

Research

# Megacycles of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration correlate with fossil plant genome size

Peter J. Franks<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Rob P. Freckleton<sup>2</sup>, Jeremy M. Beaulieu<sup>3</sup>, Ilia J. Leitch<sup>4</sup> and David J. Beerling<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

<sup>4</sup>Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3AD, UK

Tectonic processes drive megacycles of atmospheric carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  concentration,  $c_a$ , that force large fluctuations in global climate. With a period of several hundred million years, these megacycles have been linked to the evolution of vascular plants, but adaptation at the subcellular scale has been difficult to determine because fossils typically do not preserve this information. Here we show, after accounting for evolutionary relatedness using phylogenetic comparative methods, that plant nuclear genome size (measured as the haploid DNA amount) and the size of stomatal guard cells are correlated across a broad taxonomic range of extant species. This phylogenetic regression was used to estimate the mean genome size of fossil plants from the size of fossil stomata. For the last 400 Myr, spanning almost the full evolutionary history of vascular plants, we found a significant correlation between fossil plant genome size and  $c_a$ , modelled independently using geochemical data. The correlation is consistent with selection for stomatal size and genome size by  $c_a$  as plants adapted towards optimal leaf gas exchange under a changing CO<sub>2</sub> regime. Our findings point to the possibility that major episodes of change in  $c_a$  throughout Earth history might have selected for changes in genome size, influencing plant diversification.

Keywords: C-value; 1C DNA amount; plant evolution; phenotypic plasticity; evolution of correlated characters; correlated traits

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Wilson cycles ('megacycles') describe continental break-up, dispersal and reassembly occurring with a period of several hundred million years [1,2]. Volcanic carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  outgassing during continental fragmentation is proposed to create greenhouse climates by increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $c_a$ , while CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown owing to the predominance of weathering processes switches the planet to an icehouse mode (figure 1) [3,4]. Over the Phanaerozoic period (past 540 Myr), continental movements and greenhouse-icehouse cycles have influenced the evolution and adaptation of marine [5] and terrestrial primary producers [6-10]. On land, they have been linked through morphological information in the fossil record to plant evolution and speciation [11], transforming the landscape and its biota [12,13]. If

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rstb.2011.0269 or via http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org.  $c_{\rm a}$  has influenced plant evolution, then adaptation and selection may have acted on subcellular characters also, but this information is not readily preserved in the fossil record. Consequently, there has been little evidence of a linkage between the large geologicalscale changes in  $c_{\rm a}$  and changes in subcellular plant characters over geological time. Here, we show that tectonically driven megacycles of  $c_{\rm a}$  may have selected for changes in plant genome size (the haploid nuclear DNA amount or C-value)—a fundamental subcellular feature of land plant evolution.

## (a) Atmospheric carbon dioxide and stomata

There is coupling between  $c_a$  and the physiology of plant gas exchange, illustrated in figure 1*a*. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is the substrate for photosynthesis, and its concentration on geological timescales is strongly influenced by major geological sources and sinks, including the action of plant photosynthetic productivity on weathering of Ca-Mg silicate rocks [12]. In geological intervals when  $c_a$  was low (as inferred from geochemical data [4]), fossil leaves exhibit more numerous, smaller stomata, and the reverse pattern is seen in periods of high  $c_a$  [14]. These

<sup>\*</sup> Author for correspondence (peter.franks@sydney.edu.au).

One contribution of 12 to a Theme Issue 'Atmospheric  $CO_2$  and the evolution of photosynthetic eukaryotes: from enzymes to ecosystems'.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of coupling between leaf  $CO_2$  assimilation and the geochemical carbon cycle. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration,  $c_a$ , on geological timescales is determined by the balance between major sources (volcanism) and sinks (deep ocean sedimentation). The fossil record suggests that leaves have adapted to megacycles in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration by adjusting leaf diffusive conductance to  $CO_2$ , achieved through changing the size and density (number) of stomatal guard cells on the leaf surface. Stomata on the leaf surface are represented as guard cell pairs in outline containing circular red nuclei.

