

Published in final edited form as:

*Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2010 October ; 34(10): 1538–1545. doi:10.1038/ijo.2010.79.

## Genes and lifestyle factors in obesity: results from 12 462 subjects from MONICA/KORA

Christina Holzapfel<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Harald Grallert<sup>2,3,\*</sup>, Cornelia Huth<sup>2</sup>, Simone Wahl<sup>2</sup>, Beate Fischer<sup>2,4</sup>, Angela Döring<sup>2</sup>, Ina M Rückert<sup>2</sup>, Anke Hinney<sup>5</sup>, Johannes Hebebrand<sup>5</sup>, H.-Erich Wichmann<sup>2,3</sup>, Hans Hauner<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Illig<sup>2</sup>, and Iris M Heid<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Eise Kröner-Fresenius-Center for Nutritional Medicine, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Medical Information Processing, Biometry and Epidemiology, Chair of Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Regensburg University Medical Center, Regensburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, LVR-Klinikum Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

### Abstract

**Background**—Data from meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies provided evidence for an association of polymorphisms with body mass index (BMI), and gene expression results indicated a role of these variants in the hypothalamus. It was consecutively hypothesized that these associations might be evoked by a modulation of nutritional intake or energy expenditure.

**Objective**—It was our aim to investigate the association of these genetic factors with BMI in a large homogenous population-based sample to explore the association of these polymorphisms with lifestyle factors related to nutritional intake or energy expenditure, and whether such lifestyle factors could be mediators of the detected single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-association with BMI. It was a further aim to compare the proportion of BMI explained by genetic factors with the one explained by lifestyle factors.

**Design**—The association of seven polymorphisms in or near the genes *NEGR1*, *TMEM18*, *MTCH2*, *FTO*, *MC4R*, *SH2B1* and *KCTD15* was analyzed in 12 462 subjects from the population-based MONICA/KORA Augsburg study. Information on lifestyle factors was based on standardized questionnaires. For statistical analysis, regression-based models were used.

**Results**—The minor allele of polymorphism rs6548238 C>T (TMEM18) was associated with lower BMI ( $-0.418 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $p=1.22 \times 10^{-8}$ ), and of polymorphisms rs9935401 G>A (FTO) and rs7498665 A>G (SH2B1) with increased BMI ( $0.290 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $p=2.85 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $0.145 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $p=9.83 \times 10^{-3}$ ). The other polymorphisms were not significantly associated. Lifestyle factors were correlated with BMI and explained 0.037 % of the BMI variance as compared to 0.006 % of explained variance by the associated genetic factors. The genetic variants associated with BMI

**Corresponding author** Christina Holzapfel Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, GmbH Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, GERMANY Fon.: ++49 (0)89 3187-1195, Fax.: ++49 (0)89 3187-4567 .

\*Both authors contributed equally.

All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

were not significantly associated with lifestyle factors and there was no evidence of lifestyle factors mediating the SNP-BMI association.

**Conclusions**—Our data first confirm the findings for *TMEM18* with BMI in a single study on adults and also confirm the findings for *FTO* and *SH2B1*. There was no evidence for a direct SNP-lifestyle association.

### Keywords

*TMEM18*; *FTO*; *SH2B1*; lifestyle; obesity

## Introduction

Obesity is caused by a prolonged maintenance of a positive energy balance in which energy intake is higher than energy expenditure. Lifestyle factors are the main modulators of body weight control and obesity risk. From twin, adoption, and family studies, genetic components are also known to have an important role and reported to be responsible for up to 90 % of body weight variation (1), whereas the detection of polygenes with small effects on body weight is ongoing (2).

Willer et al (3) recently reported six novel obesity loci and a gene expression mainly in the brain. Most of these loci were also reported by Thorleifsson et al (4). The authors hypothesized that these loci might convey an effect on obesity through the central nervous system (CNS). As appetite and satiety are regulated in the hypothalamus, it was further deduced that these loci could have a role in modulating energy homeostasis. The hypothesis of a role of these loci in the central nervous system would be in line with previous functional evidence on these loci: (a) The neuronal growth regulator 1 (*NEGR1*) protein has a role in the development of the central nervous system (5). (b) The transmembrane 18 (*TMEM18*) gene modulates cell migration (6). (c) Tumour phenotypes are influenced by the mitochondrial carrier homolog 2 (*MTCH2*) gene (7) and the *MTCH2* protein might have a role in mitochondrial apoptosis (8). (d) Variants within the Src-homology-2 (*SH2*) domain containing the putative adaptor protein 1 (*SH2B1*) gene were associated with serum leptin and obesity-related phenotypes (9). In mice, *SH2B* is a key regulator of leptin sensitivity, energy balance, and body weight (10), and knockout mice develop a disordered glucose metabolism (11). (e) Knowledge about potassium channel tetramerisation domain containing 15 (*KCTD15*) gene and about (f) glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase 2 (*GNPDA2*) gene and their proteins is limited.

The two strongest genetic risk factors previously described (12,13), the fat mass and obesity associated (*FTO*) gene and the melanocortin-4-receptor (*MC4R*) gene, are reported to control energy expenditure (14,15) and to modulate dietary habits (16-22). The *FTO* gene has been reported to code for an oxygenase involved in DNA methylation (23) and *MC4R* is a G-protein-coupled receptor, which has, as part of the melanocortinergic pathway, a crucial role in energy homeostasis (24).

We hypothesize that the association of these genetic loci with obesity might be exerted through a direct association of the loci on energy intake or energy expenditure. In epidemiological studies, energy intake and expenditure can be assessed by questionnaires on food intake frequency and physical activity scores. Data on the direct association of these genetic variants with such lifestyle variables in a large population-based study was lacking up to now. A recent Dutch study in females (n=1 700) showed a borderline significant association of two obesity-related genetic loci with fat and carbohydrate intake, but the results were rather inconclusive (25). Given the expected moderate associations and the

difficulty of meta-analysis due to different lifestyle assessment tools, a large homogeneous population-based study sample is best suited to investigate this hypothesis.

