

## Review Article

# Ichthyofauna Used in Traditional Medicine in Brazil

**Ana Carla Asfora El-Deir,<sup>1</sup> Carolina Alves Collier,<sup>1</sup> Miguel Santana de Almeida Neto,<sup>1</sup> Karina Maria de Souza Silva,<sup>1</sup> Iamara da Silva Policarpo,<sup>2</sup> Thiago Antonio S. Araújo,<sup>2</sup> Rômulo Romeu Nóbrega Alves,<sup>2</sup> Ulysses Paulino de Albuquerque,<sup>3</sup> and Geraldo Jorge Barbosa de Moura<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Fish Ecology, Department of Biology, Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, 52171-900 Recife, PE, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Ethnozoology, Conservation and Biodiversity Research Group, Department of Biology, State University of Paraíba, 581097-53 Campina Grande, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Applied Ethnobotany, Department of Biology, Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, 52171-900 Recife, PE, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Herpetology and Paleoherpetology, Department of Biology, Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, 52171-900 Recife, PE, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Ana Carla Asfora El-Deir, [anacarlaeldeir@gmail.com](mailto:anacarlaeldeir@gmail.com)

Received 16 August 2011; Accepted 10 October 2011

Academic Editor: Maria Franco Trindade Medeiros

Copyright © 2012 Ana Carla Asfora El-Deir et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Fish represent the group of vertebrates with the largest number of species and the largest geographic distribution; they are also used in different ways by modern civilizations. The goal of this study was to compile the current knowledge on the use of ichthyofauna in zootherapeutic practices in Brazil, including ecological and conservational commentary on the species recorded. We recorded a total of 85 species (44 fresh-water species and 41 salt-water species) used for medicinal purposes in Brazil. The three most commonly cited species were *Hoplias malabaricus*, *Hippocampus reidi*, and *Electrophorus electricus*. In terms of conservation status, 65% of species are in the “not evaluated” category, and 14% are in the “insufficient data” category. Three species are in the “vulnerable” category: *Atlantoraja cyclophora*, *Balistes vetula*, and *Hippocampus erectus*. Currently, we cannot avoid considering human pressure on the population dynamics of these species, which is an essential variable for the conservation of the species and the ecosystems in which they live and for the perpetuation of traditional medical practices.

## 1. Introduction

Nature offers various resources that people use to guarantee their survival [1] and to reproduce their ways of life and their practices. The use and management of these resources is intimately linked with the needs of various human populations. Among traditional populations, the use of plant and/or animal resources for medicinal purposes has been reported by various authors as an essential practice in traditional medical systems [2–13]. Natural resources have been used in traditional medical practices since ancient times, and their use is spreading in contemporary society [14]. One very old alternative therapy involves the use of animals and their derivatives in the production of zootherapeutic medications [15]. Zootherapy is an important alternative for cures in local populations; it can also be useful for the development of new drugs in modern medicine [4].

In Brazil, zootherapy appears well established; its broad biological diversity, along with its cultural complexity, drive production of zootherapeutic products [16]. In addition, the difficulty in accessing the main health system encountered by some populations increases the demand for traditional medicine [17].

Among the animal taxa used as medicinal resources, fish deserve special attention due to their strong representation in zootherapeutic surveys in Brazil [2, 7, 8, 12, 30, 42]. As a resource, fish are exploited in different ways by each culture [36]. Their medicinal applications include the use of both body parts and materials produced by the fish, along with live individuals [22].

Many of the animals used medicinally are found on the list of endangered species [7]; the risk of extinction is not only for the species but also for the benefits they offer. One of the benefits resulting from research in zootherapy is

the discovery of new compounds that have pharmacological potential [21]. Given what has been stated above, this study aims to gather the current knowledge on ichthyofauna used in zootherapeutic practices in Brazil. By doing so, we expect to broaden the knowledge base through a compilation of species used to provide a first approximation of the wealth of these resources and their potential. Additionally, the study will evaluate whether the habitat of these species influences its versatility of use and if there are differences in the diversity of species cited for each body system.

The information compilation was based on bibliographic data. We considered bibliographic data from book chapters, in periodicals publications, and technical information available in online databases. We only considered a valid taxa identified on species level, since the use of clades identified on the genus level, without its proper description, does not allow the technical-scientific accumulation of the taxon, which justifies this compilation with a fewer species number when compared to Costa-Neto and Alves [42] and R. R. N. Alves and H. N. Alves [13].

The database generated contains information on taxonomy, habitat, conservation status through the IUCN, the part of the animal used, therapeutic indications, and the Brazilian states where the species were cited. Species nomenclature, their habitats, and conservation status were confirmed and updated according to [43–45].

Though the locations sampled employed different methods and collection efforts, we counted the numbers of species used for zootherapeutic purposes by Brazilian region (state) and therapeutic indication. While it was not possible to perform a refined comparative analysis on the distribution of species use, this method allowed us to record the breadth of geographic distribution of the zootherapeutic indications and the study frequency by Brazilian regions and states.

We used the Index of Relative Importance (IR) [46] to measure the versatility of use of each species. This index takes into consideration the properties attributed and the body systems that are indicated for each species. This index varies from 0 to 2, with 2 indicating the most versatile species. We used the Kruskal-Wallis test to evaluate whether the relative importance of a species was related to its habitat (i.e., salt water or fresh water) and its conservation status. We also compared habitats relative to species wealth for each body system using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. BioEstat v.5.0 software was used for analysis [47].

Therapeutic indications were categorized according to body systems from [48]: digestive, respiratory, gynecological/urinary, circulatory, nervous, sensory, motor, puerperal, cutaneous, scarring, poisoning, neoplasia, hematopoietic, nutrition, infectious/parasitic, lack of sexual desire, anti-abortive, and postpartum. Indications that could not be classified in these systems were grouped as “undefined pains/disorders.”

## 2. Ichthyofauna in Traditional Medical Practices in Brazil

The inventory of ichthyofauna used in Brazilian zotherapy produced a list of 85 species, of which 44 are predominantly

fresh water and 41 are predominantly salt water fishes; 22 are cartilaginous fish (Figure 1). The most commonly listed fish were *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794) ( $N = 15$ ), followed by *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, 1933 ( $N = 13$ ), and *Electrophorus electricus* (Linnaeus, 1766) ( $N = 10$ ) (Table 1). These three species are highly important for zotherapy due to their documented use in various regions of Brazil [24–26, 31, 36].

