Antigen-specific induced Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells are generated following CD40/CD154 blockade

Ivana R. Ferrer, Maylene E. Wagener, Minqing Song, Allan D. Kirk, Christian P. Larsen, and Mandy L. Ford¹

Emory Transplant Center and Department of Surgery, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

Edited by James P. Allison, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, and approved November 4, 2011 (received for review April 7, 2011)

Blockade of the CD40/CD154 pathway potently attenuates T-cell responses in models of autoimmunity, inflammation, and transplantation. Indeed, CD40 pathway blockade remains one of the most powerful methods of prolonging graft survival in models of transplantation. But despite this effectiveness, the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the protective effects of CD40 pathway blockade are incompletely understood. Furthermore, the relative contributions of deletion, anergy, and regulation have not been measured in a model in which donor-reactive CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses can be assessed simultaneously. To investigate the impact of CD40/CD154 pathway blockade on graft-specific T-cell responses, a transgenic mouse model was used in which recipients containing ovalbumin-specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TCR transgenic T cells were grafted with skin expressing ovalbumin in the presence or absence of anti-CD154 and donor-specific transfusion. The results indicated that CD154 blockade altered the kinetics of donorreactive CD8⁺ T-cell expansion, delaying differentiation into IFN- γ^+ TNF+ multifunctional cytokine producers. The eventual differentiation of cytokine-producing effectors in tolerant animals coincided with the emergence of an antigen-specific CD4⁺ CD25^{hi} Foxp3⁺ T-cell population, which did not arise from endogenous natural T_{reg} but rather were peripherally generated from naïve Foxp3⁻ precursors.

costimulation blockade

Blockade of the CD40/CD154 pathway has long been appreci-ated as a potent means of inhibiting alloreactive T-cell responses and prolonging graft survival. But despite this pronounced effect on allograft survival in both murine and nonhuman primate models (1–3), clinical trials using anti-CD154 mAbs in transplantation were halted due to thromboembolic complications, likely related to the expression of CD154 on platelets $(4, 5)$. However, recent studies using anti-CD40 mAbs in both murine and nonhuman primate models demonstrated comparable efficacy to CD154 blockade, and thus significant interest in the pathway remains (6–9).

Early studies by Parker et al. (3) described the treatment combination of anti-CD154 and donor-specific transfusion (DST) for the induction of immune tolerance in transplantation. Several groups have since demonstrated the potent effects of this combined therapy in the prolongation of islet (3, 10, 11), cardiac (12), skin (10, 13), and kidney (14, 15) allograft survival in murine and nonhuman primate models. Although costimulation blockade has been associated with T-cell anergy (16) or deletion (17, 18), elucidation of the precise independent and synergistic effects of DST and anti-CD154 on the kinetics of donor-reactive CD4⁺ and $CD8⁺$ effector T-cell expansion has been limited because of the inability to identify and track graft-specific T cells in fully allogeneic models.

Importantly, studies by Taylor et al. (19) demonstrated that $CD4^+$ CD25⁺ regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) are required for tolerance induced via CD40/CD154 pathway blockade in a graft-versushost model. Although those studies revealed a requirement for T_{reg} during tolerance induction, whether the T_{reg} required are preexisting, thymically derived T_{reg} or whether CD40/CD154 blockade induces the generation of peripherally elicited T_{reg} is not known. Furthermore, the temporal relationship between the in vivo accumulation of T_{reg} and deletion of graft-specific effectors after CD40/CD154 blockade has not been investigated.

To address these questions, we used an ovalbumin (OVA) expressing transgenic mouse model (20), which allowed us to directly track both donor-reactive CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell responses simultaneously over time. Although previous studies have used transgenic models to assess the degree of T-cell deletion after CD154/CD40 blockade (16, 21), none has systematically interrogated the impact of anti-CD154 on the kinetics of both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell deletion and acquisition of effector function. Our results indicated that although CD40/CD154 blockade alone delayed donor-reactive $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell expansion and differentiation, the addition of DST was required for antigenspecific T-cell deletion. Importantly, anti-CD154 combined with DST also led to peripheral conversion of Foxp3[−] donor-reactive $CD4^+$ T cells to $Foxp3+CD25^+$ donor-specific T_{reg} .

Results

Combined DST/CD154 Blockade Prolongs Skin Graft Survival and Prevents Cellular Infiltration. Although many groups have studied the impact of CD40 pathway blockade on graft survival and T-cell responses (16, 19, 21, 22), the precise mechanism by which inhibition of CD40-mediated signals attenuates donor-reactive Tcell responses remains incompletely understood. In fully allogeneic models, anti-CD154 mAbs combined with DST has been shown to significantly delay rejection, but the ability to track alloreactive T cells is dependent on cytokine production. This limits the ability to study donor-specific cells that might have become nonresponsive due to the absence of CD40/CD154-mediated signals. Therefore, to assess the contribution of T-cell nonresponsiveness to the protective effect of CD154 blockade on skin graft (SG) survival, naïve donor-reactive OT-I and OT-II T cells were adoptively transferred into naïve recipients that were then grafted with OVA-expressing skin (Fig. 1A). Resulting SG survival in this transgenic model reflected that previously observed in allogeneic systems (13). Untreated mice rapidly rejected SGs with a median survival time (MST) of 13.5 d, whereas treatment with anti-CD154/DST significantly prolonged survival to more than 100 d (Fig. 1B).

