Dimeric α-Cobratoxin X-ray Structure *LOCALIZATION OF INTERMOLECULAR DISULFIDES AND POSSIBLE MODE OF BINDING TO NICOTINIC ACETYLCHOLINE RECEPTORS******

Received for publication, November 11, 2011, and in revised form, December 22, 2011 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 5, 2012, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.322313

Alexey V. Osipov‡1**, Prakash Rucktooa**§1,2**, Igor E. Kasheverov**‡¶**, Sergey Yu. Filkin**‡ **, Vladislav G. Starkov**‡ **, Tatyana V. Andreeva**‡ **, Titia K. Sixma**§ **, Daniel Bertrand , Yuri N. Utkin**‡¶**, and Victor I. Tsetlin**‡3

From the ‡ *Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Miklukho-Maklaya 16/10, Moscow 117997, Russia, the* § *Division of Biochemistry and Center for Biomedical Genetics, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Plesmanlaan 121, 1066 CX Amsterdam, The Netherlands,* ¶ *Syneuro, ul. Miklukho-Maklaya 16/10, Moscow 117997, Russia, and HiQScreen, 15 rue de l'Athénée, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland*

Background: α -Cobratoxin (α CT) dimer (α CT- α CT) has recently been discovered and found to bind both with α 7 and α 3 β 2 nicotinic receptors (nAChR).

Results: α CT α -ray structure and intermolecular disulfides were established, intramolecular disulfides in central loops II were reduced, and interaction with distinct nAChRs was analyzed.

Conclusion: Loop II disulfide is necessary for α CT- α CT binding to α 7 but not α 3 β 2 nAChR.

Significance: Dimeric α -neurotoxins provide new means for distinguishing distinct nAChRs.

In *Naja kaouthia* **cobra venom, we have earlier discovered a** covalent dimeric form of α -cobratoxin (α CT- α CT) with two inter**molecular disulfides, but we could not determine their positions.** Here, we report the α CT- α CT crystal structure at 1.94 Å where **intermolecular disulfides are identified between Cys3 in one protomer and Cys20 of the second, and vice versa. All remaining intramolecular disulfides, including the additional bridge between Cys26 andCys30 in the centralloops II, have the same positions asin** monomeric α -cobratoxin. The three-finger fold is essentially pre**served in each protomer, but the arrangement of the** α **CT-** α **CT dimer differs from those of noncovalent crystallographic dimers of** three-finger toxins (TFT) or from the *K*-bungarotoxin solution structure. Selective reduction of Cys²⁶-Cys³⁰ in one protomer does not affect the activity against the α ⁷ nicotinic acetylcholine recep**tor (nAChR), whereas its reduction in both protomers almost prevents 7 nAChR recognition. On the contrary, reduction of one or** both Cys^{26} - Cys^{30} disulfides in α CT- α CT considerably potentiates inhibition of the α 3 β 2 nAChR by the toxin. The heteromeric dimer of α -cobratoxin and cytotoxin has an activity similar to that of α CT- α CT against the α 7 nAChR and is more active against α 3 β 2 **nAChRs. Our results demonstrate that at least one Cys²⁶-Cys³⁰ disulfide in covalent TFT dimers, similar to the monomeric TFTs,** is essential for their recognition by α 7 nAChR, although it is less **important for interaction of covalent TFT dimers with the** α **3** β **2 nAChR.**

binding sites for agonists/competitive antagonists that are located at the interfaces of two α subunits with the adjacent γ and δ subunits. Neuronal nAChRs consist of subunits of two different types: α (α 2– α 10) and β (β 2– β 4), and are hetero-(formed by different combinations of α and β subunits) or homo- (formed by α subunits of α 7- α 10 subtypes) pentameric proteins (for review, see Ref. 1). It has recently been shown that neuronal nAChRs are also present in nonneuronal cells, for example in the immune system, where they play an important role in the regulation of inflammation processes (2). Elucidating the roles of different nAChR subtypes requires fine and precise biochemical tools to discriminate among different receptor activities. Toward this end, α -neurotoxins from snake venoms have proven to be valuable instruments. These α -neurotoxins belong to the family of so-called three-finger toxins (TFTs). Three protruding loops (fingers) of their polypeptide chain are fastened by four conserved disulfide bridges, strengthening the core of the molecule, thus forming a "three-finger" spatial motif. α -Neurotoxins interact with nAChRs through their loops and can discriminate between different nAChR subtypes. So-called short chain α -neurotoxins (59–63 amino acid residues, four disulfide bridges) block only muscle-type nAChRs whereas the long chain α -neurotoxins (66–74 residues, with an additional disulfide bond within the central loop II) display an expanded target choice by also blocking the homopentameric

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors $(nAChRs)^4$ are among the most thoroughly investigated ligand-gated ion channels. There are two main groups of nAChRs: muscle-type and neuronal receptors. Muscle-type nAChRs consist of five subunits (two α 1, one β 1, one γ (or ϵ), and one δ subunit) and contain two

^{*} This work was supported by Neurocypres EU FP7 Program Grant 202033, Russian Ministry of Education and Science Contract 02.740.11.0865, Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grants 09-04-01061, 10-04-00708, and 11-04-01011 as well as the Molecular and Cell Biology Program of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

