

MupB, a New High-Level Mupirocin Resistance Mechanism in *Staphylococcus aureus*

Christine Seah,^a David C. Alexander,^{a,b} Lisa Louie,^c Andrew Simor,^{b,c} Donald E. Low,^{a,b,d} Jean Longtin,^{a,e} and Roberto G. Melano^{a,b,d}

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion, Public Health Laboratory, Toronto,^a Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto,^b Department of Microbiology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto,^c Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto,^d and Laval University Infectious Diseases Research Center, Quebec City,^e Canada

Mupirocin is a topical antibiotic used for the treatment of skin infections and the eradication of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carriage. It inhibits bacterial protein synthesis by interfering with isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase activity. High-level mupirocin resistance (MIC of \geq 512 µg/ml) is mediated by the expression of *mupA* (*ileS2*), which encodes an alternate isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase. In this study, we describe high-level mupirocin resistance mediated by a novel locus, *mupB*. The *mupB* gene (3,102 bp) shares 65.5% sequence identity with *mupA* but only 45.5% identity with *ileS*. The deduced MupB protein shares 58.1% identity (72.3% similarity) and 25.4% identity (41.8% similarity) with MupA and IleS, respectively. Despite this limited homology, MupB contains conserved motifs found in class I tRNA synthetases. Attempts to transfer high-level mupirocin resistance via conjugation or transformation (using plasmid extracts from an *mupB*-containing strain) were unsuccessful. However, by cloning the *mupB* gene into a shuttle vector, it was possible to transfer the resistance. Further studies need to be done to determine the prevalence of *mupB* and to understand risk factors and outcomes associated with resistance mediated by this gene.

upirocin (pseudomonic acid A), a polyketide antibiotic naturally produced by Pseudomonas fluorescens strain NCIMB 10586, is used topically for the treatment of skin infections, prevention of surgical site infections, and eradication of Staphylococcus aureus carriage. It also exhibits in vitro activity against some Gram-negative organisms, such as Haemophilus and Neisseria spp. (21). The epoxide side chain of mupirocin is structurally similar to that of isoleucine and can bind to the isoleucine-specific binding pocket of isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase, an enzyme that normally promotes the conversion of isoleucine and tRNA to isoleucyl-tRNA. Mupirocin-mediated inhibition of isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase impedes protein and RNA synthesis, ultimately leading to bacterial death (10). The prevalence of mupirocin-resistant S. aureus, particularly among methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) strains, is increasing (6, 14, 19). Three categories of mupirocin susceptibility have been described for S. aureus (8, 17). S. aureus is considered mupirocin susceptible at a MIC of $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$. MICs of 8 to 64 μ g/ml refer to low-level resistance and are usually due to nonsynonymous changes in the native isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase gene, *ileS*. Isolates with a MIC of 128 or 256 μ g/ml are uncommon and are also considered to demonstrate low-level resistance (17, 19). A MIC of \geq 512 µg/ml reflects high-level mupirocin resistance and is mediated by the acquisition of a conjugative plasmid containing mupA (ileS2), which encodes an alternate isoleucyltRNA synthetase (11, 23). To our knowledge, there is no other reported mechanism of high-level resistance. Here we report the analysis of isolates that demonstrate high-level mupirocin resistance (MIC of \geq 1,024 µg/ml) but are mupA negative by PCR, and we describe a novel gene, mupB, which confers resistance to mupirocin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. The three MRSA strains (designated MUP87, MUP88, and MUP91) included in this study were recovered during surveillance conducted by the Canadian Nosocomial Infection

Surveillance Program in 2004 (19, 20). All were highly resistant to mupirocin (MICs of >1,024 μ g/ml) but were negative for the *mupA* gene by PCR (the primers used are described in Table 1). These isolates were from three different patients at the same hospital. Unfortunately, limited clinical information associated with these three isolates (such as prior exposure to mupirocin or other antimicrobials) was available. GP135, a highly mupirocin-resistant strain (MIC, $>1,024 \mu g/ml$), was used as a control in PCR assays. The presence of the mupA gene in strain GP135 was confirmed by amplification and sequencing of the entire gene. Mupirocinsusceptible strains NRS1 (tetracycline and gentamicin resistant) and NRS100 (tetracycline resistant) were used as acceptor strains in conjugative assays. Commercial chemically competent Escherichia coli Top10 (Life Technologies), S. aureus strain NRS144, and the pCN48 shuttle vector (2) were used for mupB cloning assays. NRS144 competent cells were also used for transformation assays with plasmids extracted from strains GP135 and MUP87.