CD154 Blockade/DST Inhibits Antigen-Specific CD8⁺ T-Cell Expansion. To identify the relative contributions of T-cell deletion and anergy/ exhaustion, the kinetics of donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ T-cell expansion and contraction were measured over time after transplantation and treatment with anti-CD154/DST on days 0, 2, 4, and 6 posttransplantation. Spleens of untreated mice developed a donorreactive T-cell response that peaked at days 7–10. CD154 blockade led to a significant delay (day 10) in the donor-reactive T-cell response, whereas this response was significantly accelerated (day 4) in DST-treated animals. Finally, combined anti-CD154/DST treatment led to minimal expansion of donor-reactive T cells over

Author contributions: I.R.F., C.P.L., and M.L.F. designed research; I.R.F., M.E.W., and M.S. performed research; I.R.F., M.S., A.D.K., C.P.L., and M.L.F. analyzed data; and I.R.F. and M.L.F. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [mandy.ford@emory.edu.](mailto:mandy.ford@emory.edu)

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1105500108/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1105500108/-/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1105500108/-/DCSupplemental).

Fig. 1. CD154 blockade and DST prolongs SG survival. (A) Mice were adoptively transferred with 1.5 \times 10⁶ of each OT-I and OT-II T cells 2 d before transplantation. On day 0, mice were transplanted with mOVA SG and were treated with 10⁷ mOVA DST and/or 500 μ g of MR-1 where indicated. (B) Untreated mice rejected SGs with an MST of 13.5 d. Anti-CD154 monotherapy led to bimodal survival of SGs, with 50% of mice rejecting grafts with an MST of 15 d and 50% demonstrating indefinite survival ($P = 0.0027$). DST monotherapy resulted in to an MST of 18 d ($P = 0.031$), whereas combined anti-CD154/DST led to an indefinite survival of SGs ($P < 0.0001$). Data are cumulative of two independent experiments with five mice per group.

background at day 4 (Fig. $2 \text{ } A$ and B). These effects of CD154 blockade on donor-reactive T-cell expansion kinetics were further confirmed in the axillary and brachial draining lymph nodes (LNs) [\(Fig S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1105500108/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201105500SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)).

To determine whether CD154/CD40 pathway blockade limits antigen-specific T-cell differentiation, we analyzed markers of activation (CD44 and CD62L) and a marker of short-lived effector lineage (KLRG-1) (23) in each treatment group on day 7 posttransplantation. We observed that CD154 blockade, in both the presence and absence of DST, decreased the frequencies of $CD44^{\text{high}}$ and $CD62L^{\text{low}}$ antigen-specific $CD8^+$ T cells and increased the frequency of KLRG-1^{high} antigen-specific $CD8^+$ T cells compared with untreated controls (Fig. 2C).

CD154 Blockade/DST Prevents Antigen-Specific CD8+ T-Cell Cytokine Production and Cytolytic Potential. Although increased donorreactive T-cell expansion is an important indicator of potential allograft rejection, it does not speak to the functionality of these cells after transplantation. Thus, we interrogated the role of the CD40/CD154 pathway in inducing the differentiation of competent TNF and IFN-γ cytokine-producing effectors after transplantation (Fig. 3A). We observed a minimum threshold of 10^5 graft-specific cytokine-secreting cells after transplantation in all of the groups that experienced rejection. This threshold number of cells was observed on days 7 and 10 in untreated animals (Fig. ³B). DST accelerated the emergence of dual cytokine-producing cells to day 4, whereas anti-CD154 delayed the differentiation of these cells until day 10 posttransplantation (Fig. 3B). Only the DST/anti-CD154 group (which did not experience rejection) failed to achieve this threshold number of cytokine-producing cells at any time point (Fig. 3B).

To further investigate the impact of CD154 blockade on T-cell differentiation, in vivo cytotoxicity assays were performed. Anti-CD154 treatment did not attenuate the antigen-specific cytolysis of target cells relative to untreated controls. However, DST monotherapy significantly reduced the cytolysis of target cells on day 7, perhaps related to the rapid contraction of antigen-specific T cells at this time point. Consistent with the reduced expansion and cytokine production by donor-reactive T cells, anti-CD154/DST

Fig. 2. CD154 blockade delays expansion of antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells but does not alter activation status at peak of response. Mice were treated as described in Fig. 1 and killed at the indicated time points. (A) Concatenated flow plots of CD8⁺ splenocytes. Gates shown are on donor-reactive CD8⁺ (Thy1.1⁺) T cells. (B) Frequencies of donor-reactive CD8⁺ splenocytes are shown. OT-I T-cell populations in untreated mice peaked at days 7–10 with 2.27% \pm 0.27% and 2.98% \pm 0.69%, respectively. Compared with untreated controls, anti-CD154 treatment delayed expansion of T cells (D10: 3.47% \pm 1.37%), whereas DST accelerated expansion of OT-I T cells (D4: 0.32% \pm 0.04% vs. 5.08% \pm 0.98%; P = 0.006). Combined treatment minimally expanded T cells (D4: 1.39% \pm 0.95%). (C) Activation markers on OT-I T cells on day 7 in the spleen. CD40/CD154 blockade reduced CD44 and CD62L upregulation, while promoting an increase in KLRG-1 expression. Data are summarized from three experiments with three mice per group. Values are mean \pm SEM. * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$.

treatment significantly impaired cytolysis of target cells (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these data indicate that although CD154/CD40 pathway blockade delayed the accumulation of donor-reactive T cells, it did not prevent the killing of donor-derived targets.

