

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011 August ; 20(8): 1751–1759. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0381.

Hormonal Factors and the Risk of Papillary Thyroid Cancer in the California Teachers Study Cohort

Pamela L. Horn-Ross¹, Alison J. Canchola¹, Huiyan Ma², Peggy Reynolds¹, and Leslie Bernstein²

¹Cancer Prevention Institute of California, Fremont, CA

²Division of Cancer Etiology, Department of Population Sciences, Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope, Duarte, CA

Abstract

Background—Despite the increasing incidence of thyroid cancer, there is limited information on its etiology. The strikingly higher rates in young women, compared to men, suggest that sex steroid hormones may be involved in the development of this disease.

Methods—We investigated the effects of menstrual, reproductive, and other hormonal factors on papillary thyroid cancer risk in the prospective California Teachers Study (CTS) cohort. Among 117,646 women, 233 were diagnosed with invasive histologically-confirmed papillary thyroid cancer after cohort enrollment and before January 1, 2008. Relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models.

Results—Among younger women (age <45 years at baseline; approximately one-third of the cohort), but not older women, later age at menarche (age 14 years) was associated with increased risk (RR=1.88, 95% CI: 1.13–3.13; p_{interaction} by age=0.06). Risk was also increased among young women who had longer (>30 days) adolescent menstrual cycles (RR=1.78, 95% CI: 1.01–3.14) and whose last pregnancy had ended within five years of cohort enrollment (RR=2.21, 95% CI: 1.13–4.34). Among older women (age 45 years at baseline), ever use of estrogen-only therapy was associated with a statistically non-significant increase in risk (RR=1.69, 95% CI: 0.95–2.98).

Conclusions—The findings from this prospective analysis suggest that several factors related to delayed pubertal development and the transient effects of pregnancy may be particularly important in influencing risk in young women.

Impact—These results suggest the importance of future research into the role of progesterone and the estrogen-to-progesterone ratio.

Keywords

papillary thyroid cancer; menstrual factors; reproductive factors; exogenous hormone use; epidemiology

Introduction

Thyroid cancer incidence has risen substantially over the last decade (1). It is now the seventh most commonly occurring cancer in US women and the second most common

Conflict of Interest: None

Corresponding Author: Pamela L. Horn-Ross, Ph.D., Cancer Prevention Institute of California, 2201 Walnut Ave., Suite 300, Fremont, CA 94538; phone: 510-608-5000; fax: 510-608-5085; pam@cpic.org.

among young women (ages 20–44 years) (1). Overall, thyroid cancer is three times more common in women than men, with the greatest gender differences observed between the ages of 25 and 64 (1). Yet, with the exception of radiation exposure and a personal or family history of proliferative thyroid disease (2–4), its causes are still largely unestablished. The striking gender differences in incidence strongly suggest that sex steroid hormones may be involved in the development of this disease. A large pooled analysis of case-control studies found only weak associations between thyroid cancer and several menstrual and reproductive factors, such as later age at menarche, miscarriages, and parity (5). Examination of more complex relationships, such as those that reflect exposure to estrogens unopposed by progesterone (e.g., irregular menstrual cycles), may provide additional information. Indeed, several more recent studies have suggested that irregular menstrual cycles and a pregnancy within the five years prior to diagnosis increase risk in young women (6–12).

We investigated the effects of various aspects of menstrual and reproductive factors on papillary thyroid cancer risk in the prospective California Teachers Study (CTS) cohort. Papillary thyroid cancer, including its variant mixed papillary/follicular, is the most common type of thyroid cancer accounting for about 80% to 85% of all thyroid cancers in iodine sufficient, non-endemic goiter areas, including California. Since the etiology of thyroid cancer is likely to differ by histologic type, we focus here on tumors with papillary components only.

Material and Methods

Study Population and Data Collection

The CTS cohort was established in 1995–96 when 133,479 active and retired female teachers and administrators participating in the California State Teachers Retirement System returned a mailed self-administered questionnaire covering a wide variety of issues related to women's health, including extensive questions on menstrual and reproductive histories and use of exogenous hormones (13). Exposure data used in this analysis are based on responses to this baseline questionnaire. Cohort member were excluded (sequentially) from this analysis if they did not reside in California at baseline (n=8,867); restricted their participation to breast cancer research (n=18); reported having been diagnosed with thyroid cancer before completing the baseline questionnaire, were identified by the California Cancer Registry (CCR) as having had a previous thyroid cancer, or did not adequately complete items related to a history of thyroid cancer (n=1219); or were age 80 years or older at baseline (n=5,729). Of the 117,646 women included in this analysis, 233 were diagnosed with invasive histologically-confirmed papillary thyroid cancer (ICD-O-3 site code: C73.9; histology codes: 8050, 8260, 8340-8344, and 8350) after joining the cohort and before January 1, 2008. Women diagnosed with other histologic types of thyroid cancer or in situ thyroid cancer, and women who moved out of California or died before January 1, 2008 were censored at the date of the first of these events.

The CTS has been approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the State of California, the Cancer Prevention Institute of California (formerly the Northern California Cancer Center), the City of Hope, the University of Southern California, and the University of California, Irvine.

Follow-up

The CTS cohort is followed annually for cancer diagnoses, death, and changes of address. Annual linkage between the CCR and the cohort membership is used to identify incident cancers occurring among cohort members. The CCR is a population-based cancer registry

that is anchored in legislation that mandates reporting. It covers the state of California, has interstate agreements with 13 other states for case-sharing purposes, is estimated to be over 99% complete (14), and is part of the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program. Thus, follow-up for cancer outcomes among cohort members residing in California is virtually complete.

Linkage between the CTS cohort and the CCR database is based on full name, date of birth, address, and social security number and includes manual review of possible matches. Linkages with mortality files, the Social Security death masterfile, and the National Death Index are used to ascertain date and cause of death. Changes of address are obtained by annual mailings, responses from participants, and record linkages with multiple sources, including the US Postal Service National Change of Address database.

Data Analysis

Follow-up time was calculated as the number of days between cohort enrollment (i.e., the date the baseline questionnaire was completed) and either the date of invasive papillary thyroid cancer diagnosis, the diagnosis of another type of invasive thyroid cancer or any type of in-situ thyroid cancer, the date of death, the date (or estimated date) the woman moved out of California, or December 31, 2007, whichever came first. Relative risks (RR; hazard rate ratios) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models with age (in days) as the timescale and stratified by age at baseline (in years). As specified in the tables, relative risks were adjusted for race/ethnicity (white, non-white, missing), family history of thyroid cancer in a first degree relative (parent, sibling or child; yes, no, adopted/missing), age at menarche (<14 years, 14 years, never menstruated/missing), length of adolescent menstrual cycle and time until periods became regular (cycle 30 days, cycle >30 days with irregular periods for < 5 years, cycle >30 days with irregular periods for 5 years, periods never became regular, never menstruated//missing), years between last pregnancy and joining the cohort (5 years, >5 years, never pregnant, missing), smoking history (never, ever, missing), alcohol consumption in the year before baseline (non-drinker, <10 g/d, 10 g/d, missing), average lifetime (high school to age 54 years or age at joining the cohort if younger than 54 years) moderate and strenuous recreational physical activity (inactive (1 hr/wk), active (>1 hr/ wk), missing) and height (<67 inches, 67 inches, missing). These covariates were included based on their independent association with risk in our cohort and prior knowledge of thyroid cancer risk factors; variable definitions were chosen which best described the relationship with risk while preserving parsimony. Results are presented for all women combined and separately, for younger (age at baseline <45 years) and older women (age at baseline 45 years). These age cut-points were chosen for comparability with previous studies which have suggested age differences in risk factors (8, 10-12), to correspond with the peak of the age-specific incidence curve for papillary thyroid cancer (11), and to reflect the reproductive period.