Susceptibility testing and molecular typing. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed by Etest according to the manufacturer's recommendations (AB bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) and interpreted based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints (4). The genetic relatedness of strains MUP87, MUP88, and MUP91 was assessed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) of SmaI-digested genomic DNA under previously described conditions (16). DNA profiles were analyzed with BioNumerics software (ver. 3.0; Applied Maths, Austin, TX).

PCR assays and sequencing. Initial analysis of strain MUP87 targeted the *ileS* and *mupA* genes, encoding the native and acquired isoleucyl-tRNA synthetases, respectively. Primers for amplification and sequencing

Received 18 July 2011 Returned for modification 27 September 2011 Accepted 7 January 2012

Published ahead of print 17 January 2012

Address correspondence to Roberto G. Melano, roberto.melano@oahpp.ca. Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.05325-11

Target	Primer	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Amplicon size (bp)	$T_a (^{\circ}\mathrm{C})^a$	Reference
ileS	Smr1	ATAAAGGTAAAAAGCCAGTTTATTGGT	360	55	23
	ileS-R3	CAACATACTCCAATTCCTTAC			This work
	Mrm1	TCCCAGCAGATATGTATTTAGAAGGT	797	55	23
	ileS-R2	TAATGCACGGTTCACATCATC			This work
тирА	HWmupA-F	ATAAGTGATACTCTAGGAGGC	447	53	This work
	HWmupA-R	AGTCCATGTCAACCCAGTATCC			This work
	$mupA-F^b$	TATATTATGCGATGGAAGGTTGG	457	53	1
	$mupA-R^b$	AATAAAATCAGCTGGAAAGTGTTG			This work
тирВ	mupB-F ^b	CTAGAAGTCGATTTTGGAGTAG	674	55	This work
	$mupB-R^{b}$	AGTGTCTAAAATGATAAGACGATC			This work
	mupBF-BamHI ^c	GGAAGATGGATCCATTTGGACTTAATAAAAAAGGTC	3,643	53	This work
	mupBR-KpnI ^c	TAATTTT <u>GGTACC</u> TTAATTTGTAAAGCTAGACATTAAC			This work
blaZ	blaZ-F	AACTTATTGAGGCTTCAATGAC	353	55	This work
	blaZ-R	TGCTTGACCACTTTTATCAGC			This work

TABLE 1 Primers used in this study

^a T_a, PCR annealing temperature.

^b Internal primer for *mupA* and *mupB* detection.

^c Primer for *mupB* cloning (restriction site is underlined).

of the *ileS* gene (Table 1) targeted regions where point mutations conferring mupirocin resistance had been described previously (1, 9, 25). DNA sequencing was performed with BigDye Terminator methodology and a model 3130XL DNA sequence analyzer (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed with the Vector NTI analysis software package (version 10.3.0; Life Technologies Corp.). Sequence comparisons were performed with the BLAST program, available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/). Multiple-sequence alignments were performed with the ClustalW2 program, available at the European Bioinformatics Institute website (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa /clustalw2).

Conjugative assays. Overnight cultures of donor and recipient strains were mixed in 500 μ l Mueller-Hinton broth (Oxoid) at different donor/ recipient ratios (1:1, 1:5, and 1:10). The mixtures were incubated on Mueller-Hinton agar plates (Oxoid) without antibiotic pressure. After 18 h of incubation at 36°C, the cells were collected in 100 μ l of Mueller-Hinton broth. Tenfold serial dilutions were plated on selective Mueller-Hinton agar plates supplemented with mupirocin (4 μ g/ml) plus tetracycline (4 μ g/ml) and/or gentamicin (4 μ g/ml), depending on the acceptor cell used, and subsequently incubated at 36°C for 24 h for selection of transconjugants.

