000	0.001	0.769^{6}	2.7	-0.000	0.001	0.920		
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.002	0.001	0.145^{6}		-0.002	0.001	0.062		+0.001	0.001	0.103^{6}		-0.002	0.001	0.043		
Megalin, vs Megalin		+0.003	0.001	0.041		+0.001	0.001	0.518		+0.003	0.001	0.041		+0.001	0.001	0.676		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		+0.001	0.001	0.115		-0.001	0.001	0.199		+0.001	0.001	0.146		-0.001	0.001	0.190		
DS-B	363				251				351				248					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		-0.001	0.002	0.735		+0.001	0.002	0.499		-0.000	0.002	0.829		+0.001	0.002	0.774		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.000	0.002	0.907		-0.003	0.002	0.085		+0.000	0.002	0.923		-0.003	0.002	0.064		
Megalin ₂ vs Megalin ₁		+0.004	0.002	0.137		+0.002	0.003	0.481		+0.005	0.002	0.096		+0.002	0.003	0.508		
Megalin ₃ vs Megalin ₁		+0.001	0.002	0.639		-0.003	0.002	0.079		+0.001	0.002	0.667		-0.003	0.002	0.092		
Cognitive domain 1	297				170				282				164					
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.194	0.144	0.178		-0.077	0.178	0.666		+0.167	0.144	0.249		+0.056	0.184	0.759		
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.177	0.113	0.119		-0.101	0.145	0.485		+0.186	0.114	0.107		-0.022	0.146	0.879		
$Megalin_2$ vs $Megalin_1$		-0.366	0.212	0.084		+0.099	0.147	0.937		-0.529	0.210	0.012^{4}		+0.047	0.233	0.841		
$Megalin_3$ vs $Megalin_1$		-0.008	0.115	0.947		+0.11	0.147	0.937		-0.07	0.116	0.558		+0.246	0.153	0.109		

		Predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up ²															
			All t	ime point	s: pred	iction I				Time	points b	efore dem	nentia or	iset: predi	iction II	[
		Men				Women				Men				Women			
	п	β^3	SEE	Р	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р	n	β^3	SEE	Р	n	β^{3}	SEE	Р	
Cognitive domain 2	297				170				282				164				
VDR_2 vs VDR_1		+0.072	0.107	0.501		+0.153	0.128	0.235		+0.090	0.105	0.390		+0.094	0.133	0.481	
VDR_3 vs VDR_1		+0.066	0.084	0.433		-0.038	0.105	0.718		+0.073	0.083	0.380		-0.051	0.106	0.630	
$Megalin_2$ vs $Megalin_1$		+0.172	0.157	0.274		+0.049	0.169	0.774		+0.143	0.152	0.348		+0.052	0.168	0.757	
Megalin ₃ vs Megalin ₁		+0.040	0.086	0.641		-0.102	0.106	0.338		+0.024	0.084	0.773		-0.007	0.110	0.946	

¹ Note that *VDR*₁, *VDR*₂, and *VDR*₃ denote VDR SNP LCs, whereas Megalin₁, Megalin₂, and Megalin₃ denote Megalin SNP LCs. BLSA, Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BVRT, Benton Visual Retention Test; CVLT-List A, California Verbal Learning Test, List A; CVLT-DR, California Verbal Learning Test, Delayed Recall; DS-B, Digits Span Backward; DS-F, Digits Span Forward; LC, latent class; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OLS, ordinary least squares; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Trails A, Trailmaking Test, part A; Trails B, Trailmaking Test, part B; VDR, vitamin D receptor gene; VFT-C, Verbal Fluency Test-Categorical; VFT-L, Verbal Fluency Test-Letter.

² Cognitive scores were predicted at mean age at follow-up before onset of dementia or for all time points by using a linear mixed model controlled for sex, race-ethnicity, education (y), and smoking status, with age added among the fixed-effects variables to allow for quadratic nonlinear change. The slope or annual rate of change was predicted from these models at the mean age at follow-up (ie, between age 50 y and the individual mean age of follow-up for each cognitive test). By using factor analysis, 2-factor scores were estimated and were labeled as Longitudinal Annual Rate of Cognitive Change in the following domains: domain 1, "Memory and executive function: earlier decline"; domain 2, "Verbal fluency and attention: later decline" (see Supplemental Material 2 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue for more details).

³ On the basis of multiple OLS regression models with outcome being cognitive annual rate of change and main exposures being the 3 megalin and VDR SNP LCs. *See* Figure 1 for more details on definition of the LCs. The model controlled for first-visit age, mean age at follow-up, education, first-visit smoking status, first-visit self-reported type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and BMI.

⁴ Significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.025 for MMSE and cognitive domains and P < 0.0125 for other cognitive tests. ⁵ Marginally significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.05 for MMSE or BVRT or cognitive domains and P < 0.025 for other cognitive tests.