Therefore we investigated the six novel obesity loci reported by Willer et al complemented by *FTO* and *MC4R* in our large homogenous population-based sample of 12 462 subjects with regard to body mass index (BMI), and lifestyle factors including carbohydrate intake score, fat intake score, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Our main research questions were whether we could replicate the BMI association and whether there was a direct association of these polymorphisms with lifestyle factors. We also investigated whether the polymorphisms have an effect on obesity by modulating lifestyle factors.

## Subjects and Methods

### Study population

As part of the World Health Organization Monitoring of Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Disease (MONICA) project and the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) project, four independent cross-sectional population-based surveys (S1-S4) were conducted in the city of Augsburg and two adjacent counties. This study is based on 12 462 genotyped participants (6 271 men and 6 191 women) with German passports aged 25-74 years from the surveys S2 (1989/90), S3 (1994/95), and S4 (1999-2001). All of them gave written informed consent to genetic analysis. The potential of population stratification was reported to be small in KORA (26). Given genome-wide data on a subset of the KORA subjects, the lambda factor for the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-BMI association was 1.02, indicating no major population stratification in this study. Details of the study population have been previously described (27,28).

### Assessment of demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics

Standardized interviews to obtain demographic and lifestyle variables and medical examination were conducted by trained medical staff. BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) was calculated as body weight in kg measured in light clothing to the nearest 0.1 kg divided by squared body height in  $\text{m}^2$  measured to the nearest 0.5 cm.

A four-category seasonal physical activity score was assessed from questions on leisure time sports in summer and winter: 1 = regularly >2 h, 2 = regularly about 1 h, 3 = irregularly about 1 h, 4 = no sports on a weekly basis during leisure time (29). From self-reported alcohol intake for the previous workday and the previous weekend, alcohol consumption was calculated in gram per day (g per day) (30,31). Scores of the frequency of consuming fat or carbohydrate containing foods were constructed based on a validated qualitative food frequency questionnaire with 24 items. The subjects were asked for the frequency (almost daily, several times per week, about once a week, several times per month, once a month or less and never) of the usual intake of food groups (32).

### Genotyping

The top signals reported by Willer et al (rs6548238 near *TMEM18*, rs10938397 near *GNPDA2*, rs10838738 within *MTCH2*, rs7498665 within *SH2B1* and rs11084753 near *KCTD15*) or polymorphisms highly correlated with them (rs10789336 ( $r^2=0.93$  with rs2815752) near *NEGR1*, rs9935401 ( $r^2=1.0$  with rs9939609) within *FTO*, and rs17700144 ( $r^2=0.84$  with rs17782313) near *MC4R*) were selected for genotyping (3).

Samples were genotyped with the MassARRAY system using the iPLEX Gold chemistry (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). The allele-dependent primer extension products were loaded onto one 384-element chip using a nanoliter pipetting system (SpectroCHIP,

SpectroPOINT Spotter, Sequenom). The samples were analyzed in a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time of flight mass spectrometer (MALDI TOF MS, Bruker Daltonik, Leipzig, Germany). The discordance of the 12.5 % double-genotyped samples was lower than 0.5 %. Fisher's exact test was used to test for deviation from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Three SNPs (rs10789336 (*NEGR1*), rs7498665 (*SH2B1*), rs11084753 (*KCTD15*)) violated HWE ( $p < 0.05$ ). One SNP (rs10938397 (*GNPDA2*)) was not genotyped successfully. For all analyzed SNPs, genotyping success rate was 94 %.

### Statistical analysis

Linear regression models were used to analyze association of the polymorphisms with BMI, and logistic regression models for the association with dichotomized lifestyle variables. Lifestyle factors were evaluated for their potential as mediators in the association of the polymorphism with BMI according to the guidelines for surrogacy analyses (33) and as applied previously for genetic data (19). Briefly, this involves the following criteria: the genotype is associated with the outcome BMI (model 1); the mediator (lifestyle variable) is associated with the outcome (model 2); the genotype is associated with the mediator (model 3); including the mediator as an additional covariate into model 1, the genotype-outcome association is abolished (model 4). With regard to model 2, we applied two approaches: modelling each lifestyle factor separately ("single lifestyle factor model") as well as modelling all lifestyle factors together ("multiple lifestyle factor model"). Gene-environment or gene-gene interactions were calculated including an interaction term (i) of each genotype and each lifestyle factor (SNP\*lifestyle factor) or (ii) of the *TMEM18* genotype and each other genotype (*TMEM18*\*SNP) or of the *FTO* genotype and each other genotype (*FTO*\*SNP) or (iii) of *TMEM18* genotype and *FTO* genotype and each lifestyle factor (*TMEM18*\**FTO*\*lifestyle factor) in the model. *TMEM18* and *FTO* SNP were selected because of their strong association with BMI. All analyses were adjusted for sex, age, and survey, also conducted by gender, and an additive genetic effect was assumed. The significance level was set to 0.7 % to account for the seven polymorphisms tested. Given the BMI effect sizes, as well as minor allele frequencies (MAFs) reported by Willer et al (3) (*NEGR1*, rs2815752, 0.10 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.38 / *TMEM18*, rs6548238, 0.26 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.16 / *MTCH2*, rs10838738, 0.07 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.34 / *FTO*, rs9939609, 0.33 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.41 / *MC4R*, rs17782313, 0.20 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.21 / *SH2B1*, rs7498665, 0.15 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.41 / *KCTD15*, rs11084753, 0.06 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, MAF=0.33), our power to detect these associations was 99 % for *FTO*, 92 % for *TMEM18*, 82 % for *MC4R*, 74 % for *SH2B1*, 40 % for *NEGR1*, 21 % for *MTCH2*, and 17% for *KCTD15*. Power analysis was carried out using the program QUANTO (version 1.2.4., University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <http://hydra.usc.edu/gxe>). Statistical analyses were carried out using SAS Version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

