The nucleotide sequence of the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA from spinach

Nicholas Delihas[†], Janet Andersen[†], H.Mae Sprouse⁺ and Bernard Dudock⁺

[†]Departments of Microbiology and ⁺Biochemistry, School of Medicine, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Received 6 April 1981

ABSTRACT

This sequence can be fitted to the secondary structural model proposed for prokaryotic 5S ribosomal RNAs by Fox and Woese (1). However, the lengths of several single- and double-stranded regions differ from those common to prokaryotes. The spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA is homologous to the 5S ribosomal RNA of Lemma chloroplasts with the exception that the spinach RNA is longer by one nucleotide at the 3' end and has a purine base substitution at position 119. The sequence of spinach chloroplast 5S RNA is identical to the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA gene of tobacco. Thus the structures of the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNAs from some of the higher plants appear to be almost totally conserved. This does not appear to be the case for the higher plant cytoplasmic 5S ribosomal RNAs.

INTRODUCTION

This paper reports the nucleotide sequence of the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA from <u>Spinacia oleracia</u>. The chloroplast 5S RNA can be fitted to the structural model for prokaryotic 5S RNAs of Fox and Woese (1) but the chloroplast RNA differs with respect to the lengths of the single- and doublestranded regions. The nucleotide sequence of the chloroplast 5S RNA of spinach is identical to that of the 5S ribosomal RNA gene from tobacco chloroplasts (2) and is nearly identical to the chloroplast 5S RNA of duckweed (3). In contrast to the remarkable homology between some of the higher plant chloroplast 5S RNAs, the 5S RNAs of the higher plant cytoplasmic ribosomes appear to be less homologous (4-6).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Isolation and Nucleotide Sequence Analysis of Chloroplast 5S Ribosomal RNA. Chloroplasts were purified from freshly harvested spinach, total RNA

© IRL Press Limited, 1 Falconberg Court, London W1V 5FG, U.K.

was extracted from the chloroplasts and purified by DEAE cellulose column chromatography as described (7). Spinach chloroplast 5S RNA was obtained by a three step chromatographic procedure involving BD-cellulose column chromatography at pH 7.5, followed by RPC-5 column chromatography at pH 4.5 and RPC-5 column chromatography at pH 7.5. These procedures produced highly purified chloroplast 5S RNA which ran as a single band on a 20% polyacrylamide gel in 7 M urea and was free of tRNAs and cytoplasmic 5S RNA. The chloroplast origin of this RNA was verified by hybridization to spinach chloroplast DNA, performed as described (7).

The nucleotide sequence of the spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA was determined by three methods: RNA sequencing gels using 5' and 3' $[^{32}P]$ labeled 5S RNA (8), partial formamide hydrolysis (9) and mobility shift analysis (10-12).

RESULTS

The three methods of nucleotide sequence analyses employed provided overlapping and confirming data throughout the molecule and allowed us to unambiguously determine the complete nucleotide sequence of the spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA. A secondary structural model constructed according to that proposed for prokaryotic 5S RNAs by Fox and Woese (1) is shown in Figure 1 along with a model of the 5S RNA of the cyanobacterium <u>Anacystis</u> <u>nidulans</u> (13). Large stretches of homology in single- and double-stranded regions between these two molecules are indicated in Figure 1. The structure of the spinach cytoplasmic 5S ribosomal RNA (5) is also shown in Figure 1. This RNA has features typical of eukaryotic 5S ribosomal RNAs (14) and has few homologies in primary or secondary structure to that of the chloroplast 5S RNA.

DISCUSSION

The spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA can be folded to fit the secondary structural model proposed for prokaryotes by Fox and Woese (1) (Fig. 1) but there are deviations from the prokaryotic structures in the lengths of the single- and double-stranded regions. For example, in the common arm single-stranded region, the prokaryotic RNAs have a chain length of 13 nucleotides whereas the chloroplast RNAs have 12. The prokaryotic common arm stem is almost universally 4 base pairs in length in contrast to the 6 base pairs that can be formed in the chloroplast 5S RNAs. Also, the stem of the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA from spinach is 12 base pairs in length whereas the



Figure 1. Secondary structural models of 5S ribosomal RNAs.

A. Spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA. B. 5S ribosomal RNA from <u>Anacystis nidulans</u>. C. Spinach cytoplasmic 5S ribosomal RNA. The lines drawn around the sequence in A. denote large stretches of homology between spinach chloroplast and <u>Anacystis nidulans</u> 5S RNAs.

stem length is 9-10 base pairs in prokaryotes. Nevertheless, close homologies in nucleotide sequences can be seen when comparing the primary structures of the 5S RNAs from <u>Anacystis nidulans</u> and chloroplasts (13). A comparison of the structures of the 5S RNA from spinach chloroplasts and the 5S RNA from <u>Anacystis nidulans</u> shows large stretches of nucleotide sequence in both single- and double-stranded regions that are homologous (Fig. 1). The universal prokaryotic sequence of the common arm CCGAAC is also present in chloroplast 5S RNAs. In contrast to the spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA, the cytoplasmic 5S ribosomal RNA from spinach has the primary and secondary structural features typical of eukaryotic 5S RNAs (5).