 $^{6}P < 0.05$ for the null hypothesis that sex × SNP LC interaction term = 0 in a model in which the main effect of sex was added.

and verbal memory, when all time points were considered (ie, MMSE and CVLT-List A; prediction I). However, this association was deemed nonsignificant after correction for multiple testing. In a recent prospective population-based cohort study (Leiden 85plus Study; n = 563) that examined 5 VDR SNPs in relation to cognitive functioning at follow-up, a number of key findings were reported (18). Three of 5 SNPs were associated with cognitive function, namely BsmI, ApaI, and TaqI. In contrast to the study by Gezen-Ak et al (19), ApaI (A/C) variant allele (ie, CC or AC compared with AA) was associated with better cognitive function at follow-up, particularly in immediate recall (18). In our present study, SNPHAPs combining BsmI, ApaI, and TaqI were associated with cognitive change. Specifically, after correction for multiple testing, the VDR₁ SNPHAP (GCA or baT) was associated with greater decline with the VFT-C among women but not among men, a finding that was not replicated by Kuningas et al (18), who found that worse performance was, in fact, ascribed to the VDR₂ SNPHAP (AAG), which they labeled as BAt.

Sex differences in some of the observed associations of Megalin SNP LCs with cognitive change may be related to the interaction of Megalin with both estrogen, established to affect cognitive function (74), and with vitamin D, also known to affect cognitive performance (16, 17, 75). Notably, Megalin's role may be explained by some of the recent experimental evidence indicating that the binding of vitamin D and estrogen to their shared plasma membrane receptor megalin (33, 68, 76) may be competitive, because megalin is also now an established nonrecycled endocytosis receptor of both 25-hydroxyvitamin D bound to vitamin D binding protein and estrogen bound to sex hormonebinding globulin (68), a key mediator of androgen and estrogen dose and biological response. Indeed, an emerging body of evidence indicates that sex hormone-binding globulin bound estrogen and testosterone become biologically active via receptor-mediated endocytosis (68–70), mediated primarily via the Megalin receptor (68). In fact, megalin gene knockout has been shown to induce both estrogen deficiency and vitamin D deficiency (33, 68), and the cross-effect modification of estrogen and vitamin D interventions was observed for colorectal cancer incidence in the Women's Health Initiative trial (77). Taken together, multiple lines of evidence indicate the interplay of estrogen and vitamin D via their shared receptor, Megalin.

Our study has several strengths, including frequency of followup (the median frequency ranged between 13 and 15 depending on the outcome) and use of advanced statistical techniques by combining linear mixed models with OLS multiple linear regression analyses to examine associations between gene SNP, SNP LC (defined by using LCA), SNPHAP (defined by using haplotype analysis), and annual rates of change in cognitive function.

Despite its strengths, our present study has a number of limitations. First, the BLSA is a sample of convenience; the cohort was not fixed, and recruitment and dropout were continuous throughout the follow-up. We used a number of statistical techniques to diminish resulting biases, including a 2-stage Heckman selection model (67). Second, even though observation frequency for cognitive function was high, first-visit age and duration between visits varied across participants, making the

TABLE 4

VDR and Megalin SNPHAP associations with predicted annual rate of cognitive change between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up: multiple OLS regression analysis, BLSA¹

