Association of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ -catenin with the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ -subunit of **neuronal large-conductance Ca2-activated K channels**

F. Lesage*†, H. Hibino*‡, and A. J. Hudspeth§

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10021

Contributed by A. J. Hudspeth, November 19, 2003

The association of Ca2-activated K channels with voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels at the presynaptic active zones of hair cells, photo**receptors, and neurons contributes to rapid repolarization of the** membrane after excitation. Ca²⁺ channels have been shown to **bind to a large set of synaptic proteins, but the proteins interacting** with Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels remain unknown. Here, we report that the large-conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel of the chick**en's cochlear hair cell interacts with β-catenin. Yeast two-hybrid** assays identified the S10 region of the K⁺ channel's α -subunit and the ninth armadillo repeat and carboxyl terminus of β -catenin as **necessary for the interaction. An antiserum directed against the** α -subunit specifically coprecipitated β -catenin from brain synaptic **proteins.** β-Catenin is known to associate with the synaptic protein **Lin7VelisMALS, whose interaction partner Lin2CASK also binds voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels.** β **-Catenin may therefore provide a physical link between the two types of channels at the presynaptic active zone.**

The hair cell, the sensory receptor of the internal ear, releases neurotransmitter at presynaptic active zones studding its basolateral membrane surface (for a review, see ref. 1). Examination of hair cells by loose-seal patch recording demonstrated that each active zone bears a cluster of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} (Ca_V) channels and Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ (K_{Ca}) channels (2–4). When CaV channels are activated by a hair cell's depolarization in response to acoustical stimulation, the resultant Ca^{2+} influx not only triggers synaptic-vesicle release but also opens K_{Ca} channels. The efflux of $K⁺$ through these channels causes a rapid repolarization that plays a key role in the electrical oscillation that tunes some hair cells (5) and in fast inhibitory synaptic transmission (6). Nerve terminals of the central and peripheral nervous system, including those at the neuromuscular junction, use a similar mechanism to effect repolarization in anticipation of the next action potential (7–11). The presynaptic colocalization of K_{Ca} channels with Ca_V channels is thus indispensable for normal electrical signaling by excitatory cells.

Electrophysiological and molecular-biological analyses have shown that hair cells express L-type Cav channels and largeconductance (BK or Maxi) K_{Ca} channels (12–15) that contain, respectively, α_{1D} -subunits (16–18) and *slowpoke* (*slo*) α - and *slo* β-subunits (19–22). In hippocampal neurons, both large- and small-conductance K^+ channels occur in presynaptic regions and are associated, respectively, with L- and N-type Ca_{V} channels (7, 23). The Ca_{V} channels at the presynaptic active zone form a protein complex with several anchoring proteins (24–26), such as Mint-1, CASK, and Rab3-interacting molecule (RIM) binding proteins (RBP). In *Drosophila*, two cytosolic regulatory proteins, Slob and dSLIP, and two protein kinases, Src and PKAc, are able to assemble with the $s\ell$ α -subunit (27–29). In vertebrates, however, only kinases, such as Src and Syk, have been reported to interact with this subunit (30–32). To seek other proteins that associate with K_{Ca} channels and mediate their presynaptic targeting, we used yeast two-hybrid screening to search a cDNA library made from sensory epithelia of the chicken's cochlea.

Materials and Methods

GST Fusion Proteins. DNA fragments corresponding to amino acid residues 1117–1325 of chicken RBP2 were amplified by PCRs and ligated into the pGEX-4T-1 expression vector (Amersham Biosciences). After the BL21 strain of *Escherichia coli* had been transformed with this vector, expression of the fusion protein was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl thiogalactopyranoside for 3–4 h at 37°C. Bacteria were lysed by sonication in 1% Triton X-100 and 1 mM EDTA and a mixture of protease inhibitors (Roche Biochemicals) in PBS solution (Invitrogen). After centrifugation, lysates were recovered and the fusion proteins were purified on glutathione-Sepharose.

