

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

*Microb Ecol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Microb Ecol. 2012 April; 63(3): 532–542. doi:10.1007/s00248-011-9989-2.

# Adherence to *Streptococci* facilitates *Fusobacterium nucleatum* integration into an oral microbial community

Xuesong He<sup>1,#</sup>, Wei Hu<sup>1,3,#</sup>, Christopher W. Kaplan<sup>2</sup>, Lihong Guo<sup>1</sup>, Wenyuan Shi<sup>1,2</sup>, and Renate Lux<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UCLA School of Dentistry, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>2</sup>C3 Jian Inc., Inglewood, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology, School of Life Science, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China

# Abstract

The development of multispecies oral microbial communities involves complex intra- and interspecies interactions at various levels. The ability to adhere to the resident bacteria or the biofilm matrix and overcome community resistance are among the key factors that determine whether a bacterium can integrate into a community. In this study, we focus on community integration of Fusobacterium nucleatum, a prevalent Gram-negative oral bacterial species that is considered an important member of the oral community due to its ability to adhere to Grampositive as well as Gram-negative species. This interaction with a variety of different species is thought to facilitate the establishment of multispecies oral microbial community. However, the majority of experiments thus far has focused on the physical adherence between two species as measured by in vitro co-aggregation assays, while the community-based effects on the integration of *F. nucleatum* into multispecies microbial community remains to be investigated. In this study, we demonstrated using an established in vitro mice oral microbiota (O-mix) that the viability of F. nucleatum was significantly reduced upon addition to the O-mix due to cell contact-dependent induction of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) production by oral community. Interestingly, this inhibitory effect was significantly alleviated when F. nucleatum was allowed to adhere to its known interacting partner species (such as *Streptococcus sanguinis*) prior to addition. Furthermore, this aggregate formation-dependent protection was absent in the F. nucleatum mutant strain  $\Delta Fn1526$  that is unable to bind to a number of Gram-positive species. More importantly, this protective effect was also observed during integration of F. nucleatum into a human salivary microbial community (S-mix). These results support the idea that by adhering to other oral microbes, such as streptococci, F. nucleatum is able to mask the surface components that are recognized by  $H_2O_2$  producing oral community members. This evasion strategy prevents detection by antagonistic oral bacteria and allows integration into the developing oral microbial community.

## Keywords

coaggregation; Fusobacterium nucleatum; microbial flora; oral cavity; community resistance

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. UCLA School of Dentistry, 10833 Le Conte Avenue, CHS 20-114 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1668. Phone: (310) 206-5660. FAX: (310) 794-7109. lux@ucla.edu. #These authors contribute equally to the work.

# Introduction

Several hundred different taxa of bacteria contribute to the complex ecosystem in the oral cavity [1,2,3,4] and form highly structured, multispecies communities that are responsible for two major human oral diseases: caries (tooth decay) and gingivitis/periodontitis (gum diseases) [5,6,7]. The resident bacteria of the oral microbial community carry out a plethora of interactions at various levels to form sophisticated, multispecies biofilm structures, perform physiological functions and induce microbial pathogenesis [8,9,10,11]. The development of these oral microbial communities, especially the sequence of adherence to host tissue by the early bacterial colonizers as well as the subsequent recruitment of intermediate and late colonizers to the already attached microbes through coadhesion have been well investigated [8,10]. However, most of these studies have focused on *in vivo* observation of biofilm formation and its architecture or *in vitro* investigation of one-on-one adherence event [8,10,12,13], while detailed knowledge regarding how a bacterial species can integrate into an existing community remains to be examined.

During the development of highly structured microbial communities, the incoming bacteria must be able to attach to resident members or the extracellular matrix, and more importantly, overcome the invasion resistance in order to integrate into the community [14]. Recently, we demonstrated *in vitro* that the cultivable predominantly Gram-positive oral microbiota of mice (O-mix) developed invasion resistance and responded to the presence of the Gramnegative gut isolate *Escherichia coli* by producing hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). *E. coli* cells are more sensitive to this bactericidal agent than the oral isolates comprising the O-mix thus resulting in selective killing of the "intruder" [15]. Further analysis revealed that the lipopolysaccrides (LPS) of *E. coli* were the main determinant responsible for triggering the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by oral communities [15,16].

The intriguing observation that the oral community has the collective ability to protect itself from Gram-negative species such as E. coli that are not typically part of the normal oral microbiota, naturally raises the question how Gram-negative oral species are able to integrate into the oral biofilm. We chose Fusobacterium nucleatum as a model oral Gramnegative microorganism, since it is ubiquitously found in the oral cavity of humans and other mammals, including mouse and dog [17,18]. While commonly regarded as a subgingival bacterium, F. nucleatum has been frequently isolated from supragingival and saliva samples [19,20,21] which harbor microbial population dominated by Gram-positive species [22,23,24]. F. nucleatum has been suggested to play an important role in biofilm community architecture due to its ability to adhere to a very large variety of different microorganisms [9,10], and detailed molecular studies have revealed the membrane components involved in co-adherence with other oral bacterial species [25]. However, many of the previous studies were performed *in vitro* at the dual species level and little is known about the effects exerted by other bacterial species on F. nucleatum during its integration into oral multispecies communities. Considering that our previous studies revealed LPSinduced hydrogen-peroxide production by Gram-positive oral community members in response to E. coli, another intriguing question is if F. nucleatum as a Gram-negative bacterium carrying LPS on its cell surface, would experience similar resistance when encountering the Gram-positive species dominated O-mix? In this study, using established in vitro systems, we investigated the integration of F. nucleatum into our established model system, the O-mix community that was isolated from mice [15,16], as well as a human saliva-derived microbial community (S-mix).

