Kinetic Characterization of Lipid II-Ala:Alanyl-tRNA Ligase (MurN) from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* **using Semisynthetic Aminoacyl-lipid II Substrates***³

Received for publication, July 29, 2008, and in revised form, September 15, 2008 Published, JBC Papers in Press,October 8, 2008, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M805807200

Gianfranco De Pascale‡§**, Adrian J. Lloyd**§ **, James A. Schouten**‡ **, Andrea M. Gilbey**§ **, David I. Roper**§ **, Christopher G. Dowson**§ **, and Timothy D. H. Bugg**‡1

From the Departments of ‡ *Chemistry and* § *Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*

MurM and MurN are tRNA-dependent ligases that catalyze the addition of the first (L-Ala/L-Ser) and second (L-Ala) amino acid onto lipid II substrates in the biosynthesis of the peptidoglycan layer of *Streptococcus pneumoniae***. We have previously characterized the first ligase, MurM (Lloyd, A. J., Gilbey, A. M., Blewett, A. M., De Pascale, G., El Zoeiby, A., Levesque, R. C., Catherwood, A. C., Tomasz, A., Bugg, T. D., Roper, D. I., and Dowson, C. G. (2008)** *J. Biol. Chem.* **283, 6402– 6417). In order to characterize the second ligase MurN, we have developed a chemoenzymatic route to prepare the lipid II-Ala and lipid II-Ser substrates. Recombinant MurN enzymes from penicillin-resistant (159) and -sensitive (Pn16)** *S. pneumoniae* **were expressed and purified as MBP fusion proteins and reconstituted using a radiochemical assay. MurN ligases from strains 159 and Pn16 both showed a 20-fold higher catalytic efficiency for lipid II-L-Ala over lipid II-L-Ser, with no activity against unmodified lipid II, and similar kinetic parameters were measured for MurN from penicillin-resistant and penicillin-sensitive strains. These results concur with the peptidoglycan analysis of** *S. pneumoniae***, in which the major cross-link observed is L-Ala-L-Ala. The combined action of ligases MurM and MurN is therefore required in order to rationalize the high level of dipeptide cross-links in penicillin-resistant** *S. pneumoniae***, with ligase MurM showing the major difference between penicillin-resistant and penicillin-sensitive strains.**

The peptidoglycan layer of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and other Gram-positive pathogens is cross-linked between Lys at position 3 of its pentapeptide side chain -L-Ala- γ -D-Glu-L-Lys- $D-Ala-D-Ala$ $(2-4)$. In Gram-negative organisms, there are direct links between *meso*-diaminopimelic acid at position 3 and the fourth position D-Ala of a second pentapeptide chain (5). Some Gram-positive bacteria contain direct cross-links

between L-lysine and D-alanine, but many Gram-positive bacteria contain a further peptide cross-link comprising one or more amino acids (3, 6). The composition of such branched peptidoglycan peptide cross-links varies between bacterial species, as shown in Table 1 (2, 7).

The addition of the branched peptide cross-link usually occurs at the stage of lipid intermediate II (although it occurs on UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide in *Weissella viridescens* (8)). Residues are added sequentially to the ϵ -amino terminus of L-lysine, in the opposite direction to that of protein synthesis (9–13). The addition of the amino acid residues of the crosslink is catalyzed by membrane-associated ligases, which utilize aminoacyl-tRNAs as substrates (7, 13).

The genetic determinants of branched wall structure in *S. pneumoniae* are the *murM* and *murN* genes (14, 15). MurM catalyzes the addition of L-Ala or L-Ser, whereas the addition of the second L-Ala is catalyzed by MurN (16). *S. pneumoniae* cell walls contain a mixture of directly linked (unbranched) and indirectly linked (branched) peptidoglycan, but the *murMN* genes are not essential, since direct cross-links can be formed (7, 15, 17). However, these enzymes do have a role in the phenotype of penicillin resistance, since inactivation of *murMN* leads to a loss of penicillin resistance (16, 17). Clinical strains of penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* require for the high level resistance phenotype 1) the presence of specific *murMN* sequences, responsible for dipeptide cross-link formation and 2) specific modified penicillin-binding protein sequences (16–20). However, certain laboratory *S. pneumoniae* strains containing resistant *murMN* alleles do not show penicillin resistance, since they lack high affinity penicillin-binding proteins (35).

The characterization of *S. pneumoniae* MurM ligases from a highly penicillin-resistant strain (159) and penicillin-susceptible strain (Pn16) has been recently carried out by Lloyd *et al.*(1), using enzymatically synthesized lipid II substrate (1, 21–23). The markedly different branching phenotype displayed by *S. pneumoniae* Pn16 and 159 is rationalized *in vitro* by the much higher specific activity of Mur M_{159} over Mur $M_{p_{n16}}$ with pneumococcal alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} and the higher activity with alanyl $tRNA^{A1a}$ than with seryl- $tRNA^{Ser}$ (1).

In order to better understand the molecular basis of penicillin resistance caused by MurM and MurN, we wished to kinetically characterize the second ligase MurN in two clinical isolates of *S. pneumoniae*, one highly penicillin-resistant (159) and the other penicillin-sensitive (Pn16). In order to reconstitute

^{*} This work was supported by Medical Research Council Grant G0400848, Wellcome Trust Grant 066443, a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council studentship, the Medical Research Fund (University of Warwick), and the European Community Framework 6 COBRA network. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

[□]**^S** The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains supplemental Table [S1](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) and Figs. [S1](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) and [S2.](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) ¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 44-2476-573018; Fax:

^{44-2476-524112;} E-mail: T.D.Bugg@warwick.ac.uk.

