

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Selected sociodemographic factors and related differences in patterns of alcohol use among university students in Slovakia

Rene Sebena¹, Olga Orosova^{2,3}, Rafael T Mikolajczyk^{4,6*} and Jitse P van Dijk^{3,5}

Abstract

Background: Alcohol use and misuse and their relation to sociodemographic factors are well studied among university students in Western European countries and the USA, but less is known about students in Eastern Europe. The historical past as communistic countries might have affected the social life among these populations, which is again one of the main factors determining the alcohol consumption among university students. The aim of our study was to assess the association of selected sociodemographic factors with different patterns of alcohol use among university students in Slovakia.

Methods: A sample of 813 young adults (mean age 21.1 years, 63.8% females; response rate of 71%) from four universities in Kosice answered questions about their sociodemographic background and about alcohol use. To obtain a detailed picture of different aspects, alcohol use was measured by four variables: frequency of alcohol use, heavy episodic drinking, frequency of drunkenness and problem drinking. Four separate logistic regression models were used to assess the association between sociodemographic and alcohol-related variables. To assess the potentially different effects in both genders, all two-way interactions with gender were tested.

Results: While 41% of the students drank alcohol once a week or more often, 77% reported heavy episodic drinking and 49% had been drunk more than once in the last month. Problem drinking existed in 23.3% of the sample. Gender was consistently associated with all four alcohol-related variables, with males being at higher risk. A higher study year was associated only with lower levels of heavy episodic drinking, but displayed no association with the other studied variables. Living with parents during the semester was consistently associated with less frequent heavy episodic drinking, drunkenness episodes, and problem drinking while having an intimate relationship was associated with less problem drinking only.

Conclusions: Our findings for the university students from Slovakia are in line with previous studies in Western Europe. Additionally, it appears that frequent alcohol use, excessive alcohol use (heavy episodic drinking and drunkenness) and problem drinking among university students represent a continuum and are influenced by the same sociodemographic factors.

Background

Young adulthood is in many cultures the stage of life in which the highest levels of alcohol consumption occur [1-3]. After entering university, a student's life situation changes, and he or she experiences increased independence, decreased parental guidance, supervision and support, and more social contacts with peers on the

university campus. All of these factors potentially contribute to increased alcohol use [4]. Especially important is the fact that alcohol consumption is most often a social activity with peers and therefore forms a cultural event in the process of identity development [5,6]. There are indications that heavy alcohol use at this age is predictive of a range of psychological and physical problems [7]. Alcohol abuse itself, however, is the result of the interaction between personal, environmental and sociodemographic factors [8], a selection of which is presented in the following text. Although there are a number of other risk

* Correspondence: miko@bips.uni-bremen.de

⁴Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, Bremen, Germany
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

and protective factors associated with alcohol consumption in young adults, the scope of our analysis covers a subset of sociodemographic variables which are linked to, and seem to be specifically important for, the university environment.

Gender

A substantial body of research indicates that males are more likely to drink alcohol, consume higher amounts of alcohol and are more likely to be alcohol dependent in comparison with females. These findings are consistent across different countries and cultures [9-11]. Furthermore, the gender difference can also be seen with regard to drinking versus abstinence [12,13], heavy drinking and intoxication [14-16] and alcohol use disorders [17]. Despite the apparent universality of gender differences in drinking behavior, the extent of gender differences may vary across different societies and with regard to different aspects of drinking [16,18]. Gender gaps in the prevalence of heavy episodic drinking for example have become smaller or disappeared in some European countries (such as Ireland, Norway and the United Kingdom) among late adolescents or university students in recent years [19,20].

Study year

Several studies have shown that students increase their alcohol consumption during their first year at college [21-25]. The first year of studying at a university is an important development period in which students establish identity and social networks, and alcohol use is often part of this process [26]. Being part of a group, or "fitting in," is a major motivating factor for heavy episodic drinking among university students; therefore, it is not surprising that first-year students often socialize in a drinking context and that they make up the largest percentage of partygoers. According to other studies, first-year university students are especially vulnerable to alcohol-caused injuries or death [27,28].

Parental socioeconomic status

The relationship between parental socioeconomic status (SES) and adolescent alcohol drinking is poorly understood, with inconsistent or even contradictory evidence. While some studies have identified a higher risk of excessive drinking among adolescents from lower SES groups [29,30], other studies have shown an inconsistent relationship between alcohol intake and parental social position [31,32], and still others have found no or even inverse SES gradients in adolescent alcohol consumption [33,34].

Accommodation during study semesters

University and campus environments include friendship networks and unions in which drinking alcohol is common, endorsed and part of social life. Heavy drinking

among students is associated with living away from the parental home in several studies [35-37]. Living in student dormitories, on campuses or in private homes, either with roommates or alone, entails diminishes exposure to parental control and more frequent exposure to peer influences and therefore to opportunities to engage in such problem behaviors as drinking [4].

Intimate relationship

There is a strong increase in alcohol use during adolescent years [38], but according to Engels and Knibbe, having an intimate relationship does not play a significant role for alcohol consumption during this period [39]. Other studies indicate that relationship formation is associated with lower levels of alcohol use, whereas disruption of the relationship is associated with higher levels of alcohol use [3,40]. Some further studies suggest that couples consisting of two partners who exhibit discordant behavior with respect to heavy-drinking tend to have worse relationships than couples in which only one partner is a heavy drinker [41,42].

