

# Incremental Effects of Endocrine and Metabolic Biomarkers and Abdominal Obesity on Cardiovascular Mortality Prediction

Harald Jörn Schneider<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Henri Wallaschofski<sup>1</sup>, Henry Völzke<sup>3</sup>, Marcello Ricardo Paulista Markus<sup>3</sup>, Marcus Doerr<sup>4</sup>, Stephan B. Felix<sup>4</sup>, Matthias Nauck<sup>1</sup>, Nele Friedrich<sup>1</sup>

**1** Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, **2** Medizinische Klinik - Innenstadt, Klinikum der Universität, Munich, Germany, **3** Institute for Community Medicine, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, **4** Department of Cardiology, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

## Abstract

**Background:** Biomarkers may help clinicians predict cardiovascular risk. We aimed to determine if the addition of endocrine, metabolic, and obesity-associated biomarkers to conventional risk factors improves the prediction of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** In a population-based cohort study (the Study of Health in Pomerania) of 3,967 subjects (age 20–80 years) free of cardiovascular disease with a median follow-up of 10.0 years (38,638 person-years), we assessed the predictive value of conventional cardiovascular risk factors and the biomarkers thyrotropin; testosterone (in men only); insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1); hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c); creatinine; high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP); fibrinogen; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) on cardiovascular and all-cause death. During follow-up, we observed 339 all-cause including 103 cardiovascular deaths. In Cox regression models with conventional risk factors, the following biomarkers were retained as significant predictors of cardiovascular death after backward elimination: HbA1c, IGF-1, and hsCRP. IGF-1 and hsCRP were retained as significant predictors of all-cause death. For cardiovascular death, adding these biomarkers to the conventional risk factors changed the C-statistic from 0.898 to 0.910 ( $p = 0.02$ ). The net reclassification improvement was 10.6%. For all-cause death, the C-statistic changed from 0.849 to 0.853 ( $P = 0.09$ ).

**Conclusions/Significance:** HbA1c, IGF-1, and hsCRP predict cardiovascular death independently of conventional cardiovascular risk factors. These easily assessed endocrine and metabolic biomarkers might improve the ability to predict cardiovascular death.

**Citation:** Schneider HJ, Wallaschofski H, Völzke H, Markus MRP, Doerr M, et al. (2012) Incremental Effects of Endocrine and Metabolic Biomarkers and Abdominal Obesity on Cardiovascular Mortality Prediction. PLoS ONE 7(3): e33084. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084

**Editor:** Christian Schulz, Heart Center Munich, Germany

**Received:** June 16, 2011; **Accepted:** February 9, 2012; **Published:** March 16, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Schneider et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Net (<http://www.medin.uni-greifswald.de/icm>) of the University of Greifswald, which is funded by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, grant 01ZZ96030, 01ZZ0701); the Ministry for Education, Research, and Cultural Affairs; and the Ministry for Social Affairs of the Federal State of Mecklenburg–West Pomerania. The contributions to data collection made by field workers, study physicians, ultrasound technicians, interviewers, and computer assistants are gratefully acknowledged. Pfizer and Novo Nordisc provided partial grant support for the determination of serum samples (IGF-I or testosterone) and data analysis. Statistical analyses were partly supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG Vo 955/5-2). The funding sources were not involved in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** Harald J. Schneider received research grants from Pfizer, travel grants from Novartis, Pfizer, and Lilly, speaker fees from Novo Nordisc and Pfizer, and is a member of the German KIMS (Pfizer International Metabolic Survey) board, a scientific advisory board evaluating the effects of growth-hormone replacement in hypopituitarism sponsored by Pfizer. Henri Wallaschofski received research grants from Pfizer and Novo Nordisc and is member of the German KIMS (Pfizer International Metabolic Survey) board, a scientific advisory board evaluating the effects of growth-hormone replacement in hypopituitarism sponsored by Pfizer. Henry Völzke, Marcus Dörr, Marcelo Markus, Stephan B. Felix, Mathias Nauck, and Nele Friedrich report no conflict of interest. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLoS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

\* E-mail: harald.schneider@med.uni-muenchen.de

## Introduction

Scoring systems based on classic risk factors, including sex, age, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking, predict the future risk of cardiovascular events or death [1–5]; however, these risk factors explain only part of cardiovascular risk.

Thus, attempts have been made to improve the prediction of cardiovascular risk by adding multiple novel biomarkers to the classic risk factors. These biomarkers have included markers of

inflammation, kidney function, cardiac damage, endothelial function, metabolism, and oxidative stress, among others [6–11].

However, these novel markers' abilities to improve prediction were mostly disappointing. Many studies failed to detect a clinically relevant improvement in risk prediction [7,8,10]. Biomarkers that appeared to improve prediction in some studies [6,11] did not perform well in other cohorts [7].