TABLE 1

Composition of branched peptidoglycan peptide cross-bridges in Gram-positive organisms (2, 7)

^a D-Aspartate or D-asparagine.

the MurN-catalyzed reaction, we have developed a chemoenzymatic method to prepare the aminoacyl-lipid II substrate for MurN, and we report the specificity of recombinant MurN for lipid II-Ala *versus* lipid II-Ser substrates.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide Biosynthesis and Purification— Details of preparation and purification of the UDP-MurNAcpentapeptide are reported in Ref. 1.

Synthesis of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ala)—To 2 ml of 80% (v/v) acetonitrile in water were added 17.2 mg (90 μ mol) of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride, 6.9 mg (60 μ mol) of *N*-hydroxysuccinimide, 1.9 mg (10 μ mol) of *N*-(ethylsulfite)-morpholine, and 7.5 mg (24 μ mol) of L-alanine-Fmoc. The pH was adjusted to 5.0 if needed. After 20 min of stirring at room temperature, 100 μ l of 20 mm UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (2.3 mg) in 500 mm NaHCO₃ (pH 10.0) were added. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, followed by the addition of 100 μ of ethanolamine and further incubated for 20 min before the addition of 100 μ l of piperidine. After 30 min of incubation, 18 ml of $H₂O$ were added, and the solution was filtered with a nitrocellulose syringe filter $(0.20 - \mu m)$ pore size). The UDP-MurNAchexapeptide (L-Ala) synthesis was achieved in 66% yield. The filtrate product was freeze-dried and stored at -20 °C.

Synthesis of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ser)—The synthesis was conducted as for UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide $(L-Ala)$, except that the incubations contained 7.9 mg (24 μ mol) of L-serine-Fmoc instead of L-alanine. The UDP-MurNAchexapeptide (L-Ser) synthesis was achieved in 61% yield.

Purification of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides—To isolate UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide products, the crude filtrates were resuspended in 100 ml of 10 mm ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, and loaded onto a Source 30Q column (26 \times 120 mm; Amersham Biosciences) equilibrated in 10 mm ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, and the column was developed with an ammonium acetate gradient from 0 to 300 mm ammonium acetate over 7 column volumes at 15 ml min⁻¹. UDP-MurNAc-peptide elution was followed at 254 nm. The UDP-MurNAc-peptide peak was collected, freeze-dried four times to remove trace amounts of the buffer, dissolved in water, and stored at -20 °C. Purification was achieved in 95% yield.

Synthesis of Lipid II-L-Ala and Lipid II-L-Ser—In order to form lipid II hexapeptide substrates, 30μ mol of UDP-GlcNAc (Sigma), 2.5 μ mol of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide, 2.5 μ mol of undecaprenyl phosphate (Larodan Fine Chemicals AB), and 4.5

mg of *Micrococcus flavus* membranes protein in 0.1 M Tris, pH 8, 5 mm $MgCl₂$, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100 in a final volume of 1.5 ml was incubated at 37 °C for 3 h. The lipids were extracted and purified by DEAE-cellulose anion exchange chromatography, as described in Ref. 21. Synthesis of lipid precursor was confirmed by TLC on silica and by negative ion electrospray-mass spectrometry as in Ref. 21.

Escherichia coli Strains and Plasmids—Details of *E. coli* strains and plasmids in this study are indicated in the [supple](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1)[mental](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) materials.

S. pneumoniae Strains and Isolation of Pneumococcal DNA— Details of *S. pneumoniae* strains and isolation methods of genomic DNA are described in Refs. 19 and 24.

Protein Analytical Methods—SDS-PAGE, protein assay, and Western blotting for histidine tags were performed according to Refs. 25 and 26.

Cloning, Overexpression, and Purification of MurN from S. pneumoniae—The murN genes from *S. pneumoniae* Pn16 and 159 strains were cloned into the expression vector pBADM-41 to allow expression of MurN fused to a maltose-binding protein $(MBP)^2$ with N-terminal hexahistidine tag and C-terminal tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site (27). The same primers were designed to amplify both alleles (Table [S1\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1). The initial start codon of *murN* was absent in *murN-Nco1 Fw* primer, and the *murN* stop codon was present in the MBP*murN-Xho1 Rv* primer. The *murN* genes were amplified from *S. pneumoniae* Pn16 and 159 genomic DNA using Pfx polymerase (Invitrogen). The primers and conditions are detailed in Table [S1.](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) Amplified products were purified, restricted with NcoI and XhoI, and ligated into similarly restricted pBADM-41 as described in Refs. 26 and 28. Clones carrying the recombinant $m u r N_{159}$ and $m u r N_{\text{Pn16}}$ genes were verified by sequencing, and one correct clone was retained for expression of each protein (pBADM-41:: $mu rN_{159}$ and pBADM-41:: $mu rN_{\text{Pn16}}$).

To overexpress the MurN proteins, 1-liter cultures of *E. coli* TB1, harboring either pBADM-41::*murN*₁₅₉ or pBADM- 41 ::*murN*_{Pn16} in LB plus 50 μ g/ml ampicillin were grown at 37 °C to A_{600} of 0.7, when *murN* expression was induced by 0.04% (w/v) L-arabinose. *E. coli* cells were harvested after 4 h, and the cell pellets were washed at $4 °C$ in 50 mm HEPES, 1 mm MgCl₂, pH 7.5, and 2 mm β -mercaptoethanol. The cells were lysed by sonication on ice and clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 \times g. The supernatant was then transferred in fresh tubes and centrifuged at 50,000 \times g at 4 °C. The subcellular fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and MBP-MurN₁₅₉ and MBP- $MurN_{Pn16}$ were present in the soluble fraction.