changes in stomatal size and number (density) have unambiguous functional consequences for leaves owing to the basic physics of diffusion: higher average maximum leaf diffusive conductance to CO2 under low c<sub>a</sub>, and lower maximum diffusive conductance under higher  $c_a$  [14]. Although played out at the global scale and over geological time, the pattern mimics the well-characterized feedback interaction between  $c_a$  and leaf diffusive conductance observed routinely in the laboratory [15-18], albeit through more subtle adjustments of stomatal aperture rather than stomatal size and density. At the geological and experimental scale, both phenomena exhibit characteristics of an adaptive response that tends towards (though not necessarily achieves) optimization of leaf gas exchange [16,19]. We cannot be certain that the apparent feedback response of stomata to  $c_a$  at the geological scale is indeed an adaptive feedback response, or a fortuitous coevolution, because we are unable to physically duplicate the past 400 Myr of the Earth system under controlled conditions. But the pattern, suggesting adaptation of stomatal size and density to  $c_{\rm a}$ , can be simulated mathematically with a feedback model built on established physiological theory that minimizes the perturbation incurred on CO2 assimilation rate by a change in  $c_a$  [20]. Furthermore, the geological-scale pattern is mirrored in growth chamber experiments, with plants grown under different  $c_a$ treatments showing in many cases a qualitatively similar change in stomatal size and density [21-23]. For the purpose of our investigation, we therefore began with the premise that there is a strong functional connection between  $c_a$  and stomatal dimensions, i.e. plants exhibit a predictable pattern of phenotypic

plasticity in stomatal size and density in response to a change in  $c_a$ .

### (b) Genome size and stomata

The ratio of guard cell to nucleus width is relatively constant for taxa from across the phylogenetic spectrum (figure 2a-c). Assuming that nuclear DNA amount is proportional to the volume of the nucleus [24,25] and that stomatal guard cells are typically diploid [26], the size of fossil guard cells is potentially a proxy for estimating nuclear DNA amounts of fossil species. This principle has been applied in relation to the study of ploidy in fossil angiosperms [27], but here we develop the methodology further by accounting for phylogenetic effects to investigate the relationship between plant nuclear genome size and  $c_a$ . Across a diverse group of 11 extant plant genera, we observed a strong positive correlation between nuclear genome size (1C DNA amount), nucleus volume and a simple volumetric measure of guard cell size, guard cell width cubed (figure  $2d_{e}$ ). This strong geometric scaling between genome size and guard cell size in land plants, similar to that observed between genome size and guard cell length in a large group of angiosperms [28], indicates that guard cell size can act as a proxy for genome size in fossil plants.

Guard cell size in 211 fossil plant species spanning the last 400 Myr shows a clear pattern of covariance with large, long-term changes in  $c_a$  calculated from a coupled carbon-sulphur cycle geochemical model [4] (figure 3). Assuming that guard cell size and genome size are correlated (figure 2*e*), the relationship in figure 3 suggests that 1C DNA and  $c_a$  are correlated through the fossil record. This hypothesis was evaluated by reconstructing changes in ancestral C-values



Figure 2. Guard cell size, nucleus size and genome size are strongly correlated across species. (a) Two guard cells forming a single stoma in the epidermis of Allium cepa. (b) Fluorescing guard cell nuclei from (a) after preparation with a DNA fluorophore. Scale bar in (a) and (b): 10  $\mu$ m. (c-e) Linear relationship between (c) guard cell nucleus diameter versus guard cell width, (d) genome size, as 1C DNA amount, versus guard cell nucleus volume and (e) log<sub>10</sub> 1C DNA versus log<sub>10</sub> guard cell width cubed across phylogenetically diverse species. (d,e) Without Asplenium because its 1C DNA amount is unknown. Linear regressions (solid lines): (c) y = 0.67x - 1.24,  $r^2 = 0.93$ , p < 0.001; (d) y = 0.037 - 0.65,  $r^2 = 0.96$ , p < 0.001; (e) y = 1.83x - 5.46,  $r^2 = 0.94$ , p < 0.001.

for long-extinct plant lineages and testing for correlation between fossil plant C-values and  $c_a$  over the last 400 Myr. Our approach uses evolutionary comparative analyses of the relationship between guard cell size and plant nuclear genome size in a way that parallels the reconstruction of dinosaur nuclear genome sizes from fossil bone cell sizes [29]. The method enables a regression model to be fitted to comparative data that are inherently non-independent owing to evolutionary relatedness [30]. Our goal is not to identify, nor does it require, a mechanism for change in or evolution of plant genome size. However, an understanding of the selective forces involved requires a model that tells us what to expect from a given set of assumptions [31], so to this end, we describe a simple model to accompany our findings.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### (a) Observation of guard cell nuclei