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Wild type strain *F. nucleatum* 23726 and its mutant derivatives lacking each one of the large fusobacterial outer membrane proteins including Fn0254, Fn0387, Fn1449 (Fap2), Fn1526 (RadD), Fn1554, Fn1893, Fn2047 or Fn2058 (Aim1) [25,26,27], were cultivated in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with hemin (5  $\mu$ g/ml), vitamin K (0.5  $\mu$ g/ml), sucrose (0.1%), mannose (0.1%) and glucose (0.1%), at 37 °C under anaerobic condition (nitrogen 85%, carbon dioxide 5%, hydrogen 10%). Thiamphenicol (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA) at 5 $\mu$ g/mL was used for selection and maintenance of *F. nucleatum* strains possessing the *cat*P determinant. Other oral isolates, including mice oral isolate *Streptococcus sanguinis* OI101and human salivary isolate *S. sanguinis* SI101 were also grown in supplemented BHI medium.

The cultivated mice oral microbiota were recovered from a lab stock that was described in a previous study [16]. Briefly, 50  $\mu$ l of BHI-cultivated O-mix stock was inoculated into 5 ml of supplemented BHI broth. The cultures were incubated at 37°C under anaerobic conditions until the exponential growth phase was reached.

#### Cultivating human saliva-derived microbiota (S-mix)

The preparation and establishment of the BHI cultivable S-mix were performed under UCLA-IRB #09-08-068-02A as previously described [28]. Briefly, saliva samples were collected from 3 healthy subjects, ages 25 to 39 that were not being treated for any systemic disease or taking any prescription or non-prescription medications. Subjects were asked to refrain from any food or drink 2 hr prior to donating saliva and spit 2 ml of saliva directly into the saliva collection tube. Saliva samples were pooled together and centrifuged at 2,600 × g for 10 min to spin down large debris and eukaryotic cells. One ml of this pooled saliva sample was inoculated into 5 ml of BHI medium. The cultures were incubated under anaerobic condition at 37°C until turbid. Frozen stocks of cultured microbiota were prepared by adding glycerol to the samples to a final concentration of 25% and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$  C as the stock of BHI-cultivable S-mix, which was used in current study. Isolation and identification of major bacterial species within the S-mix was performed as previously described [16].

### Co-aggregation of F. nucleatum and S. sanguinis

Co-aggregation assays were performed in modified co-aggregation buffer (CAB) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris/HCl pH 8, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> as previously described [29]. NaN<sub>3</sub> was not included in CAB due to its bactericidal effect. Bacterial cells were collected in mid-exponential phase of growth, washed and re-suspended in reduced CAB to a final  $OD_{600nm}$  of 1. Equal volumes of each bacterial test species were added to the reaction tube, vortex-mixed for 10 sec, and the suspensions were allowed to settle at room temperature under anaerobic condition for 10 min to allow the bacteria to attach to each other.

#### H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production assay

*F. nucleatum* wild type and mutant strains, O-mix and the original mice oral isolate *S. sanguinis*-OI101 were grown to the mid-exponential growth phase, cells were harvested and resuspended in fresh BHI medium to a final  $OD_{600}$  of 1. The O-mix was mixed with different bacterial test strains or *F. nucleatum* co-aggregated with *S. sanguinis* at a 10:1 ratio before being subjected to the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production assay.

The  $H_2O_2$  production assay was performed as previously described [30]. Briefly, spots of 5  $\mu$ l of Horseradish peroxidase (1 mg/ml) (Thermo scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) were added onto a BHI agar plate containing 1 mg/ml leuco crystal violet (Acros Organics, New Jersey, USA). After the liquid was absorbed into the agar, 5  $\mu$ l of the above mixtures were inoculated on top of the peroxidase containing spots. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C under anaerobic condition and then inspected for the development of a purple color in and around the colony.

### Quantitative measurement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration in bacterial culture

The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration in bacterial co-cultures of O-mix or S-mix with *F. nucleatum* (preaggregated with and without *S. sanguinis*) was measured as previously described [31]. Briefly, 100 µl of bacterial co-culture was subjected to centrifugation at 14,000 ×g for 3 min. Twenty µl of supernatant or a 1:2 serial dilution of supernatant with PBS was mixed with 20 µl of horseradish peroxidase (0.5 mg/ml) and 50 µl buffer solution (0.1 mg/ml of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine, 0.05 M phosphate citrate buffer at pH 5.0). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 min, and 100 µl of 2M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to stop the reaction. Color intensity was measured at 420 nm using micro-plate reader.

### H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> susceptibility tests

The MICs (minimal inhibitory concentrations) for  $H_2O_2$  were determined for *F. nucleatum* and major bacterial species within O-mix by the following dilution method: a two-fold dilution series was prepared in BHI for  $H_2O_2$  concentrations between 0 and 5 mM. One hundred µl of each dilution was added into individual wells of a 96 well plate. Exponentially growing cultures of each isolate were harvested, resuspended, diluted to  $10^6$  cfu/ml in fresh BHI medium, and 100 µl were seeded into the wells containing different concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ . Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. MIC was defined as the lowest concentration that inhibited visible growth of bacteria.

