Prepregnancy Consumption of Fruits and Fruit Juices and the Risk of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

A prospective cohort study

Liwei Chen, md, phd[1]
Frank B. Hu, md, phd[2,3]
Edwina Yeung, phd[4]

Deirdre K. Tobias, sm[2]
Walter C. Willett, md, phd[2,3]
Cuilin Zhang, md, phd[4]

OBJECTIVE—Examine the association of prepregnancy habitual consumption of fruits and fruit juices and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) risk.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—A prospective study among women with at least one singleton pregnancy in the Nurses' Health Study II from 1991 to 2001.

RESULTS—Among 13,475 women, 860 reported a first diagnosis of GDM. The adjusted relative risks (RRs) for GDM from the lowest to highest quintile of whole fruit consumption were 1.00 (referent), 0.80 (95% CI 0.65–0.98), 0.90 (0.73–1.10), 0.80 (0.64–1.00), and 0.93 (0.76–1.16), respectively. The corresponding RRs for fruit juice were 1.00, 0.82 (0.66–1.01), 0.78 (0.63–0.96), 0.84 (0.68–1.04), and 1.00 (0.81–1.23).

CONCLUSIONS—These data suggest that prepregnancy higher consumption of whole fruits is not associated with an increased GDM risk. The association between fruit juices and GDM risk appears to be nonlinear.

Diabetes Care 35:1079–1082, 2012

Although dietary factors have long been recognized for their roles in the development of impaired glucose tolerance, the association between intakes of fruit and fruit juice and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) has yet to be investigated. The objective of this study was to assess the association of prepregnancy habitual consumption of fruit and fruit juices and their subgroups with GDM risk in a large prospective cohort of U.S. women.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The Nurses' Health Study II is an ongoing prospective cohort of female U.S. nurses. The cohort was initiated in 1989 and recruited 116,671 women aged 24 to 44. For this analysis, the final sample consisted of 13,475 women who did not have diabetes and major chronic diseases at baseline and were followed until 2001 (after which most women passed the reproductive age).

GDM cases were self-reported and updated every 2 years. A high validity of self-reported diagnosis of GDM in this cohort has been demonstrated (94% of women who reported to have GDM was confirmed by a physician in a validation study) (1). Dietary intake information was collected by a validated 133-item semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire (2) designed to assess food intake during the previous year. For this analysis, we summed up the intake of single items to determine the consumption of fruit (nine items) and 100% fruit juices (four items) (for more information, see Supplementary Data).

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software (version 8.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). In primary analyses, we calculated the cumulative average intakes of dietary variables to reduce within-person variation and to represent long-term dietary intakes. Relative risks (RRs) and 95% CIs of GDM were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models. Tests for nonlinear associations were performed using restricted cubic spline regressions (3).

RESULTS—Among 13,475 eligible women, 860 reported a first diagnosis of GDM. At baseline, the median intake was 1.0 serving/day (Supplementary Table 1). After adjustment for age, parity, race, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, family history of diabetes, BMI, and other dietary factors (Table 1; model 3), the RRs across the lowest to highest quintiles of fruit consumption were 1.00 (referent), 0.80 (95% CI 0.65–0.98), 0.90 (0.73–1.10), 0.80 (0.64–1.00), and 0.93 (0.76–1.16). In stratified analyses according to participants' BMI status (<25 or ≥25 kg/m^2), family history of diabetes (yes or no), parity (1 or ≥2), or physical activity (low or high), the direction of the association between GDM risk and fruit consumption was consistent in each stratum (data not shown). For subgroups of fruit and the risk of GDM (Table 1), only intake of apple was significantly associated with GDM risk. When apple intake was treated as a continuous variable, each additional serving per day of apple was associated with a 25% lower risk of GDM (95% CI 1–44). The association of 100% fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women with moderate consumption (Table 1).

CONCLUSIONS—In this large prospective study, we found that habitual

Table 1—RR (95% CI) of GDM in relation to whole fruits and fruit juice consumption among participants in the Nurses' Health Study II (N = 13,475; GDM case subjects n = 860)