 N -His₆-MBP-MurN₁₅₉ and *N*-His₆-MBP-MurN_{Pn16} were purified from 50,000 \times g supernatant by nickel-Sepharose affinity chromatography. 5 ml of prepacked nickel-Sepharose columns (GE Healthcare) were equilibrated in 50 mm HEPES, pH 7.5, 250 mm NaCl, 1 mm MgCl₂, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 5 mM imidazole (HEPES buffer A) and were loaded with soluble

² The abbreviations used are: MBP, maltose-binding protein; EDC, 1-ethyl-3- (3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide; NHS, *N*-hydroxysuccinimide; MOPS, 3-(*N*-morpholino)propane sulfonic acid; CHAPS, 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonic acid; TEV, tobacco etch virus; Fmoc, *N*-(9-fluorenyl)methoxycarbonyl.

FIGURE 1. **Synthesis of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ala) from UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide.** Reagents and conditions were as follows: acetonitrile, EDC, NHS, L-alanine-Fmoc, pH 5.0, 20 min (*a*); UDP-MurNAcpentapeptide, NaHCO3, pH 10.0,3h(*b1*); piperidine, 30 min (*b2*).

cell lysates. N -His₆-MBP-MurN fusion proteins were then eluted isocratically by 50 mm HEPES, pH 7.5, 250 mm NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 500 mM imidazole. The collected fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Peak fractions were dialyzed overnight at 4 °C into 50 mm Tris, pH 8.0, 25 mm NaCl, 1 mm MgCl₂, and 5% (v/v) glycerol. MBP-MurN₁₅₉ and MBP-MurN_{Pn16} were then further purified by anion exchange chromatography using a Source 30Q column (Amersham Biosciences). The column was developed with a sodium chloride gradient between 25 mM and 1 M sodium chloride in 50 mm Tris, pH 8.0, 25 mm NaCl, 1 mm MgCl₂, and 5% (v/v) glycerol using over 20 column volumes. Fractions containing MBP-MurN₁₅₉ and MBP-MurN_{Pn16} were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. After two purification steps, the amount of MBP-MurN for a liter of culture was 5 mg/liter of culture for MBP-Mur N_{Pn16} and 4 mg/liter of culture for MBP-Mur N_{159} .

TEV Protease Cleavage—To attempt cleavage of MurN from its MBP fusion protein, the TEV protease cleavage reaction was carried out overnight at 4 °C in 50 mm Tris, pH 8.0, 25 mm NaCl, 1 mm MgCl₂, and 5% (v/v) glycerol. A ratio of 1:25 TEV/MBP-MurN was used, usually 4μ g of TEV and 100 μ g of MBP-MurN in 1 ml. The cleavage reactions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

MurN Radiochemical Assay—To follow the transfer of label from [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} and [³H]seryl-tRNA^{Ser} to the peptidogylcan precursor, the assay mix was typically in a final volume of 30 μ l routinely containing 50 mm MOPS, pH 6.8, 30 mm KCl, 10 mm $MgCl₂$, 1.5% (w/v) CHAPS, 1 mm dithiothreitol, 1 mm L-alanine, lipid substrate (as indicated under "Results," between 5 and 200 μ _M), MurN (as indicated under "Results," between 5 and 50 nm). Reactions were initiated by (unless otherwise indicated) the addition of 0.45 μ M [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} (prepared as reported in Ref. 1) and were incubated at 37 °C for times specified below (although initial rate data were usually taken from the first 4 min of reaction). Reactions were terminated at the appropriate time by the addition of ice-cold 30 μ l of 6 M pyridinium acetate, pH 4.5, and 60 μ l of ice-cold *n*-butyl alcohol. The incubations were rapidly mixed and centrifuged for 5 min at 4 ° C at 13,000 \times *g*, after which the *n*-butyl alcohol phase was washed with 70 μ l of water and then assayed for [3H]scintillation counting in 5 ml of Optiphase scintillation mixture (HiSafe' 2 from PerkinElmer Life Sciences). To follow generation of ³H-serylated lipid precursors, exactly the same procedure was followed, except that the 1 mm alanine and $0.45 \mu M$ [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} were replaced with 1 mm serine and 0.45 μ M [³H]seryl-tRNA^{Ser} (prepared as reported in Ref. 1).

Kinetic Data Analysis—Nonlinear regression analyses of dependences of MurN initial velocity on substrate concentration were performed using GraphPad Prism 4 software.

RESULTS

Preparation of Lipid-linked Cell

Wall Precursors—In order to study the enzymology of MurN, access to its natural substrates (lipid II-L-Ala or lipid II-L-Ser) was required. These substrates were synthesized using a chemoenzymatic route. UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-y-D-Glu-L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala was synthesized enzymatically, as described by Lloyd *et al.* (1), and then chemically coupled with N-terminally protected L-alanine or L-serine to generate UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ala or L-Ser) (Fig. 1), which was then converted to lipid II-L-Ala or lipid II-L-Ser using *M. flavus* membranes (21).

Synthesis of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides—The protocol to attach L-alanine or L-serine on the ϵ -NH₂ of L-lysine of the UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-y-D-Glu-L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala (Fig. 1) was based on a carbodiimide coupling reaction using 1-ethyl-3-(3 dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC) and promoted by *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) (29). EDC reacts with a carboxyl group on Fmoc-L-Ala or Fmoc-L-Ser, forming an amine-reactive *O*-acylisourea intermediate. The addition of NHS forms a water-soluble active ester, which is amine-reactive but more stable than the *O*-acylisourea EDC adduct, thus increasing the efficiency of EDC-mediated coupling reactions (29). The Fmoc group was then deprotected using piperidine.

To maximize the efficiency of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide formation, the pH, the incubation time, the concentrations of coupling reagent, and the protected amino acid were optimized. The activation of the protected amino acid with EDC/ NHS was found to be optimal at pH 5.0, whereas the reaction of the resulting NHS ester with the amine of L-Lys of the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide was favored at higher pH, at which the ϵ -NH₂ of L-Lys (p K_a 10.3) is more deprotonated. For this reason, the reaction was performed in two stages, first the activation of the protected amino acid was carried at pH 5.0, followed by the addition of UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide in sodium carbonate at pH 10.0. The coupling reaction time was varied from 30 min up to 24 h, where 3 h has been established to be the optimum time. The same timedependent profile was obtained for the addition of Fmoc-L-Ser to the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide.