Differences in hormone levels are associated with cardiovascular risk. Both elevated and suppressed thyrotropin concentrations

have been associated with increased cardiovascular mortality, though not consistently [12]. Low levels of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), a metabolic and anabolic hormone as well as a mediator of growth hormone action, have been associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in some studies [13,14], but not in all [15]. In men, low testosterone levels predict cardiovascular and all-cause mortality [16–18]. Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) predicted cardiovascular events in several studies, independently of diabetes [19–21], although these results were not confirmed by another study [22].

In addition, recent studies suggest that measures of abdominal obesity, such as the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), are associated with cardiovascular risk, independent of classic cardiovascular risk factors [23,24]. To our knowledge, no one has studied whether a multimarker approach that includes endocrine and metabolic parameters along with abdominal obesity will improve the predictive value of classic cardiovascular risk factors.

We hypothesized that a comprehensive set of easily assessed biomarkers that reflect different potential pathways of cardiovascular risk, including hormonal imbalance, glucose metabolism, abdominal obesity, inflammation, and kidney damage, adds incrementally to the use of conventional risk factors to predict cardiovascular and all-cause death.

## Materials and Methods

### Subjects

The Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP) is a longitudinal, representative, population-based cohort study in West Pomerania, a region in northeast Germany. Baseline data was collected from 1997 through 2001. A total of 4,308 subjects (response proportion: 69%) participated [25,26]. All participants gave written informed consent. The study conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Greifswald.

Of the 4,308 participants, 18 pregnant women, 63 with incomplete conventional cardiovascular risk factor information and 260 with history of major cardiovascular events (stroke, myocardial infarction or heart surgery) at baseline were excluded, resulting in a study population of 3,967 subjects. Vital status information was acquired at annual intervals from the time of enrollment through December 2009. Subjects were censored at either death or failure to follow-up. The median duration of follow-up was 10.0 years (25<sup>th</sup> percentile 9.3; 75<sup>th</sup> percentile 10.0). Death certificates were coded by a certified nosologist according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD10). Additionally, two internists (H.W. and M.D.) independently validated the underlying causes of death and performed a joint reading together with a third internist (H.V.) in cases of disagreement. Cardiovascular death included Codes I10 to I79.

### Clinical assessments

Information on age, sex, and medical history was collected with computer-aided personal interviews. Smoking status was assessed by self-report, and subjects were categorized as either current smokers (at least one cigarette per day within the past year) or non-smokers. Anthropometric characteristics were measured according to written, standardized instructions in accordance with World Health Organization standards (WHO 1987). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest in the horizontal plane, using an inelastic tape measure. Blood pressure was measured three times with an appropriate-sized cuff after five minutes of rest in a sitting position, and the mean of the second and third measurement was recorded.

The definition of diabetes mellitus was based on self-reported physician's diagnosis or self-reported use of antidiabetic medication in the last seven days. The history of cardiovascular disease (CVD) was based on a self-reported history of myocardial infarction, stroke or cardiac surgery.

### Laboratory measurements

Non-fasting blood samples were drawn from the cubital vein, with the patient in the supine position. A urine sample was collected. The samples were taken between 07:00 a.m. and 04:00 p.m. and analyzed immediately or stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until biomarkers could be measured. In addition, internal quality controls were performed at least daily.

Urine samples were stored for a maximum of 2 days until measurement. Serum creatinine levels were determined with the Jaffé method (Hitachi 717; Roche Diagnostics, Germany). The urinary albumin concentration was determined with a Behring Nephelometer (Siemens BN albumin; Siemens Healthcare, Marburg, Germany). Total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were measured photometrically (Hitachi 704; Roche, Mannheim, Germany).

Serum IGF-1 was determined with automated two-site chemiluminescence immunoassays (Nichols Advantage; Nichols Institute Diagnostica GmbH, Bad Vilbel, Germany). Total testosterone levels were measured with competitive chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassays on an Immulite 2500 analyzer (Siemens Immulite 2500 Total Testosterone, ref. L5KTW, Lot 110; Siemens Healthcare Medical Diagnostics, Bad Nauheim, Germany). Serum thyrotropin levels were measured with immunochemiluminescence (Byk Sangtec Diagnostica GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany). HbA1c levels were determined with high-performance liquid chromatography (Bio-Rad Diamat, Munich, Germany). Plasma fibrinogen concentrations were assayed as described by Clauss (19), using an Electra 1600 analyzer (Instrumentation Laboratory, Barcelona, Spain). HsCRP was determined immunologically on a Behring Nephelometer II with commercially available reagents from Dade Behring (Dade Behring, Eschborn, Germany).

