

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Inorg Biochem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 February 1.

Published in final edited form as:

J Inorg Biochem. 2012 February ; 107(1): 111–118. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2011.11.004.

PEGylation of Protein-based MRI Contrast Agents Improves Relaxivities and Biocompatibilities

Shunyi Li+,a,b, **Jie Jiang**+,a, **Jin Zou**a, **Jingjuan Qiao**a, **Shenghui Xue**a, **Lixia Wei**a, **Robert** Long^c, Liya Wang^c, Adriana Castiblanco^a, Natalie White^a, Jen Ngo^a, Hui Mao^c, Zhi-Ren **Liu***,a, and **Jenny J. Yang***,a

aDepartment of Chemistry and Biology, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA

^bCollege of Life Sciences, Hubei University, Wuhan, Hubei 430062, China

^cDepartment of Radiology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Abstract

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has emerged as a leading diagnostic technique in clinical and preclinical settings. However, the application of MRI to assess specific disease markers for diagnosis and monitoring drug effect has been severely hampered by the lack of desired contrast agents with high relaxivities, and optimized *in vivo* retention time. We have reported the development of protein-based MRI contrast agents (ProCA1) by rational design of Gd^{3+} binding sites into a stable protein resulting in significantly increased longitudinal (r_1) and transverse (r_2) relaxivities compared to Gd-DTPA. Here, we report a further improvement of protein contrast agents ProCA1 for *in vivo* imaging by protein modification with various sizes of polyethylene glycol (PEG) chain. PEGylation results in significant increases of both r_1 and r_2 relaxivities (up to 200%), and these high relaxivities persist even at field strengths up to 9.4 T. In addition, our experimental results demonstrate that modified contrast agents have significant improvement of *in vivo* MR imaging and biocompatibilities including dose efficiency, protein solubility, blood retention time and decreased immunogenicity. Such improvement can be important to the animal imaging and pre-clinical research at high or ultra-high field where there is an urgent need for molecular imaging probes and optimized contrast agent.

Keywords

Contrast agent; Magnet Resonance Imaging; PEGylation; Relaxivity

Supplementary data:

^{*}**Corresponding Author**, Jenny J. Yang, Centers for Advanced Biotechnology, Department of Chemistry, Georgia State University, 50 Decatur Street, 550 NSC, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA, chejjy@langate.gsu.edu, Fax: 001-404-413-5551, Tel: 001-404-413-5520. +These authors contributed equally to this work.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Supplementary data include protein PEGylation (Fig. S1), FPLC purification (Fig. S2); MS identification (Fig. S3); measurement of water coordination number (Fig. S4); and MR images of mouse injected with Gd-DTPA at 7 T (Fig. S5). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version.

1. Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has unique advantages in capturing 3-dimensional images of living systems with high spatial resolution and without limitations of the depth of tissue/organs and ionized radiation [1–5]. MRI has emerged as a leading diagnostic technique in clinical and preclinical settings [6, 7]. In addition, it allows for non-invasive and repetitive assessment of biological processes with the same living subject at different time points, which significantly reduces both the number of animals required and cost [8– 10]. However, the application of MRI to assess specific disease markers for diagnosis and monitoring drug effects has been severely hampered by the lack of desired contrast agents with high relaxivity, minimal or no toxicity, and optimized *in vivo* retention time [11]. For example, gadolinium-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-DPTA), a current clinically approved MRI contrast agent, has a low relaxivity value of \sim 5 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ and requires an injection dose of up to 0.1 mmol kg^{-1} body weight for clinical application in animals and humans [12]. In addition, Gd-DPTA may not be suitable for some advanced *in vivo* MRI applications due to a high glomerular filtration rate for this small chelate molecule [13, 14]. The non-covalent binding of small chelate to plasma proteins, such as albumin (MS-325), greatly increases the relaxivity with a good MR angiography [15, 16]. There is a strong need to develop MRI contrast agents with improved relaxivity, optimized pharmacokinetics, and application to molecular imaging.