### Association of polymorphisms with BMI

The baseline characteristics of the study population are given in Table 1. Table 2 summarizes the associations between polymorphisms and BMI (model 1). Significant results were detected for rs6548238 near the *TMEM18* gene ( $-0.418$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $p=1.22 \times 10^{-8}$ ) and rs9935401 within the *FTO* gene ( $0.290$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $p=2.85 \times 10^{-7}$ ). Results were similar for men and women. The polymorphism rs7498665 (*SH2B1*) showed a borderline significant association using a two-sided test. Applying a one-sided test for the direction reported by Willer et al (3), the association reached significance ( $0.145$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $p=4.92 \times 10^{-3}$ ), but was not pronounced in men. None of the other polymorphisms showed a significant association with BMI. Gene-gene interaction tests (*TMEM18* SNP with each other SNP or *FTO* SNP

with each other SNP) showed no statistically significant associations with BMI (P-values between 0.03 and 0.93).

The proportion of the variance of BMI explained by rs6548238 (*TMEM18*) and rs9935401 (*FTO*) and rs7498665 (*SH2B1*) together was 0.006 %.

### Association of lifestyle factors with BMI

There were significant associations between lifestyle factors and BMI (model 2) both in the “single lifestyle factor model” (p-values ranging from  $8.10 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $6.77 \times 10^{-31}$ ; data not shown) and in the “multiple lifestyle factor model” (P-values ranging from  $1.82 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $5.08 \times 10^{-28}$ ; Table 3). High carbohydrate score, high fat score, high alcohol consumption, smoking, and high physical activity were significantly associated with decreased BMI. Interestingly, high fat score was associated with decreased BMI (“single lifestyle factor model:  $-0.432 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $P=1.00 \times 10^{-7}$ ), but less strongly associated when adjusting for carbohydrate score (“multiple lifestyle factor model:  $-0.265 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $P=1.82 \times 10^{-3}$ ). The association of all investigated lifestyle factors with BMI was stronger among women compared with men. There were significant differences between men and women for the association of fat score (P-value for gender difference= $3.76 \times 10^{-4}$ ), alcohol consumption ( $P=1.78 \times 10^{-17}$ ), smoking ( $P=2.52 \times 10^{-10}$ ) and physical activity ( $P=4.08 \times 10^{-6}$ ) with BMI, but not for carbohydrate score ( $P=0.06$ ). Lifestyle factors together explained 0.037 % of the variance of BMI. Age and sex explained together 0.10 %. All lifestyle factors together with age, sex, and survey explained 0.121 % of BMI variance.

### Association of polymorphisms with lifestyle factors

There was no evidence for the association between genetic variants and lifestyle factors (model 3), although polymorphisms rs6548238 (*TMEM18*) and rs11084753 (*KCTD15*) showed a trend toward an association with fat score (overall: OR=1.081,  $P=0.03$  and OR=1.066,  $P=0.03$ , respectively; Table 4). Polymorphism rs9935401 (*FTO*) showed a trend toward an association with smoking (overall: OR=0.936,  $P=0.02$ ) and rs10789336 (*NEGR1*) was weakly associated with alcohol consumption (overall: OR=0.903,  $P=1.50 \times 10^{-3}$ ; Table 4). Gene-environment interaction tests showed no significant association with BMI (data not shown). A trend was seen for the interaction rs9935401 and alcohol consumption ( $-0.411 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $P=2.64 \times 10^{-3}$ ). The more complex interaction terms including *TMEM18* SNP, *FTO* SNP and one lifestyle factor showed no significant association ( $P>0.05$ ).

### Mediator analyses: Lifestyle factors as covariates in the genotype-outcome association

Results with lifestyle factors as covariates in the genotype-outcome (BMI) association model (model 4) are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Adjustment for carbohydrate score, fat score, alcohol consumption or physical activity did not change the associations between genotype and BMI. Including the covariate smoking slightly lowered the P-value for the association of rs6548238 (*TMEM18*) on BMI. Gender-specific analysis provided similar results (data not shown).

## Discussion

Our major findings are the confirmed associations of the *TMEM18*, *FTO* and *SH2B1* polymorphisms with BMI in a large homogenous study on adults. Our data underscore the strong role of age, sex, and lifestyle factors as correlates with BMI, compared with which the genetic factors have a minor contribution in the general population. There is weak evidence for an association of the *TMEM18* SNP with fat intake and of the *FTO* SNP with smoking. There is no evidence that lifestyle factors act as a mediator within the association between genotype and BMI.

## Genetic risk factors

This is the first study positively replicating *TMEM18* (rs6548238) as a locus for obesity in adults in a homogenous study sample apart from the two initial reports from meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies (3,4). However, it should be noted that there was an overlap of our sample with the gene discovery analysis (3) of 13 % (n=1 600). In our study, BMI was reduced by  $-0.4 \text{ kg/m}^2$  per minor allele T of rs6548238, which corresponds to 2.4 kg for a person with height of 1.70 m. The odds for a person to be obese (BMI  $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) were decreased by 14 % per minor allele. An association between *TMEM18* variants and BMI was recently reported in children (n=6 078) (34). In Dutch females (n=1 700) and Swedish adults (n=3 885), the effect of *TMEM18* gene on obesity risk could not be confirmed, which might be due to low power (25,35).

With regard to *FTO*, multiple replication studies have already substantiated a strong association between the *FTO* gene and BMI (36,37). Per minor allele of the polymorphism rs9935401, which was highly correlated with the leading variant in the gene discovery study (rs9939609), we found a BMI increase by  $0.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and an increased OR for obesity of 17 %.