### Statistical analyses

Categorical data were expressed as percentages; continuous data were expressed as medians (25<sup>th</sup> percentile; 75<sup>th</sup> percentile). Continuous variables were truncated at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentile. Univariate analysis was performed, with  $\chi^2$  testing for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney U-tests for continuous distributions. For regression analyses, skewed variables were log-transformed.

We included the following parameters as conventional risk factors: age (continuous); sex (binary); systolic blood pressure (continuous); antihypertensive medication (binary); HDL cholesterol (continuous); total cholesterol (continuous); diabetes (binary); and current smoking (binary). We assessed the following biomarkers as continuous variables, analyzing the effects of an increase of one standard deviation (SD) from the mean: thyrotropin, IGF-1, testosterone, hsCRP, fibrinogen, HbA1c, creatinine, urinary albumine-to-creatinine ratio (UACR), and WHtR. Additionally, we analyzed cutoffs that were considered clinically useful and were derived from the literature for the following variables: thyrotropin below and above the reference range versus the reference range (0.25–2.12 mIU/l) [27]; IGF-1 below the 10<sup>th</sup> sex- and age-specific percentile [14]; and testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l [16]. Testosterone was measured in men only, and all analyses that included testosterone were performed in men only.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics.

Characteristics	N (m/w)	Men	Women
Age, years	1892/2075	50 (36; 63)	48 (35; 61)
Current Smokers, %	1892/2075	38.2	28.6
Diabetes, %	1892/2075	7.7	6.7
Antihypertensive medication, %	1892/2075	26.3	26.7
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	1892/2075	141 (129; 153)	126 (114; 142)
Total cholesterol, mg/dl	1892/2075	222 (193; 251)	218 (189; 251)
HDL cholesterol, md/dl	1892/2075	48 (41; 58)	60 (50; 71)
<i>Biomarkers</i>			
Waist-to-height ratio	1892/2075	0.54 (0.50; 0.59)	0.50 (0.44; 0.57)
HbA1c, %	1888/2066	5.4 (5.0; 5.8)	5.2 (4.8; 5.6)
IGF-1, ng/ml	1784/1971	133 (104; 170)	135 (102; 174)
Testosterone, nmol/l	1812/0	16.0 (12.7; 20.3)	-
Thyrotropin, mU/l	1872/2046	0.65 (0.43; 0.94)	0.68 (0.44; 1.02)
High-sensitive CRP, mg/l	1745/1917	1.25 (0.65; 2.82)	1.49 (0.68; 3.57)
Fibrinogen, g/l	1887/2067	2.80 (2.43; 3.30)	2.90 (2.54; 3.40)
Serum creatinine, $\mu$ mol/l	1884/2073	90 (83; 98)	77 (71; 83)
Urinary Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio, mg/g	1735/1753	7.1 (4.3; 15.0)	9.3 (5.8; 17.3)

N(m/w) = number of men and women with data on the respective parameter; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; CRP = C-reactive protein. Continuous data are expressed as median (25th; 75th percentile); nominal data are given as percentages.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t001

We proceeded in four steps to assess the prediction of cardiovascular death. First, we performed Cox proportional hazards regression analyses for single biomarkers, unadjusted and adjusted for conventional risk factors, to predict cardiovascular death. Second, we included all biomarkers that were significant

after adjustment into a prediction model, using backward elimination with conventional risk factors forced into the model. Third, we used the C-statistic, as described by Pencina et al. [28], to compare the predictive values of conventional risk factors with single, novel risk factors and the models built in the second step

**Table 2.** Prediction of cardiovascular death by single biomarkers.

	Trans-formation	SD	Total	Events	Unadjusted HR (95%CI)	p	Adjusted HR (95%CI)*	p
WHtR, 1-SD increase	-	0.08	3967	103	2.31 (1.95; 2.75)	<.001	1.41 (1.13; 1.78)	0.003
HbA1c, 1-SD increase	-	0.90%	3954	102	1.64 (1.51; 1.78)	<.001	1.34 (1.13; 1.58)	0.001
IGF-1		57.6 ng/ml	3755	96				
<10th percentile	-				2.32 (1.41; 3.84)	0.001	2.43 (1.46; 4.05)	0.001
1-SD increase	-				0.37 (0.28; 0.50)	<.001	0.76 (0.56; 1.04)	0.09
Testosterone		6.01 nmol/l	1812	58				
<10.4 nmol/l	-				3.47 (1.99; 6.06)	<.001	1.90 (1.05; 3.47)	0.04
1-SD increase	-				0.64 (0.48; 0.86)	0.003	0.89 (0.68; 1.15)	0.37
Thyrotropin		0.77 mU/l	3918	101				
1-SD increase	log				0.81 (0.68; 0.967)	0.02	1.03 (0.86; 1.22)	0.78
<0.25 mU/l (ref.: 0.25–2.12)	-				1.92 (1.11; 3.32)	0.02	1.00 (0.57; 1.75)	1.00
>2.12 mU/l (ref.: 0.25–2.12)	-				no events	-	no events	-
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	log	1.10 mg/l	3662	91	2.07 (1.71; 2.51)	<.001	1.60 (1.29; 1.98)	<.001
Fibrinogen, 1-SD increase	log	0.22 g/l	3954	102	1.82 (1.52; 2.19)	<.001	1.21 (0.98; 1.48)	0.07
Serum creatinine, 1-SD increase	log	0.17 $\mu$ mol/l	3957	102	1.49 (1.34; 1.66)	<.001	1.11 (0.93; 1.33)	0.27
UACR, 1-SD increase	log	1.06 mg/g	3488	96	1.72 (1.48; 1.99)	<.001	1.09 (0.91; 1.30)	0.36

SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

\*Models were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t002

**Table 3.** Prediction of all-cause death by single biomarkers.

	Trans-formation	SD	Total	Events	Unadjusted HR (95%CI)	p	Adjusted HR (95%CI)*	p
WHtR, 1-SD increase	-	0.08	3967	339	1.92 (1.74; 2.12)	<.001	1.19 (1.05; 1.36)	0.008
HbA1c, 1-SD increase	-	0.90%	3954	338	1.44 (1.36; 1.53)	<.001	1.09 (0.98; 1.22)	0.11
IGF-1		57.6 ng/ml	3755	319				
<10th percentile	-				1.47 (1.07; 2.02)	0.02	1.60 (1.16; 2.20)	0.004
1-SD increase	-				0.47 (0.40; 0.55)	<.001	0.87 (0.75; 1.03)	0.10
Testosterone		6.01 nmol/l	1812	204				
<10.4 nmol/l	-				2.24 (1.61; 3.11)	<.001	1.53 (1.08; 2.16)	0.02
1-SD increase	-				0.85 (0.73; 0.98)	0.03	1.01 (0.88; 1.15)	0.94
Thyrotropin		0.77 mU/l	3918	331				
1-SD increase	log				0.85 (0.77; 0.94)	0.002	1.06 (0.96; 1.17)	0.24
<0.25 mU/l (ref.: 0.25–2.12)	-				1.65 (1.20; 2.29)	0.002	0.94 (0.68; 1.31)	0.71
>2.12 mU/l (ref.: 0.25–2.12)	-				1.01 (0.54; 1.90)	0.98	1.06 (0.56; 2.02)	0.85
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	log	1.10 mg/l	3662	315	1.65 (1.49; 1.83)	<.001	1.30 (1.16; 1.46)	<.001
Fibrinogen, 1-SD increase	log	0.22 g/l	3954	337	1.65 (1.49; 1.82)	<.001	1.16 (1.04; 1.30)	0.008
Serum creatinine, 1-SD increase	log	0.17 $\mu$ mol/l	3957	337	1.41 (1.32; 1.51)	<.001	1.08 (0.97; 1.20)	0.14
UACR, 1-SD increase	log	1.06 mg/g	3488	318	1.51 (1.39; 1.65)	<.001	1.07 (0.97; 1.19)	0.18

SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

\*Models were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t003

[29]. Finally, we classified subjects into groups with low (<2%), moderate (2% to 9%) and high (>9%) risk, based on the recommendations of the European Society of Cardiology [4], and calculated the net reclassification improvement (NRI) for cardiovascular death. The bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap resampling procedure of Efron and Tibshirani was used to obtain 95% confidence intervals for NRIs. Observed risk at 10-years estimated from Kaplan-Meier curve were used to estimate the expected number of subjects who died and who did not die at 10-year follow-up.

In secondary analyses, we repeated the first three steps with all-cause death as outcome. A two-sided p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

### Study sample

Baseline characteristics are shown in table 1. During the 38,638 person-years of follow-up, we observed 339 (8.6%) all-cause deaths (rate per 1,000 person years: 8.8), including 103 (2.6%) deaths due to cardiovascular disease (rate per 1,000 person years: 2.7).

### Prediction of events by single biomarkers

The results of the Cox regression analyses for predicting cardiovascular death with single biomarkers are shown in table 2. WHtR, HbA1c, testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l, hsCRP, and IGF-1 levels below the 10<sup>th</sup> sex- and age-specific percentile remained significant predictors after adjustment for conventional risk factors.

For all-cause death, WHtR, hsCRP, fibrinogen, IGF-1 levels below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile, and testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l remained significant predictors after adjustment for conventional risk factors (table 3).