			Prec	dicted ann	ual rat	e of cog	nitive c	hange b	between age 50 y and mean age of follow-up ²								
			All	time point	s: pred	liction I			Time po	oints be	efore deme	entia o	nset: pre	diction	II		
		Ν	Men			Wo	omen			Ν	Men			Wo	omen		
	n	β^3	SEE	Р	п	β^3	SEE	Р	п	β^3	SEE	Р	п	β^3	SEE	Р	
MMSE: models A-F																	
$VDR_{1}: GCA (0, 1, 2)$	312	-0.009	0.008	0.260	172	-0.010	0.009	0.246	306	-0.006	0.004	0.184	171	-0.001	0.004	0.846	
$VDR_2:AAG\ (0,\ 1,\ 2)$	312	+0.005	0.009	0.527	172	+0.017	0.008	0.042^4	306	+0.002	0.004	0.649	171	+0.005	0.004	0.173	
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	312	+0.017	0.012	0.163	172	-0.017	0.012	0.169	306	+0.014	0.007	$0.047^{4,5}$	171	-0.010	0.006	0.078	
$Megalin_1: GCC (0, 1, 2)$	312	+0.010	0.008	0.207	172	+0.012	0.008	0.161	306	+0.004	0.004	0.419	171	0.000	0.004	1.000	
$Megalin_2: ACC (0, 1, 2)$	312	-0.017	0.009	0.056	172	+0.004	0.010	0.684	306	-0.006	0.005	0.197	171	+0.007	0.004	0.107	
<i>Megalin₃:</i> GTT (0, 1, 2)	312	+0.011	0.013	0.408	172	-0.008	0.012	0.513	306	+0.008	0.007	0.255	171	-0.001	0.005	0.817	
BVRT: models A–F																	
$VDR_1: GCA(0, 1, 2)$	364	-0.004	0.005	0.356	243	-0.001	0.006	0.837	357	-0.005	0.004	0.273	243	-0.001	0.005	0.810	
VDR_2 :AAG (0, 1, 2)	364	+0.001	0.005	0.797	243	-0.001	0.006	0.914	357	+0.003	0.004	0.550	243	-0.001	0.005	0.772	
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	364	+0.003	0.007	0.660	243	+0.004	0.009	0.652	357	+0.001	0.006	0.863	243	+0.006	0.007	0.422	
$Megalin_1: GCC (0, 1, 2)$	364	-0.006	0.005	0.219	243	+0.001	0.006	0.919	357	-0.005	0.004	0.227	243	+0.002	0.005	0.691	
$Megalin_2: ACC (0, 1, 2)$	364	+0.001	0.005	0.899	243	+0.003	0.006	0.676	357	-0.001	0.005	0.896	243	+0.000	0.005	0.972	
$Megalin_3: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	364	+0.006	0.007	0.418	243	-0.005	0.008	0.561	357	+0.007	0.007	0.312	243	-0.004	0.007	0.544	
CVLI-List A:																	
models A–F	256	0.010	0.010	0.070	241	0.000	0.010	0.725	242	0.010	0.015	0.017	226	0.001	0.016	0.024	
VDR_1 : GCA (0, 1, 2)	356	-0.019	0.018	0.270	241	-0.006	0.019	0.735	343	-0.019	0.015	0.217	236	-0.001	0.016	0.934	
VDR_2 :AAG (0, 1, 2)	356	-0.004	0.01/	0.797	241	+0.004	0.018	0.819	343	-0.003	0.015	0.859	236	+0.003	0.016	0.838	
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	350	+0.059	0.026	0.025	241	+0.008	0.028	0.779	343	+0.052	0.022	0.019	236	-0.001	0.023	0.953	
$Megalin_1: GCC (0, 1, 2)$	330	+0.039	0.018	0.028	241	-0.043	0.019	0.022	243	+0.033	0.015	0.027	230	-0.043	0.010	0.000	
$Megalin_2: ACC (0, 1, 2)$ $Magalin_2: CTT (0, 1, 2)$	330	-0.023	0.019	0.207	241	+0.037	0.020	0.072	343	-0.011	0.016	0.468	230	+0.036	0.017	0.039	
$Megalin_3: GII (0, 1, 2)$	330	-0.018	0.027	0.519	241	-0.018	0.027	0.511	343	-0.021	0.023	0.300	230	+0.023	0.022	0.292	
VDP : CCA (0, 1, 2)	256	_0.000	0.004	0.000	241	_0.002	0.004	0.717	2/2	_0.000	0.004	0.020	226	_0.000	0.004	0.058	
VDR_{1} . GCA (0, 1, 2)	256	-0.000	0.004	0.990	241	-0.002	0.004	0.717	242	-0.000	0.004	0.930	230	-0.000	0.004	0.936	
VDR_2 .AAG (0, 1, 2)	256	-0.003	0.004	0.210	241	+0.002	0.004	0.019	242	-0.003	0.004	0.193	230	+0.002	0.004	0.555	
VDR_3 . GAA (0, 1, 2) Magalin : CCC (0, 1, 2)	356	+0.014	0.000	0.032	241	-0.000	0.007	0.958	343	+0.013	0.005	0.018 $0.007^{5,6}$	230	-0.004	0.003	0.500	
$Megalin_{I}: OCC (0, 1, 2)$ $Megalin_{I}: ACC (0, 1, 2)$	356	-0.007	0.004	0.009	241	+0.007	0.004	0.112	3/3	-0.005	0.004	0.007	230	+0.007	0.004	0.052	
$Megalin_2: ACC (0, 1, 2)$ $Megalin_2: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	356	-0.007	0.005	0.132	241	+0.003	0.005	0.322	3/3	-0.003	0.004	0.207	236	+0.004	0.004	0.270	
VET-C: models A_E	550	0.005	0.07	0.057	241	+0.007	0.000	0.145	545	0.004	0.000	0.470	250	+0.007	0.005	0.172	
VDR : GCA (0, 1, 2)	319	± 0.011	0.009	0.2585	184	-0.031	0.012	0.012^{6}	313	+0.005	0.006	0.4195	183	-0.019	0.009	0.024^{4}	
$VDR_{2}:AAG(0, 1, 2)$	319	-0.012	0.009	0.200^{5}	184	+0.024	0.012	0.043	313	-0.007	0.006	0.249^5	183	+0.015	0.008	0.067	
VDR_2 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	319	+0.002	0.009	0.895	184	+0.024	0.012	0.572	313	+0.001	0.009	0.696	183	+0.006	0.012	0.603	
$Megalin_{i}: GCC(0, 1, 2)$	319	-0.003	0.010	0.734	184	-0.014	0.012	0.241	313	-0.008	0.006	0.205	183	-0.016	0.008	0.042	
$Megalin_2: ACC (0, 1, 2)$	319	-0.004	0.010	0.695	184	+0.031	0.014	0.030	313	+0.005	0.007	0.440	183	+0.026	0.009	0.005^{6}	
$Megalin_{2}: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	319	+0.009	0.015	0.542	184	-0.001	0.017	0.945	313	+0.006	0.010	0.525	183	-0.001	0.011	0.926	
VFT-L: models A–F																	
VDR_{l} : GCA (0, 1, 2)	319	+0.006	0.009	0.465	184	-0.003	0.011	0.801	313	+0.003	0.006	0.659	182	-0.001	0.009	0.937	
$VDR_2:AAG(0, 1, 2)$	319	-0.003	0.009	0.698	184	-0.001	0.011	0.872	311	+0.005	0.009	0.562	182	-0.004	0.008	0.567	
VDR_{3} : GAA (0, 1, 2)	319	-0.008	0.013	0.558	184	+0.010	0.016	0.542	311	-0.003	0.009	0.743	182	+0.011	0.011	0.333	
$Megalin_1: GCC (0, 1, 2)$	319	+0.010	0.009	0.944	184	+0.005	0.011	0.672	311	+0.001	0.006	0.856	182	-0.002	0.008	0.720	
Megalin ₂ : ACC (0, 1, 2)	319	-0.003	0.010	0.742	184	+0.003	0.012	0.834	311	+0.000	0.007	0.996	182	+0.007	0.009	0.461	
$Megalin_3: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	319	+0.000	0.014	0.978	184	-0.002	0.015	0.870	311	-0.000	0.009	0.987	182	+0.007	0.011	0.533	
Trails A: models A-F																	
VDR_1 : GCA (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.000	0.091	0.997	167	+0.019	0.064	0.766	294	+0.066	0.051	0.198	165	+0.031	0.049	0.525	
$VDR_2:AAG~(0, 1, 2)$	302	-0.018	0.090	0.845	167	+0.001	0.061	0.991	294	-0.022	0.051	0.659	165	-0.001	0.047	0.973	
VDR ₃ : GAA (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.034	0.135	0.797	167	-0.043	0.09	0.648	294	-0.100	0.076	0.189	165	-0.063	0.072	0.379	
$Megalin_1: GCC (0, 1, 2)$	302	-0.096	0.091	0.294	167	-0.037	0.062	0.558	294	-0.104	0.051	0.041	165	-0.006	0.048	0.898	
Megalin ₂ : ACC (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.031	0.099	0.750	167	-0.046	0.071	0.518	294	+0.008	0.055	0.883	165	-0.027	0.054	0.622	
Megalin ₃ : GTT (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.187	0.141	0.183	167	+0.083	0.086	0.336	294	+0.130	0.078	0.097	165	+0.004	0.067	0.853	
Trails B: models A–F																	
$VDR_{1}: GCA (0, 1, 2)$	302	+0.136	0.134	0.310	167	+0.016	0.016	0.920	294	+0.154	0.114	0.177	164	+0.015	0.149	0.919	
VDR ₂ :AAG (0, 1, 2)	302	-0.067	0.133	0.618	167	-0.047	0.153	0.757	294	-0.054	0.114	0.635	164	-0.053	0.144	0.713	
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	302	-0.144	0.200	0.470	167	-0.136	0.191	0.474	294	-0.211	0.169	0.214	164	+0.088	0.216	0.686	
Megalin ₁ : GCC (0, 1, 2)	302	-0.172	0.135	0.203	167	-0.172	0.129	0.181	294	-0.158	0.114	0.169	164	+0.055	0.144	0.704	