GST Pull-Down Assays. GST pull-down assays were performed as reported (25). Synaptic plasma membranes obtained from chicken brains by a one-step preparation method were solubilized in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors and were then centrifuged at $100,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. Solubilized protein (100 μ g) was incubated overnight at 4° C with 30 μ l of glutathione-Sepharose beads bound to 5 μ g of purified fusion protein. Samples were washed four times at room temperature with 0.05% Triton X-100 in PBS. The material retained on the beads was then eluted with sample buffer solution and analyzed by SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-chicken *slo* antiserum.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Screens. Yeast two-hybrid screening was performed with the GAL4 system as described (25, 33). DNA encoding the bait, which consisted of residues 680–1146 from the cytoplasmic carboxyl terminus of the α -subunit from the chicken's *slo* (*cslo*) channel (20), was combined by the PCR in frame with the DNA-binding domain of GAL4 and subcloned into the pBDGal4 vector (Stratagene). A sensory epithelial cDNA library of 10⁷ clones was constructed in the pADGal4 prey vector from basilar papillae of late-embryonic chickens and screened with the *cslo* bait. Positive clones were selected by histidine prototrophy and assayed for β -galactosidase activity. Doubly positive clones were isolated and characterized by sequencing. For the mapping of interaction sites between the $s\n *lo*$ α -subunit and β -catenin, additional baits of the cytoplasmic domain of the s lo α -subunit and additional preys of β -catenin were generated by PCR amplification.

Abbreviations: CaV, voltage-gated Ca2;*slo*,*slowpoke*; *cslo*, chicken*slo*; KCa, Ca2-activated K⁺; RIM, Rab3-interacting molecule; RBP, RIM-binding protein.

^{*}F.L. and H.H. contributed equally to this work.

[†]Current address: Institut de Pharmacologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 6097, 660 Route des Lucioles, F-06560 Valbonne, France.

[‡]Current address: Department of Pharmacology II, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hudspaj@rockefeller.edu.

^{© 2003} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Antisera. A polyclonal rabbit antiserum directed against the α -subunit of the *cslo* channel was raised against a hexahistidine fusion protein corresponding to the protein's residues 1117–1325 and affinity-purified against the corresponding GST-*cslo* fusion protein transferred onto nitrocellulose. Antisera directed against murine *slo*, β -catenin, synaptotagmin/p65, Rab3, and RIM1 were purchased from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY). Antisera against *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor 1, SNAP-25, and synaptophysin were obtained from Affinity Bioreagents (Golden, CO) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Antisera against the Ca²⁺ channel's α_{1D} -subunit and RBP2 were generated as reported (25).

Coimmunoprecipitation. Synaptic membrane proteins were solubilized in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors and were then centrifuged at $100,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. Soluble proteins were incubated overnight at 4°C with affinity-purified anti-*cslo* antiserum covalently bound to protein A-Sepharose beads. The beads were washed four times with 0.05% Triton X-100 in PBS. Purified proteins were resolved by SDS/PAGE and probed with anti-murine *slo* or anti-βcatenin antiserum.

Subcellular Fractionation and Solubility Analysis. Subcellular fractionation and solubility analysis of chicken brain proteins were performed as described previously (25, 34).

