

## Oritavancin Activity against Vancomycin-Susceptible and Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci with Molecularly Characterized Glycopeptide Resistance Genes Recovered from Bacteremic Patients, 2009-2010

Rodrigo E. Mendes, a Leah N. Woosley, a David J. Farrell, a Helio S. Sader, a and Ronald N. Jonesa, b

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA,ª and Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA<sup>b</sup>

Oritavancin exhibited potent activity against vancomycin-susceptible (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.015/0.03  $\mu$ g/ml) and vanB-carrying E. faecalis isolates (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.015 and 0.015  $\mu$ g/ml). Higher (16- to 32-fold) MIC<sub>50</sub>s and MIC<sub>90</sub>s for vanA-harboring E. faecalis were noted (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.25 and 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml), although oritavancin inhibited all strains at  $\leq$ 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml. Vancomycin-susceptible and vanB-carrying E. faecium strains (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.008 and  $\leq$ 0.008  $\mu$ g/ml for both) were very susceptible to oritavancin, as were VanA-producing isolates (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.03 and 0.06  $\mu$ g/ml). Oritavancin exhibited good in vitro potency against this collection of organisms, including vancomycin-resistant enterococci.

Enterococcus species have become important nosocomial pathogens and currently represent the third most frequent pathogens responsible for health care-associated infections in the United States (10), with Enterococcus faecium isolates eliciting greater concern, as they are often resistant to commonly used antimicrobial agents, such as ampicillin, aminoglycosides, and glycopeptides (14). Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium account for approximately 90% of nosocomial enterococcal infections and may acquire various types of glycopeptide resistance determinants (vanA, -B, -D, -E, -G, and -N) (5, 12). Among these elements, vanA and vanB are the most prevalent in clinically relevant species (9, 21). Although the rate of vancomycin resistance among E. faecalis strains causing bloodstream infections (BSI) has been stable over the last decade, the rate in E. faecium has escalated to 30% and 79% in Europe and the United States, respectively (10, 19).

There is growing evidence demonstrating that nosocomial enterococci possess specific characteristics, such as the presence of antimicrobial resistance determinants and pathogenicity traits, which enable them to rapidly adapt to the hospital environment and cause a broad range of invasive infections (11, 16, 17). The ability to acquire, retain, and express genetic elements further enhances the propensity of enterococci to sustain selective pressure (9). As infections caused by resistant bacteria usually begin with colonization of mucosal surfaces, in particular the intestinal epithelium, broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy depletes the intestinal microbiota, which plays an important role in the production of antimicrobial proteins by stimulating the immune system (2). An individual with a compromised mucosal innate immune defense is more prone to bacterial colonization, which eventually progresses to an infectious episode (2). In fact, it has been demonstrated that intestinal domination by vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) precedes bloodstream infections (18).

Oritavancin is a semisynthetic bactericidal lipoglycopeptide in late stage of clinical development for treatment of severe infections caused by Gram-positive organisms. This drug has demonstrated potent activity against Gram-positive pathogens, including multidrug-resistant (MDR) enterococci (VRE), staphylococci, and streptococci (1). This study describes the activity of oritavancin compared to other antimicrobial agents against a con-

temporary (2009-2010) collection of enterococcal clinical isolates causing BSI in U.S. and European hospitals, including VRE strains with molecularly characterized glycopeptide resistance determinants

A total of 2,260 enterococci (1,312 *E. faecalis*, 869 *E. faecium*, 24 *E. gallinarum*, and 15 *E. casseliflavus* isolates) were collected from 29 medical institutions in the United States and 27 centers in 13 European countries, including Turkey and Israel. Strains included in this study were those recovered from blood in a prevalence mode design following established protocols as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (i.e., the first 20 unique and consecutive blood isolates collected each month for 12 months per medical site). Isolates were submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA) and had the bacterial species identification confirmed by an automated system (Vitek2; bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO) or conventional biochemical algorithms, as required.

Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommendations (3). Oritavancin susceptibility testing was performed using dry-form panels (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH), which provide results equivalent to those of the CLSI-approved broth microdilution method supplemented with 0.002% polysorbate-80 (3). Quality assurance was performed by concurrent testing of the CLSI-recommended strains *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 (4). Interpretation of comparator MICs was in accordance with published CLSI (4) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria (7). Isolates with vancomycin MICs of ≥8 µg/ml were screened for *vanA* and *vanB* in a multiplex PCR

Received 2 November 2011 Returned for modification 22 November 2011 Accepted 8 December 2011

Published ahead of print 19 December 2011

Address correspondence to Rodrigo E. Mendes, rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com. Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.06067-11

TABLE 1 Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin against vancomycin-susceptible and vancomycin-resistant enterococcal clinical isolates causing bloodstream infections in U.S. and European hospitals

	$MIC (\mu g/ml)$		Number <sup>a</sup> (cumulative %) inhibited at MIC ( $\mu$ g/ml) of <sup>a</sup> :						
Organism and resistance (no. tested)	50%	90%	≤0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5
E. faecalis (1,312)									
Vancomycin susceptible (1,275)	0.015	0.03	435 (34.1)	575 (79.2)	211 (95.8)	43 (99.1)	7 (99.7)	3 (99.9)	1 (100.0)
vanA (27)	0.25	0.5	0 (0.0)	1 (3.7)	3 (14.8)	3 (25.9)	0 (25.9)	15 (81.5)	5 (100.0)
vanB (10)	0.015	0.015	1 (10.0)	8 (90.0)	0 (90.0)	1 (100.0)	_	_	_
E. faecium (869)									
Vancomycin susceptible (383)	≤0.008	≤0.008	374 (97.7)	7 (99.5)	2 (100.0)	_	_	_	_
vanA (470)	0.03	0.06	76 (16.2)	74 (31.9)	146 (63.0)	133 (91.3)	37 (99.1)	4 (100.0)	-
vanB (16)	≤0.008	≤0.008	16 (100.0)	_	_	_	_	_	_
E. casseliflavus (15) and E. gallinarum (24)									
vanC (39)	≤0.008	0.015	34 (87.2)	5 (100.0)	_	_	-	_	_

a Modal MICs are in bold.

assay (6). The identification of *E. casseliflavus* and *E. gallinarum* was confirmed by PCR for the presence of *vanC1*, -2, and -3 (6).

Of the 2,260 enterococcal strains recovered from blood, the majority were *E. faecalis* (1,312; 58.1%), followed by *E. faecium* (869; 38.5%). *E. faecium* represented the vast majority (486/523; 93.0%) of vancomycin-resistant strains (Table 1). The *vanA* genotype was by far the most prevalent, accounting for 73.0% (27/37) and 96.7% (470/486) of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* strains, respectively. All *vanA*-carrying enterococci showed a VanA phenotype (i.e., vancomycin and teicoplanin MICs, >16 and >8  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively), except for two U.S. *E. faecium* isolates that exhibited teicoplanin MICs of  $\leq$ 1 and 4  $\mu$ g/ml (Table 2). Enterococcal strains carrying the *vanB* gene demonstrated vancomycin and teicoplanin MICs of  $\geq$ 8 and  $\leq$ 2  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively.