Main goals of this study

Most of the research on drinking behavior among university students was conducted in the USA or in Western Europe [43-45] and comparatively less is known about drinking among students in former communistic countries of Central and Eastern Europe [46]. Some studies show differences across European countries in both, the frequency of alcohol consumption and the proportions of students with problem drinking [1,46]. Based on the past historical experience, there still are differences regarding the social life between countries of Eastern and Western Europe [47]. These differences might also affect social networks among students and consistently reflect on drinking patterns. Patterns of drinking in Central/Eastern Europe are combined with a rather high per capita alcohol consumption. Hazardous drinking patterns are more prevalent in these countries in comparison to Western countries [48], but the knowledge about drinking among university students in the former is still scarce. In order to close this gap in the knowledge, we studied alcohol-drinking behavior among university students in Slovakia. Previous studies on alcohol consumption among students have focused mainly on binge drinking defined as the consumption of at least 4 (females) or 5 (males) consecutive alcoholic drinks per drinking session [49]. Since others [50,51] proposed to use the term "binge drinking" only to describe an extended bout of drinking in which the person neglects other activities in order to drink, we used the term "heavy episodic drinking" to denote high consumption in one drinking session. However, the reported number of drinks per occasion may fail to accurately capture the extent of heavy drinking or drunkenness episodes on college campuses. More

importantly, the criterion of heavy episodic drinking may not identify students with a dependency and problem drinking [52,53]. Therefore, this study used several variables to assess the drinking of alcohol among university students: frequency of alcohol use, heavy episodic drinking, frequency of drunkenness, and problem drinking.

The study addresses the following questions: (1) what is the prevalence of specific drinking patterns (high frequency of alcohol consumption, heavy episodic drinking, drunkenness, and problem drinking), (2) are these drinking patterns associated with selected sociodemographic variables (gender, study year, economic status, type of accommodation, and having an intimate relationship) and (3) do the associations between sociodemographic variables and drinking patterns differ for both genders in university students in Slovakia.

Methods

Sample and procedure

In 2007 as part of the Cross-National Student Health Study (CNSHS) [54], data were collected in 2007 at three universities in Kosice, Slovakia: the University of PJ Safarik, the University of Veterinary Medicine, and the Technical University. The sample was composed to allow international comparability in CNSHS and was planned to include at least 30% first-year students, about 25% from the social sciences, 25% from the natural sciences, 25% from the law and economy faculties, and 25% from the technical sciences. Under the guidance of field workers a self-administered questionnaire was distributed during regular classes of randomly selected courses for 1st- to 4th-year students. 1140 students were expected in the courses, but only 934 were present. 934 questionnaires were returned and considered for analysis. The proportion of females/males in our sample reflected the proportion of all students in the universities at that time. Among the studied variables, 0.9% responses were on average missing and after listwise deletion was performed for handling missing data, the final sample size was 813 students (response rate 71%). The mean age of the participants was 21.1, SD = 1.8; 63.8% of the respondents were females. All of the asked students completed the questionnaire during a regular 45-minute class period. To increase the accuracy of self-reports, students were assured that their answers would remain confidential. Identification codes and envelopes were also used to emphasize the confidential nature of the survey.

Informed consent and ethical permission

Participation in the study was voluntary and anonymous. Students were informed that by completing the questionnaire they were providing their informed consent to participate. They were also told that they could terminate the participation at any point while filling out the questionnaire.

The permission to conduct the study was granted by the participating institutions: Faculty of Medicine, Faculty of Science, Faculty of Law, Faculty of Public Administration and Faculty of Arts all from the University of PJ Safarik, the University of Veterinary Medicine and Pharmacy in Kosice and the Technical University of Kosice.

Measures

Frequency of alcohol consumption

The frequency of alcohol consumption was measured using the following question: "Over the past three months how often have you drunk alcohol, for example, beer?" The possible answers were: "never," "once a week or less," "once a week," "a few times each week," "every day," "a few times each day". We dichotomized the variable into "drinking less than once a week" versus "drinking once a week or more". The results regarding drinking patterns are reported in Table 1.

Heavy episodic drinking

The frequency of heavy episodic drinking was measured by asking: "Think back again over the last 30 days. How

Table 1 Frequencies of individual levels of drinking patterns prior to dichotomization by gender (N = 813)

	Males (%)	Females (%)	Total (%)
Frequency of alcohol consumption			
Never	10.2	20.3	16.6
Once a week or less	29.3	49.7	42.3
Once a week	26.0	20.3	22.3
A few times each week	28.7	9.2	16.3
Every day	5.1	0.2	1.9
A few times each day	0.6	0.3	0.5
Heavy episodic drinking			
Never	23.0	47.9	38.8
Once	16.1	17.6	17.0
Twice	16.4	16.1	16.3
3-5 times	22.4	14.0	17.0
6-9 times	11.9	3.4	6.5
10 or more	10.1	1.0	4.4
Alcohol drunkenness			
Never	34.8	59.8	50.8
1-2 times	42.3	32.8	36.5
3-4 times	14.0	5.7	8.7
5 or more times	8.9	1.0	3.9
Problem drinking			
0 positive responses	44.1	67.6	58.8
1 positive response	23.6	18.2	20.1
2 positive responses	18.4	8.7	12.5
3 positive responses	9.1	4.6	6.2
4 positive responses	4.8	0.9	2.3

many times (if any) have you had five or more drinks on one occasion?" (A "drink" is a glass/bottle/can of beer (ca 50 cl), a glass/bottle/can of cider (ca 50 cl), 2 glasses/bottles of alcopops (ca 50 cl), a glass of wine (ca 15 cl), a glass of spirits (ca 5 cl) or a mixed drink). The options for answers were "never," "once," "twice," "3-5 times" "6-9 times" and "10 or more times." We classified respondents into non-episodic drinkers (if they responded "never") and heavy episodic drinkers (all others).