Most prokaryotic 5S ribosomal RNAs have hexanucleotide palindromic sequences in the 3' half of the molecule. In <u>E. coli</u> and other Gram-negative bacteria (species of <u>Bacillus</u>, <u>Clostridium pasteurianum</u> and <u>Mycobacterium</u> <u>smegmatis</u>) the hexanucleotide palindromic sequence AUGGUA is present between positions 70-80 (15). In other organisms, the palindromic sequences are as follows: <u>Anacystis nidulans</u>, G₇₀CAACG, <u>Thermus aquaticus</u>, G₉₁UCCUG and in <u>Halobacterium cutirubrum</u>, C₁₁₁GCCGC. The spinach and duckweed chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNAs and the tobacco chloroplast 5S RNA gene also have a palindromic sequence: U₇₉AGGAU. The significance of these palindromic sequences is not known, but their common occurrence in prokaryotic and chloroplast 5S RNAs may denote an important functional capacity.

The nucleotide sequence of the spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA is identical to that of the 5S ribosomal RNA gene from tobacco chloroplasts (2). The spinach chloroplast 5S RNA is homologous to the 5S RNA from duckweed chloroplasts except that the spinach RNA has a guanine residue at position 119 where the duckweed RNA has adenine. Also, the spinach 5S RNA is 122 nucleotides in length whereas the duckweed is 121. No divergences in singlestranded regions of the chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNAs sequenced to date have been found.

The high degree of homology between some of the higher plant chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNAs contrasts with a lower degree of homology between the cytoplasmic 5S ribosomal RNAs. Wheat embryo and spinach cytoplasmic 5S RNAs vary by six base changes (4,5). More base substitutions appear to be evident in duckweed, rye and other higher plant cytoplasmic 5S RNAs (3,6), however, these sequences need to be reevaluated (4,5). It is possible that there are more constraints on changes of the 5S ribosomal RNA genes of chloroplasts relative to that of the nuclear genes.

Although the higher plant chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNAs appear to be

identical or nearly identical in structure, this may not be the case with the lower eukaryotic chloroplast 5S RNAs. Little homology was found between the <u>Euglena</u> chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA gene and spinach chloroplast 5S ribosomal RNA in DNA hybridization tests (16). The nucleotide sequences of the lower eukaryotic 5S ribosomal RNAs, both cytoplasmic and chloroplast RNAs, should prove to be interesting when compared with those of the higher plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank H.K. Namimatso for the spinach leaves, H.J. Vreman for the chloroplast isolations and M. Kashdan for the hybridization experiments. This investigation was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM-20052), (RR-05736) and (GM-25254) and the National Science Foundation (7922751).

REFERENCES

- 1. Fox, G.E. and Woese, C.R. (1975) Nature 256, 505-507.
- 2. Takaiwa, F. and Sugiura, M. (1980) Molec. gen. Genet. 180, 1-4.
- 3. Dyer, T.A. and Bowman, C.M. (1979) Biochem. J. 183, 595-604.
- 4. Mackay, R.M., Spencer, D.F., Doolittle, W.F. and Gray, M.W. (1980) Eur. J. Biochem. <u>112</u>, 561-576.
- Delihas, N., Andersen, J., Sprouse, H.M., Kashdan, M. and Dudock, B. in press, J. Biol. Chem.
- 6. Payne, P.I. and Dyer, T.A. (1976) Eur. J. Biochem. 71, 33-38.
- Kashdan, M.A., Pirtle, R.M., Pirtle, I.L., Calagan, J.L., Vreman, H.J. and Dudock, B.S. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. <u>255</u>, 8831-8835.
- Donis-Keller, H., Maxam, A.M. and Gilbert, W. (1977) Nucleic Acids Res. 4, 2527-2538.
- 9. Stanley, J. and Vassilenko, S. (1978) Nature 274, 87-89.
- Pirtle, R., Kashdan, M., Pirtle, I. and Dudock, B. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. <u>8</u>, 805-815.
- Sanger, F., Donelson, J.E., Coulson, A.R., Kossel, H. and Fischer, D. (1973) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70. 1209-1213.
- Silberklang, M., Prochiantz, A., Haenni, A.-L. and RajBhandary, U.L. (1977) Eur. J. Biochem. <u>72</u>, 465-478.
- 13. Corry, M.J., Payne, P.I. and Dyer, T.A. (1974) FEBS Lett. 46, 63-66.
- 14. Luehrsen, K.R. and Fox, G.E. (1981) in press, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.
- 15. Erdmann, V.A. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, r25-r42.
- 16. Bohnert, H.J. and Gordon, K.H.J. (1980) Molec. gen. Genet. 179, 539-545.