Plasmid extraction and transformation. Plasmids from S. aureus GP135 (harboring the plasmid-mediated mupA gene) and MUP87 (mupB positive) were extracted using a Qiagen plasmid maxiprep kit, with a few modifications. Briefly, 100 µl of 2 mg/ml lysostaphin (Sigma) was added to the resuspended cell pellet and incubated for 1 h at 37°C before adding the lysis buffer. Undigested and digested (HindIII; New England BioLabs) plasmids were analyzed by electrophoresis on 0.7% agarose gels (Trisacetate buffer) and transferred to a nylon membrane to determine the plasmid location of the mupB gene by Southern hybridization analysis. Specific digoxigenin-labeled mupB, mupA, and blaZ probes, generated by PCR (Table 1), and the hybridization conditions were based on the manufacturer's recommendations (Roche Diagnostics). For transformation assays, NRS144 competent cells were prepared (12) and transformed by electroporation using plasmid extracts from strains GP135 and MUP87. Mueller-Hinton agar plates supplemented with mupirocin (4 μ g/ml) were used to select potential transformants.

Whole-genome sequencing. For identification of the genetic determinant of mupirocin resistance and its genetic background, whole-genome sequencing of *S. aureus* MUP87 was performed. Genomic DNA was isolated by using a QIAamp DNA miniprep kit (Qiagen), with pretreatment of the strain with 2 mg/ml lysostaphin prior to lysis. Whole-genome sequencing of *S. aureus* MUP87 was performed with a Roche GS-FLX pyrosequencer using Titanium chemistry (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, Quebec, Canada) (15). *De novo* assembly of sequencing data was performed with gsAssembler (Roche). Mauve was used to compare these contigs with previously published *S. aureus* reference genomes (5) and thus to identify genomic and plasmid sequences present only in the MUP87 strain.

Cloning of the *mupB* gene. High-quality genomic DNA was used for amplification of the *mupB* gene by use of Elongase enzyme (Life Technologies) and primers containing BamHI and KpnI restriction sites (Table 1). PCR was performed under the following conditions: 94°C for 1 min and 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 4 min. Plasmid pCN48, extracted with a Plasmid Midi kit (Qiagen), and *mupB* amplicons were digested with BamHI and KpnI (New England BioLabs), ligated, and transformed into *E. coli* Top10 competent cells. Transformed cells were selected with 50 μ g/ml carbenicillin. Recombinant plasmids (pCN*mupB*) were extracted and sequenced to confirm insert integrity. *S. aureus* NRS144 competent cells were transformed with pCN-*mupB* by electroporation (Gene Pulser Xcell; Bio-Rad), and transformants were selected with 4 μ g/ml mupirocin.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide sequence of the *mupB* gene has been assigned GenBank accession number JQ231224.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and PFGE typing. Strains MUP87, MUP88, and MUP91 displayed identical susceptibility profiles, with the following MICs: erythromycin, $\geq 256 \ \mu g/ml$; clindamycin, $\geq 256 \ \mu g/ml$; tetracycline, 0.125 $\ \mu g/ml$; gentamicin, 0.38 $\ \mu g/ml$; chloramphenicol, 4 $\ \mu g/ml$; ciprofloxacin, $\geq 32 \ \mu g/ml$; linezolid, 0.75 $\ \mu g/ml$; vancomycin, 2 $\ \mu g/ml$; trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, 0.047 $\ \mu g/ml$; mupirocin, $\geq 1,024 \ \mu g/ml$; and oxacillin, $\geq 256 \ \mu g/ml$. The 3 strains had identical banding patterns and were characterized as CMRSA-2 (U.S. pulsotype USA100, sequence type 5) strains by PFGE (3). Based on these phenotypic and genotypic results, we chose one strain (MUP87) for additional molecular studies. Analysis of the MUP87 genome sequence indi-