Alcohol drunkenness

To identify students with higher risk behavior who drink to excess or to get drunk, we used the question "How many times have you been drunk during the last four weeks?" The options for answers here were: "never", "once or twice", "3-4 times," and "5 or more times." Responses were dichotomized into "never" versus all other.

Problem drinking

Finally, to gather data on problem drinking we included an alcoholism-screening test, the CAGE test [55]. CAGE is a brief screening instrument consisting of four questions (Have you ever felt you should Cut down on your drinking? Have people Annoyed you by criticizing your drinking? Have you ever felt bad or Guilty about your drinking? Have you ever had a drink in the morning to get rid of a hangover? (Eye opener). Each question is answered either "yes" or "no." Two or three affirmative answers suggest problem drinking, while four positive responses raise a serious suspicion of alcohol dependence. Mean inter-item correlation (MIIC) was 0.26 (according to the guideline of Briggs & Cheek [56] the MIIC should range above 0.20). We classified the respondents as non-problem drinkers (less than two positive answers) and problem drinkers (two or more positive answers).

Sociodemographic variables

Gender and study year were based on individuals' self-reports on the questionnaire. A respondent's socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed using two measures: parental education and the self-perceived income sufficiency of the student. We first asked about the father's and mother's educational status separately—"What is the highest education level of your mother, father?"—with these answer options: "No formal education," "Secondary vocational school," "A levels," "Bachelor's degree," "Master's degree and Ph.D. or equivalent." The educational levels of parents were subsequently collapsed, for the purpose of analysis, into two categories: low (A levels and lower degree) versus high (bachelor's and higher degree). Afterwards, the educational levels of parents (low vs. high) were combined into the following four groups: both parents high, both parents low, mother high and father low, and father high and mother low. Perceived income sufficiency was measured by asking: "How sufficient do you consider your income?" with four Likert scale responses ("always sufficient," "mostly sufficient," "mostly insufficient" or "insufficient") which were

dichotomized into "always sufficient" versus "other." Students were also asked about the type of accommodation they lived in during the semester. The responses were dichotomised into "I live with my parents" versus "I do not live with my parents." Finally, participants were asked whether they were currently in an intimate relationship.

Statistical analysis

First, we conducted a descriptive analysis of the study population. Next, we used the phi coefficient to assess the correlation between the dichotomised variables related to alcohol [57]. Phi values from -1.0 to -0.7 indicate a strong negative association, -0.7 to -0.3 a weak negative association, -0.3 to +0.3 little or no association, +0.3 to +0.7 a weak positive association, and +0.7 to +1.0 a strong positive association. The independent association between five variables (gender, study year, parental educational status, having an intimate partnership, type of accommodation) and alcohol-related variables (frequency of alcohol consumption, heavy drinking, drunkenness, problem drinking) was studied in four separate logistic regression models. To assess the potentially different effects in both genders, all two-way interactions with gender were tested in each model separately. The results were reported as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The analysis was performed using SPSS 16.

Results

Description of the sample

The composition of this sample was as follows: 43.3% of students came from the University of PJ Safarik; 16.5% from the University of Veterinary Medicine and 40.2% from the Technical University. There was a gender distribution of 63.8% females and 36.2% males. Other main descriptive characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 2.

A total of 41.1% (60.5% males vs. 30.0% females) of the sample drank alcohol once a week or more often. 77.0% of males and 52.1% of females were heavy episodic drinkers (consuming 5 or more drinks on a single occasion), while 49.1% (65.2% males vs. 39.8% females) had been drunk more than once during the last month. Problem drinking (two or more positive responses in CAGE) was estimated for 32.3% of males and 14.3% of females.

After correlating all drinking-related variables, we found that the strongest relation was between heavy episodic drinking and frequency of drunkenness (phi = 0.62 for males and phi = 0.64 for females). Correlations between problem drinking and other alcohol-use-related variables were relatively weak, for example for frequency of drinking and problem drinking (phi = 0.19 for males, phi = 0.28 for females) and heavy episodic drinking and problem drinking (phi = 0.2 for males and phi = 0.21

Table 2 Characteristics of the study sample and alcohol-related variables across these characteristics (N = 813)

	Total		Alcohol consumption		Heavy episodic drinking		Drunkenness episodes		Problem drinking (CAGE)	
			Reporting high frequency		Reporting high frequency		Reporting high frequency		Problem drinkers	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Gender										
Female	519	63.8	156	30	270	52.1	207	39.8	74	14.3
Male	294	36.2	178	60.5	226	77	192	65.2	95	32.3
Study year										
1st year	278	34.2	107	38.5	175	62.9	140	50.3	59	21.2
2nd year	221	27.2	109	49.6	144	65.3	114	51.8	48	21.9
3rd year	93	11.4	38	40.6	52	55.9	39	42.2	26	28.4
4th year	221	27.2	80	36.2	94	42.7	105	47.6	36	16.5
Parental educational status										
Both parents low	445	54.8	175	39.4	260	58.5	206	46.2	93	20.9
Mother high, father low	70	8.6	32	45.0	47	66.7	33	47.4	10	14.3
Father high, mother low	120	14.7	48	39.6	73	60.9	58	48.5	20	16.3
Both parents high	178	21.9	80	45.0	117	66.0	100	56.0	48	26.7
Perceived income sufficiency										
Always sufficient	550	67.7	228	41.5	342	62.1	272	49.5	117	21.2
Other	263	32.3	123	46.6	163	62.1	135	51.3	68	25.8
Accommodation during semester										
With parents	393	48.4	154	39.2	224	56.9	186	47.3	74	18.8
Other	420	51.6	178	42.4	274	65.2	210	49.9	97	23.1
Intimate relationship										
Yes	454	55.8	165	36.3	273	60.2	211	46.5	78	17.1
No	359	44.2	177	47.9	224	62.3	189	52.7	96	26.7

for females). These findings justify the analyses of the alcohol-related variables in separate models.