#### F. nucleatum cell membrane preparation

The *F. nucleatum* cell membrane was prepared as previously described [25]. Briefly, exponentially growing *F. nucleatum* cells were harvested, the cell pellet was washed twice with PBS and resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM EDTA, and 50 mM NaCl). Cells were passed three times through a French Press at 20,000 psi. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (10,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min). Membranes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 150,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, re-suspended in buffer and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Membrane protein concentrations were determined with the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Pronase treated membrane was prepared by adding pronase (with a final concentration of 1 mg/ml) to the *F. nucleatum* membrane fraction obtained from  $\sim 10^{10}$  cells. The sample was incubated at 37°C for 3 hrs, followed by centrifugation at 150,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in buffer and stored at -80°C for later use.

#### Heat and pronase treatments of F. nucleatum cells

Heat and pronase treatments of *F. nucleatum* cells were performed as previously described [25]. Briefly, exponentially growing *F. nucleatum* cells were harvested, washed two times, resuspended in PBS to an  $OD_{600nm}$  of 1 prior to the following treatments: 1) Heat-treatment: cells were incubated at 90°C for 15 min; 2) Pronase treatment: Pronase was added to the cell suspension to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml, and the sample was incubated at 37°C for 3 hr. After the above treatments, cells were spun down, washed three times and resuspended in fresh PBS to a final  $OD_{600nm}$  of 1.

#### Two-chamber assay

O-mix, *S. sanguinis*, *F. nucleatum* wild type and its mutant derivative lacking *radD* were grown to the exponential growth phase, harvested and resuspended in fresh supplemented BHI medium to  $OD_{600nm}$  of 1. The two-chamber assay was performed as previously described [15]. Briefly, 2 ml of the O-mix was inoculated into the bottom chamber of a 12-well plate containing a 0.4-µm PET membrane insert (Millipore, Billerica, MA), while 0.7 ml of wild-type *F. nucleatum* was added to the top chamber. Alternatively, the O-mix, together with either *F. nucleatum* cell membranes, heat-killed, pronase treated or untreated wild type *F. nucleatum* cells (in a 5:1 O-mix to *F. nucleatum* ratio), or a coaggregated *F. nucleatum* was added to the top chamber. Milling under each condition was monitored by performing viable counts on the wild type *F. nucleatum* in the upper chamber. Specifically, culture samples were taken periodically, vortexed for 1 min, subjected to serial dilution and plated onto selective plates. Plates were incubated for 4 days at 37°C under anaerobic condition before colonies were counted.

#### Statistical Analysis

Significance of differences between average values was analyzed by Student's *t*-tests.

# Results

### O-mix killed F. nucleatum by generating H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> upon physical contact

Since the ability of F. nucleatum to interact with a variety of Gram-negative as well as Gram-positive oral microbes has been demonstrated *in vitro* predominantly on a one-on-one level[10], little is known regarding the mechanisms involved in its integration into an existing oral community. Previous studies have shown that a biofilm community comprised of cultivable mice oral microbial microbiota (O-mix), which is primarily comprised of Gram-positive bacterial species that are closely related to human oral species (Suppl. Table 1) has the ability to prevent community integration of Gram-negative "intruders" such as E. coli [16]. Intrigued by this observation, we employed the same two-chamber assay developed for that study, to investigate the process of F. nucleatum integration into a preexisting oral community. F. nucleatum persisted well when it was physically separated by a membrane from the O-mix cells while sharing the same culture medium (Fig. 1A). However, when the lower chamber contained both O-mix and F. nucleatum cells, a reduction of more than three orders of magnitude in the viable counts was observed for F. nucleatum cells in both chambers at 12 hours (Fig. 1B), and no viable F. nucleatum cells were detected in both chambers after 48 hr incubation (data not shown). This scenario resembled our previous study in which the same O-mix produced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> upon direct contact to inhibit the growth and prevent the integration of an *E. coli* gut isolate [15]. To investigate if the same mechanism was responsible for the reduction in *F. nucleatum* viable counts, we tested H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production of the O-mix in physical contact with F. nucleatum. Similar to E. coli, physical contact with F. nucleatum induced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in the O-mix as indicated by the intense purple color, while O-mix alone didn't produce significant amount of  $H_2O_2$ (Fig. 1C). The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> susceptibility test showed a MIC of 0.15 mM for F. nucleatum, while most of the oral isolates tested exhibited a higher resistance to  $H_2O_2$  up to 1.5 mM (Suppl. Table 2).

# *F. nucleatum* membrane associated protein(s) are involved in triggering $H_2O_2$ production in the O-mix

The results of the two-chamber and  $H_2O_2$  production assays indicated that the O-mix cells generate  $H_2O_2$  upon physical contact with *F. nucleatum* cells. The following experiments

were performed to examine if the triggering component(s) are located on the *F. nucleatum* cell surface and to distinguish if they are surface proteins, exopolysacchrides (EPS) or LPS: heat-killed or pronase-treated *F. nucleatum* cells were added to the lower chamber of the two-chamber setup together with O-mix cells, and the viability of wild type *F. nucleatum* in the upper chamber was monitored periodically. Addition of heat-killed or pronase treated whole cells of *F. nucleatum* did not result in any significant killing of the *F. nucleatum* cells in the upper chamber (Fig. 2), indicating that heat-sensitive protein(s) were the triggering component(s). Untreated *F. nucleatum* cell membrane fractions induced killing to a level similar to whole cells; while pronase treatment greatly reduced this phenomenon (Fig. 2). This was consistent with our hypothesis that the triggering components are likely *F. nucleatum* cell surface proteins. In an initial effort to identify these proteins, we tested the eight *F. nucleatum* mutant strains defective in individual large outer membrane protein that we constructed previously [25]. However, none of them displayed a significant reduction in the ability to elicit killing (Data not shown).