RBS

atatattgaggacacct**ttgaaa**aaatacgcaacttagaa<mark>attaat</mark>atgactcaaaaattaattaattatctgga**aaggaag**atctgatt LENENIIEEQKILNFWKEENIFKKSIDNRK $\mathbf{TTG}_{\mathsf{G}} \mathsf{AAAAACGAGAATATAATAGAAGAACAAAAAATCTTAAATTTTTTGGAAAGAAGAAAACATTTTCAAAAAAGAGTATTGATAATAGAAAA$ N D N P F V F Y D G P P T A N G L P **H T G H** V L G R V TKD AATGATAATCCATTTGTTTTTTACGATGGTCCTCCAACTGCCAATGGCTTACCACATACAGGTCACGTGTTAGGAAGAGTAATAAAAGAT LFARYKTMQGFYVERKA**GWD**THGLPVELGV E K K L G I K D K N E I E K Y G I E K F I N E C K N S V F GAAAAAAAACTTGGAATTAAGGATAAAAATGAAATAGAAAAATATGGAATAGAAAAATTTATAAATGAATGTAAAAATAGTGTGTTTATG Y E K Q W R E F S E L I G Y W V D M E K P Y K T M D N T Y I TATGAAAAACAGTGGAGAGAATTTAGTGAACTAATCGGATATTGGGTAGATATGGAAAAACCGTACAAAAACAATGGATAATACGTATATA E S I W Y I L S D F H K K G L L Y K G H K V T P Y C P S CGAATCAATCTGGTATATATTGTCTGACTTTCATAAAAAAGGTCTTTTATACAAAGGGCATAAAGTTACCCCGTATTGTCCAAGCTGTGAA T S L S S H E V A O G Y K E V K D I S V I L K F P I L D S D ACTTCTTTAAGTTCTCATGAAGTAGCTCAGGGATATAAGGAAGTAAAAGATATCTCTGTAATCTTAAAATTTCCGATTTTAGACAGTGAT E N F L V W T T T P W S L P G N I A L A I N A E E I Y V K V GAGAATTTCTTAGTTTGGACGACAACTCCATGGAGCTTACCAGGTAATATAGCTTTAGCCATAAATGCTGAAGAAATATATGTTAAAGTT NYDNEIFIIMESLLOSVFKDEDNIDIVSKH K G K E F V G K E Y L A P F P N K S L M N N E N S Y K V L P AAAGGAAAAGAATTTGTAGGAAAAGAATACCTCGCTCCTTACCCTAACAAGTCTCTTATGAACAATGAAAACTCATATAAAGTTTTACCT A D F V T N K D G T G I V H I A P A Y G E D D Y K L V Q E N GCTGATTTTGTTACAAATAAAGATGGTACGGGTATCGTCCATATTGCTCCGGCTTATGGGGAAGATGATTACAAATTAGTTCAAGAAAAT N I P F I N V I D S R G K Y N Q D S P I F K G E L A K E S D TNTTKELTHLNLFKKEKYEHSYPFCWRCD ATTAACATTATTAAAGAACTTACACATTTAAATCTACTTTTCAAAAAAGAAAAATATGAACATAGCTATCCTTTTTGTTGGAGATGTGAT N P L I Y Y A M E G W F I K T T A Y K N E I K E N N O K I E AATCCATTAATCTATTATGCAATGGAAGGTTGGTTTATAAAAACAACGGCTTATAAAAATGAAATAAAGGAAAACAATCAAAAAATAGAA WYPDHIKNGRFGNFLDNMIDWNIGRKRYWG TGGTATCCAGACCATATTAAAAATGGAAGGTTTGGAAATTTCTTAGATAATATGATTGGTAGAAAATGGTAGAAAAAGATATTGGGGC WKCS TCSHEFS Ρ K S Ι N D L I QHS D L N ACTCCACTAAATATATGGAAATGCTCCACGTGTTCCCCATGAGTTTTCACCTAAAAGCATAAATGATCTAATACAACATTCCATTGAAGAT I P S D I E L H R P Y I D N V K C K C Q N C G G D M C R E E ATTCCTTCTGATATAGAATTACATCGACCTTATATAGATAATGTGAAATGTAAATGTCAAAATTGTGGTGGTGGCACATGTGTAGAGAAGAA E V I D V W F D S G S M P F A O N H