### Risk prediction with combined biomarkers and risk factors

Table 4 shows the results of backward elimination models that included all significant biomarkers from the previous step with conventional risk factors forced into the model for cardiovascular

**Table 4.** Prediction of cardiovascular death by backward-elimination.

	HR (95%CI)*	p
<i>Conventional cardiovascular risk factors</i>		
age, per year	1.13 (1.10; 1.16)	<.001
Smoker	1.92 (1.13; 3.28)	0.02
Antihypertensive medication	1.15 (0.72; 1.83)	0.56
HDL cholesterol, 1-SD increase	0.77 (0.58; 1.01)	0.06
Female	0.67 (0.42; 1.07)	0.10
Diabetes	1.50 (0.83; 2.71)	0.18
Systolic BP, 1-SD increase	1.18 (0.95; 1.47)	0.13
Total cholesterol, 1-SD increase	0.95 (0.74; 1.20)	0.66
<i>Biomarkers</i>		
HbA1c, 1-SD increase	1.28 (1.07; 1.51)	0.005
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	1.50 (1.21; 1.87)	<.001
IGF-1 <10th percentile	2.18 (1.30; 3.67)	0.003

BP = blood pressure; SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; Cox regression analysis with backward elimination with a p-value of 0.05.

\*Conventional cardiovascular risk factors were forced into the model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t004

**Table 5.** Prediction of all-cause death by backward-elimination.

	HR (95%CI)*	p
<i>Conventional cardiovascular risk factors</i>		
age, per year	1.10 (1.09; 1.11)	<.001
Smoker	2.17 (1.66; 2.84)	<.001
Antihypertensive medication	1.20 (0.93; 1.54)	0.16
HDL cholesterol, 1-SD increase	0.99 (0.87; 1.12)	0.86
Female	0.51 (0.39; 0.65)	<.001
Diabetes	1.79 (1.34; 2.38)	<.001
Systolic BP, 1-SD increase	1.03 (0.91; 1.16)	0.66
Total cholesterol, 1-SD increase	1.11 (0.98; 1.25)	0.09
<i>Biomarkers</i>		
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	1.29 (1.15; 1.45)	<.001
IGF-1 <10th percentile	1.49 (1.08; 2.07)	0.02

BP = blood pressure; SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; Cox regression analysis with backward elimination with a p-value of 0.05.

\*Conventional cardiovascular risk factors were forced into the model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t005

death. HsCRP, HbA1c, and low IGF-1 remained significant predictors after backward elimination. In men, low testosterone was not retained in the model after backward elimination. Therefore, we did not continue with separate analyses by sex. HsCRP and low IGF-1 remained significant predictors for all-cause death (table 5).

Table 6 shows the C-statistics for cardiovascular death. Adding biomarkers to all conventional risk factors lead to a significant ( $p = 0.02$ ) but slight change in the C-statistic for cardiovascular death from 0.898 (95%-CI 0.873–0.923) to 0.910 (95%-CI 0.886–0.934). For all-cause death, the C-statistic changed ( $p = 0.09$ ) from 0.849 (95%-CI 0.830–0.868) to 0.853 (95%-CI 0.833–0.872) after biomarkers were included (table 7). The reclassification for cardiovascular death was 10.61% (95%-CI –0.28–24.86) as shown in table 8.

## Discussion

In this population-based cohort study, we analyzed whether combining endocrine and metabolic parameters with a marker of

abdominal obesity improved the prediction of cardiovascular death with conventional risk factors. We identified a set of additional biomarkers, including low IGF-1, HbA1c, and hsCRP that caused a moderate but significant improvement in the prediction of cardiovascular deaths and correctly reclassified 11% of the population at risk. The predictive value was stronger for cardiovascular than for all-cause death. This result is not surprising because the risk factors selected were aimed at cardiovascular risk and not at other causes of death.

Our results confirm the findings of previous studies showing that hsCRP is a significant predictor of cardiovascular risk in a multimarker approach [7–11]. Our results extend the findings of previous reports by assessing the associations of HbA1c, and low IGF-1 in this context.

HbA1c was included in one multimarker study, but only in subjects with diabetes [9]. Several studies focusing on HbA1c found a predictive role independent of diabetes [19–219]. We confirmed this role in combination with other biomarkers.

Testosterone in men and thyrotropin did not improve prediction. Although low levels of testosterone have been shown to predict mortality even after adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors [18], the fact that the associations became weaker after adjustment in our study suggests that the effects of testosterone are mediated by cardiovascular risk factors. This result is consistent with previous studies showing that testosterone is associated with cardiovascular risk factors [30,31]. Similar associations may play a role in the results found for thyrotropin [32].