CD154 Blockade Reduces Antigen-Specific CD4⁺ T-Cell Accumulation and Promotes Migration of Foxp3⁺ T_{reg} to the Graft. To assess the availability of $CD4^+$ T-cell help in this model, we analyzed the effect of CD154 blockade on donor-specific helper T-cell activation. Donor-reactive CD4⁺ T cells expanded with similar kinetics as CD8⁺ T cells over time. Treatment with either anti-

Fig. 3. CD154 blockade delays donor-reactive CD8⁺ T cells differentiation into multifunctional cytokine-producing cells. Mice were treated as described in Fig. 1 and killed at the indicated time points. (A) Concatenated flow plots of intracellular cytokine staining in splenic OT-I T cells after stimulation for 4 h in vitro with SIINFEKL peptide. (B) Cytokine production by splenic OT-I T cells, summarized from three experiments with three mice per group. Untreated mice developed into dual cytokine producers at day 7 (6.22 \times 10 4 \pm 0.29 \times 10 4). DST accelerated T-cell production of TNF and IFN- γ (D4: 4.90 \times 10 4 \pm 2.14 \times 10 4). Anti-CD154 delayed T-cell differentiation (D10: 1.15 \times 10 5 \pm 0.65 \times 10 5). Anti-CD154/DST inhibited differentiation (D4: 2.08 \times 10 4 \pm 1.98 \times 10 4). (C) Day 10 in vivo cytotoxicity assay. Peptide-coated targets and unpulsed control targets were labeled with different concentrations of carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester and adoptively transferred into recipients. After 18 h, the ratio of unpulsed targets to peptide-pulsed targets remaining was assessed by flow cytometry. Relative to untreated controls, anti-CD154 treatment did not alter killing of target cells (91.01% \pm 0.82% vs. 66.59% \pm 11.03%; P = 0.379). DST treatment significantly impaired killing (55.52% ± 8.28%; P = 0.003). Anti-CD154/DST significantly impaired cytolysis (28.26% ± 12.78%; P = 0.001). Data are summarized from two experiments with five mice per group. Values are mean \pm SEM.

CD154 or DST led to a substantially reduced accumulation of donor-reactive CD4+ T cells on day 7 compared with untreated controls, but anti-CD154/DST treatment significantly impaired donor-reactive helper T-cell responses (Fig. 4 A and B).

Because T_{reg} have been shown to promote graft survival in several models (24), we assessed whether CD154 blockade resulted in the expansion of T_{reg} in vivo. We found no gross change in the frequency of $CD4^+$ $CD25^+$ Foxp3⁺ T cells in mice treated with anti-CD154 (Fig. 4C). We next asked whether anti-CD154 altered the ability of T_{reg} to accumulate in the graft (Fig. 4D). Immunohistochemical analysis of Foxp3⁺ cells in SGs at day 7 revealed that anti-CD154/DST treatment led to a dramatic increase in T_{reg} infiltration compared with untreated controls.

Anti-CD154/DST Promotes Conversion of Donor-Reactive CD4⁺ T Cells Into CD25⁺ Foxp3⁺ Induced T_{reg}. Although we observed no increase in total T_{reg} in the draining LNs after CD40/CD154 blockade, the increased T_{reg} infiltration in SGs of these animals led us to hypothesize that a graft-specific T_{reg} population may be emerging in the context of CD40/CD154 blockade, but might be undetectable within the larger pool of non–graft-reactive T_{reg} . To test this hypothesis, we analyzed donor-reactive Thy 1.1^+ CD4⁺ T cells in the LNs for Foxp3 expression. Before transplantation, adoptively transferred naïve OT-II T cells, did not express Foxp3 (Fig. 5A, Top), whereas donor-reactive CD4⁺ T cells in LNs of untreated mice developed a small population of Foxp3-expressing cells compared with naïve controls. At early time points, neither anti-CD154 nor DST alone significantly increased the frequency of Foxp3⁺ cells among donor-reactive $CD4^+$ T cells compared with untreated controls. However, CD154 blockade resulted in a modest increase in the frequency of donor-specific Foxp3⁺ CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T_{reg} at day 14. Interestingly, the combination of anti-CD154/DST treatment resulted in a significant increase in the frequency of graft-specific $F\alpha p3^+$ CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T_{reg} in vivo by day 7 (Fig. 5 A and B).