Results

 K_{C_a} channels are colocalized with C_{av} channels at the presynaptic active zones of internal-ear hair cells (1), retinal photoreceptors (35), peripheral nerve terminals (7–11), and neurons in the central nervous system (7, 23). We therefore sought a physical link between the two types of channels in native tissues. Because we had observed that RBPs interact with Ca_V channel α_{1B} (Ca_v2.2) and α_{1D} (Ca_v1.3) subunits (25), we constructed a GST-fusion protein containing the second and third Srchomology 3 domains of chicken RBP2 (GST:RBP2) and inquired whether the protein complex that associated with it also included large-conductance K_{Ca} channels. Functional K_{Ca} channels are composed of $s\nmid o$ α - and β -subunits. We prepared a specific antiserum against the α -subunit and analyzed the proteins that bound to the fusion protein by immunoblotting. GST:RBP2 precipitated the chicken's $s\n *ko*$ α -subunit from brain synaptic proteins (Fig. 1). As reported (25), the precipitants included the α_{1B} - and α_{1D} -subunits of Ca_V channels (data not shown). Because direct interaction did not occur *in vitro* between the $s\n$ α -subunit and either RBP2 or RIM2, it seemed probable that unknown proteins provided a link between the two channels.

To seek molecules that bind the $cslo$ α -subunit, we screened a cDNA library of chicken sensory epithelia by the yeast two-hybrid technique (25, 33) with a bait comprising 467 amino acid residues from the channel's carboxyl terminus. We obtained three independent positive colonies, each of which encoded a carboxyl-terminal fragment of chicken β -catenin (Fig. 2*A*).

To map the interaction sites between β -catenin and the *cslo* α -subunit, we conducted additional two-hybrid experiments with deletion mutants (Fig. 2*A*). The constructs catenin K and catenin N, each of which encodes a region extending from the second half of the ninth armadillo repeat to the carboxyl terminus, bound to the carboxyl terminus of the \c{c} α -subunit. Catenin A, which lacked all the ninth armadillo repeat, no longer associated with the α -subunit. Moreover, catenin P, which retained the repeat but lacked the 59 amino acid residues of the carboxyl terminus, did not to bind the α -subunit (Fig. 1A). We therefore concluded that the carboxyl-terminal half of the ninth armadillo repeat and the carboxyl terminus of β -catenin are required for the interaction.

Fig. 1. Large-conductance K_{Ca} channels associate with Src-homology 3 domains of RBP2. The detergent-extracted synaptic fraction of chicken brain proteins was incubated with either a GST fusion protein alone (second lane) or protein containing the Src-homology 3 domains of presynaptic RBP2 (third lane). Proteins bound to glutathione-Sepharose were analyzed by Western blotting with an antiserum directed against the cs lo α -subunit. Solubilized protein (30 μ g) was loaded to the first lane as a positive control for the immunoreactivity of the α -subunit. Because GST:RBP2 interacts with Ca_V channels, the result indicates that the Ca_V and K_{Ca} channels coexist in a protein complex.

We next attempted to map the interacting domain in the *cslo* α -subunit. The potential PDZ domain-binding motif localized at the carboxyl terminus of the α -subunit was not needed for the binding to β-catenin, because *slo*-BΔ11 and *slo*-BΔ84 constructs that lacked, respectively, the final 11 and 84 amino acid residues still interacted with β -catenin. The mutants *slo*-B, *slo-G*, and *slo*-D, which lacked the hydrophobic S9 domain, interacted with β-catenin; the constructs *slo*-C and *slo*-DΔ84, which lacked the S10 domain, were unable to associate. These results imply that the S10 region of the α -subunit's cytoplasmic carboxyl terminus is responsible for the interaction with β -catenin.

We next performed GST pull-down and coimmunoprecipitation experiments on transfected mammalian cells to determine whether the interaction of β -catenin with the *slo* α -subunit is direct. Expressed alone or together with auxiliary β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , or β_4 -subunits in fibroblastic COS or epithelial Madin–Darby canine kidney cells (36), the *slo* α -subunit did not associate with endogenous β -catenin or a GST- β -catenin fusion protein (data not shown). These results suggested that the interaction of the *slo* α -subunit and β -catenin is indirect or that the association depends on the conformational state of one or both proteins.