Likelihood ratio tests for interaction between age at baseline (<45 years vs 45 years) and the reproductive and menstrual factors of interest were computed based on comparing models with and without cross-product terms separately for each of the main effect variables; main effect variables were dichotomized in these models. The proportional hazards assumption for each adjustment variable and main effect was evaluated using a likelihood ratio test of interaction with the age time-scale (continuous) based on crossproduct terms. There were no violations of the proportional hazards assumption for any of the main effects or adjustment variables.

Results

Table 1 presents the distributions of the factors of interest and potential confounders included in the present analysis for the analytic cohort as a whole and stratified by age at baseline (<45 years and 45 years). Twenty-nine percent of the cohort was under age 45 at the time of joining the cohort. Compared to older women, women under age 45 were more likely to be of non-white race/ethnicity, to ever have used oral contraceptives, and to be nulliparous, non-smokers, non- or light alcohol drinkers, taller, and engage in recreational physical activity. Among older women, over 50% had used hormone therapy.

Table 2 presents the associations between menstrual factors, use of oral contraceptives (OC), hormone therapy (HT), and papillary thyroid cancer risk. Later menarche was associated with increased risk of papillary thyroid cancer among younger women (RR=1.88, 95% CI: 1.13–3.13 for age 14 compared to <14 years), but not among older women (RR=1.01, 95%) CI: 0.69–1.48, pinteraction=0.06). Younger women who reported experiencing longer (>30 days) menstrual cycles during adolescence were also at greater risk (RR=1.78, 95% CI: 1.01-3.14) than those reporting shorter cycles, but no such association was observed among older women (RR=1.21, 95% CI: 0.75-1.95, pinteraction=0.36). Additional adjustment for body mass index at age 18 did not affect these estimates. Joint examination of the timing of menarche and adolescent cycle length among younger women showed that, compared to women with earlier menarche and shorter cycle length, women who experienced later menarche and had longer cycle length were at increased risk of papillary thyroid cancer (RR=3.78, 95% CI: 1.67–8.56), whereas women with later menarche and shorter cycle length (RR=1.61, 95% CI: 0.85–3.06) or women with earlier menarche and longer cycle length (RR=1.34, 95% CI: 0.62–2.90) were not. However, the interaction between age at menarche and cycle length was not statistically significant (pinteraction=0.37). To further examine the effects of irregular menstrual periods during adolescence, we constructed a variable reflecting both cycle length and how quickly a girl's periods became regular following menarche (Table 2). Among women reporting an adolescent cycle length of 30 days or less, 94% reported having regular periods within five years of menarche. Among women with longer adolescent cycles, those whose cycles continued to be irregular for more than five years were at increased risk of developing papillary thyroid cancer (RR=1.92, 95% CI: 1.07–3.47); this finding was similar for both younger and older women. However, women who reported never having had regular cycles were not at substantially increased risk.

Among younger women, oral conceptive use, and among older women, use of estrogenalone therapy (ET), but not combined estrogen-progesterone therapy (EPT), were associated with some elevation in risk of papillary thyroid cancer; however, these estimates did not reach statistical significance (Table 2). For OC use, no trend was observed by duration of use (Table 2) or recency of use (data not shown). Since the prescription of ET alone is often restricted to women who have had an oophorectomy, we additionally evaluated the risk of thyroid cancer due to HT by adjusting for and stratifying by oophorectomy status to assess confounding and effect modification, respectively. Neither of these procedures substantially changed the risk estimates associated with the various types of HT use, although the number of cases with oophorectomy was small and the risk estimates in this stratum were unstable (data not shown). Combining those who used only ET with those who used ET followed by EPT, the RR for ever use of ET was 1.69 (95% CI: 0.95–2.98). Among younger women, but not older women, having had an oophorectomy (either unilateral or bilateral) was associated with a statistically non-significant elevation in risk (RR=2.02, 95% CI: 0.79-5.15 and RR=1.03, 95% CI: 0.70–1.53 for women age <45 and 45 at baseline, respectively; pinteraction=0.20). Among older women, those who were premenopausal at baseline were also at a statistically non-significant increased risk (RR=1.64, 95% CI: 0.84–3.18; Table 2).

Table 3 presents the associations between reproductive history and papillary thyroid cancer. Most factors were not associated with risk in either minimally-adjusted or fully-adjusted models. Among younger women (i.e., those of reproductive age at baseline), however, we observed a statistically significant increased risk among those whose last pregnancy had ended within five years of cohort enrollment (RR=2.28, 95% CI: 1.16–4.45), relative to those whose pregnancies had occurred further in the past. Splitting follow-up time into two periods, <7 and 7 yrs, the effects associated with a recent pregnancy were generally similar for the earlier and later follow-up periods (RR=2.53, 95% CI: 0.95–6.77 and RR=2.07, 95% CI: 0.82–5.22, respectively). Due to small numbers, we were unable to examine these associations within finer subgroups defined by either age at baseline or follow-up time.

Discussion

In this prospective study, we observed independent associations between papillary thyroid cancer and hormonal exposures during adolescence and early adulthood, including later age at menarche, longer menstrual cycle length during adolescence, and a recent pregnancy among younger women. Similar associations were not observed among older women, although risk was somewhat elevated among women who ever used menopausal ET, a finding that was not attributable to having had an oophorectomy.

The substantially greater incidence of thyroid cancer in women compared to men and the peak incidence during the reproductive years in women has led to the investigation of the influence of menstrual and reproductive events in several previous studies, including the international pooled analysis of 14 case-control studies with data on almost 1800 women with papillary thyroid cancer (15). Individually, the studies included in this pooled analysis had found conflicting and often weak results. However, it had been observed that aspects of pubertal development and, particularly among younger women (age<45 years), parity increased a woman's risk of developing thyroid cancer in most studies (6-8, 11, 12). In the pooled analysis, weak associations were observed between increased thyroid cancer risk and later age at menarche, having had a miscarriage (particularly as the outcome of the first pregnancy), and having had a full-term pregnancy, but no association was observed for age at first and last birth (5). More recent studies have consistently suggested that the critical aspect of parity may be an elevation in risk during the five years following a pregnancy, particularly when a subsequent pregnancy occurs during that period, but with risk diminishing thereafter (8-11). The findings from this prospective study are consistent with these observations and demonstrate that several aspects of pubertal development have independent effects on risk.

Later age at menarche has been associated with irregular and anovulatory menstrual cycles (16). Both later menarche and irregular cycle length have been associated with increased risk of thyroid cancer (5, 7, 10), although when examined by age, the elevated risk associated with later age at menarche has been largely found among older (age 45 years) women (8, 12) while the risk for irregular cycles has been more consistently observed for younger women (6, 12). We found both later menarche and longer adolescent cycle length to be independently related to risk in women who were under age 45 years at baseline. The independence of the two factors in our findings suggests that later age at menarche may reflect an impact on risk other than through cycle length. Our menarche finding is consistent with a recently published cohort study of thyroid cancer in radiologic technicians, most of whom were younger than age 50 years at cohort entry (17). To the extent that longer cycle length in adolescence is related to irregular cycles, our finding is consistent with the majority of the available literature. Our results for irregular periods, however, are mixed: women whose periods became regular more than five years after menstruation began were at increased risk of thyroid cancer while those whose periods never became regular were not.

Whether this is indicative of a perception or reporting difference or reflective of biologic differences is not clear.

Thyroid volume and thyroid stimulating hormone levels vary across the menstrual cycle, however, how these changes relate to thyroid carcinogenesis remains unclear (18–20). Increased thyroid volume has been associated with later age at menarche and diminished progesterone levels in adolescent girls (21). Irregular cycles are characterized by an increase in the length of the follicular (pre-ovulatory) phase of the cycle and a progesterone deficit related to a lack of the normal progesterone surge that occurs during the luteal (post-ovulatory) phase (22). Thus, the menstrual-related papillary thyroid cancer risk factors observed in the present study are consistent with the hypothesis that reduced progesterone exposure may increase thyroid cancer risk.