Epidermal peels were bathed for 10 min in LB01 buffer containing the DNA fluorophore propidium iodide, prepared as described for suspensions of isolated nuclei for flow cytometry [32]. Peels were mounted in



Figure 3. Covariation of relative atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration (RCO<sub>2</sub>) and fossil guard cell size (as guard cell width cubed) over the last 400 Myr. The shaded envelope represents uncertainties owing to the weathering rates of basalt rocks. Each point is an individual fossil species, listed in the electronic supplementary material, table A1. RCO<sub>2</sub> data are from Berner [4], where RCO<sub>2</sub> is  $c_a$  relative to the pre-industrial low of approximately 280 ppm.

water on glass slides and guard cell nuclei were observed using standard fluorescence microscopy (excited with 488 nm wavelength light, and detected with a 562-588 nm band pass filter). Mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. of 3–15 stomata were measured for each species. Allium cepa, Arabidopsis thaliana, Osmunda regalis, Plantago lanceolata, Selaginella uncinata and Vicia faba were grown in controlled environment cabinets under typical natural conditions: 1000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> photosynthetically active radiation, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, 10 h photoperiod, 25°C, well-watered, commercial compost soil. Asplenium sp., Convallaria majalis, Cycas revoluta, Cymbalaria muralis and Pteridium aquilinum were collected from urban gardens in Sheffield. The 1C DNA amount for C. muralis and S. uncinata was measured as described earlier [32] using LB01 buffer and propidium iodide stain; Solanum lycopersicum 'Gardner's Delight' was the standard for C. muralis [33], and Oryza sativa cv. IR36 was the standard for S. uncinata [34]. All other 1C values are from the plant DNA C-values database (http://data.kew.org/cvalues/).

#### (b) Phylogenetic comparative method

We first constructed a chronogram (figure 4) for 36 extant species of broad phylogenetic diversity (electronic supplementary material, table A2) using sequences of atpB, rbcL and 18S rDNA deposited in GenBank, which are known to produce a phylogenetic tree that adequately represents the current hypothesis of relationships among the major land plant groups [35,36]. We carried out a simultaneous divergencetime and phylogenetic analysis using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods (MCMC) implemented in BEAST (v. 1.5.4; [37,38]). BEAST employs an uncorrelated relaxed clock model that draws substitution rates from a lognormal distribution and estimates divergence times from fossil calibrations that are treated as probabilistic priors. We attached a lognormal prior probability to several minimum-age estimates

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B (2012)

representing the first fossil occurrences of several of the major land plant groups (i.e. Tracheophyta, Euphyllophyta, Acrogymnospermae, Eudicotyledonae etc.; see Smith et al. [39] for details regarding the fossils used in this step). We also imposed several topological constraints to ensure that the topology matched the current hypothesis for relationships among land plants. The most notable constraint forced the monophyly of the Monocotyledonae and Eudicotyledonae to reflect recent genome-scale chloroplast analyses that have indicated some support for this relationship [40,41]. We ran four independent MCMC runs of 10 million generations each, sampling every 1000 generations and using an unpartitioned  $GTR + \Gamma$  substitution model. The first 2 500 000 generations were discarded as burn-in. To improve the certainty of dated nodes in the phylogenetic tree, we used the age of 30 fossil plant clades, spanning 400 Myr, which are linked to known stem lineages of the 36 extant species (see electronic supplementary material, table A3 for a list of the 30 fossil clades used in this step). The 30 fossil clades were assumed to lie on or close to the ancestral branch (the grey points in figure 4). We checked this assumption by moving the points backwards in time (i.e. simulating earlier branching), however the results were not materially affected. The 30 fossil clades (electronic supplementary material, table A3; grey points in figure 4) were assumed to be direct ancestors on the lineages leading to extant species (black dots on the phylogenetic tree; figure 4). The alternative was to assume an earlier splitting of the fossils from these lineages. However, there was no obvious algorithm for doing this and, given the broad and coarse resolution of the phylogeny, our approach is pragmatic.