The atomic coordinates and structurefactors(code [4AEA\)](http://www.pdb.org/pdb/explore/explore.do?structureId=4AEA) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics,

¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

 2 Supported by a long term European Molecular Biology Organization fellowship.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel./Fax: 007-495-3355733; E-mail: vits@mx.ibch.ru.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; TFT, three-finger toxin; α CT, α -cobratoxin; AChBP, acetylcholine-binding protein; α CT- α CT, disulfide-bound dimer of α -cobratoxin; α CT- α CT-2AE, α -cobratoxin dimer with one reduced and aminoethylated disulfide bond; α CT- α CT-4AE, α -cobratoxin dimer with two reduced and aminoethylated disulfide bonds; α CT-CTX, disulfide-bound dimer, composed of α -cobratoxin and cytotoxin 3; *Ls*AChBP, *L. stagnalis* AChBP; PDB, Protein Data Bank.

 α 7 subtype nAChR (3, 4). This additional disulfide bridge in loop II is crucial for the interaction with the homopentameric α 7 nAChR. To date, however, neither short nor long chain α -neurotoxins have been shown to block heteropentameric neuronal nAChRs. TFTs from another group, namely κ -bungarotoxins, are able to block heteropentameric α 3 β 2 nAChRs and, to a lesser extent, $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs. Structurally, they resemble long chain α -neurotoxins, but they have been characterized as noncovalent dimers based on solution or crystal structures (5). Another group of TFTs, known as weak or nonconventional neurotoxins, comprising 62– 68 amino acid residues and five disulfide bridges, has also been described in the literature. The main structural feature of these nonconventional toxins is the presence of a fifth disulfide bridge in the N-terminal loop I. These toxins interact with the α 7 and muscle-type nAChRs as well as with muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (6).

Recently, we have isolated a disulfide-bound dimer (α CT- α CT) of α -cobratoxin (α CT), a long chain neurotoxin, from the *Naja kaouthia* cobra venom (7). The peculiarity of this dimer is its capability to block heteropentameric α 3 β 2 nAChRs, in addition to blocking muscle-type and α 7 nAChRs, whereas monomeric α CT is not effective against α 3 β 2 nAChRs. We could not establish by chemical means the positions of interchain disulfides in the α CT- α CT dimer. In addition, although the circular dichroism data suggested the preservation of the three-finger fold, in agreement with more or less preserved activity against distinct nAChR subtypes (1), no reliable conclusions could be drawn about the relative spatial disposition of the protomers, and a reliable three-dimensional model of the covalent α CT- α CT dimer could not be generated.

Here, we describe crystallization and the x-ray structure of the α CT- α CT dimer which provides information on the disposition of the intermolecular disulfide bridges, the conformation of protomers, and their assembly into a dimeric neurotoxin. To study the relationship between the structures and the binding modes of monomeric and dimeric α CTs, we modified the α CT- α CT by selectively reducing the additional disulfide bond in loop II (Cys²⁶-Cys³⁰) either in one or in both protomers and tested the biological activity of the resulting α CT- α CT derivatives on nAChR preparations. Complementary data were obtained from the analysis of a covalent heteromeric dimer, composed of α -cobratoxin and cytotoxin 3 (α CT-CTX).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Isolation of Dimers $-\alpha$ CT- α CT and α -CT-CTX were purified as in Ref. 7.

Crystallization and X-ray Analysis—The lyophilized α CT- α CT homodimer was resuspended in water to a concentration of 5 mg/ml and crystallized using the vapor diffusion method by mixing the toxin in a 1:1 ratio with the reservoir solution composed of 60% (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol and 0.1 M glycine-HCl buffer at pH 2. Crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to data collection. The α CT- α CT dimer crystallized in space group $P3₁21$ with parameters described in Table 1. Data were collected at 100 K on beamline ID14-EH1 at the ESRF (Grenoble, France) and were processed using XDS and scaled with XSCALE (8, 9). Monomeric α -CT (Protein Data Bank

TABLE 1 **Crystallographic data and refinement parameters**

(PDB) code 2CTX) (10) was used as model in molecular replacement trials using Phaser (11) as implemented in the CCP4 software suite (12). Automatic model building was performed using ArpWarp (13). Iterative rounds of manual structure building and refinement were carried out using COOT (14) and REFMAC (15). The first 67 residues of the toxin were well defined in electron density whereas the last 4 could not be built and are likely to be flexible. The final structure (Fig. 1) was validated using the Molprobity server (16). Superpositions were performed using SSM (17), and figures were generated using PyMOL.

In the crystal structure, two protomers, chains A and B, are present in the asymmetric unit, but these are from two different dimer molecules and share only 183 \AA^2 at their interface. Each chain makes a dimer with a protomer that is related by a 2-fold crystallographic axis. Hence, chains A and A' , or B and B' related by the symmetry operation $(-x, -x+y, -z+1/3)$, make up α CT- α CT dimers, which share an interface of \sim 1400 Å². The structure of the α CT- α CT dimer has been deposited in the PDB with accession code 4AEA.