Recent pregnancy has been associated with increased risk of thyroid cancer in our study as well as others (8–11). While both estrogen and progesterone increase substantially throughout pregnancy, the progesterone-to-estrogen ratio is highest during the first trimester and significant between-group variation has been observed. Potischman et al. (23) found that, compared to white women, African American women (who experience substantially lower thyroid cancer rates than white women during the reproductive years) had higher levels of progesterone during the first trimester of pregnancy but estradiol and estrone levels did not differ between the two groups. These observations are generally consistent with the hypothesis that reduced thyroid cancer risk is associated with a higher progesterone, although the progesterone-to-estrogen ratio may be equally or more important. Our findings in older women of increased risk with ET use, but not EPT use, also support the progesterone-to-estrogen ratio hypothesis. In older women where endogenous hormones are at substantially lower levels than earlier in life, exogenous hormones may have greater influence. The use of EPT would thus increase both estrogen and progesterone levels while the use of ET would increase only estrogen levels, thus, reducing the progesterone-toestrogen ratio and increasing thyroid cancer risk. Previous research on the association between HT and thyroid cancer risk have generally been null, however, none of the prior studies have evaluated type of HT preparation (6, 9, 11, 12, 17, 24–27).

In-vitro studies using papillary thyroid cancer cell lines have shown that exposure to estradiol increases cell proliferation via the estrogen receptor (ER), whereas the addition of tamoxifen, an antiestrogen, halts proliferation (28). Thyroid tissue is responsive to steroid hormones. Estrogen and progesterone receptors have been identified in papillary thyroid tumors and normal thyroid tissue (29) and ERa has been shown to be more highly expressed in papillary thyroid cancer in younger women than in older women, men, or in normal thyroid tissue (30). Based on the risk factor patterns observed in the present study, particularly the risk associated with a recent pregnancy and use of menopausal ET, the influence of steroid hormones on the development of thyroid cancer may be most important in the later, promotional stage of carcinogenesis (31).

Strengths of this study include its prospective nature which minimizes any recall bias and the examination of both standard measures of menstrual and reproductive events as well as more detailed variables, such as characteristics of adolescent menstrual cycles. Limitations include the relatively small number of papillary thyroid cancer cases (n=233). However, due to the design of our cohort, which included a substantial number of women under the age of 45 compared to most other cohorts that recruited only women over that age, we were able to prospectively evaluate thyroid cancer risk factors in this group of high-risk women. Another limitation is lack of information on pregnancies occurring after enrollment. However, our analysis of the effects of recency of pregnancy at baseline by length of follow-up (<7 vs 7 yrs) serves to partially address this issue.

Two areas of potential bias should also be noted. It is also possible that greater medical attention during pregnancy may result in thyroid tumors being diagnosed during this period that would have gone undiagnosed otherwise. While we have no information on how tumors came to light in the present study, the similar relative risks for recency of pregnancy regardless of follow-up period also provides evidence that surveillance bias is not the sole reason for this finding. In addition, in our previous case-control study we found that the relative risk associated with the number of pregnancies occurring within the previous five vears was stronger for women who first found the tumor themselves as opposed to tumors which were first found by a physician (11). There is also concern that greater reporting errors between by older women may result in differential misclassification by age group influencing our age-specific findings for menstrual factors and OC use. While we found several menstrual-related factors (i.e., age at menarche, cycle length, and OC use) to be more strongly associated with risk in younger women than older women, we found similar relative risks for both age groups associated with the time until regular menstruation was established. It is unlikely that reporting of this latter factor among older women would be more accurate than the former factors; thus, providing some evidence that our age group differences are not solely due to misclassification error. In addition, while the findings for age at menarche by age group are mixed, studies that have examined irregular menstruation and OC use have generally found these effects to be stronger in younger women (6, 12, 24).

Finally, while missing data for most of the variables of interest was small, we conducted sensitivity analyses for those factors for which missing data was 4% or greater. We observed <10% change in the relative risks for adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruation. While changes in the relative risks for ever use of oral contraceptives and the use of various HT preparations were larger (23% and 13%–14%, respectively, when assuming that all missing data were in truth never users of these compounds), the observed patterns remained quite similar to those reported and our conclusions regarding the effects of these compounds on risk did not change.

In summary, the increasing incidence of thyroid cancer serves to underscore the public health importance of identifying factors which may predispose young women to developing this cancer. The findings from this prospective analysis suggest that several factors related to delayed pubertal development and the transient effects of pregnancy may be particularly important in influencing risk. Together they point to the likely importance of a progesterone deficit or equivalently, of estrogen unopposed by progesterone, in the etiology of papillary thyroid cancer.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the CTS Steering Committee who are responsible for the formation and maintenance of the cohort.

Grant Support

This research was supported by grants R03 CA125819 and R01 CA77398 from the National Cancer Institute and contract 97-10500 from the California Breast Cancer Research Fund. The funding sources did not contribute to the design or conduct of the study, nor to the writing or submission of this manuscript.

The collection of cancer incidence data used in this study was supported by the California Department of Health Services as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program under contract N01-PC-35136 awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California (formerly the Northern California Cancer Center), contract N01-PC-35139 awarded to the University of Southern California, and contract N02-PC-15105 awarded to the Public Health Institute; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries, under agreement #U55/CCR921930-02 awarded to the Public Health Institute. The ideas and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and endorsement by the State of California, Department of

References

- Horner, MJ.; Ries, LAG.; Krapcho, M.; Neyman, N.; Aminou, R.; Howlander, N., et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2006, http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2006/, based on November 2008 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER website. 2009.
- Franceschi S, Preston-Martin S, Dal Maso L, Negri E, La Vecchia C, Mack WJ, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. IV. Benign thyroid diseases. Cancer Causes Control. 1999; 10:583–595. [PubMed: 10616827]
- Horn-Ross P, Morris J, Lee M, West D, Whittemore A, McDougall I, et al. Iodine and thyroid cancer risk among women in a multiethnic population: The Bay Area Thyroid Cancer Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2001; 10:979–986.
- Preston-Martin S, Franceschi S, Ron E, Negri E. Thyroid cancer pooled analysis from 14 casecontrol studies: what have we learned? Cancer Causes Control. 2003; 14:787–789. [PubMed: 14674743]
- Negri E, Dal Maso L, Ron E, La Vecchia C, Mark SD, Preston-Martin S, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer II: Menstrual and reproductive factors. Cancer Causes Control. 1999; 10:143–155. [PubMed: 10231163]
- Mack WJ, Preston-Martin S, Bernstein L, Qian D, Xiang M. Reproductive and hormonal risk factors for thyroid cancer in Los Angeles County females. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1999; 8:991–997. [PubMed: 10566554]
- Zivaljevic V, Vlajinac H, Jankovic R, Marinkovic J, Dzodic R, Sipeti Grujii S, et al. Case-control study of female thyroid cancer - menstrual, reproductive and hormonal factors. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2003; 12:63–66. [PubMed: 12548112]
- Brindel P, Doyon F, Rachedi F, Boissin J-L, Sebbag J, Shan L, et al. Menstrual and reproductive factors in the risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma in native women in French Polynesia: a population-based case-control study. Am J Epidemiol. 2008; 15:219–229. [PubMed: 17965111]
- Memon A, Darif M, Al-Saleh K, Suresh A. Epidemiology of reproductive and hormonal factors in thyroid cancer: evidence from a case-control study in the Middle East. Int J Cancer. 2002; 97:82– 89. [PubMed: 11774247]
- Rossing MA, Voigt LF, Wicklund KG, Daling JR. Reproductive factors and risk of papillary thyroid cancer in women. Am J Epidemiol. 2000; 151:765–772. [PubMed: 10965973]
- Sakoda LC, Horn-Ross PL. Reproductive and menstrual history and papillary thyroid cancer risk: the San Francisco Bay Area Thyroid Cancer Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2002; 11:51–57. [PubMed: 11815401]
- Truong T, Orsi L, Dubourdieu D, Rougier Y, Hemon D, Guenel P. Role of goiter and of menstrual and reproductive factors in thyroid cancer: a population-based case-control study in New Caledonia (South Pacific), a very high incidence area. Am J Epidemiol. 2005; 161:1056–1065. [PubMed: 15901626]
- Bernstein L, Anton-Culver H, Deapen D, Horn-Ross PL, Peel D, Reynolds P, et al. High breast cancer rates among California teachers: Results from the California Teachers Study Cohort. Cancer Causes Control. 2002; 13:625–635. [PubMed: 12296510]
- Kwong, SL.; Perkins, CI.; Morris, CR.; Cohen, R.; Allen, M.; Schlag, R., et al. Cancer in California: 1988–1998. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Health Services, Cancer Surveillance Section; 2000 Dec.
- Negri E, Ron E, Franceschi S, Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Preston-Martin S, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. I. Methods. Cancer Causes Control. 1999; 10:131–142. [PubMed: 10231162]
- Apter D, Vihko R. Early menarche, a risk factor for breast cancer, indicates early onset of ovulatory cycles. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1983; 57:82–86. [PubMed: 6222061]
- Meinhold CL, Ron E, Schonfeld SJ, Alexander BH, Freedman DM, Linet MS, et al. Nonradiation risk factors for thyroid cancer in the US Radiologic Technologists Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171:242–252. [PubMed: 19951937]