Variables associated with alcohol-use-related variables

The results from multivariable logistic regression models are summarized in Table 3. The analyses revealed that gender was consistently associated with all four alcohol-use-related variables. Female students were less likely to report frequent consumption of alcohol, heavy episodic drinking, drunkenness episodes or problem drinking than male students. On the contrary, some other variables were only associated with a single alcohol-related variable. The study year was associated only with heavy episodic drinking, which was less frequent in higher study years. Students of parents with the same educational level, either high or low, were more likely to be involved in problem drinking than those from families in which one parent had a higher and one a lower education (regardless of whether the mother or the father had the higher education). The perceived income was not associated with any of the alcohol-related variables studied in this analysis. Living with parents during the semester was associated with less

frequent heavy episodic drinking, drunkenness and problem drinking, but not with the frequency of drinking. The odds of problem drinking were lower for those with an intimate partner than for singles.

To assess the potentially different effects in both genders, interactions of all other sociodemographic variables with gender were tested, which revealed no significant ($p < 0.05$) interactions with respect to any alcohol-related variable.

Discussion

To gather data on alcohol consumption and problem drinking among university students in Slovakia we used four alcohol-related variables, which measure different aspects of drinking. Frequency of drinking, which is the most general indicator, does not assess the quantity of consumed alcohol. As it is such a broad measurement, a high reported frequency presents only a relatively small concern. However, it was demonstrated that alcohol-related health and social problems tend to increase as the frequency of alcohol consumption rises [58]. Heavy episodic drinking and the frequency of episodes of drunkenness

Table 3 Factors independently associated with alcohol use variables in university students

	High drinking frequency OR (95%CI)*	Heavy episodic drinking OR (95%CI)*	Drunkenness episodes OR (95%CI)*	Problem drinking (CAGE) OR (95%CI)*
Gender				
Females	0.28 (0.20-0.39)	0.26 (0.18-0.37)	0.34 (0.25-0.48)	0.39 (0.27-0.57)
Males	1	1	1	1
Study year	0.96 (0.84-1.11)	0.87 (0.77-0.98)	0.94 (0.83-1.07)	0.97 (0.83-1.14)
Parental educational status				
Both parents high	1.41 (0.94-2.11)	1.42 (0.93-2.15)	1.34 (0.90-1.99)	1.70 (1.09-2.64)
Mother high, father low	1.17 (0.68-2.00)	1.38 (0.79-2.40)	1.02 (0.61-1.72)	0.64 (0.32-1.30)
Father high, mother low	0.88 (0.56-1.40)	1.01 (0.69-1.73)	0.96 (0.62-1.49)	0.69 (0.39-1.23)
Both parents low	1	1	1	1
Perceived income sufficiency				
Always sufficient	0.82 (0.58-1.15)	1.01 (0.72-1.43)	0.90 (0.65-1.26)	0.73 (0.49-1.08)
Other	1	1	1	1
Accommodation during semester				
With parents	0.74 (0.54-1.02)	0.61 (0.44-0.85)	0.73 (0.54-0.99)	0.68 (0.48-0.97)
Other	1	1	1	1
Intimate relationship				
Yes	0.75 (0.54-1.04)	1.06 (0.76-1.50)	0.83 (0.60-1.15)	0.64 (0.45-0.92)
No	1	1	1	1
C-statistics	0.70	0.68	0.69	0.68
Nagelkerke's R-square	0.149	0.131	0.101	0.122

* adjusted for all variables in the table

There was no significant interaction between gender and the independent variables in any of the models.

are both measures which provide useful information for detecting more hazardous drinking.

We found that 60.5% of all males drank once a week or more often, and that 77.0% of males reported heavy episodic drinking. A similar pattern was found for female students. This means that some students drink infrequently, but if they do, they drink a lot. Also other studies found heavy episodic drinking to be a very common pattern among university students [59]. In general, our findings indicate a high frequency of drinking, heavy episodic drinking and drunkenness, as well as problem drinking among university students.

Gender

Among the studied factors, gender had the strongest association with all alcohol-related variables, with males being at higher risk, which is in contrast to some studies indicating a declining difference between genders in alcohol-related variables [60,61]. However, our results are consistent with observations from many previous studies [62-65]. In the literature, the most common explanation for why males and females differ in their drinking behavior is that alcohol consumption symbolizes and enhances male's greater power in relation to females [66,67]. From a biological point of view, females have

lower rates of gastric metabolism of alcohol than males [68,69] and smaller volumes of body water in which the alcohol is distributed [70,71]. Thus females may need to consume less alcohol than males to derive the same effects and may be more likely than males to experience unpleasant acute effects from alcohol [72]. Apparently, these patterns and explanations are still valid in the Slovak student population, in contrast to findings from some Western European countries [39]. On the other hand, we found no interactions between gender and the other sociodemographic variables considered in this analysis, indicating that the effects of other variables on drinking do not differ strongly by gender.