Because low frequencies of endogenous T_{reg} (n T_{reg}) have been reported in recombinant activating gene (RAG)-sufficient OT-II T cells (25), we next asked whether the observed increase in accumulated graft-specific Foxp3⁺ CD25⁺ CD4+ T cells was due to expansion of a small population of $F\exp 3^{+}$ OT-II nT_{reg} that existed in the transferred cell preparation, or due to peripheral conversion of antigen-specific $CD4^+$ T cells into induced T_{reg} (iT_{reg}) after CD40/CD154 blockade. Therefore, we adoptively transferred 1.5×10^6 Thy 1.1^+ OT-I and 1.5×10^6 RAG-deficient $CD45.2^+$ OT-II T cells, which lack Foxp3-expressing cells (25) , into CD45.1⁺ recipients. Mice received a mOVA SG and either remained untreated or were treated with combined anti-CD154/ DST. On day 7 posttransplantation, draining LNs were analyzed for the presence of antigen-specific $F\alpha p3^+$ CD4⁺ T cells. Results indicated that anti-CD154/DST significantly induced the conversion of donor-reactive $CD4^+$ T cells into graft-specific Foxp3⁺ CD25⁺ iT_{reg} compared with untreated controls (Fig. 5 C and D). Taken together, these data indicate that blockade of the CD40 pathway in the presence of DST resulted in the peripheral conversion of antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells into iT_{reg}

The ratio of T_{reg} to antigen-specific effector T cells has been previously identified as a predictor of the potential protective effects of T_{reg} (26). Thus, we compared the relative levels of accumulated donor-reactive $CD8^+$ T cells and donor-specific Foxp3⁺ $CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T$ cells. As described earlier, untreated recipients substantially expanded donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ T cells, while generating negligible levels of antigen-specific $F\exp 3^+$ CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 5E, Left). Conversely, anti-CD154/DST treatment dramati-cally increased the ratio of graft-specific Foxp3⁺ CD4⁺ T cells to donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ effectors in the draining LNs over time (Fig. ⁵E, Right).

Discussion

In this study, we have elucidated the effects of CD40/CD154 pathway blockade on donor-reactive CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses. Based on these data, we conclude that treatment with either DST

Fig. 4. Anti-CD154 and DST treatment reduces antigen-specific CD4⁺ T-cell accumulation and promotes $F\propto p3^+$ T_{reg} cell migration to the graft. Mice were treated as described in Fig. 1 and killed at the indicated time points. (A) Day 7 concatenated flow plots of CD4⁺ T cells in draining LNs, with gates identifying OT-II (Thy1.1⁺) T cells. (B) Donor-reactive CD4⁺ T cells in the draining LNs. Anti-CD154 monotherapy reduced OT-II T-cell levels compared with untreated controls (0.54% \pm 0.17% vs. 2.10% \pm 0.55%; P = 0.054). DST monotherapy reduced OT-II T-cell levels $(0.57 \pm 0.07\%; P = 0.051)$. Anti-CD154/DST significantly reduced OT-II levels (0.20% \pm 0.02%; P = 0.026). (C) Frequency of total T_{req} (both transgenic and endogenous) cells in the draining LNs over time. (D) Day 7 Foxp3⁺ cells in SGs. Foxp3⁺ cells were counted in 10 high-power fields (HPFs; 400× magnification). Anti-CD154/DST treatment significantly increased Foxp3⁺ cell infiltration compared with untreated controls (14.2 \pm 4.78/HPF vs. 4.95 \pm 0.22/HPF; P < 0.0005). Data are summarized from three experiments with three mice per group. Values are mean \pm SEM.

or anti-CD154 resulted in mechanistically distinct modes of graft protection. Anti-CD154 treatment delayed the expansion and differentiation of donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ T cells into multifunctional cytokine-producing cells. Furthermore, CD154 blockade led to late conversion of donor-reactive Foxp3[−] CD4⁺ T cells into Foxp 3^+ iT_{reg}. This effect was observed in both RAG-sufficient and RAG-deficient antigen-specific T cells, which are known to contain no FoxP3⁺ nT_{reg} (25).

Although previous studies have shown a role for regulation in the tolerance induced via DST/anti-CD154 (19, 27, 28), here we show that the mechanism underlying the observed increase in Foxp3⁺ T_{reg} after exposure to DST/anti-CD154 is conversion of antigen-specific naïve T-cell precursors into Foxp3⁺ cells. We speculate that the conversion of naïve/effector $CD⁴⁺$ T cells into iT_{reg} requires the presence of antigen, which is provided much earlier in the setting of DST than in anti-CD154 monotherapy. Conversely, DST led to early expansion but abortive activation of donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ T cells, with rapid contraction that likely

Fig. 5. Anti-CD154 and DST treatment promote conversion of donor-reactive CD4⁺ T cells into CD25⁺ Foxp3⁺ iT_{reg}. (A) Day 7 concatenated flow plots of OT-II (Thy1.1⁺) T cells in LNs, with gates on CD25⁺ Foxp3⁺ OT-II cells. (B) Longitudinal analysis of Foxp3⁺ OT-II T cells. On day 7, the frequency of T_{reg} in untreated mice was 0.88% \pm 0.44% that of OT-II T cells. Anti-CD154 (4.68% \pm 1.49%; P = 0.071) and DST (2.27% \pm 1.37%; P = 0.389) monotherapies slightly increased the frequency of Foxp3-expressing T cells within the OT-II T-cell compartment. Anti-CD154/DST significantly increased Foxp3 expression in OT-II T cells compared with untreated controls (10.61% \pm 3.28%; P = 0.042). On day 14, both anti-CD154 and anti-CD154/DST reached similarly high levels of iT_{reg} conversion (16.57% \pm 2.68% and 16.17% \pm 10.53%, respectively; $P = 0.973$). Data are summarized from three experiments with three mice per group. (C and D) B6.SJL (CD45.1⁺) were adoptively transferred with OT-I and RAG^{-/−} OT-II T cells and treated with anti-CD154/ DST. On day 7, draining LNs were analyzed for Foxp3-expressing OT-II T cells. (C) Representative flow plots of CD45.2⁺ RAG−/[−] OT-II T cells; gate represents CD25⁺ Foxp3⁺ iT_{req} cells. (D) Combined CD154 blockade and DST significantly increased the conversion of OT-II T cells into Foxp3⁺ iT_{req} compared with untreated controls (6.452% \pm 1.552% vs. 0.559% \pm 0.225%; P = 0.0035). Data are summarized from two experiments with between three and five mice per group. (E) Relative frequencies of Thy1.1⁺ OT-I T cells compared with peripherally converted Thy1.1⁺ OT-II iT_{reg} from untreated LNs (Left) and anti-CD154/DST–treated LNs (Right). OT-I T cells are measured on the left y-axis, and the OT-II T_{rea} are measured on the right y-axis. Data are summarized from three experiments with three mice per group. Values are mean \pm SEM.