Oritavancin inhibited all tested enterococci at  $\leq 0.5 \mu \text{g/ml}$ , with potent MIC<sub>50</sub>s and MIC<sub>90</sub>s against vancomycin-susceptible E. faecalis (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.015 and 0.03  $\mu$ g/ml) and E. faecium (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.008 and  $\leq$ 0.008  $\mu$ g/ml) (Tables 1 and 2). MIC<sub>50</sub>s of oritavancin against *vanB*-carrying *E. faecalis* and E. faecium were equivalent to those obtained with the respective vancomycin-susceptible strains. Vancomycin-resistant (vanA) E. faecalis exhibited oritavancin MICs (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.25 and 0.5 µg/ml) 16-fold higher than those for vancomycinsusceptible isolates (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.015 and 0.03  $\mu$ g/ml). Similarly, vanA-carrying E. faecium (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.03 and 0.06  $\mu$ g/ml) exhibited higher ( $\geq$ 4-fold) oritavancin MICs than vancomycin-susceptible strains and vanB-harboring strains. Enterococcal isolates harboring intrinsic vancomycin resistance determinants (i.e., E. casseliflavus and E. gallinarum) showed variable vancomycin MICs (0.25 to 8  $\mu$ g/ml; MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 4 and 8  $\mu$ g/ml); nevertheless, these strains were very susceptible to oritavancin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.008 and 0.015  $\mu$ g/ml) (Tables 1 and 2).

Ampicillin (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 2  $\mu$ g/ml; VanA- and VanB-producing strains were 96.3% and 100% susceptible, respectively), daptomycin (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 to 2  $\mu$ g/ml; 100% susceptible), and linezolid (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1  $\mu$ g/ml; 100% susceptible) were active against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* isolates (Table 2). Oritavancin demonstrated *in vitro* MIC<sub>90</sub>s 2- to 4-fold and 64- to 128-fold lower than these comparator agents against *vanA*- and *vanB*-carrying *E. faecalis* strains, respectively. Among comparators, activity against

vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* was noted for daptomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 2 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml; 98.1 to 100% susceptible). Quinupristin-dalfopristin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.5 and 1  $\mu$ g/ml; 96.6% susceptible) demonstrated activity against *vanA*-carrying *E. faecium* (Table 2), while marginal coverage was noted against vancomycin-susceptible and vancomycin-resistant (*vanB*) strains (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.5 and  $\geq$ 2  $\mu$ g/ml; 72.1 to 87.5% susceptible). *E. casseliflavus* and *E. gallinarum* isolates were very susceptible (97.4 to 100%) to ampicillin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 1 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml), teicoplanin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 2 and  $\leq$ 2  $\mu$ g/ml), daptomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml), and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml), and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 and 2  $\mu$ g/ml) (Table 2).

This report provides an update on the distribution of van genes among vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis and E. faecium strains causing bacteremia in U.S. and European hospitals. A study conducted in the United States from 1995 through 2002 reported vancomycin resistance rates among E. faecalis (2%) and E. faecium (60%) strains responsible for BSI similar to those observed in this study (2.8 and 55.9%, respectively) (20). However, the present study highlights the emergence of E. faecium (38.5% of all enterococci) as an important pathogen causing BSI, which is of great concern given the higher antimicrobial resistance and mortality rate associated with this species (10). Interestingly, two E. faecium strains from U.S. hospitals demonstrated the combination of a VanB phenotype and vanA genotype. Strains displaying these characteristics have been reported in the East Asia region (Japan, China, South Korea, and Taiwan) (8); however, this appears to be the first report of such strains in the United States.

The clinical options for treating serious infections caused by VRE are very restricted and often present limitations (13). Oritavancin has demonstrated *in vitro* concentration-dependent bactericidal activity against VRE at the predicted free peak concentration derived from administering a human dose of 800 mg ( $\sim$ 16  $\mu$ g/ml) (15). In this report, the comparison of the *in vitro* activity of oritavancin and other agents revealed pronounced oritavancin activity against this collection of clinical isolates. Moreover, oritavancin demonstrated 4- to 128-fold-greater potency than the active comparator antimicrobial agents, particularly against VRE. These *in vitro* activity data suggest oritavancin as a promising agent for treating serious infections caused by vancomycin-

TABLE 2 Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin and comparator agents against vancomycin-susceptible and vancomycin-resistant enterococcal clinical isolates causing bloodstream infections in U.S. and European hospitals

