

Bevacizumab for the treatment of nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer in Portugal: a retrospective, multicenter study

Fernanda Estevinho¹
Marta Soares²
Isabel Azevedo²
Henrique Queiroga³
Bárbara Parente⁴
Ulisses Brito⁵
Encarnação Teixeira⁶
Renato Sotto-Mayor⁷
António Araújo⁸

¹Medical Oncology Resident, Department of Medical Oncology, Portuguese Institute of Oncology, Oporto Center, Oporto, Portugal; ²Medical Oncology Assistant, Department of Medical Oncology, Portuguese Institute of Oncology, Oporto Center, Oporto, Portugal; ³Coordinator of the Oncological Pneumology Consultation, Pneumology Department of Hospital S João, Oporto, Portugal; ⁴Director of Pneumology Department, Pneumology Department, Vila Nova de Gaia-Espinho Medical Center, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal; ⁵Director of Pneumology Department, Pneumology Department, Faro Hospital, Faro, Portugal; ⁶Pneumology assistant, Pneumology Department I, Hospital Santa Maria – Lisbon North Hospital Center, Lisbon, Portugal; ⁷Head of Service of Pneumology, Pneumology Department I, Hospital Santa Maria – Lisbon North Hospital Center, Lisbon, Portugal; ⁸Coordinator of Lung Pathology Clinic, Department of Medical Oncology, Portuguese Institute of Oncology, Oporto Center, Oporto, Portugal

Correspondence: António Araújo
Medical Oncology Department,
Instituto Português de Oncologia do
Porto Francisco Gentil, EPE,
Rua Dr António Bernardino de Almeida,
4200-072 Oporto, Portugal
Tel +351 225 084 000
Fax +351 225 084 010
Email amfaraujo@netcabo.pt

Introduction: Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality. In patients with nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) stage IIIB/IV treatment with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab led to significant improvements in progression-free and median overall survival (OS).

Aim: To report the experience of five Portuguese centers in treating patients with nonsquamous NSCLC in stage IIIB or IV with bevacizumab and chemotherapy regarding survival and toxicity outcomes.

Materials and methods: This was a retrospective, multicenter study on patients with nonsquamous stage IIIB/IV NSCLC treated with bevacizumab and chemotherapy from November 2007 to August 2010 through special use permits. We reviewed the medical records, registry of demographic characteristics, treatments provided, treatment responses, adverse events, and dates of death. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS statistics software. Median OS and event-free survival (EFS) were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method.

Results: From an eligible population of 41 patients, 37 participants were registered. Study participants were predominantly male (78.4%) with a median age of 53 years (29–75 years). In total, 83.8% patients had stage IV disease (TNM, 6th Ed.). The OS was 21.5 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 12.6–30.5) and median EFS was 9.4 months (95% CI: 7.1–11.7). Hematologic toxicity grade 3/4 occurred in 35.1% of patients, and nonhematologic toxicity in 24.3% patients. One fatal thromboembolic event was recorded (2.7%).

Conclusions: The results of chemotherapy plus bevacizumab treatment for nonsquamous NSCLC obtained from the daily clinical practice of the centers involved in this study were similar to those of published clinical trials. Collaboration between the different Portuguese centers is crucial for this kind of study.

Keywords: lung neoplasms, carcinoma, non-small-cell lung, nonsquamous, bevacizumab, angiogenesis inhibitors

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most frequent cancer worldwide, with an estimated 1.61 million new cases in 2008 accounting for 12.7% of all new cancer patients.¹ Moreover, lung cancer is the main cause of mortality by malignancy, resulting in 1.38 million deaths, that is, 18.2% of total cancer mortality.¹ Globally, the 5-year-survival rate is 15.6%.²

In Portugal, lung cancer is the third most common cancer in men (34.9/100,000), and has the fifth highest incidence when considering both sexes (20.1/100,000).³

At the time of diagnosis, three in every four patients (76.8%) have advanced or metastatic disease (46.9% in stage IV; TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors [TNM]

6th Edition). Around 87.5% of cases are non-small-cell lung cancers (NSCLC), with a predominance of non-squamous types (57.0%).⁴ In patients diagnosed with stage IIIB/IV NSCLC without epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutations predictive of response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors, standard treatment relies on platinum-doublet chemotherapy (CT).

