

Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327office wjg@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v18.i1.84 World J Gastroenterol 2012 January 7; 18(1): 84-89 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

BRIEF ARTICLE

# Unsuccessful treatment of four patients with acute graft-vs-host disease after liver transplantation

Xiao-Bo Chen, Jie Yang, Ming-Qing Xu, Tian-Fu Wen, Lu-Nan Yan

Xiao-Bo Chen, Jie Yang, Ming-Qing Xu, Tian-Fu Wen, Lu-Nan Yan, Department of Liver and Vascular Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China

Author contributions: Xu MQ and Yan LN contributed equally to this work; Xu MQ designed the research protocol; Yang J collected clinical data; Xu MQ, Wen TF and Yan LN contributed to the clinical work; Chen XB and Xu MQ wrote the paper. Correspondence to: Ming-Qing Xu, MD, PhD, Department of Liver and Vascular Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province,

China. xumingqing0018@163.com

Telephone:+86-28-85422870 Fax: +86-28-85422867 Received: May 4, 2011 Revised: June 23, 2011 Accepted: June 30, 2011 Published online: January 7, 2012

## Abstract

**AIM:** To investigate appropriate therapeutic strategies for graft-*vs*-host disease (GVHD) following liver transplantation.

**METHODS:** Four patients who developed GVHD after liver transplantation in West China Hospital were included in this study. Therapeutic strategies with augmentation or withdrawal of immunosuppressants combined with supportive therapy were investigated in these patients. In addition, a literature review of patients who developed GVHD after liver transplantation was performed.

**RESULTS:** Although a transient response to initial treatment was detected, all four patients died of complications from GVHD: one from sepsis with multiple organ failure, one from gastrointestinal bleeding, and the other two from sepsis with gastrointestinal bleeding. Few consensuses for the treatment of GVHD after liver transplantation have been reached.

CONCLUSION: New and effective treatments are re-

quired for GVHD after liver transplantation to improve the prognosis of patients with this diagnosis.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Graft-*vs*-host disease; Immunosuppressant; Immunosuppression; Liver transplantation; Treatment

**Peer reviewer:** Rui Marinho, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Rua Prof. Aires de Sousa, 1 r/c A, Lisboa 1600-590, Portugal

Chen XB, Yang J, Xu MQ, Wen TF, Yan LN. Unsuccessful treatment of four patients with acute graft-*vs*-host disease after liver transplantation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; 18(1): 84-89 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v18/i1/84.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i1.84

## INTRODUCTION

Although the liver is recognized as an immunologically privileged organ, acute graft-vs-host disease (GVHD) may occur in 1%-2% of patients after liver transplantation (LT), and the mortality rate of patients with GVHD is very high (> 85%)<sup>[1-3]</sup>. The donor lymphocytes remaining in the portal tracts and the parenchyma of the donor liver graft after flushing with cold preservative solution<sup>[4,5]</sup> colonize the recipient, recognizing the host tissue antigens as foreign and react against the host tissue. The typical clinical presentations of GVHD include fever, skin rash (Figures 1-4), diarrhea, and pancytopenia beginning 2 to 6 wk after LT<sup>[1,2,6]</sup>. The diagnosis is usually made according to the typical clinical manifestations mentioned above, with the exclusion of other phenomena such as infection, drug allergies or rejection, which share the same clinical features as GVHD. A rapid diagnosis by the detection of lymphocyte macrochimerism through DNA-short tandem repeat (STR) has been recommended<sup>[7]</sup>, while human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-



typing has also proven critical in confirming GVHD and elucidating its cause<sup>[8]</sup>.

Treatment of GVHD typically consists of increasing immunosuppression using antibody preparations, such as OKT3 or antithymocyte globulin, to eliminate the donor lymphocytes and supporting myelopoiesis through the use of cytokines. However, this treatment has been unsuccessful; the majority of GVHD patients died of native bone marrow failure, resulting in fatal sepsis<sup>[1,9,10]</sup>. In one case, immunosuppressant withdrawal to control GVHD was suggested by Chinnakotla *et al*<sup>[11]</sup>. In their report, two of three patients exhibited the rapid loss of donor T-cell chimerism and resolution of their symptoms; however, the remaining patient continued to progress to severe GVHD and subsequently died.