Selective Reduction and Alkylation—10 nmol of α CT- α CT were dissolved in 50 μ l of 50 mm Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, and stirred with 5.5 μ l of 2 mm tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (Sigma) under nitrogen for 20 min, then 1 mg of iodoacetamide (Merck) was added under nitrogen for 2 h. The reaction was stopped by direct application on a Phenomenex C18 Jupiter $(4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm})$ column with subsequent separation of products in a gradient of 15– 45% acetonitrile in 30 min in the presence of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min (Fig. 2). Each isolated product underwent rechromatography under the same conditions. Incorporation of amidoethyl groups was checked by MALDI-TOF MS and tandem MS as in Ref. 7.

Radioligand Analysis—Competition binding assays (Fig. 3) were carried out using suspensions of nAChR-rich membranes

FIGURE 1. **X-ray structure of dimeric** α **CT.** A, α CT- α CT dimer is composed of two protomers represented in *blue* and *purple,* respectively. The β 1 strand from the first protomer is extended away from the toxin core and swapped with the β 1 strand from the second protomer. Disulfide bridges between Cys³ from one protomer and Cys²⁰ from the second protomer stabilize the dimeric toxin. *B*, *ribbon* representation of two α CT- α CT dimers, reconstituted from our structural data, superposed with respect to one of the protomers. The variability between the two dimers likely reflects the flexibility between the two toxin cores. *C*, *ribbon* representation of the superposition of monomeric α CT in *orange* on a protomer of the dimeric α CT (*blue* and *purple*). The *boxed* region is a zoomed in view rotated 90° around a *horizontal axis* in D. Pro⁷ from monomeric α CT (in *orange*) or from either protomer of the α CT- α CT dimer (*blue* and *purple*) is depicted as *sticks*. In the dimeric form, the Pro⁷ is extended away from the toxin core whereas in the monomeric α CT, Pro⁷ makes a turn.

FIGURE 2. **Isolation ofCT dimer derivatives with selectively reduced and alkylated disulfide bonds.** Separation conditions are described under "Experimental Procedures." α CT- α CT-2AE indicates the peak corresponding to dimer with one reduced and aminoethylated disulfide bond, α CT- α CT-4AE is with two reduced and aminoethylated disulfides.

from electric organ *Torpedo californica*, or human α7 nAChRtransfected GH4C1 cells, or *Lymnea stagnalis* or *Aplysia californica* acetylcholine-binding proteins (AChBPs) expressed and purified from baculovirus infected Sf9 cells (18), using ¹²⁵Ilabeled α -bungarotoxin as reported previously (19).

Electrophysiological Experiments—All experiments were conducted at human nAChRs expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. cDNAs encoding for the α 7 or α 3 and β 2 subunits were injected

FEBRUARY 24, 2012•VOLUME 287•NUMBER 9 *JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY* **6727**

into the oocyte nucleus using a roboinject (Multichannel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany). cDNA concentrations and injection procedures were standard and as described previously (7). Two to 3 days after injection, recordings were carried out with a two-electrode voltage clamp system using a HiClamp (Multichannel Systems). This system offers the advantage of minimal solution sample and multiple recordings from the same solutions.

Molecular Modeling—The refined x-ray structure of dimeric toxin was equilibrated in GROMACS 4.0.3. All molecular simulations were performed in an OPLS-AA force field, and molecular dynamics simulations were calculated at a temperature of 300 K with a dielectric permittivity $\epsilon = 1$. Integration procedures were performed with time steps as small as 1 fs, for simulation times of 20 ns. After molecular dynamics simulations of the energy-minimized α CT- α CT x-ray structure, the obtained molecule was docked to *L. stagnalis* AChBP (*Ls*AChBP) (20). Hex 6.0 was used for docking, and subsequent visual analysis in the SPDBViewer allowed us to reject false positive solutions.

RESULTS

Structure of α -*CT Dimer*—We have solved the three-dimensional structure of α CT- α CT, a dimeric α CT, at a resolution of 1.94 Å. Within this dimer, protomers are covalently linked by disulfide bonds between Cys^3 in one protomer and Cys^{20} in the other, and vice versa (Fig. 1*A*). The two dimers that we reconstituted from our structural data display a slight variation in the relative arrangement of the two protomers around the 2-fold crystallographic axis (Fig. 1*B*), likely reflecting the degree of flexibility of the protomers around this hinge region.

FIGURE 3. **Competition experiments with ¹²⁵I-labeled** α **-bungarotoxin.** Inhibition of radioactive α -bungarotoxin binding to α 7 nAChR (A), AChBP from *A*. c *californica* (*B*), and *L. stagnalis* (C) as well as to *Torpedo* nAChR (*D*) by α CT (\times), α CT- α CT- α CT-2AE (\circ), and α CT- α CT-4AE (\diamond) is shown.

