Purification and characterization of two new modification methylases; MClaI from Caryophanon latum L and MTaqI from Thermus aquaticus YTI

Michael McClelland

Department of Molecular and Population Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

Received 25 September 1981

ABSTRACT

A method for detecting Type II modification methylases and determining their methylation site by assaying the ability of methylated DNA to be cleaved by heterologous restriction enzymes is described and applied to the isolation of the restriction modification methylases from Thermus thermophilus HB8, Thermus aquaticus YTI and Caryophanon latum L. M.TaqI is shown to have a methylation specificity identical to M.TthI ($TCG^{me}A$). M.ClaI methylates at adenine and protects a subset of TthI sites indicating that it methylates the sequence ATCG^{me}AT. Methylation by M.TthI also protects against cleavage by SalI, XhoI and at some HindII, AccI and MboI sites.

INTRODUCTION

As postulated by Arber (1), site specific enzymes that protect bacterial DNA from endogenous type II restriction endonucleases have been found to be methylases in all the species so far examined. Type II modification methylases require S-adenosylmethonine (SAM) but not Mg^{2+} for their activity (2). These methylases have been found to methylate within the sequence recognized by the corresponding endonuclease at either adenine or cytosine. Methylation at guanine and thymine has not been detected (3).

Type II methylases will almost certainly become useful tools to the molecular biologist. <u>EcoRI</u> methylase is currently being used in the preparation of recombinant DNA libraries (4). Other methylases will be useful in studies of the effect of <u>in vitro</u> cytosine methylation on gene expression in eucaryotes (5,6).

In this study I present a simple and rapid method for the detection and assay of the type II methylases from <u>Caryophanon latum L</u>, <u>Thermus aquaticus</u> YTI and <u>Thermus thermophilus HB8</u> which contain the type II restriction endonucleases <u>Cla</u>I (ATCGAT) (7), <u>Taq</u>I (TCGA) and <u>Tth</u>I (TCGA) (8), respectively. I also show how the sites of methylation by these enzymes can be determined by analyzing the ability of the enzymes to protect cleavage sites recognized by heterologous endonucleases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>Thermus</u> <u>aquaticus</u> YTI (9) and <u>Thermus</u> <u>thermophilus</u> HB8 (8) (10) were kindly provided by S. Sato. The cells were grown to stationary phase in 10 liters of medium containing 0.5% Bactotryptone (Difco), 0.3% yeast extract (Difco), 0.2% NaCl at 75°C using a 14-liter Chemapac fermenter. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and frozen as a paste (8).

<u>Caryophanon latum L</u> was a gift of H. Mayer. The bacteria was grown to late log phase by a procedure described by H. Mayer (7): 5 liters of media was prepared; cow dung was homogenized in an equal volume of distilled water and centrifuged at 25,000 g for one hour. The supernatant was added to the same volume of an enriched nutrient broth; 8g nutrient broth (Difco), 5g Bactopeptone, 5g NaCl, $3.5g Na_2HPO_4$, $1.5g KH_2PO_4$, lg glucose, 5mg thiamine HCl per 100 ml adjusted to pH 7. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and frozen at -20°C.

Phage λ DNA was prepared after induction of <u>E. coli</u> C600 <u>dam</u>⁺ and <u>dam</u>⁻ strains containing $\lambda C_{1857}S_{am}$ lysogens. pBR322 was isolated from C600 <u>dam</u>⁺.

Assay for M.TthI and M.TaqI

The assay for methylase activity was performed in the following manner. Reaction mixtures contained 0.75 μ g of phage λ DNA or 0.5 μ g of pBR322 DNA, 0.1 mM S-Adenosyl methionine (Sigma), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM Na₂ EDTA, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol and 10 μ l sample aliquots in a total volume of 50 μ l. The assays were carried out for 60 minutes at 70°C, then extracted with an equal volume of phenol. 5 μ l of 3M sodium acetate and 200 μ l of ethanol were added. After one hour at -20°C the tubes were spun in an eppendorf centrifuge for 5 minutes and the DNA was resuspended in <u>TthI</u> endonuclease buffer; 6 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 6 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 6 mM MgCl₂ (8); and digested with one unit of <u>Tth</u>I for one hour at 70°C. (One unit digests one μ g of λ in one hour.)