We have reported the development of protein-based MRI contrast agents (ProCA1, previously named as CA1.CD2) by rational design of Gd^{3+} binding sites into a stable protein using amino acid residues as metal coordinating ligands [17]. Our specially designed Gdbinding protein-based contrast agents are different from other reported macromolecular MRI contrast agents, such as Gd-DTPA-based dendrimers, or nano-sized contrast agents that are developed by multiple attachment of an existing small chelator for Gd^{3+} . Our designed protein MRI contrast agent exhibits higher r_1 and r_2 relaxivities compared to Gd-DTPA. Here, we report a further improvement in the design of protein based contrast agents ProCA1 for *in vivo* imaging by protein modification with various sizes of polyethylene glycol (PEG) chain ('PEGylation'). Our experimental results demonstrate that modified contrast agents exhibit significant improvement of *in vivo* MR imaging and biocompatibilities including increases in relaxivities, protein solubility, and blood retention time and decreased immunogenicity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Protein engineering and PEGylation

The designed protein ProCA1 was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cell line. The purification procedures followed the protocols of GST-fusion protein purification using Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare). The GST-tag fused to proteins was cleaved on beads by the addition of thrombin (GE Healthcare). Methyl- PEO_n -NHS esters, the deviant of PEG with different molecular weights of 0.3, 0.6, 2.4, 5, 12 and 20 kDa (Pierce and Jenkem, respectively) were selected to modify the designed protein. PEGylation was carried out in phosphate buffered saline buffer (pH 7.4) with a 1:5 ratio of protein and PEGs. Modified ProCA1s were further purified by FPLC and confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric analysis.

2.2. Metal binding affinity and relaxivity measurement

The Gd^{3+} -binding affinities with ProCA1 and ProCA1-PEG were investigated by competitive assay with the dye Fluo5N (a metal ion indicator, Invitrogen). The fluorescence spectra were collected on a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Photon Technology

International, Inc.) with a 10 mm path length quartz cell at room temperature. The fluorescence intensity at 520 nm was normalized and the apparent constant was estimated by curve-fitting. The dissociation constant was calculated based on the K_d measured in a previous report [17]. Spin-lattice relaxation time T_1 and spin-spin relaxation time T_2 of ProCA1s with various PEG sizes were determined on the selected magnetic field strength (0.47, 1.4, 3.0 and 9.4 T) at 37 °C in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH7.0. The relaxivities, r_1 and r_2 were calculated by the equation $r_i = 10^6 \times (1/T_i - 1/T_c) / [\text{Gd}^{3+}]$, where T_c is the relaxation time of the buffer.

2.3. Coordination water number determination

The number of water ligands coordinated to the Gd^{3+} -ProCA1 complex was determined by measuring Tb³⁺ luminescence decay in H₂O or D₂O. The Tb³⁺ excited-state lifetime was measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Photon Technology International, Inc.) with a 10 mm path length quartz cell at 22 °C. Following excitation at 265 nm with a XenoFlash lamp, Tb^{3+} emission was monitored at 545 nm in both H₂O and D₂O systems. Luminescence decay lifetime was obtained by fitting the acquired data with a monoexponential decay function. A standard curve correlating the difference of rate constants obtained in H₂O and D₂O ($\Delta k_{obs} = k_{H2O} - k_{D2O}$) with water number (q) under our experimental conditions was established by using well-characterized chelators. The water numbers coordinated to the Tb^{3+} -ProCA1s complexes were then obtained by fitting the acquired Δk_{obs} value to the standard curve.

2.4. Immunogenicity and ELISA

Rabbits $(n = 2)$ were intraperitoneally administrated Gd-ProCA1 and its PEGylated variants mixed with or without adjuvant according to the standard protocol. The rabbits were subjected to repeated immunizations over a 3-week interval. Blood samples were examined by ELISA and immunoblotting using polyclonal antibody PabCD2 as positive control and the pre-bleed as negative controls. In the ELISA, the secondary antibody, HRP, was conjugated and reacted with OPD for 5 min. The optical density at 490 nm was measured.