The association between *SH2B1* (rs7498665) could already be replicated in Swedish adults (35), but failed replication in other reports (25,34), also most likely due to limited power. An association of the other obesity-related loci reported with BMI by Willer et al. (*NEGR1*, *MTCH2*, *MC4R*, and *KCTD15*) could not be confirmed in this MONICA/KORA sample, which could be due to a limited power for the small associations of these variants despite our substantial sample size. It could also be due to violation of HWE for our *NEGR1* and *KCTD15* SNP genotypes, which might have derived from these SNPs being within or near copy number variations as already described for *NEGR1* (3).

## Lifestyle risk factors

Our data are in line with a predominant association of lifestyle factors on BMI, which was more pronounced in women. The strongest association was found for high versus low physical activity with a decrease of  $-0.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  in BMI, which is similar to previous reports (29,38). The picture for dietary variables is more complex: high carbohydrate intake was associated with decreased BMI. This might point toward a beneficial or antiobesogenic effect of a high carbohydrate diet. However, this view is disrupted by the lack of association of low fat intake with decreased BMI (Table 3). A reason for the more difficult pattern of dietary variables could be a high measurement error in these variables: first, quantitative assessment of food intake is difficult and – independently of the method used – associated with a high error rate of up to 75 % (39). Second, the intake of healthy foods might often be overestimated and that of fat-containing foods underestimated due to ignoring hidden fats (for example in salad dressings). Measurement error could even be differential between obese and nonobese subjects because of a different intentional or unintentional attempt of more obese persons to underreport the amount of food or fat intake (40,41).

Most notably, high fat intake score was associated with a lower BMI, which was to some part confounded by the association between higher carbohydrate intake score and lower BMI. This points towards a close relationship between lifestyle factors and the need to view these as a system rather than studying them separately.

Our data are in line with previous studies showing a significantly lower BMI in smokers compared with never smokers (42,43) and an inverse relation between alcohol consumption and BMI. Interestingly, the inverse alcohol-BMI relation is only seen in women, which might be due to the different selection of alcoholic beverages between men and women (44,45).

### Comparison of the BMI variance explained by genetic factors versus lifestyle factors

We find the percentage of BMI variance explained by lifestyle factors to be substantially larger than the BMI variance explained by the genetic factors explored here, which can be assumed to be the strongest common genetic factors for obesity in the general population. The clear discrepancy between the heritability estimated as 70 percent (46) from twins raised apart as compared with the percentage of BMI variance explained by currently known genetic factors is a subject of high debate. One explanation is that unknown rare variants might have a role in obesity, which are hard to detect by current methodology. In any case, our data underscore the great importance of lifestyle factors compared with these common genetic factors studied here.

### Genetic associations on BMI mediated through lifestyle factors?

There was neither evidence in our sample that lifestyle factors were mediators of the association between genotypes and BMI, nor was there a clear direct association between genotype and lifestyle factors. This could be due to low power – considering the small effects and the potentially high assessment error in lifestyle factors – or due to a real lack of an association.

Beside the deliberate hypothesis of a potential role in the central nervous system, the physiological role of the genetic variants within obesity-related loci is not clear. For *FTO*, mouse models were carried out to explain their role in physiological systems such as energy homeostasis (14,47). For the *TMEM18* gene, no functional studies are available and the SH2B protein has a role in leptin signalling (10). For *FTO* and *MC4R*, there is diverging evidence from association studies that they have an effect on energy intake and expenditure. A Danish study revealed that low physical exercise might accentuate the effect of the *FTO* gene on body weight (48). In contrast, in Swedish and Finnish adults, there was no significant interaction between physical activity and the *FTO* variant rs9939609 on BMI (49). There are findings that genetic variants (*FTO*, *MC4R*) influence dietary intake (17,18,20,50) and satiety (16,51), but there are also studies in which no association between genetic variants near the *MC4R* and energy or dietary intake could be detected (25,52).

It is a great opportunity to investigate human data in a large homogeneous study in the attempt to learn about associations between the genetic polymorphisms on energy intake or expenditure. Until now, there is only one study addressing the same focus in a substantially smaller sample (n=1 700) (25). Our results underscore that attempts to seek replication for the reported obesity-loci requires a substantial sample size that not even our study with > 10,000 individuals fulfills completely. Our results also indicate that the genetic associations on BMI cannot easily be pinpointed to lifestyle factors by epidemiological studies.

### Strengths and limitations of this study

The strength of our study is that we analyzed a large homogenous population-based and well-phenotyped cohort. Furthermore, our mediator analysis is a systematic approach to examine the potential mediator role of lifestyle factors in the relationship between genetic variants and obesity. The limitations of our data are the missing information of total energy intake and the lack of information on absolute carbohydrate and fat intake in gram, which may have given a better insight into real dietary habits. Total energy intake adjustment may lead to a more precise association between nutritional factors and BMI. Despite the large sample of more than 12 000 subjects, the limited power and the violation of HWE in three of the investigated polymorphisms also need to be considered as limitations.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, our data provide evidence for genetic (*TMEM18*, *FTO*, *SH2B1*) and environmental (dietary habits, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity) factors being associated with BMI in a large homogenous population-based study. We find great value in attempting to support the pathways with epidemiological data, but there were no clear associations of the polymorphisms with lifestyle factors directly, nor were lifestyle factors clear mediators of the genetic association with BMI.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

The MONICA/KORA Augsburg cohort study was financed by the Helmholtz Center Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health and supported by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). The present study was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG, TH-784/2-1, He1446/4-2) and the German Federal Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Technology (National Genome Research Net-2, NGFNplus 01GS0823 and 01GS0820) and was supported by the Munich Center of Health Sciences (McHealth) as part of LMUinnovativ, and a subcontract of the 5 R01DK 075787 by the NIH/NIDDK to Helmholtz Center Munich (to J.N.H.). We thank all members of the Helmholtz Center Munich, Institute of Epidemiology, who were involved in the planning and conduct of the MONICA/KORA Augsburg studies, and the KORA Augsburg team. Furthermore, we are grateful to Franziska Scharl (Helmholtz Center Munich) for advice regarding the genotyping. Finally, we are indebted to all study participants.