TABLE 4 (Continued)

	Predicted annual rate of cognitive change t										y and 1	nean age o	f follo	ow-up ²		
			All t	ime points	pred	iction I				Time po	oints be	fore demen	ntia oi	nset: pre	diction	II
		Ν	Лen			Wo	men			Ν	Лen			Wo	men	
	n	β^3	SEE	Р	n	β^3	SEE	Р	n	β^3	SEE	Р	n	β^3	SEE	Р
Megalin ₂ : ACC (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.071	0.146	0.625	167	-0.027	0.178	0.878	294	+0.005	0.124	0.967	164	+0.023	0.165	0.889
Megalin ₃ : GTT (0, 1, 2)	302	+0.091	0.208	0.664	167	-0.014	0.217	0.946	294	+0.168	0.175	0.338	164	+0.109	0.201	0.588
DS-F: models A-F																
VDR_{1} : GCA (0, 1, 2)	360	+0.001	0.000	0.259^{5}	240	-0.001	0.000	0.045	348	+0.001	0.001	0.2835	237	-0.001	0.000	0.064
VDR ₂ :AAG (0, 1, 2)	360	-0.000	0.000	0.267^{5}	240	+0.001	0.001	0.099	348	-0.001	0.000	0.231	237	+0.001	0.001	0.156
<i>VDR</i> ₃ : <i>GAA</i> (0, 1, 2)	360	+0.000	0.001	0.838	240	+0.000	0.001	0.848	348	+0.000	0.001	0.685	237	+0.000	0.001	0.769
$Megalin_1: GCC(0, 1, 2)$	360	-0.001	0.000	0.014^{4}	240	+0.000	0.001	0.711	348	-0.001	0.000	0.020^{4}	237	+0.000	0.001	0.766
Megalin ₂ : ACC (0, 1, 2)	360	+0.000	0.001	0.634	240	-0.000	0.001	0.413	348	+0.000	0.001	0.582	237	-0.001	0.001	0.416
Megalin ₃ : GTT (0, 1, 2)	360	+0.001	0.001	0.065	240	-0.000	0.001	0.583	348	+0.001	0.001	0.094	237	-0.001	0.001	0.525
DS-B: models A-F																
VDR_{l} : GCA (0, 1, 2)	359	+0.001	0.001	0.464^{5}	241	-0.002	0.001	0.035	347	+0.001	0.001	0.532	238	-0.002	0.001	0.468
$VDR_2:AAG\ (0,\ 1,\ 2)$	359	-0.000	0.001	0.640	241	+0.002	0.001	0.054	347	-0.000	0.001	0.687	238	+0.002	0.001	0.091
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	359	-0.000	0.002	0.837	241	-0.000	0.002	0.959	347	-0.000	0.002	0.878	238	+0.000	0.002	0.969
$Megalin_1: GCC(0, 1, 2)$	359	-0.020	0.001	0.064	241	+0.001	0.001	0.278	347	-0.002	0.001	0.085	238	+0.001	0.001	0.336
Megalin ₂ : ACC (0, 1, 2)	359	+0.000	0.001	0.919	241	-0.001	0.001	0.538	347	-0.000	0.001	0.980	238	-0.001	0.001	0.516
Megalin ₃ : $GTT(0, 1, 2)$	359	+0.002	0.002	0.221	241	-0.001	0.002	0.436	347	+0.002	0.002	0.215	238	-0.001	0.002	0.507
Cognitive domain 1: models A-F																
VDR_{l} : GCA (0, 1, 2)	295	-0.02	0.07	0.742	165	-0.07	0.09	0.461	280	-0.05	0.08	0.504	159	-0.04	0.10	0.693
$VDR_2:AAG(0, 1, 2)$	295	-0.03	0.07	0.603	165	+0.03	0.09	0.763	280	-0.05	0.07	0.486	159	+0.03	0.10	0.728
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	295	-0.16	0.08	0.061	165	+0.08	0.14	0.538	280	+0.22	0.11	0.052	159	+0.01	0.14	0.966
$Megalin_1: GCC(0, 1, 2)$	295	+0.16	0.74	$0.028^{4,5}$	165	-0.14	0.09	0.129	280	+0.17	0.07	0.0215,6	159	-0.18	0.09	0.052
$Megalin_2: ACC(0, 1, 2)$	295	-0.10	0.10	0.239	165	+0.11	0.10	0.309	280	-0.03	0.08	0.724	159	+0.13	0.10	0.228
$Megalin_3: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	295	-0.09	0.11	0.4385	165	+0.15	0.13	0.221	280	-0.13	0.11	0.2335	159	+0.20	0.13	0.131
Cognitive domain 2: models A-F																
VDR_{l} : GCA (0, 1, 2)	295	+0.03	0.06	0.630	165	-0.090	0.067	0.181	280	+0.00	0.06	0.985	159	-0.07	0.07	0.326
$VDR_2:AAG(0, 1, 2)$	295	-0.01	0.05	0.876	165	+0.10	0.06	0.126	280	-0.00	0.05	0.941	159	+0.07	0.07	0.292
VDR_3 : GAA (0, 1, 2)	295	-0.04	0.08	0.619	165	-0.04	0.10	0.712	280	+0.02	0.08	0.795	159	-0.02	0.10	0.868
$Megalin_1$: GCC (0, 1, 2)	295	-0.07	0.06	0.193	165	+0.00	0.06	0.959	280	-0.07	0.05	0.223	159	-0.03	0.07	0.619
Megalin ₂ : ACC $(0, 1, 2)$	295	-0.00	0.06	0.966	165	+0.04	0.07	0.587	280	+0.02	0.06	0.738	159	+0.04	0.07	0.553
$Megalin_3: GTT (0, 1, 2)$	295	+0.06	0.08	0.505	165	-0.06	0.09	0.495	280	+0.05	0.08	0.556	159	-0.01	0.09	0.948