2.5. In vivo imaging

A Varian Inova 4.7 T/200 MHz/33cm horizontal/spectroscopy imaging system with a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Georgia State University was used in the *in vivo* imaging studies. During MR scan, the mice $(20 - 25)$ $g, n = 4$) were under anesthesia with 1.5% isoflurane gas mixture and then positioned and stabilized in the coil. The mice were kept warm with water bath during the scan. The mice were scanned before and after the administration of any contrast agents and kept in the same position. MR images of the whole body were acquired by T_1 - and T_2 -weighted fast spin echo sequences (TR(Repetition time) = 2 s, TE(Echo time) = 0.022 s, and ESP(Echo spacing) = 0.01 s) with field of view of 3×3 cm, matrix of 256×256 , and slice thickness of 1 mm. The Gd-ProCA1-PEGs were prepared at a concentration of 1.2 mM in HEPES buffer, pH7.0. About 100 µL of the designed agent was injected into CD-1 mice via the tail vein each time. ImageJ (Rasbamd, W.S., NIH) was used to quantitatively analyze the MR images. The regions of interest were selected by circling the different parts of organs. Then the signal intensities were calculated and compared.

2.6. Blood retention time assay

The 153Gd^{3+} isotope dilution method was used. All agents were incubated with diluted 153Gd^{3+} at room temperature for 12 h and the free Gd^{3+} was removed by Sephadex G25 desalting column. The CD-1 mice $(20 - 25g, n = 3)$ were executed at different time points after injection of about 100 µL agents at a concentration of 0.12 mM in HEPES

buffer, pH7.0. The Gd^{3+} content in blood and different organs was detected directly by measurement of 153Gd^{3+} radio activities.

2.7. Tumor cell targeting

The SKOV-3, which originates from human breast cancer, has a HER2expression level of about 10^6 /cell. The MBD-MB-231 is a HER2 negative cell line with a HER2 expression level of about 10^3 /cell. ProCA1-P2.4k and ProCA1-affi-P2.4k were incubated with the two kinds of cells at 37 °C for 1 h. Then the cells were washed at least 3 times, 5 min each, with Tris buffer containing glycine and methanol. The primary antibody was generated on rabbit by using ProCA1-affi as antigen. The secondary antibody was FITC conjugated (Invitrogen).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Protein engineering and PEGylation

PEG is an FDA approved non-toxic, non-immunogenic, non-antigenic material which is highly soluble in water. PEGylation has been widely used as a modification method for improving the biomedical properties and pharmacokinetics of therapeutic proteins [18–21]. Fig. 1A shows the modeled structure of the designed contrast agent ProCA1 with Gd^{3+} binding site and the cartoon structure of the secondary and outer sphere water molecules associated with PEG chain.

Bacteria expressed and purified protein ProCA1 was PEGylated with Methyl-PEO_n-NHS esters with different molecular weights of 0.3, 0.6, 2.4, 5, 12 and 20 kDa, respectively, and further purified by FPLC and confirmed by the MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric analysis (Supplementary data, Figs. S1, S2 and S3) with one to three PEG chains attached. First, we confirmed that PEGylated proteins maintain a native structure monitored by Trp fluorescence (Fig. 1B). PEGylated ProCA1 exhibited similar disassociation constants for Gd^{3+} with a 1 to 1 binding ratio to those of ProCA1 (Fig. 2A and Table 1) [17].

3.2. Metal binding affinity, relaxivity, and coordination water number measurement

 Gd^{3+} -binding affinities of PEGylated and native CA1.CD2 were determined by a competition titration with Fluo-5N applied as a $Gd³⁺$ indicator in various chelate-metal buffer systems. The Gd^{3+} -binding affinity of native ProCA1 was determined with an estimated apparent constant K_{app} of 18 \pm 3 μ M (Fig. 2A). The dissociation constant $(K_{d(Gd\text{-}ProCAI)} = 3.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ M})$ was calculated based on the K_d measured in our previous report and the equation below[17]. The Gd^{3+} -binding dissociation constants K_d s of PEGylated ProCA1 variants were measured with the same method and summarized in Table 1.