These most frequently used fish resources are part of the native fauna, demonstrating the importance of local fauna as a source for traditional remedies. According to R. Alves and H. Alves [13], the composition and availability of fauna are factors that directly affect the composition of the local zootherapeutic arsenal.

The dissemination of zootherapeutic knowledge is reflected in the population’s contact with resources that, in principle, are not available locally. Some species that are restricted to the coast, such as the seahorse (*Hippocampus reidi*), are broadly disseminated throughout the interior of Brazil [24, 25, 27]. The use of this species was recorded for populations in the interior, such as the cities of Santa Cruz do Capibaribe-PE [24], Crato-CE [33], Queimadas-PB [25], and Caruaru-PE [26]. This situation may be explained by the existence of commercial routes for medicinal animals involving different cities in Brazil [49]. An exotic species such as the cod *Gadus morhua* Linnaeus, 1958, is available commercially in various states in Brazil for culinary purposes, but it is also used medicinally in states such as Paraíba and Bahia [2, 23].

Zootherapeutic practice involving ichthyofauna was recorded in 14 Brazilian states, representing the North, Northeast, Center-West, and Southeast regions. The state of Bahia (28 spp.) had the highest number of fishes used as traditional remedies, followed by the states of Tocantins (21 spp.), Paraíba (19 spp.), Maranhão (16 spp.), and Pará (9 spp.). This may not reflect the true situation regarding zotherapy in Brazil; the number is likely underestimated due to the concentration of studies in these regions (Figure 2).

The Northeast region was the best represented, with research performed in eight states: Piauí, Maranhão, Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba, Pernambuco, Alagoas, and Bahia. This region has a significant presence of zotherapy in curing practices [7, 50]. Alves [12], while recording zootherapeutic practices in this region, did not perform studies in Ceará and Rio Grande do Norte; however, studies performed that same year [27, 33] and in the following year [28] demonstrated the medicinal use of animals in these two states. The North region was the second-most frequently represented, followed by the Southeast and Center-West regions, which accounted for 7% of the studies.

## 3. Therapeutic Indications for Ichthyofauna

Various therapeutic indications have been associated with ichthyofauna for medicinal use in Brazil, with 83 different diseases or illnesses recorded, particularly asthma, rheumatism, wounds, alcoholism, and bronchitis.

*Hippocampus reidi* and *Hippocampus erectus* stand out among the salt water species, with RI (relative importance) values of 1.73 and 0.98, respectively. The importance of




FIGURE 1: Species cited in the traditional medicine of Brazil ((a) *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch 1794) (Trahira/Traíra), (b) *Prochilodus argenteus* Spix and Agassiz, 1829 (curimatã), (c) *Trachelyopterus galeatus* (Linnaeus, 1766) (Driftwood catfishes/Cumbá), (d) *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) (South American silver croaker/Corvina), (e) *Synbranchus marmoratus* Bloch, 1795 (marbled swamp eel/muçum), (f) *Callichthys callichthys* (Linnaeus, 1758) (cascudo/caboje)).

these species is also evident from the number of studies that reported them in their inventories, especially in Northeast Brazil.

*Hoplias malabaricus* scored highest on diversity among the predominantly fresh water species, with an RI of 2.00, the highest score among all the species in the inventory. This species also stood out regarding the number of parts of the fish that can be used in traditional remedies. *Electrophorus electricus* received the second-highest RI score (1.60). It was also evident that these species have regional importance, due to the fact that they are cited in various studies conducted in Northern and Northeast Brazil. There was no significant difference between the species regarding habitat, according to the Kruskal-Wallis test ( $H = 1.213$ ;  $P = 0.270$ ).

The therapeutic indications were grouped into 16 body systems (Figure 3). Of these, only two categories did not appear for the fresh water species: neoplasias and problems relating to pregnancy, birth, and puerperium. Two categories did not appear among salt water species: sensory system disorders and undefined pains/disorders.

The systems with the greatest diversity of species included disorders of the respiratory system (e.g., asthma, bronchitis, and pneumonia) and wounds, poisonings and other results from external causes (e.g., wounds caused by the fish itself, burns, and scarring). In spite of the fact that 57% of systems

had greater diversity for fresh water than for salt water species, no significant differences in species wealth were observed ( $P = 0.374$ ) between the two groups.

Often, a single species is the source of treatment for many diseases and infirmities [27]. Among the most versatile species are *Hoplias malabaricus*, *Electrophorus electricus*, *Hippocampus reidi*, *Hippocampus erectus*, and *Phractocephalus hemiliopterus*. The trahira (*Hoplias malabaricus*) was very versatile in treating 35% of therapeutic indications, ranging from bone and respiratory problems to alcoholism and snakebite. The electric eel (*Electrophorus electricus*) and the longsnout seahorse (*Hippocampus reidi*) treated 23% of indications each, and the redbtail catfish (*Phractocephalus hemiliopterus*) and another species of seahorse (*Hippocampus erectus*) each treated 12%. It should be noted that seahorses and the trahira are heavily commercialized in Northeast Brazil [9, 51].

Although a particular species can be associated with various indications, these therapeutic uses may be associated with the use of different parts of the animal. The head of *Hoplias malabaricus* (trahira) is used for treatment of tetanus [38], while its scales are used to combat stroke [20], and the fat and skin secretion are indicated as a remedy for alcoholism [16, 27]. Another example of therapeutic versatility is found in *Electrophorus electricus* (electric eel),



FIGURE 2: Distribution of richness of ichthyofauna used in traditional medicine in Brazil.




FIGURE 3: Body systems by fish species used in zootherapeutic practices in Brazil.




FIGURE 4: Richness of species according to the main body parts of fish used for therapeutic purposes in Brazil. (A: fat, B: entire, C: meat, D: otoliths, E: cartilage, F: liver, G: tail, H: spur, I: tooth, J: scale, K: skin).



FIGURE 5: Box in the Market of São José (Recife, Brazil) with seahorse to sell.




FIGURE 6: IUCN categories of fish species used for medicinal purposes in Brazil (EN: endangered, VU: vulnerable, LC: least concern, CR: critically endangered, NT: near threatened, NA: not available, DD: insufficient data).

whose bones are used to treat snakebite [31], while the fat is associated with other indications, such as pains [26, 28, 31], rheumatism [7–9, 17, 26, 27, 31, 37], colds [31], asthma [31, 37], and pneumonia [8, 37].