In this study, we describe the unsuccessful results of different treatment regimens involving augmentation or withdrawal of immunosuppressant therapy in four patients with established GVHD after LT.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

From January 1999 to December 2010, 836 cases of LT were performed in West China Hospital. A total of four recipients developed postoperative GVHD (Table 1). Each of these patients was given a pathological diagnosis of GVHD following skin rash biopsy; HLA typing and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-STR were not performed. The various treatments in these patients are shown in Table 2. One patient received increased immunosuppressive therapy (methylprednisolone 500 mg, iv, qd, for 3 d). This therapy was then augmented by consecutive use of cyclosporine A (CsA) and mycophenolate mofetil, while granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was subsequently administered as a supportive treatment. The other three patients also initially received increased immunosuppressive therapy (methylprednisolone 500 mg, iv, qd, for 2 d); however, this was followed by the complete withdrawal of immunosuppressant therapy combined with the administration of supportive treatment including G-CSF (0.075 mg, bid), intravenous immunoglobulin (20 g, qd) or thymosin  $\alpha$ 1 (Zadaxin 1.6 mg, qd). Due to immune dysfunction, the patient who received increased immunosuppressive therapy eventually developed a severe infection. Enterobacter cloacae (a yeast-like organism) and Candida albicans were identified through repeat sputum and buccal swab culture in this patient. Subsequently, many different antibiotics (imipenem/cilastatin sodium, azithromycin, moxifloxacin, meropenem and teicoplanin) and an anti-fungal agent (fluconazole) were administered. Broad-spectrum antibiotics with or without anti-fungal medicines were also administered in the other three patients after GVHD onset to control infection or as a routine prophylaxis (Table 2). A flowchart of treatment is shown in Figure 5.

## RESULTS

All patients with confirmed pathological diagnoses of





Figure 1 The maculopapular rash on the skin of the patient's chest wall and abdominal wall.

Figure 2 The skin underwent desquamation and pigmentation (the same patient as in Figure 1).





Figure 4 Maculopapular rash on the leg.

GVHD developed fever, skin rash, diarrhea, severe bone marrow suppression and gastrointestinal bleeding. In response to the initial treatment, the rash faded, the skin underwent desquamation and pigmentation (Figure 2), and the temperature displayed a transient decrease. However, diarrhea persisted, bone marrow failure and gastrointestinal bleeding developed, and the levels of white blood cells (WBC) and platelets dropped. The most conspicuous changes in platelet number and white blood cell count were observed in cases 4 and 3, from a normal



## Chen XB et al. GVHD after liver transplantation

Table 1 Clinic data of recipients with graft-vs-host disease

Case	Age (yr)/sex	Etiology	HCC criteria	MELD score	Onset day	Presenting symptoms	Changes in PLT count	Changes in WBC count			
1	48/M	HCC/LC/	UCSF	28	POD 23	Fever, rash, diarrhea,	$111 \times 10^{9}$ /L to $12 \times 10^{9}$ /L	$8.69 \times 10^9$ /L to $0.28 \times 10^9$ /L			
		HBV				BMS, gastrointestinal					
						bleeding					
2	43/M	HCC/LC/	Milan	21	POD 21	Fever, rash, diarrhea,	$124 \times 10^9$ /L to $45 \times 10^9$ /L	$7.59 \times 10^9 / L$ to $0.11 \times 10^9 / L$			
		HBV				BMS, gastrointestinal					
						bleeding					
3	55/M	HCC/LC/	Milan	23	POD 20	Fever, rash, diarrhea,	$273 \times 10^{9}$ /L to $18 \times 10^{9}$ /L	$4.39 \times 10^{9}$ /L to $0.03 \times 10^{9}$ /L			
		HBV				BMS, gastrointestinal					
						bleeding					
4	56/F	LC/HBV	-	27	POD 21	Fever, rash, diarrhea,	$150 \times 10^{9}$ /L to $1 \times 10^{9}$ /L	$5.12 \times 10^9$ /L to $0.16 \times 10^9$ /L			
						BMS, gastrointestinal					
						bleeding					
2 3 4	43/M 55/M 56/F	HCC/LC/ HBV HCC/LC/ HBV LC/HBV	Milan Milan -	21 23 27	POD 21 POD 20 POD 21	Fever, rash, diarrhea, BMS, gastrointestinal bleeding Fever, rash, diarrhea, BMS, gastrointestinal bleeding Fever, rash, diarrhea, BMS, gastrointestinal bleeding	$124 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to $45 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ $273 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to $18 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ $150 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to $1 \times 10^{\circ}/L$	$7.59 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to 0.11 $4.39 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to 0.03 $5.12 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ to 0.16			