Low IGF-1 was a significant predictor. Experimental data show IGF-1's protective effects against systemic inflammation, insulin resistance, and free fatty acid production, all of which are consequences of obesity [33]. IGF-1 remained a significant predictor among parameters that reflect these different pathways. This finding suggests that additional parameters are involved in the association between IGF-1 and cardiovascular death. Possibly, the effects of IGF-1 on endothelial function and cardiac growth and function [34,35] or other, unknown factors play a part.

Previous studies have shown that WHtR is a strong and linear predictor of cardiovascular risk, compared with other measures of abdominal and overall obesity [23,24,36] whereas BMI shows a U-shaped association with cardiovascular risk and mortality [37–39]. WHtR was not significant in this multimarker approach. Most likely, this is due to the fact that abdominal obesity, as measured by the WHtR, increases risk by promoting conventional cardiovascular risk factors.

**Table 6.** Improvement of prediction of cardiovascular death by biomarkers.

	C-statistic*of cardiovascular deaths with 95% CI (3602 subjects, 91 events)	Net reclassification improvement with 95% CI† (%)
Conventional cardiovascular risk factors only	0.898 (0.873; 0.923)	
<i>Biomarkers</i>		
HbA1c, 1-SD increase	0.902 (0.877; 0.927)	4.52 (–3.25; 17.39)
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	0.906 (0.881; 0.930)	4.71 (–2.70; 15.92)
IGF-1 <10th percentile	0.902 (0.877; 0.927)	5.80 (–0.66; 21.81)
All biomarkers	0.910 (0.886; 0.934)	10.61 (–0.28; 24.86)

CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein. Conventional cardiovascular risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

\*For conventional risk factors only or conventional risk factors + biomarkers.

†The bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap resampling procedure was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t006

**Table 7.** Improvement of prediction of all-cause death by biomarkers.

	<b>C-statistic*of all-cause death with 95% CI (3602 subjects, 311 events)</b>
Conventional cardiovascular risk factors only	0.849 (0.830; 0.868)
<i>Biomarkers</i>	
hsCRP, 1-SD increase	0.852 (0.833; 0.871)
IGF-1 <10th percentile	0.850 (0.830; 0.869)
All biomarkers	0.853 (0.833; 0.872)

CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein. Conventional risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

\*For conventional cardiovascular risk factors only or conventional cardiovascular risk factors + biomarkers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t007

The identification of biomarkers to improve the ability to predict cardiovascular risk has been a focus of interest in recent years. Our results show a modest improvement in prediction compared with other studies [7–10]. The inclusion of IGF-1 and

HbA1c may have contributed to this effect. Other studies found higher incremental effects of biomarkers than our study [6,11]. Selection of high-risk patients or different outcomes might play a part in these findings.

It has been shown that biomarkers can result in relevant reclassification, even in the absence of significant increases in C-statistics [9]. In our study, 11% of subjects were correctly net reclassified by the new biomarkers. Generally, one can expect that the lower the costs for a biomarker are the greater is the willingness to accept small increments in discrimination.

We selected biomarkers that can be easily obtained in every-day clinical practice. Therefore, the increment in our study, though only moderate, might be relevant if validated, given the low cost and effort associated with biomarker assessment – HbA1c is a routine laboratory parameter and hsCRP is increasingly used in risk assessment. IGF-1 is mainly used as marker of pathological changes in growth hormone secretion in an endocrine setting. All of the biomarkers we measured can be assessed clinically or in blood and do not require fasting.

Several limitations need to be addressed. First, because we aimed to explore new biomarkers, our findings need validation in additional cohorts. We did not test other potentially relevant markers, such as troponin or B-type natriuretic peptide. We expect that these factors are more relevant in populations with higher baseline risks of cardiac damage than our sample had. As in any study of risk prediction, multiple testing is an issue, although we

**Table 8.** Reclassification of cardiovascular deaths using the model with both conventional cardiovascular risk factors and biomarkers retained after backward-elimination.