$$
K_{d2} = K_{app} * \frac{K_{d1}}{K_{d1} + [Fluo - 5N]_T}
$$

Next, we observed that the PEGylation modifications dramatically increased longitudinal and transverse relaxivities of the ProCA1 at different field strengths tested (0.47, 1.4, 3.0 and 9.4 T). The r_1 and r_2 values of ProCA1-PEG0.6k measured at 0.47 T were 39.5 $mM^{-1}s^{-1}$ and 92.5 mM⁻¹s⁻¹, respectively, which is an increase of almost 66% and 110% compared with ProCA1. The r_1 and r_2 values of ProCA1-PEG2.4k increased by 100% and 125%. The r_1 and r_2 values of ProCA1-PEG12k were 83.8 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 100.8 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, an increase of 252% and 130% from ProCA1. By comparison with Gd-DTPA, whose r_1 and r_2 values are less than 10 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ at any magnetic field strength [22,23], the

ProCA1 and PEGylated ProCA1 showed dramatically higher r_1 and r_2 values. ProCA1-PEG12k exhibited 19-fold higher r_1 and r_2 values compared with Gd-DTPA (Fig. 3 and Table 1). PEGylated ProCA1 displayed relaxivities that were even higher than nanoparticle-based contrast agents, whose r_1 is 25.3 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 1.4 T [24]. More interestingly, at high field strength of 9.4 T, ProCA1-PEG2.4k still exhibited great increase of relaxivity values r_1 and r_2 .

The coordination water numbers of both PEGylated and non-PEGylated ProCA1 were measured (Supplementary data, Fig. S4). Table 1 shows that ProCA1 had a hydration number of 2.4. PEGylation resulted in an increase of $0.2 - 0.8$ in hydration number. Subsequently, the hydrodynamic radii of modified ProCA1s were determined by a pulsefield-gradient diffusion NMR, which shows an increase from 16.9 ± 0.2 , to 18.2 ± 1.1 , 19.4 \pm 0.55 and 40.2 \pm 0.2 Å, with 0.6, 2.4 and 12 kDa PEG, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 2B).

3.3. In vivo imaging

Fig. 4 Shows the MR images of ProCA1 injected mouse scanned on 4.7 T at different time points using 3D-gradient echo. The MRI signal of the blood vessel was increased 3 minutes post injection. Kidneys and liver remained enhanced 3 h after injection. The image signals decreased after 24 h, indicating that the contrast agents had been excreted from the body. Gd-DTPA generates an initial contrast in blood within 1 minute and circulation time and contrast last only 10 or less minutes [25]. After 20 minutes, no enhancement is seen (Fig. S5).

PEGylation increased the solubility of protein contrast agents in aqueous solutions 20- to 100-fold, most likely due to the strong hydrophilicity of the PEG chains. Significant increases in the solubility of contrast agents permit us to perform *in vivo* studies. Fig. 8 shows the *T*1-weighted fast spin-echo MR images of the mouse before (left) and 0.5 h after administration (right) of PEGylated Gd-ProCA1 (dose of 4.8 µmol kg⁻¹) through tail vein injection. Significant contrast enhancements were observed in the kidney, liver, and blood vessels by comparison with pre- and post-contrast T_1 weighted images. The injection dose used was 20-fold lower than the Gd-DTPA dose typically used in clinics $(0.1 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1})$.

3.4. In vivo toxicity

The ProCA1 variants also exhibit low *in vivo* toxicity. Metal complexation is a key mediator or modifier of enzyme structure and function. Group IA metals Na^+ and K^+ play important and specific roles that assist function of biological macromolecules [26]. Ca^{2+} plays a pivotal role in the physiology and biochemistry of organisms and the cell [27, 28]. As a result, it is essential to test the chemical properties. Selected metal ion concentrations $(K^+,$ $Na⁺$ and $Ca²⁺$) and enzymatic activity were measured from the collected blood of mice injected with ProCA1 and the PEG variants (Research Animal Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Missouri). The results (Table 2) show that the metal ion concentrations of mice injected with ProCA1-PEGs are at the same level as those injected with saline. In addition, the level of liver enzyme alanine transaminase remains normal for mice administered ProCA1-PEGs compared to those injected with saline. However, kidney enzyme alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was present in lower levels for mice injected with ProCA1-PEGs than those injected with saline. In summary, we note no apparent *in vivo* toxicity of ProCA1 relative to the control group.