We then fitted a regression model, incorporating phylogeny, to 1C DNA amount versus guard cell width cubed for the 36 extant species in the chronogram (black symbols in figure 5a; data listed in electronic



Figure 4. Timing of key changes in ancestral plant genome size. The dated phylogenetic tree, spanning major land plant clades, shows trends in genome size evolution reconstructed using information from fossil and extant stomatal guard cells. Relative C-value is indicated by the size of the dots (red, reconstructed ancestral node; black, extant, listed in the electronic supplementary material, table A2; grey, dated fossil clade, listed in the electronic supplementary material, table A3).

supplementary material, table A2) using standard methods, with the  $\lambda$ -statistic [43] being used to account for phylogenetic dependence. The phylogenetic regression (line in figure 5*a*) predicts C-value from guard cell size and explains 67 per cent of the variation in extant species. This regression was used to estimate the mean 1C-values of the 30 fossil plant clades spanning 400 Myr from their mean guard cell width cubed (figure 5*a*, open symbols; fossil plant clades are listed in electronic supplementary material, table A3). To account for phylogenetic non-independence, the

inferred 1C DNA amount included phylogenetic covariance using the methods described earlier [30,43,44]. The  $\lambda$ -statistic was not significantly different from 1, indicating a strong phylogenetic signal in the residuals of the regression.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our reconstructions of genome size for key clades of vascular land plants reveal a significant correlation between the inferred 1C DNA amount and  $c_a$  over 400 Myr



Figure 5. Nuclear genome size of fossil plants correlates with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration over 400 Myr. (a) The positive relationship between genome size (as 1C DNA amount) and stomatal size (as guard cell width cubed). Black symbols are data from direct measurements on the 36 extant species in figure 4 (species listed in electronic supplementary material, table A2). The solid line is the phylogenetic regression through the extant data used to predict fossil genome size (y = 0.70x - 1.8;  $r^2 = 0.67$ ,  $p = 8.1 \times 10^{-10}$ ). Open symbols (n = 30) are the genome size (1C DNA amount) of extinct fossil clades (electronic supplementary material, table A3) predicted from fossil guard cell size using the phylogenetic regression and phylogenetically based statistical methods (s.e.m. omitted for clarity, but see (b,c)). (b) Covariation of fossil genome size (open symbols in (a)) and RCO<sub>2</sub> through geological time (RCO<sub>2</sub> is  $c_a$  relative to the pre-industrial low, taken as approx. 280 ppm [4,42]). Each point is the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. for a clade of extinct fossil plants. (c) Correlation between fossil genome size (open symbols in (b)) and RCO<sub>2</sub> over 400 Myr (solid line, y = 3.3x + 0.16;  $r^2 = 0.52$ ,  $p = 2.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ). Included for illustration (black symbol) is the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. 1C DNA amount for approximately 6700 extant species in the plant DNA C-values database (http://data.kew.org/cvalues/).

(figure 5*b*,*c*). In order to confirm that phylogenetic uncertainty was not an influence on the outcome of our analyses, we repeated the entire comparative analysis for 1000 phylogenetic trees randomly selected from the posterior distribution. The 95% confidence interval for the slope of the regression in figure 5*a* was 0.63–0.91, and for the slope of the relationship in figure 5*c* it was 1.56–2.20. The results are thus highly robust to phylogenetic uncertainty.

We interpret the pattern in figures 3–5 as the result of natural selection on correlated characters [45]. To clarify our approach, we distinguish between natural selection and evolutionary response [45]: phenotypic selection occurs regardless of genetic basis, but evolutionary response to selection comprises genetic change. Though not without its criticisms [46] (see also the historical discussion by Mayr [47]), we apply the assumption that adaptation can be explained by natural selection. In this sense, we are viewing plant nuclear genome size as the phenotype [48] and therefore assume that it exhibits variation and adaptation upon which natural selection can act [31,45,49,50]. Our goal in this discussion is only to consider how  $c_a$ , as a global environmental factor, might select for stomatal size and genome size as characters, and not to propose how this may ultimately have contributed to speciation through geological time.

We hypothesize that guard cell size and genome size are correlated traits that may be subject to selection by  $c_a$ . To explain how  $c_a$  selects for stomatal size, we propose a simple feedback model, based on the short-term stomatal feedback response to  $c_a$ , whereby maximum leaf diffusive conductance adapts towards a new optimum following a sustained shift in  $c_a$ . The shift in maximum leaf diffusive conductance, a function of the size and density of stomata [14], comprises a change in stomatal guard cell size. The individuals that adapt maximum leaf diffusive conductance are more competitive in the new global  $c_a$ , leading to selection (differential reproductive success). The central tenet here is developmental plasticity: the capacity to reorganize the phenotype in response to environmental stimuli, producing the variants upon which natural selection can act [51].