## References

1. Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. *Behav Genet.* 1997; 27:325–351. [PubMed: 9519560]
2. Hinney A, Hebebrand J. Polygenic obesity. *Obesity Facts.* 2008; 1:35–42. [PubMed: 20054160]
3. Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJ, Li S, Lindgren CM, Heid IM, et al. Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. *Nat Genet.* 2009; 41:25–34. [PubMed: 19079261]
4. Thorleifsson G, Walters GB, Gudbjartsson DF, Steinthorsdottir V, Sulem P, Helgadóttir A, et al. Genome-wide association yields new sequence variants at seven loci that associate with measures of obesity. *Nat Genet.* 2009; 41:18–24. [PubMed: 19079260]
5. Funatsu N, Miyata S, Kumanogoh H, Shigeta M, Hamada K, Endo Y, et al. Characterization of a novel rat brain glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein (Kilon), a member of the IgLON cell adhesion molecule family. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:8224–8230. [PubMed: 10075727]
6. Jurvansuu J, Zhao Y, Leung DS, Boulaire J, Yu YH, Ahmed S, et al. Transmembrane protein 18 enhances the tropism of neural stem cells for glioma cells. *Cancer Res.* 2008; 68:4614–4622. [PubMed: 18559506]
7. Yu K, Ganesan K, Tan LK, Laban M, Wu J, Zhao XD, et al. A precisely regulated gene expression cassette potently modulates metastasis and survival in multiple solid cancers. *PLoS Genet.* 2008; 4:e1000129. [PubMed: 18636107]
8. Grinberg M, Schwarz M, Zaltsman Y, Eini T, Niv H, Pietrokovski S, et al. Mitochondrial carrier homolog 2 is a target of tBID in cells signaled to die by tumor necrosis factor alpha. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 25:4579–4590. [PubMed: 15899861]
9. Jamshidi Y, Snieder H, Ge D, Spector TD, O'Dell SD. The SH2B gene is associated with serum leptin and body fat in normal female twins. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2007; 15:5–9. [PubMed: 17228025]
10. Ren D, Li M, Duan C, Rui L. Identification of SH2-B as a key regulator of leptin sensitivity, energy balance, and body weight in mice. *Cell Metab.* 2005; 2:95–104. [PubMed: 16098827]
11. Duan C, Yang H, White MF, Rui L. Disruption of the SH2-B gene causes age-dependent insulin resistance and glucose intolerance. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2004; 24:7435–7443. [PubMed: 15314154]



12. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, et al. A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science*. 2007; 316:889–894. [PubMed: 17434869]
13. Loos RJ, Lindgren CM, Li S, Wheeler E, Zhao JH, Prokopenko I, et al. Common variants near MC4R are associated with fat mass, weight and risk of obesity. *Nat Genet*. 2008; 40:768–775. [PubMed: 18454148]
14. Fischer J, Koch L, Emmerling C, Vierkotten J, Peters T, Bruning JC, et al. Inactivation of the Fto gene protects from obesity. *Nature*. 2009; 458:894–898. [PubMed: 19234441]
15. Church C, Lee S, Bagg EA, McTaggart JS, Deacon R, Gerken T, et al. A mouse model for the metabolic effects of the human fat mass and obesity associated FTO gene. *PLoS Genet*. 2009; 5:e1000599. [PubMed: 19680540]
16. Wardle J, Carnell S, Haworth CM, Farooqi IS, O’Rahilly S, Plomin R. Obesity associated genetic variation in FTO is associated with diminished satiety. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008; 93:3640–3643. [PubMed: 18583465]
17. Timpson NJ, Emmett PM, Frayling TM, Rogers I, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI, et al. The fat mass- and obesity-associated locus and dietary intake in children. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008; 88:971–978. [PubMed: 18842783]
18. Qi L, Kraft P, Hunter DJ, Hu FB. The common obesity variant near MC4R gene is associated with higher intakes of total energy and dietary fat, weight change and diabetes risk in women. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2008; 17:3502–3508. [PubMed: 18697794]
19. Heid IM, Vollmert C, Kronenberg F, Huth C, Ankerst DP, Luchner A, et al. Association of the MC4R V103I polymorphism with the metabolic syndrome: the KORA Study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008; 16:369–376. [PubMed: 18239646]
20. Pichler M, Kollerits B, Heid IM, Hunt SC, Adams TD, Hopkins PN, et al. Association of the melanocortin-4 receptor V103I polymorphism with dietary intake in severely obese persons. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008; 88:797–800. [PubMed: 18779298]
21. Stutzmann F, Cauchi S, Durand E, Calvacanti-Proenca C, Pigeyre M, Hartikainen AL, et al. Common genetic variation near MC4R is associated with eating behaviour patterns in European populations. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009; 33:373–378. [PubMed: 19153581]
22. Cai G, Cole SA, Butte N, Bacino C, Diego V, Tan K, et al. A quantitative trait locus on chromosome 18q for physical activity and dietary intake in Hispanic children. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2006; 14:1596–1604. [PubMed: 17030971]
23. Gerken T, Girard CA, Tung YC, Webby CJ, Saudek V, Hewitson KS, et al. The obesity-associated FTO gene encodes a 2-oxoglutarate-dependent nucleic acid demethylase. *Science*. 2007; 318:1469–1472. [PubMed: 17991826]
24. Hinney A, Bettecken T, Tarnow P, Brumm H, Reichwald K, Lichtner P, et al. Prevalence, spectrum, and functional characterization of melanocortin-4 receptor gene mutations in a representative population-based sample and obese adults from Germany. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:1761–1769. [PubMed: 16492696]
25. Bauer F, Elbers CC, Adan RA, Loos RJ, Onland-Moret NC, Grobbee DE, et al. Obesity genes identified in genome-wide association studies are associated with adiposity measures and potentially with nutrient-specific food preference. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 90:951–959. [PubMed: 19692490]
26. Steffens M, Lamina C, Illig T, Bettecken T, Vogler R, Entz P, et al. SNP-based analysis of genetic substructure in the German population. *Hum Hered*. 2006; 62:20–29. [PubMed: 17003564]
27. Loewel H, Doering A, Schneider A, Heier M, Thorand B, Meisinger C. The MONICA Augsburg surveys--basis for prospective cohort studies. *Gesundheitswesen*. 2005; 67(Suppl 1):S13–S18. [PubMed: 16032512]
28. Wichmann HE, Gieger C, Illig T. KORA-gen--resource for population genetics, controls and a broad spectrum of disease phenotypes. *Gesundheitswesen*. 2005; 67(Suppl 1):S26–S30. [PubMed: 16032514]
29. Meisinger C, Lowel H, Thorand B, Doring A. Leisure time physical activity and the risk of type 2 diabetes in men and women from the general population. The MONICA/KORA Augsburg Cohort Study. *Diabetologia*. 2005; 48:27–34. [PubMed: 15616798]

