

cDNA cloning of the wheat germ SRP 7S RNAs

Christopher Marshallsay, Siegfried Prehn¹ and Christian Zwieb²

Friedrich Miescher-Institute, PO Box 2543, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland, ¹Institute of Biochemistry, Humboldt University, 1040 Berlin, GDR and ²National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA
 Submitted January 17, 1989

EMBL accession nos X13913-X13915

The signal recognition particle (SRP) is an 11S particle involved in the targeting of secretory proteins to the rough endoplasmic reticulum (1). Mammalian SRP is composed of six polypeptide chains and a single 7S RNA (300 nucleotides)(2). The wheat germ SRP equivalent (3) is shown here to consist of a mixture of particles containing several sequence variants of the 7S RNA. When wheat germ SRP was used as a source of 7S RNA at least six mobility variants were resolved after denaturing polyacrylamide electrophoresis. cDNA cloning using a maize derived 7S RNA specific oligonucleotide primer demonstrated that the mobility variants represent true sequence heterogeneity and not the products of degradation. cDNA clones for 7S RNA variants Wg#2 and Wg#3 show 70% and 84% similarity with Wg#1. Other plant 7S RNAs show 67%-72% similarity with Wg#1 whilst mammalian, amphibia, insect and yeast show 35%-43%. Secondary structures proposed on the basis of phylogenetic comparisons and computer predictions show major structural conservation when compared with the tomato and mammalian 7S RNAs (4,5).

Wheat germ SRP 7S RNA sequences:

	.10	.20	.30	.40	.50	.60	.70	
Wg#1	nccgagcucaguug	GAGAGC	UUGUAACCGA	GU	GGGGGCAUUAAGUGAUGUGAACGC	UUGUGUAGCGCUCGGCCUG		
Wg#2	CGGGAGC	UUGUCACCCAUGUGGGGCAUUGAGGCCGUGGUGAUGCU	UUGGU	GGCGA	GGUUGGGCUG		
Wg#3	
	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	
	.80	.90	.100	.110	.120	.130	.140	.150
Wg#1	GUCUGGGUCUGGGUGU	ACUGC	UGGCCGCCGU	UCCAA	GGUAGUGCGUAGUGGA	...GCCU	GGGGUUAUGCG	AAAGACUG
Wg#2	GGCUUG	UGAUGUAACCUU	UGUGGCGAGG	CACCGGU	GGCGGUUUC	AAAGU	GGUGGGCUGG
Wg#3	GGUGGU	CUGCCGUU	UCCAA	GUUAGU	AGUGGACGCC
	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,***
	.160	.170	.180	.190	.200	.210	.220	.240
Wg#1	GGCUAACGGGU	CCAUUA	UGUGGGCAGG	CACAGGG	GUUGGC	UUCACAGAGC	AGCGC	ACGGUGG
Wg#2	GGCUUC	CAGGCC	UUA	UGUGGCGAGG	CACCGGU	GGGUUUC	ACAGAGC	AGCGC
Wg#3	GGCUAACGGGU	CCAUUA	UGUGGCGAGG	CACCGGU	GGGUUUC	ACAGAGC	AGCGC	ACGGUGG
	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,*****	*,***
	.240	.250	.260	.270	.280		.290	
Wg#1	AAGGAU	AAUGGGCC	CUGCAC	UCCUCA	GGCC	AAU	GGGTCTGCT	3'
Wg#2	AAGGAU	ACAGGCC	CUGCAG	CAUGGGCC	CGCUC	GGGCUUCC	ACCCGCC	AAUAGCAGAC
Wg#3	AAGGAU	ACCGG	CGCUC	ACUCCU	ACCGG	UUGGGCC	UCCU	AGCAGAC
	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,***	*,***

cDNA synthesis was primed using the oligonucleotide primer 5'AAAGATGGTGGTCTGCT 3'. Sequences derived from the oligonucleotide primer are underlined. Lower case indicates primer extension data derived using the oligonucleotide 5'ACCTTAATGCCCC 3'. Asterisks denote sequence similarity between cDNA clones. Sequences are numbered with respect to the Wg#1 7S RNA variant.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr.N.Campos, Dr.B.Dobberstein and Dr.W.Filipowicz for their assistance in this work.

References:

- 1.)Walter,P., Gilmore,R. and Blobel,G. (1984) Cell, 38, 5-8.
- 2.)Walter,P. and Blobel,G. (1982) Nature (London), 299, 691-698.
- 3.)Prehn,S., Wiedmann,M., Rapoport,T.A. and Zwieb,C. (1987) EMBO J., 6, 2093-2097.
- 4.)Haas,B., Klanner,A., Ramm,K. and Sanger,K.L. (1988) EMBO J., 7, 13, 4063-4074.
- 5.)Zwieb,C. (1985) Nucl.Acids Res., 13, 6105-6124.