Among the fish parts most commonly employed for the production of zootherapeutic products, fat stood out with a 40% use occurrence. Fish fat is indicated for various infirmities and diseases. Its use recurs often in popular medicine [31]; fat has been documented as the most commonly used animal part in various studies [8, 35]. In India, the fat from various animals is indicated for combating all types of pain, impotence, burns, and paralysis [52]. The widespread use of fat can be related to the ease of its extraction. Additionally, it can be preserved at room temperature for long periods [29].

The use of various other parts of fish has also been recorded, including teeth, eyes, gall, liver, wattles, otoliths, fins, and stingers. Many fish parts used in zootherapy are not used for other purposes, such as scales and leathers, to maximize the use of local resources [35]. Another method for keeping therapeutic resources available are food taboos, through which the consumption of some of these species would lead to negative consequences, thereby keeping these animals available in case of necessity (Figure 4) [31].

In addition to dead animals and their parts, the use of living animals is a recurring practice in traditional medicine systems and is a part of the beliefs and “spells” in local systems [22]. A mystical use has been reported for the species *Synbranchus marmoratus* (marbled swamp eel) and *Callichthys callichthys* (armored catfish) [39] in the treatment of asthma; namely, one should spit in the mouth of a living animal, and then put it back in the river.

Another demonstration of aspects associated with popular medicine occurs when the morphology exhibited by the animal inspires its therapeutic application. Sometimes the morphology of the animal and/or the organs utilized is associated with the part of the human body to be treated



TABLE 1: Fish species used in traditional medicine in Brazil with local name, IUCN categories (EN: endangered, VU: vulnerable, LC: least concern, CR: critically endangered, NT: near threatened, NA: not available, DD: insufficient data), part used, therapeutic indication, number of bodily systems, state (occurrence), RI (relative importance), and reference.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
Predominantly salt water							
<b>Chondrichthyes</b>							
<b>Orectolobiformes</b>							
Ginglymostomatidae							
<i>Ginglymostoma cirratum</i> (Bonnaterre, 1788) (Nurse shark/Cação-lixia)	DD	Cartilage	Rheumatism	1	MA, PB	0.138	[7, 9]
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>							
Carcharhinidae							
<i>Carcharhinus limbatus</i> (Müller and Henle, 1839) (Blacktip shark/Sucuri-da-galha-preta)	NT	Cartilage, fat	Osteoporosis	1	MA	0.138	[7–9, 16]
<i>Carcharhinus porosus</i> (Ranzani, 1839) (Smalltail/Cação-do-salgado)	DD	Cartilage, fat	Asthma, rheumatism, wounds, inflammations, osteoporosis	3	MA, BA, PA	0.492	[7, 9]
<i>Carcharhinus leucas</i> (Muller and Henle, 1839) (Bull shark/Tubarão)	NT	—	—	—	PE	—	[3]
<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i> (Péron and Lesueur, 1822) (Tiger shark/Jaguará)	NT	Cartilage, fat	Osteoporosis	1	MA, PI	0.138	[7, 9]
<i>Rhizoprionodon lalandii</i> (Müller and Henle, 1839) (Sharpnose shark/cação-frango)	DD	Cartilage, fat	Rheumatism	1	PB, BA	0.138	[7, 9, 16]
<i>Rhizoprionodon porosus</i> (Poey, 1861) (Caribbean sharpnose shark/Cação de praia)	LC	Cartilage, fat	Rheumatism	1	PB, BA	0.138	[7, 9, 16]
Sphyrnidae							
<i>Sphyrna lewini</i> (Griffith and Smith, 1834) (Scalloped hammerhead/Cação-martelo)	EN	Liver oil	Asthma, wounds, rheumatism	3	BA	0.415	[2]
<b>Pristiiformes</b>							
Pristidae							
<i>Pristis perotteti</i> Muller and Henle 1842 (Sawfish/Espadarte)	CR	Rostral expansion	Rheumatism, arthritis	1	PA	0.138	[9]
<i>Pristis pectinata</i> (Latham, 1794) (Smalltooth sawfish/espadarte)	CR	Rostral expansion	Asthma, rheumatism, arthritis	2	—	0.135	[18]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<b>Rajiformes</b>							
<b>Narciniidae</b>							
<i>Narcine Braziliensis</i> (Olfers, 1831) (Brazilian electric ray/Raia elétrica) Rajidae	DD	Fat	Tooth pain	1	BA	0.138	[2, 4]
<i>Atlantoraja cyclophora</i> (Regan, 1903) (Eyespot skate/Almofadinha/barata do mar)							
	VU	Eggs	Postpartum hemorrhage	1	RJ	0.138	[19]
<b>Dasyatidae</b>							
<i>Dasyatis guttata</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) (Longnose stingray/Raia branca)	DD	Teeth, liver oil, tail, ventral mucus, liver	Asthma, wounds caused by the fish itself, burns on the skin	2	PB	0.315	[7, 9]
<i>Dasyatis mairiana</i> Gomes, Rosa, and Gadig, 2000 (Brazilian large-eyed stingray/raia mariquita)	DD	Teeth, liver oil, tail, ventral mucus, liver	Asthma, wounds caused by the fish itself, burns on the skin	2	PB	0.315	[7, 9]
<b>Myliobatidae</b>							
<i>Aetobatus narinari</i> (Euphrasen, 1790) (Spotted eagle ray/raia-chita)	NT	Teeth, liver oil, tail, ventral mucus, liver	Asthma, wounds caused by the fish itself, burns on the skin, and hemorrhages	3	PA, PI, PB	0.453	[7, 9]
<b>Urotrygonidae</b>							
<i>Urotrygon microphthalmum</i> (Delsman, 1941) (small-eyed, round stingray/raia)	LC	Teeth, liver oil, tail, ventral mucus, liver	Asthma, wounds caused by the fish itself, burns on the skin	2	PB	0.315	[7, 9]
<b>Actinopterygii</b>							
<b>Elopiiformes</b>							
<b>Megalopidae</b>							
<i>Megalops atlanticus</i> Valenciennes, 1847 (Tarpon/Camurupim/Cangurupim)	NE	Scale	Asthma, lack of air, headache, stroke	3	MA, PB, AL	0.454	[7-9, 20]
<b>Anguilliformes</b>							
<b>Muraenidae</b>							
<i>Gymnothorax fumebris</i> Ranzani, 1840 (Green moray/moréia verde)	NE	Meat	Wounds	1	PB	0.138	[7, 9]
<i>Gymnothorax moringa</i> (Cuvier, 1829) (Spotted moray/moréia pintada)	NE	Meat	Wounds	1	PB	0.138	[7, 9]
<i>Gymnothorax vicinus</i> (Castelnau, 1855) (Purple mouth moray/moréia)	NE	Meat	Wounds	1	PB	0.138	[7, 9]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<b>Clupeiformes</b>							
Clupeidae							
<i>Opisthonema oglinum</i> (Lesueur, 1818) (Atlantic thread herring/sardinha)	NE	Entire	Alcoholism	1	PB	0.138	[7, 9]
<b>Siluriformes</b>							
Ariidae							
<i>Bagre bagre</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Coco sea catfish/bagre-fidalgo)	NE	Entire	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	BA	0.138	[2, 21]
<i>Genidens barbuis</i> (Lacépède, 1803) (White sea catfish/bagre-do-mar)	NE	Entire	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	BA	0.138	[2, 21]
<i>Genidens genidens</i> (Valenciennes, 1840) (Guri sea catfish/Bagre)	LC	eye	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	BA	0.138	[22]
<i>Aspistor luniscutis</i> (Valenciennes, 1840) (bagre-urutu)	NE	Entire	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	BA	0.138	[2, 21]
<b>Gadiformes</b>							
Gadidae							
<i>Gadus morhua</i> Linnaeus, 1758 (Atlantic Cod, bacalhau)	VU	Fat, skin	Rheumatism, furuncle, back pain	2	PB, BA	0.315	[2, 23]
<b>Batrachoidiformes</b>							
Batrachoididae							
<i>Thalassophryne nattereri</i> (Steindachner, 1876) (niquim)	NE	meat, eye, and brain	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	MA, PI, BA	0.138	[2, 7, 9]
<b>Lophiiformes</b>							
Ogcocephalidae							
<i>Ogcocephalus vespertilio</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Seadevil/Peixe morcego)	NE	Entire	Asthma, bronchitis, rheumatism, arthritis	2	MA, PB, RJ	0.354	[7-9, 19]
<b>Beryciformes</b>							
Holocentridae							
<i>Holocentrus adscensionis</i> (Osbeck, 1765) (Squirrelfish/jaguariçá)	NE	Sting	Wounds	1	RJ	0.138	[19]
<b>Gasterosteiformes</b>							
Syngnathidae							
<i>Hippocampus erectus</i> Perry, 1810 (Lined seahorse/Cavalo-marinho)	VU	Entire	Alcoholism, thromboses, impotence, diabetes, osteoporosis, heart disease, bronchitis, cancer, asthma, and rheumatism	6	Brazil	0.985	[21]



TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<i>Hippocampus reidi</i> Ginsburg, 1933 (Longsnout seahorse/Cavalo-marinho)	DD	Entire	Edema, asthma, bronchitis, impotence, thromboses, hemorrhage, hemorrhage in women, postpartum disorders, gastritis, tuberculosis, epilepsy, alcoholism, increasing female fertility, osteoporosis, heart disease cancer, asthma, rheumatism, avoiding miscarriage	10	RJ, PE, RN, PB, CE, BA, MA, PI, Brazil	1.731	[1, 2, 4, 7–9, 19, 24–29]
<b>Perciformes</b>							
<b>Centropomidae</b>							
<i>Centropomus undecimalis</i> (Bloch, 1792) (Common snook/Robalo)	NE	Fat	Swollen legs, edema	1	BA	0.177	[2]
<b>Sparidae</b>							
<i>Calamus penna</i> (Valenciennes, 1830) (Sheepshead porgy/peixe-pena)	NE	Fin	Asthma	1	BA	0.138	[2]
<b>Sciaenidae</b>							
<i>Gynoscion acoupa</i> (Lacepède 1802) (Acoupa weakfish/Pescada amarela)							
	LC	Otoliths	Renal insufficiency	1	MA	0.138	[8]
<i>Gynoscion leiarchus</i> (Curvier 1830) (Smooth weakfish/Pescada branca)	NE	Otoliths, Head	Renal insufficiency, lack of air	1	MA, PB	0.177	[8, 30]
<i>Microgonomias furneri</i> (Desmarest, 1823)	NE	Otoliths	Bronchitis	1	RJ	0.138	[29]
<b>Trichiuridae</b>							
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (largehead hairtail/peixe espada)	NE	Tail	Asthma	1	—	0.138	[18]
<b>Tetraodontiformes</b>							
<b>Balistidae</b>							
<i>Balistes vetula</i> Linnaeus, 1758 (Queen-triggerfish/cangulo)	VU	Skin	Asthma, back pain	2	MA	0.277	[8]
<i>Balistes caprisicus</i> Gmelin, 1789 (Grey-triggerfish/capucho)	NE	Skin	Bronchitis		RJ	0.138	[29]
<b>Tetraodontidae</b>							
<i>Colomesus psittacus</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) (Banded puffer/Baiacú)	NE	Liver oil, bile	Breast cancer, back pain, warts	3	MA	0.415	[7, 9]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<i>Sphoeroides testudineus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Checkeder puffer/Baiacú)	NE	Fat	Rheumatism	1	BA	0.138	[1]
Predominantly fresh water							
<b>Chondrichthyes</b>							
<b>Rajiformes</b>							
Potamotrygonidae							
<i>Paratrygon ajereba</i> (Walbaum, 1792) (Discus ray/raia)	DD	Spur, Fat	Asthma, cold, cough, ear pain, pneumonia, umbilical hernia, burns on the skin	3	TO	0.569	[31]
<i>Plesiopygon iwamae</i> (Rosa, Castello and Thorson, 1987) (Long-tailed river stingray/Arraia)	DD	Fat	Wounds caused by the fish itself, cracks on the soles of feet, wounds	1	PA	0.138	[9]
<i>Potamotrygon hystrix</i> (Müller and Henle, 1841) (Porcupine river stingray/Raia)	DD	Spur, Fat	Asthma, cold, cough, ear pain, pneumonia, umbilical hernia, burns on the skin	3	TO	0.569	[31]
<i>Potamotrygon motoro</i> (Müller and Henle, 1841) (South American freshwater stingray/Raia)	DD	Spur, Fat	Asthma, cold, cough, ear pain, pneumonia, umbilical hernia, burns on the skin	3	TO	0.569	[31]
<i>Potamotrygon orbignyi</i> (Castelnau, 1855) (Smooth back river stingray/Arraia)	LC	Fat	Wounds caused by the fish itself	1	PA	0.138	[9]
<b>Actinopterygii</b>							
<b>Osteoglossiformes</b>							
Arapaimidae							
<i>Arapaima gigas</i> (Cuvier, 1829) (Arapaima/arapaima, pirarucu)	DD	scale	Asthma	1	PA	0.138	[8]
Osteoglossidae							
<i>Osteoglossum ferretai</i> Kanazawa, 1966 (Black arawana/Aruanã) (Arapaima/arapaima, pirarucu)	LC	scale	Dermatological problems	1	AM	0.138	[32]
<i>Prochilodus nigricans</i> Agassiz 1829 (Black prochilodus/Curimatã, Papa-terra)	NE	Fat, meat Fat, gall, meat	Inflammations, cholesterol, burns on the skin, wounds, rheumatism, chilblains, malaria, whooping cough	5	CE, TO, Brazil	0.808	[27, 33, 34]
Anostomidae							
<i>Leporinus piau</i> Fowler, 1941 (Piau)	NE	Fat	Rheumatism	1	BA	0.138	[35]
<i>Leporinus steindachneri</i> Eigenmann 1907 (Piau)	NE	Fat	Cholesterol	1	CE	0.138	[27]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<i>Schizodon kneri</i> (Steindachner, 1875) (Piau branco)	NE	Fat	Edema, leukoma	2	AL	0.277	[20]