30. Wellmann J, Heidrich J, Berger K, Doring A, Heuschmann PU, Keil U. Changes in alcohol intake and risk of coronary heart disease and all-cause mortality in the MONICA/KORA-Augsburg cohort 1987-97. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2004; 11:48–55. [PubMed: 15167206]
31. Doering A, Filipiak B, Stieber J, Keil U. Trends in alcohol intake in a southern German population from 1984-1985 to 1989-1990: results of the MONICA Project Augsburg. *J Stud Alcohol.* 1993; 54:745–749. [PubMed: 8271812]
32. Winkler G, Doering A. Validation of a short qualitative food frequency list used in several German large scale surveys. *Z Ernährungswiss.* 1998; 37:234–241. [PubMed: 9800314]
33. Prentice RL. Surrogate endpoints in clinical trials: definition and operational criteria. *Stat Med.* 1989; 8:431–440. [PubMed: 2727467]
34. Zhao J, Bradfield JP, Li M, Wang K, Zhang H, Kim CE, et al. The Role of Obesity-associated Loci Identified in Genome-wide Association Studies in the Determination of Pediatric BMI. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009
35. Renstrom F, Payne F, Nordstrom A, Brito EC, Rolandsson O, Hallmans G, et al. Replication and extension of genome-wide association study results for obesity in 4923 adults from northern Sweden. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2009; 18:1489–1496. [PubMed: 19164386]
36. Scuteri A, Sanna S, Chen WM, Uda M, Albai G, Strait J, et al. Genome-wide association scan shows genetic variants in the FTO gene are associated with obesity-related traits. *PLoS Genet.* 2007; 3:e115. [PubMed: 17658951]
37. Dina C, Meyre D, Gallina S, Durand E, Korner A, Jacobson P, et al. Variation in FTO contributes to childhood obesity and severe adult obesity. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:724–726. [PubMed: 17496892]
38. Hu FB, Li TY, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Manson JE. Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *JAMA.* 2003; 289:1785–1791. [PubMed: 12684356]
39. Carroll, RJ.; Ruppert, D.; Stefanski, LA. Measurement error in nonlinear models. Chapman & Hall; London: 1995.
40. Braam LA, Ocke MC, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Seidell JC. Determinants of obesity-related underreporting of energy intake. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1998; 147:1081–1086. [PubMed: 9620052]
41. Price GM, Paul AA, Cole TJ, Wadsworth ME. Characteristics of the low-energy reporters in a longitudinal national dietary survey. *Br J Nutr.* 1997; 77:833–851. [PubMed: 9227182]
42. Molarius A, Seidell JC, Kuulasmaa K, Dobson AJ, Sans S. Smoking and relative body weight: an international perspective from the WHO MONICA Project. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1997; 51:252–260. [PubMed: 9229053]
43. Albanes D, Jones DY, Micozzi MS, Mattson ME. Associations between smoking and body weight in the US population: analysis of NHANES II. *Am J Public Health.* 1987; 77:439–444. [PubMed: 3493709]
44. Colditz GA, Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Speizer FE, et al. Alcohol intake in relation to diet and obesity in women and men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1991; 54:49–55. [PubMed: 2058587]
45. Williamson DF, Forman MR, Binkin NJ, Gentry EM, Remington PL, Trowbridge FL. Alcohol and body weight in United States adults. *Am J Public Health.* 1987; 77:1324–1330. [PubMed: 3498373]
46. Stunkard AJ, Harris JR, Pedersen NL, McClearn GE. The body-mass index of twins who have been reared apart. *N Engl J Med.* 1990; 322:1483–1487. [PubMed: 2336075]
47. Church C, Lee S, Bagg EA, McTaggart JS, Deacon R, Gerken T, et al. A mouse model for the metabolic effects of the human fat mass and obesity associated FTO gene. *PLoS Genet.* 2009; 5:e1000599. [PubMed: 19680540]
48. Andreasen CH, Stender-Petersen KL, Mogensen MS, Torekov SS, Wegner L, Andersen G, et al. Low physical activity accentuates the effect of the FTO rs9939609 polymorphism on body fat accumulation. *Diabetes.* 2008; 57:95–101. [PubMed: 17942823]
49. Jonsson A, Renstrom F, Lyssenko V, Brito EC, Isomaa B, Berglund G, et al. Assessing the effect of interaction between an FTO variant (rs9939609) and physical activity on obesity in 15,925 Swedish and 2,511 Finnish adults. *Diabetologia.* 2009; 52:1334–1338. [PubMed: 19373445]

50. Cecil JE, Tavendale R, Watt P, Hetherington MM, Palmer CN. An obesity-associated FTO gene variant and increased energy intake in children. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 359:2558–2566. [PubMed: 19073975]
51. den Hoed M, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Bouwman FG, Mariman EC, Westerterp KR. Postprandial responses in hunger and satiety are associated with the rs9939609 single nucleotide polymorphism in FTO. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 90:1426–1432. [PubMed: 19793853]
52. Tenesa A, Campbell H, Theodoratou E, Dunlop L, Cetnarskyj R, Farrington SM, et al. Common genetic variants at the MC4R locus are associated with obesity, but not with dietary energy intake or colorectal cancer in the Scottish population. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009; 33:284–288. [PubMed: 19050674]