The three-dimensional structure of protomers in the dimeric α CT resembles the monomeric α CT (PDB code 2CTX) (10) and essentially preserves the TFT fold, except for a kink at $Pro⁷$ (Fig. 1, *C* and *D*). This results in a swap of the first N-terminal β strand from one protomer with that of the second protomer, hence forming the α CT- α CT dimer (Fig. 1*A*). The two α CT protomers are related by a 2-fold axial symmetry. Whereas in monomeric α CT the N-terminal β strand is arranged in an antiparallel manner with respect to the second N-terminal β strand through a turn induced by Pro⁷, in the dimeric α CT- α CT this Pro⁷ is not involved in generating a turn but allows the outward extension of the first N-terminal β strand away from the toxin core. The extended β 1 strand displays a large interaction interface with the β 2 strand from the second protomer contributing to the dimeric α CT- α CT. These two N-terminal β strands are covalently linked through two disulfide bridges: Cys³ of each chain is bound to Cys²⁰ of the other chain (Cys³- Cys^{20} and Cys^{3} - Cys^{20}). Interestingly, these cysteine residues are highly conserved in α -neurotoxins and are involved in an internal disulfide bond in monomeric α CT. However, reduction of these disulfides in κ -bungarotoxin did not considerably decrease toxin activity against α 3 β 2 nAChRs (21). Even such a drastic disulfide bond rearrangement as observed in the α CT- α CT does not affect the three-finger motif in each of the polypeptide chains of the protomers. Indeed, a protomer of α CT- α CT superposes on the monomeric α CT with a root mean square deviation of 0.9 Å (over residues 9– 67) (Fig. 1*C*). The first loops of the protomers are maintained through the β strand swap between the two protomers constituting the covalent dimer (Fig. 1*A*). The geometry of all other disulfide bridges in both polypeptide chains of α CT- α CT is similar to that observed in monomeric α CT. The interface between the two

protomers of the covalent dimer extends over \sim 1400 Å², with the tip of loops II contributing ${\sim}$ 440 Å², and involves 42 hydrogen bonds between the two polypeptide chains as characterized by the PISA server (22).

Alkylation of Additional Disulfides in CT Dimer—The loop II additional disulfide in long chain α -neurotoxins is crucial for the interaction with α 7 nAChR (4). We wondered whether this loop II disulfide bridge is important for dimeric α CT function and used the fact that it can be selectively reduced and alkylated (23, 24). These loop II additional disulfides are exposed in the structure and are therefore accessible to selective reduction and subsequent alkylation, in contrast to other intramolecular or intermolecular disulfide bridges of the toxin. We have generated α CT- α CT derivatives where this disulfide bond $(Cys^{26}-Cys^{30})$ has been reduced and ethylamidated (Fig. 2). This reaction appears to be kinetically controlled, similarly to what is observed with α CT (24) and does not disrupt the dimeric structure of α CT- α CT. Interestingly, under chosen conditions the loop II disulfide bridges from each protomer can be reduced simultaneously, and the reaction mixture contains derivatives with either a single reduced and alkylated disulfide (α CT- α CT-2AE), or with both disulfides modified (α CT- α CT-4AE). This is in agreement with our earlier isolation both of the 24–33 tryptic peptide fragment of the loop II, containing two pyridylethyl groups, and of the same peptide with the intact disulfide bond (7). Fortunately, it was possible to separate the two different derivatives from each other and from the parent molecule (Fig. 2) for further analysis of their biological activity.

Competition Experiments with 125 *I-Labeled* α -Bungarotoxin-Disturbing the central loop II structure in both protomers by

FIGURE 4. **Interaction of CT-CT and of heterodimer CT-CTX with human 7nAChR heterologously expressed in** *Xenopus* **oocytes.** To evaluate effects of the toxin, cells were challenged at a regular interval (2 min) with brief ACh test pulses (200 μ m, 5 s). Following a stabilization period, toxin was applied at 1 μ M for at least 1 min. The response to ACh (200 μ M) was tested again, and recovery from inhibition was monitored over several minutes. Results obtained with α CT- α CT are illustrated in *A* and *C* whereas effects of α CT-CTX are shown in *B* and *D*. Average effects of α CT- α CT measured on three cells with 10-min recovery are presented in *C*. Average effects of αCT-CTX are presented in *D* on four cells. *Bars* indicate S.E.

reducing the additional disulfides and alkylating the respective cysteine residues results in a significant decrease in affinity of the modified α CT- α CT both for the α 7 nAChR (Fig. 3*A*) and for AChBPs (Fig. 3, *B* and *C*), soluble structural homologs of the nAChR ligand binding domains (20). However, if only one of the loop II disulfide bridges in the α CT- α CT is disrupted, the affinity remains virtually unchanged (Fig. 3, *A*–*C*). This suggests that preserving the native structure in only one of the central loops II of the dimer is sufficient for effective interaction of α CT- α CT with these targets.

Nevertheless, as might be expected, reduction of one or both these disulfides in α CT- α CT does not practically affect its interaction with the muscle-type nAChR (Fig. 3*D*), similar to what was observed for the interaction with this receptor following the reduction of the loop II disulfide bridge in α CT (4)

Electrophysiology Studies—The activity of α CT- α CT and its reduced derivatives was compared at human α 7 and α 3 β 2 nAChRs expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. Because naturally occurring dimers α CT- α CT and α CT-CTX and especially individual reduced/alkylated derivatives of the former dimer were available only in minor amounts, we could not characterize the results of the electrophysiological experiments in terms of IC_{50} as was done in the above described competition binding experiments. In view of the latter, all dimers were applied at 1 μ M concentration, and their inhibitory activity is illustrated by Figs. 4–7 representing a decrease in the amplitude of the acetylcholine-induced currents from 10 to 90%.