¹ Note that VDR₁, VDR₂, VDR₃ denote VDR SNPHAPs, whereas Megalin₁, Megalin₂, and Megalin₃ denote Megalin SNPHAPs. (0, 1, 2) refers to ordinal coding with 0, 1, and 2 copies of each haplotype. Three VDR SNPs were combined to form the haplotypes, namely *BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI*. Only haplotypes 1 through 3 were selected for megalin because their overall prevalence was >10%. BLSA, Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BVRT, Benton Visual Retention Test; CVLT-List A, California Verbal Learning Test, List A; CVLT-DR, California Verbal Learning Test, Delayed Recall; DS-B, Digits Span Backward; DS-F, Digits Span Forward; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OLS, ordinary least squares; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism haplotype; Trails A, Trailmaking Test, part A; Trails B, Trailmaking Test, part B; VDR, vitamin D receptor gene; VFT-C, Verbal Fluency Test-Categorical; VFT-L, Verbal Fluency Test-Letter.

² Cognitive scores were predicted at mean age at follow-up before the onset of dementia or for all time points by using a linear mixed model controlled for sex, race-ethnicity, education (y), and smoking status, with age added among the fixed-effects variables to allow for quadratic nonlinear change. The slope or annual rate of change was predicted from these models at the mean age at follow-up (ie, between age 50 y and the individual mean age of follow-up for each cognitive test). By using factor analysis, 2-factor scores were estimated and were labeled as Longitudinal Annual Rate of Cognitive Change in the following domains: domain 1, "Memory and executive function: earlier decline"; domain 2, "Verbal fluency and attention: later decline" (*see* Supplemental Material 2 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue for more details). *See* Figure 1 for more details on definition of the SNPHAPs.

³ On the basis of multiple OLS regression models with outcome being cognitive annual rate of change and main exposures being the 3 megalin and VDR SNP haplotypes. Each haplotype was entered separately in each of the six models per outcome (models A-F). The model controlled for first-visit age, mean age at follow-up, education, first-visit smoking status, first-visit self-reported type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and BMI.

⁴ Marginally significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.05 for MMSE or BVRT or cognitive domains and P < 0.025 for other cognitive tests.

 $^{5} P < 0.05$ for the null hypothesis that sex × SNPHAP interaction term = 0 in a model in which the main effect of sex was added.