The S10 domain of the $s\n *lo*$ α -subunit belongs to the so-called "calcium bowl," a region formerly believed to bind Ca^{2+} and to undergo conformational changes during channel activation (ref. 37; see also ref. 38). To test the effect of depolarization or elevated intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration on the interaction, we depolarized transfected cells with a high external $K⁺$ concentration or exposed them to a Ca^{2+} ionophore. Neither condition promoted a stable interaction between the $s\n *lo*$ α -subunit and β -catenin (data not shown). At the same time, overexpression of β -catenin in COS cells transfected with *cslo* α -subunit and the β_1 -subunit did not change the current density, activation threshold, or Ca^{2+} sensitivity of large-conductance K^+ channels (data not shown). We also examined the phosphorylation status of β -catenin. Recently, it was shown that β -catenin accumulates at synaptic sites after depolarization of hippocampal slices, a

Fig. 2. Characterization of the interaction sites of *β*-catenin and the cslo α-subunit by two-hybrid experiments. (A) Using the carboxyl-terminal region of cslo α-subunit as bait, we obtained from a sensory epithelial library three positive clones, each of which represented a part of chicken β-catenin. Five β-catenin fragments were additionally tested with bait constructs to clarify the domains necessary for the interaction with the α -subunit. (B) To map the interaction site in the *cslo* α -subunit, we examined eight fragments in bait vectors with prey clone 7 from *A*. Interaction requires the S10 region of the *cslo* α -subunit and both the carboxyl-terminal half of the ninth armadillo repeat and the carboxyl terminus of *β*-catenin. (*Inset*) Schematic diagram of the s/o channel.

redistribution mimicked by a tyrosine kinase (39). Moreover, the Tyr-654 point mutation of β -catenin alters its distribution; $Y654E$ - β -catenin is concentrated in dendritic shafts, whereas $Y654F- β -catenin accumulates in spines. We coexpressed these$ two mutant forms of β -catenin with the *slo* α -subunit but did not observe any direct interaction (data not shown).

Because our repeated attempts to discriminate between indirect or conformation-dependent interactions were unsuccessful,

we focused on the colocalization and association of β -catenin and the *slo* α -subunit in the brain. To characterize the α subunit's localization, we first prepared subcellular fractions of chicken brain proteins and probed them with antisera directed against a variety of synaptic markers (Fig. 3*A*). We found that the α -subunit, together with RIMs, RBPs, and the α_{1D} -subunit of CaV channels, was enriched in a fraction corresponding to synaptic plasma membranes but not in that containing synaptic

Fig. 3. Large-conductance K_{Ca} channels assemble with β -catenin in the presynaptic active zones of the chicken's brain. (A) Subcellular fractions were prepared as described in *Materials and Methods* and analyzed by Western blotting. In conjunction with other synaptic proteins, the *cslo* α -subunit is enriched in the fraction containing synaptic plasma membranes (second lane from the right). Note that the crude fraction of synaptic vesicles (right lane) contains little of the *cslo* α -subunit, whose distribution pattern resembles those of other constituents of the presynaptic active zone, such as RIMs, RBPs, and the Ca_v channel α_{1D} -subunit. (*B*) In a solubility analysis of synaptic proteins, synaptosomes were extracted with 1% Triton X-100 at the indicated pH levels; equal volumes of soluble and insoluble materials were then analyzed by immunoblotting. Both the cslo α -subunit and the α_{1D} (Cav1.3) Ca²⁺-channel subunit were extracted at pH levels >8, a result compatible with their presynaptic localization. *β*-Catenin is present in both pre- and postsynaptic locations. (C) Brain synaptic proteins were purified on an anti-cslo a-subunit affinity column. The precipitants were then separated by SDS/PAGE, transferred to blots, and detected with antiserum directed against either anti-β-catenin (Left) or anti-slo α-subunit (Right). The result indicates that β-catenin and the slo α-subunit associate in a protein complex.