Characidae							
<i>Brycon nattereri</i> Günther, 1864 (Matrinchã)	NE	Meat	Flu	1	BA	0.138	[36]
<i>Piaractus brachipomus</i> (Cuvier, 1818) (Pirapatinga/Caranha)	NE	Fat	Scarring	1	TO	0.138	[37]
<i>Serrasalmus brandtii</i> Lütken, 1875 (White piranha/Piranha)	NE	Tail, gall, fat	Impotency, jaundice, edema, inflammations	3	BA, AL	0.454	[20, 22, 35]
<i>Mylossoma duriventre</i> (Cuvier, 1818) (Pacu manteiga)	NE	Fat	STDs	1	TO	0.138	[31]
Incertae sedis in Characidae							
<i>Asyanax cf. bimaculatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Two-spot asyanax/Piaba)	NE	Entire	Alcoholism	1	BA	0.138	[2]
<i>Chalceus macrolepidotus</i> Cuvier, 1818 (Pink tailed chalceus/Araripirã)	NE	Entire, eye	Asthma	1	AM	0.138	[11]
<i>Paracheirodon axelrodi</i> (Schultz, 1956) (Cardinal tetra/Cardinal)	NE	Entire	Asthma	1	AM	0.138	[11]
<i>Salminus hilarii</i> Valenciennes, 1850 (Dourado)	NE	Head	Memory	1	TO	0.138	[37]
Cynodontidae							
<i>Hydrolycus scomberoides</i> (Cuvier, 1819) (Payara/Cachorra)	NE	Fat	Ear pain	1	TO	0.138	[31]
Erythrinidae							
<i>Erythrinus erythrinus</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) (Matroê)	NE	Entire	Asthma	1	AL	0.138	[20]
<i>Hoplias lacerdae</i> Miranda Ribeiro, 1908 (Trahira/Trairão)	NE	Fat	Rheumatism, "vilide"	2	BA	0.277	[35]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<i>Hoplias malabaricus</i> (Bloch 1794) (Trahira/Traira)	NE	Fat, epidermal secretion, "bucha", entire, head, scale, meat	Alcoholism, ear pain, inflammations, cholesterol, sore throat, umbilical cord inflammation, contusions, inflamed ear, hearing problems, ocular inflammation, urinary infection, deafness, asthma, muscle strain, erysipelas, wounds, hemorrhages, snakebite, conjunctivitis, edema, rheumatism, leukoma, stroke, asthma, diarrhea, vision problems	10	AC, BA, RN, PA, PB, MA, PE, AL, TO	2.000	[1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 16, 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 36, 38]
<b>Siluriformes</b>							
Cetopsidae							
<i>Cetopsis candiru</i> Spix and Agassiz, 1829 (Candiru)	NE	Meat	Whooping cough	1	TO	0.138	[37]
Aspredinidae							
<i>Aspredinichthys tibicen</i> (Valenciennes, 1840) (Tenbarbed banjo/viola)	NE	Barbels	Asthma	1	MA	0.138	[7, 9]
<i>Aspredo aspredo</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Banjo/viola)	NE	Barbels	Asthma	1	MA	0.138	[7, 9]
Callichthyidae							
<i>Callichthys callichthys</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) (Cascudo/Caboje)	NE	Entire	Asthma, umbilical hernia, bronchitis, helping a child to walk earlier	3	BA, AL	0.454	[2, 20, 39]
Pimelodidae							
<i>Brachyplatystoma filamentosum</i> (Lichtenstein, 1819) (Kumakuma/Filhote)	NE	Fin	Cough, alcoholism	2	TO	0.277	[37]
<i>Phractocephalus hemiliopterus</i> (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) (Redtail catfish/Pirarara)	NE	Fat	Burns on the skin, rheumatism, cough, wounds, bronchitis, whooping cough, hoarseness, pneumonia, asthma, cold, umbilical hernia	3	AM, TO, Brazil	0.723	[11, 31, 34, 37]
<i>Pseudoplatystoma corruscans</i> (Spix and Agassiz, 1829) (Spotted sorubim/Surubim)	NE	Fat	Burns on the skin	1	BA	0.138	[36]
<i>Pseudoplatystoma fasciatum</i> (Linnaeus, 1766) (Barred sorubim/pintado)	NE	Fat, gall	Scarring, whooping cough, body pain, muscular pains, bone pain, bronchitis, stroke	5	TO	0.769	[37]
<i>Sorubimichthys planiceps</i> (Spix and Agassiz, 1829) (Firewood catfish/Surubim-chicote)	NE	Meat	Tuberculosis, leishmaniasis	2	TO	0.277	[31]
<i>Zungaro zungaro</i> (Humboldt, 1821) (Gilded catfish/Jaú)	NE	Fat, skin, meat	Bronchitis, asthma, burns on the skin, rheumatism, cold, ear pain, tooth pain, chilblains	6	TO	0.908	[31, 37]