3.5. Blood retention and immunogenicity

The effect of PEGylation on blood retention of ProCA1 and its PEGylated variants in mice was examined by immunochemical assays (Fig. 5A) and radioisotopic labelling using ¹⁵³Gd (Fig. 5C). An increase of 7 and 4 times, respectively, for ProCA1.PEG2.4K and

ProCA1.PEG0.6K was observed by comparison with non-PEGylated ProCA1 3 h after injection by immunochemical assay (Fig. 5A). PEGylation significantly increased blood retention time compared to Gd-DTPA from under 3 minutes to over 3 hours [25]. The blood retention time also was increased 4 and 3 times, respectively, for ProCA1.PEG2.4K and ProCA1.PEG12K by comparison with native ProCA1 1 h after injection by ¹⁵³Gd labelling (Fig. 5C). ProCA1-PEG2.4k exhibited the greatest increase in blood retention time.

Additionally, PEGylation was found to reduce the immunogenicity. Gd-ProCA1 and its PEGylated variants mixed with or without adjuvant were administered to rabbits intraperitoneally, and the rabbits were subjected to repeated immunizations over a 3-week interval. Blood samples were examined by ELISA and immunoblotting (Fig. 6) using polyclonal antibody PabCD2 as positive control and the pre-bleed as negative control. Although the addition of adjuvant indeed resulted in a strong immunoresponse, it was clear that without adjuvant, injection of ProCA1 did not lead to significant antibody production even after a double dose of immunization, suggesting that the immunogenicity of the ProCA1 is not strong, especially without addition of adjuvant. Additionally, this immunoresponse was dramatically reduced (~80%) by PEGylation with PEG2.4k. This data is consistent with observations for other protein drugs [20].

We have also created a targeted contrast agent against the HER2 receptor over expressed in various cancer cells by fusion of a HER2 affibody [29] to the C-terminal of ProCA1 (denoted as ProCA1-affi). Fig. 7 shows that both ProCA1-affi and ProCA1-affi-PEG2.4k have similar capability to specifically bind to SKOV-3 ovarian cancer cells over-expressing HER2 (\approx 3 \times 10⁶/cell). On the other hand, they do not bind to MBD-MDA-231 cancer cells with low expression of HER2 (\sim 2.7 \times 10³/cell). Therefore, PEGylation does not impede the targeting capability and specificity of ProCA1 reagents, which is important for molecular imaging of biomarkers.

4. Conclusions

Different from the modest effect (15% increase) reported [11] for a small chelator as contrast agent, here we report the first observation of significantly enhanced relaxivities of protein contrast agents (up to 200%) by PEGylation. PEGylation also increases the blood retention up to 7 times by comparison with native ProCAs 3 h after injection. The results show that PEGylation improves the biomedical properties and pharmacokinetics of our designed protein contrast agents. Understanding the mechanisms for the increased relaxivity by PEGylation may allow us to identify new key factors contributing to the substantial improvement in relaxivities which is a major challenge in the field of molecular and biomarker targeted imaging. PEGylation may be a novel avenue to increasing relaxivity at high field strength to overcome the limitation of current, small Gd^{3+} chelating contrast agents that suffer reduction of r_l relaxivity under a high magnetic field (Fig. 2). Such improvement can be important to the animal imaging and pre-clinical research under high or ultra-high field where there is an urgent need for molecular imaging probes and optimized contrast agents.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Abbreviations

MRI Magnetic resonance image

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dan Adams, and Hing Wong, Yanyi Chen, Yubin Zhou for helpful discussions and suggestions, and Siming Wang for Mass Spectrometry analysis. This work was supported by a grant from National Institutes of Health [EB 007268 and GM 62999 to JJY, GM 063874 and CA120181 to ZRL] and Georgia Cancer Coalition Distinguished Cancer Scholar award to ZRL.