Study year

Based on the assumption that the overall drinking behavior of university students has not changed in recent years, we found only partial evidence of a gradual change in alcohol drinking during the four university years. In our study, the academic year was associated only with heavy episodic drinking. The finding that students from higher study years are less involved in heavy episodic drinking than the students from lower study years may indicate that either the pattern of drinking turns out to be more stable as the students get used to the cultural

norms of university life as time passes or that heavy episodic drinking becomes more prevalent in the new generation of university students. For all other alcohol-related variables, we did not find any significant differences across the university years. This is consistent with other findings related to the development of students during university years [73,74].

Socioeconomic status

Evidence on the relationship between SES and health risk behaviors in adolescence is often inconsistent or even contradictory. This study investigated two different dimensions of SES separately: parental education and students' perceived income sufficiency. According to our results, only students from both extreme groups—highly-educated families (both parents highly educated) as well as lowly-educated families (both parents with a low education level)—faced a higher risk of problem drinking. If students from higher SES families experienced more restraints during adolescence, they might be more prone to excessive drinking when gaining independence. On the other hand, students from lower SES groups might experience a more permissive environment with regard to alcohol and develop problem drinking [75]. In families with differing levels of parental education, there was no difference, regardless of which of the parents achieved the higher level of education. We additionally assessed the effects of perceived income sufficiency on drinking behaviors and observed no association. An explanation for this could be that alcohol is relatively cheap and is easy to access, and that drinking on university campuses is a social activity and students having less money may still be invited by others to go out drinking.

Living at the parental home

Leaving the parental home often coincides with an increase in heavy alcohol use [65]. We found that accommodation is an important risk factor for heavy episodic drinking, alcohol drunkenness and problem drinking among university students. Also, other authors have found an association between the social environment of university life and student drinking [62,76,77]. Probably, the reason for this is a strong response to the social environment (socialization effect). The proximity to parents appears to play a role in protecting students from alcohol problems, as evidenced by the lower rates of drinking problems among students who live with their parents. Parents probably do not tolerate negative alcohol-related behaviors, and they are also able to monitor students who live at home more than those who do not live at home [78]. We did not find differences in the frequency of drinking between students living in the parental home and those who did not. However, as stated above, this is the least strong indicator of alcohol-related

risk behavior, and the lack of a difference might be caused by social drinking at the parental home.

Intimate relationship

We found that having an intimate partner was associated with two alcohol-related variables: respondents with an intimate partner were less involved in frequent drinking and problem drinking than students who were not in an intimate relationship. Although the findings for other drinking patterns were not significant, the same trend was observed for frequency of drunkenness. As a potential explanation of this phenomenon, Silbereisen suggested that involvement in a relationship is accompanied by changes in leisure activities; partners go to pubs or discos less often and seek each other's company in private settings [79]. Another explanation may be that one would not tolerate his/her partner's heavy drinking and students with frequent episodes of heavy drinking are less likely to have stable partnerships.

Limitations

In this survey sociodemographic correlates of four different patterns of alcohol use among university students in Slovakia were studied. Given the self-reported measures of drinking, some underreporting, for example for problem drinking, which is socially undesirable, might have occurred. In line with the National Survey on Drug Use and Health we used the same criterion (5 or more drinks) for measuring heavy episodic drinking for both genders, while many authors argue that four drinks or more should be used for females [26,80]. Due to different physiology, females reach higher blood alcohol concentration levels compared to males after consuming equivalent doses of alcohol and this might have resulted in an underestimation of heavy episodic drinking in female students.

A further limitation is the cross-sectional design, which makes impossible to formulate conclusive statements about causality. We cannot exclude possible biases regarding missing or incorrect information due to social expectation bias in self-reported data, but we made several steps to guarantee confidentiality, which typically reduces social expectation bias. Since the response rate was relatively high and the survey covered different areas and not only the here studied questions, selection bias is likely limited. Some measures used in our study are short and might not have derived the whole information. For example, socio-economic status was measured only indirectly. There are also limitations related to the representativeness of the sample of the present study for all students in Slovakia. While we studied a relatively systematic sample from three universities in one town, the prevalence of alcohol use may be different in other parts of Slovakia.

Conclusion

Overall, the current study confirmed associations between alcohol use and problem drinking and some sociodemographic factors in university students in Slovakia which is in agreement with studies from other populations. It seems that a different historical past did not influence patterns of alcohol use, however, we cannot say whether the situation observed in 2007 is a consequence of recent adaptations or was already in place before the political changes of the early 1990s. Additionally, our findings indicate that frequent alcohol use, excessive alcohol use (heavy episodic drinking and drunkenness), and problem drinking (CAGE) among university students represent a continuum and are influenced by the same sociodemographic and psychosocial factors. Male gender and living in a university campus environment were associated with excessive and problem alcohol use patterns. Another factor that affects student problem drinking is an intimate relationship. For the study year and the SES we were not able to confirm the expected associations, however. These findings should be taken into account when developing prevention programs.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-20-038205 and The Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic and the Slovak Academy of Sciences under Contract No. VEGA 1/4518/07.

Author details

¹Department of Psychology, Faculty of Arts, PJ Safarik University in Kosice, Kosice, Slovak Republic. ²Department of Educational Psychology and Health Psychology, Faculty of Arts, PJ Safarik University in Kosice, Kosice, Slovak Republic. ³Kosice Institute for Society and Health, PJ Safarik University, Kosice, Slovak Republic. ⁴Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, Bremen, Germany. ⁵Department of Social Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁶Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, Achterstr. 30, D-28359 Bremen, Germany.