contributed to the decreased ability to lyse target cells by day 10. However, antigen-specific Foxp3⁺ CD25⁺ iT_{reg} were not induced after DST treatment in the absence of CD154 blockade. Thus, we conclude that this degree of abortive activation alone was insufficient to protect grafts from rejection. Only the combination of abortive activation and the early emergence of peripherally induced iT_{reg} was able to sufficiently attenuate donorreactive effector T-cell responses and prolong graft survival. These data suggest that an early increase in the ratio of T_{res} to effector T cells may underlie the potent protective effects of anti-CD154/DST combined therapy.

Through what mechanism does interruption of CD40/CD154 mediated signals induce the expression of Foxp3? Our favored hypothesis is that inhibition of CD40 signaling conditions antigenpresenting cells (APCs) or subsets of APCs such that synaptic contact with antigen-specific T cells instructs them to become regulatory cells rather than activated effectors. This hypothesis is based on work demonstrating peripheral generation of $F\alpha p3^+$ T_{reg} after exposure to tolerogenic plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DCs) (27). The specific cell surface or soluble mediators that function to regulate i_{reg} conversion is an important area of future research; however, we predict that DCs in which CD40 signaling is inhibited will fail to present costimulatory molecules or secrete inflammatory cytokines to instruct $CD4^+$ T cells to differentiate into T_{reg} . For example, IL-6 has been shown to potently inhibit TGF-β–mediated T_{reg} differentiation (29), and a recent study demonstrated that loss of CD40 signaling rendered DCs deficient in IL-6 production (30).

Alternatively, it is possible that anti-CD154 functions to inhibit CD40 signaling on another cell type. In particular, $CD8⁺$ T cells have been shown to express CD40 and are capable of secreting inflammatory cytokines that also might inhibit iT_{reg} conversion (31, 32). Furthermore, peripheral conversion of naïve CD4+ T cells into $F\exp 3^+$ T_{reg} has been observed after interruption or attenuation of TCR-mediated signals. In particular, von Boehmer's group observed the conversion of Foxp3[−] CD4⁺ T cells into Foxp3+ T cells after exposure to low-dose antigen (33), and, conversely, iT_{reg} generation was inhibited by high TCR stimulation (34). Peripheral generation of Foxp3⁺ T cells also has been demonstrated after resolution of an acute systemic autoimmune disease (35). In addition, extrathymic development of CD25^{hi} T_{reg} from CD25[−] precursors was observed after in vivo treatment of anti-CD4 mAb during transplantation (36). Thus, there likely are many mechanisms by which naïve CD4⁺ T cells may be instructed to become $F\text{exp3}^{+}$ regulatory cells in the periphery. Here we demonstrate that in vivo blockade of the CD40/CD154 pathway is one of those mechanisms, and show that early emergence of these i_{reg} correlates with graft survival.

Our data also indicate that a primary effect of CD154/CD40 blockade is to delay CD8+ T-cell expansion and differentiation. Previous reports have examined donor-reactive T cells at a single time point posttransplantation and have concluded that anti-CD154 mAb leads to deletion of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell responses (16, 21). However, our analysis of the kinetics of the Tcell response revealed that CD154/CD40 pathway blockade alone delayed, rather than deleted, antigen-specific $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ Tcell responses, with both responses in anti-CD154 treated animals eventually reaching the same magnitude as in untreated controls. Given that the half-life of anti-CD154 mAb (MR-1) is 1.5 wk in vivo, and that the last dose was given on day 6 posttransplantation, we would not expect to see a significant drop in circulating blocking antibody during the time course studied (days 0–14). This indicates that our findings are not the result of an emerging response as the CD154 blockade wanes, but rather represent a "breakthrough" response in which graft-reactive $CD8⁺$ T cells have delayed differentiation but eventually develop into fully competent effectors even in the presence of blocking antibody. Conversely, our analysis revealed that DST accelerated the kinetics of donor-reactive $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell expansion, a finding corroborated by previous studies showing that at a single time point, donor-reactive T cells isolated from mice treated with DST exhibited increased CD44 expression, indicative of a more activated phenotype (21). Taken together, our analysis of the kinetics and magnitude of donor-reactive T-cell responses suggest a mechanism in which T cells are strongly driven into division in the presence of DST, but are induced to delay differentiation in the presence of anti-CD154. In the presence of both reagents, the resulting "push and pull" results in donor-reactive T-cell deletion or conversion to T_{reg} . A similar finding has been reported in the setting of low donor-reactive T-cell precursor frequency, in which minimal competition for antigen may provide increased access to APCs, and thus cells are driven to divide earlier and more robustly (37–40). Through the provision of an increased source of antigen, DST may similarly decrease the T-cell:APC ratio, thereby stimulating increased division. In both systems, robust division in the absence of costimulation leads to eventual deletion (39).