Similar to the results of binding studies, reduction of only one disulfide in α CT- α CT practically does not decrease the inhibitory activity against α 7 nAChR, but it drops considerably if both disulfides are reduced and alkylated (compare Fig. 4*A* with Fig. 5, A and B). Surprisingly, with the α 3 β 2 nAChR both α CT- α CT derivatives have a very similar activity which is much

higher than that of α CT- α CT itself (compare Fig. 6, *A–D*, with Fig. 7, A and C). Interestingly, for the heterodimer α CT-CTX which showed quite potent inhibition of ¹²⁵I-labeled α -bungarotoxin binding to α 7 nAChR (7), in the present electrophysiology experiments we found that this protein is not only slightly more active than α CT- α CT in inhibiting α 7 nAChR (compare Fig. 4, *A* and *C*, with Fig. 4, *B* and *D*), but considerably exceeds the α CT- α CT homodimer in blocking the α 3 β 2 nAChR (Fig. 7).

Computer Modeling—Superposition of one protomer of the α CT- α CT dimer onto monomeric α CT bound to *Ls*AChBP (PDB code 1YI5) (20) (Fig. 8*A*) reveals that such an interaction would be energetically unfavorable due to a very close disposition of the loop II tips from the two protomers leading to clashes between the second α CT- α CT protomer and *Ls*A-ChBP. To identify potential conformational changes in the dimeric toxin likely to lead to binding to AChBP, we performed molecular dynamics simulation trials followed by docking to LsAChBP. Our results suggest that a rotation around the Pro⁷-Asp⁸ bonds from both protomers could provide conformations (Fig. 8*B*) that are likely to be energetically more favorable for the complex formation (Fig. 8*C*) and which would resemble those of -bungarotoxin (Fig. 9*B*) or haditoxin (Fig. 9*C*).

DISCUSSION

Our 1.94 Å resolution x-ray structure of α CT- α CT, a covalently linked dimer of α CT isolated earlier from the *N. kaouthia* cobra venom (7), reveals how the two protomers composing the toxin are bridged and how they are oriented relative to each other (Fig. 1*A*). It shows that two interchain disulfide bonds connect the interweaved loops I of the two monomeric α CT, namely Cys³ of one monomer is bound to Cys²⁰ of the second monomer and vice versa. Each protomer essentially preserves a

FIGURE 5. Interaction of α CT- α CT reduced and alkylated derivatives with human α 7nAChR heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. To evaluate effects of the toxin, cells were challenged at a regular interval (2 min) with brief ACh test pulses (200 μ M, 5 s). Following a stabilization period, toxin was applied at 1 μ M for at least 1 min. The response to ACh (200 μ M) was tested again, and recovery from inhibition was monitored over several minutes. Results obtained with α CT- α CT-2AE are illustrated in A and C, whereas effects of α CT-CT-4AE are shown in *B* and *D*. Average effects of α CT- α CT-2AE measured on four cells with 10-min recovery are presented in *C*. Average effects of αCT-αCT-4AE on four cells are presented in *D. Bars* indicate S.E.

FIGURE 6. **Interaction of CT-CT reduced and alkylated derivatives with human 32 nAChR heterologously expressed in** *Xenopus* **oocytes.** Experiments were carried out at cells expressing the human α3β2 nAChRs using the same experimental protocol as in Fig. 4. C and D illustrate average values recorded at four and five cells, respectively. *Bars* indicate S.E.

three-finger fold, as suggested from earlier circular dichroism experiments (7), whereas the overall three-dimensional structure shows that the loop II tips of each protomer of the α CT- α CT are in close proximity to each other. The current lack of structural data for complexes of dimeric TFTs with AChBPs,

nAChRs, or with their ligand-binding domains hinders the rationalization of differences in the interactions of α CT- α CT, its reduced derivatives, and of a heterodimeric α CT-CTX with distinct nAChR subtypes. Superposing the α CT- α CT structure on that of monomeric α CT in complex with *LsAChBP* (26) (Fig.

FIGURE 7. **Interaction of CT-CT and of heterodimer CT-CTX with human 32 nAChR heterologously expressed in** *Xenopus* **oocytes.** Experiments were carried out at cells expressing the human α 3 β 2 nAChRs using the same experimental protocol as in Fig. 4. C and D illustrate average values recorded at six and five cells, respectively. *Bars* indicate S.E.

FIGURE 8. A, superposition of a protomer of α CT- α CT (blue and *purple*) onto monomeric α CT (orange) in complex with *LsAChBP* (PDB code 1YI5) (surface representation, *colored silicon* of one AChBP protomer-protomer interface). A single monomeric α CT is shown bound to LsAChBP for clarity. *B*, *ribbon* representation of an αCT-αCT model obtained after molecular dynamics simulations. Pro⁷ and Asn⁸ are shown as *sticks* in each protomer. C, scheme of possible binding mode of αCT-αCT to *Ls*AChBP.

