

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*J Am Chem Soc*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 9.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2009 October 14; 131(40): 14148–14149. doi:10.1021/ja905382m.

## **A Solid-State Deuterium NMR and SFG Study of the Side Chain Dynamics of Peptides Adsorbed onto Surfaces**

**Nicholas F. Breen**1, **Tobias Weidner**2, **Kun Li**3, **David G. Castner**2,4, and **Gary P. Drobny**1,3,\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

<sup>4</sup>Department of Bioengineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

### **Abstract**



The artificial amphiphilic peptide  $LK\alpha$ 14 adopts a helical structure at interfaces, with opposite orientation of its leucine (L, hydrophobic) and lysine (K, hydrophilic) side chains. When adsorbed onto surfaces, different residue side chains necessarily have different proximities to the surface, depending on both their position in the helix and the composition of the surface itself. Deuterating the individual leucine residues (isopropyl- $d_7$ ) permits the use of solid-state deuterium NMR as a site-specific probe of side chain dynamics. In conjunction with SFG as a probe of the peptide binding face, we demonstrate that the mobility of specific leucine side chains at the interface is quantifiable in terms of their surface proximity.

> Development of biocompatible surfaces is a major focus of the materials and tissue engineering communities<sup>1</sup>, particularly for using peptide or protein coatings in recreating a natural extracellular matrix to direct wound repair or tissue development and homeostasis.<sup>2</sup> Many of the current materials used in biomedical materials and tissue-engineering scaffolds are hydrophobic.<sup>3</sup> However, proteins often lose functionality when adsorbed onto hydrophobic surfaces, so a major concern in these applications is retention of native structure and/or dynamics. Deuterium solid state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a versatile, nonperturbing probe for those parameters<sup>4</sup>.

drobny@chem.washington.edu.

**Supporting Information**. Details of sample preparation, NMR and SFG experiments, and fitting results for Figure 4. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

Degrado and Lear first demonstrated synthetic peptides that adopt a chosen structure and orientation at interfaces using alternating periods of leucine  $(L)$  and lysine  $(K)$  residues<sup>5</sup>. At hydrophobic surfaces, rather than unfolding, these use a recognition method to stabilize a given secondary structure where the planar environment restricts the conformational degrees of freedom. Previously, we used NMR to explore a structural determination approach using one such 14-residue "LK" peptide,

LKα14: Ac-LKKLLKLLKKLLKL-OH

wherein dipolar recoupling and double-quantum NMR techniques were used to measure phi and psi Ramachandran angles in adjacent carbonyl-carbonyl pairs, thus quantifying the local secondary structure of the peptide while adsorbed onto a hydrophobic polystyrene (PS) surface<sup>6</sup>.

The interactions of multiple amphiphilic peptides with PS and silica surfaces has been studied also by Somorjai and coworkers<sup>7</sup> using sum frequency generation (SFG) vibrational spectroscopy, demonstrating LKα14 adsorption onto PS with the leucine side chains alongside and lysine side chains opposite that surface.

Here, we demonstrate how solid state deuterium NMR can be used to define site-specifically and quantifiably, the perturbations of amino acid side chain dynamics induced by peptide adsorption onto a hydrophobic surface. In this initial study we use a series of selectively deuterated LK $\alpha$ 14 peptides, where L-leucine, isopropyl- ${}^{2}H_{7}$  (d<sub>7</sub>Leu) (see Figure 1a) was incorporated at individual sites in the peptide's primary sequence.

Figure 2a shows the deuterium NMR spectrum of unadsorbed, lyophilized  $LK\alpha$ 14 with d7Leu incorporated at position L8. Figures 2b-e are spectra of PS-physisorbed and subsequently lyophilized LK $\alpha$ 14 with d<sub>7</sub>Leu incorporated selectively at L5, L8, L11, and L14. Figure 2f is the spectrum of  $LK\alpha$ 14 with d<sub>7</sub>Leu incorporated at L8 but adsorbed onto 14 nm diameter colloidal gold particles coated with a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of carboxyl-terminated alkane thiolates,  $COOH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>16</sub>SH.$ 

A striking aspect of the spectra in Figure 2 is that none resemble the deuterium NMR spectrum of polycrystalline d<sub>7</sub>Leu, represented by a simulation in Figure 3a, which consists primarily of an axially symmetric, Pake-type powder pattern with an effective QCC of about 50 kHz, corresponding to the six deuterons of the rapidly rotating  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  CD<sub>3</sub> groups. The γ-methine deuteron generates a weaker pattern with QCC≈170 kHz having low experimental sensitivity, not shown in Figure 3a.