# Adherence of wild type *F. nucleatum* to *S. sanguinis* decreased induction of $H_2O_2$ production by the O-mix

The result in the previous section showed that the O-mix killed wild type F. nucleatum by producing  $H_2O_2$ , which raised an interesting question: how does F. nucleatum manage to integrate and persist in supra-gingival biofilms which are dominated by Gram-positive bacteria? One of the remarkable features of F. nucleatum is its ability to adhere and form aggregates with a number of oral bacteria and thus plays pivotal roles in facilitating the development of oral community [9,10]. We hypothesized that, in addition to facilitating biofilm development, co-adherance with other oral bacteria, such as streptococci, could "mask" the Gram-negative cell surface of F. nucleatum and allow its integration into the Omix. To test this hypothesis, we allowed wild type F. nucleatum to adhere to one of its known partner species, S. sanguinis prior to addition to the O-mix. Formation of aggregates between the two species was confirmed by phase-contrast microscopy (data not shown). The greatly reduced purple color development in the  $H_2O_2$  production assay (Fig. 3A) supported our hypothesis that adherence to S. sanguinis significantly decreased induction of this killing agent in the O-mix by F. nucleatum. This finding was corroborated by two-chamber assay data, since F. nucleatum cells in the upper well displayed better survival when F. nucleatum aggregates with S. sanguinis (Fig. 3B) instead of F. nucleatum cells alone (Fig. 1B) were added to the lower chamber together with the O-mix. Meanwhile, the addition of S. sanguinis alone to the lower chamber together with the O-mix did not induce significant killing of F. nucleatum in the upper chamber (data not shown).

Furthermore, when *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* were included in the O-mix together without being allowed to adhere to each other prior to addition,  $H_2O_2$  was still produced at levels similar to the presence of *F. nucleatum* alone (Fig. 3A, 1C), and the viability of *F. nucleatum* cells in the upper chamber was significantly reduced (about 3 orders of magnitude) within 12 hour of co-cultivation in the two-chamber assay (Fig. 3B).

# The *F. nucleatum* outer membrane protein RadD is required for the protection mediated by its adherence to partner species

RadD, one of the large fusobacterial outer membrane proteins was previously identified as the arginine-inhibitable adhesin that mediates the adherence of *F. nucleatum* to early colonizers, including *S. sanguinis* [25]. To further investigate the protective effect of aggregate formation with *S. sanguinis*, we tested if a *F. nucleatum* mutant strain lacking *radD* would induce  $H_2O_2$  production in the oral microbiota, since cells carrying this mutation are unable to adhere to a number of early colonizing partner species even under coaggregation condition [25]. The *F. nucleatum radD* mutant strain was mixed with *S*.

*sanguinis* in co-aggregation buffer prior to addition to the O-mix.  $H_2O_2$  production was monitored and the levels observed were similar to those measured upon the addition of wild type *F. nucleatum* cells alone (Fig. 3A). These results correlated with the two-chamber assay in which the mixture of the *radD* mutant and *S. sanguinis* treated under co-aggregation conditions prior to addition to the O-mix in the lower chamber resulted in a drastic reduction in viable counts of wild type *F. nucleatum* in the upper chamber (Fig. 3B). Microscopic observation confirmed that the *radD* mutant failed to form aggregates with *S. sanguinis* even upon co-incubation in co-aggregation buffer (data not shown).

### Adherence to S. sanguinis renders F. nuleatum less sensitive to killing by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

*F. nucleatum* adherence to *S. sanguinis* significantly reduced induction of  $H_2O_2$  production in the O-mix (Fig. 3A). Next, we investigated if adherence to its interacting partner *S. sanguinis* can alter the sensitivity of *F. nucleatum* to the killing molecules generated by the O-mix using the two-chamber assay. *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* cells were added separately but at the same time or after aggregate formation to the upper chamber, while *F. nucleatum* alone was mixed with O-mix in the lower chamber to induce  $H_2O_2$  production. Samples in the upper chamber were taken periodically for quantitative measurement of  $H_2O_2$  levels and monitoring of *F. nucleatum* viability. While there was no significant difference in  $H_2O_2$  concentration (~ 0.35 mM) between the two setups (data not shown), adherence of *F. nucleatum* to *S. sanguinis* prior to addition to the upper well resulted in an increased survival rate compared to *F. nucleatum* cells that were not allowed to form aggregates with its partner species (Fig. 4).

# Adherence to *S. sanguinis* resulted in better survival of *F. nucleatum* within a human saliva-derived microbial community (S-mix)

The above data suggested that aggregate formation with partner species such as *S. sanguinis* through adherence enables *F. nucleatum* to evade detection and killing by the O-mix community isolated from mice. To confirm that this mechanism is involved in protecting *F. nucleatum* cells and facilitate their integration into the human oral microbial community, we cultivated an *in vitro* S-mix from human saliva samples. Similar to the O-mix that was used for the majority of experiments performed in this study, the S-mix is mainly comprised of Gram-positive bacteria with a significant overlap in species (Suppl. Table 1) including *Streptococci* and *Lactobacilli* and the presence of *F. nucleatum* triggered a higher level of  $H_2O_2$  production in S-mix as well (Suppl. Table 1).

To further investigate the effect of co-aggregation with its partner on the survival of *F*. *nucleatum* within S-mix, co-aggregation buffer treated *F*. *nucleatum* mixtures with the salivary isolate *S*. *sanguinis* SI-101 were added to the S-mix in a 1:10 ratio. Co-cultures were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration was measured periodically and viability of *F*. *nucleatum* was monitored every 12 hours. Colony counting data revealed that, when co-cultivated with S-mix, *F*. *nucleatum* cells alone suffered a drastic reduction in their viable count. The proportion relative to the total oral bacteria count reduced from around 10% to less than 0.5% within 24 hours of co-cultivation; while no significant change in the total bacterial count was apparent. Meanwhile, the viability decline of *F*. *nucleatum* forming aggregates *with S*. *sanguinis* within S-mix was significantly less compared to that of *F*. *nucleatum* alone with S-mix resulted in a much higher production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by S-mix compared to the co-culture containing aggregates of *F*. *nucleatum* with *S*. *sanguinis* cells (Fig.5B).