- De Remigis P, Raggiunti B, Nepa A, Giandonato S, Faraone G, Sensi S. Thyroid volume variation during the menstrual cycle in healthy subjects. Prog Clin Biol Res. 1990; 341A:169–173. [PubMed: 2217231]
- Hegedus L, Karstrup S, Rasmussen N. Evidence of cyclic alterations of thyroid size during the menstrual cycle in healthy women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1986; 155:142–145. [PubMed: 3524236]
- Ron, E.; Schneider, AB. Thyroid cancer. In: Schottenfeld, D.; Fraumeni, JF., editors. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 975-994.
- 21. Zagrodzki P, Ratajczak R, Wietecha-Posluszny R. The interaction between selenium status, sex hormones, and thyroid metabolism in adolescent girls in the luteal phase of their menstrual cycle. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2007; 120:51–60. [PubMed: 17916955]
- Pike M, Spicer D, Danmoush L, Press M. Estrogens, progestogens, normal breast cell proliferation, and breast cancer risk. Epidemiol Rev. 1993; 15:17–35. [PubMed: 8405201]
- Potischman N, Troisi R, Thadhani R, Hoover RN, Dodd K, Davis WW, et al. Pregnancy hormone concentrations across ethnic groups: implications for later cancer risk. Cancer Epidem Biomarkers Prev. 2005; 14:1514–1520.
- 24. La Vecchia C, Ron E, Franceschi S, Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Chatenoud L, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. III. Oral contraceptives, menopausal replacement therapy and other female hormones. Cancer Causes Control. 1999; 10:157–166. [PubMed: 10231164]
- 25. Iribarren C, Haselkorn T, Tekawa IS, Friedman GD. Cohort study of thyroid cancer in a San Francisco Bay area population. Int J Cancer. 2001; 93:745–750. [PubMed: 11477590]
- Navarro Silvera SA, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Risk factors for thyroid cancer: a prospective cohort study. Int J Cancer. 2005; 116:433–438. [PubMed: 15818623]
- Pham T-M, Fujino Y, Mikami H, Okamoto N, Hoshiyama Y, Tamakoshi A, et al. Reproductive and menstrual factors and thyroid cancer among Japanese women: the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study. 18. 2009:331–335.
- Lee ML, Chen GG, Vlantis AC, Tse GMK, Leung BCH, van Hasselt CA. Induction of thyroid papillary carcinoma cell proliferation by estrogen is associated with an altered expression of BclxL. Cancer J. 2005; 11:113–121. [PubMed: 15969986]
- 29. Lewy-Trenda I. Estrogen and progesterone receptors in neoplastic and non-neoplastic thyroid lesions. Pol J Pathol. 2002; 53:67–72. [PubMed: 12140869]
- Kawabata W, Suzuki T, Moriya T, Fujimori K, Naganuma H, Inoue S, et al. Estrogen receptors (alpha and beta) and 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 and 2 in thyroid disorders: possible in situ estrogen synthesis and actions. Mod Pathol. 2003; 16:437–444. [PubMed: 12748250]
- Franceschi, S.; Dal Maso, L. Hormonal imbalances and thyroid cancers in humans. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1999. IARC Scientific Publication No. 147

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Horn-Ross et al.

Table 1

Characteristics of the California Teachers Study cohort included in the present analysis (n=117,646)

Age at baseline

	All wo	nen	<45 y	ears	45 yı	ears
	Z	%	z	%	Z	%
Age (yrs) at baseline						
<35	12,737	11%	12,737	37%		
35-44	21,437	18%	21,437	63%		
45-54	36,399	31%			36,399	44%
55-64	23,440	20%			23,440	28%
65–74	17,822	15%			17,822	21%
75	5,811	5%			5,811	7%
Race/ethnicity						
white, non-Latina	101,549	86%	27,789	81%	73,760	88%
non-white (including Latina)	15,172	13%	6,157	18%	9,015	11%
not stated	925	1%	228	1%	697	1%
Family history of thyroid cancer (1st degree relative)						
yes	1,605	1%	418	1%	1,187	1%
по	112,394	%96	32,572	95%	79,822	%96
adopted / missing	3,647	3%	1,184	3%	2,463	3%
Age at menarche (yrs)						
<12	26,480	23%	7,409	22%	19,071	23%
12–13	66,049	56%	19,588	57%	46,461	56%
14	23,513	20%	6,892	20%	16,621	20%
never menstruated / missing	1,604	1%	285	1%	1,319	2%
Adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruation						
30 days	93,089	%6L	25,936	76%	67,153	80%
>30 days						
irregular periods <5 yrs	9,204	8%	3,483	10%	5,721	%L
irregular periods 5 yrs	2,931	2%	1,115	3%	1,816	2%
never had regular periods	7,627	6%	2,409	7%	5,218	6%