M: Male; F: Female; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; LC: Liver cirrhosis; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; UCSF: University of California, San Francisco criteria for liver transplantation; BMS: Bone marrow suppression; POD: Post-operation day; MELD: Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; PLT: Platelet; WBC: White blood cell. Normal value for PLT is (100-300)  $\times 10^{9}$ /L; Normal value for WBC is (4-10)  $\times 10^{9}$ /L.

 Table 2 Treatment for graft-vs-host disease and outcome

Case	Immunosuppression and supportive therapy	Time of death	Cause of death
1	Increased immunosuppressant (methylprednisolone 500 mg, iv, qd, for 3 d), then CsA and mycophenolate	POD 34	MOF and sepsis
	mofetil + G-CSF (0.075 mg, bid, for 11 d) + antibiotics (imipenem/cilastatin sodium, azithromycin, moxi-		
	floxacin, meropenem and teicoplanin) + anti-fungal (fluconazole)		
2	Increased immunosuppressant (methylprednisolone 500 mg, iv, qd, for 2 d), then withdrawal (POD 29) of	POD 38	Gastrointestinal
	immunosuppressant + G-CSF (0.075 mg, bid, for 9 d) + IVIG (20 g, qd, for 9 d) + broad spectrum antibiotics		bleeding
	(cefuroxime, ceftriaxone and imipenem/cilastatin) + anti-fungal (fluconazole)		
3	Increased immunosuppressant (methylprednisolone 500 mg, qd, for 2 d), then withdrawal (POD 29) of	POD 35	Gastrointestinal
	immunosuppressant + thymosin α1 (Zadaxin 1.6 mg, qd, for 7 d) + G-CSF (0.075 mg, bid, for 7 d) + broad		bleeding and sepsis
	spectrum antibiotics (cefminox)		
4	Increased immunosuppressant (methylprednisolone 500 mg, iv, qd, for 2 d), then withdrawal (POD 30) of	POD 36	Gastrointestinal
	immunosuppressant + IVIG (20 g, qd, for 7 d) + thymosin α1 (Zadaxin 1.6 mg, qd, for 7 d) + G-CSF (0.075 mg,		bleeding and sepsis
	bid, for 5 d) + broad spectrum antibiotics (cefminox, cefoperazone/sulbactam)		

CsA: Cyclosporine A; G-CSF: Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; IVIG: Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy; MOF: Multiple organ failure; POD: Postoperation day.



Figure 5 Flowchart of the management of graft-vs-host disease after liver transplantation in our center. GVHD: Graft-vs-host disease.

ng◎ WJG www.wjgnet.com

level to  $1 \times 10^{9}$ /L and from a normal level to  $0.03 \times 10^{9}$ /L, respectively (Table 1). All of these patients died of complications from GVHD: one from sepsis with multiple organ failure (MOF), one from gastrointestinal bleeding, and the other two from sepsis with gastrointestinal bleeding (Table 2). The average survival time from onset of GVHD was 14.25 d.