Our use of congenically marked TCR transgenic cells of a defined specificity in this study was advantageous, in that we were able to both identify populations of graft-specific T cells without relying on effector function for detection and definitively address the issue of iT_{reg} conversion vs. expansion of T_{reg} from a preex-
isting small population of FoxP3⁺ T_{reg}. However, a potential limitation of this study is that it focused on the behavior of a monoclonal T-cell population responding to a surrogate minor antigen, and thus it is possible that this system might not be fully comparable to a polyclonal T-cell response to allogeneic tissues. Identifying polyclonal graft-specific T cells during transplantation remains an important area of future investigation.

We conclude that the mechanism by which DST and anti-CD154 blockade synergize to protect the graft involves both the abortive response of donor-reactive $CD8⁺$ T cells that have been driven into unsupported division and the conversion of antigenspecific CD4⁺ T cells into iT_{reg} after CD154 blockade. Although the use of intact Fc receptor-binding anti-CD154 is not a clinically viable strategy given the potential for thromboembolic complications, blockade of this pathway remains the single most effective method of inducing transplantation tolerance in experimental models. Thus, studying the mechanisms by which this mechanism induces robust tolerance remains an important question for the field, with the aim of developing alternative methods of inhibiting CD154. Recent studies have used an RNAi approach to inhibit CD40 expression (41, 42), and a similar approach could be envisaged for CD154 inhibition. Alternatively, non–cross-linking mAbs could be developed to antagonize CD154, much like nonactivating single-chain F_V -based reagents have been developed in lieu of cross-linking anti-CD28 mAbs (43), which has resulted in a much more severe side effect profile than that seen in anti-CD154 mAbs in pilot studies in humans (44). Thus, further elucidation of the mechanisms underlying the potency of CD154 blockade in inducing transplantation tolerance may allow for the identification of critical cellular and molecular pathways required for the establishment and maintenance of transplantation tolerance.

Materials and Methods

Mice. B6-Ly5.2/Cr (H2-K^b, CD45.1) and C57BL/6 (H2-K^b, CD45.2) mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute. OT-I and OT-II transgenic mice (purchased from Taconic Farms) were bred to a Thy1.1⁺ background at Emory University. Membrane bound-OVA (mOVA) mice (20) were a gift from Dr. Marc Jenkins (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN) and were maintained in accordance with Emory University's Institutional Animal Case and Use Committee guidelines. OT-II × RAG^{-/-} transgenic mice were purchased from Taconic Farms. All animals were housed in pathogen-free animal facilities at Emory University.

DST and Adoptive Transfers. For DST administration, mOVA spleens were processed into single cell suspensions, and $10⁷$ splenocytes were given before transplantation. For adoptive transfers of donor-reactive T cells, spleen and mesenteric LNs of OT-I and OT-II mice were processed and stained with mAbs antibodies for CD4, CD8 (both from Invitrogen), Thy1.1, and Vα2 (BD Pharmingen) for flow cytometry analysis. Cells were resuspended in PBS, and then 1.5×10^6 of each Thy1.1⁺ OT-I and OT-II were injected i.v. In some experiments, CD45.2⁺ OT-II × RAG^{-/-} cells were adoptively transferred into CD45.1⁺ recipients.

Skin Transplantation and Antibody Treatment. Full thickness tail and ear skins were transplanted onto dorsal thorax of recipient mice and secured with adhesive bandages. Where indicated, mice were treated with 500 μg of hamster monoclonal anti-mouse CD154 (MR-1; BioExpress) on days 0, 2, 4, and 6 posttransplantation.

Surface Stains and Flow Cytometry. Spleens or draining axillary and brachial LNs were stained for CD4 and CD8 (both from Invitrogen); Thy1.1, CD44, and CD62L (all from BD Pharmingen); and KLRG-1 (Southern Biotech). Samples were analyzed using a multicolor LSRII FACS machine (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Treestar).

Intracellular Cytokine Staining. Responder splenocytes were stimulated with 10 nM OVA257–²⁶⁴ (SIINFEKL, Emory University Microchemical Core Facility) and 10 μM OVA323–³³⁹ peptides in the presence of 10 μg/mL Brefeldin A for 4 h. Intracellular staining kits were used to detect TNF and IFN-γ (BD Pharmingen), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

In Vivo Cytotoxicity Assay. C57BL/6 (CD45.2) splenocytes were divided into two populations and stained with either 2.5 μM or 0.25 μM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester. The cells either remained unpulsed or were pulsed with 1 μM SIINFEKL peptide, respectively. Once mixed at equal ratios $(5 \times 10^5$ cells of each), cells were injected i.v. into experimental mice (CD45.1). At 18 h postinjection, lysis of target cells was assessed, as described previously (45).