All women \overline{All} with \overline{A}					Age at	baseline	
N j_{c} N j_{c} N j_{c} never mentanded missing 4.795 4% 1.231 4% 3.564 4% Oral contraceptive use 35.317 30% 5.664 17% 2.9.706 56% σ yrs duration 35.147 30% 1.779 5% 4.940 6% σ yrs duration 5.719 5% 1.779 5% 4.940 6% σ syst duration 5.719 5% 1.779 5% 4.940 6% σ syst duration 5.719 5% 1.779 5% 4.940 6% σ syst duration 6.719 6% 1.779 5% 4.940 6% σ syst duration 6.719 5% 1.758 2.616 5% 2.610 5% σ syst duration 671 3% 2.616 1.7% 2.616 2% 2.61 2% 2.61 2% 2.61 2% 2.61 2% 2.61 2%		All wor	men	<45 y	ears	45 y	ears
never mentrutted/missing 4.795 $4.\%$ 1.231 4% 3.544 4% Oral contraceptive use 35.347 30% 5.664 17% 29.706 30% rever 35.147 30% 5.664 17% 29.706 30% 5 ys duration 30% 5.664 17% 29.706 30% 5 ys duration 40.410 30% 1.739 2.9706 30% 6.719 6% 1.739 2.6173 31% 2.537 31% Menopausal status and HT ^d use 6.719 6% 1.739 2.9% 4.940 6% Pre-menopausal 1.1730 2.9% 4.940 6% 1.753 2.9% 2.6172 31% Pre-menopausal 1.13 3.0% 1.9% 2.532 6% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% Pre-menopausal 1.0% 1.2% 1.2% 1.5%		Z	%	z	%	z	%
Oral contraceptive use 35.370 3.66 5.664 1.79 $2.9.706$ 3664 1.76 3006 3664 3796 3667 3796 3667 3796 36747 3006 3796 36747 3006 3796 36747 3006 3796 36747 3106 3106 6719 3406 1.779 346 1.79 36747 3906 4996 59706 <td>never menstruated / missing</td> <td>4,795</td> <td>4%</td> <td>1,231</td> <td>4%</td> <td>3,564</td> <td>4%</td>	never menstruated / missing	4,795	4%	1,231	4%	3,564	4%
never $35,370$ 30% $5,664$ 17% $29,706$ 30% < 5 yrs duration $35,147$ 30% $12,496$ 37% $22,651$ 27% 5 yrs duration $6,719$ 6% $1,779$ 3% $22,651$ 27% Menopausal status and HT ^a use $6,719$ 6% $1,779$ 5% $4,940$ 6% Per-menopausal $4,7,84$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% per-menopausal $17,918$ 12% $22,02$ 19% 10% per-menopausal $17,918$ 12% $22,014$ 94% $17,526$ 11% never used HT $13,880$ 12% $22,014$ 10% 10% used E-only ^{al} $17,918$ 12% $22,014$ 9% 10% used HT use $17,918$ 12% $22,014$ 9% 10% never mestruated/missing $0,573$ 8% 993 3% $8,530$ 10% Outome of first pregnancy $17,918$ 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Mil-Herem birth 10% 9% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Outome of first pregnancy $17,916$ 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Mil-Herem birth 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Outome of first pregnancy 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Mil-Herem birth 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% <td>Oral contraceptive use</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Oral contraceptive use						
< 5 yrs duration $35, 147$ $30%$ $1, 2496$ $37%$ $22, 651$ $27%$ 5 yrs duration $6,719$ $6,719$ $6,719$ $6,719$ $5,719$ $25,175$ $31%$ Menopausal status and HT ^a use $6,719$ $6,719$ $6,719$ $6,719$ $5,716$ $5,716$	never	35,370	30%	5,664	17%	29,706	36%
5 yrs duration40,41034% $1,235$ $2,\%$ $2,6,175$ 31% never monstrated/missing $6,710$ 6% $1,779$ 5% $4,940$ 6% Menopausal status and HT ^a use $47,854$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% peri-post-menopausal $1,784$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% peri-post-menopausal $1,784$ $1,786$ 21% 21% 21% never used HT $1,796$ $1,79$ $20,92$ $1,9\%$ 21% 25% used E-only ^{al} $1,796$ $1,756$ $1,\%$ 21% 25% 21% used E-only ^{al} $1,796$ $1,756$ $1,\%$ 21% 25% 25% 25% other HT use $1,7516$ $1,756$ 21% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% other HT use $7,576$ 6% $1,12$ 21% 25% 25% 25% 25% other HT use $7,576$ $1,97$ $2,93$ $2,5,460$ 8% $3,53$ 25% 25% other HT use $7,576$ $1,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ 25% 25% 25% 25% other HT use $1,756$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ other HT use $1,773$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ other Preprint $1,777$ $2,97$ $2,97$ $2,97$ <td< td=""><td><5 yrs duration</td><td>35,147</td><td>30%</td><td>12,496</td><td>37%</td><td>22,651</td><td>27%</td></td<>	<5 yrs duration	35,147	30%	12,496	37%	22,651	27%
$6,719$ $6,719$ $6,7$ $4,940$ $6,940$ 6^{5} Menopausal status and HT ^a use $47,854$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% Pre-menopausal $47,854$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% Pre-menopausal $13,880$ 12% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% Pre-menopausal $13,880$ 12% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% Pre-menopausal $17,918$ 12% 220 1% $71,66$ 21% never used HT use $17,91$ 15% $20,92$ 1% $71,66$ 21% Outeent $113,890$ 12% $8,530$ 10% $7,464$ 9% Outeent 111 6% 12% 12% 12% 12% Outeent 111 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% Outeent 111 12% 12% 12%	5 yrs duration	40,410	34%	14,235	42%	26,175	31%
Menopausal status and HT ^a use $47,854$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% pre-menopausal $47,854$ 41% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 10% preri-post-menopausal $17,918$ 12% $20,462$ 25% 21% never used HT $13,880$ 12% $20,462$ 25% 21% used E-only ^{al} $7,576$ 6% 112 $21,66$ 9% used E-poly ^{al} $7,576$ 6% 112 $21,66$ 9% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 21% $25,282$ 66% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 21% 9% 9% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 21% $25,282$ 66% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 21% $25,282$ 66% other HT use $7,576$ 9% 9% 29% 21% 9% 29% Outcome of first pregnancy $7,576$ 8% $2,916$ 2% 2% 6% full-term birth $10,672$ 2% 8% $2,916$ 2% 2% 6% full-term birth $10,772$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% full-term birth $10,772$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% full-term birth $10,772$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% full-term birth $10,772$ 2%	never menstruated / missing	6,719	6%	1,779	5%	4,940	6%
per-menopausal $47,854$ 11% $32,024$ 94% $15,830$ 19% peri-foost-menopausal $13,880$ 12% 220 1% $15,660$ 16% never used HT $17,918$ 12% 220 1% $17,526$ 21% used E-only ^{al} $17,918$ 15% 392 1% $21,660$ 16% used E+ponly ^{al} $2,7576$ 6% 112 $2,6462$ 25% 25% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 $21,672$ 25% 26% never menstruated/missing $9,523$ 8% 993 3% $8,530$ 10% other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 21% 25% 66% noter menstruated/missing $9,523$ 8% 993 3% $8,530$ 10% outcome of first pregnancy $10,6705$ 6% $14,773$ 43% $5,538$ 66% full-term birth $7,616$ 9% $2,9173$ 4% $5,918$ $5,916$ 6% full-term birth $10,870$ 9% $2,9173$ 4% $5,913$ 6% niscarriage $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% $5,913$ 6% full-term birth $10,810$ 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% full-term birth $10,810$ 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% full-term birth 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% iniscarriage 10% 10%	Menopausal status and HT^{a} use						
peri-post-menopausal13,88012%2201%13,66016%never used HT17,91815%3921%17,55621%used E-only ^{al} 17,91815%3921%20,46225%used E+P only ^{al} 20,89518%4331%20,46225%other HT use7,5766%112<1%	pre-menopausal	47,854	41%	32,024	94%	15,830	19%
never used HT $13,80$ 12% 220 1% $13,660$ 16% $used E-only^a$ $used E-only^a$ $17,918$ 15% 392 1% $17,526$ 21% $used E+P only^a$ $0,895$ 18% 433 1% $20,462$ 25% $used E+P only^a$ $7,576$ 6% 112 $<1\%$ $7,464$ 9% $other HT use$ $7,576$ 6% 112 $<1\%$ $7,464$ 9% $other HT use7,5766\%112<1\%7,4649\%other HT use7,5766\%112<1\%7,4649\%other HT use7,5766\%14,7733\%8,53010\%outcome of first pregnancy7,0556\%14,77343\%5,52826\%full-term birth70,05560\%14,77343\%5,52826\%unterbirth70,0568\%2,6018\%5,9768\%unterbirth70,0576\%14,77343\%5,52826\%unterpicant70,0582\%10\%5,0586\%10\%10\%unterpicant15\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%unterpicant15\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%unterpicant15\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%10\%unterpicant10\%$	peri-/post-menopausal						