TABLE 1: Continued.

Taxa/local name	IUCN	Part used	Therapeutic indication	Number of bodily systems	State	RI	Reference
<b>Doradidae</b>							
<i>Lithodoras dorsalis</i> (Valenciennes, 1840) (Rock-bacu/bacu)	NE	Fat	Swelling	1	PA	0.138	[9]
<i>Oxydoras niger</i> (Valenciennes, 1821) (Ripsaw catfish/Abotoado)	NE	Fat	Asthma, bronchitis, grippie, scarring, dry skin	3	TO	0.492	[37]
<b>Auchenipteridae</b>							
<i>Trachelyopterus galeatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766) (Driftwood catfishes/Cumbá)	NE	Entire, spur	Impotence, umbilical hernia, asthma	3	BA, AL	0.415	[20, 35, 40, 41]
<i>Megalodoras uranoscopus</i> (Eigenmann and Eigenmann, 1888)	NE	Fat	Rheumatism	1	TO	0.138	[31]
<i>Pterodoras granulosus</i> (Valenciennes, 1821) (Granulated catfish/cuiú-cuiú)	NE	Fat	Rheumatism	1	TO	0.138	[31]
<b>Gymnotiformes</b>							
<b>Gymnotidae</b>							
<i>Electrophorus electricus</i> (Linnaeus 1756) (Electric eel/Peixe Elétrico, Poraqué)	LC	Entire, fat, spin, and bone	Acne, alcoholism, asthma, itching, contusions, headache, back pain, muscular pains, wounds, swellings, spots on the skin, osteoporosis, snake bite, insect bite, pneumonia, cold, rheumatism, deafness, muscle strain, thrombosis, tuberculosis	8	RN, PE, DF, AC, PA, MA, PI, PB, TO, BA	1.608	[1, 7-9, 12, 17, 27, 28, 31, 37]
<b>Synbranchiformes</b>							
<b>Synbranchidae</b>							
<i>Synbranchus marmoratus</i> Bloch, 1795 (Marbled swamp eel/muçum)	NE	Entire	Making the child walk sooner, bronchitis, asthma, bronchitis, umbilical hernia	3	BA	0.454	[2, 39]
<b>Perciformes</b>							
<b>Sciaenidae</b>							
<i>Pachyurus francisci</i> (Cuvier, 1830) (San Francisco croaker/Cruvina, curvina-de-bico)	NE	Otoliths	Asthma, back pain, diuretic effect, renal insufficiency	3	BA	0.454	[36]
<i>Plagioscion squamosissimus</i> (Heckel, 1840) (South American silver croaker/Corvina)	NE	Otoliths	Kidney stones, renal insufficiency, urinary infection, hemorrhages, snake bite	3	TO	0.492	[31, 37]
<i>Plagioscion surinamensis</i> (Bleeker, 1873) (Pacora/Corvina)	NE	Otoliths	Urinary infection, hemorrhages, snakebite	3	TO	0.41538	[31]

because the similarities are interpreted as indicative of a potential benefit [35]. Moura and Marques [35] recorded the use of the common wood catfish (*Trachelyopterus galeatus*) in the treatment of impotence, due to the species' large, fringed testicles.

Zootherapy has been the focus of increasing attention from the pharmaceutical industry [7]. These industries have used the biologically active components present in traditional medicines as sources for the production of many drugs [53]. Compounds extracted from fish are already used in official medicine, such as Tetrodotoxin, which originates from pufferfish and possesses a powerful anesthetic effect [54–56]. Other widely distributed compounds from fish, omega-3 fatty acids, are associated with the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases, arthritis, kidney disease, and inflammation [57].

The exploitation of medicinal fauna resources by local populations and the pharmaceutical industry has had a negative impact on several species, with their survival threatened by overexploitation [10, 58]. Among the fish used therapeutically in Brazil, three species can be singled out as having an elevated danger of extinction and are included in the “vulnerable” category by the IUCN [59]: *Atlantoraja cyclophora*, *Balistes vetula*, and *Hippocampus erectus*. *Sphyrna lewini* is in the “in danger” category, with a very high risk of extinction, and *Pristis perotetti* and *Pristis pectinata* are “in critical danger.” Among these species are four cartilaginous fishes that have low levels of fecundity, such as the ray, the hammerhead shark, and the swordfish. Seahorses (*Hippocampus* spp.) are considered susceptible to exploitation and are threatened worldwide due to excessive use and destruction of habitat due to their high monetary value and potential for commercialization [51] (Figure 5). The species *H. reidi*, currently listed in the “insufficient data” category, is widely commercialized for medicinal purposes throughout Brazil and exhibits low reproduction and high mortality rates in initial phases [60].

However, the great majority of fish identified in this survey have not yet been evaluated by the IUCN, or there is insufficient data for analysis (Figure 6). This fact highlights the scarcity of knowledge regarding the true situation of these fish, demonstrating the need for studies directed toward those species that are used medicinally to preserve these resources and all aspects linked to them. Also, there is no significant differences in the relative importance (RI) between IUCN categories according to the Kruskal-Wallis test ( $P > 0.05$ ).

In addition, the extinction of some species could compromise both traditional knowledge and the discovery of new drugs [61] because these species could disappear before science becomes aware of their potential. The growing demand for the biotic resources used in traditional medicine is due to the increasing quantity of studies that demonstrate the efficacy of their use, drawing the attention of the pharmaceutical industry [62].

Extractivism is generally the only method for obtaining zootherapeutic resources, highlighting the need to add these species to conservation efforts by including creatures involved in zootherapeutic practices in planning for the

management of fauna. Both the local population and the pharmaceutical industries can contribute in different ways to the maintenance of these resources. In addition, it is also necessary to understand the ecology and biology of the species used in medicine to propose effective strategies for managing these resources.

## Final Considerations

We highlight the importance of fish in zootherapeutic practices in Brazil, emphasizing the knowledge gap that must be explored in ethnobiological and pharmacological research in the country. The Northeast region represents the major center for research on this subject, both in terms of the large number of publications and the number of local researchers.

We recommend greater investment in exploration projects for fish, especially in inland water resources, associating ecological parameters that drive population dynamics to better understand the relationships of humans with these resources. This will enable more efficient management proposals for the conservation of these species and their associated ecosystems and will allow for the perpetuation of traditional medical practices.

## Acknowledgments

This paper is the contribution P002 of the Rede de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Saberes Locais (REBISA-Network of Research in Biodiversity and Local Knowledge), with financial support from FACEPE (Foundation for Science and Technology) to the project Núcleo de Pesquisa em Ecologia, Conservação e Potencial de Uso de Recursos Biológicos no Semiárido do Nordeste do Brasil (Center for Research in Ecology, Conservation and Potential Use of Biological Resources in the semi-arid region of Northeastern Brazil: APQ-1264-2.05/10).