Selection for stomatal size is accompanied by a correlated change in genome size (as guard cell nucleus size), but unlike the physiological foundations for predicting the response of stomatal size to  $c_a$ , we have no *a priori* physiological model that explains the change in genome size. The correlation between the size of guard cells and their nuclear genomes (figures  $2c_{e}$  and 5a) is likely to be related to a general phenomenon of the same form, i.e. the correlation between cell size (or more precisely, cell cytoplasmic volume) and genome size. A considerable body of work has focused on this fundamental general relationship (see review and analysis in Cavalier-Smith [52]). However, as with evolutionary theory [47], the field of genomics and genome evolution, within which the explanation to this puzzle lies, has been deeply divided along theoretical grounds [52]. We will therefore not attempt to offer a subcellular mechanism for the correlation between guard cell size and genome size, except to say that it is consistent with at least one theory, the skeletal DNA theory [52]. This states that a change in cell cytoplasmic volume is accompanied by a change in nucleus volume in order to balance the rate of protein synthesis (cytoplasm-based) with the rate of RNA synthesis and processing (nucleus-based) [52].

We recognize that no single environmental factor like atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> can entirely explain variations in genome size, and that other interactions between plants and their environment are likely to have contributed, including ecological and life-history traits [53]. Nevertheless, over the 400 Myr encompassed by the fossils, our results suggest that plant C-value has covaried with changes in  $c_a$  (figure 5c). This could be linked to selection for altered guard cell size and leaf diffusive conductance by large, long-term changes in  $c_a$  [14] (figure 1). Our fossil data and the  $c_a$  model of Berner [4] limit the analysis to a temporal resolution of no less than 10 Myr and encompass changes in  $c_a$ of several hundred parts per million in amplitude, but the same process may operate over  $c_a$  cycles of smaller periodicity and amplitude. The molecular and genetic processes involved in the evolution of smaller or larger genomes are complex [54,55] and beyond the scope of this study.

## 4. CONCLUSION

We conclude that the nuclear genome sizes of fossil plant clades, derived using phylogenetic comparative methods, correlate with tectonically induced megacycles in  $c_a$ , modelled independently using geochemical data [4]. The underlying mechanism is unclear. The correlation is consistent with selection towards optimal leaf gas exchange, via guard cell size, under a changing  $c_a$ regime driven by continental fragmentation and reassembly over the last 400 Myr. This is not to say that selection is favouring only a change in genome size in order to enhance stomatal size. Genome size and guard cell size may be under selection by several factors [28,56–59]. It also does not suggest that at any time plant nuclear genome size and maximum leaf diffusive conductance were optimal. The assumption of optimality is a common and misdirected criticism of the optimization interpretation [31]. Our findings and hypothesis are compatible with the observation of a broad range of genome sizes in extant flora [53]. A selective disadvantage under a new  $c_a$  regime is unlikely to be lethal, and there is little evidence that  $c_a$  has ever fallen below the compensation concentration, where respiration exceeds photosynthesis. Selection by  $c_a$  could however affect the frequency distribution of genome size and is perhaps a contributing factor in the predominance of smaller plant genome sizes under the low- $c_a$  conditions of recent times.

Given that natural selection acts on many characters simultaneously, and phenotypic correlations between traits are ubiquitous [45], we do not presuppose that our findings explain the variation of plant genome size. However, selection acts with varying strength on different traits [60,61], and on the basis of our results, we suggest that megacycles in  $c_a$  are a major selective force on the adaptation of stomata and plant genome size. Our findings, and accompanying model, point to the additional possibility that major episodes of change in  $c_a$  throughout Earth history [4], linked for example to abrupt volcanism, such as the Triassic-Jurassic transition 210 Myr ago [62], or meteorite impacts at the Cretaceous–Tertiary transition, 65 Myr ago [63], might have selected for changes in genome size that promoted subsequent bursts of plant diversification. Such genomic consequences of cell size evolution may be analogous to the evolution of small bone-cells and genomes in non-avian dinosaurs that led to the origin of flight in birds [29]. Finally, we recognize that our derivation of plant genome size and explanation of the observed correlation in terms of selective forces are, in the words of Parker & Maynard Smith [31], the consequence of a given set of assumptions, some well-founded, others emergent. Herein lies the challenge.