used E-only 3 17,91815%3921%17,52621%used E+P only 3 used E+P only 3 1%20,46225%25%used E+P only 3 other HT use7,5766%112 $<1\%$ 20,46225%other HT use7,5766%112 $<1\%$ 7,4649%9%never menstruated/missing9,5338%9933%8,53010%Outcome of first pregnancy7,05560% $14,773$ 43%55,28266%full-term birth70,05560% $14,773$ 43%55,28266%miscarriage9,5478%2,6018%5956%abortion0,8709%5,81217%5,0586%ectopic5811%156 $<1\%$ 21%21%ectopic58120%9,97929%13,83917%never pregnant157 $<1\%$ 156 $<1\%$ 21%21%fullparous2,6032%6542%1992%faity1.52%6509,97929%17%21%fullparous2,6032%6542%1992%2%fullparous22%6%13,40739%2%2%fullparous224%2%1992%fullparous224%2%1942%fullparous22%4%4%2%2	never used HT	13,880	12%	220	1%	13,660	16%
used E+P only a 20,89518%4331%20,46225%other HT use7,5766%112<1%	used E-only ^a	17,918	15%	392	1%	17,526	21%
other HT use $7,576$ 6% 112 $<1\%$ $7,464$ 9% never menstruated / missing $9,523$ 8% 993 3% $8,530$ 10% Outcome of first pregnancy $1,773$ $8,7,30$ 10% $8,7,30$ 10% Outcome of first pregnancy $70,055$ 60% $14,773$ 43% $5,5,282$ 66% full-term birth $70,055$ 60% $14,773$ 43% $5,5,282$ 66% inll-term birth $70,055$ 60% $14,773$ 43% $5,5,282$ 66% abortion $9,547$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $6,946$ 8% abortion $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% 21% ectopic $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% 21% ectopic 157 1% 199 1% 21% ectopic $1,97$ $2,971$ 29% $1,7\%$ 21% ectopic $1,971$ $2,973$ 29% $1,7\%$ 21% ectopic $1,971$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ ectopic $1,971$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ ectopic $1,971$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ ectopic $1,972$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ ectopic $1,972$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ $2,973$ endiv $1,973$ $2,974$ $2,974$ $2,974$ <	used E+P only ⁴	20,895	18%	433	1%	20,462	25%
never menstruated / missing 9,523 8% 993 3% 8,530 10% Outcome of first pregnancy 1	other HT use	7,576	6%	112	$<\!1\%$	7,464	6%
Outcome of first pregnancy $70,055$ 60% $14,773$ 43% $55,282$ 66% full-term birthmiscarriage $9,547$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $6,946$ 8% miscarriage $9,571$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $5,058$ 6% 6% abortion $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% $5,058$ 6% ctopic 596 1% 199 1% 21% 21% currently primigravid 157 $<1\%$ 199 1% 21% never pregnant 157 $<1\%$ 20% $9,979$ 29% 17% never pregnant $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% never pregnant $2,603$ 2% 65% $1,940$ 2% 2% Parity $1-2$ 100 $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% Parity $1-2$ $2\%,5603$ 2% 4% $10,72$ 21% 2% $1-2$ 2% 4% $16,281$ 4% $39,773$ 4% 3 $3,733$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% $1-2$ 2% $1,970$ $2,9\%$ $1,7502$ 21% 2% 2% 3 $3,733$ 2% 4% $4,002$ 12% 2% 2% $3,733$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% $1-2$ 2% 2% $1,9\%$ 2% 2% 2% <td< td=""><td>never menstruated / missing</td><td>9,523</td><td>8%</td><td>993</td><td>3%</td><td>8,530</td><td>10%</td></td<>	never menstruated / missing	9,523	8%	993	3%	8,530	10%
full-term birth $70,055$ 60% $14,773$ 43% $55,282$ 66% miscarriage $9,547$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $6,946$ 8% abortion $9,547$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $6,946$ 8% abortion $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% $5,058$ 6% currently primigravid 157 $<1\%$ 199 1% $<1\%$ currently primigravid 157 $<1\%$ 199 1% $<1\%$ never pregnant $23,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% 17% never pregnant $23,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% 17% never pregnant $23,818$ 20% 654 2% 17% Parity $23,818$ 20% 654 2% $1,949$ 29% nulliparous $2,603$ 2% 65% $1,940$ 29% 17% $1-2$ $1-2$ $1,901$ 39% $1,7502$ 21% $1-2$ $1,902$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ 20% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ 20% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ 20% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ 21% $1,902$ 12% $24,585$ 29% 33 $3,733$ 24% $2,96$ $1,912$ $1,912$ 29% $1-2$ 21% 21% $1,912$ $1,912$ 2	Outcome of first pregnancy						
miscarriage $9,547$ 8% $2,601$ 8% $6,946$ 8% abortion $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% $5,058$ 6% abortion 596 1% 199 1% 397 $<1\%$ cetopic 596 1% 199 1% 377 $<1\%$ currently primigravid 157 $<1\%$ 156 $<1\%$ $<1\%$ never pregnant $2,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% $1,799$ $2\%never pregnant2,6032\%6542\%1,9492\%Parity2,60326\%1,340739\%1,750221\%Parity1-22,60544\%16,2814\%39,7734\%33,79026\%1,40739\%17,50221\%1-22,60544\%16,2814\%39,7734\%33,7902,6,5872,4\%1,6,021,7,50221\%33,7932,6,5872,4\%4,9021,7,50221\%33,7932,0962,4\%4,0021,9\%2,4,58529\%33,9,7732,9\%2,9\%2,4\%2,4,5872,4,5852,9\%33,9922,9\%2,9\%4,9411,6122,9\%2,9\%33,9922,9\%2,9\%1,9,962,9\%2,9\%2,9\%3$	full-term birth	70,055	%09	14,773	43%	55,282	66%
abortion $10,870$ 9% $5,812$ 17% $5,058$ 6% ectopic 596 1% 199 1% 397 $<1\%$ ectopic 157 $<1\%$ 156 $<1\%$ 19 1% $<1\%$ ourrently primigravid 157 $<1\%$ 156 $<1\%$ 1 $<1\%$ never pregnant $23,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% $13,839$ 17% never pregnant $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% Parity $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% Parity $1-2$ $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% 3 3 $2\%,553$ 2% 4% $4,002$ 12% $2\%,556$ 2% 3 3 2% 4% $4,002$ 12% $2\%,556$ 2% 2% 3 3% 2% 2% 4% $4,002$ 12% $2\%,56$ 2% 3 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 3 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% $1-2$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% $1-2$ 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 3% 3% 2% 2% 2% <td< td=""><td>miscarriage</td><td>9,547</td><td>8%</td><td>2,601</td><td>8%</td><td>6,946</td><td>8%</td></td<>	miscarriage	9,547	8%	2,601	8%	6,946	8%
ctopic 596 $1%$ 199 $1%$ 397 $<1%$ $currently primigravid157<1%156<1%1<1%never pregnant23,81820%9,97929%13,83917%never pregnant2,6032%6542%1,9492%Parity2,6032%6542%1,9492%nulliparous30,90926%13,40739%17,50221%nulliparous30,90926%13,40739%17,50221%1-226,05448%16,28148%39,77348%3320,90926%13,40739%17,50221%nulliparous20,90926%13,40739%17,50221%nulliparous20,90926%13,40739%17,50221%330,79348%20,78148%39,77348%330,77320%21%41%1,61220%330,79320%21%41%1,61220%330,79320%20%4841,61220%$	abortion	10,870	6%	5,812	17%	5,058	6%
IST $< 1\%$ < 156 $< 1\%$ $< 1\%$ never pregnant $23,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% $13,839$ 17% never pregnant $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% missing $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% Parity $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% nulliparous $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ $56,054$ 48% $16,281$ 48% $39,773$ 48% 3 $28,587$ 24% $4,002$ 12% $24,585$ 29% missing $2,096$ 2% 484 $1,612$ 20%	ectopic	596	1%	199	1%	397	<1%
never pregnant $23,818$ 20% $9,979$ 29% $13,839$ 17% missing $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% Parity $2,603$ 2% 654 2% $1,949$ 2% nulliparous $30,909$ 26% $13,407$ 39% $17,502$ 21% $1-2$ $56,054$ 48% $16,281$ 48% $39,773$ 48% 3 $28,587$ 24% $4,002$ 12% $24,585$ 29% missing $2,096$ 2% 484 $1,612$ 2%	currently primigravid	157	<1%	156	<1%	г	<1%
missing 2,603 2% 654 2% 1,949 2% Parity 30,909 26% 13,407 39% 17,502 21% nulliparous 30,909 26% 13,407 39% 17,502 21% 1-2 56,054 48% 16,281 48% 39,773 48% 3 28,587 24% 4,002 12% 24,585 29% missing 2,096 2% 484 1% 1,612 2%	never pregnant	23,818	20%	9,979	29%	13,839	17%
Parity Parity nulliparous 30,909 26% 13,407 39% 17,502 21% 1-2 56,054 48% 16,281 48% 39,773 48% 3 28,587 24% 4,002 12% 24,585 29% insisting 2,096 2% 484 1,612 2%	missing	2,603	2%	654	2%	1,949	2%
nulliparous 30,909 26% 13,407 39% 17,502 21% 1-2 56,054 48% 16,281 48% 39,773 48% 3 28,587 24% 4,002 12% 24,585 29% missing 2,096 2% 484 1,612 2%	Parity						
1-2 56,054 48% 16,281 48% 39,773 48% 3 28,587 24% 4,002 12% 24,585 29% missing 2,096 2% 484 1,612 2%	nulliparous	30,909	26%	13,407	39%	17,502	21%
3 28,587 24% 4,002 12% 24,585 29% missing 2,096 2% 484 1% 1,612 2%	1–2	56,054	48%	16,281	48%	39,773	48%
missing 2,096 2% 484 1% 1,612 2%	ε	28,587	24%	4,002	12%	24,585	29%
	missing	2,096	2%	484	1%	1,612	2%