# Discussion

The spatiotemporal model proposed that the establishment of the oral community is a highly coordinated and tightly regulated event [9], with adhesion of bacterial cells to each other being one of the crucial factors determining the presence of certain species within the structure of multispecies communities [10]. In addition, successful integration into a community requires that the incoming bacterial species are able to overcome the colonization resistance developed by the existing community [14,15,16,32]. In this study, we investigated community integration of F. nulceatum, a Gram-negative oral bacterial species that performs an important function during microbial community formation through its ability to function as a "bridging" organism connecting the early and late colonizing microorganisms [9]. This role as a bridging organism was recently supported by an *in vivo* study that confirmed the localization of *F. nucleatum* in the middle layer of tooth-attached human plaque samples [13]. In addition to its function in facilitating recruitment of late colonizing species which comprise a number of periodontal pathogens, F. nucleatum likely plays an active role in pathogenesis due to its ability to induce apoptosis in lymphocytes [33]. While these multifaceted tasks within the oral biofilm render F. nucleatum a key community member, the mechanism allowing it to overcome the integration resistance displayed by predominantly Gram-positive oral communities comprised of early colonizing species still remains to be investigated.

Previous studies have shown that the mere ability of a species to attach to members of an existing community is not sufficient to become part of a pre-existing biofilm. To successfully integrate into a community, an incoming bacterial species needs to overcome several "barriers", one of them being the colonization resistance developed by the rest of the community [14]. The colonization resistance could be the competition for colonization sites, or more importantly, the inhibitory effect exerted by other microbes within the community via the production of inhibitory compounds [15,34]. The data presented here revealed that wild type F. nucleatum suffered a great loss in viability upon addition to cultivable mice oral microbial community (O-mix) (Fig. 1B). This reduced survival in the presence of the O-mix was due to contact induced production of  $H_2O_2$ , a mechanism found to be involved in the community resistance against integration of E. coli, a Gram-negative species that was not a commensal oral bacterium [15]. F. nucleatum is more sensitive to this bactericidal agent than the Gram-positive isolates present in the O-mix and therefore being killed at concentrations that do not affect the rest of the community (suppl. Table 2). Further analysis showed that, unlike E. coli which triggered the production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by O-mix via its membrane associated LPS [15], the triggering component(s) of F. nucleatum are likely membrane associated proteins (Fig. 2) which yet remain to be identified.

To facilitate their integration into existing microbiota, bacteria have evolved diverse strategies to overcome community based colonization resistances. For example, instead of competing for abiotic colonization sites, some bacteria adhered to other resident bacteria to achieve the first step in integration [35,36]; in other cases, certain bacterial species neutralized the inhibitory compound, or converted it to substances that are toxic to competing organisms [11,37,38]. Our data indicate that *F. nucleatum* overcomes community resistance by adhering to oral streptococci, a strategy resembling the one employed by many bacterial symbionts or pathogens to evade the detection by host defense systems. For example, as a commensal bacterium of the human gastrointestinal tract, *Bacteroides fragilis* is able to decorate its surface capsule polysaccharides and glycoproteins with L-fucose, an abundant surface molecule of intestinal epithelial cells, thus evading being recognized as "foreign" [39]. Many bacterial pathogens carry complement regulator-binding proteins, such as the factor H-binding protein (fHbp) in *Neisseria meningitides* [40], the outer surface protein E (OspE) in *Borrelia burgdorferi* [41], and the pneumococcal surface protein C

(PspC) in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [42]. Through these proteins, pathogens are able to recruit and "disguise" themselves with host complement regulators, and are recognized by the host as self and protected from complement-mediated killing.

Our study provided an intriguing addition to the strategies used by bacterial species to survive and integrate themselves into a community. Adherence of F. nucleatum to S. sanguinis significantly reduced the induction of  $H_2O_2$  production in the O-mix (Fig. 3), likely by "masking" the triggering surface component(s) as a result of binding between the partner species. This "masking" effect was lost in the F. nucleatum mutant lacking radD which encodes an outer membrane protein mediating the adhesion between F. nucleatum and early colonizers, including S. sanguinis (Fig.3). At the same time, binding to S. sanguinis increased the resistance F. nucleatum to  $H_2O_2$  (Fig.4). It has been shown that adhesion with Actinomyces naeslundii protected Streptococcus gordonii from oxidative damage due to catalase production by A. naeslundii [43]. It is unlikely that a similar mechanism could be responsible for the protective effect we observed in this study since no catalase activity has been reported in S. sanguinis. However, increasing evidence suggests that binding between two oral bacterial species could result in different gene expression pattern which could enhance survival within a multispecies community. For example, Streptococcus gordonii up-regulated several genes when co-aggregated with Actinomyces oris compared with co-culture [44]; while a proteomics analysis of Porphyromonas gingivalis revealed that, when co-aggregated with F. nucleatum and S. gordonii, 403 proteins were down regulated and 89 proteins were up-regulated compared to the cultures of P. gingivalis alone [45]. When adhered to F. nucleatum or S. gordonii, many DNA repairrelated proteins are down regulated in P. gingivalis, while PGN0090, a phoH family protein with proposed function in oxidation protection increased in abundance [45]. Furthermore, a recent microarray analysis comparing planktonic cells of F. nucleatum with those autoaggregated by the presence of saliva showed that nearly 100 genes were differentially regulated after 60 min of aggregation [46]. Auto-aggregation was suggested to activate a transcriptional response that could prepare cells for growing in the high cell density environment of oral biofilms. Collectively, these studied showed that there is a far more complex cellular response after cell-cell contact or aggregate formation. The change in postaggregational gene expression could prepare bacterial species to better cope with the environmental stress experienced within the multispecies communities.