In order to achieve a good yield, a large excess of coupling reagents and protected amino acid was necessary. It was found that the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide must be free of

FIGURE 2. UDP-MurNAc-Hexapeptide purification and characterization by mass spectrometry. Shown is purification of UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-y-D-Glu-L-Lys(e-L-Ala)-D-Ala-D-Ala (*a*) and UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-y-D-Glu-L-Lys(e-L-Ser)-D-Ala-D-Ala (*b*) by Source 30Q anion exchange. Also shown is negative ion electrospray mass spectrum of UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala-y-D-Glu-L-Lys(e-L-Ala)-D-Ala-D-Ala (*c*) (*m/z* ratio of 609.25 for the [M $-$ 2H]²⁻ ion and 405.82 for the ${\rm [M-3H]^3}^-$ ion) and UDP-MurNAc-L-Ala- γ -D-Glu-L-Lys(e-L-Ser)-D-Ala-D-Ala (*d*) (*m*/*z* ratio of 1235.42 for the [M $-$ H]⁻ ion and 617.23 for the [M $-$ 2H]^{2–} ion). Methods are described under "Experimental Procedures."

ammonium acetate, due to the possible reaction of the ammonia with the activated amino acid. For this reason, some batches of UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide were further purified by gel filtration. The best results were obtained using 45 eq of EDC, 30 eq of NHS, and 12 eq of protected amino acid with respect to the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide, with yields in the range $60 - 65\%$.

Purification and Characterization of UDP-MurNAchexapeptides—The UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides were purified by Source 30Q anion exchange chromatography, using a 0–300 mM ammonium acetate gradient. The UDP-Mur-NAc-hexapeptide (L -Ala) was eluted at 195 mm NH₄OAc (Fig. 2*a*). The UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ser) was eluted at 205 mm NH₄OAc, with a small amount of unreacted UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (Fig. 2*b*). The peaks containing UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides (L-Ala/L-Ser) were freezedried four times to remove trace amounts of the buffer and then dissolved in water.

The UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides were analyzed by negative ion electrospray mass spectrometry. Electrospray MS/MS fragmentation was used to verify the position where L-Ala or L-Ser was attached to the peptide chain. The mass spectrum for UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ala) showed

 m/z 609.25 for the $[M - 2H]^{2-}$ ion (calc. 609.20) and 405.82 for the $[M - 3H]^{3-}$ ion (calc. 405.80), shown in Fig. 2*c*. The mass spectrum for UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ser) showed m/z 1235.42 for the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ion (calc. 1235.38) and 617.23 for the $[M - 2H]^{2-}$ ion (calc. 617.19), shown in Fig. 2*d*. The fragmentation patterns confirm that L-Ala/L-Ser are attached to the ϵ -NH₂ of L-lysine of the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (supplemental Figs. [S1](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1) and [S2\)](http://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/M805807200/DC1). No contamination by UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide was observed.

Synthesis of Lipid II-L-Ala and Lipid II-L-Ser—The UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides (L-Ala/L-Ser) were converted into lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser using a membrane preparation of *M. flavus*, supplemented with undecaprenyl phosphate and the appropriate UDP-activated amino sugars, using the method of Breukink *et al.* (21). Lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser were purified on a DEAE-cellulose column, using the method of Breukink *et al.* (21), and were analyzed by thin layer chromatography (Fig. 3). The lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser products were analyzed by negative ion electrospray mass spectrometry. For lipid II-L-Ala, peaks were observed at *m*/*z* 1945.03 ([M H]⁻ ion, calc. 1945.09), 972.05 ([M - 2H]²⁻ ion, calc. 972.04), and 647.70 ($[M - 3H]^{3-}$ ion, calc. 647.69), as shown in Fig. 3*c*. For lipid II-L-Ser, peaks were observed at *m*/*z* 980.50 ([M

FIGURE 3. **Branched lipid purification and characterization by mass spectrometry.** Shown is purification of lipid II-L-Ala (*a*) and lipid II-L-Ser (*b*) by DEAE-cellulose chromatography as described under "Experimental Procedures." The TLC plates show the collected fractions at different percentages of buffer B (chloroform, methanol, 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (2:3:1)) in buffer A (chloroform/methanol/water (2:3:1)). *a*, *lane 1*, buffer A wash; *lane 2*, 15% buffer B wash; *lane 3*, 20% buffer B wash; *lane 4*, 100% buffer B wash. *b*, *lane 1*, buffer A wash; *lane 2*, 20% buffer B wash; *lane 3*, 100% buffer B wash. Silica gel TLC plates developed in chloroform, methanol, water, ammonia (88:48:10:1). Shown are negative ion electrospray mass spectra of lipid II-L-Ala (*c*) $(m/z$ ratio of 1945.03 determined for the $[M - H]$ ion, 972.05 determined for the $[M - 2H]$ ² ion, and 647.70 determined for the $[M - 3H]$ ³ ion) and lipid II-L-Ser (*d*) (*m/z* ratio 980.50 determined for the [M 2H]2 ion and 653.34 determined for the [M 3H]3 ion). Methods are described under "Experimental Procedures."

 $2H^{2-}$ ion, calc. 980.54) and 653.34 ([M - 3H]³⁻ ion, calc. 653.36) as shown in Fig. 3*d*. The yield after purification was 45–50% based on UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides, in batches of about 2 mg of lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser from each reaction.