vesicles (Fig. 3*A*). To separate presynaptic particles and postsynaptic densities, we next extracted brain synaptosomes with 1% Triton X-100 at different pH values (34). As expected for a protein present in the presynaptic specialization, SNAP-25 was present in the pellet at the lowest pH levels but was extracted at pH values >7 . Consistent with its linkage to postsynaptic densities, *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor 1 was found in the insoluble fraction regardless of the pH. The vesicle protein synaptophysin occurred exclusively in the soluble fraction. β -Catenin, which is present at both pre- and postsynaptic membranes (39–42), was found in both the insoluble and the soluble fractions. As reported (25, 34), RIMs and RBPs are presynaptic proteins that remain associated with postsynaptic densities under conditions in which the presynaptic particles are extracted. In these experimental conditions, we observed that the extraction pattern of the $cslo \alpha$ -subunit was almost identical with that of the Ca_v channel's α_{1D} -subunit (Fig. 3*B*). Both channel subunits were extracted at pH levels >8 , a result compatible with their presynaptic localization. Altogether, these results support the colocalization of β -catenin (41), RIMs, RBPS, and the two types of channels at presynaptic active zones in the brain.

We confirmed the close association between β -catenin and the α -subunit *in vivo* by copurification (Fig. 3*C*). An affinity column was used to purify the $s\n *ko*$ α -subunit from solubilized synaptic membranes. The purification was verified by detecting this subunit with a cross-reacting antiserum against the murine s lo α -subunit. Reprobing of the blot with an antiserum directed against β -catenin demonstrated that β -catenin occurred in the same protein complex.

Discussion

PNAS PN

In this study, we have demonstrated that the α -subunit of the *cslo* K^+ channel binds β -catenin in two-hybrid assays and that the two proteins belong to the same protein complex at presynaptic active zones in the brain. β -Catenin has recently been reported to be necessary for relocation of a PDZ-domain anchoring protein, Lin7/Velis/MALS, to an epithelial junction (43). Because β -catenin and Lin7 are both localized in presynaptic terminals $(41, 43)$, β -catenin may play an essential role in recruitment of a variety of molecules to a specific region. For example, the kainate-receptor subunit glutamate receptor 6 is recruited to the synaptic membrane by cadherin–catenin complexes through its interaction with β -catenin (44). Expressed at the basolateral membrane of hair cells (45) , β -catenin may thus direct *cslo* K_{Ca} channels to the presynaptic active zones of those cells and of neurons.

- 1. Hudspeth, A. J. (1997) *Neuron* **19,** 947–950.
- 2. Roberts, W. M., Jacobs, R. A. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1990) *J. Neurosci.* **10,** 3664–3684.
- 3. Issa, N. P. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93,** 9527–9532.
- 4. Issa, N. P. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1996) *J. Neurocytol.* **25,** 257–266.
- 5. Hudspeth, A. J. & Lewis, R. S. (1988) *J. Physiol. (London)* **400,** 275–297.
- 6. Oliver, D., Klocker, N., Schuck, J., Baukrowitz, T., Ruppersberg, J. P. & Fakler, B. (2000) *Neuron* **26,** 595–601.
- 7. Hu, H., Shao, L. R., Chavoshy, S., Gu, N., Trieb, M., Behrens, R., Laake, P., Pongs, O., Knaus, H. G., Ottersen, O. P. & Storm, J. F. (2001) *J. Neurosci.* **21,** 9585–9597.
- 8. Robitaille, R. & Charlton, M. P. (1992) *J. Neurosci.* **12,** 297–305.
- 9. Robitaille, R., Garcia, M. L., Kaczorowski, G. J. & Charlton, M. P. (1993) *Neuron* **11,** 645–655.
- 10. Blundon, J. A., Wright, S. N., Brodwick, M. S. & Bittner, G. D. (1995) *J. Neurophysiol.* **73,** 178–189.
- 11. Wang, Z. W., Saifee, O., Nonet, M. L. & Salkoff, L. (2001) *Neuron* **32,** 867–881.
- 12. Lewis, R. S. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1983) *Nature* **304,** 538–541.
- 13. Hudspeth, A. J. & Lewis, R. S. (1988) *J. Physiol. (London)* **400,** 237–274.
- 14. Art, J. J., Wu, Y. C. & Fettiplace, R. (1995) *J. Gen. Physiol.* **105,** 49–72.
- 15. Zidanic, M. & Fuchs, P. A. (1995) *Biophys. J.* **68,** 1323–1336.
- 16. Kollmar, R., Fak, J., Montgomery, L. G. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94,** 14889–14893.