Y P F S G P I O N S Y P GAAGTCATTGATGTATGGTTTGATAGTGGATCGATGCCTTTTGCACAAAATCACTATCCATTTAGTGGTCCCATTCAAAACTCATACCCA A D F I A E G V D O T R G W F Y S L L V I S T I F K G E A P ${\tt GCTGACTTTATAGCTGAAGGAGTTGATCAAACTAGAGGGTGGTTTTATAGCTTATTGGTGATTTCAACAATTTTCAAAGGGGAAGCACCT$ Y K N A L S L G H I L D S N G Q **K M S K S** K G N V I D P I S TATAAAAACGCATTGTCATTAGGACATATATTAGATTCCAATGGACAAAAAATGTCGAAAAGTAAAGGGAATGTTATAGATCCTATATCA M I K T Y G A D S L R W T L V S D S V P W T N K R F S E N M ATGATAAAAACTTATGGCGCTGATTCTTTAAGATGGACATTAGTTTCTGACAGCGTTCCTTGGACTAACAAAAGGTTTTCAGAAAATATG V A O S K S R V I D T L K N I F N F Y N M Y O K I D N Y D GTGGCACAATCAAAATCGAGAGTAATTGATACTTTAAAAAATATATTTTAACTTCTATAATATGTATCAAAAAATTGATAATTATGACTAT T R D T P K Q L N L L D N **W A** I S R M N S V I K E V E L H L E K Y N P T N A S R A I G E F I N E I S N W Y I R R S R S R GAAAAATATAACCCTACAAATGCATCAAGAGCTATTGGGGAGTTTATCAATGAAATAAGTAATTGGTATATTAGAAGATCTAGAAGTCGA FWSSEMNEDKKSAYFTLRLILINTCKIIAP TTTTGGAGTAGTGAAATGAATGAGGATAAAAAAGAGTGCGTATTTTACTCTTAGACTTATTTTGATTAATACTTGTAAAATAATAGCTCCT F T P F T S E E I H L N L T K K S V H L E D F P O A K E E Y TTCACCCCATTTACTAGCGAGGAAATACATCTTAAATCTCACCAAAAAAAGTGTACACTTAGAAGATTTCCCTCAAGCCAAGGAAGAATAT INLKLEEDMNKVLDIVEKS RSIRNNINIKT ATAAATTTTAAAACTAGAAGAAGATATGAATAAAGTTTTAGATATTGTCGAAAAATCTAGAAGCATAAGAAATAACATAAACATCAAAACA K Q P L S N M Y I Y D N N N L D N E F L R K Y K D I I K D AAACAACCACTTTCAAACATGTATATATATGACAATAATAATCTTGATAATGAATTTCTAAGAAAATACAAAGACATCATTAAAGATGAA I N V K K I N I V S D L D N F L E Y D V K P N F S T L G P K ATAAATGTTAAAAAGATAAATATTGTTTCTGATTTAGACAATTTTTTAGAATATGATGTAAAACCCGAACTTTTCAACTTTAGGCCCTAAA L G K D M K O F O I L F K N I K K E E M N K L I N D F D K L TTAGGAAAAGATATGAAACAATTCCAAATTTTATTTAAAAAATATTTAAAAAAGAAGAAATGAATAAACTAATCAATGATTTCGATAAACTT O K V F D S L G V T T E E K D F T T S K T P K K G F S L S S CAAAAAGTTTTTGACTCTTTAGGTGTAACAATTGAGGAAAAGGATTTTATTATTAGTAAAATACCTAAAAAGGGATTCTCTCTTTCAAGC N D S D R L I L D T N L T Q E L I R E G F V R E L I R V I O L R K O O N F N I E E R I N V V I D I D S D G L L S 0 K CAACAACTAAGAAAACAACAGAACTTTAATATTGAAGAACGTATAAATGTAGTAATAGACATAGATTCCGATGGTTTACTATCAATTAAA N N I N I L K E N V L I N N L K F E K R E T M K Y F K I N Q AATAATATCAATATATTGAAAGAAAATGTACTAATTAATCATAAATTTGAGAAAAGAGAAACTATGAAAATATTTTAAAATTAATCAG KEIGIQLMSSFTN*