Horn-Ross et al.

	All wor	nen	<45 yc	ears	45 y	ears
	Z	%	z	%	Z	%
<25	30,966	26%	4,428	13%	26,538	32%
25–29	34,376	29%	9,109	27%	25,267	30%
30	19,298	16%	6,745	20%	12,553	15%
nulliparous	30,909	26%	13,407	39%	17,502	21%
missing	2,097	2%	485	1%	1,612	2%
Years since last pregnancy						
5 (including currently pregnant)	11,594	10%	11,020	32%	574	1%
>5	80,132	68%	12,688	37%	67,444	81%
never pregnant	23,818	20%	9,979	29%	13,839	17%
missing	2,102	2%	487	1%	1,615	2%
Smoking						
ever	39,681	34%	6,771	20%	32,910	39%
never	77,285	66%	27,236	80%	50,049	%09
missing	680	1%	167	<1%	513	1%
Alcohol consumption (g/d)						
none	37,230	32%	11,437	33%	25,793	31%
<10	40,829	35%	12,530	37%	28,299	34%
10	33,675	29%	8,141	24%	25,534	31%
missing	5,912	5%	2,066	%9	3,846	5%
Average lifetime physical activity b						
inactive	19,665	17%	2,730	8%	16,935	20%
active	97,316	83%	31,347	92%	62,969	%6L
missing	665	1%	76	<1%	568	1%
Height (inches)						
<65	56,241	48%	14,901	44%	41,340	50%
66–67	32,039	27%	9,111	27%	22,928	27%
67	29,001	25%	10,115	30%	18,886	23%
missing	365	$<\!\!1\%$	47	$<\!1\%$	318	<1%

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

Horn-Ross et al.

Age at baseline

 $^{\rm d}{\rm HT}$; hormone the rapy; E-only: estrogen-only; E+P: estrogen plus progesterone

 $b_{
m based}$ on average lifetime (high school to age 54) strenuous and moderate activity: 1 hr/wk (inactive) vs. >1 hr/wk (active)

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

Horn-Ross et al.

Horn-Ross et al.

Age at baseline

Table 2

Menstrual factors, hormone use, and papillary thyroid cancer risk in the California Teachers Study cohort.