Assay for M.ClaI

Methylase activity was assayed in 40 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 μ g/ml BSA, 0.75 μ g phage λ DNA, 1 mM S-Adenosyl-methionine (Sigma) with 5 μ l of sample aliquots in a total volume of 50 μ l. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. Assays using S-Adenosyl [³H-methyl]-methionine (Amersham) substituted 15 pmoles of 15Ci/mmol ³H-SAM per μ g of DNA. After phenol extraction and ethanol

precipitation the DNA was resuspended in 20 μ l of 20 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM MgCl₂ and digested with 1 μ l of 2 units/ μ l <u>Cla</u>I restriction endonuclease for one hour.

For assays using other restriction endonucleases, the methylated DNA was resuspended in the appropriate restriction buffer (New England Biolabs) and digested with an excess of restriction enzyme to ensure complete digestion. <u>TthI</u> and <u>Cla</u>I restriction endonucleases were prepared as described above, all other enzymes were purchased from New England Bio-labs. Determination of methylated base

The base methylated by <u>M.Cla</u>I was determined as follows: 30 µg of phage λ DNA was methylated with 15 pmoles of ³H-SAM and either <u>M.Cla</u>I, <u>M.Taq</u>I, or <u>M.Tth</u>I; phenol extracted twice; ethanol precipitated; resuspended in 100 µl of 88% formic acid and hydrolysed in a sealed tube at 170°C for 2 hours. The samples were dried and resuspended in 10 mM Tris HCl pH8, 1 mM EDTA. Aliquots were spotted on Whatman 3MM chromatography paper along with authentic bases (Sigma) and developed in Butanol:Methanol:H₂0:Ammonia (sp. gr. 0.90) (60:20:20:1) and methanol:HCl (sp. gr. 1.18):water (70:20:10 v/v) (12). The position of tritiated compounds was determined by counting 1 cm² pieces of the chromatographs in Toluene based scintillation fluid.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

15g of cells were resuspended in "Taq extract buffer" (10mM K₂ HPO₄ -KH₂PO₄, pH 7.0, 0.2 M NaCl, 7 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM Na₂ EDTA and 20 µg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). The suspension was sonicated using a Bronwill sonifer at maximum output in 1 minute pulses for a total of 10 minutes. The lysate was then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 X g and the supernatant centrifuged for 45 minutes at 100,000 X g in a Beckman Type 45 rotor at 0°C.

The cleared lysate was applied to a phosphocellulose (Whatman P11) column and eluted with linear gradient of 0.1 to 1.0 M NaCl in "Taq extract buffer". The restriction endonuclease <u>Taq</u>I (TCGA) eluted at about 0.4 M NaCl. The methylase <u>M.Taq</u>I activity, detected by the assay procedure described below, eluted at 0.7 M NaCl. Fractions containing methylase activity were pooled, dialyzed against extreact buffer, applied to a DEAE-Sephacel (Pharmacia) column and eluted with a 0.1 to 1.0 M NaCl gradient. The methylase activity eluted at 0.17 M NaCl. Fractions containing significant activity were pooled, dialyzed against extract buffer made up in 50% glycerol, and stored at -20° C.

The yield of <u>M.Taq</u>I obtained from 15g of cells was more than 15,000 units as determined by the ability of the enzyme to protect <u>Taq</u>I sites and by the rate of incorporation of ³H-methyl groups from S-Adenosyl-[³H-methyl]-methionine (data not shown). One unit is the amount of methylase required to protect one pmole of <u>Taq</u>I recognition sites in one hour at 70°C. The methylase and endonuclease remained stable at -20°C in 50% glycerol for over two years.