AAAGAAATTGGTATTCAGTTAATGTCTAGCTTTACAAAT**TAA**

FIG 1 Nucleotide sequence of the *mupB* gene and its promoter region. Start and stop codons are indicated in bold, and protein translation is reported above the DNA sequence. A putative promoter region is indicated, including the -35 box (TTGAAA), the -10 box (ATTAAT), and a ribosome binding site (RBS) (AAGGAAG). Conserved motifs found in class I tRNA synthetases are highlighted in gray.



FIG 2 Southern blot analysis. (A) Plasmids extracted from strains MUP87 (*mupB* positive) and GP135 (*mupA* positive) and digested with HindIII (lanes 1 and 3) or left undigested (lanes 2 and 4). Autoradiograms of gel A hybridized with *mupB* (B), *mupA* (C), and *blaZ* (D) probes are shown. Arrows indicate positive bands for the different probes. Lane λ -H, λ phage-HindIII molecular size marker (in kb).

cates that this strain carries staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type II (SCC*mec* II).

mupA detection and identification of missense mutations in the *ileS* gene. High-level mupirocin resistance is traditionally associated with acquisition of mupA. However, there is not a perfect correlation, and isolates that are mupA positive by PCR but mupirocin susceptible in vitro have been reported. This discordance is attributed to frameshift mutations that inactivate the MupA product (7). Also, mupA-positive isolates with low-level mupirocin resistance have been identified. In these isolates, the *mupA* gene was located on the chromosome rather than on a plasmid (18). However, the phenomenon described here, high-level resistance in the absence of *mupA*, has not been reported previously. To eliminate possible primer mismatch or other technical difficulties associated with PCR-based detection of *mupA*, we tested two pairs of primers targeting two different regions of the mupA gene (Table 1). However, all PCR attempts with strain MUP87 were negative. We also amplified and sequenced 2 fragments of the chromosomal ileS gene to identify point mutations previously associated with mupirocin resistance (1, 9, 25). Missense mutations (A637G/ N213K and A741T/E285K) were identified by this approach, but none was linked to mupirocin resistance.

Characterization of the *mupB* gene. MUP87-specific contigs were identified by comparing the draft genome against MRSA reference sequences. Analysis and preliminary annotation of MUP87-specific sequences identified an ~19-kb contig harboring an open reading frame (ORF) of 3,102 bp with 65.5% identity to *mupA* genes (GenBank accession numbers X75439 and GU237136). Only 45.5% identity with *ileS* (GenBank accession number X74219) was observed. The deduced protein shared 58.1% identity (72.3% similarity) and 25.4% identity (41.8% similarity) with MupA and IleS, respectively. We named the new gene *mupB* (*ileS3*). A putative promoter region was identified 67 nucleotides upstream of the start codon: the -35 box (TTGAAA) was separated from the -10 box (ATTAAT) by a 17-bp spacer, and both boxes matched with the consensus sequences TTGACA and TATAAT, respectively (24). A ribosome binding site (AAGGAAG) was found 8 bp upstream of the TTG start codon (Fig. 1). A stem-loop structure described after the stop codon in the *mupA* gene (GenBank accession number X75439) was not found downstream of *mupB*. The deduced MupB enzyme contained conserved motifs found in class I tRNA synthetases and required for ATP hydrolysis (HXGH and KMSKS), as well as the GWD motif, involved in amino acid activation and conserved between isoleucyl-tRNA synthetases (Fig. 1) (11). Sequence comparison of *mupB*-flanking regions with other known prokaryotic ORFs revealed no significant homology (GenBank; December 2011). Overall, this \sim 19-kb contig had a 28.4% GC content (for *mupB*, 29%), compared to \sim 33% for *S. aureus* USA300 (http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/).