| | Quintiles of cumulative averaged intake | | | | | |
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	P value†
Whole fruits						
Servings per day (median)	0.35	0.71	1.07	1.53	2.36	
Case/PY	226/108,600	163/120,203	167/101,745	140/103,767	164/110,315	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	208	136	164	135	149	
Model 1	1.00	0.76 (0.62–0.92)	0.81 (0.67–0.99)	0.68 (0.55–0.84)	0.79 (0.65–0.97)	0.03
Model 2	1.00	0.79 (0.64–0.97)	0.87 (0.71–1.06)	0.76 (0.62–0.95)	0.90 (0.73–1.10)	0.44
Model 3*	1.00	0.80 (0.65–0.98)	0.90 (0.73–1.10)	0.80 (0.64–1.00)	0.93 (0.75–1.16)	0.76
Apples						
Servings per day (median)	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.43	0.79	
Case/PY	335/136,460	51/72,507	197/104,409	164/132,338	113/98,915	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	245	70	189	124	114	
Model 3*	1.00	1.05 (0.77–1.44)	0.82 (0.68–0.98)	0.78 (0.64–0.95)	0.81 (0.65–1.01)	0.045
Bananas						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.07	0.14	0.43	0.72	
Case/PY	118/67,968	251/119,713	171/142,903	234/141,743	86/72,302	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	174	210	120	165	119	
Model 3*	1.00	0.86 (0.69–1.07)	0.87 (0.68–1.10)	0.89 (0.71–1.12)	0.88 (0.66–1.16)	0.76
Berries (strawberry and blueberry)						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.43	
Case/PY	165/131,181	253/117,085	36/51,764	270/159,316	136/85,283	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	136	216	70	169	159	
Model 3*	1.00	1.17 (0.96–1.43)	1.19 (0.82–1.73)	1.10 (0.90–1.34)	1.04 (0.82–1.31)	0.75
Citrus fruits (orange and grapefruit)						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.07	0.14	0.21	0.50	
Case/PY	162/106,663	250/113,578	173/109,103	119/82,960	156/132,325	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	152	220	159	143	118	
Model 3*	1.00	1.28 (1.05–1.57)	1.08 (0.87–1.35)	1.22 (0.95–1.56)	1.11 (0.88–1.40)	0.99
Raisins						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.04	0.07	0.14	0.43	
Case/PY	226/96,629	163/102,951	167/124,550	140/84,974	164/135,524	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	108	136	164	135	149	
Model 3*	1.00	0.94 (0.72–1.24)	0.92 (0.78–1.09)	0.81 (0.65–1.02)	0.86 (0.68–1.08)	0.19
Other fruits						
Servings per day (median)	0.07	0.21	0.32	0.50	0.96	
Case/PY	213/115,979	135/107,000	185/110,923	166/107,620	161/103,098	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	184	126	167	154	156	
Model 3*	1.00	1.07 (0.86–1.33)	1.04 (0.85–1.28)	0.92 (0.75–1.14)	1.00 (0.80–1.24)	0.70
Fruit juices						
Servings per day (median)	0.10	0.28	0.57	1.00	1.72	
Case/PY	248/119,393	146/114,957	148/98,842	154/103,228	164/108,209	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	208	127	150	149	152	
Model 1	1.00	0.82 (0.67–1.01)	0.73 (0.59–0.89)	0.74 (0.60–0.90)	0.83 (0.68–1.01)	0.06
Model 2	1.00	0.85 (0.69–1.05)	0.79 (0.64–0.97)	0.85 (0.69–1.04)	1.00 (0.81–1.22)	0.93
Model 3	1.00	0.82 (0.66–1.01)	0.78 (0.63–0.96)	0.84 (0.68–1.04)	1.00 (0.81–1.23)	0.76
Apple juice						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.02	0.07	0.14	0.43	
Case/PY	358/187,290	6/37,012	257/120,486	114/73,367	125/126,474	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	191	16	213	155	99	
Model 3#	1.00	0.75 (0.32–1.73)	1.08 (0.92–1.27)	1.06 (0.85–1.31)	0.97 (0.79–1.20)	0.72
Orange juice						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.07	0.14	0.43	1.00	
Case/PY	183/128,393	214/96,594	154/90,085	155/122,624	154/106,933	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	143	222	171	126	144	

Table 1—*Continued*

	Quintiles of cumulative averaged intake					
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	P value†
Model 3#	1.00	1.02 (0.83–1.24)	0.90 (0.73–1.12)	0.94 (0.75–1.17)	0.97 (0.77–1.20)	0.70
Other fruit juice						
Servings per day (median)	0	0.04	0.07	0.22	0.61	
Case/PY	279/130,957	64/92,336	171/95,936	144/124,723	202/100,649	
Crude incidence per 100,000 PY	213	69	178	115	201	
Model 3#	1.00	1.22 (0.91–1.64)	0.92 (0.76–1.11)	1.03 (0.84–1.27)	1.04 (0.86–1.26)	0.64

Model 1: adjusted for age (5-year category) and parity (0, 1, 2, or ≥3). Model 2: adjusted variables in model 1 plus race/ethnicity, cigarette smoking status (never, past, or current), family history of diabetes in a first-degree relative (yes or no), alcohol intake (five categories: 0, 0.1–5.0, 5.1–15.0, or >15 g/day), physical activity (quintile), BMI (nine categories: <21.0, 21.0–22.9, 23.0–24.9, 25.0–26.9, 27.0–28.9, 29.0–30.9, 31.0–32.9, 33.0–34.9, or ≥35.0 kg/m^2). Model 3: adjusted variables in model 2 plus intake of cereal fiber (quintile), processed meat (quintile), red meat (quintile), sugar-sweetened beverages (quintile), and fruit juice* (quintile) or apple# (quintile). PY, person-years. †P value for the test of linear trend. *Model 3 fruit juice quintile. #Model 3 apple quintile.