Overexpression and Purification of MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16}— To overexpress MurN from *S. pneumoniae* 159 and Pn16, *murN* genes were cloned into several expression vectors: (i) pET-33b to allow expression of MurN fused to a C-terminal hexahistidine tag (30); (ii) pMW172 to allow expression of MurN without a tag (31); (iii) pET33-MurN to allow expression of the MurM fused to MurN and a C-terminal hexahistidine tag; (iv) pBADM-41 (32) to allow expression of MurN fused to N -His₆-MBP with TEV protease cleavage site between the proteins. Small scale expression trials were performed using four *E. coli* expression strains: BL21Star (DE3), B834 (DE3), C41 (DE3), and TB1 harboring plasmid for rare tRNA (pRare or pRareII, Novagen) or for chaperones (pGKJE8; Takara) (33, 34). The expression of MurN was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting, except the expression of pMW172-*murN* that was not analyzed by Western blotting due to the absence of any tag. The only construct giving expression was pBADM-41-MurN into *E. coli* expression host TB1.

TABLE 2

Demonstration of MurN activity with aminoacyl tRNA from *S. pneumoniae* **Pn16 and 159 and comparison between tRNA isolated from** *S. pneumoniae* **and** *M. flavus*

The incubation time for these assays was 40 min in 30 ml of 25 mm lipid II-L-Ala or lipid II-L-Ser, 25 nm MurN 159 or Pn16, 0.45 mm *M. flavus* [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} (6183 cpm/assay; 458 cpm/pmol), or 0.45 mm *S. pneumoniae* [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} (6210 cpm/assay; 460 cpm/pmol). Butanol-1-extracted counts/min are averages of duplicate incubations that varied by \leq 10%. Background was 9 cpm.

 $MBP-MurN₁₅₉$ and MBP-MurN_{Pn16} were purified from the soluble fraction using a nickel-Sepharose column, followed by Source 30Q anion exchange chromatography. The yield of purified protein was 5 mg of MBP-MurN_{Pn16}/liter of culture and 4 mg of MBP-MurN₁₅₉/liter of culture, judged to be $>$ 95% purity by SDS-PAGE.

In order to generate native MurN, the MBP fusion proteins were successfully cleaved with TEV protease. However, attempts to separate the cleaved MurN from MBP using affinity chromatography, anion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, or ammonium sulfate precipitation were unsuccessful, suggesting a tight association between MurN and MBP. Possibly, MurN is stabilized by protein-protein interaction, which might explain the earlier difficulties in expressing MurN. *In vivo* MurN may interact with MurM; however, attempts to co-express MurM and MurN gave no improved expression (data not shown). Due to the difficulties in separating MurN from MBP, the full-length $MBP-MurN₁₅₉$ and MBP-Mur N_{Pn16} fusion protein were used to characterize MurN activities in vitro, since subsequent assays verified that the MBP-MurN fusion proteins were fully active. The specific activities of the purified MurN fusion proteins with lipid II-L-Ala were 8.7 and 11.0 nmol $\text{min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$, respectively, for MBP-Mur N_{Pn16} and MBP-Mur N_{159} .

Kinetic Characterization of MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16}—The MurN assay follows the transfer of radiolabel from [3H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} to the peptidoglycan precursor. The assay consisted of two steps; first the labeled amino acid was charged on the respective tRNA, and then the purified [3H]aminoacyl-tRNA was incubated with MurN and lipid II-Ala/Ser, and the [³ H]-lipid II-dipeptide was extracted into *n*-butyl alcohol.

MurN Dependence upon tRNA for the Transfer of L-Alanine to Lipid II-L-Ala and Lipid II-L-Ser—To determine if MurN depended on tRNA for the acylation of lipid II-L-Ala and lipid-II-L-Ser, the precharged [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} from *S. pneumoniae* and *M. flavus* were tested with MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16}, using the vastly enhanced solubility of lipid II species in *n*-butyl alcohol relative to [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} to separate the [³H]acyltRNA substrate from the ³H-acylated lipid II product.

Mur N_{159} catalyzed the transfer of $[^3H]$ alanyl groups from *S*. pneumoniae^{[3}H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} to lipid II-L-Ala and lipid-II-L-Ser, as evidenced by the incorporation of 81 and 74% of the $^3\mathrm{H}$ added to the assay, into *n*-butyl alcohol-soluble material in the complete incubation (Table 2). In control experiments without $\rm{MurN_{159}}$ or lipid II-L-Ala, only 3% of the $^3\rm{H}$ added to the incubation was accumulated into *n*-butyl alcohol-extractable products, demonstrating the essential requirement of alanyltRNA^{Ala} for MurN activity. Similar results were obtained with lipid II-L-Ser (Table 2). To demonstrate the requirement of MurN for the tRNA portion of the [3H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} substrate, complete reactions were treated with 0.1 mg ml^{-1} RNase A, which reduced incorporation of ³H into lipid products to 3%, comparable with control values obtained without lipid II-L-Ala/L-Ser or Mur N_{159} (Table 2). Similar results were obtained with $MurN_{Pn16}$ (Table 2). No detectable differences in MurN activity emerged using *M. flavus* alanyl-tRNAs or *S. pneumoniae* alanyl-tRNAs. For example, the incorporation percentage of ³ H added to the assay into *n*-butyl alcoholsoluble material in the MurN Pn16 reaction with lipid II-L-Ala was 82% using *S. pneumoniae* tRNAs and 80% using *M. flavus* tRNAs (Table 2). Therefore, *M. flavus* tRNA was used to characterize MurN activity *in vitro* due to the convenience of tRNA from *M. flavus*.

Comparison of the Activity of Full-length and Cleaved MBP-MurN—It was not possible to separate MurN from MBP after TEV protease cleavage. The full-length MBP-MurN and the cleaved MBP-MurN were assayed to determine if the TEV protease cleavage affects the activity of MurN. We also assayed, as control, only MBP in order to verify that the observed aminoacyl ligase activity was only due to the action of MurN. A time course experiment was performed with 20 nm full-length MBP-MurNs, a 20 nm concentration of the cleaved version, and 40 nM MBP. From these experiments, no difference between the full-length MBP-MurN species and the cleaved fusion protein was observed, and MBP control did not show any aminoacyl ligase activity. Therefore, the full-length MBP-Mur N_{Pn16} and MBP-Mur N_{159} have been used to fully characterize MurN activity.