Over the last 20 years, survival in lung cancer patients has remained relatively constant,⁵ highlighting the need for new drugs to improve the prognosis of this disease. Bevacizumab is a novel drug reported to promote improvements in response and survival rates.⁶⁻⁹

Bevacizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal IgG1 antibody. The drug acts via selective binding and neutralization of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), thus inhibiting angiogenesis.^{10,11} Two phase III clinical trials, E4599 of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) and AVAiL (Avastin in Lung, also called BO17704), focused on the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab plus CT in the treatment of advanced (stage IIIB/IV) or recurring NSCLC nonsquamous type.⁶⁻⁹ Both studies concluded that the administration of bevacizumab plus CT enhanced response rates (RR) and progression-free survival (PFS) with statistical significance. The E4599 clinical trial additionally showed a significant increase in overall survival (OS; 12.3 m vs 10.3 m (hazard ratio [HR] 0.79; $P = 0.003$)) in patients treated with bevacizumab plus CT versus CT alone.⁶⁻⁹ Another phase III trial, AVAPERL (MO22089), investigating bevacizumab maintenance was presented at the 2011 European Multidisciplinary Cancer Congress.¹² In the study, patients were treated with four cycles of cisplatin plus pemetrexed plus bevacizumab. Patients showing no progression after four treatment cycles were randomized to receive further treatment with bevacizumab alone or bevacizumab plus pemetrexed.¹² The preliminary results of AVAPERL disclosed a significant improvement in PFS for patients subjected to maintenance treatment with pemetrexed plus bevacizumab (10.2 m; $P < 0.001$) versus bevacizumab alone (6.6 m).¹²

In 2006, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted approval for bevacizumab administration in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for the treatment of unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC.¹³ This treatment was implemented in some centers of the National Health System in Portugal through the implementation of special use permits (*autorização de utilização especial [AUE]*), until its refusal by the National

Authority of Medicine and Health Products (INFARMED, Autoridade Nacional do Medicamento e Produtos de Saúde I.P.) in April 2010.¹⁴

In the current study, we evaluated the experience of five Portuguese centers that treated patients diagnosed with nonsquamous NSCLC stages IIIB and IV with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab under special use permits, and reported outcomes in terms of survival and toxicity.

Materials and methods

We conducted a multicenter retrospective study using available lung cancer treatment clinical registry data from five Portuguese centers, including the Portuguese Institute of Oncology of Oporto, Hospital S. João of Oporto, Vila Nova de Gaia – Espinho Medical Center, Faro Hospital, and the Hospital of Santa Maria – Lisbon North Hospitalar Center.

The eligible population was composed of adult patients diagnosed with nonsquamous NSCLC (histological or cytological), stage IIIB/IV, treated with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab under special use permits issued by INFARMED between November 2007 and August 2010. As a criterion for exclusion, we considered the impossibility of obtaining clinical information for individual patients.

The study included the following variables: (1) demographic characteristics of the population, (2) treatment, (3) effectiveness, and (4) safety.

1. Demographic characteristics included diagnostic data (date of biopsy on the pathology report), pathological diagnosis, comorbidities, ECOG functional state and disease stage (TNM).
2. Treatment included information about the therapeutic protocol, surgery and/or radiotherapy realization, and other treatments applied for second-line therapy and afterwards.
3. Effectiveness variables included response after three cycles, and dates of progression and death.
4. Safety involved a toxicity assessment according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE; version 4.02) of the National Cancer Institute (NCI).

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS statistics program (v. 19; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Absolute and relative frequencies were calculated for categorical variables. Continuous variables were assessed as follows: (1) for age, the median was calculated, (2) for event-free survival (EFS) and OS, analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method considering the date of (a) first treatment, (b) event,

defined as progression of disease confirmed with imaging tests or death if no prior confirmation of progression, and (c) death.

Results

The eligible population consisted of 41 patients, four of whom were excluded owing to lack of clinical data. The participant population (n = 37) was mostly composed of male patients (78.4%) with a median age of 53 years (29–75 years). Eight patients were 65 years or older (21.6%) (Table 1). The majority of patients had adenocarcinoma (94.6%) and stage IV disease (83.8%). The ECOG performance status was 0 in 12 patients (32.4%) and 1 in 24 (64.9%) patients.

Smoking (62.1%) and hypertension (16.2%) were the most frequent comorbidities. Three patients had a history of previous neoplasm, and two had hematologic diseases (polycythemia vera, idiopathic myelofibrosis).