We thank R. Berner, M. W. Chase, T. Cavalier-Smith, M. J. Donoghue and J. E. Gray for helpful comments and discussion on this work, supported by funding from the Australian Research Council and the University of Sheffield (P. J. F. and D.J.B.). D. J. B. gratefully acknowledges a Royal Society-Wolfson Research Merit Award.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Wilson, J. T. 1966 Did the Atlantic close and then reopen? *Nature* 211, 676–681. (doi:10.1038/211676a0)
- 2 Worsley, T. R., Nance, R. D. & Moody, J. B. 1986 Tectonic cycles and the history of the Earth's biogeochemical and paleoceanographic record. *Paleoceanography* 1, 233–263. (doi:10.1029/PA001i003p00233)
- 3 Fischer, A. G. 1986 Climatic rhythms recorded in strata. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 14, 351–376. (doi:10.1146/ annurev.ea.14.050186.002031)
- 4 Berner, R. A. 2006 GEOCARBSULF: a combined model for Phanerozoic atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 5653–5664. (doi:10.1016/j. gca.2005.11.032)
- 5 Katz, M. E., Wright, J. D., Miller, K. G., Cramer, B. S., Fennel, K. & Falkowski, P. G. 2005 Biological overprint

of the geological carbon cycle. *Mar. Geol.* **217**, 323–338. (doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2004.08.005)

- 6 Raven, P. H. & Axelrod, D. I. 1974 Angiosperm biogeography and past continental movements. *Ann. Missouri Botanic. Garden* 61, 539–673. (doi:10.2307/2395021)
- 7 McElwain, J. C. & Chaloner, W. G. 1995 Stomatal density and index of fossil plants track atmospheric carbon dioxide in the Palaeozoic. *Ann. Bot.* **76**, 389–395. (doi:10.1006/anbo.1995.1112)
- 8 Beerling, D. J., Osborne, C. P. & Chaloner, W. G. 2001 Evolution of leaf-form in land plants linked to atmospheric  $CO_2$  decline in the Late Palaeozoic era. *Nature* **410**, 352–354. (doi:10.1038/35066546)
- 9 Osborne, C. P., Beerling, D. J., Lomax, B. H. & Chaloner, W. G. 2004 Biophysical constraints on the origin of leaves inferred from the fossil record. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 10360–10362. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0402787101)
- 10 Beerling, D. J. 2007 The emerald planet: how plants changed Earth's history. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 11 Knoll, A. H., Niklas, K. J. & Tiffney, B. H. 1979 Phanerozoic land plant diversity in North America. *Science* 206, 1400–1402. (doi:10.1126/science.206.4425.1400)
- 12 Berner, R. A. 1997 The rise of plants and their effect on weathering and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science* 276, 544–546. (doi:10.1126/science.276.5312.544)
- 13 Willis, K. J. & McElwain, J. C. 2002 The evolution of plants. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- 14 Franks, P. J. & Beerling, D. J. 2009 Maximum leaf conductance driven by CO<sub>2</sub> effects on stomatal size and density over geologic time. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 10 343–10 347. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0904209106)
- 15 Raschke, K. 1975 Stomatal action. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 26, 309-340.
- 16 Farquhar, G. D., Dubbe, D. R. & Raschke, K. 1978 Gain of the feedback loop involving carbon dioxide and stomata. Theory and measurement. *Plant Physiol.* 62, 406–412. (doi:10.1104/pp.62.3.406)
- 17 Morison, J. I. L. & Gifford, R. M. 1983 Stomatal sensitivity to carbon dioxide and humidity: a comparison of two C3 and two C4 grass species. *Plant Physiol.* 71, 789–796. (doi:10.1104/pp.71.4.789)
- 18 Morison, J. I. L. 1998 Stomatal response to increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *J. Exp. Bot.* 49, 443–452. (doi:10. 1093/jexbot/49.suppl\_1.443)
- 19 Cowan, I. R. 1977 Stomatal behaviour and environment. Adv. Bot. Res. 4, 117–228. (doi:10.1016/S0065-2296 (08)60370-5)
- 20 Franks, P. J. & Beerling, D. J. 2009 CO<sub>2</sub>-forced evolution of plant gas exchange capacity and water-use efficiency over the Phanerozoic. *Geobiology* 7, 227–236. (doi:10. 1111/j.1472-4669.2009.00193.x)
- 21 Woodward, F. I. 1987 Stomatal numbers are sensitive to increases in CO<sub>2</sub> from preindustrial levels. *Nature* 327, 617-618. (doi:10.1038/327617a0)
- 22 Farnsworth, E. J., Ellison, A. M. & Gong, W. K. 1996 Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters anatomy, physiology, growth, and reproduction of red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle L.*). *Oecologia* 108, 599–609. (doi:10.1007/BF00329032)
- 23 Kürschner, W. A., Stulen, I., Wagner, F. & Kuiper, P. J. C. 1998 Comparison of palaeobotanical observations with experimental data on the leaf anatomy of durmast oak [*Quercus petraea* (Fagaceae)] in response to environmental change. *Ann. Bot.* 81, 657–664. (doi:10. 1006/anbo.1998.0605)
- 24 Cavalier-Smith, T. 1985 Cell volume and the evolution of genome size. In *The evolution of genome size* (ed. T. Cavalier-Smith), pp. 105–184. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- 25 Jovtchev, G., Schubert, V., Meister, A., Barow, M. & Schubert, I. 2006 Nuclear DNA content and nuclear