Authors' contributions

RS developed the research question, conducted the analyses and drafted the manuscript. OO and JpVd commented extensively on the manuscript. RTM developed the study design, supervised the analysis, contributed to interpretation and writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 14 July 2011 Accepted: 9 November 2011

Published: 9 November 2011

References

- Hibell BAB, Bjarnason T, Ahlström S, Balakireva O, Kokkevi A, Morgan M: *The ESPAD Report 2003. Alcohol and Other Drug Use Among Students in 35 European Countries* Stockholm: The Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs (CAN); 2004.
- Casswell S, Pledger M, Hooper R: **Socioeconomic status and drinking patterns in young adults.** *Addiction* 2003, **98**:601-610.
- Fillmore KM, Hartka E, Johnstone BM, Leino EV, Otoyoshi MM, Temple MT: **A meta-analysis of life course variation in drinking.** *Br J Addict* 1991, **86**:1221-1268.
- Ilhan I, Yildirim F, Demirbas H, Dogan YB: **Alcohol use prevalence and sociodemographic correlates of alcohol use in a university student sample in Turkey.** *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2008, **43**:575-583.
- Palen L-A, Coatsworth JD: **Activity-based identity experiences and their relations to problem behavior and psychological well-being in adolescence.** *J Adolesc* 2007, **30**:721-737.
- Schulenberg JE, Maggs JL: **A developmental perspective on alcohol use and heavy drinking during adolescence and the transition to young adulthood.** *J Stud Alcohol* 2002, **54**:70.
- Aarons GA, Brown SA, Coe MT, Myers MG, Garland AF, Ezzet-Lofstrom R, Hazen AL, Hough RL: **Adolescent alcohol and drug abuse and health.** *J Adolesc Health: Official Publication Of The Society For Adolescent Medicine* 1999, **24**:412-421.
- Grube J, Morgan M, McGree S: **Attitudes and normative beliefs as predictors of smoking intentions and behaviors: A test of three models.** *Br J Soc Psychol* 1986, **24**:81-83.
- McKee M, Pomerleau J, Robertson A, Pudule I, Grinberga D, Kadziauskiene K, Abaravicius A, Vaask S: **Alcohol consumption in the Baltic Republics.** *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2000, **54**:361-366.
- Perdrix J, Bovet P, Larue D, Yersin B, Burnand B, Paccaud F: **Patterns of alcohol consumption in the Seychelles Islands (Indian Ocean).** *Alcohol Alcohol (Oxford, Oxfordshire)* 1999, **34**:773-785.
- Sieri S, Agudo A, Kesse E, Klipstein-Grobusch K, San-Jose B, Welch AA, Krogh V, Luben R, Allen N, Overvad K, et al: **Patterns of alcohol consumption in 10 European countries participating in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) project.** *Public Health Nutr* 2002, **5**:1287-1296.
- Mohan D, Chopra A, Sethi H: **Incidence estimates of substance use disorders in a cohort from Delhi, India.** *Indian J Med Res* 2002, **115**:128-135.
- Peltzer K: **Health behaviour among black and white South Africans.** *J R Soc Health* 2002, **122**:187-193.
- Miller JW, Gfroerer JC, Brewer RD, Naimi TS, Mokdad A, Giles WH: **Prevalence of adult binge drinking: a comparison of two national surveys.** *Am J Prev Med* 2004, **27**:197-204.
- Siegfried N, Parry CD, Morojele NK, Wason D: **Profile of drinking behaviour and comparison of self-report with the CAGE questionnaire and carbohydrate-deficient transferrin in a rural Lesotho community.** *Alcohol Alcohol (Oxford, Oxfordshire)* 2001, **36**:243-248.
- Wilsnack RW, Vogeltanz ND, Wilsnack SC, Harris TR: **Gender differences in alcohol consumption and adverse drinking consequences: cross-cultural patterns.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 2000, **95**:251-265.
- Yamamoto J, Silva JA, Sasao T, Wang C, Nguyen L: **Alcoholism in Peru.** *Am J Psychiatry* 1993, **150**:1059-1062.
- Graham K, Wilsnack R, Dawson D, Vogeltanz N: **Should alcohol consumption measures be adjusted for gender differences?** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 1998, **93**:1137-1147.
- Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Chou PS: **Another look at heavy episodic drinking and alcohol use disorders among college and noncollege youth.** *J Stud Alcohol* 2004, **65**:477-488.
- Windle M: **Alcohol use among adolescents and young adults.** *Alcohol Res Health: The Journal Of The National Institute On Alcohol Abuse And Alcoholism* 2003, **27**:79-85.
- Bishop DJ, Weisgram ES, Holleque KM, Lund KE, Wheeler-Anderson JR: **Identity development and alcohol consumption: current and retrospective self-reports by college students.** *J Adolesc* 2005, **28**:523-533.
- Hartzler B, Fromme K: **Heavy episodic drinking and college entrance.** *J Drug Educ* 2003, **33**:259-274.
- McCabe SE, Schulenberg JE, Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG, Kloska DD: **Selection and socialization effects of fraternities and sororities on US college student substance use: a multi-cohort national longitudinal study.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 2005, **100**:512-524.
- White HR, McMorris BJ, Catalano RF, Fleming CB, Haggerty KP, Abbott RD: **Increases in alcohol and marijuana use during the transition out of high school into emerging adulthood: The effects of leaving home, going to college, and high school protective factors.** *J Stud Alcohol* 2006, **67**:810-822.
- Akmatov M, Manas K, Mikolajczyk R, Meier S, Krämer A: **Alcohol consumption among university students in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany - results from a multicentre cross-sectional study.** *J Am College Health* 2010.