Other presynaptic proteins have been found to form a complex including β -catenin. CASK, Mint1, and Lin7/Velis/MALS associate tightly in the brain (46). In turn, the Ca_v channel's α_{1B} -subunit that predominates in the presynaptic terminals of brain neurons interacts with CASK and Mint1 (24). Because β -catenin directly binds a PDZ domain of Lin7/Velis/MALS through its carboxyl terminus (43), it is possible that *slo* K_{Ca} channels indirectly associate with Ca_v channels through a physical bridge including β -catenin. Ca_v channels directly bind SNARE proteins, so the large protein complex including the *slo* α -subunit and β -catenin might additionally associate with the exocytotic machinery. Tight colocalization of these different elements would ensure the fast regulation of synaptic transmission. Although large-conductance K_{Ca} channels are colocalized with L-type Ca_v channels in the hair cell, this colocalization does not occur in certain neurons. In hippocampal neurons, for example, large- and small-conductance \bar{K}^+ channels couple with N- and L-type Ca_v channels, respectively (23). Therefore, a divergence of the adaptor proteins that associate with large- or small-conductance K_{Ca} channels may occur *in vivo* and determine the selective targeting and coupling of the channels in a microdomain of the synaptic terminal.

The subcellular localization of β -catenin is dynamically regulated. β -Catenin plays a central role in the developmentally important Wnt signaling pathway (for review, see ref. 47). In this case, the localization of β -catenin is regulated by ligand–receptor interactions at the cell surface. In the brain, the distribution of β -catenin is influenced by neural activity. The resulting change in adhesion molecules with activity may coordinate presynaptic and postsynaptic plasticity by affecting synaptic size and strength (39). As previously reported for the glutamate receptor subunit 6, the association between β -catenin and the *slo* α -subunit may be indirect. A conformation-dependent interaction between the s lo α -subunit and β -catenin may constitute another functional link between electrical activity and synaptic plasticity. Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism of association of the s *lo* α -subunit and β -catenin.

We thank J. D. Lippiat (University of Leicester, Leicester, U.K.) for the gift of pIRES-hslo $\alpha + \beta$ constructs, S. Murase and E. M. Schuman (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena) for β -catenin plasmids, and B. Attali and Y. Mori for helpful comments on the manuscript. During the initial portion of this study, H.H. was supported by Human Frontier Science Program Grant LT0318/1999-B. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant DC00241. A.J.H. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