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B (2012)

and cell volume are positively correlated in angiosperms. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **114**, 77–82. (doi:10.1159/000091932)

- 26 Melarango, J. E., Mehrotra, B. & Coleman, A. W. 1993 Relationship between endopolyploidy and cell size in epidermal tissue of *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 5, 1661–1668.
- 27 Masterson, J. 1994 Stomatal size in fossil plants: evidence for polyploidy in majority of angiosperms. *Science* 264, 421–424. (doi:10.1126/science.264.5157.421)
- 28 Beaulieu, J. M., Leitch, I. J., Patel, S., Pendharkar, A. & Knight, C. A. 2008 Genome size is a strong predictor of cell size and stomatal density in angiosperms. *New Phytol.* 179, 975–986. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02528.x)
- 29 Organ, C. L., Shedlock, A. M., Meade, A., Pagel, M. & Edwards, S. V. 2007 Origin of avian genome size and structure in non-avian dinosaurs. *Nature* 446, 180–184. (doi:10.1038/nature05621)
- 30 Martins, E. P. & Hansen, T. F. 1997 Phylogenies and the comparative method: a general approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of inter-specific data. *Am. Nat.* **149**, 646–667. (doi:10.1086/286013)
- 31 Parker, G. A. & Maynard Smith, J. 1990 Optimality theory in evolutionary biology. *Nature* 348, 27–33. (doi:10.1038/348027a0)
- 32 Dolezel, J., Greilhuber, J. & Suda, J. 2007 Estimation of nuclear DNA content in plants using flow cytometry. *Nat. Protocols* 2, 2233–2244. (doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.310)
- 33 Dolezel, J., Sgorbati, S. & Lucretti, S. 1992 Comparison of three DNA fluorochromes for flow cytometric estimation of nuclear DNA content in plants. *Physiol. Plant.* 85, 625–631. (doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.1992.tb04764.x)
- 34 Bennett, M. D. & Leitch, I. J. 2005 Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms: progress, problems and prospects. Ann. Bot. 95, 45–90. (doi:10.1093/aob/ mci003)
- 35 Pryer, K. M., Schneider, H., Smith, A. R., Cranfill, R., Wolf, P. G., Hunt, J. S. & Sipes, S. D. 2001 Horsetails and ferns are a monophyletic group and the closest living relatives to seed plants. *Nature* **409**, 618–622. (doi:10.1038/35054555)
- 36 Soltis, P. S., Soltis, D. E. & Chase, M. W. 1999 Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from multiple genes as a tool for comparative biology. *Nature* 402, 402–404. (doi:10. 1038/46528)
- 37 Drummond, A. J. 2006 Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e88. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pbio.0040088)
- 38 Drummond, A. J. & Rambaut, A. 2007 BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7, 214. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-214)
- 39 Smith, S. A., Beaulieu, J. M. & Donoghue, M. J. 2010 An uncorrelated relaxed clock analysis suggests an earlier origin for flowering plants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 5897–5902. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1001225107)
- 40 Jansen, R. K. et al. 2007 Analysis of 81 genes from 64 plastid genomes resolves relationships in angiosperms and identifies genome-scale evolutionary patterns. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 104, 19369–19374. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0709121104)
- 41 Moore, M. J., Bell, C. D., Soltis, P. S. & Soltis, D. E. 2007 Using plastid genome-scale data to resolve enigmatic relationships among basal angiosperms. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 19369–19374. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0709121104)
- 42 Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Langenfelds, R. L., Francey, R. J., Barnola, J.-M. & Morgan, V. I. 1996 Natural and anthropogenic changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the last 1000 years from air in Antarctic ice and firn. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101, 4115–4128. (doi:10.1029/95JD03410)