Age at menarche (yrs) ⁴ Age is find Age is menarche (yrs) ⁴ Age at menarche (yrs) ⁴ Add at menarche (yr			All wom	nen		<45 ye	ars		45 y	ears
ge at meanche (yrs) C 174104610 (14) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (14) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (14) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (14) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (13) (12) (13) $(1$		Cases	$RR^{a,b}$	95% CI ^a	Cases	\mathbf{RR}^{b}	95% CI	Cases	RR^b	95% CI
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age at menarche (yrs) ^c			-						
141512091-1.68231881.13-3.13Adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruationd1741019101030 days50 days17410174101010 $30 days$ 50 days17410174101010 $30 days$ 50 days1741010101010 $50 days$ 50 days121010101010 $50 days$ 5 yrs1230.80-1911108732 $50 days$ 5 yrs1230.80-1911108732 $10 ever1212120.69-1911101010 ever101010101010131410 ever101010101014101410 ever101010101014142 yrs duration101010101416145 yrs duration101010101416145 yrs duration1010101014141610 ever101010101014141410 ever1010101010141410 ever1010101014141410 ever101010$	<14	174	1.0		46	1.0		128	1.0	
Adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruationd 124 100 10 10 10 $30 days$ $30 days$ 174 10 10 10 10 $30 days$ $30 days$ 124 10 10 10 10 $30 days$ $30 days$ 122 122 $080 - 1.91$ 11 106 $30 days$ 122 122 $080 - 1.91$ 11 106 $087 - 3.26$ $30 days$ 122 122 102 102 $080 - 1.93$ 212 $084 - 5.37$ $100 regular periods 5 yrs120102102080 - 1.93212084 - 5.37100 regular periods 5 yrs120102102080 - 1.93212084 - 5.37100 regular periods 5 yrs120102102080 - 1.93212084 - 5.37100 regular periods 5 yrs120102102081 - 1.90084 - 5.34100 regular periods120100102100025 - 1.50024100 regular periods120100100100024 - 1.40036 - 3.04100 regular periods100100100100100100100 regular periods100100100100100100 regular periods100100100100100100 regular periods100100100100100 regul$	14	56	1.24	0.91 - 1.68	23	1.88	1.13 - 3.13	33	1.01	0.69 - 1.48
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruation $^{\mathcal{d}}$									
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	30 days	174	1.0		48	1.0		126	1.0	
irregular periods <5 yrs231.230.80111.690.873.20irregular periods 5 yrs121.921.073.4752.120.845.37never had regular periods161.150.691.9350.930.382.34Oral contraceptive use ^{C,d} 561.00.691.9350.930.382.34Oral contraceptive use ^{C,d} 561.00.691.510.530.530.532.31never51.01.060.751.50611.010.533.31cyrs duration780.950.640.4380.550.343.31for memopausal780.950.641.40291.400.653.31for two used HT7777777only used E alone ^a 7777777only used E+P ^a 7777777	>30 days	36	1.42	0.99 - 2.03	16	1.78	1.01 - 3.14	20	1.21	0.75 - 1.95
irregular periods 5 yrs121.92 $1.07 - 3.47$ 5 2.12 $0.84 - 5.37$ never had regular periodse16 1.15 $0.69 - 1.93$ 5 $0.38 - 2.48$ Oral contraceptive usech56 1.0 56 1.0 9 1.0 never56 1.0 $0.55 - 1.50$ 61 1.51 $0.73 - 3.11$ ever 77 1.06 $0.75 - 1.50$ 61 1.51 $0.73 - 3.11$ 5 yrs duration 78 1.22 $0.83 - 1.78$ 32 1.80 $0.84 - 3.84$ 5 yrs duration 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use $^{4.5}d$ 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ pre-menopausal 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 0.91 $0.64 - 3.84$ nort used HT 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 0.91 $0.64 - 3.84$ nort used HT 0.91 0.92 $0.94 - 1$	irregular periods <5 yrs	23	1.23	0.80 - 1.91	11	1.69	0.87 - 3.26	12	0.99	0.54 - 1.79
never had regular periods e 161.150.69-1.9350.980.38-2.48Oral contraceptive use $c.d$ <td>irregular periods 5 yrs</td> <td>12</td> <td>1.92</td> <td>1.07 - 3.47</td> <td>5</td> <td>2.12</td> <td>0.84 - 5.37</td> <td>٢</td> <td>1.76</td> <td>0.82 - 3.78</td>	irregular periods 5 yrs	12	1.92	1.07 - 3.47	5	2.12	0.84 - 5.37	٢	1.76	0.82 - 3.78
	never had regular periods ^e	16	1.15	0.69 - 1.93	5	0.98	0.38 - 2.48	11	1.23	0.66 - 2.28
never561.091.0ever1701.06 $0.75 - 1.50$ 61 1.51 $0.73 - 3.11$ < 5 yrs duration88 1.22 $0.83 - 1.78$ 32 1.80 $0.84 - 3.84$ 5 yrs duration78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{<math>A,C,d78$0.95$$0.64 - 1.40$$29$$1.40$$0.65 - 3.04$Menopausal status and HT use^{<math>A,C,d78$1.22$$1.24$$29$$1.40$$0.65 - 3.04$Menopausal status and HT use^{<math>A,C,d78$1.27$$1.24$$1.40$$0.65 - 3.04$Menopausal status and HT use^{<math>A,C,d78$1.27$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$Menopausal status and HT use^{<math>A,C,d78$1.27$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$Menopausal$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$$1.26$No vect used HT1.27$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$No vect used HT1.27$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$No vect Used HPa1.27$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$No vect Used HPa1.27$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$No vect Used HPa1.27$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$$1.27$<!--</sup--></math>}</math>}</math>}</math>}</math>}	Oral contraceptive use c,d									
ever1701.06 $0.75 - 1.50$ 61 1.51 $0.73 - 3.11$ < 5 yrs duration88 1.22 $0.83 - 1.78$ 32 1.80 $0.84 - 3.84$ 5 yrs duration78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 1.40 2.9 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 1.40 2.9 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 1.40 2.9 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{A,C,d} 78 1.40 2.9 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal78 1.41 1.41 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 Menopausal 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 Meuopausal	never	56	1.0		6	1.0		47	1.0	
<5 yrs duration 88 1.22 $0.83 - 1.78$ 32 1.80 $0.84 - 3.84$ 5 yrs duration 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Menopausal status and HT use ^{4,c,d} 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Pre-menopausal 78 0.95 $0.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Pre-menopausal 78 9.95 $9.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Pre-menopausal 78 8.95 $9.64 - 1.40$ 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Pre-menopausal 78 8.95 1.64 29 1.40 $0.65 - 3.04$ Pre-menopausal 1.80	ever	170	1.06	0.75 - 1.50	61	1.51	0.73 - 3.11	109	06.0	0.60 - 1.36
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<5 yrs duration	88	1.22	0.83 - 1.78	32	1.80	0.84 - 3.84	56	1.02	0.65 - 1.60
Menopausal status and HT use a G,d pre-menopausal peri-/post-menopausal never used HT only used E alone ^a only used E+P ^a	5 yrs duration	78	0.95	0.64 - 1.40	29	1.40	0.65 - 3.04	49	0.78	0.49 - 1.25
pre-menopausal peri-/post-menopausal never used HT only used E alone ^{a} only used E+P ^{a}	Menopausal status and HT $use^{a,c,d}$									
peri-/post-menopausal never used HT only used E alone ^a only used E+P ^a	pre-menopausal							51	1.64	0.84 - 3.18
never used HT only used E alone ^{a} only used E+P ^{a}	peri-/post-menopausal									
only used E alone ^a only used E+P ^a	never used HT							16	1.0	
only used $E+P^{2l}$	only used E alone ^{a}							33	1.68	0.92 - 3.05
	only used $E+P^{a}$							30	1.07	0.57 - 1.98
used both types of HT	used both types of HT							14	1.71	0.83 - 3.51

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

^aRR: relative risk; CI: confidence interval; HT: hormone therapy; E-only: estrogen-only; E+P: estrogen plus progesterone.

b adjusted for race/ethnicity, family history of thyroid cancer, time since last pregnancy, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, height; age was the time-scale and analyses were stratified by age at baseline. NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 \boldsymbol{c}^{t} also adjusted for adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruation.

d also adjusted for age at menarche.

 e^{θ} women who reported never having regular periods were not asked about adolescent cycle length.

~
~
_
1
<u> </u>
U
~
- C
~
-
<u> </u>
_
_
-
0
_
_
<
-
ູ
~
-
<u> </u>
S
0
¥.
- i -
0
4
- T

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Reproductive factors and papillary thyroid cancer risk in the California Teachers Study cohort.

Age at baseline

		All won	Ien		<45 yt	ars		45 y	ears
	Cases	$RR^{a,b}$	95% CI ^a	Cases	RR^b	95% CI	Cases	RR^b	95% CI
Outcome of first pregnancy									
full-term birth	137	1.0		30	1.0		107	1.0	
miscarriage	20	1.04	0.65 - 1.67	5	1.03	0.40 - 2.66	15	1.07	0.62 - 1.83
abortion	23	1.05	0.67 - 1.66	11	1.06	0.52 - 2.13	12	1.08	0.59 - 1.99
never pregnant	48	1.02	0.72 - 1.43	23	1.20	0.66 - 2.16	25	0.91	0.59 - 1.42
Parity ^c									
nulliparous	59	0.73	0.39 - 1.36	29	1.08	0.45 - 2.60	30	0.58	0.24 - 1.44
1–2	126	1.0		34	1.0		92	1.0	
3	47	0.81	0.57 - 1.14	٢	0.82	0.36 - 1.87	40	0.78	0.53 - 1.14
Age at first full-term pregnancy $(yrs)^{\mathcal{C}}$									
<25	60	1.08	0.76 - 1.53	7	0.95	0.39 - 2.31	53	1.08	0.73 - 1.59
25–29	70	1.0		19	1.0		51	1.0	
30	43	1.00	0.68 - 1.48	15	0.93	0.46 - 1.90	28	1.01	0.63 - 1.61
nulliparous	59	0.79	0.41 - 1.49	29	1.08	0.43 - 2.74	30	0.65	0.26 - 1.63
Years since last pregnancy ^d									
5 (including currently pregnant)				29	2.28	1.16 - 4.45			
>5				18	1.0				
never pregnant				23	1.92	0.96 - 3.84			

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

b adjusted for race/ethnicity, family history of thyroid cancer, age at menarche, adolescent cycle length and time to regular menstruation, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, and height; age was the time-scale and analyses were stratified by age at baseline.

 $\stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{}$ also adjusted for years since last pregnancy.

d relative to completion of the baseline question naire.