	$MIC (\mu g/ml)$	% Susceptible/% resistant <sup>a</sup>			
Organism (no. tested) and antimicrobial agent	Range	50%	90%	CLSI	EUCAST
Vancomycin-susceptible <i>E. faecalis</i> (1,275)					
Oritavancin	$\leq 0.008-0.5$	0.015	0.03	-/-	-/-
Ampicillin	≤1-8	≤1	2	100.0/0.0	99.8/0.0
Vancomycin	0.25-4	1	2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Teicoplanin	≤2-4	<u>≤</u> 2	<i>≤</i> 2	100.0/0.0	99.9/0.1
Daptomycin	0.12-4	1	2	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.12-4		2		
		1		99.9/0.1	99.9/0.1
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤0.5->2	>2	>2	0.5/95.0	0.5/89.0
Levofloxacin	$\leq 0.5 - > 4$	1	>4	69.0/30.4	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2->8	>8	>8	23.2/76.5	-/-
vanA E. faecalis (27)					
Oritavancin	0.015-0.5	0.25	0.5	-/-	-/-
Ampicillin	≤1->16	≤1	2	96.3/3.7	96.3/3.7
Vancomycin	>16	>16	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0
Teicoplanin	>8	>8	>8	3.7/96.3	0.0/100.0
Daptomycin	0.5–2	1	2	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	1–2	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	2->2	>2	>2	0.0/96.3	0.0/96.3
Levofloxacin	2->2	>4		3.7/96.3	-/-
			>4		
Tetracycline	≤2->8	>8	>8	3.7/96.3	-/-
vanB E. faecalis (10)					
Oritavancin	$\leq 0.008 - 0.06$	0.015	0.015	-/-	-/-
Ampicillin	≤1-2	≤1	2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Vancomycin	8->16	>16	>16	0.0/80.0	0.0/100.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Daptomycin	≤0.06-2	0.5	1	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.5–2	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	>2	>2	>2	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0/100.0	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2->8	≤2	>8	50.0/50.0	-/-
Vancomycin-susceptible E. faecium (383)					
Oritavancin	-0.000 0.02	-0.000	-0.000	1	-/-
	≤0.008-0.03	≤0.008	≤0.008	-/-	
Ampicillin	≤1->8	>8	>8	14.4/85.6	14.1/85.6
Vancomycin	0.25-4	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Teicoplanin	≤2 <b>-</b> 4	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	99.7/0.3
Daptomycin	0.12->8	2	4	99.7/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.5 - > 8	1	2	99.2/0.8	99.2/0.8
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 2$	≤0.5	>2	72.1/15.7	72.1/11.7
Levofloxacin	$\leq 0.5 - > 4$	>4	>4	15.4/77.5	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2->8	≤2	>8	56.7/42.8	-/-
vanA E. faecium (470)					
Oritavancin	$\leq$ 0.008-0.25	0.03	0.06	-/-	-/-
Ampicillin	>8	>8	>8	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0
Vancomycin	>0 >16	>16	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0
Teicoplanin	≤1->8	>8	>8	0.6/96.2	0.2/99.8
Daptomycin	0.12-4	2	2	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.5->8	1	2	98.1/1.3	98.7/1.3
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 2$	≤0.5	1	96.6/1.3	96.6/1.3
Levofloxacin	2->4	>4	>4	0.2/99.8	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2->8	>8	>8	36.8/62.3	-/-
vanB E. faecium (16)					
Oritavancin	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008	-/-	-/-

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	MIC (μg/ml)		% Susceptible/% resistant <sup>a</sup>		
Organism (no. tested) and antimicrobial agent	Range	50%	90%	CLSI	EUCAST
Vancomycin	8->16	>16	>16	0.0/75.0	0.0/100.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Daptomycin	0.5-4	2	2	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.5-4	1	2	93.8/0.0	100.0/0.0
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤0.5->2	≤0.5	>2	87.5/12.5	87.5/12.5
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0/100.0	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2->8	>8	>8	37.5/62.5	-/-
vanC enterococci (39) <sup>b</sup>					
Oritavancin	$\leq$ 0.008-0.015	≤0.008	0.015	-/-	-/-
Ampicillin	≤1->16	≤1	2	97.4/2.6	97.4/2.6
Vancomycin	0.25-8	4	8	82.1/0.0	82.1/17.9
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Daptomycin	≤0.06–4	1	2	100.0/-	-/-
Linezolid	0.5-2	1	2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 2$	2	>2	7.7/48.7	7.7/30.8
Levofloxacin	$\leq 0.5 - > 4$	2	4	84.6/5.1	-/-
Tetracycline	≤2 <b>-</b> >8	≤2	>8	74.4/25.6	-/-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Criteria for susceptibility as published by the CLSI (4) and EUCAST (7) recommendations. –, no breakpoint available.