Table 1

Characteristics of the study population

|  | Overall |                  | Men  |                  | Women |                  |
|--|---------|------------------|------|------------------|-------|------------------|
|  | n       | Mean ± s.d. or % | n    | Mean ± s.d. or % | n     | Mean ± s.d. or % |
| <b>General factors</b>                                   |         |                  |      |                  |       |                  |
| Age (years)  | 12462   | 49.38 ± 13.97    | 6271 | 49.82 ± 14.10    | 6191  | 48.94 ± 13.82    |
| Education (< 12 years) <sup>1)</sup>                     | 12462   | 68.73 %          | 6271 | 60.87 %          | 6191  | 76.69 %          |
| <b>Anthropometric factors</b>                            |         |                  |      |                  |       |                  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                 | 12357   | 26.97 ± 4.49     | 6231 | 27.32 ± 3.81     | 6126  | 26.61 ± 5.07     |
| Height (cm)  | 12421   | 167.92 ± 9.32    | 6249 | 174.25 ± 7.06    | 6172  | 161.51 ± 6.52    |
| <b>Lifestyle factors</b>                                 |         |                  |      |                  |       |                  |
| High carbohydrate score (≥median) <sup>2)</sup>          | 12426   | 54.30 %          | 6250 | 55.55 %          | 6176  | 52.80 %          |
| High fat score (≥median) <sup>2)</sup>                   | 12423   | 58.75 %          | 6248 | 59.57 %          | 6175  | 57.93 %          |
| Smoking (ever smokers) <sup>3)</sup>                     | 12458   | 55.47 %          | 6268 | 69.10 %          | 6190  | 41.68 %          |
| High alcohol ≥40g/d (men) / ≥20g/d (women) <sup>4)</sup> | 12438   | 22.09 %          | 6271 | 26.76 %          | 6191  | 17.28 %          |
| High physical activity (scores 1 and 2) <sup>5)</sup>    | 12441   | 43.47 %          | 6257 | 45.02 %          | 6184  | 41.90 %          |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

- <sup>1)</sup> Educational level was categorized according to <12 or ≥12 years of schooling including job training
- <sup>2)</sup> Dichotomized at medians (high carbohydrate score: ≥44 for overall, ≥43 for men, ≥45 for women; high fat score: ≥20 for overall, men, and women)
- <sup>3)</sup> Subjects currently smoking at least one cigarette per day were defined as smokers
- <sup>4)</sup> Alcohol intake was dichotomized as ≥40 g/day for men and ≥20 g/day for women
- <sup>5)</sup> Physical activity was dichotomized as 2 = high activity (scores = 1 and 2) and 1 = low activity (scores = 3 and 4)
- Mean ± s.d. (standard deviation) or percentage (%) is shown.

**Table 2**

Association of SNPs (*genotype*) with BMI (*outcome*) – model 1

| SNP        | Gene   | Chr. | Minor allele | MAF [%] | Overall |                               |                       | Men  |                               |                       | women |                               |                       |
|------------|--------|------|--------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
|            |        |      |              |         | n       | Estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | P-value               | n    | Estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | P-value               | n     | estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | p-value               |
| rs10789336 | NEGR1  | 1    | G            | 39      | 11290   | -0.035                        | 0.54                  | 5650 | -0.053                        | 0.44                  | 5640  | -0.022                        | 0.80                  |
| rs6548238  | TMEM18 | 2    | T            | 17      | 11687   | -0.418                        | 1.22×10 <sup>-8</sup> | 5856 | -0.350                        | 1.03×10 <sup>-4</sup> | 5831  | -0.475                        | 3.30×10 <sup>-5</sup> |
| rs10838738 | MTCH2  | 11   | G            | 33      | 11771   | -0.064                        | 0.27                  | 5916 | -0.111                        | 0.12                  | 5855  | -0.015                        | 0.87                  |
| rs9935401  | FTO    | 16   | A            | 41      | 11701   | 0.290                         | 2.85×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 5875 | 0.206                         | 2.82×10 <sup>-3</sup> | 5826  | 0.364                         | 4.08×10 <sup>-5</sup> |
| rs17700144 | MC4R   | 18   | A            | 23      | 11693   | 0.101                         | 0.13                  | 5863 | 0.157                         | 0.06                  | 5830  | 0.067                         | 0.52                  |
| rs7498665  | SH2B1  | 18   | G            | 39      | 11683   | 0.145                         | 9.83×10 <sup>-3</sup> | 5851 | 0.043                         | 0.53                  | 5832  | 0.236                         | 7.89×10 <sup>-3</sup> |
| rs11084753 | KCTD15 | 19   | A            | 33      | 11814   | 0.012                         | 0.83                  | 5922 | -0.045                        | 0.52                  | 5892  | 0.076                         | 0.41                  |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; Chr., chromosome; MAF, minor allele frequency; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

Beta-estimates per minor allele and P-value from linear regression of SNP on outcome BMI, adjusted for age, sex, and survey are given for overall and gender specific analyses;