Treatment at the Portuguese participant centers included the administration of bevacizumab (under special use permits issued by INFARMED) in combination with carboplatin and gemcitabine (59.5%) (Table 2). Bevacizumab was additionally administered with a platinum and paclitaxel (24.3%) or platinum and pemetrexed (8.1%) regimen. Only one patient was treated with 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab. The majority of patients were subjected to six cycles of CT (75.7%). During the time of data collection, 10 patients

Table 1 Summary of key demographic characteristics

Variable	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency	Other frequency measures
Age (years)			
<65	29	78.4%	Median 53 years (29; 75)
≥65	8	21.6%	
Sex			
Male	29	78.4%	Median age 55 years (29; 75)
Female	8	21.6%	Median age 48 years (42; 66)
Histologic type			
Adenocarcinoma	35	94.6%	
Poorly differentiated carcinoma	2	5.4%	
Stage (TNM)			
IIIB	6	16.2%	
IV	31	83.8%	
Comorbidities			
Smoker	23	62.1%	
COPD	4	10.8%	
Tuberculosis	4	10.8%	
Alcoholism	2	5.4%	
Arterial hypertension	6	16.2%	
Diabetes mellitus	1	2.7%	
Dyslipidemia	3	8.1%	
Ischemic heart disease	1	2.7%	
Heart failure	1	2.7%	
Cerebrovascular disease	1	2.7%	
Previous cancer	3	8.1%	
– Urothelial cancer	1	2.7%	
– Prostate adenocarcinoma	1	2.7%	
– Carcinoma in situ of the vocal cord	1	2.7%	
Others			
Neurofibromatosis	1	2.7%	
Psoriasis	1	2.7%	
Splenectomy	1	2.7%	
Hematologic diseases			
– Polycythemia vera	1	2.7%	
– Idiopathic myelofibrosis	1	2.7%	
ECOG performance status			
0	12	32.4%	
1	24	64.9%	
2	1	2.7%	

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; TNM, TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors, 6th Edition.

Table 2 Treatment characteristics and patient status

Variable	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency
Chemotherapy regimen (plus bevacizumab)		
Platinum and paclitaxel	9 (cis 4; carbo 5)	24.3% (10.8%; 13.5%)
Platinum and gemcitabine	25 (cis 3; carbo 22)	67.6% (8.1%; 59.5%)
Platinum and pemetrexed	3 (cis 2; carbo 1)	8.1% (5.4%; 2.7%)
Number of chemotherapy cycles (first-line)		
≤3	4	10.8%
4	3	8.1%
5	2	5.4%
6	28	75.7%
Bevacizumab dose		
7.5 mg/kg	36	97.3%
15 mg/kg	1	2.7%
Number of bevacizumab cycles		
≤6	10	27.0%
7–12	14	37.8%
13–18	9	24.3%
19–24	1	2.7%
>24	3	8.1%
Second-line treatment	20	54.0%
Pemetrexed	16	43.2%
Erlotinib	3	8.1%
Others	1	2.7%
Third-line treatment and further	7	18.9%
Patient status		
Receiving first-line treatment	10	27.0%
Alive with disease progression	11	29.7%
Unknown	1	2.7%
Death	15	40.5%

Abbreviations: cis, cisplatin; carbo, carboplatin.

(27.0%) were still receiving first-line treatment. One of the patients was subjected to 26 administrations of bevacizumab, with good tolerance. Twenty patients received second-line CT treatment, the majority with pemetrexed ($n = 16$). At the time of article preparation, fifteen deaths were recorded (40.5%).

The median OS was 21.5 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 12.6–30.5) and median event-free survival was 9.4 months (95% CI: 7.1–11.7) (Figure 1).

Thirteen patients (35.1%) displayed hematologic toxicity grade 3 or 4, with neutropenia as the most frequent adverse event (27.0%) (Table 3). Thrombocytosis was diagnosed in one patient (2.7%) with a previous history of splenectomy. Nonhematological toxicity of grade 3 or higher was reported for nine patients (24.3%), with a predominance of hypertension (10.8%), followed by hemoptysis (5.4%). One fatal thromboembolic event (2.7%) was recorded. It should be noted that at the time of data collection, a patient with polycythemia vera displayed good tolerance to 14 administrations of bevacizumab.

Discussion

In the present study, the OS and EFS were 21.5 months (95% CI: 12.6–30.5) and 9.4 months (95% CI: 7.1–11.7), respectively. Overall, the treatment was well tolerated. There was a predominance of hematologic toxicity (grade 3 or higher) (35.1%) over nonhematological toxicity (24.3%).

Despite the limitations regarding the design (a retrospective study with no control group and different treatment combinations), the results were consistent with those obtained in earlier phase III clinical trials with evidence level 1b (proposed by Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine).¹⁵ For statistical analysis, EFS was defined as progression of disease confirmed with imaging tests or death in case of no prior validation of progression, and used roughly as the equivalent of PFS. We propose that EFS is a better parameter definition for daily clinical practice and is almost equivalent to PFS for clinical trials, since the various centers do not have the image evaluation defined on time as in clinical trials.