Purification of MClaI

<u>M.Cla</u>I was simultaneously purified with <u>Cla</u>I in a manner analogous to that used for <u>M.Tth</u>I and <u>Tth</u>I (10). Frozen cells were resuspended in "Cla extract buffer", and (10 mM Potassium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol pH 7.5) and sonicated using a Branson sonifier until the $0.D_{.578nm}$ had dropped by 50%. The homogenate was centrifuged at 45,000g for 20 min. at 2°C (7). The extract was eluted from a phosphocellulose (Whatman Pll) column using a linear gradient of 0.0 to 1.0 M NaCl in Extract Buffer. The restriction endonuclease <u>Cla</u>I (ATCGAT) eluted just after the methylase which eluted at about 0.4 M NaCl as determined by conductivity (fig. 1). The active fractions were dialysed against 50% glycerol, then loaded onto a

25	50	75	100
	••		
-			
			H H H H H H

Fig. 1: Assay for <u>M.Cla</u>I off the phosphocellulose column. The gradient was 500ml of 0.0 to 1.0 <u>M</u> NaCl between fractions 26 and 115. See "methods" for details.



Fig. 2: Restriction map of pBR322. <u>Taq</u>I sites are given inside the circular map. The recognition sequences of other endonucleases and their cleavage sites relative to EcoRl are given outside the circle (13).

DEAE Sephacel (Pharmacia) Column and eluted with a linear gradient of 0.1 to 1.0 M NaCl. The methylase eluted at 0.2 M NaCl and the endonuclease at 0.25 M NaCl. Active fractions were dialysed separately against 10 mM Potassium phosphate, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 in 50% glycerol and stored at -20°C. Fractions from column chromatography were assayed for specific methylase activity as described in Materials and Methods (fig. 1). Cleavage of protected DNA occurs when the methylation reaction is carried out in the absence of SAM. (fig. 3, lane A and B). Thus, the methylase had the properties required of a restriction modification methylase. Approximately 50,000 units of <u>Cla</u>I methylase were recovered from 50g of cells after phosphocellulose and DEAE chromatography followed by dialysis.

 3 H labelling studies using 3 H-methyl SAM indicated a pH optimum 7.5 to 8.0 and a slow decrease in activity as the salt concentration was increased between 0.0 and 200 mM NaCl. The temperature optimum was 37-40°C. (unpublished observations)

Site of methylation by M.TaqI

<u>M.Tth</u>I is known to methylate at $TCG^{me}A$ (10). DNA methylated with <u>M.Tth</u>I is protected against both TthI and TaqI (T+CGA) (fig. 3 lane H). M.TaqI



methylation also protects against cleavage by both <u>TthI</u> and <u>TaqI</u> (fig. 3 lane I). Since <u>TaqI</u> is known to cut $T^{me}CGA$ (11) this suggests that <u>M.TaqI</u> methylates at $TCG^{me}A$. Base hydrolysis of <u>M.TaqI</u> methylated DNA followed by paper chromatography shows that <u>M.TaqI</u> methylates at adenine (Table I). Site of Methylation by M.ClaI

<u>M.Cla</u>I protects all 12 <u>Cla</u>I sites in phage λ DNA from cleavage by <u>Cla</u>I (fig. 3 lane B). A subset of <u>Tth</u>I sites are also protected (fig. 3 lanes F and G). This indicates that <u>M.Cla</u>I methyaltes within some <u>Tth</u>I recognition sequences at the central tetranucleotide of A(TCGA)T. Base hydrolysis of ³H-methylated DNA followed by paper chromatography showed <u>M.Cla</u>I to methylate at adenine (Table I). Furthermore, because <u>Tth</u>I is known to cut T^{me}CGA (11) but not TCG^{me}A (10) these experiments show that <u>M.Cla</u>I methylates at ATCG^{me}AT.

In order to demonstrate that the <u>M.ClaI</u> preparation was methylating at ATCGAT and did not contain additional specificities, pBR322 was methylated with <u>M.ClaI</u> and ³H-SAM then cut with <u>TaqI</u>. The single <u>ClaI</u> site (see fig. 2) between <u>TaqI</u> fragments 4 (343bp) and 5 (315bp) was not cut and generated a new fragment (fig. 3 lane 0). Bands were cut out of the gel, crushed and suspended in Aquasol (New England Nuclear). Only the new 658bp fragment contained significant counts. (Table 2).