The data obtained from human salivary microbiota (S-mix) study (Fig. 5) further supported our hypothesis that adherence with early colonizer, such as S. sanguinis could facilitate F. nucleatum integration into Gram-positive bacteria dominated supragingival microbial community. Although binding between F. nucleatum and S. sanguinis was achieved under artificial condition in our in vitro systems, the physical association of F. nucleatum and other bacteria, including streptococci in vivo has been well documented [13,47]. Within dental plaque, F. nucleatum is often found in "corncob" formations with Streptococci, a structure that was originally regarded to serve as a connecting link between gram-positive dominated supragingival and gram-negative dominated subgingival plaque [47]. Our study suggested that adherence of F. nucleatum to Streptococci facilitates integration into oral communities that are dominated by gram-positive bacteria (supragingival or initial subgingival communities). Being decorated with streptococci could help F. nucleatum evade detection by oral species which respond to the presence of F. nucleatum alone by the production of  $H_2O_2$ . Adherence to its partner species could also trigger a specific cellular response in F. nucleatum cells and result in increased resistance to environmental stress, such as the exposure to  $H_2O_2$ .

Due to the limitation of culture-dependent methods, the results we obtained using an *in vitro* system can not entirely represent the real situation in the oral cavity. We would expect a far

more complex process during the integration of *F. nucleatum* into the oral community, which might involve extensive bacterial inter-species and bacteria-host interactions. Nevertheless, our results provide important insights into one of the possible mechanisms by which the "bridging" bacterium, *F. nucleatum* integrates into supragingival or initial subgingival communities dominated by Gram-positive species.

# Acknowledgments

The study was supported by US National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants DE020102 and DE021108 to R. Lux and W. Shi.

# References

- 1. Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE. Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43:5721–5732. [PubMed: 16272510]
- 2. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, et al. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. J Bacteriol. 2001; 183:3770–3783. [PubMed: 11371542]
- Paster BJ, Olsen I, Aas JA, Dewhirst FE. The breadth of bacterial diversity in the human periodontal pocket and other oral sites. Periodontology 2000. 2006; 42:80–87. [PubMed: 16930307]
- Dewhirst FE, Chen T, Izard J, Paster BJ, Tanner ACR, et al. The human oral microbiome. J Bacteriol. 2010; 192:5002–5017. [PubMed: 20656903]
- 5. Dahlén G. Role of suspected periodontopathogens in microbiological monitoring of periodontitis. Adv Dent Res. 1993; 7:163–174. [PubMed: 8260004]
- Marsh PD. Microbial ecology of dental plaque and its significance in health and disease. Adv Dent Res. 1994; 8:263–271. [PubMed: 7865085]
- Nishihara T, Koseki T. Microbial etiology of periodontitis. Periodontology 2000. 2004; 36:14–26. [PubMed: 15330940]
- Kolenbrander PE. Oral microbial communities: biofilms, interactions, and genetic systems1. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2000; 54:413–437. [PubMed: 11018133]
- Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Blehert DS, Egland PG, Foster JS, et al. Communication among oral bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2002; 66:486–505. [PubMed: 12209001]
- 10. Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell–cell distance. Nat Rev Micro. 2010; 8:471–480.
- Kuramitsu HK, He X, Lux R, Anderson MH, Shi W. Interspecies interactions within oral microbial communities. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2007; 71:653–670. [PubMed: 18063722]
- 12. Kolenbrander PE, Egland PG, Diaz PI, Palmer RJ Jr. Genome–genome interactions: bacterial communities in initial dental plaque. Trends Microbiol. 2005; 13:11–15. [PubMed: 15639626]
- 13. Zijnge V, van Leeuwen MBM, Degener JE, Abbas F, Thurnheer T, et al. Oral biofilm architecture on natural teeth. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5:e9321. [PubMed: 20195365]
- Vollaard E, Clasener H. Colonization resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1994; 38:409– 414. [PubMed: 8203832]
- 15. He X, Tian Y, Guo L, Lux R, Zusman D, et al. Oral-derived bacterial flora defends its domain by recognizing and killing intruders—a molecular analysis using *Escherichia coli* as a model intestinal bacterium. Microb Ecol. 2010b; 60:655–664. [PubMed: 20625713]
- 16. He X, Tian Y, Guo L, Ano T, Lux R, et al. In vitro communities derived from oral and gut microbial floras inhibit the growth of bacteria of foreign origins. Microb Ecol. 2010a; 60:665–676. [PubMed: 20625712]
- Chun J, Kim K, Lee J-H, Choi Y. The analysis of oral microbial communities of wild-type and toll-like receptor 2-deficient mice using a 454 GS FLX Titanium pyrosequencer. BMC Microbiol. 2010; 10:101. [PubMed: 20370919]
- Elliott DR, Wilson M, Buckley CMF, Spratt DA. Cultivable oral microbiota of domestic dogs. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43:5470–5476. [PubMed: 16272472]
- Citron Diane M. Update on the taxonomy and clinical aspects of the genus Fusobacterium. Clin Infect Dis. 2002; 35:S22–S27. [PubMed: 12173104]