- 43 Freckleton, R. P., Harvey, P. H. & Pagel, M. 2002 Phylogenetic analysis and comparative data: a test and review of the evidence. *Am. Nat.* **160**, 716–726.
- 44 Garland, T., Midford, P. E. & Ives, A. R. 1999 An introduction to phylogenetically-based statistical methods with a new method for confidence intervals on ancestral values. *Am. Zool.* **39**, 374–388.
- 45 Lande, R. & Arnold, S. J. 1983 The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution* 37, 1210– 1226. (doi:10.2307/2408842)
- 46 Lewontin, R. C. 1978 Adaptation. *Sci. Am.* **239**, 212–230. (doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0978-212)
- 47 Mayr, E. 2004 80 years of watching the evolutionary scenery. *Science* **305**, 46–47. (doi:10.1126/science. 1100561)
- 48 Gregory, T. R. 2004 Macroevolution, hierarchy theory, and the C-value enigma. *Paleobiology* **30**, 179–202. (doi:10. 1666/0094-8373(2004)030<0179:MHTATC>2.0.CO;2)
- 49 Darwin, C. 1859 On the origin of species by means of natural selection, 1st edn. London, UK: John Murray.
- 50 Mayr, E. 1942 Systematics and the origin of species. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- 51 West-Eberhard, M. J. 2005 Developmental plasticity and the origin of species differences. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 6543–6549. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0501844102)
- 52 Cavalier-Smith, T. 2005 Economy, speed and size matter: evolutionary forces driving nuclear genome miniaturization and expansion. *Ann. Bot.* **95**, 147–175. (doi:10.1093/aob/mci010)
- 53 Leitch, I. J., Soltis, D. E., Soltis, P. S. & Bennett, M. D. 2005 Evolution of DNA amounts across land plants. *Ann. Bot.* 95, 207–217. (doi:10.1093/aob/mci014)
- 54 Petrov, D. A. 2001 Evolution of genome size: a new approach to an old problem. *Trends Genet.* **17**, 23–28. (doi:10.1016/S0168-9525(00)02157-0)

- 55 Kazazian, J. H. H. 2004 Mobile elements: drivers of genome evolution. *Science* **303**, 1626–1632. (doi:10. 1126/science.1089670)
- 56 Beaulieu, J. M., Leitch, I. J. & Knight, C. A. 2007 Genome size evolution in relation to leaf strategy and metabolic rates revisited. *Ann. Bot.* **99**, 495–505. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcl271)
- 57 Knight, C. A. & Beaulieu, J. M. 2008 Genome size scaling through phenotype space. Ann. Bot. 101, 759–766. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcm321)
- 58 Lomax, B., Woodward, F. I., Leitch, I. J., Knight, C. A. & Lake, J. A. 2009 Genome size as a predictor of guard cell length in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is independent of environmental conditions. *New Phytol.* **181**, 311–314. (doi:10. 1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02700.x)
- 59 Hodgson, J. G. 2010 Stomatal vs. genome size in angiosperms: the somatic tail wagging the genomic dog? Ann. Bot. 105, 573–584. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcq011)
- 60 Kingsolver, J. G., Hoekstra, H. E., Hoekstra, J. M., Berrigan, D., Vignieri, S. N., Hill, C. H., Hoang, A., Gilbert, P. & Beerli, P. 2001 The strength of phenotypic selection in natural populations. *Am. Nat.* 157, 245–261. (doi:10.1086/319193)
- 61 Rieseberg, L. H., Widmer, A., Arntz, A. M. & Burke, J. M. 2002 Directional selection is the primary cause of phenotypic diversification. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 12 242–12 245. (doi:10.1073/pnas.192360899)
- 62 McElwain, J. C., Beerling, D. J. & Woodward, F. I. 1999 Fossil plants and global warming at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary. *Science* 285, 1386–1390. (doi:10.1126/ science.285.5432.1386)
- 63 O'Keefe, J. D. & Ahrens, T. J. 1989 Impact production of CO<sub>2</sub> by the Cretaceous/Tertiary extinction bolide and the resultant heating of the Earth. *Nature* **338**, 247–249. (doi:10.1038/338247a0)