⁶ Significant main effects after family-wise Bonferroni correction: P < 0.025 for MMSE and cognitive domains and P < 0.0125 for other cognitive tests.

data structure unbalanced in terms of follow-up. To this end, we used mixed models to predict cognitive scores and annual rates of change at specific ages where a large proportion of the data were available (mean age at follow-up for each subject; ie, LARCC). We also controlled for the mean age at follow-up as well as firstvisit age in the statistical models we conducted. Third, there were no data on serum vitamin D concentrations, and no adequate information on lifestyle factors including dietary intakes of calcium and vitamin D, as well as other potentially confounding factors such as physical activity or alcohol or drug use. Fourth, although diagnosis of dementia was available, the number of incident dementia cases in our present study was limited (n = 50), which precluded analysis of time to onset of dementia in relation to SNPs, SNP LCs, or SNPHAPs. However, this information was used to predict cognitive change by using time points before onset of dementia as well as all time points of follow-up. Finally, several of our positive findings may have been due to chance, residual confounding, or selection bias, whereas other negative findings may have been caused by lack of adequate power. Thus, until those findings are replicated elsewhere, they should be interpreted with caution.

In summary, variance in VDR and megalin SNPs, SNP LCs, and SNPHAPs were shown to affect longitudinal changes in cognitive function in our study population in a sex-specific fashion. Future studies should attempt to examine associations of those SNPs, SNP LCs, and SNPHAPs with incident dementia, AD, and mild cognitive impairment in comparable populations.

We thank Larry Brant and Antonio Terracciano (Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Aging, NIH) for internally reviewing our manuscript and Melissa H Kitner-Triolo and Alyssa Gamaldo for additional help with the revision.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—MAB: conceptualization, analysis, data management, statistical analysis, literature review, and writing the manuscript; ELD: conceptualization, analysis, literature review, writing of parts of the manuscript, and revision of manuscript; HAB: analysis, literature review, writing of parts of the manuscript; and revision of the manuscript; TT: analysis, data management, assistance with statistical analysis, writing of parts of the manuscript, and revision of the manuscript; LF: data acquisition, analysis, and revision of the manuscript; and ABZ: data acquisition, analysis, writing of parts of the manuscript, and revision of manuscript. None of the authors declared a conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Brown J, Bianco JI, McGrath JJ, Eyles DW. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 induces nerve growth factor, promotes neurite outgrowth and inhibits mitosis in embryonic rat hippocampal neurons. Neurosci Lett 2003;343:139–43.
- Garcion E, Wion-Barbot N, Montero-Menei CN, Berger F, Wion D. New clues about vitamin D functions in the nervous system. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2002;13:100–5.
- Brewer LD, Thibault V, Chen KC, Langub MC, Landfield PW, Porter NM. Vitamin D hormone confers neuroprotection in parallel with downregulation of L-type calcium channel expression in hippocampal neurons. J Neurosci 2001;21:98–108.
- de Viragh PA, Haglid KG, Celio MR. Parvalbumin increases in the caudate putamen of rats with vitamin D hypervitaminosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86:3887–90.
- Naveilhan P, Neveu I, Wion D, Brachet P. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3, an inducer of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor. Neuroreport 1996;7:2171–5.
- Neveu I, Naveilhan P, Baudet C, Brachet P, Metsis M. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 regulates NT-3, NT-4 but not BDNF mRNA in astrocytes. Neuroreport 1994;6:124–6.
- Neveu I, Naveilhan P, Jehan F, Baudet C, Wion D, De Luca HF, Brachet P. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 regulates the synthesis of nerve growth factor in primary cultures of glial cells. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1994;24:70–6.
- Saporito MS, Brown ER, Hartpence KC, Wilcox HM, Vaught JL, Carswell S. Chronic 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-mediated induction of nerve growth factor mRNA and protein in L929 fibroblasts and in adult rat brain. Brain Res 1994;633:189–96.
- Wang Y, Chiang YH, Su TP, Hayashi T, Morales M, Hoffer BJ, Lin SZ. Vitamin D(3) attenuates cortical infarction induced by middle cerebral arterial ligation in rats. Neuropharmacology 2000;39:873–80.
- Eyles D, Brown J, Mackay-Sim A, McGrath J, Feron F. Vitamin D3 and brain development. Neuroscience 2003;118:641–53.