References

- 1. Bogdanov AA, Lewin M, Weissleder R. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 1999; vol. 37:279–293. [PubMed: 10837740]
- 2. Zhao M, Beauregard DA, Loizou L, Davletov B, Brindle KM. Nat Med. 2001; vol. 7:1241–1244. [PubMed: 11689890]
- 3. Eldredge HB, Spiller M, Chasse JM, Greenwood MT, Caravan P. Invest Radiol. 2006; vol. 41:229– 243. [PubMed: 16481905]
- 4. Caravan P. Acc Chem Res. 2009; vol. 42:851–862. [PubMed: 19222207]
- 5. Werner EJ, Datta A, Jocher CJ, Raymond KN. Angew Chem. 2008; vol. 47:8568–8580. [PubMed: 18825758]
- 6. Artemov D. J Cell Biochem. 2003; vol. 90:518–524. [PubMed: 14523986]
- 7. Cheng LL, Chang IW, Louis DN, Gonzalez RG. Cancer Res. 1998; vol. 58:1825–1832. [PubMed: 9581820]
- 8. Partridge SC, Gibbs JE, Lu Y, Esserman LJ, Sudilovsky D, Hylton NM. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002; vol. 179:1193–1199. [PubMed: 12388497]
- 9. Kim YR, Savellano MD, Weissleder R, Bogdanov A Jr. Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2002; vol. 1:489–495. [PubMed: 12625776]
- 10. Raghunand N, Howison C, Sherry AD, Zhang S, Gillies RJ. Magn Reson Med. 2003; vol. 49:249– 257. [PubMed: 12541244]
- 11. Caravan P, Ellison JJ, McMurry TJ, Lauffer RB. Chem Rev. 1999; vol. 99:2293–2352. [PubMed: 11749483]
- 12. Sherry AD, Woods M. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2008; vol. 10:391–411. [PubMed: 18647117]
- 13. Choyke PL, Austin HA, Frank JA, Girton ME, Diggs RL, Dwyer AJ, Miller L, Nussenblatt R, McFarland H, Simon T. Kidney Int. 1992; vol. 41:1595–1598. [PubMed: 1501414]
- 14. Tweedle MF. Acc Chem Res. 2009; vol. 42:958–968. [PubMed: 19552403]

Li et al. Page 8

- 15. Lauffer RB, Parmelee DJ, Dunham SU, Ouellet HS, Dolan RP, Witte S, McMurry TJ, Walovitch RC. Radiology. 1998; vol. 207:529–538. [PubMed: 9577506]
- 16. Caravan P, Parigi G, Chasse JM, Cloutier NJ, Ellison JJ, Lauffer RB, Luchinat C, McDermid SA, Spiller M, McMurry TJ. Inorg Chem. 2007; vol. 46:6632–6639. [PubMed: 17625839]
- 17. Yang JJ, Yang J, Wei L, Zurkiya O, Yang W, Li S, Zou J, Zhou Y, Maniccia AL, Mao H, Zhao F, Malchow R, Zhao S, Johnson J, Hu X, Krogstad E, Liu ZR. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; vol. 130:9260–9267. [PubMed: 18576649]
- 18. Abuchowski A, McCoy JR, Palczuk NC, van Es T, Davis FF. J Biol Chem. 1977; vol. 252:3582– 3586. [PubMed: 16907]
- 19. Veronese FM. Biomaterials. 2001; vol. 22:405–417. [PubMed: 11214751]
- 20. Harris JM, Chess RB. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2003; vol. 2:214–221. [PubMed: 12612647]
- 21. Veronese FM, Pasut G. Drug Discov Today. 2005; vol. 10:1451–1458. [PubMed: 16243265]
- 22. Merbach, AE.; Toth, E., editors. John Wiley & Sons; 2001.
- 23. Caravan P. Chem Soc Rev. 2006; vol. 35:512–523. [PubMed: 16729145]
- 24. Flacke S, Fischer S, Scott MJ, Fuhrhop RJ, Allen JS, McLean M, Winter P, Sicard GA, Gaffney PJ, Wickline SA, Lanza GM. Circulation. 2001; vol. 104:1280–1285. [PubMed: 11551880]
- 25. Joffe P, Thomsen HS, Meusel M. Acad Radiol. 1998; vol. 5:491–502. [PubMed: 9653466]
- 26. Page MJ, Di Cera E. Physiol Rev. 2006; vol. 86:1049–1092. [PubMed: 17015484]
- 27. Cole K, Kohn E. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 1994; vol. 13:31–44. [PubMed: 8143344]
- 28. Carafoli E. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; vol. 99:1115–1122. [PubMed: 11830654]
- 29. Qiao J, Li S, Wei L, Jiang J, Long R, Mao H, Wang L, Yang H, Grossniklaus HE, Liu ZR, Yang JJ. PLoS One. 2011; vol. 6:e18103. [PubMed: 21455310]
- 30. Chang CA, Brittain HG, Telser J, Tweedle MF. Inorg. Chem. 1990; vol. 29:4468–4473.