8) suggests that binding to receptors would be energetically unfavorable. In this configuration, the interaction of one α CT- α CT protomer with the receptor ligand binding domain would lead to steric clashes of the second protomer with the receptor

(Fig. 8*A*). Indeed, for α CT- α CT to interact with the receptor, a conformational change inducing a relative reorientation of the loops II of the two protomers would be required (Fig. 8*B*). Interestingly, the spectrum of α CT- α CT activity toward distinct nic-

FIGURE 9. **Schematic representations of** α **CT-** α **CT (A), noncovalent** dimeric toxins κ -bungarotoxin (κ -BTX) (B) and haditoxin (C), and irdi**toxin, a covalent dimer (D).** In the κ -bungarotoxin dimer the β strands from the two protomers constitute an extended antiparallel β sheet, with the loop II from each subunit oriented in opposite directions. A similar topological arrangement is observed for haditoxin. A disulfide bridge is formed between the two irditoxin protomers, involving a loop I cysteine from the first chain (*blue*) and a loop II cysteine from the second chain (*orange*). Contrary to κ -bungarotoxin or haditoxin, but similar to the α CT- α CT dimer, the loops II from the two protomers are oriented toward each other.

otinic receptors is different from what is observed with the monomeric α CT. The dimeric α CT- α CT is able to bind to the muscle-type and α 7 nAChRs, but in contrast to monomeric α CT it can also block the heteromeric α 3 β 2 nAChR (7). Because in this activity it resembles κ -bungarotoxin, a noncovalent dimer (27), it is possible that dimerization is a requirement for the binding of a TFT to heteromeric α 3 β 2 nAChRs.

-Bungarotoxins are noncovalent TFT dimers from krait venoms structurally related to long chain α -neurotoxins. They block heteromeric $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and bind weakly to $\alpha 4\beta 2$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs $(7, 27)$. κ -Bungarotoxin forms a dimer related by a planar rotation of ${\sim}$ 180° (Fig. 9*B*) bringing the three-stranded β sheets in each protomer to form an extended six-stranded antiparallel β sheet in the dimer, with a 493 Å 2 interaction surface (5). Interestingly, from the crystal structures of α CT (PDB code 2CTX) (10) or of α -bungarotoxin (PDB code 1HC9) (28), the interactions between symmetry-related monomers result in a dimer arrangement very similar to that observed for κ -bungarotoxin.

After our discovery of the dimeric α -cobratoxin (7), two novel dimeric three-finger neurotoxins have been described, namely irditoxin from *Boiga irregularis* venom (29) and haditoxin from the king cobra (30). Haditoxin is a noncovalent dimer composed of two short chain α -neurotoxins and adopts a topological arrangement (Fig. 9*C*) reminiscent of that observed for κ -bungarotoxin, on which it superposes with a root mean square deviation of 2 Å. It also displays a protomer-protomer interaction surface of 570 Å², comparable with that between the protomers of the κ -bungarotoxin dimer. Haditoxin can block not only muscle-type nAChRs, as typically observed for short chain α -neurotoxins, but unexpectedly also blocks homomeric

 α 7 and heteromeric α 3 β 2 nAChRs. This confirms our earlier conclusion that dimerization resulting in noncovalently or in covalently linked TFTs is an important factor for recognition of heteromeric nAChRs (7). Haditoxin does not comprise an additional loop II disulfide, and its activity raises the question about the role of such a disulfide in recognition of neuronal nAChRs. Nevertheless, it should be kept in mind that blocking of neuronal nAChRs by haditoxin was only observed at very high toxin concentrations (30). The monomeric form of haditoxin has not been isolated and cannot be used for comparison purposes, contrary to the α CT- α CT dimer where the activity of α CT is well characterized and overlaps considerably with that of the covalently bound dimer. Furthermore, the classification of haditoxin to the family of short α -neurotoxins is controversial since its homology to erabutoxin, a classical short chain α -neurotoxin, is only 50%, whereas it is of 75– 80% with the muscarinic toxin-like proteins, which are of different origin (25).

Irditoxin, yet another recently described dimeric toxin, consists of two highly homologous "weak" or "nonconventional" toxin-like protomers linked through a disulfide bridge and is selective for bird muscle-type nAChR (29). Each of these two protomers contains one additional cysteine residue (absent in typical TFTs), which covalently link the two irditoxin protomers via an intermolecular disulfide bridge. In the first chain, the additional cysteine is located in loop I whereas it is in loop II in the second chain. The three-dimensional structure of irditoxin (29) (Fig. 9*D*) shows that loops II of the two protomers are oriented in a somewhat similar way as those of the α CT- α CT dimer (Fig. 9*A*). In the case of irditoxin, however, a much smaller interaction surface is shared by the two protomers (\sim 430 Å² against \sim 1400 Å² in α CT- α CT).