Mupirocin resistance gene location. Southern hybridization assays using *blaZ* (encoding a β -lactamase and commonly found on plasmids from S. aureus), mupA, and mupB probes against plasmid extracts (HindIII digested and undigested) from strains GP135 $(mupA^+)$ and MUP87 $(mupB^+)$ seemed to be consistent with a plasmid location of *mupB* (Fig. 2). Cross-reactivity between the *mupA* and *mupB* probes was not observed. To analyze the potential horizontal mobility of the mupB gene, the MUP87 isolate was mated with 2 different mupirocin-susceptible S. aureus acceptors. After several attempts, no transconjugants were obtained, suggesting that the gene was carried on a nonconjugative plasmid. Despite multiple attempts using different concentrations of plasmid extract and different batches of competent cells, we were unable to transfer the mupirocin resistance mediated by mupB from strain MUP87 to strain NRS144. In contrast, in control experiments with mupA-containing extracts, mupA transformants were obtained, demonstrating the competency of strain NRS144. Failure to transfer *mupB* may have been due to some idiosyncrasy of the plasmid carrying the *mupB* gene. We presume that maintenance of the *mupB* plasmid is dependent on a specific host factor(s) found in MUP87 but missing in NRS144, affecting plasmid replication in the recipient host after transformation. To confirm this, genetic characterization of strain MUP87, including its whole-genome annotation, is ongoing.

High-level mupirocin resistance is mediated by *mupB. S. aureus* NRS144 was transformed with the recombinant plasmid pCN-*mupB*, carrying *mupB* plus a 541-bp sequence upstream of the gene (BamHI/KpnI insert). *S. aureus* NRS144 is a susceptible strain selected for transformability with DNA from *E. coli* (13). The mupirocin MIC of this strain is <0.064 µg/ml. After transformation, the strain (positive for *mupB* by PCR) developed highlevel resistance to mupirocin (\geq 1,024 µg/ml). These results confirmed that *mupB* was the genetic determinant conferring the resistance phenotype.

We designed primers for the specific detection of the *mupB* gene (Table 1). We tested them against strains MUP87, MUP88, and MUP91 (the 3 clinical MRSA strains highly resistant to mupirocin), strain GP135 (*mupA* positive), and strain NRS144 (*mupA* and *mupB* negative). Amplicons were obtained only with strains MUP87, MUP88, and MUP91, confirming the same mechanism of mupirocin resistance in these 3 clinical isolates.

In summary, we have identified *mupB*, a new determinant of high-level resistance to mupirocin. The predicted protein exhibits low identity with the previously described MupA and IleS proteins (58.1% and 25.4% identity, respectively) but exhibits motifs common to other isoleucyl-tRNA synthetases. The origin of mupB has not been determined, and sequences flanking the resistance gene do not exhibit homology with any GenBank entries. Southern hybridization results strongly suggest that *mupB* is located on a presumably nonconjugative plasmid. An epidemiological study of mupirocin-resistant MRSA isolates revealed that the rate of highlevel mupirocin resistance increased in Canadian hospitals, from 1.6% in 1995 to 1999 to 7% in 2000 to 2004 (19). In that study, all isolates with mupirocin MICs of $>512 \mu g/ml$ were mupA positive. These data suggest that the emergence of *mupB* may have been recent. Recent publications have suggested the presence of non*mupA*-mediated high-level mupirocin resistance (17, 22). The identification of *mupB* would explain those observations. Further studies need to be performed to determine the prevalence of mupB and to understand risk factors and outcomes associated with this novel resistance gene.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to A. McGeer for supplying the clinical strains included in this study. We are grateful to N. Tijet, J. Ma, and K. Warren (Public Health Ontario Laboratories, Toronto, Canada) for their technical support. We acknowledge the Network on Antimicrobial Resistance in *S. aureus* (NARSA) for supplying strains NRS1, NRS100, and NRS144.