FIGURE 4. **Kinetics of dependence of MurN₁₅₉ (a) and MurN_{pn16} (b) activity on lipid substrates. The initial velocity (V_o) is plotted** *versus* **[lipid II-L-Ala/L-Ser].** Data were fitted by nonlinear regression to the Michaelis-Menten equation, using GraphPad Prism 4 software.

TABLE 3

Kinetic parameters for MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16}

The specific activities were calculated from initial rates (10 mM branched lipid at 37 °C, methods described under "Experimental Procedures"). The kinetic constants were determined according to the Michaelis-Menten equation. Fitting data was performed by nonlinear regression, using GraphPad Prism 4 software.

MurN Substrate Specificity Studies—Felipe *et al.* (14, 16, 35) demonstrated that MurN adds an alanine residue to a previously acylated stem peptide. To correlate this *in vivo* finding with the enzymatic properties of MurN, we assayed MurN activity with lipid II and seryl- $tRNA^{Ser}$.

In order to determine if lipid II, the substrate of MurM, was also a MurN substrate, the activity of this enzyme was assayed between 0 and 250 μ M lipid II. The assays were incubated for 6 min at 37 °C, using 50 nm $Murn_{159}$ and 0.6 μ M [³H]alanyltRNAAla from *M. flavus*. No MurN activity was detected using lipid II as a substrate. A time course experiment (from 2 to 120 min, at 200 μ M lipid II and 50 nM MurN 159) was performed in case the MurN reaction with lipid II was particularly slow. However, even under these conditions, it was not possible to detect any MurN activity with lipid II as substrate. Similar results were obtained with Mur N_{Pn16} . These data were consistent with the *in vivo* behavior of this enzyme.

MurN was tested with [³H]seryl-tRNA^{Ser} from *S. pneumoniae* in order to determine if MurN could attach serine to lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser. A time course experiment (from 5 to 60 min) was performed, using 50 nM MurN 159 or Pn16, 100 μ M lipid II-L-Ala, and 0.5 μ M [³H]seryl-tRNA^{Ser} from *S*. pneumoniae. The MurN 159 and Pn16 activity with [³H]seryltRNA^{Ser} were equal to the minus MurN control, indicating the complete absence of the addition of L-serine to lipid II-L-Ala by MurN. Similar results were obtained with lipid II-L-Ser as substrate. Also, these data were consistent with the *in vivo* behavior of this enzyme.

Dependence of MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16} Activity on Branched Lipid Substrates—In order to determine whether there was any preference displayed by $MurN₁₅₉$ and $MurN_{Pn16}$ toward lipid II-L-Ala or lipid II-L-Ser, both peptidoglycan precursors were tested as MurN substrates in the *n*-butyl alcohol extraction assay. The assays were performed for 4 min at 37 °C with 0.5 μ M [³H]alanyl-tRNA^{Ala} from *M. flavus* and 25 nM MurN₁₅₉ or 35 nM Mur N_{Pn16} in a final volume of 30 μ l. The lipid II-L-Ala/ L-Ser concentrations were varied from 0 to 200 μ M.

Dependences of MurN₁₅₉ and MurN_{Pn16} on lipid II L-Ala or lipid II L-Ser were hyperbolic and were fitted by nonlinear regression to the Michaelis-Menten equation (Fig. 4). $MurN₁₅₉$ utilized lipid II L-Ala as substrate more efficiently than lipid II $\text{\sc l-Ser. Comparison of}$ $k_{\text{\sc cat (app)}}/K_{m(\text{app})}$ for lipid II \sc l-Ala and lipid II L-Ser (Table 3) suggested that the lipid II L-Ser substrate reduced its catalytic efficiency 20-fold. Likewise, $MurN_{Pn16}$ displayed a preference for lipid II L-Ala as substrate over lipid II L-Ser. The MurN_{Pn16} catalytic efficiency $(k_{\text{cat(app)}}/K_{m(\text{app})})$ was 11-fold higher for lipid II L-Ala than lipid II L-Ser (Table 3). No differences in activity were observed between $MurN₁₅₉$ and $MurN_{Pn16}$; the two enzymes were comparable in terms of catalytic efficiency with each substrate (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

MurN is an aminoacyl ligase that adds alanine as the second amino acid of a dipeptide branch to the stem peptide lysine of the pneumococcal peptidoglycan (14, 16). Studies in whole pneumococcal cells suggested that the addition of the dipeptide

branch does not occur in the cytoplasmic steps of peptidoglycan biosynthesis (as it does in *W. viridescens* (8, 36, 37)) but in the lipid-linked stages, indicating that lipid II-L-Ala or lipid II-L-Ser might be the likely substrate for MurN (17).

The MurN gene product shares 26% sequence identity with *Staphylococcus aureus* FemA, which catalyzes the addition of the second and third glycine residues in the branched muropeptide of *S. aureus* (38, 39). Disruption of the *femA* gene abolishes methicillin resistance in this organism (40). The Fem-ABX proteins have a requirement for aminoacyl-charged tRNAs as substrates for the nonribosomal peptide bond formation of the pentaglycine bridge (40). The functional and sequence similarity of MurN to these proteins suggested that it also uses aminoacyl-tRNAs as substrates.

We have recently reported the reconstitution and kinetic characterization of MurM from penicillin-resistant and penicillin-sensitive *S. pneumoniae* (1). The reconstitution and kinetic characterization of both MurM and MurN therefore provides a better understanding of the peptide bridge biosynthesis in *S. pneumoniae*.