<b>Model with conventional risk factors only</b>	<b>Model with conventional risk factors + biomarkers</b>			<b>Total, n</b>	<b>Reclassified into new category, %</b>	
	<b>&lt;2%</b>	<b>2; 9%</b>	<b>&gt;9%</b>		<b>Lower</b>	<b>Higher</b>
<b>&lt;2%</b>						
Subjects included	2631	58	4	2693	0.0	2.2
Subjects who died of CVD*	25	6	0	31	0.0	19.4
Subjects who did not die of CVD	2606	52	0	2658	0.0	2.0
Observed risk, % <sup>†</sup>	0.93	10.73	0			
<b>2%; 9%</b>						
Subjects included	153	434	58	645	23.7	9.0
Subjects who died of CVD*	4	25	9	38	10.5	23.7
Subjects who did not die of CVD	149	409	49	607	24.5	8.1
Observed risk, % <sup>†</sup>	2.56	5.80	16.00			
<b>&gt;9%</b>						
Subjects included	0	75	189	264	28.4	0.0
Subjects who died of CVD*	0	4	25	29	13.8	0.0
Subjects who did not die of CVD	0	71	164	235	30.2	0.0
Observed risk, % <sup>†</sup>	0	5.79	13.15			
<b>Total</b>						
Subjects included	2784	567	251	3602		
Subjects who died of CVD*	28	36	35	99	<b>NRI = 10.61%</b>	
Subjects who did not die of CVD	2756	531	216	3503		
Observed risk, % <sup>†</sup>	1.02	6.34	13.80			

CVD = cardiovascular disease; NRI = net reclassification improvement. Conventional cardiovascular risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, body-mass-index, antihypertensive medication, lipid-lowering medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

\*10-year Kaplan-Meier estimates were used to estimate the number of subjects who died and who did not die.

<sup>†</sup>Observed risk at 10-years was estimated from the Kaplan-Meier curve.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t008



tried to minimize the number of tests we administered. In addition, we only assessed cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. We do not know if our findings are generalizable to other cardiovascular events.

In summary, we found a set of biomarkers that improve the prediction of cardiovascular death. The effort required to assess these parameters is low. Thus, the increase in the C-statistic, though only moderate, and the net reclassification of 11% could have a clinical benefit, once validated.