26. Borsari B, Murphy JG, Barnett NP: **Predictors of alcohol use during the first year of college: implications for prevention.** *Addict Behav* 2007, **32**:2062-2086.
27. Harford TC, Wechsler H, Muthen BO: **Alcohol-related aggression and drinking at off-campus parties and bars: a national study of current drinkers in college.** *J Stud Alcohol* 2003, **64**:704-711.
28. Hingson R, Heeren T, Winter M, Wechsler H: **Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24: changes from 1998 to 2001.** *Annu Rev Public Health* 2005, **26**:259-279.
29. Geckova AM, van Dijk JP, Zezula I, Tuinstra J, Groothoff JW, Post D: **Socio-economic differences in health among Slovak adolescents.** *Soz Pravitivmed* 2004, **49**:26-35.
30. Lintonen T, Rimpela M, Vikat A, Rimpela A: **The effect of societal changes on drunkenness trends in early adolescence.** *Health Educ Resh* 2000, **15**:261-269.
31. Casswell S, Pledger M, Hooper R: **Socioeconomic status and drinking patterns in young adults.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 2003, **98**:601-610.
32. Leveque A, Humblet PC, Wilmet-Dramaix M, Lagasse R: **Do social class differentials in health and health behaviors exist in young people (15-to-24-year-olds) in Belgium?** *Revue D' Epidemiologie Et De Sante Publique* 2002, **50**:371-382.
33. Tuinstra J, Groothoff JW, van den Heuvel WJ, Post D: **Socio-economic differences in health risk behavior in adolescence: do they exist?** *Soc Sci Med (1982)* 1998, **47**:67-74.
34. Vereecken CA, Maes L, De Bacquer D: **The influence of parental occupation and the pupils' educational level on lifestyle behaviors among adolescents in Belgium.** *J Adolesc Health: Official Publication Of The Society For Adolescent Medicine* 2004, **34**:330-338.
35. Dantzer C, Wardle J, Fuller R, Pampalona SZ, Steptoe A: **International study of heavy drinking: Attitudes and sociodemographic factors in university students: Erratum.** *J Am Coll Health* 2007, **55**:245-245.
36. Weitzman ER, Folkman A, Folkman MPHKL, Wechsler H: **The relationship of alcohol outlet density to heavy and frequent drinking and drinking-related problems among college students at eight universities.** *Health Place* 2003, **9**:1-6.
37. Vollrath M, Torgersen S: **Who takes health risks? A probe into eight personality types.** *Pers Individ Differ* 2002, **32**:1185-1197.
38. Engels RC, Knibbe RA: **Alcohol use and intimate relationships in adolescence: when love comes to town.** *Addict Behav* 2000, **25**:435-439.
39. WHO: *Global Status Report: Alcohol Policy* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse; 2004.
40. Kuendig H, Plant ML, Plant MA, Kuntsche S, Miller P, Gmel G, Ahlstrom S, Bergmark KH, Olafsdottir H, Elekes Z, et al: **Beyond drinking: differential effects of demographic and socioeconomic factors on alcohol-related adverse consequences across European countries.** *Eur Addict Res* 2008, **14**:150-160.
41. Fals-Stewart W, Birchler GR, O'Farrell TJ: **Drug-abusing patients and their intimate partners: Dyadic adjustment, relationship stability, and substance use.** *J Abnorm Psychol* 1999, **108**:11-23.
42. Floyd FJ, Cranford JA, Daugherty MK, Fitzgerald HE, Zucker RA: **Marital interaction in alcoholic and nonalcoholic couples: alcoholic subtype variations and wives' alcoholism status.** *J Abnorm Psychol* 2006, **115**:121-130.
43. McAlaney J, McMahon J: **Normative beliefs, misperceptions, and heavy episodic drinking in a British student sample.** *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2007, **68**:385-392.
44. Weitzman ER, Chen Y-Y: **The co-occurrence of smoking and drinking among young adults in college: national survey results from the United States.** *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2005, **80**:377-386.
45. D'Alessio M, Baiocco R, Laghi F: **The problem of binge drinking among Italian university students: a preliminary investigation.** *Addict Behav* 2006, **31**:2328-2333.
46. Stock C, Mikolajczyk R, Bloomfield K, Maxwell AE, Ozebe H, Petkeviciene J, Naydenova V, Marin-Fernandez B, El-Ansari W, Krämer A: **Alcohol consumption and attitudes towards banning alcohol sales on campus among European university students.** *Public Health* 2009, **123**:122-129.
47. Marusic A, Petrovic A, Zorko M: **Editorial: does suicide know the points of the compass?** *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2008, **54**:387-389.
48. Rehm JRR, Monteiro M, (Ed.), et al: *Comparative Quantification of Health Risks. Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risk Factors* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
49. Wechsler H, Dowdall GW, Davenport A, Castillo S: **Correlates of college student binge drinking.** *Am J Public Health* 1995, **85**:921-926.
50. Lemon J: **Comment on the concept of binge drinking.** *J Addict Nurs* 2007, **18**:147-148.
51. Herring R, Berridge V, Thom B: **Binge drinking: an exploration of a confused concept.** *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2008, **62**:476-479.
52. Read JP, Beattie M, Chamberlain R, Merrill JE: **Beyond the "Binge" threshold: heavy drinking patterns and their association with alcohol involvement indices in college students.** *Addict Behav* 2008, **33**:225-234.