- Burne TH, Becker A, Brown J, Eyles DW, Mackay-Sim A, McGrath JJ. Transient prenatal Vitamin D deficiency is associated with hyperlocomotion in adult rats. Behav Brain Res 2004;154:549–55.
- Kesby JP, Burne TH, McGrath JJ, Eyles DW. Developmental vitamin D deficiency alters MK 801-induced hyperlocomotion in the adult rat: An animal model of schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 2006;60:591–6.
- Becker A, Eyles DW, McGrath JJ, Grecksch G. Transient prenatal vitamin D deficiency is associated with subtle alterations in learning and memory functions in adult rats. Behav Brain Res 2005;161:306–12.
- Kalueff AV, Lou YR, Laaksi I, Tuohimaa P. Increased anxiety in mice lacking vitamin D receptor gene. Neuroreport 2004;15:1271–4.
- Kalueff AV, Keisala T, Minasyan A, Kuuslahti M, Miettinen S, Tuohimaa P. Behavioural anomalies in mice evoked by "Tokyo" disruption of the vitamin D receptor gene. Neurosci Res 2006;54:254–60.
- Przybelski RJ, Binkley NC. Is vitamin D important for preserving cognition? A positive correlation of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration with cognitive function. Arch Biochem Biophys 2007;460:202–5.
- Wilkins CH, Sheline YI, Roe CM, Birge SJ, Morris JC. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with low mood and worse cognitive performance in older adults. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2006;14:1032–40.
- Kuningas M, Mooijaart SP, Jolles J, Slagboom PE, Westendorp RG, van Heemst D. VDR gene variants associate with cognitive function and depressive symptoms in old age. Neurobiol Aging 2009;30:466–73.
- Gezen-Ak D, Dursun E, Ertan T, Hanagasi H, Gurvit H, Emre M, Eker E, Ozturk M, Engin F, Yilmazer S. Association between vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. Tohoku J Exp Med 2007;212:275–82.
- Vargas T, Bullido MJ, Martinez-Garcia A, Antequera D, Clarimon J, Rosich-Estrago M, Martin-Requero A, Mateo I, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Vilella-Cuadrada E, et al. A megalin polymorphism associated with promoter activity and Alzheimer's disease risk. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2010;153B:895–902.
- Dietrich MO, Spuch C, Antequera D, Rodal I, de Yebenes JG, Molina JA, Bermejo F, Carro E. Megalin mediates the transport of leptin across the blood-CSF barrier. Neurobiol Aging 2008;29:902–12.
- Zlokovic BV. Cerebrovascular transport of Alzheimer's amyloid beta and apolipoproteins J and E: possible anti-amyloidogenic role of the blood-brain barrier. Life Sci 1996;59:1483–97.
- Poirier J. Apolipoprotein E in animal models of CNS injury and in Alzheimer's disease. Trends Neurosci 1994;17:525–30.
- 24. Beydoun MA, Boueiz A, Abougergi MS, Kitner-Triolo MH, Beydoun HA, Resnick SM, O'Brien R, Zonderman AB. Sex differences in the association of the apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele with incidence of dementia, cognitive impairment, and decline. Neurobiol Aging (Epub ahead of print 2010 Jul 7).
- Small BJ, Graves AB, McEvoy CL, Crawford FC, Mullan M, Mortimer JA. Is APOE–epsilon4 a risk factor for cognitive impairment in normal aging? Neurology 2000;54:2082–8.
- Cedazo-Mínguez A. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. J Cell Mol Med 2007;11:1227–38.
- 27. Zlokovic BV, Martel CL, Matsubara E, McComb JG, Zheng G, McCluskey RT, Frangione B, Ghiso J. Glycoprotein 330/megalin: probable role in receptor-mediated transport of apolipoprotein J alone and in a complex with Alzheimer disease amyloid beta at the blood-brain and blood-cerebrospinal fluid barriers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:4229–34.
- Hammad SM, Ranganathan S, Loukinova E, Twal WO, Argraves WS. Interaction of apolipoprotein J-amyloid beta-peptide complex with low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-2/megalin. A mechanism to prevent pathological accumulation of amyloid beta-peptide. J Biol Chem 1997;272:18644–9.
- Deane R, Wu Z, Sagare A, Davis J, Du Yan S, Hamm K, Xu F, Parisi M, LaRue B, Hu HW, et al. LRP/amyloid beta-peptide interaction mediates differential brain efflux of Abeta isoforms. Neuron 2004;43:333–44.
- Carro E, Spuch C, Trejo JL, Antequera D, Torres-Aleman I. Choroid plexus megalin is involved in neuroprotection by serum insulin-like growth factor I. J Neurosci 2005;25:10884–93.
- Liu W, Yu WR, Carling T, Juhlin C, Rastad J, Ridefelt P, Akerstrom G, Hellman P. Regulation of gp330/megalin expression by vitamins A and D. Eur J Clin Invest 1998;28:100–7.
- Rowling MJ, Kemmis CM, Taffany DA, Welsh J. Megalin-mediated endocytosis of vitamin D binding protein correlates with 25-hydroxycholecalciferol actions in human mammary cells. J Nutr 2006;136: 2754–9.

- Nykjaer A, Dragun D, Walther D, Vorum H, Jacobsen C, Herz J, Melsen F, Christensen EI, Willnow TE. An endocytic pathway essential for renal uptake and activation of the steroid 25-(OH) vitamin D3. Cell 1999;96:507–15.
- 34. Andreassen TK. The role of plasma-binding proteins in the cellular uptake of lipophilic vitamins and steroids. Horm Metab Res 2006;38: 279–90.
- 35. Gressner OA, Lahme B, Gressner AM. Gc-globulin (vitamin D binding protein) is synthesized and secreted by hepatocytes and internalized by hepatic stellate cells through Ca(2+)-dependent interaction with the megalin/gp330 receptor. Clin Chim Acta 2008;390:28–37.
- 36. Wang LL, Pan XL, Wang Y, Tang HD, Deng YL, Ren RJ, Xu W, Ma J. F, Wang G, Chen SD. A single nucleotide polymorphism in LRP2 is associated with susceptibility to Alzheimer's disease in the Chinese population. Clin Chim Acta 2011;412:268–70.
- Shock N, Greulich RC, Andres R, Arenberg D, Costa PT, Lakatta EG, Tobin JD. Normal Human Aging: the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1984.
- Zonderman AB, Giambra LM, Arenberg D, Resnick SM, Costa PT Jr, Kawas CH. Changes in immediate visual memory predict cognitive impairment. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 1995;10:111–23.
- Blessed G, Tomlinson BE, Roth M. The association between quantitative measures of dementia and of senile change in the cerebral grey matter of elderly subjects. Br J Psychiatry 1968;114:797–811.
- Morris JC. Clinical dementia rating: a reliable and valid diagnostic and staging measure for dementia of the Alzheimer type. Int Psychogeriatr 1997;9(suppl 1):173–6; discussion 177–8.
- Kawas C, Segal J, Stewart WF, Corrada M, Thal LJ. A validation study of the Dementia Questionnaire. Arch Neurol 1994;51:901–6.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, third edition, revised. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 1987.
- Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. J Intern Med 2004;256:183–94.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12:189–98.
- 45. Benton AL, ed. Revised visual retention test. 5th ed. New York, NY: The Psychological Corporation, 1974.
- Delis DC, Freeland J, Kramer JH, Kaplan E. Integrating clinical assessment with cognitive neuroscience: construct validation of the California Verbal Learning Test. J Consult Clin Psychol 1988;56:123–30.
- Lezak MD. Neuropsychological assessment. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1983.
- Lezak MD. Neuropsychological assessment. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- Spreen O, Benton AL. Neurosensory center comprehensive examination for aphasia. Victoria, British Columbia: University of Victoria, 1977.
- Rosen W. Verbal fluency in aging and dementia. J Clin Neuropsychol 1980;2:135–46.
- Reitan R. Trail Making Test: manual for administration and scoring. Tucson, AZ: Reitan Neuropsychological Laboratory, 1992.
- Wechsler D. WAIS-R manual. Cleveland, OH: The Psychological Corporation, 1981.
- Sharma S. Applied multivariate techniques. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1996.
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006;38:904–9.
- 55. Li Y, Abecasis GR. Mach 1.0: rapid haplotype reconstruction and missing genotype inference. Am J Hum Genet 2006;79:S2290.
- Boucher BJ. Association between vitamin D receptor (VDR) polymorphism and type 2 diabetes. Metabolism 2002;51:1375; author reply 1375.