Figure 1 Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival of 37 patients with nonsquamous NSCLC, stage IIIB/IV, treated with bevacizumab plus chemotherapy. **(A)** The median event-free survival was 9.4 months (95% CI: 7.1–11.7) and **(B)** median overall survival was 21.5 months (95% CI: 12.6–30.5).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer.

In the randomized E4599 study involving two groups (CT using carboplatin and paclitaxel [$n = 444$] or CT plus bevacizumab [$n = 434$]), increases in RR, PFS and OS were observed in the group treated with bevacizumab. RR was 35% vs 15% ($P < 0.001$), PFS was 6.2 m vs 4.5 m (HR 0.66; 95% CI: 0.57–0.77; $P < 0.001$) and OS was 12.3 m vs 10.3 m (HR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.67–0.92; $P = 0.003$).⁶ Patients analyzed in this study had nonsquamous type NSCLC stage IIIB with pleural effusion, stage IV or recurrent disease, without previous administration of chemotherapy. Our study included four patients with stage IIIB disease without pleural effusion (N3 disease and no indication for radiotherapy), and therefore, longer survival times were expected. In the E4599 study, 15 treatment-related deaths in the chemotherapy

plus bevacizumab group were reported, including five from pulmonary hemorrhage. There were no records of grade 5 hemorrhage in our analysis, which may be attributable to the sample size or patient selection.

The AVAiL study included patients with stage IV and IIIB NSCLC with and without pleural effusion (supraclavicular lymph node metastasis) or with recurrent disease. This was a randomized study with three treatment groups, including: (1) CT with cisplatin and gemcitabine ($n = 347$), (2) CT plus low-dose bevacizumab -7.5 mg/kg ($n = 345$); (3) CT plus high-dose bevacizumab -15 mg/kg ($n = 351$).^{8,9} The study had two major limitations: the primary goal was changed to PFS after implementation of the study, and the study did not provide statistical power for comparing the two doses of bevacizumab. The AVAiL study revealed a statistically significant increase in PFS in the two groups of patients treated with low and high doses of bevacizumab (HR 0.75; 6.7 m vs 6.1 m; $P = 0.003$), and (HR 0.82; 6.5 m vs 6.1 m; $P = 0.03$), respectively. A statistically significant increase in OS was observed. This may be due to the presence of confounding factors or inclusion of patients with characteristics predictive of better response to subsequent treatment lines. The median number of bevacizumab administrations was 5 and 6, respectively for high- and low-dose treatments. In our study, the median number of bevacizumab administrations was higher (nine). This may be due to the study design (as discussed above), presence of confounding factors in both studies, as well as different periodicities of imaging in clinical trials (every 8 or 9 weeks) and clinical practice in the community setting (usually after the third and sixth cycles of chemotherapy and 8 to 12 weeks thereafter). In the AVAiL study, the incidence of adverse effects (grade 3 or higher) was similar among all groups.

Table 3 Adverse events reported during treatment with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab (grade 3 or higher) according to the *Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events* (version 4.02) of the National Cancer Institute

Adverse event	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency
Hematologic	13	35.1%
Anemia	1	2.7%
Neutropenia	10	27.0%
Thrombocytopenia	5	13.5%
Thrombocytosis	1	2.7%
Nonhematologic	9	24.3%
Arterial hypertension	4	10.8%
Proteinuria	0	0.0%
Hyponatremia	0	0.0%
Renal failure	1	2.7%
Thromboembolic event	1	2.7%
Hemoptysis	2	5.4%
Infection	1	2.7%
None	20	54.1%

SAiL (Safety of Avastin in Lung; MO19390), a phase IV study involving 2212 patients,¹⁶ was performed with the main objective of assessing the safety of bevacizumab in everyday clinical practice. Severe toxicity, possibly related to bevacizumab administration, was observed in 13% of the patients (n = 288). Adverse events, grade 3 or higher, of specific interest included: thromboembolic events in 172 patients (8%), hemorrhage in 4%, and gastrointestinal perforation in 1% patients (n = 27).

In the present investigation, we observed one thromboembolic event of grade 3 or higher (2.7%; grade 5) and hemoptysis in two patients (5.4%). In the SAiL study, survival analysis was a secondary objective. The PFS was 7.8 m (95% CI: 7.5–8.1) and median OS was 14.6 m (95% CI: 13.8–15.3). About 69% of patients (n = 525) showed disease progression, but no information was available about further treatments. In the current study, 20 patients (54.1%) received second-line treatment, mainly chemotherapy with pemetrexed (n = 16).