high consumption of fruits before pregnancy was not associated with increased GDM risk. For whole fruit, a slightly lower risk was observed in the 2nd and 4th quintiles as compared with the lowest quintile after controlling for known risk factors of GDM. Among specific fruits, higher apple consumption was associated with a modestly reduced risk of GDM. The association of total fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women in the 3rd quintile of consumption.

We are unaware of published studies that prospectively examine the association of prepregnancy consumptions of fruit and fruit juices with the risk of GDM. Previous prospective studies on fruit intake and type 2 diabetes risk have yielded mixed results (4–8). Fruits have high antioxidant and fiber content as well as relatively low energy density and low glycemic load. In addition, fruits contain numerous bioactive components, such as vitamins, minerals, carotenoids, folates, flavonoids, and polyphenol, which have been suggested to be beneficial in insulin sensitivity and/or pancreatic β-cell function by relieving oxidative stress (9). However, fruits also contain a relatively high amount of sugar (i.e., fructose), which has been directly linked to impaired pancreatic β-cell function in humans (10). It is highly likely that the overall health effect of whole fruit is a mix of many bioavailable compounds present in whole fruit and is dependent on the individuals' baseline consumption level.

Our finding of an inverse association between apple intake and GDM risk is consistent with two studies on apples and type 2 diabetes risk (11,12). Apples provide a low glycemic index source of carbohydrate, and are a major source of flavonoids. However, in the current study, flavonoids were not significantly associated with GDM risk, and the association of apple intake with GDM remained significant after the adjustment of flavonoid intakes (data not shown). We speculate that other polyphenolic compounds (i.e., catechins), antioxidants (i.e., vitamin C and β-carotene), or unidentified dietary factors in apples, individually or in combination, may contribute to the potentially protective effects of apples on GDM risk.

The association of total fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear. The interpretation of this nonlinear association needs to be cautious. On one hand, vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals in fruit juices may have beneficial effects for diabetes. On the other hand, fruit juices have lower fiber contents and higher glycemic load than whole fruit. At a moderate level of consumption, the beneficial effects from some components (i.e., vitamins and minerals) may counterbalance the potential adverse effects of the rapidly absorbed sugars. In this study, however, we were unable to completely rule out residual confounding from some unmeasured health behaviors associated with moderate fruit juice consumption.

In conclusion, our data suggest that prepregnancy higher consumption of whole fruits is not associated with increased GDM risk. The association of fruit juices with GDM risk appears to be nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women with modest consumption. Further studies are warranted to confirm our observations.

References
1. Solomon CG, Willett WC, Carey VJ, et al. A prospective study of pregravid determinants of gestational diabetes mellitus. JAMA 1997;278:1078–1083
2. Feskanich D, Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, et al. Reproducibility and validity of food intake measurements from a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. J Am Diet Assoc 1993;93:790–796
3. Durrleman S, Simon R. Flexible regression models with cubic splines. Stat Med 1989; 8:551–561
4. Bazzano LA, Li TY, Joshipura KJ, Hu FB. Intake of fruit, vegetables, and fruit juices and risk of diabetes in women. Diabetes Care 2008;31:1311–1317
5. Montonen J, Järvinen R, Heliövaara M, Reunanen A, Aromaa A, Knekt P. Food consumption and the incidence of type II diabetes mellitus. Eur J Clin Nutr 2005; 59:441–448
6. Villegas R, Shu XO, Gao YT, et al. Vegetable but not fruit consumption reduces

the risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese women. J Nutr 2008;138:574–580

7. Carter P, Gray LJ, Troughton J, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Fruit and vegetable intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;341:c4229

8. Hamer M, Chida Y. Intake of fruit, vegetables, and antioxidants and risk of type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens 2007;25:2361–2369

9. Ceriello A. Oxidative stress and glycemic regulation. Metabolism 2000;49(Suppl. 1): 27–29

10. Davis JN, Ventura EE, Weigensberg MJ, et al. The relation of sugar intake to beta cell function in overweight Latino children. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82:1004–1010

11. Knekt P, Kumpulainen J, Järvinen R, et al. Flavonoid intake and risk of chronic diseases. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;76:560–568

12. Song Y, Manson JE, Buring JE, Sesso HD, Liu S. Associations of dietary flavonoids with risk of type 2 diabetes, and markers of insulin resistance and systemic inflammation in women: a prospective study and cross-sectional analysis. J Am Coll Nutr 2005;24:376–384