- Oh JY, Barrett-Connor E. Association between vitamin D receptor polymorphism and type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome in community-dwelling older adults: the Rancho Bernardo Study. Metabolism 2002;51:356–9.
- Grundberg E, Brandstrom H, Ribom EL, Ljunggren O, Mallmin H, Kindmark A. Genetic variation in the human vitamin D receptor is associated with muscle strength, fat mass and body weight in Swedish women. Eur J Endocrinol 2004;150:323–8.
- Ortlepp JR, Lauscher J, Hoffmann R, Hanrath P, Joost HG. The vitamin D receptor gene variant is associated with the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease. Diabet Med 2001;18: 842–5.
- Ortlepp JR, Metrikat J, Albrecht M, von Korff A, Hanrath P, Hoffmann R. The vitamin D receptor gene variant and physical activity predicts fasting glucose levels in healthy young men. Diabet Med 2003;20: 451–4.
- Roth SM, Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Shea PR, Ferrell RE. Vitamin D receptor genotype is associated with fat-free mass and sarcopenia in elderly men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2004;59:10–5.
- Uitterlinden AG, Fang Y, van Meurs JB, van Leeuwen H, Pols HA. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in relation to vitamin D related disease states. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2004;89-90:187–93.
- Lanza ST, Collins LM, Lemmon DR, Schafer JL. PROC LCA: a SAS procedure for latent class analysis. Struct Equ Modeling 2007;14:671–94.
- Iivonen S, Corder E, Lehtovirta M, Helisalmi S, Mannermaa A, Vepsalainen S, Hanninen T, Soininen H, Hiltunen M. Polymorphisms in the CYP19 gene confer increased risk for Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2004;62:1170–6.
- Wigginton JE, Cutler DJ, Abecasis GR. A note on exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Am J Hum Genet 2005;76:887–93.
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics 2005;21:263–5.
- Heckman JJ. Sample selection bias as a specification error. Econometrica 1979;47:153–61.
- Hammes A, Andreassen TK, Spoelgen R, Raila J, Hubner N, Schulz H, Metzger J, Schweigert FJ, Luppa PB, Nykjaer A, et al. Role of endocytosis in cellular uptake of sex steroids. Cell 2005;122:751–62.
- Rosner W, Hryb DJ, Khan MS, Nakhla AM, Romas NA. Sex hormone-binding globulin mediates steroid hormone signal transduction at the plasma membrane. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1999;69:481–5.
- Porto CS, Lazari MF, Abreu LC, Bardin CW, Gunsalus GL. Receptors for androgen-binding proteins: internalization and intracellular signalling. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1995;53:561–5.
- Selvin S. Statistical analysis of epidemiologic data. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Hochberg Y, Tamhane AC. Multiple comparison procedures. New York, NY: Wiley, 1987.
- STATA. Statistics/data analysis: release 11.0. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation, 2009.
- 74. Espeland MA, Brunner RL, Hogan PE, Rapp SR, Coker LH, Legault C, Granek I, Resnick SM. Long-term effects of conjugated equine estrogen therapies on domain-specific cognitive function: results from the Women's Health Initiative study of cognitive aging extension. J Am Geriatr Soc 2010;58:1263–71.
- Annweiler C, Schott AM, Rolland Y, Blain H, Herrmann FR, Beauchet O. Dietary intake of vitamin D and cognition in older women: a large population-based study. Neurology 2010;75:1810–6.
- Moestrup SK, Verroust PJ. Megalin- and cubilin-mediated endocytosis of protein-bound vitamins, lipids, and hormones in polarized epithelia. Annu Rev Nutr 2001;21:407–28.
- 77. Ding EL, Mehta S, Fawzi WW, Giovannucci EL. Interaction of estrogen therapy with calcium and vitamin D supplementation on colorectal cancer risk: reanalysis of Women's Health Initiative randomized trial. Int J Cancer 2008;122:1690–4.