Considering the importance of the additional loop II disulfide bridge for α CT interaction with the α 7 nAChR (4) and for κ -bungarotoxin binding to α 3 β 2 nAChR (27), we characterized the role of this disulfide in α CT- α CT interaction with different targets, namely with several subtypes of nAChRs and with AChBPs. Toward this end, we alkylated α CT- α CT using iodoacetamide, which does not significantly contribute to the molecular charge or to the molecular mass of the dimer. Our binding (Fig. 3) and electrophysiology data (Figs. $4-6$) show that the presence of an additional disulfide in only one of the two loops II of the dimer is sufficient for the interaction with both α 7 nAChR and AChBPs. However, both disulfides can be reduced and alkylated without diminishing the efficiency of binding to the muscle-type nAChR (Fig. 3*D*). Thus, our binding and electrophysiology data on the interaction of α CT- α CT with the α 7 and muscle-type nAChRs correlate well with previous data on monomeric α CT concerning the role of the disulfide bridge in the central loop II. However, in contrast to what is known for the κ -bungarotoxin noncovalent dimer, loop II disulfides in α CT- α CT are not essential for interaction with the α 3 β 2 nAChR. On the contrary, reduction and alkylation of one or both of those disulfide bridges considerably enhance the inhibitory activity of the toxin variant against the $\alpha\beta\beta$ 2 nAChR (Figs. 6 and 7).

The opposite roles of the central loop II disulfides in the interaction of dimeric TFTs with the α 7 nAChR compared with the α 3 β 2 nAChR are also illustrated by our results for the α CT-

CTX heterodimer composed of α -cobratoxin and cytotoxin 3. The cytotoxin in this heterodimeric toxin is a short type TFT and contains no additional disulfide bridge in the loop II. However, the presence of a single loop II disulfide bridge in the α CT protomer within the α CT-CTX heterodimer is sufficient for blocking the α 7 nAChR at least as efficiently as does α CT- α CT (Fig. 4, *A* and B). Moreover, this heterodimer is more potent than α CT- α CT against the α 3 β 2 nAChR (Fig. 7). These results confirm and extend our earlier conclusion that dimerization is essential for the activity of TFTs against heteromeric neuronal nAChRs. Our data for the reduced derivatives of α CT- α CT, as well as literature data for haditoxin (30), also show that $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChRs can be recognized by dimeric TFTs containing no additional disulfides in the loops II. α CT- α CT, in which one of the additional disulfides is preserved, appears to be more active against α 3 β 2 nAChR than α CT- α CT in which these both disulfides are disrupted (Fig. 6, *C* and *D*) and comparable with the heterodimer that has also only one such disulfide (Fig. 7, *B* and *D*).

In summary, we have determined the x-ray structure of α CT- α CT, a covalent α CT dimer, and found that the dimerization results from a β strand swap between the two protomers, two covalent linkages arising between Cys³ of one protomer and Cys²⁰ of the second, and vice versa. We also investigated how specific α CT- α CT binding is to different nAChR subtypes and how effective the dimeric toxin is at blocking these receptors. Our data confirm that dimerization is an important prerequisite for TFT interaction with heteromeric neuronal nAChRs, and from comparison of our and literature data it follows that the receptor recognition is possible with different relative orientations of protomers in the TFT dimers. We further find that at least one loop II disulfide bridge is required for activity against the α 7 nAChR, whereas the reduction of one or both loop II disulfide bridges potentiates the activity of the dimeric toxin against the heteromeric α 3 β 2 nAChR.

Acknowledgment—We thank the ESRF ID14-EH1 beamline scientists for assistance during data collection.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gotti, C., Moretti, M., Gaimarri, A., Zanardi, A., Clementi, F., and Zoli, M. (2007) Heterogeneity and complexity of native brain nicotinic receptors. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **74,** 1102–1111
- 2. de Jonge, W. J., and Ulloa, L. (2007) The α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor as a pharmacological target for inflammation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **151,** 915–929
- 3. Tsetlin, V. (1999) Snake venom α -neurotoxins and other "three-finger" proteins. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **264,** 281–286
- 4. Antil-Delbeke, S., Gaillard, C., Tamiya, T., Corringer, P. J., Changeux, J. P., Servent, D., and Ménez, A. (2000) Molecular determinants by which a long chain toxin from snake venom interacts with the neuronal α 7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 29594–29601
- 5. Oswald, R. E., Sutcliffe, M. J., Bamberger, M., Loring, R. H., Braswell, E., and Dobson, C. M. (1991) Solution structure of neuronal bungarotoxin determined by two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy: sequence-specific assignments, secondary structure, and dimer formation. *Biochemistry* **30,** 4901–4909
- 6. Mordvintsev, D. Y., Polyak, Y. L., Rodionov, D. I., Jakubik, J., Dolezal, V., Karlsson, E., Tsetlin, V. I., and Utkin, Y. N. (2009) Weak toxin WTX from *Naja kaouthia* cobra venom interacts with both nicotinic and muscarinic