REFERENCES

- Antonio M, Mcferran N, Pallen MJ. 2002. Mutations affecting the Rossman fold of isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase are correlated with low-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46:438–442.
- 2. Charpentier E, et al. 2004. Novel cassette-based shuttle vector system for Gram-positive bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**:6076–6085.
- Christianson S, Golding GR, Campbell J, Mulvey MR. 2007. Comparative genomics of Canadian epidemic lineages of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1904–1911.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2011. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 21st informational supplement. M100-S21. CLSI, Wayne, PA.

- Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss, and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147.
- Deshpande LM, Fix AM, Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Antimicrobial Surveillance Program Participants Group SENTRY. 2002. Emerging elevated mupirocin resistance rates among staphylococcal isolates in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2000): correlations of results from disk diffusion, Etest and reference dilution methods. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 42:283–290.
- Driscoll DG, Young CL, Ochsner U. 2007. Transient loss of high-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* due to MupA polymorphism. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51:2247–2248.
- 8. Eltringham I. 1997. Mupirocin resistance and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). J. Hosp. Infect. 35:1–8.
- Fujimura S, Tokue Y, Watanabe A. 2003. Isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase mutations in *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates and *in vitro* selection of low-level mupirocin-resistant strains. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:3373–3374.
- Gurney R, Thomas CM. 2011. Mupirocin: biosynthesis, special features and applications of an antibiotic from a Gram-negative bacterium. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 90:11–21.
- Hodgson JE, et al. 1994. Molecular characterization of the gene encoding high-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* J2870. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38:1205–1208.
- 12. Kraemer GR, Iandolo JJ. 1990. High-frequency transformation of *Staphylococcus aureus* by electroporation. Curr. Microbiol. 21:373–376.
- Kreiswirth BN, et al. 1983. The toxic shock syndrome exotoxin structural gene is not detectably transmitted by a prophage. Nature 305:709–712.
- Liu Q-Z, et al. 2010. Prevalence of clinical meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) with high-level mupirocin resistance in Shanghai and Wenzhou, China. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 35:114–118.
- Margulies M, et al. 2005. Genome sequencing in microfabricated highdensity picolitre reactors. Nature 437:326–327.
- Mulvey MR, et al. 2001. Development of a Canadian standardized protocol for subtyping methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:3481–3485.
- Patel JB, Gorwitz RJ, Jernigan JA. 2009. Mupirocin resistance. Clin. Infect. Dis. 49:935–941.
- Ramsey MA, Bradley SF, Kauffman CA, Morton TM. 1996. Identification of chromosomal location of *mupA* gene, encoding low-level mupirocin resistance in staphylococcal isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:2820–2823.
- Simor AE, et al. 2007. Mupirocin-resistant, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in Canadian hospitals. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51:3880–3886.
- Simor AE, et al. 2010. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization or infection in Canada: national surveillance and changing epidemiology, 1995–2007. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 31:348–356.
- Sutherland R, et al. 1985. Antibacterial activity of mupirocin (pseudomonic acid), a new antibiotic for topical use. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 27:495–498.
- Swenson JM, et al. 2010. Multicenter study to determine disk diffusion and broth microdilution criteria for prediction of high- and low-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48: 2469–2475.
- Udo EE, Jacob LE, Mathew B. 2001. Genetic analysis of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* expressing high- and low-level mupirocin resistance. J. Med. Microbiol. 50:909–915.
- Voskuil MI, Chambliss GH. 1998. The –16 region of *Bacillus subtilis* and other gram-positive bacterial promoters. Nucleic Acids Res. 26:3584– 3590.
- Yang JA, et al. 2006. Molecular analysis of isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase mutations in clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with low-level mupirocin resistance. J. Korean Med. Sci. 21:827–832.