## DISCUSSION

GVHD following LT is an uncommon but fatal complication that poses a major diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. Research has shown that GVHD involves activation of donor T lymphocytes by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), causing an alloreactive T-cell response to recipient tissues mediated by cytotoxic T-cells and inflammatory cytokines<sup>[12]</sup>, such as tumor necrosis factoralpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukins 1, 2, 6 and 10, and interferon  $\gamma^{[13]}$ . Of these cytokines, TNF- $\alpha$  is recognized as the key inflammatory cytokine involved in the pathogenesis of GVHD, which can activate APCs, recruit effector cells, and cause tissue damage<sup>[13,14]</sup>. Taking the role of TNF- $\alpha$  during GVHD into account, certain anti-TNF- $\alpha$ agents such as etanercept and infliximab have been used in steroid-refractory GVHD following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), and one case who developed GVHD after LT was reported to be successfully treated with infliximab<sup>[14,15]</sup>. Etanercept, a soluble recombinant human TNF-α receptor type II fusion protein, which is usually used to treat rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease, was reported to be used in acute GVHD with steroids. A substantial majority of patients displayed a complete response (CR), which was very promising<sup>[15,16]</sup>

At present, most cases of GVHD after LT are diagnosed by typical clinical manifestations. However, the following laboratory examinations can help with the diagnosis: (1) biopsy of the skin rash; (2) detection of donor peripheral blood leukocytic chimerism; (3) microsatellite phenotype; (4) detection of donor HLA types in the peripheral blood, mucous membrane, or skin by PCR; and (5) detection of donor lymphocytes using immunohistochemistry on the skin rash<sup>[17]</sup>. Once diagnosis is confirmed, treatment should follow. Due to the low incidence of the disease and difficulty in evaluating the efficacy of treatment modalities, the therapeutic schedule for GVHD after LT has not yet been standardized. Most treatment methods are derived from those experiences of GVHD management following HSCT. Most treatments focus on increasing immunosuppression, usually in the form of antibody preparations such as antithymocyte globulin (ATG) or OKT3, which are used to treat conventional GVHD in a bone marrow transplant recipient. Unfortunately, these experiences did not dem-onstrate any survival benefit<sup>[9,10,18-21]</sup>.

CsA is the mainstay of pharmacologic prevention of acute GVHD<sup>[22]</sup>, while high-dose corticosteroid is considered an important part of first-line treatment for acute GVHD after LT<sup>[1,3]</sup>. The use of corticosteroids for the treatment of GVHD after LT is derived from the HSCT experience: corticosteroids resolve symptoms in many patients. The mechanism by which glucocorticoids ameliorate acute GVHD is not completely clear, but it is likely related primarily to the suppression of lymphocytic activity. However, GVHD after LT is less responsive to corticosteroids than GVHD after stem cell transplantation. The literature includes reports on 12 patients who were treated primarily with corticosteroids and/or increased immunosuppressive medications following the diagnosis of GVHD after LT<sup>[1,3,9,10,21,23-27]</sup>. Of these patients, all adult patients died of GVHD-related complications during the subsequent 11 d; only two children<sup>[28,29]</sup> were alive at the time of the report. These results suggest that, at least in the adult patients, treatment of GVHD after LT exclusively with corticosteroids or increasing immunosuppression is an inadequate approach to long-term therapy. Although temporary symptom relief may be available, the resolution of GVHD cannot be expected.

In the first case in our series, high-dose methylprednisolone followed by CsA and mycophenolate mofetil were administered to treat GVHD. Although the fever improved transiently in this patient, diarrhea and bone marrow suppression did not respond to this treatment regimen; continuous diarrhea and bone marrow failure developed, and WBC and platelet counts progressively decreased. Furthermore, hyperpyrexia developed again after two days' amelioration. This patient soon died of severe lung infection and MOF 11 d after GVHD onset. Due to the unsuccessful outcome of acute GVHD in a liver allograft recipient following increased immunosuppression, reduction and even complete withdrawal of immunosuppressant therapy has been proposed as a treatment for GVHD after LT. Theoretically, such an approach should allow the recipient immune system to reject alloreactive donor lymphocytes mediating the GVHD<sup>[29-31]</sup>. Attempts at implementing this approach were met with an initial worsening of symptoms<sup>[2,32]</sup> and involved a risk of the donor liver being rejected<sup>[30]</sup>. These limitations would explain why few patients were treated primarily with the reduction or discontinuation of immunosuppression. Antilymphocyte globulin, ATG and OKT3 were also used in these patients, but there was no evidence that these agents altered the eventual outcome<sup>[9,10,8-21]</sup>.