susceptible and -resistant enterococci, as monotherapy or as part of combination regimens with other agents, contingent on further studies.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the following staff members at JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA) for technical support and manuscript assistance: A. Small, G. J. Moet, L. M. Deshpande, M. Castanheira, M. Janecheck, and P. R. Rhomberg. This study was sponsored by an educational/research grant from The Medicines Company (Parsippany, NJ) via the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program platform.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Arhin FF, Moeck G, Draghi DC, Pillar CM, Sahm DF. 2010. Longitudinal analysis of the *in vitro* activity profile of oritavancin and comparator glycopeptides against Gram-positive organisms from Europe: 2005–2008. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 36:474–476.
- Brandl K, et al. 2008. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci exploit antibiotic-induced innate immune deficits. Nature 455:804–807.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009. M07-A8. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard: eighth ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 4. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. 2011. M100-S21. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 21st informational supplement. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Depardieu F, Podglajen I, Leclercq R, Collatz E, Courvalin P. 2007.
   Modes and modulations of antibiotic resistance gene expression. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 20:79–114.
- Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. 1995. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:1434.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 2011.
   Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 1.3, January 2011. http://www.eucast.org/clinical\_breakpoints/. http://www.eucast.org/clinical\_breakpoints/.
- 8. Gu L, et al. 2009. A new Tn1546 type of VanB phenotype-*vanA* genotype vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates in mainland China. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 63:70–75.

- Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque TM, Werner G, Sundsfjord A. 2010. Mobile genetic elements and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium*. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16:541–554.
- 10. Hidron AI, et al. 2008. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006–2007. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 29:996–1011.
- Laverde Gomez JA, et al. 2011. Intra- and interspecies genomic transfer of the Enterococcus faecalis pathogenicity island. PLoS One 6:e16720.
- Lebreton F, et al. 2011. D-Ala-D-Ser VanN-type transferable vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55: 4606–4612.
- 13. Mascini EM, Bonten MJ. 2005. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: consequences for therapy and infection control. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 11(Suppl. 4):43–56.
- 14. McBride SJ, Upton A, Roberts SA. 2010. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with vancomycin-susceptible *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* bacteraemia—a five-year retrospective review. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 29:107–114.
- McKay GA, et al. 2009. Time-kill kinetics of oritavancin and comparator agents against Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 63:1191–1199.
- 16. Ruiz-Garbajosa P, et al. 2006. Genetic and phenotypic differences among *Enterococcus faecalis* clones from intestinal colonisation and invasive disease. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 12:1193–1198.
- Sava IG, Heikens E, Huebner J. 2010. Pathogenesis and immunity in enterococcal infections. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16:533–540.
- Ubeda C, et al. 2010. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* domination of intestinal microbiota is enabled by antibiotic treatment in mice and precedes bloodstream invasion in humans. J. Clin. Invest. 120:4332–4341.
- 19. Werner G, et al. 2008. Emergence and spread of vancomycin resistance among enterococci in Europe. Euro Surveill. 13:19046.
- Wisplinghoff H, et al. 2004. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 39:309–317.
- Woodford N. 2001. Epidemiology of the genetic elements responsible for acquired glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. Microb. Drug Resist. 7:229–236.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Includes E. casseliflavus (15 isolates) and E. gallinarum (24 isolates).