Histology. Skin grafts were removed and frozen in cryomolds with OCT Embedding Compound (Tissue-Tek) on day 7 posttransplantation. Longitudinal sections of grafts were cut into 5-μm-thick sections with a cryostat (CM 1850; Leica Microsystems) and mounted on Superfrost Plus microscope slides and fixed with 100% acetone. Anti-mouse Foxp3 (eBiosciences) was used for

- 1. Kirk AD, et al. (1999) Treatment with humanized monoclonal antibody against CD154 prevents acute renal allograft rejection in nonhuman primates. Nat Med 5:686–693.
- 2. Larsen CP, et al. (1996) CD40-gp39 interactions play a critical role during allograft rejection: Suppression of allograft rejection by blockade of the CD40-gp39 pathway. Transplantation 61:4–9.
- 3. Parker DC, et al. (1995) Survival of mouse pancreatic islet allografts in recipients treated with allogeneic small lymphocytes and antibody to CD40 ligand. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:9560–9564.
- 4. Kawai T, Andrews D, Colvin RB, Sachs DH, Cosimi AB (2000) Thromboembolic complications after treatment with monoclonal antibody against CD40 ligand. Nat Med 6:114.
- 5. Henn V, et al. (1998) CD40 ligand on activated platelets triggers an inflammatory reaction of endothelial cells. Nature 391:591–594.
- 6. Haanstra KG, et al. (2003) Prevention of kidney allograft rejection using anti-CD40 and anti-CD86 in primates. Transplantation 75:637–643.
- 7. Haanstra KG, et al. (2005) Costimulation blockade followed by a 12-week period of cyclosporine A facilitates prolonged drug-free survival of rhesus monkey kidney allografts. Transplantation 79:1623–1626.
- 8. Masunaga T, et al. (2005) Dimeric but not monomeric soluble CD40 prolongs allograft survival and generates regulatory T cells that inhibit CTL function. Transplantation 80: 1614–1622.
- 9. Adams AB, et al. (2005) Development of a chimeric anti-CD40 monoclonal antibody that synergizes with LEA29Y to prolong islet allograft survival. J Immunol 174:542–550.
- 10. Zheng XX, et al. (1999) CTLA4 signals are required to optimally induce allograft tolerance with combined donor-specific transfusion and anti-CD154 monoclonal antibody treatment. J Immunol 162:4983–4990.
- 11. Gordon EJ, et al. (1998) Prolonged survival of rat islet and skin xenografts in mice treated with donor splenocytes and anti-CD154 monoclonal antibody. Diabetes 47: 1199–1206.
- 12. Hancock WW, et al. (1996) Costimulatory function and expression of CD40 ligand, CD80, and CD86 in vascularized murine cardiac allograft rejection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:13967–13972.
- 13. Markees TG, et al. (1998) Long-term survival of skin allografts induced by donor splenocytes and anti-CD154 antibody in thymectomized mice requires CD4(+) T cells, interferon-gamma, and CTLA4. J Clin Invest 101:2446–2455.
- 14. Preston EH, et al. (2005) IDEC-131 (anti-CD154), sirolimus and donor-specific transfusion facilitate operational tolerance in non-human primates. Am J Transplant 5:1032–1041.
- 15. Pearl JP, Xu H, Leopardi F, Preston E, Kirk AD (2007) CD154 blockade, sirolimus, and donor-specific transfusion prevents renal allograft rejection in cynomolgus monkeys despite homeostatic T-cell activation. Transplantation 83:1219–1225.
- 16. Quezada SA, et al. (2003) Mechanisms of donor-specific transfusion tolerance: Preemptive induction of clonal T-cell exhaustion via indirect presentation. Blood 102:1920–1926.
- 17. Margenthaler JA, Kataoka M, Flye MW (2003) Donor-specific antigen transfusionmediated skin-graft tolerance results from the peripheral deletion of donor-reactive CD8+ T cells. Transplantation 75:2119–2127.
- 18. van Maurik A, Fazekas de St Groth B, Wood KJ, Jones ND (2004) Dependency of direct pathway CD4⁺ T cells on CD40-CD154 costimulation is determined by nature and microenvironment of primary contact with alloantigen. J Immunol 172:2163–2170.
- 19. Taylor PA, Noelle RJ, Blazar BR (2001) CD4(+)CD25(+) immune regulatory cells are required for induction of tolerance to alloantigen via costimulatory blockade. J Exp Med 193:1311–1318.
- 20. Ehst BD, Ingulli E, Jenkins MK (2003) Development of a novel transgenic mouse for the study of interactions between CD4 and CD8 T cells during graft rejection. Am J Transplant 3:1355–1362.
- 21. Iwakoshi NN, et al. (2000) Treatment of allograft recipients with donor-specific transfusion and anti-CD154 antibody leads to deletion of alloreactive CD8⁺ T cells and prolonged graft survival in a CTLA4-dependent manner. J Immunol 164:512–521.

Foxp3 immunohistochemical detection by 3,3 diaminobenzidine peroxidation and counterstained with hematoxylin. A Zeiss LSM 510 META point scanning laser confocal microscope was used for immunofluorescent staining visualization. Foxp3⁺ cells were quantified by counting positive cells in 10 HPFs (400x magnification).