Disulfide Bridges within Dimer of α *-Cobratoxin*

acetylcholine receptors. *FEBS J.* **276,** 5065–5075

- 7. Osipov, A. V., Kasheverov, I. E., Makarova, Y. V., Starkov, V. G., Vorontsova, O. V., Ziganshin, R. K., Andreeva, T. V., Serebryakova, M. V., Benoit, A., Hogg, R. C., Bertrand, D., Tsetlin, V. I., and Utkin, Y. N. (2008) Naturally occurring disulfide-bound dimers of three-fingered toxins: a paradigm for biological activity diversification. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 14571–14580
- 8. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 125–132
- 9. Kabsch, W. (2010) Integration, scaling, space-group assignment, and post-refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 133–144
- 10. Betzel, C., Lange, G., Pal, G. P.,Wilson, K. S., Maelicke, A., and Saenger,W. (1991) The refined crystal structure of α -cobratoxin from *Naja naja siamensis* at 2.4-A resolution. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266,** 21530–21536
- 11. McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40,** 658–674
- 12. Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994) The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography.*Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **50,** 760–763
- 13. Langer, G., Cohen, S. X., Lamzin, V. S., and Perrakis, A. (2008) Automated macromolecular model building for X-ray crystallography using ARP/ wARP version 7. *Nat. Protoc.* **3,** 1171–1179
- 14. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of COOT. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 486–501
- 15. Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A., and Dodson, E. J. (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **53,** 240–255
- 16. Chen, V. B., Arendall, W. B., 3rd, Headd, J. J., Keedy, D. A., Immormino, R. M., Kapral, G. J., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S., and Richardson, D. C. (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 12–21
- 17. Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2004) Secondary-structure matching (SSM), a new tool for fast protein structure alignment in three dimensions. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **60,** 2256–2268
- 18. Celie, P. H., van Rossum-Fikkert, S. E., van Dijk, W. J., Brejc, K., Smit, A. B., and Sixma, T. K. (2004) Nicotine and carbamylcholine binding to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors as studied in AChBP crystal structures. *Neuron* **41,** 907–914
- 19. Kasheverov, I. E., Zhmak, M. N., Fish, A., Rucktooa, P., Khruschov, A. Y., Osipov, A. V., Ziganshin, R. H., D'hoedt, D., Bertrand, D., Sixma, T. K., Smit, A. B., and Tsetlin, V. I. (2009) Interaction of α -conotoxin ImII and its analogs with nicotinic receptors and acetylcholine-binding proteins: additional binding sites on *Torpedo* receptor. *J. Neurochem.* **111,** 934–944
- 20. Brejc, K., van Dijk, W. J., Klaassen, R. V., Schuurmans, M., van Der Oost, J., Smit, A. B., and Sixma, T. K. (2001) Crystal structure of an ACh-binding protein reveals the ligand-binding domain of nicotinic receptors. *Nature* **411,** 269–276
- 21. Grant, G. A., Luetje, C. W., Summers, R., and Xu, X. L. (1998) Differential roles for disulfide bonds in the structural integrity and biological activity of -bungarotoxin, a neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist. *Biochemistry* **37,** 12166–12171
- 22. Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007) Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372,** 774–797
- 23. Chicheportiche, R., Vincent, J. P., Kopeyan, C., Schweitz, H., and Lazdunski, M. (1975) Structure-function relationship in the binding of snake neurotoxins to the *Torpedo* membrane receptor. *Biochemistry* **14,** 2081–2091
- 24. Martin, B. M., Chibber, B. A., and Maelicke, A. (1983) The sites of neurotoxicity in -cobratoxin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **258,** 8714–8722
- 25. Kukhtina, V. V., Weise, C., Muranova, T. A., Starkov, V. G., Franke, P., Hucho, F., Wnendt, S., Gillen, C., Tsetlin, V. I., and Utkin, Y. N. (2000) Muscarinic toxin-like proteins from cobra venom. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267,** 6784–6789
- 26. Bourne, Y., Talley, T. T., Hansen, S. B., Taylor, P., and Marchot, P. (2005) Crystal structure of a Cbtx-AChBP complex reveals essential interactions between snake α -neurotoxins and nicotinic receptors. *EMBO J.* 24, 1512–1522

- 27. Luetje, C.W.,Wada, K., Rogers, S., Abramson, S. N., Tsuji, K., Heinemann, S., and Patrick, J. (1990) Neurotoxins distinguish between different neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit combinations. *J. Neurochem.* **55,** 632–640
- 28. Harel, M., Kasher, R., Nicolas, A., Guss, J. M., Balass, M., Fridkin, M., Smit, A. B., Brejc, K., Sixma, T. K., Katchalski-Katzir, E., Sussman, J. L., and Fuchs, S. (2001) The binding site of acetylcholine receptor as visualized in the x-ray structure of a complex between α -bungarotoxin and a mimotope peptide. *Neuron* **32,** 265–275
- 29. Pawlak, J., Mackessy, S. P., Sixberry, N. M., Stura, E. A., Le Du, M. H., Ménez, R., Foo, C. S., Ménez, A., Nirthanan, S., and Kini, R. M. (2009) Irditoxin, a novel covalently linked heterodimeric three-finger toxin with high taxon-specific neurotoxicity. *FASEB J.* **23,** 534–545
- 30. Roy, A., Zhou, X., Chong, M. Z., D'hoedt, D., Foo, C. S., Rajagopalan, N., Nirthanan, S., Bertrand, D., Sivaraman, J., and Kini, R. M. (2010) Structural and functional characterization of a novel homodimeric three-finger neurotoxin from the venom of *Ophiophagus hannah* (king cobra). *J. Biol. Chem.* **285,** 8302–8315