Each R _f is the aver	age of 3 experiments. T	The range was less than 5%.
	Methanol:HCl (sp. gr l Water (70:20:l0 v/v)	1.18): Butanol:Methanol:H ₂ O: Ammonia (sp. gr. 0.90) (60:20:20:1 v/v)
	c.p.m.	c.p.m.
	R	ß
Adenine	35	56
Cytosine	49	48
Guanine	21	35
Thymine	75	65
Hydrolysed SAM (contro	1) 65(a)	41(a)
5 methylcytosine	63	58
6 methyladenine	53	68
M.ClaI methylated DNA	51(a)(b) 338	68(a)(b) 397
M.TaqI methylated DNA	50(a)(b) 894	68(a)(b) 1026
<u>M.Tth</u> I methylated DNA	50(a)(b) 483	69(a)(b) 1054

<u>Table I</u>

(a) Peak radioactivity

(b) No counts above background in the region of 5 methylcytosine

Tritium	incorpora	ted into fragments	of M.ClaI methyalted DNA (fig	. 3 lane 0)		
Fra	gment	c.p.m. above back	ground % of total counts			
	1	7	4			
	2	7	4			
(new)	4&5	164	91			
1	3	3	2			
	6&7	0	0			

The effect of M.TaqI and M.Tthl methylation on heterologous restriction endonucleases.

Endonucleases with recognition sequences overlapping that of M.TaqI might in some cases be inhibited by M.TaqI methylation. A number of endonucleases were tested and the data obtained is tabulated below (Table III). M.TthI and M.TaqI methylation gave identical results.

The Sall site at position 650 in pBR322 (see fig. 2) is protected by M.TaqI methylation (fig. 4 lanes A and C) indicating that SalI cannot cleave GTCG^{me}AC. Similarly the single XhoI site in λ which cleaves HindII fragment 3 (19.7 map units) to 12.2 map units and 7.5 map units is protected by M.TaqI methylation (fig. 4 lanes H and I). This implies that XhoI cannot cut CTCG^{me}AT. It is of interest to note that in addition neither Sall nor XhoI can cut DNA methylated at cytosine in the central CpG of their recognition sequence (14) (18).

One of two AccI sites in pBR322 (Fig.2), the GTCGAC sequence at position 650, is protected by M.TaqI methylation but the GTATAC site at 2246 is not (fig. 4 lanes D and F). Thus AccI does not cleave at ${\mbox{GTCG}}^{\mbox{me}}{\mbox{AC}}$ and probably will not cut any $GT\binom{A}{C}\binom{T}{C}^{me}AC$ sequence. Similarly <u>Hinc</u>II does not cut $GTCG^{me}AC$ (fig. 3 lanes P and Q) which is consistent with the known specificity of MHindII (GTPyPa^{me}AC) (15).

MboI and Sau3A are isoschizomers, but, whereas Sau3A can cleave G^{me}ATC.

Restriction Endonuclease	Recognition Sequence	Figure	Effect of <u>M.Taq</u> I or <u>M.Tth</u> I Methylation		
SalI XhoI AccI HindII MboI Sau3A	GTCGAC CTCGAG GT(^A)(^T)AC GTPYPUAC GATC GATC GATC	4; lanes A and C 4; lanes H and I 4; lanes D and F 3; lanes P and Q 3; lane L 3; lane M	protection protection some sites protected some sites protected some sites protected no sites protected		

Table III



Fig. 4. 1% Agarose TBE gel electrophoresis; (A) one ug pBR322, EcoRI and Sall digestion. (B) one ug pBR322+MTaqI+SAM, then EcoRI digestion. (C) one ug pBR322+MTaqI+SAM, then EcoRI and Sall digestion. (D) one ug pBR322, EcoRI and AccI digestion. (E) one ug pBR322+MTaqI+SAM, then EcoRI and AccI digestion. (F) one ug pBR322+MTthI+SAM, then EcoRI and AccI digestion. (G) one ug pBR322, EcoRI digestion. (H) Two ug λdam^- DNA+MTaqI (no SAM) then HindIII and XhoI digestion. (I) one ug λdam^- DNA+MTaqI+SAM, then HindIII and XhoI digestion. The fragment sizes for λ DNA are given in map units (16). In each case the digestion mix contained 3 units of restriction enzyme in the recommended buffer (New England Biolabs) and digestion was performed for one hour.