## References

- Anderson KM, Wilson PW, Odell PM, Kannel WB (1991) An updated coronary risk profile. A statement for health professionals. *Circulation*. pp 356–362.
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) (2001) Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). *JAMA*. pp 2486–2497.
- Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H (1998) The Munster Heart Study (PROCAM). Results of follow-up at 8 years. *Eur Heart J* 19 Suppl A: A2–11.
- Conroy RM, Pyorala K, Fitzgerald AP, Sans S, Menotti A, et al. (2003) Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J*. pp 987–1003.
- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, et al. (1998) Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. *Circulation*. pp 1837–1847.
- Blankenberg S, McQueen MJ, Smieja M, Pogue J, Balion C, et al. (2006) Comparative impact of multiple biomarkers and N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in the context of conventional risk factors for the prediction of recurrent cardiovascular events in the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study. *Circulation*. pp 201–208.
- Blankenberg S, Zeller T, Saarela O, Havulinna AS, Kee F, et al. (2010) Contribution of 30 biomarkers to 10-year cardiovascular risk estimation in 2 population cohorts: the MONICA, risk, genetics, archiving, and monograph (MORGAM) biomarker project. *Circulation*. pp 2388–2397.
- Melander O, Newton-Cheh C, Almgren P, Hedblad B, Berglund G, et al. (2009) Novel and conventional biomarkers for prediction of incident cardiovascular events in the community. *JAMA*. pp 49–57.
- Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, Cook NR (2007) Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. *JAMA*. pp 611–619.
- Wang TJ, Gona P, Larson MG, Toffler GH, Levy D, et al. (2006) Multiple biomarkers for the prediction of first major cardiovascular events and death. *N Engl J Med*. pp 2631–2639.
- Zethelius B, Berglund L, Sundstrom J, Ingelsson E, Basu S, et al. (2008) Use of multiple biomarkers to improve the prediction of death from cardiovascular causes. *N Engl J Med*. pp 2107–2116.
- Ochs N, Auer R, Bauer DC, Nanchen D, Gusselklo J, et al. (2008) Meta-analysis: subclinical thyroid dysfunction and the risk for coronary heart disease and mortality. *Ann Intern Med*. pp 832–845.
- Laughlin GA, Barrett-Connor E, Criqui MH, Kritiz-Silverstein D (2004) The prospective association of serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) and IGF-binding protein-1 levels with all cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in older adults: the Rancho Bernardo Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. pp 114–120.
- Friedrich N, Haring R, Nauck M, Ludemann J, Roskopf D, et al. (2009) Mortality and serum insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF binding protein 3 concentrations. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. pp 1732–1739.
- Saydah S, Graubard B, Ballard-Barbash R, Berrigan D (2007) Insulin-like growth factors and subsequent risk of mortality in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol*. pp 518–526.
- Haring R, Volzke H, Steveling A, Krebs A, Felix SB, et al. (2010) Low serum testosterone levels are associated with increased risk of mortality in a population-based cohort of men aged 20–79. *Eur Heart J*. pp 1494–1501.
- Shores MM, Matsumoto AM, Sloan KL, Kivlahan DR (2006) Low serum testosterone and mortality in male veterans. *Arch Intern Med*. pp 1660–1665.
- Laughlin GA, Barrett-Connor E, Bergstrom J (2008) Low serum testosterone and mortality in older men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. pp 68–75.
- Adams RJ, Appleton SL, Hill CL, Wilson DH, Taylor AW, et al. (2009) Independent association of HbA(1c) and incident cardiovascular disease in people without diabetes. *Obesity*. pp 559–563.
- Gerstein HC, Swedberg K, Carlsson J, McMurray JJ, Michelson EL, et al. (2008) The hemoglobin A1c level as a progressive risk factor for cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, or death in patients with chronic heart failure: an analysis of the Candesartan in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) program. *Arch Intern Med*. pp 1699–1704.
- Khaw KT, Wareham N, Luben R, Bingham S, Oakes S, et al. (2001) Glycated haemoglobin, diabetes, and mortality in men in Norfolk cohort of European prospective investigation of cancer and nutrition (EPIC-Norfolk). *BMJ*. pp 15–18.
- Chonchol M, Katz R, Fried LF, Sarnak MJ, Siscovick DS, et al. (2010) Glycosylated hemoglobin and the risk of death and cardiovascular mortality in the elderly. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. pp 15–21.
- Gelber RP, Gaziano JM, Orav EJ, Manson JE, Buring JE, et al. (2008) Measures of obesity and cardiovascular risk among men and women. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 52: . pp 605–615.
- Schneider HJ, Friedrich N, Klotsche J, Pieper L, Nauck M, et al. (2010) The predictive value of different measures of obesity for incident cardiovascular events and mortality. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. pp 1777–1785.
- John U, Greiner B, Hensel E, Ludemann J, Piek M, et al. (2001) Study of Health In Pomerania (SHIP): a health examination survey in an east German region: objectives and design. *Soz Praventivmed*. pp 186–194.
- Volzke H, Alte D, Schmidt CO, Radke D, Lohrer R, et al. (2011) Cohort Profile: The Study of Health in Pomerania. *Int J Epidemiol*. pp 294–307.
- Volzke H, Alte D, Kohlmann T, Ludemann J, Nauck M, et al. (2005) Reference intervals of serum thyroid function tests in a previously iodine-deficient area. *Thyroid*. pp 279–285.
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB (2004) Overall C as a measure of discrimination in survival analysis: model specific population value and confidence interval estimation. *Stat Med*. pp 2109–2123.
- Newson RB (2010) Comparing the predictive power of survival models using Harrell's C or Somers' D. *The Stata Journal*. pp 339–358.
- Haring R, Volzke H, Felix SB, Schipf S, Dorr M, et al. (2009) Prediction of metabolic syndrome by low serum testosterone levels in men: Results from the Study of Health in Pomerania. *Diabetes*. pp 2027–2031.
- Schneider HJ, Sievers C, Klotsche J, Bohler S, Pittrow D, et al. (200) Prevalence of low male testosterone levels in primary care in Germany: cross-sectional results from the DETECT study. *Clin Endocrinol*. pp 446–454.
- Volzke H, Schwahn C, Wallaschofski H, Doerr M (2007) Review: The association of thyroid dysfunction with all-cause and circulatory mortality: is there a causal relationship? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. pp 2421–2429.
- Rajpathak SN, Gunter MJ, Wylie-Rosett J, Ho GY, Kaplan RC, et al. (200) The role of insulin-like growth factor-I and its binding proteins in glucose homeostasis and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. pp 3–12.
- Juul A (2003) Serum levels of insulin-like growth factor I and its binding proteins in health and disease. *Growth Horm IGF Res*. pp 113–170.
- Empen K, Lohrer R, Volzke H, Robinson DM, Friedrich N, et al. (2010) Association of serum insulin-like growth factor I with endothelial function: Results from the population-based Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). *Eur J Endocrinol*. pp 617–623.
- Schneider HJ, Klotsche J, Silber S, Stalla GK, Wittchen HU (2011) Measuring abdominal obesity: effects of height on distribution of cardiometabolic risk factors risk using waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio. *Diabetes Care* 34: e7.
- Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH (2007) Cause-specific excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA*. pp 2028–2037.
- Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, Korinek J, Thomas RJ, et al. (2006) Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. *Lancet*. pp 666–678.
- Gruberg L, Weissman NJ, Waksman R, Fuchs S, Deible R, et al. (2002) The impact of obesity on the short-term and long-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention: the obesity paradox? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. pp 578–584.

## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HJS NF HW. Performed the experiments: HV HW MD MN. Analyzed the data: HJS HW HV MM MD SBF MN NF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HV HW. Wrote the paper: HJS. Critically revised manuscript for important intellectual content: HJS HW HV MM MD SBF MN NF.