- Guggenheim B, Giertsen E, Schüpbach P, Shapiro S. Validation of an in vitro biofilm model of supragingival plaque. J Dent Res. 2001; 80:363–370. [PubMed: 11269730]
- 21. Hintao J, Teanpaisan R, Chongsuvivatwong V, Ratarasan C, Dahlen G. The microbiological profiles of saliva, supragingival and subgingival plaque and dental caries in adults with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2007; 22:175–181. [PubMed: 17488443]
- 22. Palmer RJJ, Diaz PI, Kolenbrander PE. Biocomplexity in the oral cavity the basics of structure in supragingival bacterial communities. Biofilms. 2004; 1:329–335.
- 23. Zaura E, Keijser B, Huse S, Crielaard W. Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. BMC Microbiol. 2009; 9:259. [PubMed: 20003481]
- Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Patel MR, Song X. Microbial complexes in supragingival plaque. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2008; 23:196–205. [PubMed: 18402605]
- 25. Kaplan CW, Lux R, Haake SK, Shi W. The *Fusobacterium nucleatum* outer membrane protein RadD is an arginine-inhibitable adhesin required for inter-species adherence and the structured architecture of multispecies biofilm. Mole Microbiol. 2009; 71:35–47.
- Kaplan CW, Lux R, Huynh T, Jewett A, Shi W, et al. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* apoptosisinducing outer membrane protein. J Dent Res. 2005; 84:700–704. [PubMed: 16040725]
- Kaplan CW, Ma X, Paranjpe A, Jewett A, Lux R, et al. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* outer membrane proteins Fap2 and RadD induce cell death in human Lymphocytes. Infect Immun. 2010; 78:4773– 4778. [PubMed: 20823215]
- 28. Tian Y, He X, Torralba M, Yooseph S, Nelson KE, et al. Using DGGE profiling to develop a novel culture medium suitable for oral microbial communities. Mol Oral Microbiol. 2010; 25:357–367. [PubMed: 20883224]
- Cisar JO, Kolenbrander PE, McIntire FC. Specificity of coaggregation reactions between human oral streptococci and strains of *Actinomyces viscosus* or *Actinomyces naeslundii*. Infect Immun. 1979; 24:742–752. [PubMed: 468376]
- Kreth J, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F. Competition and Coexistence between Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sanguinis in the Dental Biofilm. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187:7193–7203. [PubMed: 16237003]
- Uehara Y, Kikuchi K, Nakamura T, Nakama H, Agematsu K, et al. H2O2 produced by viridans group Streptococci may contribute to inhibition of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization of oral cavities in newborns. Clin Infec Dis. 2001; 32:1408–1413. [PubMed: 11317240]
- Waaij, Dvd; Berghuis-de Vries, JM.; Lekkerkerk-van der Wees, JEC. Colonization resistance of the digestive tract in conventional and antibiotic-treated mice. J Hyg (Lond). 1971; 69:405–411. [PubMed: 4999450]
- Kaplan CW, Lux R, Huynh T, Jewett A, Shi W, et al. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* Apoptosisinducing outer membrane protein. J Dent Res. 2005; 84:700–704. [PubMed: 16040725]
- 34. Liévin V, Peiffer I, Hudault S, Rochat F, Brassart D, et al. Bifidobacterium strains from resident infant human gastrointestinal microflora exert antimicrobial activity. Gut. 2000; 47:646–652. [PubMed: 11034580]
- Lamont, R.; Jenkinson, H. Adhesion as an ecological determinant in the oral cavity. In: Kuramitsu, KK.; Ellen, RP., editors. Oral bacterial ecology: the molecular basis. Norfolk: Horizon Scientific Press; 2000. p. 131-168.
- Kolenbrander PE, London J. Adhere today, here tomorrow: oral bacterial adherence. J Bacteriol. 1993; 175:3247–3252. [PubMed: 8501028]
- Takahashi N. Acid-neutralizing activity during amino acid fermentation by *Porphyromonas* gingivalis, *Prevotella intermedia* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum*. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2003; 18:109–113. [PubMed: 12654101]
- Tong H, Chen W, Merritt J, Qi F, Shi W, et al. *Streptococcus oligofermentans* inhibits *Streptococcus mutans* through conversion of lactic acid into inhibitory H2O2: a possible counteroffensive strategy for interspecies competition. Mol Microbiol. 2007; 63:872–880. [PubMed: 17302806]

He et al.

- Coyne MJ, Reinap B, Lee MM, Comstock LE. Human symbionts use a host-like pathway for surface fucosylation. Science. 2005; 307:1778–1781. [PubMed: 15774760]
- Pizza M, Donnelly J, Rappuoli R. Factor H-binding protein, a unique meningococcal vaccine antigen. Vaccine. 2008; 26:I46–I48. [PubMed: 19388164]
- Hellwage J, Meri T, Heikkilä T, Alitalo A, Panelius J, et al. The complement regulator factor H binds to the surface protein OspE of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:8427–8435. [PubMed: 11113124]
- Janulczyk R, Iannelli F, Sjöholm AG, Pozzi G, Björck L. Hic, a novel surface protein of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* that interferes with complement function. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:37257–37263. [PubMed: 10967103]
- 43. Jakubovics NS, Gill SR, Vickerman MM, Kolenbrander PE. Role of hydrogen peroxide in competition and cooperation between *Streptococcus gordonii* and Actinomyces naeslundii. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2008; 66:637–644. [PubMed: 18785881]
- 44. Jakubovics NS, Gill SR, Iobst SE, Vickerman MM, Kolenbrander PE. Regulation of gene expression in a mixed-genus community: stabilized arginine biosynthesis in *Streptococcus* gordonii by coaggregation with *Actinomyces naeslundii*. J Bacteriol. 2008; 190:3646–3657. [PubMed: 18359813]
- 45. Kuboniwa M, Hendrickson E, Xia Q, Wang T, Xie H, et al. Proteomics of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* within a model oral microbial community. BMC Microbiol. 2009; 9:98. [PubMed: 19454014]
- 46. Merritt J, Niu G, Okinaga T, Qi F. Autoaggregation response of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75:7725–7733. [PubMed: 19837836]
- Lancy PJ, Dirienzo JM, Appelbaum B, Rosan B, Holt SC. Corncob formation between *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Streptococcus sanguis*. Infect Immun. 1983; 40:303–309. [PubMed: 6131871]