## References

- [1] E. M. Costa-Neto, “Traditional use and sale of animals as medicines in Feira de Santana City, Bahia, Brazil,” *Indigenous Knowledge and Development Monitor*, vol. 7, pp. 6–9, 1999.
- [2] E. M. Costa-Neto and G. W. Marques, “Faunistic resources used as medicines by artisanal fishermen from siribinha beach, state of Bahia, Brazil,” *Journal of Ethnobiology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 93–109, 2000.
- [3] C. Fátima, C. B. R. Almeida, and U. P. Albuquerque, “Uso e conservação de plantas e animais medicáveis no Estado de Pernambuco (Nordeste do Brasil): um estudo de caso,” *Interciência*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 276–285, 2002.
- [4] E. M. Costa-Neto, “Implications and applications of folk zootherapy in the state of Bahia, Northeastern Brazil,” *Sustainable Development*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 161–174, 2004.
- [5] A. C. O. Silva and U. P. Albuquerque, “Woody medicinal plants of the caatinga in the state of Pernambuco (Northeast Brazil),” *Acta Botanica Brasílica*, vol. 19, pp. 17–26, 2006.
- [6] U. P. Albuquerque and N. Hanazaki, “As pesquisas etnodirigidas na descoberta de novos fármacos de interesse médico e farmacêutico: fragilidades e perspectivas,” *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, vol. 16, pp. 678–689, 2006.



- [7] R. R. N. Alves and I. L. Rosa, "From cnidarians to mammals: the use of animals as remedies in fishing communities in NE Brazil," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 259–276, 2006.
- [8] R. R. N. Alves and I. L. Rosa, "Zootherapy goes to town: the use of animal-based remedies in urban areas of NE and N Brazil," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 113, no. 3, pp. 541–555, 2007.
- [9] R. R. N. Alves and I. L. Rosa, "Zootherapeutic practices among fishing communities in North and Northeast Brazil: a comparison," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 82–103, 2007.
- [10] R. R. N. Alves and I. M. L. Rosa, "Biodiversity, traditional medicine and public health: where do they meet?" *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 3, article 14, pp. 1–9, 2007.
- [11] A. L. Silva, "Animais medicinais: conhecimento e uso entre as populações ribeirinhas do rio Negro, Amazonas," *Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Ciências Humanas*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 343–357, 2008.
- [12] R. R. N. Alves, "Fauna used in popular medicine in Northeast Brazil," *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 5, article 1, pp. 1–11, 2009.
- [13] R. R. N. Alves and H. N. Alves, "The faunal drugstore: animal-based remedies used in traditional medicines in Latin America," *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 7, article 9, pp. 1–43, 2011.
- [14] E. Lev, "Traditional healing with animals (zootherapy): medieval to present-day Levantine practice," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 107–118, 2003.
- [15] R. R. N. Alves and I. L. Rosa, "Why study the use of animal products in traditional medicines?" *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 1, article 5, 2005.
- [16] E. M. Costa-Neto, "A zooterapia popular no Estado da Bahia: registro de novas espécies animais utilizadas como recursos medicinais," *Ciência e Saúde Coletiva*, vol. 16, supplement 1, pp. 1639–1650, 2011.
- [17] E. M. Costa-Neto and P. C. Motta, "Animal species traded as ethnomedicinal resources in the federal district, central west region of Brazil," *The Open Complementary Medicine Journal*, vol. 2, pp. 24–30, 2010.
- [18] R. R. N. Alves, I. L. Rosa, and G. G. Santana, "The role of animal-derived remedies as complementary medicine in Brazil," *BioScience*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 949–955, 2007.
- [19] A. Begossi, "Food taboos at Buzios Island (Brazil): their significance and relation to folk medicine," *Journal of Ethnobiology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 117–139, 1992.
- [20] J. G. W. Marques, *Pescando Pescadores: Etnoecologia Abrangente no Baixo São Francisco Alagoano*, NUPAUB, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 1995.
- [21] E. M. Costa-Neto, "Animal-based medicines: biological prospection and the sustainable use of zootherapeutic resources," *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 33–43, 2005.
- [22] J. N. Andrade and E. M. Costa-Neto, "Primeiro registro da utilização medicinal de recursos pesqueiros na cidade de São Félix, Estado da Bahia, Brasil," *Acta Scientiarum: Biological Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 177–183, 2005.
- [23] R. R. N. Alves, J. A. A. Barbosa, S. L. D. X. Santos, W. M. S. Souto, and R. R. D. Barboza, "Animal-based remedies as complementary medicines in the semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2011, Article ID 179876, 15 pages, 2011.
- [24] R. R. N. Alves, H. N. Lima, M. C. Tavares, W. M. S. Souto, R. R. D. Barboza, and A. Vasconcellos, "Animal-based remedies as complementary medicines in Santa Cruz do Capibaribe, Brazil," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 8, article 44, pp. 1–9, 2008.
- [25] J. A. A. Barbosa and R. R. N. Alves, "'Um chá de que?'. Animais utilizados no preparo tradicional de bebidas medicinais no agreste paraibano," *BioFar: Revista Brasileira de Biologia e Farmácia*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2010.
- [26] R. R. N. Alves, N. A. Léo Neto, S. E. Brooks, and U. P. Albuquerque, "Commercialization of animal-derived remedies as complementary medicine in the semi-arid region of Northeastern Brazil," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 600–608, 2009.
- [27] F. S. Ferreira, A. V. Brito, S. C. Ribeiro, A. A. F. Saraiva, W. O. Almeida, and R. R. N. Alves, "Animal-based folk remedies sold in public markets in Crato and Juazeiro do Norte, Ceará, Brazil," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 9, article 17, pp. 1–18, 2009.
- [28] E. S. Oliveira, D. F. Torres, S. E. Brooks, and R. R. N. Alves, "The medicinal animal markets in the metropolitan region of Natal City, northeastern Brazil," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 54–60, 2010.
- [29] C. S. Selxas and A. Begossi, "Ethnozoology of fishing communities from Ilha Grande (Atlantic Forest Coast, Brazil)," *Journal of Ethnobiology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 107–135, 2001.
- [30] N. L. G. Silva, S. F. Ferreira, H. D. M. Coutinho, and R. R. N. Alves, "Zooterápicos utilizados em comunidades rurais do município de Sumé, Paraíba, Nordeste do Brasil," in *Zooterapia: Os Animais na Medicina Popular Brasileira*, E. M. Costa-Neto and R. R. N. Alves, Eds., vol. 1, pp. 245–267, NUPEEA, Recife, Brazil, 1st edition, 2010.
- [31] A. Begossi and F. M. S. Braga, "Food taboos and folk medicine among fishermen from the Tocantins River (Brazil)," *Amazoniana*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 101–118, 1992.
- [32] E. Rodrigues, "Plants and animals utilized as medicines in the Jaú National Park (JNP), Brazilian Amazon," *Phytotherapy Research*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 378–391, 2006.
- [33] F. S. Ferreira, S. V. Brito, S. C. Ribeiro, W. O. Almeida, and R. R. N. Alves, "Zootherapeutics utilized by residents of the community Poço Dantas, Crato-CE, Brazil," *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 5, article 21, 2009.
- [34] A. Begossi, N. Hanazaki, and N. Peroni, "Knowledge and use of biodiversity in Brazilian hot spots," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, vol. 2, no. 3–4, pp. 177–193, 2000.
- [35] F. D. B. P. Moura and J. G. W. Marques, "Zooterapia popular na Chapada Diamantina: uma medicina incidental?" *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 2179–2188, 2008.
- [36] E. M. Costa-Neto, C. V. Dias, and M. N. De Melo, "O conhecimento ictiológico tradicional dos pescadores da cidade de Barra, região do médio São Francisco, Estado da Bahia, Brasil," *Acta Scientiarum: Biological Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 561–572, 2002.
- [37] M. F. Rocha and S. L. Poleto, "Etnoictiologia de pescadores profissionais artesanais dos rios Araguaia e Garças nos municípios de Barra do Garças, MT, Pontal do Araguaia e Aragarças," *Revista Interdisciplinar*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2009.
- [38] A. Begossi, R. A. M. Silvano, B. D. Do Amaral, and O. T. Oyakawa, "Uses of fish and game by inhabitants of an extractive reserve (Upper Juruá, Acre, Brazil)," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–93, 1999.
- [39] E. M. Costa-Neto, *A Cultura Pesqueira do Litoral Norte da Bahia: Etnoictiologia, Desenvolvimento e Sustentabilidade*, EDUFBA, Bahia, Brazil, 2001.