T_{reg} Staining. Splenocytes and draining LNs were processed and stained with antibodies to CD4 and CD8 (both from Invitrogen), Thy1.1 or CD45.2, Vα2, and CD25 (all from BD Pharmingen). Intracellular staining with anti-Foxp3 was performed using an eBiosciences intranuclear staining kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical Analysis. Survival data were plotted on Kaplan–Meier curves, and log-rank tests were performed. Longitudinal analysis of T cells was performed using two-way ANOVA, followed by a Bonferroni posttest on significant results. One-way ANOVA was used for single time point analysis of T-cell accumulation and phenotypes, followed by Tukey posttest on significant results. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by National Institutes of Heath/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Grants AI40519 (to C.P.L.), AI073707 (to M.L.F.), and AI079409 (to M.L.F.).

- 22. Quezada SA, et al. (2005) Analysis of the underlying cellular mechanisms of anti-CD154-induced graft tolerance: The interplay of clonal anergy and immune regulation. J Immunol 175:771–779.
- 23. Sarkar S, et al. (2008) Functional and genomic profiling of effector CD8 T cell subsets with distinct memory fates. J Exp Med 205:625-640.
- 24. Wood KJ, Sakaguchi S (2003) Regulatory T cells in transplantation tolerance. Nat Rev Immunol 3:199–210.
- 25. Sun CM, et al. (2007) Small intestine lamina propria dendritic cells promote de novo generation of Foxp3 T reg cells via retinoic acid. J Exp Med 204:1775-1785
- 26. Warnecke G, Bushell A, Nadig SN, Wood KJ (2007) Regulation of transplant arteriosclerosis by CD25⁺CD4⁺ T cells generated to alloantigen in vivo. Transplantation 83: 1459–1465.
- 27. Ochando JC, et al. (2006) Alloantigen-presenting plasmacytoid dendritic cells mediate tolerance to vascularized grafts. Nat Immunol 7:652–662.
- 28. Wang T, et al. (2010) Infection with the intracellular bacterium, Listeria monocytogenes, overrides established tolerance in a mouse cardiac allograft model. Am J Transplant 10:1524–1533.
- 29. Bettelli E, et al. (2006) Reciprocal developmental pathways for the generation of pathogenic effector TH17 and regulatory T cells. Nature 441:235–238.
- 30. Perona-Wright G, et al. (2009) A pivotal role for CD40-mediated IL-6 production by dendritic cells during IL-17 induction in vivo. J Immunol 182:2808–2815.
- 31. Sun JC, Bevan MJ (2004) Cutting edge: Long-lived CD8 memory and protective immunity in the absence of CD40 expression on CD8 T cells. J Immunol 172:3385-3389.
- 32. Bourgeois C, Rocha B, Tanchot C (2002) A role for CD40 expression on CD8⁺ T cells in the generation of CD8⁺ T cell memory. Science 297:2060-2063.
- 33. Kretschmer K, et al. (2005) Inducing and expanding regulatory T cell populations by foreign antigen. Nat Immunol 6:1219–1227.
- 34. Molinero LL, Miller ML, Evaristo C, Alegre ML (2011) High TCR stimuli prevent induced regulatory T cell differentiation in a NF-κB–dependent manner. J Immunol 186: 4609–4617.
- 35. Lohr J, Knoechel B, Wang JJ, Villarino AV, Abbas AK (2006) Role of IL-17 and regulatory T lymphocytes in a systemic autoimmune disease. J Exp Med 203:2785-2791.
- 36. Karim M, Kingsley CI, Bushell AR, Sawitzki BS, Wood KJ (2004) Alloantigen-induced CD25⁺CD4⁺ regulatory T cells can develop in vivo from CD25⁻CD4⁺ precursors in a thymus-independent process. J Immunol 172:923–928.
- 37. Marzo AL, et al. (2005) Initial T cell frequency dictates memory CD8⁺ T cell lineage commitment. Nat Immunol 6:793–799.
- 38. Blair DA, Lefrançois L (2007) Increased competition for antigen during priming negatively impacts the generation of memory CD4 T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 15045–15050.
- 39. Ford ML, et al. (2007) Antigen-specific precursor frequency impacts T cell proliferation, differentiation, and requirement for costimulation. J Exp Med 204:299–309.
- 40. Ford ML, et al. (2008) A critical precursor frequency of donor-reactive CD4+ T cell help is required for CD8⁺ T cell-mediated CD28/CD154-independent rejection. J Immunol 180:7203–7211.
- 41. Pluvinet R, et al. (2004) RNAi-mediated silencing of CD40 prevents leukocyte adhesion on CD154-activated endothelial cells. Blood 104:3642–3646.
- 42. Ripoll E, et al. (2011) In vivo therapeutic efficacy of intra-renal CD40 silencing in a model of humoral acute rejection. Gene Ther 18:945–952.
- 43. Zhang T, et al. (2011) Selective CD28 blockade attenuates acute and chronic rejection of murine cardiac allografts in a CTLA-4-dependent manner. Am J Transplant 11:1599-1609. 44. Suntharalingam G, et al. (2006) Cytokine storm in a phase 1 trial of the anti-CD28
- monoclonal antibody TGN1412. N Engl J Med 355:1018–1028. 45. Barber DL, Wherry EJ, Ahmed R (2003) Cutting edge: Rapid in vivo killing by memory
- CD8 T cells. J Immunol 171:27–31.