Li et al. Page 9

Fig. 1.

(A) Modeled structure of the designed contrast agent ProCA1 is shown with Gd^{3+} (purple) binding site (cyan), and the cartoon structure of the secondary and outer sphere water molecules (red and white) associated with PEG chain (red and green) are highlighted. (B) The Trp emission fluorescence spectrum of ProCA1-PEG0.6k (●) and ProCA1-PEG2.4k (■) are similar to ProCA1 (\blacklozenge) and W.T. CD2 (\blacktriangle), indicating that the PEGylation did not alter the overall conformation of the protein.

Fig. 2.

(A) The Gd^{3+} -binding affinity measurement of native ProCA1 was determined by a competing titration using Fluo-5N as a $Gd³⁺$ indicator. The inset shows the fluorescence spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of Fluo-5N with a gradual increase of ProCA1 from 0 μ M (top) to 160 µM (bottom). The fluorescence intensity at 520 nm was normalized and the apparent constant (K_{app} = 18 \pm 3 μ M) was estimated by a curve-fitting. The dissociation constant $(K_{d(Gd\text{-}ProCAI)}) = 3.5 \times 10^{-12}$ M) was calculated based on the K_d measured in previous report [17]. The Gd³⁺-binding dissociation constants K_d s of PEGylated ProCA1 variants were measured with the same method and summarized in Table 1. (B) Hydrodynamic radii of ProCA1 (\blacklozenge) and various PEGylated ProCA1 with PEG chains PEG0.6k (\blacklozenge), PEG2.4k (\blacktriangleright) and PEG12k (\triangle) , were determined by pulse-field-gradient diffusion on 600 MHz NMR. PEGylation increased the radii gradually based on PEG size increased. Data were fitted by a one component equation, exp (−m1*m0^ 2), and the final results are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 3.

Relaxivity values r_1 (A) and r_2 (B) at field strengths 3.0 T(blue) and 9.4 T (red) of Gd-DTPA, ProCA1and its PEGylated variants. Relaxivity values r_1 (C) and r_2 (D) of Gd-DTPA (blue), ProCA1 (red), ProCA1-PEG0.6k (yellow), and ProCA1-PEG2.4k (green) at different field strengths.

Fig. 4.

MR images of ProCA1 injected mouse scanned on 4.7 T at different time points using 3Dgradient echo sequence. (A) Pre-scan without injection of ProCA1. (B) MR image scanned after 20 minutes injection of ProCA1. (C). MR image scanned after 3 h injection of ProCA1. Arrows indicate the enhancement of artery and kidney. (D) MR image scanned after 24 h injection of ProCA1. (E) Comparison of MR image intensities of the kidney (black) and artery (grey) as a function of time before and after injection of ProCA1.

Fig. 5.

(A) PEGylation increased the mouse *in vivo* blood retention time of the agents monitored by dot-blotting. Appropriate dosages of Gd-ProCA1-PEG2.4k, Gd-ProCA1-PEG0.6k and Gd-ProCA1 were injected intravenously into the mouse via the tail vein. Blood samples (~50 µL) were collected via orbital sinus at different time points. The content of protein MRI contrast agent in the blood was monitored by dot-blotting. Equal volumes of serum were loaded on the plate and identified by the commercial CD2 polyclonal antibody PabCD2. The amount of the ProCA1-PEG2.4k (1) and ProCA1-PEG0.6k (2) left in blood serum were higher than ProCA1 (3) at 3 h. At 19 h, the amount of ProCA1-PEG2.4k (4) left in blood serum is more than ProCA1-PEG0.6k (5) and ProCA1 (6). (B) Results from control samples with different amounts of ProCA1 show that the longer blood retention time was observed for proteins with higher degree of PEGylation and longer PEG chain modifications. (C) γradiation of 153 Gd isotope. Accumulation of 153 Gd in blood circulation (% of total injected dose) for GdCl₃, Gd-ProCA1, Gd-ProCA1-PEG2.4k, and Gd-ProCA1-PEG12k at time points of 1 (blue), 4 (purple), 8 (yellow) and 12 h (green) after injection.