- [40] E. M. Costa-Neto, "Conhecimento e usos tradicionais de recursos faunísticos por uma comunidade Afro-Brasileira. Resultados preliminares," *Interciencia*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 423–431, 2000.
- [41] E. M. Costa-Neto, "Faunistic resources used as medicines by an Afro-brazilian community from Chapada Diamantina National Park, State of Bahia, Brazil," *Sitientibus*, no. 15, pp. 211–219, 1996.
- [42] E. M. Costa-Neto and R. R. N. Alves, "Estado da arte zooterapia popular no Brasil," in *Zooterapia: Os Animais na Medicina Popular Brasileira*, E. M. Costa-Neto and R. R. N. Alves, Eds., vol. 1, pp. 15–54, NUPEEA, Recife, Brazil, 1st edition, 2010.
- [43] R. Froese and D. Pauly, "FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication," version (06/2011), <http://www.fishbase.org/>.
- [44] A. P. Buckup, A. N. Menezes, and M. S. Ghazzi, *Catálogo das Espécies de Peixes de Água Doce do Brasil*, Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2007.
- [45] N. A. Menezes, P. A. Buckup, J. L. Figueiredo, and R. L. Moura, *Catálogo das Espécies de Peixes Marinhos do Brasil*, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2003.
- [46] B. C. Bennett and G. T. Prance, "Introduced plants in the indigenous pharmacopoeia of northern South America," *Economic Botany*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 90–102, 2000.
- [47] M. Ayres, M. Ayres Jr., D. L. Ayres, and A. S. Santos, *BioEstat 5.0—Aplicações estatísticas nas áreas das ciências bio-médicas*, Universidade Federal do Pará, Pará, Brazil, 2007.
- [48] World Health Organization (WHO), "International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems," 10th Revision, 2006.
- [49] R. R. N. Alves and I. L. Rosa, "Trade of animals used in Brazilian traditional medicine: trends and implications for conservation," *Human Ecology*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 691–704, 2010.
- [50] M. L. V. Silva, A. G. C. Alves, and A. V. Almeida, "A zooterapia no Recife (Pernambuco): uma articulação entre as práticas e a história," *Biotemas*, vol. 17, pp. 95–116, 2004.
- [51] I. L. Rosa, T. P. R. Oliveira, F. M. Osório et al., "Fisheries and trade of seahorses in Brazil: historical perspective, current trends, and future directions," *Biodiversity and Conservation*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 1951–1971, 2011.
- [52] P. Padmanabham and K. A. Sujana, "Animal products in traditional medicine from Attappady Hills of Western Ghats," *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, vol. 7, pp. 326–329, 2008.
- [53] E. M. Costa-Neto and M. V. M. Oliveira, "Cockroach is good for asthma: zootherapeutic practices in Northeastern Brazil," *Human Ecology Review*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 41–49, 2000.
- [54] N. A. Hagen, P. du Souich, B. Lapointe et al., "Tetrodotoxin for moderate to severe cancer pain: a randomized, double blind, parallel design multicenter study," *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 420–429, 2008.
- [55] J. Marcil, J. S. Walczak, J. Guindon, A. H. Ngoc, S. Lu, and P. Beaulieu, "Antinociceptive effects of tetrodotoxin (TTX) in rodents," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 761–768, 2006.
- [56] R. F. Padera, J. Y. Tse, E. Bellas, and D. S. Kohane, "Tetrodotoxin for prolonged local anesthesia with minimal myotoxicity," *Muscle and Nerve*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 747–753, 2006.
- [57] J. W. Alexander, "Immunonutrition: the role of  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids," *Nutrition*, vol. 14, no. 7-8, pp. 627–633, 1998.
- [58] S. Kang and M. Phipps, *A Question of Attitude: South Korea's Traditional Medicine Practitioners and Wildlife Conservation*, Traffic East Asia, Hong Kong, 2003.
- [59] IUCN, "IUCN Red List of Threatened Species," version 2011.1, <http://www.iucnredlist.org/>.
- [60] I. M. L. Rosa, R. R. N. Alves, K. M. Bonifácio et al., "Fishers' knowledge and seahorse conservation in Brazil," *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, vol. 1, article 12, pp. 1–12, 2005.
- [61] P. Shanley and L. Luz, "The impacts of forest degradation on medicinal plant use and implications for health care in eastern Amazonia," *BioScience*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 573–584, 2003.
- [62] I. Montanari Jr., "Aspectos da produção comercial de plantas medicinais nativas," CPQBA-UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil, 2002, <http://www.cpqba.unicamp.br/plmed/producao.htm>.