Six adult patients were reported to have been treated for GVHD after LT primarily by the reduction or discontinuation of immunosuppression before  $2004^{[9,26,33-35]}$ . Two of these patients were reported to be alive at the time of the report, with their recovery attributed to a reduction in immunosuppression<sup>[26,30]</sup>. Five children have been treated with this approach<sup>[1,29,31,33,36]</sup>. Of these children, three were alive, one was alive with chronic GVHD, and one child died. Chinnakotla *et al*<sup>[11]</sup> reported the success of immunosuppression withdrawal in three patients diagnosed with GVHD in 2007. This approach was successful in two of three cases, but the other patient died. Another successful approach to treatment involving improvement of the immunity of the patient was also reported by Lu *et al*<sup>[17]</sup>.

In our series, three patients were treated first by increasing immunosuppression (high-dose methylprednisolone 500 mg once daily for 2 d + FK506 or CsA) upon the initial appearance of GVHD symptoms, such as hyperpyrexia and skin rash. Because the diagnosis of GVHD was confirmed by skin rash biopsy, the amelioration of fever, and progressive bone marrow cell proliferation suppression, complete withdrawal of the immunosuppressant was proposed. At the same time, supportive therapies such as immunoglobulin, thymosin  $\alpha$ 1 and G-CSF were administered. Unfortunately, these three patients did not respond effectively to this regimen of immunosuppression withdrawal combined with supportive therapy; one patient died of gastrointestinal bleeding, while two died of sepsis with gastrointestinal bleeding.

In sum, although two major strategies (augmentation or withdrawal of immunosuppressant therapy) have been proposed for the treatment of GVHD after LT, many novel strategies have been reported to be effective: anti-TNF- $\alpha$  with etanercept or infliximab, use of alefacept to elevate the blood cell count<sup>[36]</sup>, pulse cyclophosphamide to treat the steroid-refractory hepatitis form of liver GVHD not associated with gut GVHD<sup>[37]</sup>, interleukin 2-receptor antibody (basiliximab or daclizumab) therapy<sup>[/]</sup>, and the preventive broad-spectrum chemokine-inhibitor NR58-3.14.3 (in animal experiments only)<sup>[38]</sup>. However, few consensuses have been reached. For non-typical manifestation at an early stage, which involve symptoms similar to those of a drug allergy or mimicking a more common clinical condition such as infection, GVHD can easily be misdiagnosed or even omitted. Moreover, as a rare post-transplant complication with no standardized treatment protocol hitherto, early diagnosis and effective treatment of GVHD would be complex, frustrating and laden with additional difficulties. Although a handful of successful cases have been reported, further research is necessary. It is therefore imperative to develop a de novo therapeutic schedule with definite effects.

## COMMENTS

#### Background

Graft-vs-host disease (GVHD) following liver transplantation (LT) is a rare but fatal complication. The incidence is about 1%-2%, and the mortality rate is over 85%. People who develop GVHD after LT usually die of serious complications such as multiple organ failure, gastrointestinal bleeding and sepsis. So, a rapid diagnosis and treatment of GVHD is critical. At present, the consensuses of treatment methods for GVHD after LT have not been reached and the therapeutic experiences are derived from those of management following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Two major strategies (augmentation or withdrawal of immunosuppressant therapy) have been reported to be effective.