Table 3

Association of lifestyle factors (*mediator*) with BMI (*outcome*) – model 2

| Lifestyle factor         | Overall (n=12297)             |                        | Men (n=6200)                  |                        | Women (n=6103)                |                        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|                          | Estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | P-value                | Estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | P-value                | Estimate [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | P-value                |
| High carbohydrate score  | -0.422                        | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>  | -0.282                        | 5.18×10 <sup>-3</sup>  | -0.465                        | 2.91×10 <sup>-4</sup>  |
| High fat score           | -0.265                        | 1.82×10 <sup>-3</sup>  | -0.179                        | 0.08                   | -0.284                        | 0.04                   |
| High alcohol consumption | -0.477                        | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>  | 0.099                         | 0.35                   | -1.228                        | 1.15×10 <sup>-14</sup> |
| Ever smoking             | -0.273                        | 7.26×10 <sup>-4</sup>  | 0.230                         | 0.02                   | -0.495                        | 9.57×10 <sup>-5</sup>  |
| High physical activity   | -0.861                        | 5.08×10 <sup>-28</sup> | -0.657                        | 6.85×10 <sup>-12</sup> | -1.052                        | 1.84×10 <sup>-17</sup> |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index. Association estimates and P-values from linear regression of lifestyle factors on the outcome BMI, adjusted for age, sex, survey and all lifestyle factors are shown for the effects of high (≥median) versus low (reference) carbohydrate or fat score, high (men: ≥40 g per day; women: ≥20 g per day) versus low (reference) alcohol consumption, ever versus never smokers (reference), high (scores 1 and 2) versus low (reference) physical activity.

**Table 4**

Association of SNPs (*genotype*) with lifestyle factors (*mediator*) – model 3

| SNP            | Gene   | Carbohydrate score |         | Fat score |         | Alcohol consumption |                       | Smoking behaviour |         | Physical activity |         |
|----------------|--------|--------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
|                |        | OR                 | P-value | OR        | P-value | OR                  | p-value               | OR                | P-value | OR                | P-value |
| <b>Overall</b> |        |                    |         |           |         |                     |                       |                   |         |                   |         |
| rs10789336     | NEGR1  | 1.033              | 0.22    | 0.999     | 0.96    | 0.903               | 1.50×10 <sup>-3</sup> | 0.979             | 0.45    | 0.973             | 0.31    |
| rs6548238      | TMEM18 | 1.035              | 0.32    | 1.081     | 0.03    | 1.009               | 0.83                  | 0.951             | 0.17    | 1.027             | 0.45    |
| rs10838738     | MTCH2  | 1.020              | 0.47    | 1.018     | 0.54    | 0.979               | 0.53                  | 0.969             | 0.28    | 1.036             | 0.21    |
| rs9935401      | FTO    | 0.989              | 0.69    | 1.003     | 0.91    | 0.954               | 0.14                  | 0.936             | 0.02    | 0.975             | 0.34    |
| rs17700144     | MC4R   | 1.042              | 0.19    | 0.998     | 0.95    | 0.985               | 0.69                  | 1.035             | 0.29    | 1.005             | 0.89    |
| rs7498665      | SH2B1  | 1.020              | 0.46    | 0.974     | 0.35    | 0.996               | 0.89                  | 1.031             | 0.27    | 0.962             | 0.16    |
| rs11084753     | KCTD15 | 1.039              | 0.16    | 1.066     | 0.03    | 1.006               | 0.86                  | 1.016             | 0.59    | 0.988             | 0.67    |
| <b>Men</b>     |        |                    |         |           |         |                     |                       |                   |         |                   |         |
| rs10789336     | NEGR1  | 1.058              | 0.13    | 0.952     | 0.21    | 0.933               | 0.10                  | 1.037             | 0.37    | 0.995             | 0.89    |
| rs6548238      | TMEM18 | 1.065              | 0.20    | 1.027     | 0.61    | 0.967               | 0.55                  | 0.945             | 0.28    | 0.995             | 0.93    |
| rs10838738     | MTCH2  | 1.030              | 0.45    | 1.067     | 0.11    | 0.911               | 0.03                  | 0.937             | 0.12    | 1.050             | 0.22    |
| rs9935401      | FTO    | 1.016              | 0.68    | 1.020     | 0.62    | 0.961               | 0.35                  | 0.934             | 0.09    | 0.953             | 0.21    |
| rs17700144     | MC4R   | 1.031              | 0.50    | 0.969     | 0.51    | 0.938               | 0.21                  | 1.036             | 0.47    | 1.006             | 0.90    |
| rs7498665      | SH2B1  | 1.046              | 0.24    | 0.965     | 0.36    | 1.008               | 0.85                  | 1.047             | 0.25    | 0.980             | 0.60    |
| rs11084753     | KCTD15 | 1.047              | 0.23    | 1.089     | 0.03    | 0.994               | 0.89                  | 1.001             | 0.97    | 1.005             | 0.89    |
| <b>Women</b>   |        |                    |         |           |         |                     |                       |                   |         |                   |         |
| rs10789336     | NEGR1  | 1.038              | 0.32    | 1.049     | 0.23    | 0.867               | 3.85×10 <sup>-3</sup> | 0.932             | 0.07    | 0.953             | 0.21    |
| rs6548238      | TMEM18 | 1.041              | 0.41    | 1.137     | 0.01    | 1.062               | 0.34                  | 0.941             | 0.24    | 1.059             | 0.25    |
| rs10838738     | MTCH2  | 1.020              | 0.61    | 0.971     | 0.48    | 1.067               | 0.20                  | 0.992             | 0.83    | 1.018             | 0.65    |
| rs9935401      | FTO    | 0.966              | 0.36    | 0.988     | 0.77    | 0.943               | 0.24                  | 0.941             | 0.12    | 0.995             | 0.90    |
| rs17700144     | MC4R   | 1.023              | 0.61    | 1.025     | 0.59    | 1.043               | 0.46                  | 1.019             | 0.69    | 1.003             | 0.94    |
| rs7498665      | SH2B1  | 1.034              | 0.38    | 0.987     | 0.75    | 0.982               | 0.71                  | 1.028             | 0.48    | 0.944             | 0.13    |
| rs11084753     | KCTD15 | 0.996              | 0.93    | 1.041     | 0.34    | 1.026               | 0.61                  | 1.031             | 0.46    | 0.971             | 0.46    |

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

ORs and P-values show the effect per minor allele; lifestyle factors were dichotomized with higher versus lower (reference) scores for carbohydrate and fat intake, alcohol consumption, and physical activity, with smoking versus never smoking