We have developed and optimized for the first time a chemoenzymatic method to prepare the substrates lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser, using a carbodiimide coupling onto the most reactive ϵ -NH₂ group of L-lysine. Using this method, it was possible to prepare 10–12 mg of UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptide (L-Ala/L-Ser) per reaction with a yield between 40 and 65%. This synthesis is versatile, and other amino acid (glycine) or dipeptides (L-Ala-L-Ala and L-Ser-L-Ala) were also successfully attached on the ϵ -NH₂ of L-lysine of the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (data not shown). The UDP-MurNAc-hexapeptides (L-Ala/L-Ser) were successfully converted into lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser by *M. flavus* membranes, using the method of Breukink *et al.* (21). This method could, in principle, be applied to the synthesis of a variety of lipid II-peptide conjugates, which will be very useful to study the enzymology of the FemABX/ MurMN ligase family, and for future work on penicillin-binding proteins from Gram-positive bacteria containing indirect peptidoglycan cross-links.

MurN from *S. pneumoniae* 159 and Pn16 strains could be expressed as MBP-MurN fusion proteins, but attempts to separate cleaved MurN from MBP were unsuccessful, suggesting a tight association between MurN and MBP. *In vivo* MurN probably interacts with MurM, as suggested by the work on *S. aureus* FemX, FemA, and FemB (13, 41), and this phenomenon may reflect the high affinity between MurN and MBP.

This is the first reconstitution of an aminoacyl-tRNA ligase with a modified lipid II-X substrate, the Fem ABX ligases having been reconstituted together (13). This allows an assessment of kinetic parameters and the substrate specificity of each ligase enzyme. MurN requires an aminoacyl-tRNA substrate for the transfer of L-alanine to lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser, as found by Lloyd *et al.* for MurM (1), but tRNA from *M. flavus* could be conveniently used in place of *S. pneumoniae* tRNA.

 $MurN₁₅₉$ and $MurN_{Ph16}$ do not use lipid II as substrate *in vitro*. This result is consistent with the *in vivo* observation that the peptidoglycan of *S. pneumoniae* MurM null mutant (Pen6 Δ *murM*) showed no branched structured stem peptides, thus MurN cannot utilize the MurM substrate (17).

Serine could not be transferred *in vitro* from [³H]seryl $tRNA^{Ser}$ to lipid II L-Ala and lipid II L-Ser by Mur $N₁₅₉$ and $MurN_{pn16}$. This result is in accordance with the cell wall analysis in *S. pneumoniae*, where only alanine has been found in position 2 of the branched peptide stem (1, 14).

It is not clear whether the amino acid selectivity of MurN was due to specific interaction with the tRNA or the amino acid moiety. Recent studies on *S. pneumoniae* MurM (1) and *W. viridescens* FemX (8) have shown that these enzymes primarily recognize only the acceptor stem and $\rm T \Psi C$ loop of tRNA and that other regions of the tRNA are not required for the peptidyltransferase activity (1, 8). In addition, as previously described, MurN uses tRNAs from *M. flavus* as well as the *S. pneumoniae* tRNA. These results could lead to the hypothesis that the tRNA is essential for activity but not for amino acid selectivity, but further studies are required.

No significant differences in kinetic parameters were observed between recombinant MurN from *S. pneumoniae* 159 and Pn16 strains (see Table 3). This result is entirely consistent with the relative absence of polymorphism in the *murN* gene, which is highly conserved and shows little sequence variation between resistant and susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains (MurN 159 and Pn16 differ in only three amino acids: R212Q, E115Q, and S225T). A low level of divergence (between 1 and 2%) also emerged from the comparison of *murN* genes from clinical isolates and laboratory strains of *S. pneumoniae*. The absence of polymorphism in the *murN* gene could also explain the invariable addition of alanine to the second position of the peptide cross-link in *S. pneumoniae*.

Both MurN enzymes show a kinetic preference for lipid II L-Ala over lipid II L-Ser as substrate: a 20-fold and 11-fold difference in catalytic efficiency for $MurN₁₅₉$ and $MurN_{Pn16}$, respectively (Table 3). In the resistant strain 159, this preference matches that of MurM₁₅₉, which is 7-fold more active with alanyl-tRNA A1a than with seryl-tRNA^{Ser} (1). This result therefore rationalizes the peptidoglycan analysis of *S. pneumoniae* 159 strain, in which the majority of the peptidoglycan is branched and the predominant cross-link is L-Ala-L-Ala (1). In the sensitive strain Pn16, Mur M_{Pn16} is slightly more active with seryl-tRNA^{Ser} (1); however, MurN_{Pn16} has a somewhat higher catalytic efficiency with lipid II L-Ser as substrate than Mur- M_{Pn16} . Therefore, it is likely that M_{Pn16} would be able to convert the proportion of lipid II L-Ser generated by Mur M_{Pn16} , which rationalizes the presence of a proportion of L-Ser-L-Ala cross-links in sensitive strains.

The biochemical characterization of MurM and MurN therefore confirms and rationalizes the earlier genetic observations (14–18), giving a better understanding of the pneumococcal stem-peptide biosynthesis. Ligase MurM is selective for the addition of the first amino acid (alanine or serine) to the lipid II in position 3, and ligase MurN adds only alanine as the second amino acid to lipid II-L-Ala and lipid II-L-Ser. MurM enzymes from penicillin-resistant and -sensitive *S. pneumoniae* strains have different amino acid selectivity and specific activity; in contrast, the two MurN enzymes do not show any significant kinetic differences. This is in accordance with the presence of extensive sequence polymorphism in the *murM* gene, which is absent in the *murN* gene, and the relative abundance of