#### **Research frontiers**

A rapid diagnosis by the detection of lymphocyte macrochimerism through DNAshort tandem repeat has been recommended, while human leukocyte antigentyping has also proven critical in confirming GVHD and elucidating its cause. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) is recognized as the key inflammatory cytokine involved in the pathogenesis of GVHD, which can activate antigen presenting cells, recruit effector cells and cause tissue damage. So, certain anti-TNF- $\alpha$  agents such as etanercept and infliximab have been used in steroid-refractory GVHD.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

The authors herein report four patients who developed GVHD after liver transplantation. Therapeutic strategies with augmentation or withdrawal of immunosuppressant combined with supportive therapy were investigated in these patients and a literature review of patients who developed GVHD after liver transplantation was performed in this article to investigate appropriate therapeutic strategies for GVHD following LT.

#### Applications

This article describes the clinical manifestations and diagnostic method of GVHD after LT and summarizes different therapeutic strategies, which would be helpful to the diagnosis or cure of this disease.

#### Terminology

GVHD: An immunological disorder that affects many organ systems, including the gastrointestinal tract, liver, skin and lungs, is a common and serious complication of transplantation where there is a reaction of donated organ/bone marrow against a patient's own tissue. It is an incompatibility reaction when donor lymphocytes or a graft containing lymphocytes that immunologically competent are given to a patient that has low immunological competence. Due to antibodies from the donor against antigens in the host, it can produce lymphocyte clones that will react by a variety of processes against the host and cause damage. Immunosuppressant: The agent administrated by patients who accept organ/bone marrow transplantation that decrease the immunity of the patients.

#### Peer review

The manuscript is well written and summarizes the treatment methods of GVHD after LT.

## REFERENCES

- Smith DM, Agura E, Netto G, Collins R, Levy M, Goldstein R, Christensen L, Baker J, Altrabulsi B, Osowski L, McCormack J, Fichtel L, Dawson DB, Domiati-Saad R, Stone M, Klintmalm G. Liver transplant-associated graft-versus-host disease. *Transplantation* 2003; **75**: 118-126
- 2 **Taylor AL**, Gibbs P, Bradley JA. Acute graft versus host disease following liver transplantation: the enemy within. *Am J Transplant* 2004; **4**: 466-474
- 3 Taylor AL, Gibbs P, Sudhindran S, Key T, Goodman RS, Morgan CH, Watson CJ, Delriviere L, Alexander GJ, Jamieson NV, Bradley JA, Taylor CJ. Monitoring systemic donor lymphocyte macrochimerism to aid the diagnosis of graftversus-host disease after liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 2004; 77: 441-446
- 4 Norris S, Collins C, Doherty DG, Smith F, McEntee G, Traynor O, Nolan N, Hegarty J, O'Farrelly C. Resident human hepatic lymphocytes are phenotypically different from circulating lymphocytes. J Hepatol 1998; 28: 84-90
- 5 Domiati-Saad R, Klintmalm GB, Netto G, Agura ED, Chinnakotla S, Smith DM. Acute graft versus host disease after liver transplantation: patterns of lymphocyte chimerism. *Am J Transplant* 2005; 5: 2968-2973
- 6 Pirenne J, Benedetti E, Dunn DL. Graft versus host response: clinical and biological relevance after transplantation of solid organs. *Transplant Rev* 1996; 10: 46-48
- 7 Guo ZY, He XS, Wu LW, Zhu XF, Ju WQ, Wang DP, You S, Ma Y, Wang GD, Huang JF. Graft-verse-host disease after liver transplantation: a report of two cases and review of literature. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008; 14: 974-979
- 8 **Grosskreutz** C, Gudzowaty O, Shi P, Rodriguez-Laiz G, Malone A, Isola L. Partial HLA matching and RH incompatibility resulting in graft versus host reaction and Evans syndrome after liver transplantation. *Am J Hematol* 2008; **83**: 599-601



- 9 Roberts JP, Ascher NL, Lake J, Capper J, Purohit S, Garovoy M, Lynch R, Ferrell L, Wright T. Graft vs. host disease after liver transplantation in humans: a report of four cases. *Hepatology* 1991; 14: 274-281
- 10 Romagnuolo J, Jewell LD, Kneteman NM, Bain VG. Graftversus-host disease after liver transplantation complicated by systemic aspergillosis with pancarditis. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2000; 14: 637-640
- 11 **Chinnakotla S**, Smith DM, Domiati-Saad R, Agura ED, Watkins DL, Netto G, Uemura T, Sanchez EQ, Levy MF, Klintmalm GB. Acute graft-versus-host disease after liver transplantation: role of withdrawal of immunosuppression in therapeutic management. *Liver Transpl* 2007; **13**: 157-161
- 12 **Mawad R**, Hsieh A, Damon L. Graft-versus-host disease presenting with pancytopenia after en bloc multiorgan transplantation: case report and literature review. *Transplant Proc* 2009; **41**: 4431-4433
- 13 Ferrara JL, Levine JE, Reddy P, Holler E. Graft-versus-host disease. *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 1550-1561
- 14 Piton G, Larosa F, Minello A, Becker MC, Mantion G, Aubin F, Deconinck E, Hillon P, Di Martino V. Infliximab treatment for steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease after orthotopic liver transplantation: a case report. *Liver Transpl* 2009; **15**: 682-685
- 15 **Ferrara JL**. Novel strategies for the treatment and diagnosis of graft-versus-host-disease. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol* 2007; **20**: 91-97
- 16 Levine JE, Paczesny S, Mineishi S, Braun T, Choi SW, Hutchinson RJ, Jones D, Khaled Y, Kitko CL, Bickley D, Krijanovski O, Reddy P, Yanik G, Ferrara JL. Etanercept plus methylprednisolone as initial therapy for acute graft-versushost disease. *Blood* 2008; **111**: 2470-2475
- 17 Lu Y, Wu LQ, Zhang BY, Cao JY. Graft-versus-host disease after liver transplantation: successful treatment of a case. *Transplant Proc* 2008; **40**: 3784-3786
- 18 Burdick JF, Vogelsang GB, Smith WJ, Farmer ER, Bias WB, Kaufmann SH, Horn J, Colombani PM, Pitt HA, Perler BA. Severe graft-versus-host disease in a liver-transplant recipient. N Engl J Med 1988; **318**: 689-691
- 19 Jamieson NV, Joysey V, Friend PJ, Marcus R, Ramsbottom S, Baglin T, Johnston PS, Williams R, Calne RY. Graft-versushost disease in solid organ transplantation. *Transpl Int* 1991; 4: 67-71
- 20 Joysey VC, Wood H, Ramsbottom S, Morgan H, Ford C, Horsford J, Jamieson N, Friend P, Alexander G, Calne RY. Lymphocyte chimaerism after organ transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 1992; 24: 2519-2522
- 21 Collins RH, Anastasi J, Terstappen LW, Nikaein A, Feng J, Fay JW, Klintmalm G, Stone MJ. Brief report: donor-derived long-term multilineage hematopoiesis in a liver-transplant recipient. N Engl J Med 1993; **328**: 762-765
- 22 Oshima K, Kanda Y, Nakasone H, Arai S, Nishimoto N, Sato H, Watanabe T, Hosoya N, Izutsu K, Asai T, Hangaishi A, Motokura T, Chiba S, Kurokawa M. Decreased incidence of acute graft-versus-host disease by continuous infusion of cyclosporine with a higher target blood level. *Am J Hematol* 2008; **83**: 226-232
- 23 **Mazzaferro V**, Andreola S, Regalia E, Poli F, Doci R, Bozzetti F, Gennari L. Confirmation of graft-versus-host disease after liver transplantation by PCR HLA-typing. *Transplantation* 1993; **55**: 423-425
- 24 Schmuth M, Vogel W, Weinlich G, Margreiter R, Fritsch P,

Sepp N. Cutaneous lesions as the presenting sign of acute graft-versus-host disease following liver transplantation. *Br J Dermatol* 1999; **141**: 901-904