53. Turner JC, Bauerle J, Shu J: **Estimated blood alcohol concentration correlation with self-reported negative consequences among college students using alcohol.** *J Stud Alcohol* 2004, **65**:741-749.
54. El Ansari W, Maxwell AE, Mikolajczyk RT, Stock C, Naydenova V, Krämer A: **Promoting public health: benefits and challenges of a Europeanwide research consortium on student health.** *Cent Eur J Public Health* 2007, **15**:58-65.
55. Ewing JA: **Detecting alcoholism. The CAGE questionnaire.** *JAMA: The Journal Of The American Medical Association* 1984, **252**:1905-1907.
56. Briggs SR, Cheek JM: **The role of factor analysis in the development and evaluation of personality scales.** *J Pers* 1986, **54**:106-148.
57. Yule G: **On the methods of measuring association between two attributes.** *J Royal Stat Soc* 1912, **75**:579-652.
58. Anderson P: **Management of alcohol problems: the role of the general practitioner.** *Alcohol Alcohol (Oxford, Oxfordshire)* 1993, **28**:263-272.
59. Andersson A, Wiréhn A-B, Olvander C, Ekman DS, Bendtsen P: **Alcohol use among university students in Sweden measured by an electronic screening instrument.** *BMC Public Health* 2009, **9**:229-229.
60. Martin CM, Hoffman MA: **Alcohol expectancies, living environment, peer influence, and gender: a model of college-student drinking.** *J Coll Stud Dev* 1993, **34**:206-211.
61. Maney DW: **Predicting university students' use of alcoholic beverages.** *J Coll Stud Dev* 1990, **31**:23-32.
62. De Micheli D, Formigoni MLOS: **Drug use by Brazilian students: associations with family, psychosocial, health, demographic and behavioral characteristics.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 2004, **99**:570-578.
63. Ljubotina D, Galic J, Jukic V: **Prevalence and risk factors of substance use among urban adolescents: questionnaire study.** *Croatian Medical Journal* 2004, **45**:88-98.
64. Pedersen W, Skrondal A: **Ecstasy and new patterns of drug use: a normal population study.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 1999, **94**:1695-1706.
65. O'Malley PM, Johnston LD: **Epidemiology of alcohol and other drug use among American college students.** *J Stud Alcohol Suppl* 2002, **23**:39.
66. McClelland DC, Davis WN, Kalin R, Wanner E: *The Drinking Man: Alcohol and Human Motivation* New York, NY US: Free Press; 1972.
67. Nicolaides BM: **The state's "sharp line between the sexes": women, alcohol and the law in the United States, 1850-1980.** *Addiction (Abingdon, England)* 1996, **91**:1211-1229.
68. Baraona E, Abittan CS, Dohmen K, Moretti M, Pozzato G, Chayes ZW, Schaefer C, Lieber CS: **Gender differences in pharmacokinetics of alcohol.** *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2001, **25**:502-507.
69. Thomasson HR: **Gender differences in alcohol metabolism. Physiological responses to ethanol.** *Recent Developments In Alcoholism: An Official Publication Of The American Medical Society On Alcoholism, The Research Society On Alcoholism, And The National Council On Alcoholism* 1995, **12**:163-179.
70. Mirand AL, Welte JW: **Total body water adjustment of mean alcohol intakes.** *J Subst Abuse* 1994, **6**:419-425.
71. York JL, Welte JW: **Gender comparisons of alcohol consumption in alcoholic and nonalcoholic populations.** *J Stud Alcohol* 1994, **55**:743-750.
72. Slutske WS, Heath AC, Madden PA, Bucholz KK, Dinwiddie SH, Dunne MP, Statham DS, Whitfield JB, Martin NG: **Is alcohol-related flushing a protective factor for alcoholism in Caucasians?** *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1995, **19**:582-592.
73. Klein H: **Changes in college students' use and abuse of alcohol, and in their attitudes toward drinking over the course of their college years.** *J Youth Adolesc* 1994, **23**:251-269.
74. Lehmann IJ: **Changes in critical thinking, attitudes, and values from freshman to senior years.** *J Educ Psychol* 1963, **54**:305-315.
75. Spijkerman R, van den Eijnden RJM, Huijberts A: **Socioeconomic differences in alcohol-specific parenting practices and adolescents' drinking patterns.** *Eur Addict Res* 2008, **14**:26-37.

76. Sher KJ, Bartholow BD, Nanda S: **Short- and long-term effects of fraternity and sorority membership on heavy drinking: a social norms perspective.** *Psychol Addict Behav* 2001, **15**:42-51.
77. Valliant PM, Scanlan P: **Personality, living arrangements, and alcohol use by first year university students.** *Society for Personality Research* 1996, **24**:151-156.
78. Ham LS, Hope DA: **College students and problematic drinking: a review of the literature.** *Clin Psychol Rev* 2003, **23**:719-759.
79. Silbereisen RK, Noack P, von Eye A: **Adolescents' development of romantic friendship and change in favorite leisure contexts.** *J Adolesc Res* 1992, **7**:80-93.
80. Wechsler H, Nelson TF: **Binge drinking and the American college students: What's five drinks?** *Psychol Addict Behav* 2001, **15**:287-291.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/11/849/prepub>

doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-849

Cite this article as: Sebena et al: Selected sociodemographic factors and related differences in patterns of alcohol use among university students in Slovakia. *BMC Public Health* 2011 **11**:849.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