branched peptidoglycan in those strains. In conclusion, MurM is clearly the major determinant in the occurrence and sequence of the dipeptide cross-link, and the role of MurN is to complete the biosynthesis of the dipeptide initiated by MurM.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. T. Clarke (Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick) for assistance with UDP-Mur-NAc-peptide synthesis and Dr. A. Blewett (Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick) for assistance with aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase cloning and purification.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lloyd, A. J., Gilbey, A. M., Blewett, A. M., De Pascale, G., El Zoeiby, A., Levesque, R. C., Catherwood, A. C., Tomasz, A., Bugg, T. D., Roper, D. I., and Dowson, C. G. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 6402–6417
- 2. Bugg, T. D. (1999) in *Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry* (Pinto, M., ed) pp. 241–294, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Oxford
- 3. Ghuysen, J. M. (1968) *Bacteriol. Rev.* **32,** 425–464
- 4. Schleifer, K. H., and Kandler, O. (1972) *Bacteriol. Rev.* **36,** 407–477
- 5. Glauner, B., Holtje, J. V., and Schwarz, U. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* **263,** 10088–10095
- 6. Ghuysen, J. M., Bricas, E., Lache, M., and Leyh-Bouille, M. (1968) *Biochemistry* **7,** 1450–1460
- 7. Bouhss, A., Josseaume, N., Allanic, D., Crouvoisier, M., Gutmann, L., Mainardi, J. L., Mengin-Lecreulx, D., van Heijenoort, J., and Arthur, M. (2001) *J. Bacteriol.* **183,** 5122–5127
- 8. Hegde, S. S., and Blanchard, J. S. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 22861–22867
- 9. Hegde, S. S., and Shrader, T. E. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 6998–7003
- 10. Matsuhashi, M., Dietrich, C. P., and Strominger, J. L. (1965) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **54,** 587–594
- 11. Petit, J. F., Strominger, J. L., and Soll, D. (1968) *J. Biol. Chem.* **243,** 757–767
- 12. Plapp, R., and Strominger, J. L. (1970) *J. Biol. Chem.* **245,** 3667–3674
- 13. Schneider, T., Senn, M. M., Berger-Bachi, B., Tossi, A., Sahl, H. G., and Wiedemann, I. (2004) *Mol. Microbiol.* **53,** 675–685
- 14. Filipe, S. R., Pinho, M. G., and Tomasz, A. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 27768–27774
- 15. Filipe, S. R., Severina, E., and Tomasz, A. (2000) *J. Bacteriol.* **182,** 6798–6805
- 16. Filipe, S. R., Severina, E., and Tomasz, A. (2001) *Microb. Drug Resist.* **7,** 303–316
- 17. Filipe, S. R., Severina, E., and Tomasz, A. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 39618–39628
- 18. Filipe, S. R., and Tomasz, A. (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97,** 4891–4896

Enzymology of the Aminoacyl Ligase MurN

- 19. Barcus, V. A., Ghanekar, K., Yeo, M., Coffey, T. J., and Dowson, C. G. (1995) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **126,** 299–303
- 20. Smith, A. M., and Klugman, K. P. (2001) *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **45,** 2393–2396
- 21. Breukink, E., van Heusden, H. E., Vollmerhaus, P. J., Swiezewska, E., Brunner, L., Walker, S., Heck, A. J., and de Kruijff, B. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 19898–19903
- 22. El Zoeiby, A., Sanschagrin, F., Havugimana, P. C., Garnier, A., and Levesque, R. C. (2001) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **201,** 229–235
- 23. Reddy, S. G.,Waddell, S. T., Kuo, D.W.,Wong, K. K., and Pompliano, D. L. (1999) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121,** 1175–1178
- 24. Whatmore, A. M., Barcus, V. A., and Dowson, C. G. (1999) *J. Bacteriol.* **181,** 3144–3154
- 25. Lloyd, A. J., Brandish, P. E., Gilbey, A. M., and Bugg, T. D. (2004) *J. Bacteriol.* **186,** 1747–1757
- 26. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Ed., New York
- 27. Kapust, R. B., Tozser, J., Fox, J. D., Anderson, D. E., Cherry, S., Copeland, T. D., and Waugh, D. S. (2001) *Protein Eng.* **14,** 993–1000
- 28. Promega (1996) *Protocols and Applications Guide*, 3rd Ed., Promega, Madison, WI
- 29. Staros, J. V., Wright, R. W., and Swingle, D. M. (1986) *Anal. Biochem.* **156,** 220–222
- 30. Studier, F. W., and Moffatt, B. A. (1986) *J. Mol. Biol.* **189,** 113–130
- 31. Way, M., Pope, B., Gooch, J., Hawkins, M., andWeeds, A. G. (1990) *EMBO J.* **9,** 4103–4109
- 32. Lee, S. K., and Keasling, J. D. (2005) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71,** 6856–6862
- 33. Nishihara, K., Kanemori, M., Kitagawa, M., Yanagi, H., and Yura, T. (1998) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **64,** 1694–1699
- 34. Nishihara, K., Kanemori, M., Yanagi, H., and Yura, T. (2000) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66,** 884–889
- 35. Filipe, S. R., Severina, E., and Tomasz, A. (2002) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99,** 1550–1555
- 36. Biarrotte-Sorin, S., Maillard, A. P., Delettre, J., Sougakoff, W., Arthur, M., and Mayer, C. (2004) *Structure* **12,** 257–267
- 37. Maillard, A. P., Biarrotte-Sorin, S., Villet, R., Mesnage, S., Bouhss, A., Sougakoff, W., Mayer, C., and Arthur, M. (2005) *J. Bacteriol.* **187,** 3833–3838
- 38. Rohrer, S., Ehlert, K., Tschierske, M., Labischinski, H., and Berger-Bachi, B. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96,** 9351–9356
- 39. Tschierske, M., Mori, C., Rohrer, S., Ehlert, K., Shaw, K. J., and Berger-Bachi, B. (1999) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **171,** 97–102
- 40. Berger-Bachi, B., Barberis-Maino, L., Strassle, A., and Kayser, F. H. (1989) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **219,** 263–269
- 41. Rohrer, S., and Berger-Bachi, B. (2003) *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47,** 837–846