Fig. 6.

(A) ELISA of antibody produced in rabbit serum before (Pre) and weeks 3 (black) and 6 (grey) after intraperitoneal injection of ProCA1 and ProCA1-PEG2.4k mixed with adjuvant (ProCA1-Adjuvant) or with saline buffer (ProCA1). PabCD2 is the anti-serum from rabbits produced by CD2 (commercial source) as an antigen. PEGylation substantially reduced the immune response monitored by poly-antibodies. Antibody generation against the protein contrast agent (both PEGylated and native protein) in the rabbits was examined by Western Blotting. SDS-PAGE shows ProCA1-PEG2.4k (1), ProCA1-PEG0.6k (2) and ProCA1 (3) detected by anti-serum collected from immunoinoculated rabbits. PabCD2 was used as a positive control (E). The other antiserum was prepared from the blood collected after two subsequent injections of native protein ProCA1 mixed with adjuvant (B). ProCA1 in the absence of adjuvant (C). ProCA1-PEG2.4k mixed in absence of adjuvant (D). The results showe that addition of adjuvant induced stronger immune responses (B). PEGylation modifications of the protein dramatically reduced immune responses in the rabbits (D). Our results suggest that the immunogenecity of the protein contrast agent may not be very strong, especially without addition of adjuvant.

Fig. 7.

Two types of cancer cell lines were stained by ProCA1-affi and ProCA1-affi-PEG2.4k, respectively. SKOV-3 over-expresses HER2 receptor, while MDA-MB-231 does not express HER2. ProCA1-affi or ProCA1-affi-PEG2.4k is shown in red and DAPI for nucleolus is shown in blue.

Fig. 8.

(A) T_1 -weighted fast spin-echo (TR = 2 s, TE = 0.022 s, ESP = 0.01 s, slice thickness = 1 mm) MR images of a mouse before (left) and 0.5 h after administration (right) of Gd-ProCA1-PEG2.4k. (B) Comparison of MR image intensities of kidney before and after applying the contrast agents.

Table 1

water coordination number determined by measuring Tb^{3+} luminescence decay in H₂O or D₂O. K_8 is the conditional association constant of contrast agents with Gd³⁺ and is the reciprocal of dissociation K_A is the conditional association constant of contrast agents with Gd³⁺ and is the reciprocal of dissociation D is the diffusion constant (\times 10⁷ cm² s⁻¹) calculated from the Debye-Stokes-Einstein equation. The radius values were obtained by fitted data from the pulse-field-gradient NMR experiment. q is the 7 cm2 s−1) calculated from the Debye-Stokes-Einstein equation. The radius values were obtained by fitted data from the pulse-field-gradient NMR experiment. q is the constant K_d (K_d = $1/K_d$). Relaxation times were measured on the 0.47 T Mini Spec Relaxometer (Bruker). *K*d (*K*d = 1/*K*a). Relaxation times were measured on the 0.47 T Mini Spec Relaxometer (Bruker). water coordination number determined by measuring fb^{3+} luminescence decay in H₂O or D₂O. D is the diffusion constant (×10

Table 2

Toxicity of designed protein contrast agents. Toxicity of designed protein contrast agents.

The ion concentrations of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and liver finction enzymes alanine transaminase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were measured in four groups of mice via tail vein injection dosage of 150 $^+,$ K $^+,$ Ca $^{2+}$ and liver finction enzymes alanine transaminase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were measured in four groups of mice via tail vein injection dosage of 150 The ion concentrations of Na mg Kg^{-1}.