(16) <u>Mbo</u>I cannot (17). Thus the sequence, 5' $TCG^{me}ATC$ 3', which contains an overlapping <u>M.Taq</u>I and <u>Mbo</u>I sequence, will be cut by <u>Sau</u>3A but not <u>Mbo</u>I. Some such sequences exist in plhage λ DNA (fig. 3 lanes L and M). <u>Taq</u>I cleavage is similarly blocked by <u>M.Eco</u> <u>dam</u>⁺ methylation (19) which has the specificity G^{me}ATC (19). This phenomenon is shown in Figure 3 lane N. The fragment designated 3 is in fact a partial caused by fusion of fragment 3 and 7 due to the presence of the dam⁺ sequence overlapping the TagI recognition sequence, GATCGA, at position 1125.

The isolation procedure described here was also used to purify M'EcoRl (unpublished results) and should be applicable to the purification of other type II modification methylases. By determining the effect of methylation on cleavage by restriction endonucleases, the site of methylation of many site specific methylases can be deduced (18).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank H. Mayer for supplying Caryophanon latum L. and S. Sato for supplying Thermus thermophilus HB8 and Thermus aquaticus. Т thank John Shapira and Dr. Doug Prasher for preparing TthI and ClaI, and Dr. R.A. Lansman, and Dr. Daniel Vapnek in whose labs this work was performed. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health grant RF 10-21-RR093-009.

REFERENCES

- Arber, W. (1965) Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 19 365. 1.
- 2. Dugaiczyk, A., Hedgepeth, J., Boyer, H.W., and Goodman, H.M. (1974) Biochemistry 13 503-512.
- 3. Roberts, R.J. (1981) Gene 9 r75-r96.
- Maniatis, T., Hardison, R.C., Lacy, E., Lauer, J., O'Connell, C., 4. Quon, D., Simn, G.K., and Efstradis, A. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 118 27-47.
- 5. Bird, A.P. and Southern, E.M. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 118 27-47.
- 6. Waalwijk, C. and Flavell, R.A. (1978) Nucl. Acids. Res. 5 4631-4641.
- 7. Mayer, H., Grosschedl, R., Schutte, H., and Hobom, G. (1981) 9 4833-4938.
- 8. Sato, S. and Shinomiya, T. (1978) J. Biochem. 84 1319-1321.
- Sato, S., Hutchison, C.A., and Harris, J.I. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. 9. Sci. USA <u>74</u> 542-546.
- 10. Sato, S. and Nakazawa, K., and Shinomiya, T. (1980) J. Biochem. 88 737-747.
- 11. Van der Ploeg, L.H.T. and Flavell, R.A. (1980) Cell 19 947-958.
- 12. Randerath, K. and Randerath, E. (1967) Methods in Enzymology 12 323-347. 13. Sutcliffe, J.G. (1979) Cold Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative
- Biology 43 77. Ehrlich, M. and Wang, R.Y.H. (1981) Science 212 1350-1357. 14.
- 15. Roy, P.H. and Smith, H.O. (1973) J. Mol. Biol. 81 427-444.
- 16. Stobberingh, E.E., Schiphof, R., and Sussenbach, J.S. (1977) J. Bact. 131 645-649.
- 17. Dreiseikelmann, B., Eichenlaub, R., and Wackernagel, W. (1979) Biochem. Biophys. Acta <u>562</u> 418-428. McClelland, M. (1981) Nucl. Acids Res. (In Press).
- 18.
- 19. Backman, K. (1981) Gene 11 169-171.