- 17. Kollmar, R., Montgomery, L. G., Fak, J., Henry, L. J. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94,** 14883–14888.
- 18. Platzer, J., Engel, J., Schrott-Fischer, A., Stephan, K., Bova, S., Chen, H., Zheng, H. & Striessnig, J. (2000) *Cell* **102,** 89–97.
- 19. Navaratnam, D. S., Bell, T. J., Tu, T. D., Cohen, E. L. & Oberholtzer, J. C. (1997) *Neuron* **19,** 1077–1085.
- 20. Rosenblatt, K. P., Sun, Z.-P., Heller, S. & Hudspeth, A. J. (1997) *Neuron* **19,** 1061–1075.
- 21. Ramanathan, K., Michael, T. H., Jiang, G. J., Hiel, H. & Fuchs, P. A. (1999) *Science* **283,** 215–217.
- 22. Ramanathan, K., Michael, T. H. & Fuchs, P. A. (2000) *J. Neurosci.* **20,** 1675–1684.
- 23. Marrion, N. V. & Tavalin, S. J. (1998) *Nature* **395,** 900–905.
- 24. Maximov, A., Südhof, T. C. & Bezprozvanny, I. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 24453–24456.
- 25. Hibino, H., Pironkova, R., Onwumere, O., Vologodskaia, M., Hudspeth, A. J. & Lesage, F. (2002) *Neuron* **34,** 411–423.
- 26. Maximov, A. & Bezprozvanny, I. (2002) *J. Neurosci.* **22,** 6939–6952.
- 27. Schopperle, W. M., Holmqvist, M. H., Zhou, Y., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Griffith, L. C., Keselman, I., Kusinitz, F., Dagan, D. & Levitan, I. B. (1998) *Neuron* **20,** 565–573.
- 28. Xia, X., Hirschberg, B., Smolik, S., Forte, M. & Adelman, J. P. (1998) *J. Neurosci.* **18,** 2360–2369.
- 29. Wang, J., Zhou, Y., Wen, H. & Levitan, I. B. (1999) *J. Neurosci.* **19,** RC4.
- 30. Ling, S., Woronuk, G., Sy, L., Lev, S. & Braun, A. P. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 30683–30689.
- 31. Alioua, A., Mahajan, A., Nishimaru, K., Zarei, M. M., Stefani, E. & Toro, L. (2002) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99,** 14560–14565.
- 32. Rezzonico, R., Schmid-Alliana, A., Romey, G., Bourget-Ponzio, I., Breuil, V., Breittmayer, V., Tartare-Deckert, S., Rossi, B. & Schmid-Antomarchi, H. (2002) *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **17,** 869–878.
- 33. Hibino, H., Pironkova, R., Onwumere, O., Rousset, M., Charnet, P., Hudspeth, A. J. & Lesage, F. (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100,** 307–312.
- 34. Phillips, G. R., Huang, J. K., Wang, Y., Tanaka, H., Shapiro, L., Zhang, W., Shan, W. S., Arndt, K., Frank, M., Gordon, R. E., *et al.* (2001) *Neuron* **32,** 63–77. 35. Stockbridge, N. & Ross, W. N. (1984) *Nature* **309,** 266–268.
- 36. Lippiat, J. D., Standen, N. B., Harrow, I. D., Phillips, S. C. & Davies, N. W. (2003) *J. Membr. Biol.* **192,** 141–148.
- 37. Schreiber, M. & Salkoff, L. (1997) *Biophys. J.* **73,** 1355–1363.
- 38. Piskorowski, R. & Aldrich, R. W. (2002) *Nature* **420,** 499–502.
- 39. Murase, S., Mosser, E. & Schuman, E. M. (2002) *Neuron* **35,** 91–105.
- 40. Uchida, N., Honjo, Y., Johnson, K. R., Wheelock, M. J. & Takeichi, M. (1996) *J. Cell Biol.* **135,** 767–779.
- 41. Benson, D. L. & Tanaka, H. (1998) *J. Neurosci.* **18,** 6892–6904.
- 42. Steinberg, M. S. & McNutt, P. M. (1999) *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **11,** 554–560.
- 43. Perego, C., Vanoni, C., Massari, S., Longhi, R. & Pietrini, G. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19,** 3978–3989.
- 44. Coussen, F., Normand, E., Marchal, C., Costet, P., Choquet, D., Lambert, M., Mege, R. M. & Mulle, C. (2002) *J. Neurosci.* **22,** 6426–6436.
- 45. Warchol, M. E. (2002) *J. Neurosci.* **22,** 2607–2616.
- 46. Butz, S., Okamoto, M. & Südhof, T. C. (1998) *Cell* 94, 773-782.
- 47. Moon, R. T., Bowerman, B., Boutros, M. & Perrimon, N. (2002) *Science* **296,** 1644–1646.

PNAS PNAS PN