Figure 2c represents PS-adsorbed LKα14 deuterated at L8, so any difference between Figure 2c and the spectrum of unbound LKα14 in Figure 2a is assumed due to the influence of the PS surface on the leucyl side chain dynamics. Similarly, the spectral pattern variation observed in Figures 2b-e is presumably due to variation in dynamics as a function of side chain proximity to the PS surface. Finally, as Figure 2f represents the spectrum of  $LK\alpha14$ deuterated at L8 and bound to the surface of a carboxyl-terminated SAM on colloidal gold, the spectral pattern variation between Figures 2c and 2f is likely due to the differential impact on leucyl side chain of adsorption onto a non-polar PS surface versus adsorption onto the polar surface of the SAM.

The dynamics of leucyl side chains has been studied and quantified by Torchia and coworkers<sup>8</sup> in a <sup>2</sup>H NMR study of helical collagen fibrils with L-leucine,  ${}^{2}H_{10}$  uniformly incorporated. The temperature variation of the deuterium lineshape was modeled as variation in the rate of a two site exchange between two conformations of the leucine side chain observed in crystallographic studies of leucine monomer and leucyl peptides<sup>9</sup>. To simulate the spectral patterns in Figure 2, we similarly assume here an exchange between the

*J Am Chem Soc*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 9.

two conformers shown in Figure 3b. The site exchange corresponds to an angular change of about 110° for the Cβ-Cγ bond axis. The rapid rotational motions of the CD<sub>3</sub> groups are treated simply with an effective QCC. The exchange rate and a priori conformer populations were varied in the simulations. In addition, to account for motion of the peptide chain itself, the Cα-Cβ bond was treated as moving on the surface of a cone.

Simulation results are shown with spectral data superimposed in Figure 2, and in the table below. Although Figures 2b-e show features of a dynamically-induced  $\eta=1$  spectral powder pattern, a residual HDO signal limits our ability to simulate exactly the central feature of these spectra.

Simulation results shown in the table indicate that the rate of exchange between side chain conformers,  $k_{ex}$ , is the model parameter that varies most significantly with the series Figure 2b-f. The rate  $k_{ex}$  is greatest for L8 and L11, whose side chains are located closest to the PS surface, while  $k_{ex}$  for L5 and L14 are significantly smaller. There is much less variation in all other model parameters for the different leucine sites of  $LK\alpha$ 14 on PS. Interestingly, the side chain exchange rate  $k_{ex}$  of L8 on a carboxyl-terminated SAM surface is identical to L5 and L14, and differs from L8 on PS by a factor of two.

Verification of the peptide orientation on carboxylated surfaces was performed with SFG. Figure 4 presents spectra of a monolayer of carboxyl-terminated alkanethiolates (identical to those used in Figure 2e) on gold-coated  $CaF<sub>2</sub>$  windows before and after adsorption of LK peptides.

The spectrum of the bare SAM surface consists only of the methylene stretching modes at 2866 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2933 cm<sup>-1</sup>, with the carboxyl-adjacent methylene unit at 2901 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and loosely bound water near 3400 cm $^{-1.10}$  Upon peptide adsorption, weak CH<sub>3</sub> resonances related to leucine side chains appear (2961 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2880 cm<sup>-1</sup>). These modes are 180° phase shifted with respect to the gold background (appearing as a dip in the spectrum) showing the leucines are pointing away from the surface.<sup>11</sup> A band near 3200 cm<sup>-1</sup> is related to tetrahedrally coordinated water molecules.10 We assign the feature near 3265  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  to ordered lysine NH<sup>3+</sup> groups binding the SAM substrate.

This study indicates that dynamics of the isopropyl moiety of leucine side chains are extremely sensitive to surface proximity and surface functionalization. The factor of 2–3 change in k<sub>ex</sub> observed between the L8/L11 versus L5/L14 sites in LK $\alpha$ 14 on PS surfaces, is observed in collagen leucine lineshapes only as a result of a 40°C change in temperature<sup>8</sup>. The L8(SAM) data and SFG orientation data mutually demonstrate that a change in surface functionalization in turn changes the peptide orientation and consequently the surface proximities of amino acid side chains. The collagen study found the existence of a mobile interface between individual helical protein components of the fibers<sup>12</sup>, characterized in part by quantifying the dynamics of leucine side chains as a function of temperature. This study uses multiple, complementary spectroscopies to observe a similarly mobile interface between a peptide structure and bulk surfaces, with mobility quantifiable in terms of NMR exchange dynamics of leucine side chains with varying surface proximities, and suggests that the combination of NMR and SFG on such systems can provide significantly more information than either alone.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

*J Am Chem Soc*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 9.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge support from NIH RO1 GM074511, RO1 DE12554 and P41 EB002027. T.W. thanks the DFG for a research fellowship. We thank Sirnegada Techane for supplying the functionalized gold nanoparticles.