He et al.



#### Figure 1. Contact-dependent killing of F. nucleatum by the O-mix

(A) Two-chamber assay in which *F. nucleatum* (Fn) was inoculated into the upper chamber and physically separated from the O-mix in the lower chamber by a 0.4 μm pore size membrane (as shown in the small diagram). Viability of *F. nucleatum* (open bars) and O-mix (solid bars) was tracked every 12 hours by determining viable counts.
(B) Two-chamber assay in which *F. nucleatum* was added to the upper chamber, while O-mix, together with *F. nucleatum* (at a 10:1 ratio) was inoculated into the lower chamber. Viability was monitored for O-mix and *F. nucleatum* in both chambers (black solid bars represent total viable counts of O-mix, grey bars and open bars represent viable count of *F. nucleatum* in the lower and upper chamber, respectively). Two independent experiments

were performed in three replicates each, and average values  $\pm$  SD are shown. The asterisk indicates that the values of 12 and 24 hour were significantly lower than the one for 0 hour (Student's *t*-test *p* value < 0.05).

(C) *F. nucleatum* triggers the release of  $H_2O_2$  in O-mix cells. O-mix and wild type *F. nucleatum* were spotted individually or mixed together on BHI agar plates containing leuco crystal violet and Horseradish peroxidase, purple color development was monitored after overnight incubation. Three replicates were performed and a representative result is shown.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Page 15

### Figure 2.

Induction of "diffusible killing factor" ( $H_2O_2$ ) by *F. nucleatum* cell fractions. Live wild type *F. nucleatum* (Fn) cells were added to the upper chamber of the two chamber system, while O-mix alone, or O-mix, together with heat-treated, pronase-treated *F. nucleatum* cells, *F. nulceatum* membrane fraction or pronase-treated membranes were inoculated to the lower chamber. Viability of wild type *F. nulceatum* in the upper chamber in each setup (represented by the different bars) was monitored every 12 hours. Two independent experiments were performed in duplicate each, and average values  $\pm$  SD are shown. The asterisk indicates significant differences between two values (Student's *t*-test *p* value < 0.05).

He et al.



### Figure 3.

Induction of  $H_2O_2$  production by *F. nucleatum* cells with and without adherence to partner species. (A)  $H_2O_2$  production assay: O-mix samples mixed with either *S. sanguinis* (*Ss*), *F. nucleatum* (*Fn*) plus *S. sanguinis* (separately (+), after aggregate formation (/)), or the mutant derivative of *F. nucleatum* lacking RadD (*radD*) coincubated with *S. sanguinis* under coaggregation conditions (/) were spotted on to BHI agar plate containing leuco crystal violet and Horseradish peroxidase. Purple color development was monitored after overnight incubation. Three replicate experiments were performed and a representative result is shown.

(B) Two-chamber assay in which wild type *F. nucleatum* (Fn) was inoculated into the upper chamber, while O-mix alone, together with wild type *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* (Ss) (separately or after aggregate formation), or the *F. nulceatum radD* mutant and *S. sanguinis* (separately or after incubation under aggregation conditions) was inoculated into the lower chamber. Viability of wild type *F. nucleatum* in the upper chamber of the different setups was monitored. Two independent experiments were performed in three replicates each, and average values  $\pm$  SD are shown. '/' indicates two species were treated with co-aggregation buffer prior to addition; '+' indicates significant differences between two values (Student's *t*-test *p* value < 0.05).



#### Figure 4.

Survival of *F. nucleatum* in aggregates with *S. sanguinis* and non-aggregated cells. The two chamber assay in which aggregated (*Fn/Ss*) and non-aggregated (*Fn+Ss*) *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* cells were added to the upper chamber, while *F. nucleatum* cells alone were co-cultured with O-mix in the lower chamber. Samples in the upper chamber were taken periodically to monitor *F. nucleatum* viability. Two independent experiments were performed in three replicates each, and average values  $\pm$  SD are shown. The asterisk indicates significant differences between two values (Student's *T*-test *P* value < 0.05).

He et al.



#### Figure 5.

Survival of *F. nucleatum* aggregated and non-aggregated with *S. sanguinis* within S-mix. A: co-aggregation buffer treated (*Fn/Ss*) and non-treated (*Fn+Ss*) *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* SI-101 mixture were co-cultivated with S-mix in a 1:10 ratio in cell numbers. The viability of *F. nucleatum* (*Fn*) and S-mix was monitored every 12 hours. The data were expressed as percentage of viable count of *F. nucleatum* to the total viable count of S-mix. B: Quantitative measurement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production. The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration within the co-cultures was monitored every 12 hours. Two independent experiments were performed in three replicates each and average values  $\pm$  SD are shown. The asterisk indicates significant differences between two values (Student's *T*-test *P* value < 0.05).