- 25 Schrager JJ, Vnencak-Jones CL, Graber SE, Neff AT, Chari RS, Wright KJ, Pinson CW, Stewart JH, Gorden DL. Use of short tandem repeats for DNA fingerprinting to rapidly diagnose graft-versus-host disease in solid organ transplant patients. *Transplantation* 2006; **81**: 21-25
- 26 Starzl TE, Demetris AJ, Trucco M, Murase N, Ricordi C, Ildstad S, Ramos H, Todo S, Tzakis A, Fung JJ. Cell migration and chimerism after whole-organ transplantation: the basis of graft acceptance. *Hepatology* 1993; 17: 1127-1152
- 27 Whalen JG, Jukic DM, English JC. Rash and pancytopenia as initial manifestations of acute graft-versus-host disease after liver transplantation. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2005; **52**: 908-912
- 28 Cattral MS, Langnas AN, Wisecarver JL, Harper JC, Rubocki RJ, Bynon JS, Fox IJ, Heffron TG, Shaw BW. Survival of graftversus-host disease in a liver transplant recipient. *Transplantation* 1994; 57: 1271-1274
- 29 Pinna AD, Weppler D, Berho M, Masetti M, DeFaria W, Kato T, Thompson J, Ricordi C, Tzakis AG. Unusual presentation of graft-versus-host disease in pediatric liver transplant recipients: evidence of late and recurrent disease. *Pediatr Transplant* 1999; 3: 236-242
- 30 Lehner F, Becker T, Sybrecht L, Lück R, Schwinzer R, Slateva K, Blasczyk R, Hertenstein B, Klempnauer J, Nashan B. Successful outcome of acute graft-versus-host disease in a liver allograft recipient by withdrawal of immunosuppression. *Transplantation* 2002; **73**: 307-310
- 31 **Dunn SP**, Krueger LJ, Butani L, Punnett H. Late onset of severe graft-versus-host disease in a pediatric liver transplant recipient. *Transplantation* 2001; **71**: 1483-1485
- 32 **Comenzo RL**, Malachowski ME, Rohrer RJ, Freeman RB, Rabson A, Berkman EM. Anomalous ABO phenotype in a child after an ABO-incompatible liver transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 1992; **326**: 867-870
- 33 Hahn AB, Baliga P. Rapid method for the analysis of peripheral chimerism in suspected graft-versus-host disease after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2000; **6**: 180-184
- 34 Kuball J, Theobald M, Ferreira EA, Hess G, Burg J, Maccagno G, Barreiros AP, Lüth S, Schimanski CC, Schuchmann M, Schwarting A, Neurath M, Otto G, Galle PR, Lohse AW. Control of organ transplant-associated graft-versus-host disease by activated host lymphocyte infusions. *Transplantation* 2004; **78**: 1774-1779
- 35 Walling HW, Voigt MD, Stone MS. Lichenoid graft vs. host disease following liver transplantation. J Cutan Pathol 2004; 31: 179-184
- 36 **Stotler CJ**, Eghtesad B, Hsi E, Silver B. Rapid resolution of GVHD after orthotopic liver transplantation in a patient treated with alefacept. *Blood* 2009; **113**: 5365-5366
- 37 Mayer J, Krejci M, Pospisil Z, Doubek M, Janikova A, Zackova D, Racil Z, Smardova L, Navratil M, Kamelander J. Successful treatment of steroid-refractory hepatitic variant of liver graft-vs-host disease with pulse cyclophosphamide. *Exp Hematol* 2009; **37**: 767-773
- 38 Miklos S, Mueller G, Chang Y, Bouazzaoui A, Spacenko E, Schubert TE, Grainger DJ, Holler E, Andreesen R, Hildebrandt GC. Preventive usage of broad spectrum chemokine inhibitor NR58-3.14.3 reduces the severity of pulmonary and hepatic graft-versus-host disease. Int J Hematol 2009; 89: 383-397

S- Editor Sun H L- Editor Webster JR E- Editor Li JY



WJG | www.wjgnet.com