#### **References**

- 1. (a) Healy KE. Curr. Opin. Solid State & Mat. Sci. 1999; 4:381–387.(b) Angelova N, Hunkeler D. Trends Biotech. 1999; 17:409–421.(c) Langer R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000; 33:94–101. [PubMed: 10673317] (d) Ratner BD, Bryant SJ. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2004; 6:41–75. [PubMed: 15255762] (e) Vasita R, Shanmugam K, Katti DS. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2008; 8:341–353. [PubMed: 18393896]
- 2. (a) Borkenhagen M, Clemence JF, Sigrist H, Aebischer PJ. Biomed. Mat. Res. 1998; 40:392–400. (b) Rezania A, Healy KE. Biotech. Progress. 1999; 15:19–32.(c) Gilbert M, Shaw WJ, Long JR, Nelson K, Drobny GP, Giachelli CM, Stayton PS. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275:16213–16218. [PubMed: 10748043] (d) Venugopal J, Low S, Choon AT, Ramakrishna S. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 2008; 84B:34–48.
- 3. (a) Drumheller PD, Elbert DL, Hubbell JA. Biotech. and Bioeng. 1994; 43:772–780.(b) Neff JA, Caldwell KD, Tresco PA. J. Biomed. Mat. Res. 1998; 40:511–519.(c) Tong YW, Shoichet MS. J. Biomat. Sci. – Polymer Ed. 1998; 9:713–729.(d) Ostuni E, Grzybowski BA, Mrksich M, Roberts CS, Whitesides GM. Langmuir. 2003; 19:1861–1872.
- 4. (a) Palmer AG III, Williams J, McDermott A. J. Phys. Chem. 1996; 100:13293–13310.(b) Gardner KH, Kay LE. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struc. 1998; 27:357–406.(c) Bechinger B, Kinder R, Helmle M, Vogt TCB, Harzer U, Schinzel S. Biopolymers. 1999; 51:174–190. [PubMed: 10516570]
- 5. Degrado WF, Lear JD. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:7684–7689.
- 6. Long JR, Oyler N, Drobny GP, Stayton PS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:6297–6303. [PubMed: 12033857]
- 7. (a) Mermut O, Phillips DC, York RL, McCrea KR, Ward RS, Somorjai GA. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:3598–3607. [PubMed: 16536533] (b) Phillips DC, York RL, Mermut O, McCrea KR, Ward RS, Somorjai GA. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2007; 111:255–261.
- 8. Batchelder LS, Sullivan CE, Jelinski LW, Torchia DA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1982; 79:386– 389. [PubMed: 6952191]
- 9. Benedetti, C. In: Goodman, M.; Meienhofer, J., editors. Proceedings of the Fifth American Peptides Symposium; New York: Wiley; 1977. p. 257-274.(b) Janin J, Wodak S, Levitt M, Maigret B. J. Mol. Biol. 1978; 125:357–386. [PubMed: 731698]
- 10. (a) Chi SC, Coi SC. Langmuir. 2005; 21:11765–11772. [PubMed: 16316112] (b) Du Q, Freysz E, Shen YR. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1994; 72:238–241. [PubMed: 10056094]
- 11. (a) Watry MR, Richmond GL. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2002; 106:12517–12523.(b) Ward RN, Davies PB, Bain CD. J. Phys. Chem. 1993; 97:7141–7143.
- 12. (a) Jelinski LW, Torchia DA. J. Mol. Biol. 1980; 138:255–272. [PubMed: 7411609] (b) Jelinski LW, Sullivan CE, Torchia DA. Nature. 1980; 284:531–534. [PubMed: 7366722] (c) Jelinski LW, Sullivan CE, Batchelder LS, Torchia DA. Biophys. J. 1980; 10:515–529. [PubMed: 7248459]



#### **Figure 1.**

(a) Structure of d7Leu, with deuterated sites highlighted in yellow. (b) End-on view of LKα14 helical structure with residues labeled.



#### **Figure 2.**

<sup>2</sup>H NMR spectra (black lines) and simulations (red lines, b-f only) of (a) free LK $\alpha$ 14, d7Leu8; polystyrene-adsorbed LKα14 samples labeled at (b) L5, (c) L8, (d) L11, (e) L14; (f) L8 bound to carboxyl-functionalized gold nanoparticles.



#### **Figure 3.**

(a) Simulation of polycrystalline d<sub>7</sub>Leu. (b) Two-site jump model with  $10^{\circ}$  half-angle cone motion used for simulations.

*J Am Chem Soc*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 9.



**Figure 4.**

SFG spectra of COOH-functionalized gold surfaces alone (lower trace) and after adsorption of LKα14 (upper trace).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

**Table 1**



 $\dot{\omega}$ 