The AVAPERL study (MO22089) is an open-label phase III trial including 362 patients with advanced, metastatic or recurrent NSCLC.¹² The preliminary data, presented at the 2011 European Multidisciplinary Cancer Congress, revealed a significant improvement in PFS for patients subjected to maintenance treatment with pemetrexed plus bevacizumab (10.2 m; $P < 0.001$) versus bevacizumab only (6.6 m). EFS in our study was 9.4 months (95% CI: 7.1–11.7),¹² which may be explained by the study design or selection of patients, as mentioned previously.

Conclusions

This study describes the experience of five centers in Portugal with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab treatment administered under Special Use Permits issued by INFARMED to patients with nonsquamous NSCLC, which appears similar to that described earlier in published phase III and IV studies.

In the study population obtained from our daily clinical practice, the addition of bevacizumab to standard chemotherapy did not increase or induce unexpected toxicity and improved survival, which may be attributed to the rigorous selection of patients.

In our opinion, it is important to publish the Portuguese experience with novel drugs for lung cancer, and collaboration between different centers is crucial to achieve this aim.

Future studies should focus on the importance of predictive factors of response, evaluation of the quality of

life, and pharmacoeconomic studies (cost minimization analysis: complete economic assessment with cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness and cost-utility) in this group of patients.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the elements of the services included, without which treatment and follow-up of this group of patients would not have been possible.

Disclosures

António Araújo has an advisory and consultant role at F. Hoffmann-La Roche Lda.; Eli Lilly and Company; AstraZeneca. The other authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

References

1. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;127(12):2893–2917.
2. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al, editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2008, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, 2011 [updated 2010 November, posted 2011]. Available from: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2008/. Accessed August 8, 2011.
3. Pontes L, Silva MA, Matoso F, editors. *Registo Oncológico Nacional 2005 [National Cancer Registry 2005]*. Portugal: Registo Oncológico Regional Centro; 2009.
4. Parente B, Queiroga H, Teixeira E, et al. Estudo epidemiológico do cancro do pulmão em Portugal nos anos de 2000/2002 [Epidemiologic study of lung cancer in Portugal from 2000 to 2002]. *Rev Port Pneumol*. 2007;13(2):255–265.
5. Sotto-Mayor R. Marcos históricos no estudo do cancro do pulmão. *Rev Port Pneumol*. 2006;12(4):401–446.
6. Sandler A, Gray R, Perry M, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355(24):2542–2550.
7. Sandler A. Bevacizumab in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13(15):4613s–4616s.
8. Reck M, von Pawel J, Zatloukal P, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin plus gemcitabine with either placebo or bevacizumab as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAiL. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(8):1227–1234.
9. Reck M, von Pawel J, Zatloukal P, et al. Overall survival with cisplatin-gemcitabine and bevacizumab or placebo as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: results from a randomised phase III trial (AVAiL). *Ann Oncol*. 2010;21(9):1804–1809.
10. Folkman J, Merler E, Abernathy C, Gretchen W. Isolation of a tumor factor responsible for angiogenesis. *J Exp Med*. 1971;133(2):275–288.
11. Ferrara N, Hillan K, Gerber H, Novotny W. Discovery and development of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody for treating cancer. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2004;3(5):391–400.
12. Barlesi F, de Castro J, Dvornichenko V, et al. AVAPERL (MO22089): final efficacy outcomes for patients (pts) with advanced non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (nsNSCLC) randomised to continuation maintenance (mtc) with bevacizumab (bev) or bev+pemetrexed (pem) after first-line (1L) bev-cisplatin (cis)-pem treatment (Tx). Paper presented at: 2011 European Multidisciplinary Cancer Congress; September 23–27, 2011; Stockholm, Sweden.

13. Cohen M, Gootenberg J, Keegan P, Pazdur R. FDA Drug approval summary: bevacizumab (avastin) plus carboplatin and paclitaxel as first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic recurrent nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncologist*. 2007;12(6):713–718.
14. Infarmed. Relatório de avaliação prévia de medicamento para uso em meio hospitalar [Preliminary report on drug use in hospital environment]. Lisbon, Portugal: Autoridade Nacional do Medicamento e Produtos de Saúde I.P.; 2010.
15. Phillips B, Sackett D, Badenoch D, et al. Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine – Levels of Evidence (March 2009). Available from: <http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025>. Accessed August 30, 2011.
16. Crinò L, Dansin E, Garrido P, et al. Safety and efficacy of first-line bevacizumab-based therapy in advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (SAiL, MO19390): a phase 4 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010; 11(8):733–740.

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The journal welcomes original research, clinical & epidemiological

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal>

studies, reviews & evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion & commentary, case reports & extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress